SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING COMMISSION

Meeting Minutes

Remote Hearing
via video and teleconferencing

Thursday, February 18, 2021
11:30 a.m.
Closed Session

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel
COMMISSIONERS ABSENT: None

THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY PRESIDENT KOPPEL AT 11:32 AM

STAFF IN ATTENDANCE: Jonas P. Ionin – Commission Secretary

SPEAKER KEY:
+ indicates a speaker in support of an item;
- indicates a speaker in opposition to an item; and
= indicates a neutral speaker or a speaker who did not indicate support or opposition.

A. SPECIAL CALENDAR:

1. Public Comments on matters to be considered for discussion in Closed Session.

   None

2. Consider Adoption of Motion on Whether to Assert the Attorney-Client Privilege Regarding the Matters Listed Below as Conference with Legal Counsel – (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d).)

   SPEAKERS: None
   ACTION: Adopted a Motion to assert Attorney-Client privilege
   AYES: Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel
THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAY GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS THE FOLLOWING:

3. Conference with Legal Counsel – Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(a) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) to discuss pending litigation [Owens, et al. v. City and County of San Francisco et al. (First Appellate District, California Court of Appeal, Case No. A157981; San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-18-516203)].

4. Conference with Legal Counsel – Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(a) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d) to discuss pending and settled litigation [1049 Market Street, LLC. v. City and County of San Francisco (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 4:15-cv-02075); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-16-515046); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-17-559890); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CPF-17-515754); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-547161); 1049 Market Street, LLC v. Miller, et al. (San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-545950; 1st Circuit COA No. A148716)] and consideration of the settlement proposal approved by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No. 059-19.

FOLLOWING THE CLOSED SESSION, THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION.

5. Following the Closed Session, the Planning Commission in Open Session will report on any action taken during the Closed Session and will consider a motion regarding whether to disclose any part of the discussions during Closed Session.

SPEAKERS: None
ACTION: Announced no action and Adopted a Motion to not disclose.
AYES: Tanner, Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Moore, Koppel

ADJOURNMENT 12:50 PM
ADOPTED MARCH 4, 2021