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PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

1128 MARKET STREET is located on the north side of Market Street between Charles J Brenham Place and 8th Street (Assessor’s Block 0351; Lot 050). The subject building is noncontributory to the Civic Center Landmark District, locally designated under Article 10, Appendix J of the Planning Code.

The six-story office (with ground floor retail) building was completed in 1982. It is irregular in plan, with a gentle curved form along the Market Street/UN Plaza frontage. It is located at the northeastern corner of the landmark district’s boundaries.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project involves the construction of a new, approximately 735-square-foot roof deck and accompanying elevator penthouse on the southeastern portion of the roof. The proposal also includes replacement of two storefronts within the same openings and interior alterations. Please see photographs and plans for details.

COMPLIANCE WITH PLANNING CODE

Planning Code Development Standards.

The proposed project is in compliance with all other provisions of the Planning Code.

In order to proceed, a building permit from the Department of Building Inspection is required.

www.sfplanning.org
Applicable Preservation Standards.
The proposal overall, is appropriate for and consistent with the purposes of Article 10, meets the standards of Article 1006.6 of the Planning Code, and complies with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation, in that:

- the subject property is non-contributory and was completed in 1982, well outside the district’s period of significance;
- no historic materials or features characterizing the district would be altered or destroyed;
- the new storefronts will be located within the same openings, utilize a configuration and materials akin to those of the existing storefronts, and will be within the same plane to maintain the uniform rhythm and depth of the ground floor bays;
- the new rooftop deck, elevator penthouse, and awning will be setback and minimally if at all visible from directly across the surrounding streets and will only be partially visible from UN Plaza;
- the installation of new, partially visible rooftop elements on a noncontributory structure will not alter or diminish the scale, proportions, materials, and features that characterize the landmark district.

The Department has determined that the proposed work will be in conformance with the requirements of Article 10 and the Secretary of Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation. Proposed work is limited to alterations to a noncontributory property located within the landmark district; it will therefore result in no removal of or alterations to features that characterize the district, and new work will be limited in visibility and in keeping with the nature of the subject building.

PUBLIC/NEIGHBORHOOD INPUT
The Department has received no correspondence in support of or opposition to the project.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
Prior to approval of the Architectural Addendum to the Site Permit, the Project Sponsor shall provide final details and finish/material samples to Planning Department preservation staff for review and approval.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS
The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) as a Class 1 categorical exemption.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION
The Department recommends APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS of the proposed project as it meets the provisions of Article 10 of the Planning Code regarding Major Alteration to a non-contributing resource in a Landmark District and the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation.

ATTACHMENTS
Draft Motion – Certificate of Appropriateness
Exhibit A – Conditions of Approval
Exhibit B – Plans and Renderings
Exhibit C – Environmental Determination
Exhibit D – Maps and Context Photos
Exhibit E – Designating Ordinance (Article 10, Appendix J)
Certificate of Appropriateness
Draft Motion
HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2020

Record No.: 2019-001839COA
Project Address: 1128 MARKET STREET
Landmark: Noncontributory, Civic Center Landmark District
Zoning: C-3-G (Downtown-General) Zoning District 80-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 0351/050
Project Sponsor: Albert Costa
Costa Brown Architecture
1620 Montgomery Street, #300
San Francisco, CA 94111
Staff Contact: Jonathan Vimr - 415-575-9109
Jonathan.Vimr@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR MAJOR ALTERATIONS DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR AND CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES OF ARTICLE 10 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING CODE, AND TO MEET THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION, FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOT 050 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 0351 IN A C-3-G (DOWNTOWN-GENERAL) ZONING DISTRICT AND A 80-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On May 28, 2019, Albert Costa of Costa Brown Architecture (hereinafter “Project Sponsor”) filed Application No. 2019-001839COA (hereinafter “Application”) with the San Francisco Planning Department (hereinafter “Department”) for a Certificate of Appropriateness for storefront replacement and construction of a new rooftop deck, awning, and elevator penthouse at a subject building located on Lot 050 in Assessor’s Block 0351, which is noncontributory to the Civic Center Landmark District, locally designated under Article 10, Appendix J of the Planning Code.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) as a Class 1 categorical exemption. The Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter “Commission”) has reviewed and concurs with said determination.

On February 19, 2020 the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Certificate of Appropriateness Application No. 2019-001839COA.

The Planning Department Commission Secretary is the custodian of records; the File for Record No. 2019-001839COA is located at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California.
The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby APPROVES WITH CONDITIONS the Certificate of Appropriateness, as requested in Application No. 2019-001839COA in conformance with the architectural plans dated January 16, 2020 and labeled Exhibit B based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed all the materials identified in the recitals above and having heard oral testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and also constitute findings of the Commission.

2. **Project Description.** The proposed project involves the construction of a new, approximately 735-square-foot roof deck and accompanying elevator penthouse on the southeastern portion of the roof. The proposal also includes replacement of two storefronts within the same openings and interior alterations. Please see photographs and plans for details.

3. **Property Description.** 1128 Market Street is located on the north side of Market Street between Charles J Brenham Place and 8th Street (Assessor’s Block 0351; Lot 050). The subject building is noncontributory to the Civic Center Landmark District, locally designated under Article 10, Appendix J of the Planning Code. The six-story office (with ground floor retail) building was completed in 1982. It is irregular in plan, with a gentle curved form along the Market Street/UN Plaza frontage. It is located at the northeastern corner of the landmark district’s boundaries.

4. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood.** The subject property is at the transition from the Civic Center area to the downtown and its associated C-3 zoning districts. UN Plaza borders the site’s western and southern ends, while the National Register listed Market Street Theater and Loft Historic Districts begins immediately to its east.

5. **Public Outreach and Comments.** The Department has no letters in support of or opposition to the project.

6. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission has determined that the proposed work is compatible with the exterior character-defining features of the subject property and meets the requirements of Article 10 of the Planning Code in the following manner:

   A. **Article 10 of the Planning Code.** Pursuant to Section 1006.6 of the Planning Code, the proposed alteration shall be consistent with and appropriate for the effectuation of the purposes of this Article 10.

