From: **CPC-Commissions Secretary** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Updegrave, Samantha (CPC) To: Subject: FW: Petition Signers Supporting 550 O"Farrell Street Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 11:50:48 AM Attachments: 550 O"Farrell Petition Signers 1.14.2021.xlsx image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Corey Smith <corey@sfhac.org>

Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:09 AM

To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>;
Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>;
Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC)
<rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>
Ce: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Laura Clark
<laura@yimbyaction.org>; Todd David <todd@sfhac.org>

Subject: Re: Petition Signers Supporting 550 O'Farrell Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi all,

Ahead of today's meeting, I'm attaching an updated petition with roughly 40 new signers.

Best, Corey On Tue, Jan 12, 2021 at 10:57 AM Corey Smith <corey@sfhac.org> wrote:

Members of the San Francisco Planning Commission,

On behalf of the Housing Action Coalition and SF YIMBY, please see the attached list of petition signers supporting the housing proposal at 550 O'Farrell Street. We also have a link <u>here</u> to the Housing Action Coalition's Report Card.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully, Corey Smith Deputy Director, HAC

--

Corey Smith 陈锐 I Pronouns: he/him Deputy Director | Bay Area Housing Advocacy Coalition Deputy Director | San Francisco Housing Action Coalition 95 Brady Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Office (415) 541-9001 | Cell (925) 360-5290 Email: corey@sfhac.org | Web: sfhac.org

????

Join us at our 18th Annual Housing Heroes Awards on October 7th! <u>Go to the event page</u> for tickets and sponsorship information.

Corey Smith 陈锐 I Pronouns: he/him Deputy Director | Bay Area Housing Advocacy Coalition Deputy Director | San Francisco Housing Action Coalition 95 Brady Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Office (415) 541-9001 | Cell (925) 360-5290 Email: corey@sfhac.org | Web: sfhac.org

Join us at our 18th Annual Housing Heroes Awards on October 7th! <u>Go to the event page</u> for tickets and sponsorship information.



Commission Affairs San Francisco Dianning Department 49 South Van News Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | yww.sfelannino.org San Francisco Property Information Man

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Eric Garrett <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 10:06 AM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

· Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Eric Garrett

Eric Garrett <u>cric2shine@yahoo.com</u> 6284441832 680 Mission San Francisco, CA 94105 Constituent

Pepared by OneClickPolitics (m) at https://wanuart.endecdom.com/v1/art/ *genum ancelicxpolitics com & pt= VixAoobia.7110/y1/LiZVENDDYTy==k=00F0015071127WFMSMcvMzrswWXm007/x0ZWmV1WiXAtathy*i17/ziODy*p02TTVMDP*nDdinX02Z==k=*TXAoobia.7110/onE72WSbbp://azyVIXWIaWvX2VYWb0jE2WE/mvMx00jZGUw2JZDMSTUSWUZJb0JY*

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES ASSESSOR CARMEN CHU AS SAN FRANCISCO CITY ADMINISTRATOR
Date:	Thursday, January 14, 2021 11:39:50 AM
Attachments:	01.14.21 City Administrator Nomination.pdf

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 at 11:32 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES ASSESSOR CARMEN CHU AS SAN FRANCISCO CITY ADMINISTRATOR

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, January 14, 2021 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES ASSESSOR CARMEN CHU AS SAN FRANCISCO CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Chu, who has served the City and County of San Francisco since 2004, will bring years of experience in local government, as well as a commitment to economic recovery and equity to City Administrator's Office

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today nominated Assessor Carmen Chu to serve as San Francisco's City Administrator. The City Administrator serves a 5-year term, following confirmation by the Board of Supervisors, and is responsible for overseeing more than 25 departments and programs that provide a range of services to the public and other City departments.

"I am proud to nominate Carmen to lead the City Administrator's Office. She is a committed and well-respected public servant who has a proven track record of delivering results and working to make our government more accessible and equitable for all San Franciscans," said Mayor Breed. "She has done a tremendous job as Assessor-Recorder, and during this pandemic, she has stepped way above her normal duties to help lead the City's economic recovery efforts. With Carmen leading the City Administrator's office, I am confident that we will have the leadership we need to advance our recovery from COVID and move our City forward through this challenging time."

Chu has served as the elected Assessor for the City and County of San Francisco since 2013. She is the only Asian American woman elected as Assessor in the State of California. Under

her leadership, the Assessor's Office has successfully reversed a decades-old backlog of assessment cases, while generating over \$3.6 billion in property tax revenue annually to support public services, such as health, education, and neighborhood services. Such achievements have earned her office the prestigious 2020 Good Government Award, an honor recognizing excellence in public sector management and stewardship.

"I am honored and humbled to be nominated by the Mayor. In each role I've served, starting as an analyst in the Mayor's budget office, to Supervisor for the Sunset District, and as Assessor for the City and County of San Francisco, I've seen the positive and important impact government can have on uplifting people," said Assessor Carmen Chu. "The work of the City Administrator is big – it serves as the backbone for our entire City's operations. I look forward to serving the people of San Francisco in this new role."

Since the COVID-19 pandemic crisis began, Chu has led the Economic Recovery Task Force, which Mayor Breed convened to develop strategies to support local businesses and mitigate economic hardships, while setting long-term goals to help San Francisco rebound stronger. Working with over 100 business, labor union, non-profit, academia, faith-based and other community leaders, the Task Force functioned as a bridge between industries and public health officials, advocated for operational flexibility and cutting red tapes. Collectively, the Task Force developed 41 recommendations and policy ideas to make the City's economy stronger, more resilient, and more equitable. The <u>final report</u> was released on October 8, 2020.

In addition to her responsibilities as a citywide elected official, Chu currently serves on the San Francisco Employees' Retirement System Board, where she oversees the investments and policies of a \$26 billion public pension system. She also provides direction on the Executive Board of SPUR, a non-profit research and policy organization focused on developing regional solutions to cross-county challenges like housing affordability, climate resilience, economic equity, and public transportation.

Prior to her tenure as Assessor, Chu was an elected representative on the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Deputy Director of Public Policy and Finance for then Gavin Newsom's mayoral administration. She has a Bachelor's Degree in public policy from Occidental College and a Master's Degree in Public Policy from UC Berkeley.

The City Administrator's Office comprises more than 25 departments and programs that provide a broad range of services to other city departments and the public. Examples of the Agency's functions include public safety, internal services, civic engagement, capital planning, asset management, code enforcement, disaster mitigation, tourism promotion, and economic development.

The City Administrator's Office provides services through the following divisions: 311, Animal Care and Control, Office of Cannabis, Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs, Community Challenge Grant Program, Committee on Information Technology, Contract Monitoring Division, Convention Facilities, County Clerk's Office, Digital Services Program, Entertainment Commission, Fleet Management, Grants for the Arts, Office of Labor Standards Enforcement, Mayor's Office on Disability, Medical Examiner, Purchasing, Real Estate Division, Repromail, Risk Management, Office of Resilience and Capital Planning, and the Treasure Island Development Authority.



 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Sharing my support for UCSF Parnassus Heights

 Date:
 Thursday, January 14, 2021 9:03:12 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Sean McBride <Sean.McBride.404066442@p2a.co>
Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 7:54 AM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Sharing my support for UCSF Parnassus Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

As San Francisco grows, we need more hospital capacity. As San Francisco ages, we need to modernize and expand our city's infrastructure. And we DESPERATELY need more housing, at all levels of affordability. This project will help with all of these and more. It's important that this project can move forward! I am a SF resident, UCSF patient, and advocate for UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and community benefits.

These important updates will allow UCSF to expand patient care, construct new housing, and bring transit solutions to San Francisco. Without the plan to revitalize UCSF Parnassus Heights and build a new hospital, UCSF will be unable to invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community. I recently learned about the plans for renewed campus, hospital, and neighborhood improvements and am excited by the vision. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive

community engagement and internal planning process. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities, while addressing everyday neighborhood challenges such as open space, transit, and housing. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community.

Thank you, and I ask for your support for these critical investments in UCSF Parnassus Heights.

