

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Durandet, Kimberly \(CPC\)](#); [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Hearing -- 501 Crescent Ave  
**Date:** Thursday, March 04, 2021 9:13:20 AM

---

**Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** lamparas@aol.com <lamparas@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 4:08 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Public Hearing -- 501 Crescent Ave

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all people connected with 501 Crescent Avenue:

I am writing regarding the proposed plans for 501 Crescent.

The height of the proposed structure on that corner is inappropriate and disrespectful to the immediate neighborhood and to the nature of Bernal Heights.

San Francisco is so popular for its neighborhoods. This structure would wound Bernal dramatically.

If they would like to build such a structure, it needs to be built in a neighborhood that is more appropriate.

Thank you,

Preservation of the Neighborhood with Integrity

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ON IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE EDUCATOR VACCINATION PLAN  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 11:30:38 AM  
**Attachments:** [03.03.21 Educator Priority Vaccination Codes.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 at 11:11 AM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ON IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE EDUCATOR VACCINATION PLAN

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Wednesday, March 3, 2021  
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED ON IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE  
EDUCATOR VACCINATION PLAN**

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed today issued the following statement regarding San Francisco's implementation of the State's educator vaccination plan. The State is providing prioritization access codes to county Offices of Education throughout California to then be distributed through local school districts and private and parochial schools to educators to sign up for vaccinations. Since San Francisco does not have a County Office of Education, the City has been seeking clarity on how these codes would be received locally, and last night the City finalized the distribution plan with the State.

“Last night, we received our first vaccine priority access codes from the State for our educators who are in the classroom or who are heading back soon. We've distributed this first set of codes to the San Francisco Unified School District for distribution to public school educators and support staff, including charter schools, that are slated to return to the classroom first, and the San Francisco Department of Public Health is working directly with our parochial and private schools to make sure their teachers who are teaching in person have access. These codes can be used to schedule appointments at Moscone Center and other Bay Area sites now. While these access codes are meant to prioritize those teaching in person or those returning to the classroom soon by opening reserved appointments only, all educators can continue to have access to vaccination appointments as they have had since last week.

While we know that vaccines are not a requirement for our schools to reopen, we also know

that moving to vaccinate teachers is a priority and we are appreciative of Governor Newsom's support in helping us meet this goal. We still need a clear timeline from the District on reopening. All of our kids need to be back in the classroom safely as soon as possible, and that includes working to get them back in five days a week for full days as soon as possible. We've lost so much this year, and we have to do everything we can to get that done."

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED AND RIPPLE FOUNDER CHRIS LARSEN ANNOUNCE NEW NEIGHBORHOOD MERCHANT CORRIDOR FUNDING INITIATIVE: "AVENUE GREENLIGHT"  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 9:40:42 AM  
**Attachments:** [03.03.21 Avenue Greenlight.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 at 8:53 AM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED AND RIPPLE FOUNDER CHRIS LARSEN ANNOUNCE NEW NEIGHBORHOOD MERCHANT CORRIDOR FUNDING INITIATIVE: "AVENUE GREENLIGHT"

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**

Wednesday, March 3, 2021

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED AND RIPPLE FOUNDER CHRIS LARSEN ANNOUNCE NEW NEIGHBORHOOD MERCHANT CORRIDOR FUNDING INITIATIVE: "AVENUE GREENLIGHT"**

*With an initial \$1.7 million in private funding, locally focused grants will support merchant corridors in San Francisco through a variety of neighborhood cleaning, safety, and beautification projects*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed and Ripple Founder Chris Larsen today announced the launch of a new philanthropically-funded initiative to promote small business economic recovery and community vibrancy in San Francisco. The initiative, "Avenue Greenlight," will deploy privately donated dollars to San Francisco merchant associations to be used for projects to improve commercial corridors. Larsen, a San Francisco resident, business leader, philanthropist, and co-founder of locally-based blockchain payment system company Ripple Labs Inc., is contributing \$1.7 million to launch the effort in partnership with the San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations (SFCDMA) and other community stakeholders.

Over the coming months, all 34 of San Francisco's merchants associations will individually receive a \$50,000 grant of new resources allocated from Avenue Greenlight, starting with the Castro, Bayview, Chinatown, and Clement Street commercial corridors. Grants will total \$1.7

million and will be administered in a tiered format with three to four new merchant association grants released each subsequent month moving forward based on diversity, equity, and inclusion considerations.

“Now more than ever we need everyone to step up and support their neighbors,” said Mayor Breed. “Getting through this pandemic is going to take all of us working together, and Chris Larsen’s commitment to this city we all love serves as a great example for others to follow. Our small businesses are struggling and we are doing everything in our power to ensure that San Francisco comes out of this pandemic seven stronger than before. Supporting our commercial corridors with the programs funded by Avenue Greenlight, along with our ongoing City investments, will help keep small businesses intact and thriving.”

Avenue Greenlight is designed to respond to persistent challenges faced by commercial corridors, including the decline in business due to COVID-19 and the recent shift toward neighborhood-targeted property crimes. Avenue Greenlight complements the City’s ongoing efforts to invest in San Francisco neighborhoods and support commercial corridors. These efforts include San Francisco’s Invest in Neighborhoods Initiative, which seeks to strengthen small businesses, improve physical conditions, increase quality of life, and build community capacity. It also includes efforts like the City’s Shared Spaces program, which has created outdoor dining in neighborhoods all across the City, and which the Mayor has committed to extending elements of beyond the pandemic. The philanthropic model of Avenue Greenlight recognizes the need for collaboration across sectors in San Francisco to meet the needs of residents and recover as a city.

The impetus for Avenue Greenlight came from an acknowledgement on the part of Larsen that while San Francisco neighborhoods are composed differently, together they make up a truly special place to live and work, and that the success of the small business community is tied to the success of San Francisco as a whole. Small businesses are reeling as a result of compounding issues, many accelerated by the public health crisis. Further, many merchant corridors may not have the resources or ability to establish Community Benefit Districts.

The ultimate goal of Avenue Greenlight is to uplift local small businesses to help them adapt and recover from the current challenges. Direct investments like these \$50,000 grants with wraparound support will help catalyze new thinking for how residents envision their neighborhoods, and result in improved safety, cleanliness, and community vibrancy and resiliency.

“Our neighborhoods are not created equal and many suffer from systematic barriers and underrepresentation,” said Chris Larsen. “I believe San Francisco is poised for an exciting new chapter when we bounce back from this pandemic, and it is this city where people will want to be. If we come together, move from empathy to action, tangible impact can be made from a modest infusion of private resources put directly into the hands of those who need it and know how to use it, and we will get there.”

As Avenue Greenlight advances each month with new rounds of grantees, the SFCDMA will evaluate progress and fine-tune elements of the program to ensure its effectiveness. Avenue Greenlight will also provide an online platform for local engagement, to generate new neighborhood projects, tell the story of San Francisco neighborhoods, and enable residents to participate in shaping the fabric of their communities.

The San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations Community Fund will serve as the 501(c)3 administrative partner and fiscal agent for Avenue Greenlight. The SFCDMA will determine the prioritization of grant recipients, manage the initiative's day-to-day operations and direct communications with merchants and residents, and help guide each individual merchant association as they develop their local projects and create tailored spending plans for each corridor. They will also connect merchant associations to a range of City and nonprofit resource organizations throughout the city to support design and implementation.