   The proposed project is consistent with Article 10 of the Planning Code.
B. Secretary of the Interior’s Standards. Pursuant to Section 1006.6(b) of the Planning Code, the proposed work shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties for significant and contributory buildings, as well as any applicable guidelines, local interpretations, bulletins, or other policies. Rehabilitation is the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features that convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values. The Rehabilitation Standards provide, in relevant part(s):

(1) **Standard 1**: A property will be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.

*There will be no change to the use of the subject property.*

(2) **Standard 2**: The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

*The subject property was completed in 1982 and is noncontributory to the Civic Center Landmark District. This paired with the limited nature of the proposed work (storefront replacement in existing openings, a new roof deck/penthouse), ensures that no historic materials or features that characterize the district would be altered.*

(3) **Standard 3**: Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, shall not be undertaken.

*Not Applicable*

(4) **Standard 4**: Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

*Not applicable.*

(5) **Standard 5**: Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of fine craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.

*No distinctive features characterizing the district would be altered or destroyed as work is confined to a noncontributory building completed in 1982.*

(6) **Standard 6**: Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible,
materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.

*Not applicable.*

(7) **Standard 7:** Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.

*Not applicable.*

(8) **Standard 8:** Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.

*Not Applicable.*

(9) **Standard 9:** New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

No historic materials or features would be destroyed as work is confined to a noncontributory building completed in 1982. The replacement storefront systems will be installed within existing openings and will utilize a similar configuration and materials as the other, existing storefronts in order to maintain the uniform rhythm and depth of the ground floor bays. The new deck, awning, and elevator penthouse at the rooftop level will not be visible from immediately across the street and will only have partial visibility from UN Plaza. While new elements, they will be setback and located atop a portion of a noncontributory structure’s roof. As such the overall scale, proportions, materials, and features that characterize the broader landmark district will be retained.

(10) **Standard 10:** New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

As the subject property is noncontributory to the district, and the proposed work is limited in nature, it could be easily reversed with no impairment to the essential form and integrity of the landmark district.

C. **Historic District.** Article 10 of the Planning Code outlines specific findings for the Commission to consider when evaluating applications for alterations to Landmarks or within designated Historic Districts.

Historic Districts

1. Pursuant to Section 1006.6(d) of the Planning Code, for applications pertaining to property in historic districts, other than on a designated landmark site, any new
construction, addition or exterior change shall be compatible with the character of the historic district as described in the designating ordinance; and, in any exterior change, reasonable efforts shall be made to preserve, enhance or restore, and not to damage or destroy, the exterior architectural features of the subject property which are compatible with the character of the historic district.

2. Pursuant to Section 1006.6(e) of the Planning Code, for applications pertaining to all property in historic districts, the proposed work shall also conform to such further standards as may be embodied in the ordinance designating the historic district.

7. General Plan Compliance. The proposed Certificate of Appropriateness is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

**URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT**

THE URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT CONCERNS THE PHYSICAL CHARACTER AND ORDER OF THE CITY, AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PEOPLE AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT.

**OBJECTIVE 1:**
EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

Policy 1.3
Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

**OBJECTIVE 2:**
CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

Policy 2.4
Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

Policy 2.5
Use care in remodeling of older buildings, in order to enhance rather than weaken the original character of such buildings.
Policy 2.7
Recognize and protect outstanding and unique areas that contribute in an extraordinary degree to San Francisco’s visual form and character.

The goal of a Certificate of Appropriateness is to provide additional oversight for buildings and districts that are architecturally or culturally significant to the City in order to protect the qualities that are associated with that significance.

The proposed project qualifies for a Certificate of Appropriateness and therefore furthers these policies and objectives by maintaining and preserving the character-defining features of the subject property for the future enjoyment and education of San Francisco residents and visitors.

8. Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project complies with said policies in that:

A) The existing neighborhood-serving retail uses will be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses will be enhanced:

    The proposed project will enhance neighborhood serving retail uses by providing an ADA accessible entry to a ground floor retail space.

B) The existing housing and neighborhood character will be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods:

    The proposed project will strengthen neighborhood character by respecting the character-defining features of the building in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards.

C) The City’s supply of affordable housing will be preserved and enhanced:

    The project will not affect the City’s affordable housing supply.

D) The commuter traffic will not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking:

    The proposed project will not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking. It will provide sufficient off-street parking for the proposed units.

E) A diverse economic base will be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development. And future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors will be enhanced:

    The proposed project is located on Market Street and will not have a direct impact on the displacement of industrial and service sectors.
F) The City will achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

   All construction will be executed in compliance with all applicable construction and safety measures.

G) That landmark and historic buildings will be preserved:

   The proposed project is in conformance with Article 10 of the Planning Code and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards.

H) Parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas will be protected from development:

   The proposed project will not impact the access to sunlight or vistas for the parks and open space.

9. For these reasons, the proposal overall, appears to meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and the provisions of Article 10 of the Planning Code regarding Major Alterations.
Draft Motion No. XXXX

February 19, 2020

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby APPROVES WITH CONDITIONS a Certificate of Appropriateness for the subject property located at Lot 050 in Assessor's Block 0351 for proposed work in conformance with the architectural submittal dated January 16, 2020 and labeled Exhibit B on file in the docket for Record No. 2019-001839COA.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: The Commission's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be final unless appealed within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. XXXX. Any appeal shall be made to the Board of Appeals, unless the proposed project requires Board of Supervisors approval or is appealed to the Board of Supervisors as a conditional use, in which case any appeal shall be made to the Board of Supervisors (see Charter Section 4.135). For further information, please contact the Board of Appeals in person at 1650 Mission Street, (Room 304) or call (415) 575-6880.

Duration of this Certificate of Appropriateness: This Certificate of Appropriateness is issued pursuant to Article 10 of the Planning Code and is valid for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of approval by the Historic Preservation Commission. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action shall be deemed void and canceled if, within 3 years of the date of this Motion, a site permit or building permit for the Project has not been secured by Project Sponsor.