Sean McBride 4567 19th St San Francisco, CA 94114



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Man fi y o •• ┢ 🖂

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Paul Sedan <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:48 AM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

· Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

 \cdot Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Paul Sedan

Psedan@gmail.com 415 5281033 695 Wawona Street San Francisco, CA 94116 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (m) at <u>https://wama.url-protection.com/vlatf?</u> <u>arwar smelickpolitics.com & PWMADRBN / TEx7WVRBN y= & Preparity/TRNn/stof rgv722NTA/RMy/YTB/Dp//rTB/Dp/MdSMm2DM/MdSMm2OD/yYWMADROO-& pryXAArbio_m2TUO-MM2TUO-Mm2TUO-MM2TUO-MM2TUO-MM2TUO-Mm2TUO-MM2T</u> ZkNGY5OTgzOnYx nZpY2UzNiVfZW1haWxzX2VtYWlsC MDI10DM2Y2M0Y2FiMzdiMDE3



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfblanning.org San Francisco Property Information Man

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Prudence Carr <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2021 4:18 AM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

- · Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI
- \cdot Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space
- · Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

 \cdot Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Prudence Carr

Prudence Carr prudencesusan@gmail.com 17602585245 445, Wawona St, Apt., 326 San Francisco, CA 94116 Constituent

ared by OneClickPolitic Prepared by OseClackbolines (m) at Impe://www.marel.pontection.com/s/long/ --www.execlackbolines.com/s/long/s

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: 36 Delano Avenue - 2019-012567DRP

 Date:
 Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:04:27 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: David Mauroff <dmauroff@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 10:43 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: 36 Delano Avenue - 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Planning Commission,

We are writing in full support of the Campbell's proposed remodel of their home at 36 Delano Avenue. Our understanding is that there is a PC hearing scheduled for 1/14/21 and Design Review on 1/28/21.

We have known the Campbells since we moved to our home at 46 Delano Avenue in 2008. My wife grew up in San Francisco, both of our kids attend San Francisco public schools and we both work at community-based non-profits that are dedicated to child welfare and criminal justice in the City. Christopher and Chandra were one of the first neighbors to welcome us to the block and we can attest to their character and support for our local community. We have two girls, one of whom is their older boy's age and our oldest is a senior in high school. We really got to know each other through a project driven by a group of neighbors to replace the Balboa Park Playground. It was a gigantic task for our small group as we navigated city bureaucracy, secured funding and organized dozens of volunteers for a community workday. Our project eventually led to a more extensive renovation including a skateboard park spearheaded by Christopher, walking trails, lighting, new tennis courts and pool overhaul.

We always talk about how we're fortunate to live in MIssion Terrace and the Campbells are a great example of why it's such a great place to raise kids. They always pitch in on events like our annual block closure for Halloween, informal hangouts, keeping the alley behind our homes clean, tree consultations and pruning and generally being there for a cup of sugar or helping hand. When I heard about the project being appealed, my initial reaction was that these types of situations are what make it so hard to raise a family in San Francisco. We are so lucky to have separate bedrooms for our girls, especially as they've gotten older. I don't understand how one appeal can shut down a family's ability to grow into their home and create the space they deserve. All you have to do is stand in our alley and you'll see that the houses surrounding the Campbells have more mass and extend deeper into their lots. The neighbor between us recently renovated his home and we didn't oppose any aspect of his plans and ended up cooperating on some foundation work. HIs house extends a bit beyond ours but I'm glad he was able to complete what is a really nice remodel. Everybody deserves the right to be happy inside their home.

In no way does the proposed project impact the character of our neighborhood. To the contrary, I believe that giving families the ability to stay in their current homes versus having to leave San Francisco to find more space only deepens the character of our block and community. Like the Campbells, we're here to stay and we're fortunate we have the space to make that realistic. We strongly encourage you to approve their project without any additional changes.

Please let us know if you have questions or need additional information.

Take care, David Mauroff, Justine Underhill, Ramona and Lucy 46 Delano Avenue



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Nees Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7601 (www.sfalanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map fi y 🖸 🐽 ┢ 🖂

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Christine Cordaro <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 10:16 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

 \cdot 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

 \cdot Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing most economically vulnerable populations. for or

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Christine Cordaro

christinecordaro@mac.com 4153371318 73 Florentine Street San Francisco, CA 94112 Constituent

Prepared by One-CickPolities (m) at <u>http://pranum.el.entection.com/s1urf1</u> <u>grawn.monic/schulities.com_ker_078.me/G01/yees/MP/2/ml/4M0-ekt-ZMNNityZDeyZDey/ml/2/TEMMY/2/DFZ/WL/AJ/AM/A/GO19/21/WB/W2/ZWI/WEG/SMDA</u> One-CickPolities mode on the communication to the foregoment of a case, issue, engainzation or association to contact their elected efficials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact <u>informatic/chulities.com</u> liMWI0Yjc2MDZIYThiZmUyYjEwZ

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Letter in support of remodel at 36 Delano Avenue (Campbell home)

 Date:
 Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:04:01 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Dana Pluck <dana.pluck@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:35 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter in support of remodel at 36 Delano Avenue (Campbell home)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am a resident of 30 Delano Ave. I have lived here in San Francisco since 1986 and owned my home since 1998. I, myself, have two HS age children sharing a bedroom. I know all too well that raising a family in San Francisco is difficult.

After saving enough money to buy a home in San Francisco, families are often faced with deciding whether to move (frequently outside of the city) or go through the difficult hurdles of a remodel.

I am writing today to request that my neighbors at 36 Delano, The Campbells be approved to move forward with their current remodel plans.

I have reviewed the plans for the remodel and have no concerns. The addition that the Campbells have planned is in keeping with the scale of other houses in the street. Since they moved to the neighborhood in 2006. All the improvements they have made have been tastefully done and in consideration to the existing small neighborhood community that our street has developed over the last few decades.

Sincerely,

Dana Pluck

Home Owner Neighbor

30 Delano Ave San Francisco, CA 94112 415-585-6677

From: **CPC-Commissions Secretary** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) Cc: Subject: FW: Submission re 450 O"Farrell (Jan 21) Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:03:41 AM Attachments: Dear Director Hillis and Planning Commissioners.docx image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Boudreaux, Marcelle (CPC) <marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 6:50 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Randy Shaw

<randy@thclinic.org>

Cc: Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Submission re 450 O'Farrell (Jan 21)

Hey Randy,

I've copied the Commission Secretary staff to forward to Planning Commissioners. Staff reports consolidate public comment in the executive summary.

Thank you, Marcelle

Marcelle Boudreaux, AICP, Principal Planner Citywide Cultural Resource Survey & Landmarks | Current Planning Division San Francisco Planning PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17: 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7375 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person

services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Randy Shaw <randy@thclinic.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 11:25 AM
To: Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>
Cc: Boudreaux, Marcelle (CPC) <rmarcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>
Subject: Submission re 450 O'Farrell (Jan 21)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please include in packet to commissioners. If I instead need to send to them directly please let me know. Thanks

Randy Shaw, Director Tenderloin Housing Clinic

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This document is intended for the use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or a person authorized to accept documents on behalf of the addressee, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action based on the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately reply to the sender and delete or shred all copies.

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: In support of 36 Delano Avenue, 2019-012567DRP (1/14/20 PC)

 Date:
 Thursday, January 14, 2021 8:03:18 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Leon Yu <leonyu@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 5:06 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: In support of 36 Delano Avenue, 2019-012567DRP (1/14/20 PC)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

I'm writing today to express my support for of the proposal for work at 36 Delano Avenue in San Francisco, California. In reviewing the proposal plans, it all appears to be very appropriate for the block and in scale with other additions or remodels that have been approved and constructed in the neighborhood. The plans appear to be very smart use of the limited space to provide the owner's growing family the appropriate space they need to live. I do not see any detriment that may potentially be brought to the neighborhood by such work.

In contrast, I can only see the benefits of the work by keeping good people in the neighborhood. We know owners well, and find them to be outstanding members of the community. They have contributed to many improvements to the neighborhood, including

rebuilding local Balboa Park, regular participation in neighborhood celebrations like 4th of July parades, Halloween celebrations and the general good neighborly behavior. Christopher Campbell has also been a great resource for the neighborhood in providing consultation and expertise in tree health and maintenance (as a licensed Arborist) which has huge direct benefit to the look of the neighborhood, the desire to live here, and property values as a whole.