“We applaud the commitment of prominent individuals like Chris Larsen to believe in our merchants, especially right now when they need it most,” said Maryo Mogannam, President of the San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations. “We stand ready to work alongside Mayor Breed and Chris Larsen to support our diverse neighborhoods, and we are excited to roll out critical new resources in an equitable and creative way that energizes merchants and delivers community vitality for local residents.”

Avenue Greenlight funds can be used for a range of projects, including a merchant association establishing a 501(c)3 to provide infrastructure; developing beautification and public open space projects, parklets, public art installations, lightning, greening, growing the urban canopy, banners and crosswalk painting, environmental design; creating access to business growth capital, emergency funding for vandalism; conducting cleanliness surveys, sidewalk cleaning services, modern litter receptacles; expanding SafeCity community camera program and public safety ambassadors; and providing a funding base for a merchant association to leverage additional member dues, marketing and promotion for shop local activations.

In addition to the Office of Economic and Workforce Development's long-standing programs to help businesses and Community Benefit Districts, the City has leveraged private funding to support businesses and neighborhoods throughout the city. In March 2020, Mayor Breed established City's Give2SF COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund, which has raised \$32.3 million and provided philanthropic funding for small businesses throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Over the last year, San Francisco has directed more than \$24 million in grants and loans to support more than 1,200 small businesses, and has developed a \$62 million plan to provide ongoing relief and recovery support to small businesses.

To learn more about Avenue Greenlight: [avenuegreenlight.com](https://avenuegreenlight.com)

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 2013.1535CUV-02 (450 O'Farrell ST Group Housing)  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 9:17:19 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** Central City Democrats <centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com>  
**Reply-To:** Central City Democrats <centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 at 8:11 PM  
**To:** "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Marcelle Boudreaux <marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** 2013.1535CUV-02 (450 O'Farrell ST Group Housing)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Subject: 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project**

March 2, 2021

Planning Commission Commissioners & Planning Staff:

Central City Democrats share this letter to San Francisco decision-makers about our problematic issues about Forge Development Partners' design details for their project at 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street.

Central City Democrats, our primary goal is to increase political participation in our central city neighborhoods since our residents and small businesses are often overlooked in the city planning process and public eye or considered mere "problems" to be solved. Central City Democrats is a chartered Democratic Club since 2006.

Central City Democrats have decided not to write a lengthy letter about all the

shortcuts and cost-saving measures the project sponsor has chosen. We want to ask the Planning Commission to direct Forge Development Partners to go back to the drawing board. And provide actual and needed middle-class family housing that meets this population's needs.

Central City Democrats and our community partners can not support housing at 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street until improvements and a proper community process occurs.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out to [centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com](mailto:centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com). Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Denise Dorey  
President

***Central City Democrats***

P.O. Box 420846

San Francisco, CA 94142-0846

415-339-VOTE (8683) v/Fax

[centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com](mailto:centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com)

**Bringing downtown merchants and residents together since 2006.**

<http://centralcitydemocrats.blogspot.com/>

<https://www.sfdemocrats.org/clubs>





**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comment in support of Case No. 2020-002333DRP, March 18 Planning Commission hearing  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 7:07:52 AM

---

**Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Molly Alarcon <mollyalarcon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 5:30 PM  
**To:** Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** dane@studiobanaa.com; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Comment in support of Case No. 2020-002333DRP, March 18 Planning Commission hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commission,

I live at 2202 Divisadero Street, around the corner from 2814 Clay Street, where this project is proposed. I write in support of the proposed rear additions and roof deck described in project #2020-002333DRP. To my knowledge I do not know the owners or the architects/contractors. The Planning Commission should approve this project. I cannot imagine a way it would impact my life. Ok--let's make sure the workers don't double park in the Clay Street slow street. But other than that, truly no impact.

I personally feel that my input should not be solicited for a project like this. I support CEQA reform and other reforms to make it easier to build or expand the housing supply in San Francisco.

Thank you for your time,

Molly Alarcon  
D2 resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Petition Signers Supporting 0 Guttenberg  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 03, 2021 7:06:25 AM  
**Attachments:** [Guttenberg Petition Signers 3.2.2021.xlsx](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Corey Smith <corey@sfhac.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 12:25 PM  
**To:** Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Laura Clark <laura@yimbyaction.org>; Todd David <todd@sfhac.org>; Pantoja, Gabriela (CPC) <gabriela.pantoja@sfgov.org>; M. Brett Gladstone <BGladstone@g3mh.com>  
**Subject:** Petition Signers Supporting 0 Guttenberg

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the San Francisco Planning Commission,

On behalf of the Housing Action Coalition and SF YIMBY, please see the attached list of 32 people who signed the [petition](#) supporting the housing proposal at 0 Guttenberg. We also have a link [here](#) to the Housing Action Coalition's Report Card.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully,  
Corey Smith  
Deputy Director, HAC

--

**Corey Smith** 陈锐 | Pronouns: He/Him  
Deputy Director | Housing Action Coalition  
95 Brady Street, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Office: (415) 541-9001 | Cell: (925) 360-5290



Email: [corey@sfhac.org](mailto:corey@sfhac.org) | Web: [sfhac.org](http://sfhac.org)

To opt out of all HAC emails, respond to this email with "unsubscribe all".

**From:** [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Please send this letter to the commissioners for the March 4th hearing  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 6:18:12 PM  
**Attachments:** [ErnestoValencia\\_249\\_Texas\\_PlanningComission.docx](#)

---

Commissioners,  
Please see attached letter.

**Thank you,**  
**Chanbory Son, Executive Secretary**  
**Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7346 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Westhoff, Alex (CPC) <[alex.westhoff@sfgov.org](mailto:alex.westhoff@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 2:13 PM  
**To:** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) <[josephine.feliciano@sfgov.org](mailto:josephine.feliciano@sfgov.org)>; Son, Chanbory (CPC) <[chanbory.son@sfgov.org](mailto:chanbory.son@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** FW: Please send this letter to the commissioners for the March 4th hearing

Hello,

Please forward to the Planning Commissioners.

Thank you,

Alex

---

**From:** Valencia, Ernesto <[Ernesto.Valencia@ucsf.edu](mailto:Ernesto.Valencia@ucsf.edu)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:40 PM  
**To:** Westhoff, Alex (CPC) <[alex.westhoff@sfgov.org](mailto:alex.westhoff@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Please send this letter to the commissioners for the March 4th hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,  
I write this letter with a broken heart.

*Regards,*

***Ernesto Valencia***

Administrative Assistant

Microbiology and Immunology

513 Parnassus, HSW 1542, Box 0552

San Francisco, CA 94131

Tel: 415-506-9913

Fax: 415-476-6185

[Ernesto.Valencia@ucsf.edu](mailto:Ernesto.Valencia@ucsf.edu)

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Ajello Hoagland, Linda \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 4742 Mission Street - Exhibits H and I - 3/4/21 PC Hearing  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 3:04:16 PM  
**Attachments:** [Exhibits H\\_I - 4742 Mission Street.pdf](#)

---

See below:

**Jonas P Ionin**

**Director of Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Ajello Hoagland, Linda (CPC)" <linda.ajellohoagland@sfgov.org>

**Date:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 at 12:00 PM

**To:** "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY  
<CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** 4742 Mission Street - Exhibits H and I - 3/4/21 PC Hearing

[Exhibits H\\_I - 4742 Mission Street.pdf](#)

Hi Jonas and Chan,

Attached are the two exhibits that I was not able to put in the packet for 4742 Mission Street when it was published. Can you please forward them to the Commissioners?