THIS IS NOT A PERMIT TO COMMENCE ANY WORK OR CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY UNLESS NO BUILDING PERMIT IS REQUIRED. PERMITS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION (and any other appropriate agencies) MUST BE SECURED BEFORE WORK IS STARTED OR OCCUPANCY IS CHANGED.

I hereby certify that the Historical Preservation Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on February 19, 2020.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES:
NAYS:
ABSENT:
ADOPTED: February 19, 2020
EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION UPDATE
This authorization is for a Certificate of Appropriateness to allow alterations to the property located at 1128 Market Street, Block 0351, Lot 50 pursuant to Planning Code Section(s) 1006.6 within the C-3-G District and a 80-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated January 16, 2020 and stamped “EXHIBIT B” included in the docket for Record No. 2019-001839COA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Historic Preservation Commission on February 19, 2020 under Motion No XXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS
The conditions of approval under the ‘Exhibit A’ of this Historic Preservation Commission Motion No. XXXX shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the site or building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Certificate of Appropriateness and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY
The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. “Project Sponsor” shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS
Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Historic Preservation Commission approval of a new Certificate of Appropriateness. In instances when Planning Commission also reviews additional authorizations for the project, Planning Commission may make modifications to the Certificate of Appropriateness based on majority vote and not required to return to Historic Preservation Commission.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

1. Prior to issuance of the Architectural Addendum to the Site Permit, the Project Sponsor shall provide final details and finish/material samples to Planning Department preservation staff for review and approval.
Appendix B:
Plans and Renderings
1. All labor, materials, fabrication, installation, etc., shall be performed in full accordance with the Architect's design and all applicable local, state and federal codes, laws, ordinances and regulations regarding safety and environmental considerations. If in doubt, any dispute shall be settled by the architect.

2. It is the general contractor's responsibility to verify and coordinate all work with the design but subcontractors are not required to have drawings in accordance with the design sheet numbers within these construction documents and specifications. Contractor to refer to Project Manual for additional information.

3. The appearance of the architect's identification block on drawings prepared by other firms shall not be construed to indicate responsibility for the contents of such drawings or for the architect.

4. The general contractor shall undertake dimensions and conditions at the job site before starting work and shall notify architect of any discrepancies or errors. Contractor shall be responsible for any damages or claims that may arise due to such errors. Completion of work at any time made shall constitute agreement that conditions are acceptable for such work.

5. The drawings and specifications are complementary. Every effort has been made to coordinate the drawings and specifications of the architect and the coordinating engineer; however, any item not indicated on the drawings shall be installed by the general contractor, except when noted. All items shall be installed in accordance with the drawings and specifications and shall be compatible with all adjacent surfaces. See specifications for more information.

6. General contractor shall provide all subcontractors with current construction documents as required. Each subcontractor shall verify sizes and locations of all openings for mechanical, plumbing and electrical equipment with these specifications. The contractor shall be responsible to notify the architect in writing when his work nears final location, elevation and description of the completed work.

7. The contract shall provide for insurances for all specified items to include loss that the item furnishes, installed, connected, and ready to operate, unless otherwise noted.

8. The contract shall provide for insurances in case of discrepancy, consult with architect before proceeding.

9. All revisions to the drawings must proceed through the owner and architect, and must be approved in writing. Any work not approved by the owner.

10. Final drawings must be submitted to the architect in writing before any work is started. Requests for cost increases caused by lack of proper coordination will not be allowed.

11. All materials shall be of first and equal quality, and shall be performed by knowledgeable mechanics.

   a. All dimensional dimensions are to be in feet, unless otherwise noted.
   b. All dimensions shall be shown at true size, unless otherwise noted.
   c. All dimensions are in actual height and full length of audios.

13. General contractor shall provide all subcontractors with current construction documents as required. The general contractor must notify the owner of any discrepancies or errors that may arise due to such errors. No allowance of any kind will be made for general and delays caused by an unauthorized substitution of any item for this project.

14. The general contractor shall provide all subcontractors with current construction documents as required.

15. All revisions to the drawings must proceed through the owner and must be approved in writing. The contractor shall be responsible for any damage or claims that may arise due to such errors. No allowance of any kind will be made for general and delays caused by an unauthorized substitution of any item for this project.

16. The general contractor shall provide all subcontractors with current construction documents as required.

17. Substantial completion of any or otherwise satisfied.
1128 Market Street

LEAVENWORTH ST.

MCALLISTER ST.

MCALLISTER ST.

ADJACENT BUILDING

PROJECT LOCATION

FOUNTAIN
UNITED NATIONS PLAZA

SITE PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 20' - 0"

DATE: 1/16/2020

Sheet Number: A-0.02

All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute original and unpublished work of Costa Brown Architecture, Inc. and may not be duplicated without the prior written consent of Costa Brown Architecture, Inc. © 2019.
GSF - SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING

1. Use products that comply with the emission limit requirements of § 903.4.4 for adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, carpet systems including cushions and adhesives, resilient flooring (50% of area) and composite wood products.
2. Provide adequate space and equal access for storage, collection and loading of compostable, recyclable and landfillable materials.
3. For 100% of mixed C & D debris, use registered transporters and registered processing facilities with a minimum of 65% diversion rate.
GSF - SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING SUBMITTAL NOTES:

1. Use products that comply with the emission limit requirements of 5.504.4.1-6 for adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, carpet systems, including cushions and adhesives, resilient flooring 60% of area and composite wood products.

2. Provide adequate space and equal access for storage, collection and loading of compostable, recyclable and landfill materials.

3. For 100% of mixed C & D debris, use registered transporters and registered processing facilities with a minimum of 65% diversion rate.
GENERAL NOTES:
1. Demolish existing walls shown on plan. Verify in field and bring any discrepancies to architect's attention.
2. Retain boxed in surrounding sheet rock at all existing columns. Verify in field.
4. Verify existing floor finish; restore to original concrete floor polished and sealed.
5. Verify existing floor finish; restore to original concrete floor polished and sealed.