We appreciate your time and attention to this matter and consideration of the proposal at 36 Delano. We hope your commission sees the value in this project, as we do, and in turn help continue to keep single family residency stable for good people who love to live in San Francisco.

With appreciation, Leon Yu and Tessa Lee

19 Delano Avenue San Francisco, CA 94112 415.269.3004



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Man

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Eric W Rodenbeck <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 4:26 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

· Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Eric W Rodenbeck

4155187795 631 O'Farrell Street, 1605 San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

Prepared by One ClickPolities com a trajectories convision? graves uncickPolities com a coord/one/VIGNX-workPolities/Conversion/Section convision? Graves uncickPolities com a coord/one/VIGNX-workPolities/Conversion/Section and contract their detected officials. For more information regarding our policies and services, please contact and/section/Section and conversion.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Letters in support of DR - 3145-3147 Jackson Street - Agenda Item 15
Date:	Thursday, January 14, 2021 7:59:28 AM
Attachments:	Additional DR Support Letters - 3145-3147 Jackson St.PDF

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Ryan Patterson <ryan@zfplaw.com>

Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 at 7:00 PM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" < joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)"

<frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, Theresa

Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>,

"Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)"

<sue.diamond@sfgov.org>

Cc: "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Gordon-Jonckheer, Elizabeth (CPC)" <elizabeth.gordon-jonckheer@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Brett Schweinberg <Brett@zfplaw.com>

Subject: Letters in support of DR - 3145-3147 Jackson Street - Agenda Item 15

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

Please find three additional letters of support for this DR attached, which were not included in the hearing packet.

Thank you,

Ryan J. Patterson Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 956-8100 Facsimile: (415) 288-9755 Email: ryan@zfplaw.com www.zfplaw.com This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Vanderslice, Allison (CPC)
Subject:	FW: FEIR for UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan
Date:	Wednesday, January 13, 2021 12:46:37 PM

FYI

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: "Vanderslice, Allison (CPC)" <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>
Date: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 at 12:45 PM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Shum, Ryan (CPC)" <ryan.shum@sfgov.org>, Wade Wietgrefe
<wade.wietgrefe@sfgov.org>, Richard Sucre <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>, Marcelle Boudreaux
<marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>, "Gordon-Jonckheer, Elizabeth (CPC)" <elizabeth.gordon-jonckheer@sfgov.org>
Subject: FEIR for UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan

Jonas,

Can you please forward to the HPC Commissioners:

The <u>Final Environmental Impact Report for the Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan</u> is now available. The Master Response to comments on the Toland Hall Murals is Master Response 11, found on page 58 of FEIR Volume 2. Responses to the letter sent by the City and County of San Francisco start on page 101 of Volume 2.

Historic Resource mitigation measures are found in FEIR Volume 1, Section 4.4-19 (page 343) and include the following added mitigation measure:

CPHP Mitigation Measure CUL-1e: Display of Zakheim Murals

Provided that the Zakheim murals are successfully removed from Toland Hall and stored, UCSF will convene a Task Force by the end of 2021 to advise on options for the display of the murals in a publicly accessible setting, either on a UCSF campus or at a museum or other institution. The Task Force will include the Chair of the City's Historic Preservation Commission, or their designee.

Thank you! Allison

Allison Vanderslice, MA

CEQA Cultural Resources Team Manager, Environmental Planning Division

San Francisco Planning

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17: 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7505 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Sharing support for UCSF"s Parnassus and community benefits

 Date:
 Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:45:36 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Andrew Baker <Andrew.Baker.404095648@p2a.co>
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 8:12 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Sharing support for UCSF's Parnassus and community benefits

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am a SF resident, supporter, and support the UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP). A revitalized campus will help UCSF expand patient care, construct new housing, and bring transit solutions to San Francisco.

Late last year I had to receive emergency (non-COVID) care at UCSF Parnassus. I was astonished at the quality of care and the fact it was available at all during the pandemic. But now our city and state's COVID situation is much different. Would I be able to get safe emergency care if I needed it today? This moment proves that our city needs more hospital capacity, including residences for the talented and caring frontline workers.

Without the CPHP, UCSF will be unable to address the region's growing critical health care needs. I

recently learned about the plans for renewed campus, hospital, and neighborhood improvements and am excited by the vision. I learned about the CPHP and community benefits through my community organization and support these vital improvements. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community input. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community. The plan also addresses everyday neighborhood challenges identified by the campus' direct neighbors, including transit, housing, and open space.

I ask for your support of UCSF, the CPHP, and community benefits. Thank you.

Andrew Baker 144 Alpine Terrace San Francisco, CA 94117 From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: 36 Delano Avenue - 2019-012567DRPDate:Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:36:02 AMAttachments:image007.png
image009.png
image010.png
image011.png
image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Jon Mayo <jlmayo@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2021 6:41 AM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: 36 Delano Avenue - 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it May Concern at the SF Planning Commission,

Glenn and I are writing you this letter with our unequivocal support for the project being planned at 36 Delano Avenue in San Francisco.

We have been neighbors for nearly 7 years in the home DIRECTLY behind the property under consideration. To say that this project not only is a beautification to the home and impactful to the families living happiness, it also continues to increase the value of homes in this wonderful southern City neighborhood.

The Campbells are a wonderful family who participate wholly in he entire neighborhood's wellbeing: from Independence Day celebrations at Balboa Park, annual Halloween Street events for the children, etc.

We reviewed the proposal that they had submitted and support it without concern.

Thank you,

Jon Mayo Glenn Pineda

Homeowners - 1859 San Jose Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112

Jonathan Mayo

415-823-4093 jlmayo@gmail.com



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 528.657.600 J jwws.efBanning.org San Francisco Property Information Man fi y o •• ┢ 🖂

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Larry Sullender <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:14 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

· Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Larry Sullender

arry.sullender@gmail.com 4159945117 1745, Franklin St Apt 203 San Francisco, CA 94109-3505 Constituent

Prepared by ObsClickPolitics (m) at <u>imp_resum al protection coms1 infl</u> graves annickstandings com der y1MAPI MAND: All NDS-All NOSCI II ar All AND Y2MAPA AND Y2MAP

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: 36 Delano Avenue 2019-012567DRP (01/14/2021 PC hearing)

 Date:
 Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:35:29 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: rechs@comcast.net <rechs@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 7:21 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: 36 Delano Avenue 2019-012567DRP (01/14/2021 PC hearing)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

We have reviewed and completely support the addition proposal for 36 Delano Avenue. It is a small house, which can be a challenge for a growing family. We have lived on Delano Avenue since 1986. 30 years ago we were in the same situation with two young daughters, needing another bedroom. At that time we were fully supported by our neighbors. It was either add an addition or possibly move out of the neighborhood. The addition is totally appropriate for this block, in size and mass.

The Campbell family are wonderful neighbors, who participate in all of the neighborhood events and functions. In the past all of the neighbors have supported each other through the many additions that have taken place on Delano Avenue. We would be sad if they are forced to leave our neighborhood, to look for a larger home,

which we think is totally unnecessary

Sincerely,

Buzz and Nancy Rechsteiner 2 Delano Avenue San Francisco, CA 94112 From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: 36 Delano Avenue, 2019-012567DRPDate:Wednesday, January 13, 2021 8:35:17 AMAttachments:image007.png
image009.png
image010.png
image011.png
image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Michael J Potepan <mpotepan@sfsu.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 4:40 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: 36 Delano Avenue, 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Reference: 36 Delano Avenue, 2019-012567DRP

Hearing Date: 1/14/2020

Dear Commissioners:

We are writing in support of the above referenced project at 35 Delano Avenue.

We have known Chandra and Christopher Campbell since they moved in across the street from us in 2006. Since then, they have contributed to the neighborhood and surrounding community in numerous ways both large and small. Christopher was instrumental in the development of the Balboa Park children's playground and nearby skatepark. He and Chandra have worked with other neighbors on a street closure to ensure a safe Halloween and on Fourth of July and Easter festivities. On a personal note, as a certified arborist, Christopher has done work for us, exceeding expectations every time.