Please let me know if this is not the correct way to handle this.

Regards,  
Linda

**Linda Ajello Hoagland, AICP Senior Planner  
Northeast Team, Current Planning Division**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7320 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE, OUR OFFICE WILL BE CLOSED WITH NO ACCESS TO PHONES OR E-MAIL ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 13 and FRIDAY, AUGUST 14. WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.**

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).





**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* SAN FRANCISCO REOPENS SOME BUSINESSES AND ACTIVITIES AS IT MOVES INTO THE STATE'S RED TIER  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 11:38:53 AM  
**Attachments:** [03.02.21 COVID-19 Update Red Tier.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 at 11:34 AM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* SAN FRANCISCO REOPENS SOME BUSINESSES AND ACTIVITIES AS IT MOVES INTO THE STATE'S RED TIER

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**

Tuesday, March 2, 2021  
Contact: San Francisco Joint Information Center, [dempres@sfgov.org](mailto:dempres@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**SAN FRANCISCO REOPENS SOME BUSINESSES AND  
ACTIVITIES AS IT MOVES INTO THE STATE'S RED TIER**

*Beginning Wednesday, March 3, San Francisco will open up activities such as indoor dining, indoor fitness, and indoor museums and aquariums in accordance with State and with additional local limits*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed and Director of Health Dr. Grant Colfax today announced that San Francisco will resume most businesses and activities that are allowed by the State, following the City's assignment by the State to the Red Tier based on COVID-19 case and hospitalization rates. With some exceptions, San Francisco's reopening will align with what is permitted by the State. New and expanded businesses and activities can reopen starting Wednesday, March 3 at 8:00am, as long as they comply with required safety protocols.

As of today, March 2, San Francisco has met the criteria based on its COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, and other health metrics, to advance to the less restrictive red tier on the State's [Blueprint for a Safer Economy](#). This action allows San Francisco to reopen and expand some indoor activities such as dining, museums, movie theaters, and fitness on a limited basis. It also reopens additional outdoor recreation options such as Ferris wheels, carousels and amusement park style train rides; increases the types of outdoor sports that both adults and youth may pursue; and expands outdoor out of school time programs to groups of 25 children. At this time, indoor retail will generally remain capped at 25% capacity and small indoor gatherings with other households are restricted.

“Thanks to everyone in our City acting responsibly and doing their part, we can take another step towards reopening and beginning our recovery,” said Mayor Breed. “This year has been incredibly hard on our residents and small businesses, so every step forward is critical to making sure they can survive this pandemic. To make sure we can keep moving forward, we all need to stay focused and continue to follow the health guidance. We are making good progress managing the virus and ramping up vaccinations, and I’m hopeful for what lies ahead. We have shown that San Francisco can do what needs to be done to protect our city and each other.”

With some exceptions, San Francisco is reopening in alignment with the activities allowed by the State. Additionally, the City is opening remaining activities allowed in the purple tier that had not yet reopened, such as personal services that require mask removal, and groups of up to six people from three households eating together outdoors. Additionally, San Francisco will lift its local nighttime hours limit for all activities except indoor dining, meaning that non-essential businesses and gatherings, such as outdoor dining, can continue past 10:00pm.

With the move into the red tier, middle schools and high schools that had not yet opened can resume opening with a COVID-19 safety plan approved by the San Francisco Health Officer. All other schools can already be open with an approved safety plan. As they have been able to do since September, all schools may provide in-person instruction and services to youth with disabilities, foster children, English learners, children experiencing homelessness, and children from families experiencing housing or food insecurity among others, without Health Officer approval.

Based on San Francisco’s health indicators and its health equity metric, San Francisco meets the State’s criteria for advancing to the Red Tier. San Francisco’s new COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations have decreased steadily since the holiday surge that peaked in early January. At this time, San Francisco is averaging 67 new cases a day, which is comparable to where the City was in mid-November before the surge.

Although San Francisco’s new cases and hospitalization have been trending in a positive direction, the presence of the U.K. (B.1.1.7), West Coast (CAL.20c) and the South African (B.1.351) variants in the Bay Area represent a potential increased risk of contagiousness and greater community spread. Continued adherence to public health prevention measures such as wearing masks, washing hands and physical distancing can limit the impact of variants, particularly as indoor activities begin to open.

Further supporting San Francisco’s reopening is the City’s ongoing vaccination efforts. At this time, more than 20% of San Francisco’s population has received the first dose of vaccine, as have almost 65% of the City’s residents over 65. Last week, the City moved to Phase 1B of the State’s vaccine prioritization plan, allowing workers in emergency services, education and childcare, and food and agriculture related occupations to begin making appointments to receive vaccinations. COVID-19 vaccine supply remains limited, but with significant steps towards vaccinating the most at risk and the most vulnerable to exposure underway, San Francisco hopes to continue the momentum towards reducing the threat of the virus.

“I am so proud of San Francisco. Nearly a year after our shelter in place order, thanks to our collective actions and commitment to following the health guidelines, we have come through our worst surge since the beginning of the pandemic,” stated Dr. Grant Colfax. “We know

how to slow the spread and save lives. As we continue to gradually reopen we need to be aware of the risks and to stay vigilant, especially while vaccines remain limited and the growing presence of more contagious variants pose an increased risk of greater community spread. We encourage everyone to take the opportunity to get vaccinated when and wherever it is offered. Until it's your turn, practice physical distancing, avoid indoor gatherings with people outside your household and wear your mask over your nose and mouth. Together, we can continue to move forward safely.”

San Francisco continues to approach reopening with a lens of balancing the public health harms of COVID-19 transmission with the public health harms of economic stress and isolation. San Francisco is updating its health order to come into near alignment with the State, although there remain some areas of departure where it is important to minimize the risk to public health. Although San Francisco is reopening some of the indoor activities allowed in the red tier, indoor activities, particularly those that require mask removal remain significantly more risky than outdoor activities. Because of this, concessions in indoor theaters remain closed at this time. Similarly, although indoor dining is opening, it is limited to members of one household and up to four people per table and indoor service must stop by 10:00pm.

People at risk for severe illness with COVID-19, such as unvaccinated older adults and individuals with health risks, and members of their household are urged to defer participating at this time in activities with other people outside their household where taking protective measures of wearing face masks and social distancing may be difficult, especially indoors or in crowded spaces.

“We are encouraged by the partnership and participation in risk mitigating behavior that San Francisco businesses and patrons have demonstrated, which is what allows the City to take this next necessary step forward. Despite certain unknowns, we are doing this based on the need to sustain our businesses and workers,” said Anne Taupier, Acting Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development “After a year of restricted interactions and depressed business operations and the consequences those have wrought, we must try to move forward. We can support our local businesses *and* practice preventative health behaviors. We know how to minimize the spread of this virus without requiring our businesses to close and it is up to all of us to do so.”