GS4 - SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING SUBMITAL NOTES:
1. Use products that comply with the emission limit requirements of 5.504.4.1-6 for adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, carpet systems (including cushions and adhesives), resilient flooring (80% of area) and composite wood products.
2. Provide adequate space and equal access for storage, collection and loading of compostable, recyclable and landfill materials.
3. For 100% of mixed C & D debris, use registered transporters and registered processing facilities with a minimum of 65% diversion rate.
GS4 - SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING

SUBMITTAL NOTES:

1. Use products that comply with the emission limit requirements of 5.504.4.1-12 for adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, carpet systems including cushions and adhesives, resilient flooring (85% of area), and composite wood products.

2. Provide adequate space and equal access for storage, collection and loading of compostable, recyclable and landfill materials.

3. For 100% of mixed C & D debris, use registered transporters and registered processing facilities with a minimum of 65% diversion rate.
OCCUPANT LOAD CALCULATION - ROOF DECK PERMIT

LEVEL | AREA | FACTOR | OCCUPANTS
--- | --- | --- | ---
ROOF DECK | 735 SF | @15 NET | 49

OCCUPANT LOAD CALCULATED BASED ON CBC 2016 - TABLE 1014.1.2 WHERE UNCONCENTRATED TABLES & CHAIRS HAVE AN OCCUPANT LOAD FACTOR OF 15 NET PER SQUARE FEET.

GSF - SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING SUBMITTAL NOTES:

1. Use products that comply with the emission limit requirements of 5.504.4.1 for adhesives, sealants, paints, coatings, large systems including containers and adhesives, resilient flooring (35% of area) and composite wood products.

2. Provide adequate space and equal access for storage, collection, and sorting of compostable, recyclable, and waste materials.

3. For 100% of mixed C & D debris, use registered transporters and registered processing facilities with a minimum of 65% diversion rate.

ELEVATOR VESTIBULE
NEW ELEVATOR
RELOCATED STAIR - VIF

No. Issue Date

Architecture
Costa Brown
1620 Montgomery Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: 415 986 0101

All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute original and unpublished work of Costa Brown Architecture, Inc. and may not be duplicated without the prior written consent of Costa Brown Architecture, Inc. © 2019.
Appendix C:

Environmental Determination
CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Address</th>
<th>Block/Lot(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1128 MARKET ST</td>
<td>0351050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Permit No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019-001839PRJ</td>
<td>201902011937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ Addition/ Alteration
☐ Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building)
☐ New Construction

Project description for Planning Department approval.
Add new single story elevator access from (e) 6th fl to roof level and adding new roof deck with trellis

STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

The project has been determined to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

☐ Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.

☐ Class 3 - New Construction. Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.

☐ Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below:
   (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.
   (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses.
   (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species.
   (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality.
   (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY

☐ Class ___
**STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS**

**TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNERS**

| **Air Quality:** Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone)* |
| **Hazardous Materials:** If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? |
| **if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).** |
| **Transportation:** Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? |
| **Archeological Resources:** Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeo review is required *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)* |
| **Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:** Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)*. If yes, Environmental Planning must issue the exemption. |
| **Slope = or > 25%:** Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)* If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption. |
| **Seismic: Landslide Zone:** Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones)* If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption. |
| **Seismic: Liquefaction Zone:** Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 500 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? *(refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones)* If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption. |

**Comments and Planner Signature (optional):** Jonathan Vimr
**STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE**  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property is one of the following: (refer to Property Information Map)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>■ Category A: Known Historical Resource. <strong>GO TO STEP 5.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). <strong>GO TO STEP 4.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). <strong>GO TO STEP 6.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST**  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project.

- 1. **Change of use and new construction.** Tenant improvements not included.
- 2. **Regular maintenance or repair** to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.
- 3. **Window replacement** that meets the Department’s *Window Replacement Standards*. Does not include storefront window alterations.
- 4. **Garage work.** A new opening that meets the *Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts*, and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.
- 5. **Deck, terrace construction, or fences** not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
- 6. **Mechanical equipment installation** that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
- 7. **Dormer installation** that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under *Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows*.
- 8. **Addition(s)** that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.

**Note:** Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.

- □ Project is not listed. **GO TO STEP 5.**
- □ Project **does not conform** to the scopes of work. **GO TO STEP 5.**
- □ Project involves **four or more** work descriptions. **GO TO STEP 5.**
- □ Project involves **less than four** work descriptions. **GO TO STEP 6.**

**STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW**  
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project.

- 1. **Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A)** as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.
- 2. **Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.**
- 3. **Window replacement** of original/historic windows that are not “in-kind” but are consistent with existing historic character.
- 4. **Facade/storefront alterations** that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
- 5. **Raising the building** in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
- 6. **Restoration** based upon documented evidence of a building’s historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.
7. **Addition(s)**, including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

8. **Other work consistent** with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):

9. **Other work** that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):

(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)

10. **Reclassification of property status.** (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)

   - Reclassify to Category A
     - a. Per HRER or PTR dated
     - b. Other (specify):
   - Reclassify to Category C
     - (attach HRER or PTR)

   **Note:** If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST sign below.

**Project can proceed with categorical exemption review.** The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. **GO TO STEP 6.**

**Comments (optional):**
installation of partially visible roof deck and elevator penthouse on noncontributory building within a district. new ADA ramp at ground level.

**Preservation Planner Signature:** Jonathan Vimr

**STEP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION**
**TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER**

- **No further environmental review is required.** The project is categorically exempt under CEQA. There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect.

  **Project Approval Action:** Building Permit
  **Signature:** Jonathan Vimr
  **01/29/2020**

Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.
In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action.
Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals.
STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Address (If different than front page)</th>
<th>Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1128 MARKET ST</td>
<td>0351/050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Previous Building Permit No.</th>
<th>New Building Permit No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019-001839PRJ</td>
<td>201902011937</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plans Dated</th>
<th>Previous Approval Action</th>
<th>New Approval Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Modified Project Description:

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:

☐ Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;

☐ Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;

☐ Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?

☐ Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?

If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.

DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

☐ The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.

If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can be filed within 10 days of posting of this determination.

Planner Name:_________________   Date:_________________
Appendix D:
Maps and Context Photos
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Appendix E:
Designating Ordinance
APPENDIX J TO ARTICLE 10 - CIVIC CENTER HISTORIC DISTRICT

SEC. 1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the area known and described in this ordinance as the Civic Center Historic District contains a number of structures having a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and constitutes a distinct section of the City. The Board of Supervisors further finds that designation of said area as an Historic District will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein, and that preservation on an area basis rather than on the basis of individual structures alone is in order.

This ordinance is intended to further the general purpose of historic preservation legislation as set forth in Section 1001 of the City Planning Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 2. DESIGNATION.

Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District is hereby designated as an Historic District, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 13719 of the City Planning Commission and Resolution No. 454 of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 3. LOCATION AND BOUNDARIES.

The location and boundaries of the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District generally bounded by Golden Gate Avenue to the north, Franklin Street to the west, Jones Street to the east and Market Street to the south shall be as designated on the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District Map, the original of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 115-94-10, which Map is hereby incorporated herein as though fully set forth.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 4. RELATION TO CITY PLANNING CODE AND THE PROVISIONS OF THE CHARTER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

(a) Article 10 of the City Planning Code is the basic law governing historic preservation in the City and County of San Francisco. This ordinance, being a specific application of Article 10, is both subject to and in addition to the provisions thereof.

(b) Except as may be specifically provided to the contrary in this ordinance, nothing in this ordinance shall supersede, impair or modify any City Planning Code provisions applicable to property in the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District, including but not limited to existing and future regulations controlling uses, height, bulk, lot coverage, floor area ratio, required open space, off-street parking and signs.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)
SEC. 5. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE.

The San Francisco Civic Center possesses a unique place and significance in the areas of architecture, history, and environment worthy of protection as an historic district.

The land on which the Civic Center stands was declared a City Hall Reservation as early as 1870. Portions of that reservation land were sold to raise funds for City Hall construction, and Old City Hall - located on the site that had first been used as the Yerba Buena Cemetery, approximately where the present library stands - was erected between 1871 and 1897, in a lengthy project marked by the now well known City government corruption of that time.

In 1899, B.J.S. Cahill, with the encouragement of Mayor Phelan, proposed a grander vision for the area, with the dual goal of clearing up land titles clouded by the dubious practices of the promoters of the Old City Hall and, at the same time, of creating an imposing setting for the entire area. This plan envisioned clearing out smaller structures and visually uniting the remaining monumental structures - Old City Hall, the Main Post Office, the Hibernia Bank building, and other larger structures - and setting them off against new open spaces (such as a planned extension of the Golden Gate Park panhandle to Market Street). Conflicting new developments were blocked for a time, but the plan eventually failed, in large part because of general distrust - bred of experience, perhaps - of large government projects.

Undaunted, former Mayor Phelan soon led the formation of an Association for the Improvement and Adornment of San Francisco. In 1904, the Association invited Daniel Burnham to design a grand plan for the City, with B.J.S. Cahill providing a design for the Civic Center. This produced two visions of the Civic Center: Cahill, with the practicality borne of personal and local experience, proposed using existing structures and City-owned land to create a central plaza, surrounded by major buildings, and, again, connected to a Golden Gate Park panhandle extension; Burnham proposed a grander Civic Center, with buildings connected by a generally circular series of boulevards and grand open vistas. The Burnham Plan, though politically impractical, fired the public imagination and was submitted to and adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 27, 1905.

Following the 1906 earthquake, the public desire to rebuild and reclaim what had just been lost confirmed in practice what Cahill had perceived before: that political expediency set limits to the definition of the Civic Center. Competition continued between the different views of Burnham and Cahill of what the Civic Center might become, but by 1912 the Board of Supervisors had endorsed the Cahill Plan as modified in 1909. The momentum of growing civic pride and the Civic Center development effort - spearheaded by then-Mayor Rolph and the coming of the 1915 Panama-Pacific Exposition - was focused into the general outlines sketched by Cahill.

Mayor Rolph, a reform candidate, saw the Civic Center as a central civic improvement, the symbol of a new unity of the people under a new and honest political era and a permanent expression of the grandeur and vitality which the 1915 Exposition would exemplify on a temporary scale.

The World Columbian Exposition in Chicago, in 1893, was the source of inspiration for the "City Beautiful" movement which emphasizes formal plan and composition of monumental scale, neoclassical style buildings fronting plazas, boulevards and grand public gathering spaces. The order, harmony, cleanliness, and grandeur of the exhibition, called "The White City," was in sharp contrast to the rapid, chaotic growth that most U.S. cities had experienced in the preceding era of rapid immigration and industrialization.

Several world's fairs were held throughout the country in the later 1800's, spreading the ideals of classical architecture, Beaux Arts forms, and the concepts of planning and cooperation for ensemble effect in design. These planning and design schemes were an important influence for forty years, with their primary manifestation coming in designs for cities, parks, and civic centers. Numerous City plans were commissioned in the early years, but only Cleveland and San Francisco implemented a portion of their plans, with San Francisco more nearly reaching completion.
The historic significance of the "City Beautiful" movement lies in the manner in which it reformed and refocused architectural vision, contributing to something of a national style of architecture; and, on a practical level, in the formation of City Planning Departments and schools or courses devoted to City Planning.

The design of the San Francisco Civic Center is an example of the development of those significant contributions. More particularly, the San Francisco Civic Center is an expression of a nation ready to display its new international importance in an architectural statement. At the time it took form, geography and historical events had made San Francisco the center of western America. Monumental classical architecture for the City's central public space expressed this consciousness, as well as the accompanying belief that such inspiring surroundings should be democratically available to all, not just a privileged few.