We have reviewed the plans for the Campbell's remodel at 36 Delano. We believe their proposal represents a reasonable extension that allows them to remain in the house as their family has grown and requires additional living space. The plans as proposed are in scale with the neighborhood and totally in keeping with the size and scope of surrounding houses on the block. We do not see how this proposed remodel could have any negative impact on other residents on the block.

We understand that one neighbor has objected to the Campbell's project. We find it ironic that this same neighbor recently undertook a two-plus year remodeling project on his own house that was very similar to the proposed project by the Campbells. No one including the Campbells objected to that neighbor's right to improve their home then.

We strongly urge you to support this very reasonable project.

Sincerely,

Donna Ficarrotta & Michael Potepan 35 Delano Avenue San Francisco

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Re Item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 3:47:10 PM

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Teri Rousseau <terimmc@aol.com> **Reply-To:** Teri Rousseau <terimmc@aol.com> Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 2:34 PM **To:** "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" < joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Hillis, Rich (CPC)" <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland" (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Hillis, Rich (CPC)" <rich.hillis@sfgov.org> **Cc:** Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>, "Hepner, Lee (BOS)" <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>, "Hyland, Aaron (CPC)" <aaron.hyland@sfgov.org>, "Matsuda, Diane (CPC)" <diane.matsuda@sfgov.org>, "kate.black@sfgov.org" <kate.black@sfgov.org>, Chris Foley <chris.foley@sfgov.org>, "Johns, Richard (CPC)" <richard.se.johns@sfgov.org>, "Pearlman, Jonathan (CPC)" <jonathan.pearlman@sfgov.org>, "So, Lydia (CPC)" <lydia.so@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re Item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Peskin – I am writing regarding my opposition to allowing the expansion of this historic building.

The proposal presented seems **in direct conflict with San Franciscos' initiative to preserve the historic architecture** so unique to our beloved city and most especially North Beach.

Built in 1926, this building is **one of the few remaining today that was passionately designed by the acclaimed Louis Mastropasqua,** architect of the Juluis' Castle.

The project **proposes a massive and gross expansion** of the building including the addition of a roof deck, exterior spiral staircase and large back of building extension. It is completely out of scope of the neighborhood and if built, reduces valuable light, air and privacy of its neighbors.

As a representative entrusted with preserving the historic character of San Francisco, I am sure you will agree, that this would undeniably have a severe negative impact on North Beach, one of San Franciscos' most treasured areas. Additionally, if approved, it opens the **door** for other such projects throughout our beloved city.

I am resident of the Bay Area, with deep roots in North Beach, and spend significant time in San Francisco, proudly hosting friends and family at various establishments in this iconic neighborhood.

Please do not approve this project it would be a disservice to our community.

Thank you, Teri Rousseau

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Proposed Continuance - 1145 Mission Street - Agenda Item 11 - Case No. 2007.0604X
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 3:45:26 PM

Please be advised.

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Ryan Patterson <ryan@zfplaw.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 2:58 PM
To: "Ajello Hoagland, Linda (CPC)" <linda.ajellohoagland@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)"
<jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Shoshana Raphael <shoshana@zfplaw.com>, Richard Sucre <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>, "Hillis, Rich (CPC)" <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "RivamonteMesa, Abigail (BOS)" <abigail.rivamontemesa@sfgov.org>
Subject: Proposed Continuance - 1145 Mission Street - Agenda Item 11 - Case No. 2007.0604X

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear All,

The Project Sponsor's team respectfully requests a one-month continuance in order to explore alternative project options. We agree to waive the right to include this continued hearing in the number of permissible hearings under SB 330.

Thank you, and happy New Year.

Best,

Ryan

Ryan J. Patterson Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 956-8100 Facsimile: (415) 288-9755 Email: ryan@zfplaw.com www.zfplaw.com This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: 36 Delano Avenue SF Ca 94112 2019-012567DRPDate:Tuesday, January 12, 2021 3:16:17 PMAttachments:image007.png
image008.png
image010.png
image011.png
image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: EMERALD <emeraldmoving@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:54 AM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC)
<david.winslow@sfgov.org>; emeraldmoving@yahoo.com
Subject: 36 Delano Avenue SF Ca 94112 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners & Architect, David Winslow,

Re: 2019-012567DRP

This is the perfect project for this neighborhood. I and my family support the project. I live very close <u>36 Delano on Santa Ysabel Ave.</u> This neighborhood is perfect for families. I have raised my family here. Thankfully I had enough room for them otherwise I would not be living here. The house is on a huge lot. There are other homes in the neighborhood that have expanded the envelope years ago so they could avail of living in the area. We live within walking distance to Bart and Muni. We don't need a car because of this.

The project should be approved and allow this family to remain in the neighborhood. It will also be good in the future for families to buy into this area many years to come.

Yours Sincerely

Emerald

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Hearing on 476 Lombard- wrong description
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:22:48 PM

From: "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 1:55 PM
To: Matthew Sturla <sturlamatthew@gmail.com>, "Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or"
<Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Hearing on 476 Lombard- wrong description

The agenda language has been corrected and the calendar amended at 1:00 this afternoon - 72 hours prior to the hearing as required by the Brown act.

David Winslow Principal Architect Design Review | Citywide and Current Planning San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness, Suite 1400 | San Francisco, California, 94103 T: (628) 652-7335

The Planning Department is open for business during the Shelter in Place Order. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. The Planning Commission is convening remotely and <u>the public is encouraged to participate</u>. The Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are <u>accepting appeals</u> via e-mail despite office closures. All of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended until further notice. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Matthew Sturla <sturlamatthew@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 1:22 PM
To: Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
<jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com
Cc: Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC)

<deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org> **Subject:** Hearing on 476 Lombard- wrong description

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

My Grandparents have a DR before you on January 14, 2021, but the way the project is described is completely wrong. I am asking for a continuation on their behalf so the information gets corrected and their neighbors who want to speak know they are calling in for the right project. This mistake may cause confusion for people who want to comment.

Thank you for understanding,

Matt Sturla

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Agenda Language has not been corrected and the calendar has not been amended as Mr. Winslow indicated in his email!
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:21:46 PM

From: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Reply-To: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 12:03 PM

To: Corey Teague <corey.teague@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org"

<joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas

(CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"

<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>

Subject: Agenda Language has not been corrected and the calendar has not been amended as Mr. Winslow indicated in his email!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel and Zoning Administrator Teague

Please continue the hearing on 476 Lombard! The agenda is still incorrect despite Planner Winslow's email stating it has been corrected.

I do not understand why the language for the hearing on Thursday on Item 14 - 476 Lombard has not been changed as of this morning January 12, at 11:00 am. It is not less than the 72 hours prior required by the Brown act.

Mr. Winslow stated in his email on Monday, January 11, 2021 at 1:55 pm (Original text below), that the Agenda language has been corrected and the calendar amended within the time frame required by the Brown Act.

<u>I have taken video of the pages as well as photos to confirm it has not been done. The public deserves the correct information so every voice can be heard.</u>

https://youtu.be/i4s-5yRQ2ts - Video shows the hearing notice is still incorrect as of 11:00 am Tuesday, January 12, 2021 https://youtu.be/FUg5fIRW3cU - Video shows the hearing notice is still incorrect as of

6:24pm, Monday, January 11, 2021

Supporting photos from 8:09 pm on Monday January 11, 2021 are attached. Supporting photos from 11:04 am on Tuesday, January 11, 2021 are attached.

As always, thank you for your time and attention, and I hope you will honor this request for a continuation. Staff has acknowledged the language on the agenda is incorrect, the the correct calendar has not be posted, so it has not met the deadline for correction as presented.

Shelley Bradford Bell 415-749-1083

On Monday, January 11, 2021, 01:55:51 PM PST, Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org> wrote:

The agenda language has been corrected and the calendar amended at 1:00 this afternoon - 72 hours prior to the hearing as required by the Brown act.

