

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING COMMISSION



**Thursday, February 18, 2021**  
**11:30 a.m.**  
**Closed Session**

**COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:** Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel  
**COMMISSIONERS ABSENT:** None

**THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY PRESIDENT KOPPEL AT 11:32 AM**

**STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:** Jonas P. Ionin – Commission Secretary

**SPEAKER KEY:**

- + indicates a speaker in support of an item;
- indicates a speaker in opposition to an item; and
- = indicates a neutral speaker or a speaker who did not indicate support or opposition.

**A. SPECIAL CALENDAR:**

1. Public Comments on matters to be considered for discussion in Closed Session.

None

2. Consider Adoption of Motion on Whether to Assert the Attorney-Client Privilege Regarding the Matters Listed Below as Conference with Legal Counsel – (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d).)

**SPEAKERS:** None  
**ACTION:** Adopted a Motion to assert Attorney-Client privilege  
**AYES:** Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel

**THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAY GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS THE FOLLOWING:**

3. Conference with Legal Counsel – Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(a) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) to discuss pending litigation [Owens, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al. (First Appellate District, California Court of Appeal, Case No. A157981; San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-18-516203)].
4. Conference with Legal Counsel – Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(a) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) to discuss pending and settled litigation [1049 Market Street, LLC. v. City and County of San Francisco (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 4:15-cv-02075); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-16-515046); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-17-559890); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-17-515754); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547161); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. Miller, et al. (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-545950; 1st Circuit COA No. A148716)] and consideration of the settlement proposal approved by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No. 059-19.

**FOLLOWING THE CLOSED SESSION, THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION.**

5. Following the Closed Session, the Planning Commission in Open Session will report on any action taken during the Closed Session and will consider a motion regarding whether to disclose any part of the discussions during Closed Session.

SPEAKERS: None  
ACTION: Announced no action and Adopted a Motion to not disclose.  
AYES: Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel

ADJOURNMENT 12:50 PM  
ADOPTED MARCH 4, 2021