The Exposition Auditorium, the Central Plaza, and the Powerhouse were completed before the 1915 Panama-Pacific Exposition, and the new City Hall was completed in late 1915. The library was completed in 1916; the State Building in 1921; and the Public Health Building in 1932 and the Old Federal Building in 1936. The present Opera House and Veterans Building expanded the Civic Center to the west in 1932 and 1933, respectively, much in the manner the original proponents envisioned. The original plaza was excavated in 1956 to add the underground parking garage. At this time the ground level details were changed into the present reflecting pool and semi-park. United Nations Plaza, which opens the vista to the east of City Hall in a manner consistent with the original vision of the Civic Center, was created in the mid-1970's.

It is an exemplary City Beautiful complex in the best of the American Academic Beaux Arts tradition. Designed and built in the revival of classical style, stemming from the Chicago World's Fair of 1893 that has been called the "American Renaissance," it succeeds in making a strong impression of Civic dignity and pride. The San Francisco Civic Center Historic District consists of a principal aggregation of monumental buildings around a central open space, with additional buildings extending the principal axis at either end. It includes all or part of the fifteen City blocks. There are eight major buildings, a group of secondary buildings, three unrealized building sites, and a large plaza within the Historic District.

Each building in the Civic Center was faced with the problem of providing modern, functional facilities in a classical idiom. The classical Beaux Arts style was deemed suitable as the traditional style of American governmental buildings, and was amenable to City Beautiful ideals of harmony among many buildings on a grand scale. The formal composition of "City Beautiful" architecture, plantings, street embellishments and plazas was meant to be an expression of civic authority and pride - intending to impress and overawe. The classical style aptly expressed the mood of a nation eager to redefine its newly achieved international importance in architectural terms. It reflected a mood and an existing state of affairs as much as an inspiration to dominance. In San Francisco, it represented the city's emergence as a regional center of national importance, and within the City, it symbolized the united efforts of a population recently divided along many lines.

In terms of "democratic" architecture, or architecture for an ever larger segment of the population, monumental classical architecture uncompromisingly demonstrated the enhanced concern for the general public. Only a few years earlier, such splendor was exclusively reserved for the rich and the privileged few. To this day, no greater public interiors have been built in the United States than those influenced by and representative of the City Beautiful Movement, including among the very finest, the San Francisco City Hall.

Within the scope of turn of the century classical architecture in the United States, the San Francisco Civic Center contains several fine examples of the mode and one superlative example in its City Hall. The other buildings in the group, although less interesting individually cannot properly be evaluated in the same way. In particular, the State Building, the Federal Building, the Health Building and the War Memorial group would probably appear rather dull compared to City Hall, as if they were missing an essential ingredient. But seen in the context of the Civic Center as a whole, and in relation to City Hall, all the buildings together achieve distinction.
The criteria on which the buildings are judged, then, must be the degree to which each enhances the group without distracting from City Hall. These qualities are achieved through a harmony of color, material, scale, size, texture, rhythm and style. Within these constrictions the buildings achieve individual interest through the imaginative manipulation of the elements.

The historic Civic Center buildings are unified in the Beaux Arts classical design. They are organized into horizontal bands of vertically proportioned elements, with the grand order of the facade displayed on two or three floors above a usually rusticated base of one or two ground and partially sub-ground floors. Civic Center Historic District contains standard features such as overall form, massing, scale, proportion, orientation, depth of face, fenestration and ornamentation, materials, color, texture, architectural detailing, facade line continuity, decorative and sculptural features, street furniture, granite curbing and grille work.

The Civic Center is designated as both a National Historic Landmark District and a Historic District on the National Register of Historic Places, the former designation occurred on February 27, 1987, the latter, October 10, 1978. These designations offer recognition that certain properties within the Historic District are worthy of preservation and alterations undertaken both in the local and federal districts shall comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. Said Standards were adopted by the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board at its Regular Meeting of October 2, 1985, the amended Standards were readopted by the Landmarks Board at its Regular Meetings of February 6, 1991 and August 3, 1994.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

**SEC. 6. FEATURES.**

The architectural features of said Historic District that should be preserved are set forth in this ordinance and described and depicted in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board's Case Report "San Francisco Civic Center Historic District" including Appendix A: Survey of Parcels. Said Case Report was adopted by the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board at its Regular Meeting of October 6, 1993 by Resolution No. 454 and was adopted and amended by the City Planning Commission at its Regular Meeting of July 7, 1994 by Resolution No. 13719. The architectural features, formal plan composition and streetscape elements of said Historic District that should be preserved and strengthened are also identified in the Civic Center Plan, an Element of the City's Master Plan, and in the Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the Planning Commission pursuant to that plan.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

**SEC. 7. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS.**

The procedures, requirements, controls and standards in Sections 1005 through 1006.8 of Article 10 of the City Planning Code shall apply to all applications for Certificates of Appropriateness in the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District.

In addition, the following provisions shall apply to all such applications. In the event of any conflict or inconsistency between the following provisions and Article 10, the procedures, requirements, controls and standards affording stricter protection to the Historic District shall prevail, except for the provisions of Section 8 of this designation ordinance.

A Certificate of Appropriateness shall be required for all major alterations, as set forth below, to Contributory or Contributory/Altered buildings sites, structures or objects within the Historic District. Within 10 days after the Central Permit Bureau refers any permit application to the Department, the Zoning Administrator and the Secretary to the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board shall determine in writing whether the proposed alteration is a major alteration or a minor alteration. The decision of the Zoning Administrator shall be final.
(a) An alteration is considered major if any of the following apply:

(1) The alteration will remove or cover an exterior architectural feature or a portion of an exceptionally significant interior as set forth in Section 10, or replace it with substitutes that are inappropriate in material, scale, color or architectural style. This provision shall apply to exceptionally significant interior public spaces designated in Section 10 of said ordinance; or

(2) The alteration would affect all or any substantial part of a structure's interior or exterior column or load-bearing wall, exterior walls or exterior ornamentation; or

(3) The alteration results in a substantial addition of height above the height of the structure; or

(4) The cumulative impacts of serial permits may be determined to be a major alteration. An alteration, in combination with other alterations authorized within the preceding five years, shall be deemed a major alteration if the cumulative impact of said alterations may be considered a major alteration as described above.