David Winslow

Principal Architect

Design Review | Citywide and Current Planning San Francisco Planning Department

49 South Van Ness, Suite 1400 | San Francisco, California, 94103

T: (628) 652-7335

The Planning Department is open for business during the Shelter in Place Order. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our <u>Property</u> <u>Information Map</u> are available 24/7. The Planning Commission is convening remotely and <u>the public is encouraged</u> to <u>participate</u>. The Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are <u>accepting appeals</u> via e-mail despite office closures. All of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended until further notice. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From:	<u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
To:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Continue Hearing on 476 Lombard due to wrong description
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 1:21:46 PM

From: Savannah Sturla <ssturla@berkeley.edu>
Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 10:46 AM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell
<shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"

Subject: Continue Hearing on 476 Lombard due to wrong description

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

My grandparents have a DR before you on January 14, 2021, but the way the project is described is completely wrong. I am asking for a continuation on their behalf so the information gets corrected and their neighbors who want to speak know they are calling in for the right project. This mistake may cause confusion for people who want to comment and that would not be fair to the public.

All the best, Savannah Sturla

Savannah Sturla she/her/hers

B.S. Environmental Sciences, B.S. Molecular Toxicology Minor | Class of 2021 University of California, Berkeley <u>ssturla@berkeley.edu</u> | (925) 594-9440

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW \$62 MILLION RELIEF PLAN FOR SMALL BUSINESSES
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:29:34 AM
Attachments:	1.12.21 New Small Business Relief.pdf

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 11:02 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW \$62 MILLION RELIEF PLAN FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, January 12, 2021 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW \$62 MILLION RELIEF PLAN FOR SMALL BUSINESSES

Combination of grants and loans will triple San Francisco's total direct financial support for small business during the pandemic

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced a new plan to provide immediate financial relief for small businesses struggling as a result of COVID-19. The \$62 million plan will provide a combination of grants and very low to zero-interest loans, which will complement and expand existing local, state, and federal initiatives.

Locally, San Francisco has already provided more than \$24 million in grants and loans, and recently waived an additional \$5 million in fees for our hardest hit small businesses. This new small business relief plan will triple the overall support provided by the City. This comes as the federal government has directed new funding in the expansion of the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP), and the State has launched its own Small Business COVID-19 Relief Grant Program.

"These have been long, hard months on so many of us, but in particular our small business owners and workers have really struggled," said Mayor Breed. "We have lost too many of our small businesses already during this pandemic, and this relief plan will help many businesses get through these next challenging months as the vaccine is distributed and we can begin our recovery. The San Francisco we are going to be moving forward needs our small businesses to provide jobs and make our neighborhoods vibrant again sooner for residents and visitors." "With the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines, we are finally seeing some light at the end of the tunnel, but we are not there yet, and these resources will make sure some of our favorite neighborhood businesses will still be around when we get there," said Carmen Chu, San Francisco Assessor and Co-chair of the Economic Recovery Task Force, a collaborative body responsible for compiling over 40 recommendations to help the City rebound stronger. "San Francisco will rise again and we can do this together."

Proposed Grant Program -- \$12.4 million

SF Relief Grants: The proposed grant program will provide immediate relief to help stabilize small business operations by offering grants of \$5,000 to \$20,000, based on the number of employees that each employer had in February 2020. The goal of this program will be to reach businesses across the City and in high need neighborhoods in particular. This program will support small businesses operated by people of color, women, long-standing businesses, those most impacted by Stay at Home orders, and those that were excluded from or otherwise unable to access state and federal programs. Businesses will be required to have a San Francisco location and/or a San Francisco business license to operate. These funds will be distributed quickly to help address impacts of the current surge, with more substantial loan funds to follow supporting businesses as they reopen and bring people back to work.

Proposed Loan Program -- Up to \$50 million

SF Community Investment Loans: This planned loan program is aimed at supporting businesses by providing working capital, especially to those left out of existing relief programs. This will include businesses that normally generate more than \$2.5 million in annual revenue, including many restaurants. To meet the overwhelming need created by COVID-19, during the past year, the City has successfully leveraged investments to maximize available loans to small businesses. Working with the City's established local community lending partners as well as federal and state government, the City plans to leverage this latest, unprecedented investment to make a \$50 million loan program available, offering San Francisco small businesses very low to zero-interest loans ranging up to \$250,000. By targeting small community anchor businesses that employ more people, with an ultimate aim of retaining and creating new jobs, the loan program will help stabilize San Francisco's local workforce. This plan also includes a focus on microloans for businesses who may not otherwise be in a position to borrow capital.

"We're a city that tackles challenges head on and through this pandemic, we've seen our communities step up in support of our neighborhood small businesses who rely on everyday purchases to stay open," said Joaquin Torres, Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. "The announcement of today's financial relief plan reflects the City's commitment toward a more equitable future by investing millions to support our mom and pop shops and their workers who are struggling to make ends meet. Together, with state and federal resources, we'll ensure our businesses have the capital to stay open, preserve jobs, and provide a continuity of services for the public over the next few months as we move toward an aggressive economic recovery."

The Mayor is working with Departments to analyze their budgets and spending in order to identify any possible savings that could be used to support our small businesses. This program will be funded by these departmental expenditure savings. This funding will require a

supplemental ordinance to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, which will be introduced in January.

"The devastating effect on our small businesses due to the pandemic has really crushed a vital part of our economy in SF. The millions of dollars in assistance, for these businesses from this relief plan, is the lifeline that we need to help a lot of our businesses survive," said Board of Supervisors President Shamann Walton. "I am super excited about the grants that will be provided from this funding and zero interest loans will also help maintain a safety net for our businesses. I am thrilled that this support is available, while we continue to fight off the virus and get to the point where we can reopen safely."

"In the face of budget deficit, our city is working hard to identify funding to provide a lifeline for our local merchants," said Supervisor Connie Chan. "We know the only way we can get through this pandemic is for all of us to work together and find creative solutions, including more grant programs to support our small businesses and working people. I'm committed to do whatever I can to meet that responsibility."

"The pandemic put our local economy into survival mode, and no one has been hurt harder than our small business community," said Supervisor Catherine Stefani. "Small businesses employ half of the workers and make our neighborhoods vibrant places to live. They are the backbone of our city, and we need to do everything we can to support them. This relief package is an important step toward providing small businesses the stabilization they will need to survive."

"Our city is hemorrhaging small businesses: The Cliff House, Slims, The Stud, the list of businesses we've lost during the pandemic goes on, and on," said Supervisor Matt Haney. "If we don't act swiftly, we're in danger of losing many more of our most iconic businesses that contribute so much to our city. As Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, I am proud to stand by the Mayor in announcing this package to help our small businesses. These funds can't come soon enough."

"The pandemic has already permanently shuttered far too many San Francisco small businesses and we know that even more will follow in the coming months if we don't intervene," said Supervisor Rafael Mandelman. "This relief plan is the sort of decisive and impactful action from City Hall that our small businesses need to stay afloat. The \$62 million in this plan triples the amount of support we have previously provided small businesses and we must continue to find ways to do even more."

"I'm pleased to see we're finally giving the people what they want: direct relief," said Supervisor Peskin. "Over and over, small businesses have told us that to survive this pandemic, they must pay their employees, insurance and bills. People are suffering, and small business relief funds should be going directly to employers and employees, not landlords and consultants."

Since the beginning of the pandemic, the City of San Francisco has provided immediate and ongoing support for small businesses, including more than \$24 million in grants and loans to more than 1,230 businesses, tens of millions of dollars in fee and tax deferrals, and assistance applying for state and federal funding. Additionally, just last week, the Board of Supervisors passed the Mayor's legislation waiving \$5 million in fees and taxes for entertainment and nightlife venues and small restaurants, meaning that businesses that receive a waiver do not

have to pay back the fees at a later date.

In addition to creating and supporting programs that respond to the urgent and ongoing needs of COVID-19, Mayor Breed has continued to invest in programs that regularly support small businesses in San Francisco, including the Nonprofit Sustainability Initiative and Grants for the Arts. Lastly, the City has advanced numerous initiatives to make it easier to operate and open businesses during COVID-19 and beyond, such as the Shared Spaces program and the Save Our Small Businesses ballot measure, which voters approved in November 2020. More information about San Francisco's support for small businesses is available <u>here</u>.