As of today, the San Francisco Department of Public Health will issue [final health and safety guidelines](#) to reopen activities allowed under the red tier of the State's Blueprint for a Safer Economy, effective as of 8:00am Wednesday, March 3, 2021. The City will post the revised Health Order with detailed requirements to its [webpage](#) by the end of the day today March 2, 2021. Under the new Health Order, the following activities will be opened or expanded.

### **Activities to Resume Wednesday, March 3, 2021**

The following activities may be reopened:

- Indoor dining and food courts
  - Indoor dining at restaurants, bars serving meals, cafes and coffee shops, hotels, museums, and food courts in shopping malls may open at up to the lesser of 25% maximum occupancy or 100 people.
  - San Francisco will limit indoor dining tables to members of one household up to a maximum of four people and require indoor service to end by 10:00pm.
- Indoor and outdoor personal services

Personal services that require mask removal can take place outdoors and the service provider wears an N95 or other well-fitted mask.

- Personal services that require mask removal can occur indoors if the service is provided at least 6 feet away from others and preferably in a separate room and the service provider wears an N95 or other well-fitted mask.

- Indoor fitness

- Gyms and climbing walls may reopen indoors at up to 10% capacity.
- Gentle indoor fitness classes such as stretching, yoga and meditation may operate within indoor fitness guidelines.
- Indoor locker rooms and showers remain closed at this time. Indoor saunas, steam rooms and hot tubs remain closed per State rules.

- Indoor museums, zoos, and aquariums

- Indoor museums, zoos and aquariums can open at up to 25% capacity with an approved safety plan.

- Indoor funerals

- Funerals may take place indoors up to up to 25% capacity.
- Simultaneous indoor and outdoor services may not take place.

- Indoor political demonstrations

- Political demonstrations may take place indoors up to 25% of maximum capacity.

- Schools

- Middle schools and high schools that had not yet reopened may resume reopening for in-person instruction with a COVID-19 Safety Plan approved by the Health Officer. Elementary schools may continue to reopen, as has been the case.

- Outdoor stand-alone amusement rides

- Outdoor stand-alone amusement rides like Ferris wheels, carousels, and train rides will open. Only one household can inhabit each separate space, such as a Ferris wheel cabin or train car.

- Indoor movie theaters

- Indoor movie theaters may open at up to the lesser of 25% or 100 people capacity, but without food or beverage concessions.
- If there are multiple auditoriums, each auditorium is limited to the lesser of 25% or 100 people provided the complex as a whole does not exceed 25% capacity.

- Indoor pools

- Indoor swimming pools may open up to 25% capacity but only for basic swimming and drowning-prevention classes for children.
- Outdoor pools remain open for broader uses.

The following activities may expand their operating capacity:

- Outdoor gatherings

- Small outdoor gatherings of up to 12 people from three households can continue
- Outdoor gatherings that involve food and drink may expand to up to six people from three households.

- Outdoor dining

- Outdoor dining will expand from members of two households up to six people, to members of three households up to six people per table, and will remove the requirement that service end by 10:00 pm.
- Additionally, for those businesses that had constructed barriers between tables in lieu of distancing before December 6, those barriers can remain. New barriers intended to replace the required 6 feet of distancing may not be constructed.

### Hotels and other lodging facilities

- Hotels and lodging facilities can open dining and fitness facilities in accordance with guidelines.
- Though San Francisco's travel quarantine for travelers from outside the Bay Area has lifted, the State's travel advisory requiring that non-essential travelers from out of state or beyond 120 miles quarantine for 10 days remains.
- Drive-in venues
  - Live entertainment with up to six performers can open in a drive in context of up to 100 cars, with one household per car.
  - In-person ordering or pick up of concessions may open if in a designated area with customer metering and eating or drinking in vehicles only.
- Real estate
  - Real estate showings must occur virtually or, if a virtual viewing is not feasible, by appointment without limits to the number of people viewing or showing the property.
  - Open houses are not permitted at this time.
- Outdoor youth programs and out of school time programs
  - Out of school time programs for school-aged children and youth such as Community Hubs, youth sports, and afterschool programs, may increase outdoor cohorts to 25 children or youth.
  - Youth may only participate in one program at a time.
- Higher education and adult education
  - In-person classes at institutes of higher education, vocational education and adult education can take place outdoors up to 25 students.
  - If specialized equipment is required, classes can take place indoors at 25% capacity or if for a core essential service, without a capacity limit as long as 6 foot physical distancing can be maintained.
  - No indoor lecture classes may take place.
- Outdoor recreation
  - Doubles tennis and doubles pickleball can resume with members of up to four households.
  - Up to 12 people from three households may pursue outdoor low, moderate, or high contact sports.
  - If part of a supervised youth or adult league or club, outdoor moderate and high contact sports such as softball, field hockey, and gymnastics, as well as football, basketball, and soccer may resume for stable groups of up to 25 per team, following DPH safety precautions.
  - Competitions may only occur in county or with teams from adjacent counties (i.e., Marin, San Mateo, and Alameda) in an equal or less restrictive tier. Consistent with State guidelines, travel for out of state tournaments may not take place.

San Francisco's reopening updates will be available online tomorrow, March 3 at [SF.gov/reopening](https://www.sfdph.org/reopening).

Public health officials will regularly assess the [Key Public Health Indicators](#), particularly new positive case counts and hospitalizations, to ensure San Francisco has the necessary resources available for those who contract COVID-19. Particularly in light of the variants within the community, public health officials may implement a phased or lagged approach to reopening subsequent tiers in order to ensure enough time to understand how health indicators have responded to the reopening of activities in the current tier and ensure that San Francisco

continues to manage its risk and to protect public health. While San Francisco recognizes and is attempting to align with the State's framework, the City will continue on a reopening path based on its local health indicators and the unique challenges and successes of its local reopening.

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 2013.1535CUV-02, 450 O'Farrell Street Group Housing  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 8:46:24 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** Michael Nulty <sf\_district6@yahoo.com>  
**Reply-To:** Michael Nulty <sf\_district6@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** Monday, March 1, 2021 at 4:06 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>, Marcelle Boudreaux <marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** 2013.1535CUV-02, 450 O'Farrell Street Group Housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project Public Response

March 1, 2021

Planning Commission Members/Commission Staff:

On behalf of Alliance for a Better District 6 (ABD6), we write to express grave concerns about the proposed project at 450 O'Farrell St.

Since 1999, ABD6 has served as a planning and land use entity within the central city neighborhoods. Our membership includes a cross-section of working-class, very low-income residents, seniors, disabled, and families. We try to balance their current and future needs. We work with stakeholders, decision-makers, and elected officials to raise public awareness on issues that impact their quality of life.

This development proposes revising housing from 176 residential dwelling units to 302 group housing units.

Concerns are:

-Project Sponsor, Forge Development Partners, started notifying community members on December 9, 2020, about a scheduled Planning Commission hearing on January 7, 2021. Being around the holidays and little community outreach to various community stakeholders, the project sponsor failed its due diligence.

-This project does not address the potential racial and social inequities that it may create. The project sponsor has failed to study which of these potential inequities and detailed mitigation processes.

-This project design, as proposed, is problematic in terms of the number and size of units combined with the expectation of occupants per unit. Overcrowding will occur, and minimum standards for quality family housing miss the needs of middle-class tenants.