(b) An alteration is considered minor if the criteria set forth in Subsection (a) do not apply or the work consists of ordinary repair and maintenance.

(c) The Department of City Planning in consultation with the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board, may promulgate Rules and Regulations to distinguish major alterations from minor alterations for this Historic District consistent with this Section 7.

(d) Permit applications determined to be for minor alterations shall be returned, with that determination noted, to the Central Permit Bureau for further processing; provided, however, that the Zoning Administrator may take any other otherwise authorized action with respect to the application.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 8. APPEALS FROM THE LANDMARKS PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD AND CITY PLANNING COMMISSION DECISIONS ON CERTIFICATES OF Appropriateness.

(a) Certificate of Appropriateness decisions of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board may be appealed to the City Planning Commission pursuant to the provisions of Planning Code Section 1006.8. Nothing in this ordinance shall supersede, impair or modify provisions of the City Charter or laws governing the State of California and the United States of America. All governmental bodies shall work cooperatively with the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board on proposed exterior and interior changes to ensure that the alteration of buildings within this Historic District comply with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, Revised 1990 (and subsequent revisions).

(b) This ordinance designating the Civic Center Historic District shall in no way diminish the powers, rights and duties vested in the Art Commission, the War Memorial Board of Trustees, the Library or the Asian Art Museum. It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors, however, to retain its authority, and the authority of the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board and the Planning Commission, over historic preservation decisions in the Civic Center Historic District in order to ensure the appropriate treatment of the historical elements of this historic district.

(c) The entities referenced in Subsection 8(b) above shall consult with the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board on any proposed interior alterations to the publicly accessible spaces of their buildings, regardless of whether a Certificate of Appropriateness is required by this ordinance or by Article 10.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 9. STANDARDS FOR REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS.
(a) The standards for review of all applications for Certificates of Appropriateness are as set forth in Section 1006.7 of Article 10 and are as follows:

(b) For applications pertaining to sites, buildings, structures and objects in the Civic Center Historic District, any alteration, construction, relocation or demolition, shall comply with the standards contained in Section 1017(c), and shall (1) be compatible with respect to height, massing, fenestration, materials, color, texture, detail, style, scale and proportion, signage, landscaping and street furniture which may define the character of the historic district as described in Section 5 of this designating ordinance and in the Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission; and (2) preserve, enhance or restore, and not damage or destroy, the exterior architectural appearance of the subject site, building, structure and object which is compatible with the character of the Historic District.

(1) Notwithstanding the foregoing, any exterior change to a site, building, structure and object which is not already compatible with the character of the Historic District shall bring the site, building, structure and object closer to compatibility. Where the required compatibility exists, the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be approved.

(2) Except as provided in Planning Code Subsection 1017(d), no application for a demolition permit in a Historic District may be approved until a Certificate of Appropriateness for the replacement structure has been approved by the Landmarks Board.

(c) Alterations to Contributory and Contributory/Altered buildings shall be compatible with the architectural and historic character of this Historic District. New construction shall be compatible with the character of the Historic District as described in the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board San Francisco Civic Center Historic District Case Report and its Appendix A and with the Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Said Case Report was adopted by the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board at its Regular Meeting of October 6, 1993 by Resolution No. 454 and was adopted and amended by the City Planning Commission at its Regular Meeting of July 7, 1994 by Resolution No. 13719 and is contained in Board of Supervisors File No. 115-94-10.

(d) Treatment of Stone Surfaces. Numerous structures in the Historic District exhibit stone, terra cotta, or brick exterior surfacing. Proposed treatment of said masonry surfaces with any acid wash, sandblasting, high pressure wash or other abrasive methods is discouraged as such abrasive treatments can severely damage historic masonry surfaces.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 10. CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICABILITY FOR ALTERATIONS TO EXCEPTIONALLY SIGNIFICANT INTERIOR PUBLIC SPACES.

Pursuant to Section 1004(c)(1) of the City Planning Code, proposed alterations to exceptionally significant interiors of the following publicly owned buildings shall require a Certificate of Appropriateness:

(a) San Francisco City Hall, 400 Van Ness Avenue (City Landmark No. 21 and a Contributory Building to the Historic District) shall comply with Sections 1006 and 1006.8(e) for any construction or alteration which requires a building permit for the following exceptionally significant interior public spaces which shall be designated and shall include: the Board of Supervisor's Chambers (Room C200); the Rotunda; and the Mayor's Office (Rooms D200, D205 and D209) including the Reception Room, inner corridors and offices and the Chief Administrator's Offices (C.A.O.'s) Offices (Room 289) which were previously designated under Ordinance No. 16-70, effective date, March 13, 1970.

(b) The Main Library, 200 Larkin Street, a Contributory Building to the Historic District. The following exceptionally significant interior public spaces shall be designated: the Monumental Grand Staircase (Room S101), the Main Entrance Hall and Vestibule, (Rooms 101 and 191); the Monumental Public
Corridors and Balcony Spaces including the Gottardo Piazzone Murals in Public Corridor 290 (Rooms 190, 192, 193, 290 and 291A); and the Main Program Spaces (Rooms 200, 201, 202, 203, 210 and 218).

(c) The Public Health Department, 101 Grove Street, a Contributory Building to the Historic District. The following exceptionally significant interior public spaces shall be designated: the Main Entry and Elevator Lobby; the Marble Lined Corridors (All Floors) and the Third Floor Board Meeting Room/Auditorium.

(d) No other sites, buildings, structures and objects have exceptionally significant interior public spaces and would be subject to this Section.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

SEC. 11. SIGNIFICANCE OF INDIVIDUAL BUILDINGS TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT.

The history of each parcel within the Historic District is documented in Appendix A: Survey of Parcels, and is included in the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District Case Report as readopted by the Landmarks Board on October 6, 1993 by Resolution No. 454 and as amended and readopted by the City Planning Commission on July 7, 1994 by Resolution No. 13719 and is located in Board of Supervisors File No. 115-94-10.