"This is the biggest relief plan the City has pursued for small business since the pandemic started, and will save thousands of desperately needed jobs and businesses throughout the City," said Sharky Laguana, San Francisco Small Business Commissioner. "With a vaccine now starting to be deployed, making sure that everyone who needs it has a bridge to economic recovery is critical to getting back on our feet as quickly as possible."

"Many of our mid-sized restaurants are the anchors of our neighborhood corridors and represent some of the longer operating businesses in the city. Expanding access to allow more mid-sized restaurants to apply will support this heavily impacted industry," said Laurie Thomas, Executive Director, Golden Gate Restaurant Association. "We are thankful for the continued efforts on the part of the city leaders to try to help save our restaurant community."

"I'm grateful that the Mayor and her team are making efforts to get critically needed funds to our restaurants," said Mat Shuster, Chef & Owner, Canela Bistro. "Without continued financial assistance to restaurants like mine that have been operating in the Castro for the past ten years, we will struggle to survive."

"Small food operators like myself are dealing with unprecedented challenges from COVID-19. These City investments are vital to maintaining the operations of businesses like mine," said Tiffany Carter of Boug Cali. "Funds from the City's Small Business grant programs are a lifeline and have given my business the opportunity to deliver meals and groceries to some of our most vulnerable residents and essential workers around the city."

###

From: **CPC-Commissions Secretary** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Updegrave, Samantha (CPC) To: Subject: FW: Petition Signers Supporting 550 O"Farrell Street Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 11:12:13 AM Attachments: 550 O"Farrell Petition Signers.xlsx image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Corey Smith <corey@sfhac.org>

Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:58 AM

To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>;
Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>;
Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC)
<rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>
Cc: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Laura Clark
<laura@yimbyaction.org>; Todd David <todd@sfhac.org>
Subject: Petition Signers Supporting 550 O'Farrell Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Members of the San Francisco Planning Commission,

On behalf of the Housing Action Coalition and SF YIMBY, please see the attached list of petition signers supporting the housing proposal at 550 O'Farrell Street. We also have a link <u>here</u> to the Housing Action Coalition's Report Card.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Respectfully, Corey Smith Deputy Director, HAC

Corey Smith 陈锐 I Pronouns: he/him Deputy Director | Bay Area Housing Advocacy Coalition Deputy Director | San Francisco Housing Action Coalition 95 Brady Street, San Francisco, CA 94103 Office (415) 541-9001 | Cell (925) 360-5290 Email: corey@sfhac.org | Web: sfhac.org

????

Join us at our 18th Annual Housing Heroes Awards on October 7th! <u>Go to the event page</u> for tickets and sponsorship information.

From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: 2019-012567DRP 36 delanoDate:Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:50:54 AMAttachments:image007.png
image008.png
image009.png
image010.png
image011.png
image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: roger ryan <rogerryan123@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 10:40 AM
To: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary
<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; roger ryan <rogerryan123@gmail.com>
Subject: 2019-012567DRP 36 delano

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

36 Delano Avenue SF Ca 94112 2019-012567DRP

Dear Commissioners & Mr. David Winslow,

Re: 2019-012567DRP

I live in this beautiful diverse neighborhood for many years. I know the family at 36 Delaon street. We are blessed to have such wonderful people in our community. As you may know that we live near to a transit corridor. The home is too small for a family of 4 where 2 kids are sharing a room. 36 Delano has the opportunity to expand their home. This is fantastic as we need more families in our city now and in the future. This home is small compared to the lot size and needs to expand in order to accommodate this family. In addition if this family has another kid they could be forced from our neighbourhood and community. This would be a great loss to us.

This is the perfect project for this neighborhood. I fully support the project. I live one block from 36 Delano on Santa Ysabel Ave.

Thank You roger ryan

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Sharing support for UCSF"s Parnassus and community benefits

 Date:
 Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:29:20 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Colette Lucas-Conwell <Colette.LucasConwell.403852431@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 10:47 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Sharing support for UCSF's Parnassus and community benefits

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I am a SF resident, and support the UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan (CPHP). A revitalized campus will help UCSF encourage sustainable transportation choices and reduce the campus' carbon footprint.

Any and all housing is welcome! It takes inordinate amounts of funding, and guts, to take on a project of this size. I am wholeheartedly behind this.

Without the CPHP, UCSF will be unable to treat over 3,000 patients annually who seek care but cannot be accommodated due to an insufficient number of hospital beds. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive community engagement and internal planning process. I heard about the plan and associated benefits during the planning process and am excited about the vision. These updates

reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities based on years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community input. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community. The plan also addresses everyday neighborhood challenges identified by the campus' direct neighbors, including transit, housing, and open space.

I ask for your support of UCSF, the CPHP, and community benefits. Thank you.

Colette Lucas-Conwell 3030 Larkin St San Francisco, CA 94109

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: A shared future for UCSF Parnassus Heights

 Date:
 Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:29:00 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Kevin Kress <Kevin.Kress.404076982@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 5:04 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: A shared future for UCSF Parnassus Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

I'm a strong supporter of new holding and transit in San Francisco. I am a SF resident, supporter, and advocate for UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and community benefits.

These important updates will allow UCSF to utilize smart urban planning to address local transportation, housing, and open space needs. Without the plan to revitalize UCSF Parnassus Heights and build a new hospital, UCSF will be unable to invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community. I learned about the CPHP and community benefits through my community organization and support these vital improvements. I recently learned about the plans for renewed campus, hospital, and neighborhood improvements and am excited by the vision. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive community engagement and internal planning process. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities, while addressing

everyday neighborhood challenges such as open space, transit, and housing. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve our community.

Thank you, and I ask for your support for these critical investments in UCSF Parnassus Heights.

Kevin Kress 808 Minnesota St San Francisco, CA 94107



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Man

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Linda Knox <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 8:44 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

 \cdot Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

 \cdot Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

 \cdot Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Linda Knox

4153473942 1 Arbor St San Francisco, CA 94131 Constituent

Prepared by OneCliePolitics (m) at <u>https://www.unf.grotection.com/i/wil</u> e-www.seciedeolitics.com.ex/wMA/M_MA/III/JOHNS_wb/2/MUNNIL/SS:/MissADD/YNNTASMe.INTE27d_NMID/YIP-Ye2/zel-NDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.INTE27d_NDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.INTE27d_NDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.INTE27d_NDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.INTE27d_NDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.WF/2/ZID/2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASMe.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/YNNTASME.wb/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/DDI2/GIOCHI/MID/2/XA/DN/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/ZID/2/

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: 2019-012567DRP 36 Delano st Project

 Date:
 Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:27:42 AM

 Attachments:
 image008.png

 image009.png
 image010.png

 image010.png
 image011.png

 image012.png
 image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Paddy <thepaddywagongroup@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 8:04 PM

To: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary

<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: 2019-012567DRP 36 Delano st Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear David & Commissioners Regarding 36 Delano St

I know the owners of 36 Delano they are a great family.

The neighborhood welcomes more density as it is on transit corridor within 1-1/2 blocks. of a main line that take you to downtown eliminating the need for parking. This home has no parking garage. These homes are ideal for this type of expansion especially with the large back yard. In my opinion This is the perfect project for this neighborhood. I fully support the project. I live within one block of the project.at 36 Delano.

Kind Regards Paddy Wago From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: 36 Delano Avenue SF Ca 94112 2019-012567DRPDate:Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:27:19 AMAttachments:image007.png
image008.png
image010.png
image011.png
image012.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Ryan Properties <ryanproperties123@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 6:37 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC)
<david.winslow@sfgov.org>; Ryan Properties <ryanproperties123@gmail.com>

Subject: 36 Delano Avenue SF Ca 94112 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

Regarding the above project,

I have lived and worked in the mission terrace neighborhood since the late 1990's. The neighborhood needs more density as it is on a transit corridor (J Church)These homes are ideal for all types of residences. However, 36 Delano has the opportunity to expand their footprint of their home. It is a perfect solution for this family and for future families to have this home expand. This lot is large enough to expand into the big back garden. I am surprised they are not making the extension to this property larger. In my opinion it will not impede on the neighbors. This is the perfect for this neighborhood. I fully support the project. I live ½ block from 36 Delano.