-Project sponsor is designing the project for middle-income working-class residents (such as nurses, teachers, firefighters, government and construction workers), removing all previously approved 49 parking spaces for residents, providing six dedicated church use parking. Middle-income with an average annual transportation cost of \$13,375 with lack of parking options impacts future residents, exasperating nearby parking for existing residents and merchants.

-This project forces its residents and their visitors to use bicycles, cars, for-hire vehicles, or public transportation. Our concern is the increased usage of nearby public transportation bus stops that will become overcrowded impacting current residents, including the seniors and disabled population.

-This project fails to meet current standards for inclusionary housing-only 13%of the units will be permanently affordable.

-The residential units are not proposed to include cooking facilities. The project sponsor submits to include the following:

- Counter-top & Sink
- Under counter fridge
- Microwave
- Dishwasher
- Storage

The purpose of these features is to allow tenants to receive food delivery or take out food, store, and reheat leftovers. Unacceptable for Middle-income families.

-Over 50% of ground floor usage is dedicated to exclusive church-use of up to 250 members. In contrast, anywhere between 604 to 1,208 residents will occupy the housing. That is not equitable.

-Bicycle parking is located in the basement, which is unacceptable for proper accessibility.

-Project reduces open space from 8,256 Square Feet to 4,900 Square Feet. Not acceptable for a maximum of 604 children.

-San Francisco's current rental vacancy rate for the approximately 3,000 property owners of the San Francisco Apartment Association is 27 percent.

Gentrification concerns:

-Gentrifiers are young. And as they displace families, gentrifiers aren't as likely to get involved with school-related issues.

-They take advantage of options. Because of their higher incomes, even those gentrifiers with children are more likely to take advantage of school choice, private schools, and charter schools. Which sometimes draws away higher-performing students from schools in the neighborhood, leaving lower-income and struggling students in public schools.



## An Increase in Police

-White people will call the cops on your ass in a minute. As our community turns whiter, we notice a larger police presence. That's because white people's interactions with cops tend to mean they are safer, while black people's interactions generally mean they will be less safe.

-They call it "order maintenance." It's when police patrol white neighborhoods heavily to keep the peace. They stop "black" suspicious people on their "gut feeling" when the perceived perpetrator looks like he or she doesn't belong. They respond to calls quicker. In San Francisco, police patrol more in the city's gentrified areas, which have also seen a rise in cases of police brutality. They have also seen a rise in people calling 311 (the non-emergency police number) to report people in their neighborhoods for noise violations and minor infractions.

## Conclusion

The project sponsor is catering to the Fifth Church of Christ Scientist's needs at the expense of the future middle-class residents by failing to provide adequate amenities for their families. Impacts on the surrounding community have not been mitigated. A redesign is required to get proper community support.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to reach out at [sf\\_district6@yahoo.com](mailto:sf_district6@yahoo.com). Thank you for your consideration.

Susan Bryan  
Executive Committee Members

## ***Alliance for a Better District 6***

P.O. Box 420782  
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782  
(415) 339-8779  
<http://abd6.cfsites.org/>

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**To:** [Taylor, Michelle \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to wheel staying in GGPark  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 8:45:37 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** Lindsey Crittenden <lindseycrittenden@gmail.com>

**Date:** Monday, March 1, 2021 at 4:23 PM

**To:** "Hyland, Aaron (CPC)" <aaron.hyland@sfgov.org>, "Matsuda, Diane (CPC)" <diane.matsuda@sfgov.org>, "kate.black@sfgov.org" <kate.black@sfgov.org>, Chris Foley <chris.foley@sfgov.org>, "Johns, Richard (CPC)" <richard.se.johns@sfgov.org>, "Pearlman, Jonathan (CPC)" <jonathan.pearlman@sfgov.org>, "So, Lydia (CPC)" <lydia.so@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Opposition to wheel staying in GGPark

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

I am opposed to the Wheel staying in Golden Gate Park, because of the Park's primary use as a place of retreat and relaxation in relatively protected nature. The Wheel adds a carnival atmosphere - not to mention additional cars and people - that detract from our wonderful GGPark as a sanctuary.

One more year – with no further extensions – is the maximum that the Wheel should remain in the park. In addition, the generator and all the lights must shut off at sunset.

Please remove this Wheel permanently from the Music Concourse and Golden Gate Park.

Lindsey Crittenden

1414 Jackson Street

San Francisco, CA 94109



**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**To:** [Boudreaux, Marcelle \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Requesting the redesign of 450 O'Farrell Street Project  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 8:45:19 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** 86 Dwellers <86dwellers@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Monday, March 1, 2021 at 4:32 PM  
**To:** "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Requesting the redesign of 450 O'Farrell Street Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: 2013.1535CUV-02

Subject: 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project

Public Comment

March 1, 2021

Planning Commission Members/Commission Staff:

We are writing this letter on behalf of 86 Dwellers, a community group formed to seek equitable housing solutions and communicate with our members about San

Francisco's issues and concerns.

Today, 86 Dwellers has examined the proposed project at 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street. 86 Dwellers is alarmed about the significant impacts this project will cause to both the project's future residents and the surrounding neighborhood.

It places 302 group housing rooms that target middle-class working families with: no cooking facilities in their rooms, no bedrooms, with bathrooms placed in the middle of the unit instead of being against a common wall, which creates small hallways taking away the much-needed floor space.

The project sponsor offers no onsite parking for middle-class families and their guests, which causes a burden on the surrounding neighborhood to supply alternative parking.

The 13-story building will cause additional wind tunnel effects throughout the area, and the extra height will place shadows or block of views from neighboring building windows.

Placing bicycle parking in the basement of the development creates additional hazards in the elevators.

We could mention more about the design of these three historical lots merged into one lot. Instead, we request the developer to redesign this project that respects its future residents and the nearby neighborhood.

86 Dweller organizing committee members

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 249 Texas St - lightwell photos of 251 Texas adjacent property  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 8:41:39 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)" <josephine.feliciano@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 at 8:22 AM  
**Cc:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>, "Westhoff, Alex (CPC)" <alex.westhoff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: 249 Texas St - lightwell photos of 251 Texas adjacent property

Commissioners,

Please see the email below and attachments.

**Josephine O. Feliciano**  
**Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7343 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Westhoff, Alex (CPC) <alex.westhoff@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2021 5:57 PM  
**To:** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) <josephine.feliciano@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: 249 Texas St - lightwell photos of 251 Texas adjacent property

Josephine,

Please see below request to pass along these comments to the Planning Commission on 249 Texas (2020-003223CUA) which will be heard by the Commission on Thursday of this week. Thank you.

Regards,

Alex

---

**From:** [krobertsblock@aol.com](mailto:krobertsblock@aol.com) <[krobertsblock@aol.com](mailto:krobertsblock@aol.com)>

**Sent:** Saturday, February 27, 2021 8:36 PM

**To:** Westhoff, Alex (CPC) <[alex.westhoff@sfgov.org](mailto:alex.westhoff@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** 249 Texas St - lightwell photos of 251 Texas adjacent property

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Alex.