Each building is assigned a finding from the three following categories:

1. Contributory. This category identifies buildings which date from the Historic District's period of significance (1906 to 1936) which reflect a Beaux Arts style and which retain their historic and architectural integrity. These structures are of the highest importance in maintaining the character of the Historic District. Recognizing the unique character of this Historic District which is derived from its expression of an historic plan, some structures within the Historic District may date from the Historic District's period of significance but do not contribute to the intended original plan in their architecture, detailing, height or scale. Such buildings are designated noncontributory and may be considered for replacement with structures designed in a monumental style and manner which would complete the San Francisco Civic Center Plan as originally conceived.

The following buildings are deemed Contributory to the Historic District: Newton Tharp Commercial High School, 170 Fell Street, Lot 1 within Assessor's Block 815; (a portion of Landmark No. 140), Federal Building, 50 Fulton Street, (50 United Nations Plaza) Lot 35 within Assessor's Block 351; Exposition Auditorium, 99 Grove Street, Assessor's Block 812; Department of Public Health, 101 Grove Street/50 Ivy/Lech Walesa Street, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 811; San Francisco Public Library, 200 Larkin Street, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 353; Orpheum Theater Building, 1182 - 92 Market Street, Lot 22 in Assessor's Block 351; 1212 Market Street, Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 355; 1240 - 1242 Market Street, Lot 6 in Assessor's Block 355; Hotel Avalon, 1272 - 1276 Market Street, Lot 9 in Assessor's Block 355; 1278 - 1298 Market Street, Lot 10 in Assessor's Block 355; Methodist Book Concern, 83 McAllister Street, Lot 32 in Assessor's Block 351; Old State Office Building, 50 McAllister Street, Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 765; Barbara Apartments, 580 McAllister Street, Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 767; 1 United Nations Plaza (35 - 57 Fulton Street); Lot 37 in Assessor's Block 351; the High School of Commerce, 135 Van Ness Avenue, (a portion of Landmark No. 140), Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 815; War Memorial Opera House, 301 Van Ness Avenue (a portion of Landmark No. 84), Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 786; San Francisco City Hall, 400 Van Ness Avenue (Landmark No. 21), Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 787; War Memorial Veteran's Building, 401 Van Ness Avenue (a portion of Landmark No. 84), Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 786; and, the Corinthian Court Apartments, 500 - 524 Van Ness Avenue, Lot 6 in Assessor's Block 766.

2. Contributory/Altered. This category identifies buildings which date from the Historic District's period of significance and have had alterations as detailed on page 22 of the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District Case Report located in Board of Supervisors File No. 115-94-10. Appropriate restoration of such buildings is encouraged, though in certain situations (see No. 1 above) their demolition and replacement
may be more appropriate in order to achieve completion of the original San Francisco Civic Center plan. Such replacement should adhere to any Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission.

The following buildings shall be deemed Contributory/Altered within the Historic District: Marye Building, 1200-1208 Market Street, Lot 15 in Assessor's Block 355; 1220-1232 Market Street (29 Grove Street), Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 355; 1236 Market Street (37 - 39 Grove Street), Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 355; the Wells Fargo Building, 1256 - 1264 Market Street, Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 355. The following site shall also be deemed Contributory/Altered within the Historic District: Civic Center Plaza, being all of Block 788.

3. Noncontributory. This category identifies buildings which post-date the Historic District's period of significance or have had their integrity compromised by inappropriate alterations as detailed on page 21 of the San Francisco Civic Center Historic District Case Report. Demolition permit applications for these buildings will be processed without reference to the suspension provisions of Article 10. Alterations to Noncontributory buildings will require Certificate of Appropriateness if determined to be a major alteration in order to minimize conflicts with the historic character of the Historic District. Replacement buildings should adhere to Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission.

The remaining buildings shall also be deemed to be Noncontributory within the Historic District: California State Courts Building, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Lot 3 within Assessor's Block 765; vacant lot, 41 - 47 Grove Street, Lot 12 in Assessor's Block 355; vacant lot, southeast corner of Grove Street at Larkin Street, Lot 11 in Assessor's Block 355; vacant lot, 165 Grove Street, Lot 21 in Assessor's Block 811; Library Annex, 45 Hyde Street, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 353; the New Main Library, 100 Larkin Street, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 354; 1170 Market Street, Lot 51 in Assessor's Block 351; 1220 - 1232 Market Street (29 Grove Street), Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 355; 1236 Market Street (37 - 39 Grove Street), Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 355; 1244-1254 Market Street, Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 355; 77 - 79 McAllister Street, Lot 33 in Assessor's Block 351; 456 McAllister Street, Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 766; 460 McAllister Street, Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 766; vacant lot, 401 Polk Street, Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 766; 10 United Nations Plaza, Lot 50 in Assessor's Block 351; Louise M. Davies Symphony Hall, 201 Van Ness Avenue, Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 810; 234 Van Ness Avenue, Lot 18 in Assessor's Block 811; 240 Van Ness Avenue, Lot 19 in Assessor's Block 811 and the Edmund G. Brown State Office Building, 501 Van Ness Avenue, Assessor's Block 767.

The Board of Supervisors, through the adoption of this ordinance, shall deem 450 McAllister Street, Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 766, the Civic Center Powerhouse, 320 Larkin Street (298 McAllister Street) Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 347, the San Francisco Art Commission Gallery, 155 Grove Street, Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 811 and the Church of Christ Building, 171-195 Grove Street, Lot 20 in Assessor's Block 811 as Noncontributory buildings. Any replacement building should adhere to Civic Center Urban Design Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

**SEC. 12. CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR CITY HALL ALTERATIONS.**

Section 1006.8(e) of the City Planning Code describes the process for review of Certificate of Appropriateness applications proposing alterations to City Hall, Landmark No. 21. Nothing in this legislation shall be construed to amend said Section 1006.8(e). Said process shall serve to meet the Certificate of Appropriateness requirement for City Hall by its inclusion in this Historic District.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)

**SEC. 13. PAINT COLOR.**
Nothing in this legislation shall be construed to regulate paint colors within the Historic District. Painting of previously unpainted masonry and stone surfaces is discouraged.

(Added by Ord. 413-94, App. 12/23/94)