Thank You Ryan Family

From: **CPC-Commissions Secretary** Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) Cc: Subject: FW: Letter of Support for 2020-006575CUA Date: Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:27:05 AM Attachments: Letter of Support for 2020-006575CUA.pdf image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Naz Khorram <naz@arcanasf.com>

Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 6:26 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Christensen, Michael (CPC)

<michael.christensen@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>

Cc: William Dolan <will@560valencia.com>

Subject: Letter of Support for 2020-006575CUA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners and Planning Team,

Please find my letter of support for Will Dolan's proposed project located at 560 Valencia Street, attached to this email. Thank you for your time,

Naz Khorram Founder Pronouns: They/Them

2512 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94110 415.992.2565 www.arcanasf.com

From: Cc: Subject:	<u>CPC-Commissions Secretary</u> <u>Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Christensen, Michael (CPC)</u> FW: 321 Florida St, 2018-016808ENX
Date:	Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:26:41 AM
Attachments:	neighbor analysis (003).pdf image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Michael Priddy <michael.priddy@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 4:11 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: 321 Florida St, 2018-016808ENX

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Michael Priddy

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Letter in support of remodel at 36 Delano Ave. 2019-012567DRP

 Date:
 Tuesday, January 12, 2021 9:25:41 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png image012.ong

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: petear@pacbell.net <petear@pacbell.net>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 3:48 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter in support of remodel at 36 Delano Ave. 2019-012567DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm writing this letter to the San Francisco Planning Commission. I have reviewed and support the remodel at 36 Delano Ave. I live at 14 Delano Ave. I feel the design is appropriate for the block. I've been living on this block since 1976 and the neighborhood always had families with children and since the Campbell Family moved in they added to the joy of living here. May I also add with a growing family the space will be needed for their home. I have also seen that the Campbell Family is very involved in neighborhood improvements i.e. Balboa Park, Halloween celebration on the block and tree planting.

In full support Signed, Peter and Christine Arenas 14 Delano Ave.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 4:02:47 PM

From: Teri Rousseau <terimmc@aol.com>

Reply-To: Teri Rousseau <terimmc@aol.com>

Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 11:39 AM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"

<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"

<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank

(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner,

Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"

<david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

This is a request to have item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021 Calendar continued because the project in incorrectly identified. The project described is NOT the 476 Lombard Street project. This is misleading and could prevent the public who may want to weigh in on the project from commenting because they do not realize it is the project of their concern or support. The public should have the accurate description for proper disclosure to allow for honest dialogue at the commission meeting. This is not as the agenda describes a 3-story addition to the front of a building. There is no new garage, and no front addition. The public near accurate information. Please continue this to a future meeting.

Thank You. Teri Rousseau

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 4:02:39 PM

From: Jessica Rousseau < jrousseau112@gmail.com>

Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 11:45 AM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"

<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"

<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
conduct in the state of th

(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner,

Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"

<david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

This is a request to have item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021 Calendar continued because the project in incorrectly identified. The project described is NOT the 476 Lombard Street project. This is misleading and could prevent the public who may want to weigh in on the project from commenting because they do not realize it is the project of their concern or support. The public should have the accurate description for proper disclosure to allow for honest dialogue at the commission meeting. This is not as the agenda describes a 3-story addition to the front of a building. There is no new garage, and no front addition. The public near accurate information. Please continue this to a future meeting.

Thank You. Jessica Rousseau

From:	<u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 476 Lombard Project Meeting
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 4:01:12 PM

From: Brandon Sturla <basturla@comcast.net> Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 1:38 PM To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: 476 Lombard Project Meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

This is a request to have item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021 Calendar continued because the project in incorrectly identified. The project described is NOT the 476 Lombard Street project. This is misleading and could prevent the public who may want to weigh in on the project from commenting because they do not realize it is the project of their concern or support. The public should have the accurate description for proper disclosure to allow for honest dialogue at the commission meeting. This is not as the agenda describes a 3-story addition to the front of a building. There is no new garage, and no front addition. The public near accurate information. Please continue this to a future meeting.

Sincerely, Brandon Sturla

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: UCSF Parnassus expansion - strongl oppose UCSF's plans for three reasons:
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 4:00:43 PM

From: MARY MCFADDEN <marycmcf@comcast.net>

Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 1:43 PM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)"

<kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond,

Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>,

Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)"

<rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>

Cc: "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>

Subject: UCSF Parnassus expansion - strongl oppose UCSF's plans for three reasons:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

January 11, 2021

To the San Francisco Planning Commission

RE: UCSF Parnassus expansion

To whom it may concern:

I vehemently oppose UCSF's plans to double the size if its Parnassus Campus for three reasons:

- 1. It violates legal agreements made by UCSF in 1976, 1987, 1996, and again in 2014.
- 2. It will contribute to global warming and increase environmental dangers
- 3. Perpetual growth, as modeled by UCSF, is counterproductive and undermines a healthy society.

<u>Growth</u> is the stuff of kudzu and cancer cells. It feeds on the hosts and thrives on the mythology of meritocracy. It contains within its own arguments the case against it –

namely, the contradiction that adding more people to an already expensive and dense area will somehow remedy the problems created by adding more people to an already expensive and dense area.

We cannot build out of a housing crisis. For San Francisco to accommodate the yearly influx of 12,000 people in a hotel room sized studio, would require building a Salesforce Tower every year. This is neither financially nor environmentally feasible. Our infrastructure did not function well before the pandemic. The quandary of where public funds will come from for infrastructure after the pandemic will last for a generation.

Affordable housing has been promised by every developer, but less than 30% gets built and of that 25% is allowed to convert to market rate units after a short time. The areas suitable for innovative housing/work complexes –underutilized shopping malls like Stonestown and empty areas left by Candlestick and the vast swaths of the Bayview shipyards, and now empty office space – are ignored.

The <u>environmental impact</u> of perpetual growth was seen in our orange sky. Global warming was starkly evident last year when we were on fire for six months. Adding 2,000,000 sq ft of office space will generate both heat and shadow, a combination that will increase pollution and reduce possibility for clean air.

According to NASA, the average temperature of San Francisco has risen by 4* F in the last ten years, and 3*F in the ten years before that. It is due primarily to the loss of green space (largely the elimination of 70% of the backyards and dearth of street greenery and small parks that absorb CO2 and hold water). Secondarily it is due to the colossal amount of building that turned San Francisco into a heat island where the high rises generate and reflect heat and the increasing roof space that retains heat before directing it into the atmosphere.

California's water table is at 27% of what it was twenty years ago; it is now beyond restoration. There is no water. We are not going to get more water. Desalinization proponents neglect to mention that it creates 1.6 times as much toxic waste as it does potable water. Conservation is irrelevant; you cannot conserve what does not exist.

Lastly, agreeing to this expansion in <u>violation of legal agreements</u> sets a dangerous precedent. If contracts can be broken, they are not contracts, they are official lies. This is dangerous to democracy and undermines rule of law. We have seen the consequences.

UCSF is a public entity that functions mostly to serve private interests. It receives more federal funds and state funds than any other organization in the country and has for thirteen years. Of their \$8 billion budget, 36% goes to administration, merely 19% to patient care. Only \$186 million is for low cost or free care, mostly in dental school clinics and for out of city services. Much of UCSF space is managed by UCSF, but occupied by private companies using public resources.

When UCSF built Mission Bay, it received variances and made hay from the claim

that this project would accommodate them for the next twenty years. As it is with the pro-growth advocates, the contradiction lies within the premise. Growth cannot resolve the problems created by growth anymore than rolling in poison oak creates immunity to poison oak.

I urge the commission to deny this expansion and ask that they be aware of how much injury 2,000,000 sq feet of poison oak will cause to the bodies that come in contact with it.