As you know, I'm seriously concerned about the light well. Giving me a somewhat mirrored light-well that starts 5ft higher than my light well right at the bottom of my lower apartment's window sill, will not mitigate my concerns (of loss of light, air, and sky). I've attached some photos of the windows in my lightwell from the interior of my apartments to show you what my tenants see from their perspectives. The natural light, airiness, and sky comes from the east and the west, which will be entirely obstructed by the proposed building. The proposed building is as tall and wide as my building. The entire side of my building facing east to west will be abutted. With the exception of their mirrored light-well, their building will entirely envelope my light-well, and at the vantage point of my tenants, facing east and west, all they would see is a wall extending all the way up to the height of my building. The critical indirect light will be eliminated. My tenants won't see the sky beyond, and they won't feel the air coming from the sides anymore because of the high wall in front of them preventing circulation.

The sponsor provided a shade study in the executive summary for the planning commission. However, this study is meaningless because the majority of my building's natural light has always come from indirect light coming from the east and west.

I want the commissioners to see these photos. Is it possible for you to include them so they can reference them? At the meeting on Thursday, how long can I speak? It seems like there isn't enough time to comment on such an important and drastic change to the living environment of my tenants. Will you pass this information along to them? I've already submitted my comments, but I want them to understand the drastic change the Sponsor is proposing. I have never seen the shade study until yesterday, when I went to the SF Planning website and found it in the executive summary for March

4th. This information is complex and I don't see how we are supposed to understand all of this in just a few days, when we have to work.-

Thanks!

Kathy Roberts-Block



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments for #2018-001088 CUA Hearing for 4211 26th Street March 18, 2021  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 02, 2021 8:33:23 AM  
**Attachments:** [image0.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** SchuT <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2021 9:06 PM  
**To:** Pantoja, Gabriela (CPC) <gabriela.pantoja@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Comments for #2018-001088 CUA Hearing for 4211 26th Street March 18, 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners and Ms.Pantoja:

I want to add to my written comments first submitted in 2020 for this project when it was originally scheduled to be heard by the Planning Commission.

Here below is a photo of the site as it was in June of last year. There is a great deal of natural growth and soil on the majority of the lot that will be obliterated with the new Building. This is a full lot excavation. The loss will greatly diminish the site's ability to capture carbon.

The new building is very big. It has a massive presence on the block face, at the very bottom of this steep hill and seems out of character with the surrounding homes, many that are setback further on their lots.

Also the large windows on the rear and the roof deck will be very unpleasant to the immediately adjacent home to the west and invade that home's privacy. *Is the roof deck Necessary and Desirable? Is it needed to meet the open space requirement of the Planning Code?*

Further, I want to comment on the ADU.

Below the photo of the site is the floor plan of Level 2.

The ADU could be expanded into the rear of this level (rather than being a part of the main larger unit) of the proposed project to make a larger unit which might better align with the Commission's

policies and previous approvals of ADUs or second units.

Conversely however, the ADU could be absorbed into the main unit by linking the closet in Bedroom #1 with the niche in the nested Bedroom of the ADU, creating a hallway which would counter the Commission's policies and the intent of this project.

Approved use is sometimes hard to enforce.....at least the appliances in the ADU kitchen should be Conditioned to be a full size cooktop w/ a real oven (not just a microwave) and a full-sized refrigerator (not merely a wine refrigerator or a mini-bar type fridge).

Thank you.

Georgia Schuttish



---

***Dear Gabriela,  
I hope all is well. Take good care and be well and safe.  
Georgia***

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Taylor, Michelle \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ALERT: Public comment opposing the four-year extension of the Ferris wheel  
**Date:** Monday, March 01, 2021 4:19:20 PM

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** CNPS Yerba Buena <yerba.buena.cnps.chapter@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2021 3:15 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Hyland, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.hyland@sfgov.org>; Matsuda, Diane (CPC) <diane.matsuda@sfgov.org>; Black, Kate (CPC) <kate.black@sfgov.org>; Foley, Chris (CPC) <chris.foley@sfgov.org>; Johns, Richard (CPC) <richard.se.johns@sfgov.org>; Pearlman, Jonathan (CPC) <jonathan.pearlman@sfgov.org>; So, Lydia (CPC) <lydia.so@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** ALERT: Public comment opposing the four-year extension of the Ferris wheel

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

page1image35398800



San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission c/o San Francisco Planning  
Department  
49 South Van Ness Ave, Suite 1400,  
San Francisco, CA 94103 [commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)

**Re: Item 8, 2019-022126COA-04 Golden Gate Park Music Concourse – Observation Wheel Certificate of Appropriateness**

Dear Commissioners:

The Yerba Buena Chapter of the California Native Plant Society is pleased to submit the following comments as input into the Observation Wheel time extension.

We are a non-profit organization with over 600 members in San Francisco and Northern San Mateo County, and over 10,000 statewide. Our vision includes a future where Californians can experience thriving biological diversity, even in human-altered landscapes.

We're **opposed** to extending the Observation Wheel to four years due to the impact it may have on Golden Gate Park's biodiversity.

The United Nations' Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services has found a 45 percent decline in insect populations – insects that rely on our native plants for food – in just in the past 40 years. Lighting pollution has been cited as a major contributor to the decline of insect populations. Insects are most attracted to blue and white light, though shades of orange, yellow and red also draw them in<sup>[1]</sup>. The Wheel operates in a broad spectrum of colors late into the night flashing many of these colors.

Half of all insect species are nocturnal<sup>[2]</sup>. It has long been known that artificial night lighting affects wildlife through attraction and disorientation<sup>[3]</sup>, and recent research has documented the extent of the adverse consequences of artificial night lighting to include, for example, plant phenology<sup>[4]</sup>, predator-prey relations<sup>[5]</sup>, circadian rhythms<sup>[6]</sup> and nocturnal rest and recovery<sup>[7]</sup>. Importantly, light attraction and disorientation results in direct mortality of many groups of insects<sup>[8]</sup>, birds<sup>[9]</sup>, including seabirds<sup>[10]</sup>, contributing to species decline<sup>[11]</sup>.

Insects form the basis of food webs that feed everything from fish on up to grizzly bears. Birds, in particular, depend on them. According to University of Delaware entomologist [Doug Tallamy](#), 96 percent of terrestrial birds rear their young on insects, even species that can survive on seeds and berries other times of the year.

When you decide on the fate of the Wheel we ask that you let science guide

your decision.

Our parks should be a place where biodiversity flourishes, not perishes. Please don't extend the Wheel's insect-killing capacity to four years. A one year extension is acceptable, as long as the lights are out at sunset and the diesel generator is removed.

Sincerely,

California Native Plant Society, Yerba Buena Chapter board members:

Eddie Bartley, President

Paul Bouscal, V.P.

Sophie Constantinou, Secretary

Bob Hall, Treasurer

Jake Sigg, Conservation

Noreen Weeden, Field Trips

Susan Karasoff, Outreach

Beth Cataldo, Volunteering

Libby Ingalls, Newsletter Production

Elliot Goliger, Horticulture

---

[1] <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/light-pollution-contributes-insect-apocalypse-180973642/>

[2] <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/light-pollution-contributes-insect-apocalypse-180973642/>

[3] Allen, 1880

[4] Somers-Yeates et al., 2016

[5] Minnaar, Boyles, Minnaar, Sole, & McKechnie, 2015

[6] Dominoni, 2015

[7] Gaston, Bennie, Davies, & Hopkins, 2013

[8] Eisenbeis & Hänel, 2009

[9] Longcore et al., 2012

[10] Rodríguez et al., 2017b

[11] Fox, 2013; Wilson et al., 2018

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sunday Chron article on Four-Plex housing  
**Date:** Monday, March 01, 2021 12:56:39 PM

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** SchuT <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2021 11:56 AM  
**To:** Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Sunday Chron article on Four-Plex housing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Good morning and I hope all is well. I am sure you all saw the JK Dineen article in yesterday's paper, but it is attached below just in case.

I want to add a little context to the article.

One thing that struck me was the need for roof decks to meet the open space requirement for these condos. One year ago on March 5, 2020 at your last in-person hearing during General Public Comment, I asked if there was a formalized roof deck policy?

I suggested that roof decks should only be on multi-unit buildings.

And that they made no sense on single family home Alterations or new construction if the open space requirement of the Planning Code *could be met in the rear yard*.....and that they added to the cost of construction, the ultimate sales price and they were basically a vertical expansion that seemed impractical in a windy foggy city like San Francisco and were really just a marketing tool.

They must have been needed on the rooftops for all four condos in the article in order to comply with the Planning Code.

[Here is more context for this unique project at 247 27th Avenue:](#)

1. It was completed in May 2000 which is really a lifetime ago, so costs and prices have obviously changed.
2. According to the SFPIM this lot measures 30.5' x 120' for a lot size of 3,660 square feet. This is 35% larger than the average San Francisco lot size of 2,700 square feet cited in the article. (I think my math is right?)
3. Also according to the SFPIM, two of the condos sold in the Summer of 2019 for over \$1.3 million. According to Redfin one condo is currently for sale asking \$1.395.
4. One building with two condos is located on the front of the lot. The second building with two condos is located toward the rear of the lot with access to a rear yard/patio area that abuts an alley that runs from Lake Street to California Street. There is a small courtyard between the buildings that seems to accommodate parking.
5. They do seem to have an efficient use of interior space within each three-bedroom condo, most particularly the kitchens.

Thanks and take good care.

Sincerely,

Georgia Schuttish

Bay Area cities want to end single-family home zoning, but will it create more housing?

<https://avanan.url-protection.com/v1/url?o=https%3A//www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/Bay-Area-cities-want-to-end-single-family-home-15983648.php&g=ZWQ4MWM2ZDg3Y2EwNzk0MA==&h=NjczMDYwYTEzZGRmNWVknjFhODYwODQwYzFmYTUxYjJhZDVmMTZlOGZjOWZiYzdmZmMyNjA1ODJlZTAwZGIzYg==&p=YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE2YW5hbGpvZmZpY2UzNjVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsOjJmZW14NjI3MmVkn2ZlZDcyOTg0NmY2ODZiNDdlZTNmOnYx>

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* SAN FRANCISCO APPOINTS NEW CITY ENGINEER  
**Date:** Monday, March 01, 2021 12:02:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [03.01.21 City Engineer.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Monday, March 1, 2021 at 12:00 PM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* SAN FRANCISCO APPOINTS NEW CITY ENGINEER

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**

Monday, March 1, 2021

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**SAN FRANCISCO APPOINTS NEW CITY ENGINEER**

*Albert Ko, a native San Franciscan who grew up in Chinatown, will be responsible for a variety of City infrastructure projects essential to the resiliency, safety, and livability of San Francisco*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed and Acting Public Works Director Alaric Degrafinried today announced the appointment of Albert Ko as City Engineer and Deputy Director for the Infrastructure Design and Construction Division of San Francisco Public Works.

A San Francisco native who was raised in Chinatown, Albert Ko joined Public Works in 1995 as a junior engineer. He progressed through the ranks, working on major capital projects, among them the San Francisco International Airport expansion, public safety buildings and recreation and park facilities. Since 2011, he served as manager of the Bureau of Construction Management in the Building Design and Construction Division. He starts in the new role on March 8, 2021.

“Throughout this pandemic, San Francisco has continued to invest in our infrastructure so that we wouldn’t lose ground. We need to continue this progress over the coming years to help drive our economic recovery, create new jobs, and make San Francisco an even better place to live,” said Mayor Breed. “I’m glad many of these efforts are going to be led by a native San Franciscan, and I’m confident that Albert Ko will help lead us forward in the coming years.”

Ko graduated from UC Berkeley with a degree in civil engineering and a minor in city and



regional planning. He began his engineering career at Caltrans before moving to Public Works. He is a licensed Professional Engineer.

In his new role, Ko will oversee specialized engineering and support teams that design sewers, streets, curb ramps, bridges and electrical systems; devise fixes to stabilize hillsides and control flooding; and work on a variety of other projects essential to the resiliency, safety and livability of a 21st-century urban environment. The infrastructure division also includes the permits bureau, street inspections, and mapping.

“Albert brings to his new role a deep well of experience delivering capital projects; an enduring passion to bring out the best in our employees; and an unyielding determination to bring positive change to San Francisco,” said Acting Public Works Director Alaric Degrafinried. “He has demonstrable success on all three fronts that will continue to benefit the department and the City as we navigate budget challenges, recover from the COVID-19 health crisis, and rebuild public trust in our department in the wake of a corruption scandal.”

Ko has held leadership positions over the years with his union, International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, Local 21. Outside of work, Ko volunteers as a volleyball and basketball coach with the Catholic Youth Organization and the San Francisco Unified School District. Raising four children with his wife, he also participates in the Girl Scouts and represents San Francisco in the California State PTA.

“The opportunity to serve the city where I was born and raised in this new leadership role at Public Works is a true honor,” said Albert Ko. “I will work with resolve and a force of positive energy every day to make San Francisco a better place for our diverse communities to live, work and play.”

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project seeking a redesign  
**Date:** Monday, March 01, 2021 8:43:55 AM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** Tenderloin Tenants <tenderlointenants@yahoo.com>  
**Date:** Sunday, February 28, 2021 at 11:32 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Marcelle Boudreaux <marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project seeking a redesign

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Subject:** 450–474 O'Farrell Street/532 Jones Street Project

Public Comment

February 28, 2021

Planning Commission Members/Commission Staff:

On behalf of Tenderloin Tenants, we are writing in opposition to the proposed project at 450 O'Farrell St.

Tenderloin Tenants and several of our coalition partners have expressed severe issues with the proposed project at 450 O'Farrell Street.

This development proposes the revision of 302 group housing units targeting middle-class family housing onsite.

Our issues are:

-Outreach has been minimal, and we believe the developer, by their actions, are disrespecting the neighborhood stakeholders.

-The design of the project proposes overcrowding and minimum standards for family housing.

-The residential units are not proposed to include proper cooking facilities. Not something Middle-income families will appreciate.

-Bicycle parking is in the basement, and this is unacceptable for proper accessibility.

-This project fails to address the potential racial and social inequities that it may create. The project sponsor has failed to study which of these potential inequities and offer mitigation.

-Removal of all previously approved parking spaces for residents is unwarranted.

-Project reduces open space and adds more residents.

-The use of private security that uses bullying tactics to the surrounding neighbors and occupants.

-The loss of four business storefronts being replaced by only two.

Tenderloin Tenants seeks to protect and maximize the quality of life for current and future residents.

Wilma Gurwork

**Tenderloin Tenants**

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#); [CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS](#); [YANG, AUSTIN \(CAT\)](#); [JENSEN, KRISTEN \(CAT\)](#); [STACY, KATE \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** Re: CPC Calendars for March 11, 2021  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2021 5:12:17 PM

---

March 4<sup>th</sup> ...Calendars for March 4<sup>th</sup>.

Apologies for any confusion...I'm sure you all knew what I meant.

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2021 at 2:51 PM  
**Cc:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>, CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS <CPC.SeniorManagers@sfgov.org>, "YANG, AUSTIN (CAT)" <Austin.Yang@sfcityatty.org>, KRISTEN JENSEN <Kristen.Jensen@sfcityatty.org>, KATE STACY <Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org>  
**Subject:** CPC Calendars for March 11, 2021

Commissioners,  
Attached are your Calendars for March 11, 2021.

Enjoy the weekend,

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* STATE-OF-THE-ART TENNIS CENTER TO OPEN IN GOLDEN GATE PARK  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2021 2:15:58 PM  
**Attachments:** [02.26.21 Tennis Center Golden Gate Park.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2021 at 12:33 PM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* STATE-OF-THE-ART TENNIS CENTER TO OPEN IN GOLDEN GATE PARK

**Media:** Photos and video of the new center can be found [here](#).

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Friday, February 26, 2021  
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***  
**STATE-OF-THE-ART TENNIS CENTER TO OPEN IN  
GOLDEN GATE PARK**

*Online court reservations open today for the philanthropy-funded Lisa & Douglas Goldman Tennis Center, which will open its doors March 3*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed today announced the new Lisa & Douglas Goldman Tennis Center in Golden Gate Park will open on Wednesday, March 3, 2021. The \$27 million renovation has transformed the legendary Golden Gate Park facility into a state-of-the-art public tennis center accessible to first-time players and seasoned athletes alike.

Online court reservations can be made starting today at [goldmantenniscenter.com](http://goldmantenniscenter.com) or by calling (415) 581-2540. COVID-19 health precautions require advance reservations to play or visit.

“The Goldman Tennis Center facility honors the incredible history of tennis in Golden Gate Park and provides a place where the next generation of players can learn perseverance, integrity, and fair play,” said Mayor Breed. “This year has shown us just how important it is for all of our residents to have access to open space and opportunities for outdoor recreation. This beautifully designed, accessible space will provide a wonderful place for San Franciscans to get outside, get exercise and have fun safely.”

The Goldman Tennis Center includes 16 USTA-regulation tennis courts, including a sunken

stadium style court. Lighting on the courts will allow users to enjoy an additional 20,000 hours of play annually. Five mini-courts can be used to teach young children the game or for pickleball. Off the court, the 7,800 square foot Taube Family Clubhouse, designed by architectural firm EHDD (with HGA), features a lounge, recreation room, locker room and kitchen. The ADA-accessible site includes new patio spaces and a garden. “Spin,” an outdoor vibrant ceramic tile mural with a kaleidoscopic effect by artist Sanaz Mazinani and engaged through the San Francisco Arts Commission, features images of trailblazers on and off the court: Alice Marble, Arthur Ashe, Billie Jean King and Roger Federer.

The facility also includes a dedicated classroom to expand the Tennis & Learning Center (TLC), an innovative Recreation and Park Department program that provides after school tennis instruction, academic tutoring, and leadership development to youth from underserved San Francisco neighborhoods. TLC, which currently serves elementary school students, will launch its middle school program in the new facility.

Infrastructure projects, like the Tennis Center, create well-paying jobs and will be a critical part of San Francisco’s recovery from COVID-19. The Tennis Center capital project, which broke ground in April 17, 2019, created more than 100 construction jobs.

Since 1894, players of every age and ability have learned, played, and competed in Golden Gate Park, including tennis greats Alice Marble, Billie Jean King, Rosie Casals and Peanut Louie Harper.

“The opening of the Goldman Tennis Center adds another dimension to the diverse recreational options in Golden Gate Park,” said District 5 Supervisor Dean Preston. “It provides a world class facility for San Franciscans to hone their skills and nurture their friendships.”

“For San Francisco’s tennis community, youth athletes, and pickleball players, the Goldman Tennis Center realizes a longtime dream,” said San Francisco Recreation and Park Department General Manager Phil Ginsburg. “The storied Golden Gate Park courts have been transformed into the best and most inclusive municipal tennis center in the country, embodying the motto ‘Love All.’”

“As the community partner at the Goldman Tennis Center, we are thrilled to connect, energize, and advocate for San Francisco tennis players,” said Martha Ehrenfeld, Tennis Coalition of San Francisco Co-Chair. “We are excited to see the tennis center in Golden Gate Park revived as the hub of public tennis in the city, inspiring many future generations of players to learn, compete, and create community around the sport of tennis.”

The Goldman Tennis Center will offer affordable rates, including discounts for seniors, youth, and San Francisco residents. Weekday court rentals will be free for San Francisco youth before 6 p.m., while seniors can rent a court starting at \$4 an hour.

Lifetime Activities, which runs municipal tennis centers in Cupertino, Pleasanton, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale and Walnut Creek, will manage day-to-day operations at the Goldman Tennis Center. Throughout its tenure as facility operator, Lifetime will provide all new middle school participants in the TLC program with tennis shoes. HEAD Racquet Sports has donated high performance racquets and tennis balls to the youth players.

“We are excited to offer tennis court rentals, an online and phone-in reservation system,

leagues, socials, tournaments and private or small group learning experiences for the entire San Francisco community,” said Dana Gill, CEO of Lifetime Activities. “Players of all ages and interest levels can purchase tennis balls and pickleballs, racquets, paddles and accessories, enjoy healthy snacks and tasty beverages, and try out our racquet stringing and ball machine services.”

The Goldman Tennis Center was funded through a public-private partnership with leadership gifts from Taube Philanthropies, the Lisa and Douglas Goldman Fund, the Koret Foundation, Jackie and Joby Pritzker, and the Fisher Family. The San Francisco Parks Alliance served as fiscal sponsor. Approximately \$4.5 million was allocated to this project by the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department from the 2012 Clean and Safe Neighborhood Parks Bond.

“Lisa and I are thrilled that the dream has become a reality for all tennis players to enjoy. This is a gorgeous, world class facility,” said Douglas Goldman, President of the Lisa and Douglas Goldman Fund.

“I have been involved with tennis most of my life and it was natural for me to help with the redevelopment of the Golden Gate Park tennis center. Of particular importance was the opportunity to support tennis programs for kids from lower income communities and to provide a San Francisco venue for national and international competitions,” said Tad Taube, Founder of Taube Philanthropies.

###



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Ajello Hoagland, Linda \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 1049 Market Tenant statement in support for this afternoon's commission hearing  
**Date:** Friday, February 26, 2021 7:37:24 AM  
**Attachments:** [Commission Statement copy.m4a](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Steve Collier <[steve@thclinic.org](mailto:steve@thclinic.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2021 12:44 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** 1049 Market Tenant statement in support for this afternoon's commission hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Listen to attached.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This document is intended for the use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or a person authorized to accept documents on behalf of the addressee, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action based on the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately reply to the sender and delete or shred all copies.