Mary McFadden San Francisco, CA



Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Nees Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map fi y o •• ┢ 🖂

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Theresa Cho <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 1:55 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

· Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, Theresa Cho

tcho.stjohns@gmail.com 4152387459 25 Lake St. San Francisco, CA 94118 Constituent

Prepared by OneClickPolitics (m) at https://www.mi-gootecies.com/lot? **arwww.meclickpolitics.com/de/lython/**

From:	Updegrave, Samantha (CPC)
То:	Koppel, Joel (CPC); Tanner, Rachael (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Chan, Deland (CPC);
	Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC)
Cc:	Asbagh, Claudine (CPC); McKellar, Jennifer (CPC); CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject:	550 O"Farrell Street Exhibit E Project Sponsor Brief
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 2:20:21 PM
Attachments:	b attachment E Sponsor Brief 550 O Farrell Commission Brief.PDF

Good afternoon, Commissioners.

The Project Sponsor Brief (Exhibit E) in the electronic packet didn't merge correctly when I put the exhibits together. I've attached a copy for your reference. Apologies for any inconvenience.

Thank you. See you Thursday.

Samantha Updegrave, LEED AP, Senior Planner (she/her) Northeast Team, Current Planning Division San Francisco Planning PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17: 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7322 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map0

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: A shared future for UCSF Parnassus Heights

 Date:
 Monday, January 11, 2021 1:02:38 PM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Cristina Cordova <Cristina.Cordova.404095323@p2a.co>
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 12:15 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: A shared future for UCSF Parnassus Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern,

It's critical that we continue to build more affordable housing and support UCSF's investment in San Francisco. I am a SF resident, supporter, and advocate for UCSF's Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan and community benefits.

These important updates will allow UCSF to remain committed to San Francisco and UCSF's mission to serve the community. Without the plan to revitalize UCSF Parnassus Heights and build a new hospital, UCSF will be unable to put San Franciscans back to work and revitalize the local economy devastated by COVID-19. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive community engagement and internal planning process. These updates reflect both the University's mission and community's priorities, while addressing everyday neighborhood challenges such as open space, transit, and housing. I appreciate UCSF and the City/County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local

investments that best serve our community.

Thank you, and I ask for your support for these critical investments in UCSF Parnassus Heights.

Cristina Cordova 235 Jersey St San Francisco, CA 94114



Commission Affairs Sam Francisco Dianning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 1 ywww.sfalannina.org San Francisco Proceeding Maga

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: First Church <myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com> Sent: Monday, January 11, 2021 11:17 AM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Re: Support for the 450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing this letter in support of Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist and Forge Development Partners '450 O'Farrell Essential Housing project in San Francisco.

The project team has worked hard to redesign this already approved and permitted project to better meet the needs of the Tenderloin neighborhood. The improved project offers the following:

· 302 essential housing apartments, which provide well-designed housing for small families, in place of 176 luxury apartments

· Increasing the number of below market rate (BMR) apartments from 28 to 45 and lowering their qualifying income from 55% AMI to 40% AMI

 \cdot Adding roughly 7,000 square feet of community serving retail space

· Providing a new Church facility and Reading Room for Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist to better serve the community

· Directly addressing the middle-income housing demand in the City

We urgently need more developments like this that allow San Francisco's essential workers to live in San Francisco – an income sector that has to date been largely ignored by the market, and also to provide BMR housing for our most economically vulnerable populations.

Thank you in advance for your approval of this project and your commitment to providing much needed housing in San Francisco's neighborhoods.

Sincerely, First Church firstchurchofcs@sbcglobal.net

First Church firstchurchofcs@sbcglobal.net 4156733544 1700 Franklin Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Constituent

Peptereb by OneCleAdValues (m) at <u>https://www.mi-peterection.com/vlutf</u>

From:	<u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
To:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 476 Lombard Street Hearing: Request for Reschedule
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:56:41 AM

From: Vanessa Sturla <vis.dem@comcast.net> Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 10:10 AM To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org> Subject: 476 Lombard Street Hearing: Request for Reschedule

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Koppel,

I am contacting you in regards to the Design Review scheduled for 1/14/21 for the property at 476 Lombard Street.

Please note that the project description on the published agenda is completely incorrect. I am requesting that the DR be rescheduled in order to make the necessary corrections.

Thank you for your time and immediate attention to this matter.

Sincerely, Vanessa Sturla

From:	<u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Reschedule 476 Lombard Design Review
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:55:46 AM

From: "johnsturla medallion1.com" <johnsturla@medallion1.com>
Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 8:48 AM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell
<shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"

Subject: Reschedule 476 Lombard Design Review

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel

I am writing about concerns regarding the design review on 476 Lombard on January 14, 2021.

The project description is incorrect.

This information should be corrected, so all public concern about the project will be accurate.

I would like to request the meeting be rescheduled to allow time to present corrections.

Thank You

John Sturla Medallion / PDS 2434 Polvorosa Ave. San Leandro, Ca. 94577, USA 510-351-8104#214, Cell 510-604-9138.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: DR for 476 Lombard - continuance requested
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:55:24 AM

From: Mary Carzoli <m_carzoli@hotmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 11, 2021 at 8:20 AM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell
<shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner,
Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"
<david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: DR for 476 Lombard - continuance requested

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

It appears that the DR for 476 Lombard is listed with incorrect project information. It seems like the commission could continue this item so it contains the right information in the future?

Sincerely,

Mary Carzoli

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Significant error - item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please postpone and correct error.
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:54:48 AM

From: Bill Sherlock <aircraftservice@me.com>
Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 10:44 PM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "lonin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell
<shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Cc: "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)"
<rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>
Subject: Significant error - item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please postpone and correct error.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows 476 Lombard Street as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. This is a grossly misleading error and obviously refers to a different project! We require this item be postponed to enable adequate time for North Beach neighbors to address issues on the correct project! Please postpone the DR until corrected information has been distributed and the neighbors have have time to study and provide informed comment.

Thank you in anticipation of your satisfactory response.

Bill Sherlock

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Major error in item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please continue item with correction
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:54:42 AM

From: "Franck, Linda" <Linda.Franck@ucsf.edu>

Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 10:04 PM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"

<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: Major error in item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please continue item with correction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows 476 Lombard Street as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. This is completely wrong. We need this item continued so North Beach neighbors can have a say on the right project. Please continue this item and direct staff to send out the right project information. Sincerely, Linda Franck

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:54:34 AM

From: Natalie Rousseau <nrousseau112@gmail.com>

Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 9:24 PM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" < joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"

<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>

Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: Please Continue the Hearing on 476 Lombard, the agenda show the Wrong Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is a request to have item 14, 2018-017283DRP for 476 LOMBARD STREET on your January 14, 2021 Calendar continued because the project in incorrectly identified. The project described is NOT the 476 Lombard Street project. This is misleading and could prevent the public who may want to weigh in on the project from commenting because they do not realize it is the project of their concern or support. The public should have the accurate description for proper disclosure to allow for honest dialogue at the commission meeting. This is not as the agenda describes a 3-story addition to the front of a building. There is no new garage, and no front addition. The public near accurate information. Please continue this to a future meeting.

Thank You,

Natalie Rousseau

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 476 Lombard hearing must be continued as the item is not properly identified in the agenda
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:54:27 AM

From: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Reply-To: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 7:56 PM
To: "Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or" <Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "lonin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"
<david.winslow@sfgov.org>, Michelle <mdragusa@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Fw: 476 Lombard hearing must be continued as the item is not properly identified in the agenda

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted

sources.

Message I received from a neighbor about the agenda error. As you can see it is a problem for some.

Shelley Bradford-Bell

----- Forwarded Message -----From: Michelle <mdragusa@sbcglobal.net> To: "shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com" <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com> Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021, 02:39:44 PM PST Subject: 476

Subject: 476 Lombard hearing must be continued as the item is not properly identified in the agenda

Dear Sandy,

I want to speak on the 476 Lombard Street DR, but when I went to the agenda I was confused by the description. I contacted the neighbor who filed the DR and learned that description given is not the right project information. This is not fair and the Commission should continue this item so anyone who wants to speak on it has the right

information.

Michelle Ragusa 434 A Lombard

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Request for Coninuance - Noticing for Project at 476 Lombard Improperly Posted!
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:54:03 AM
Attachments:	Agenda for January 14, 2021 Meeting.pdf
	Talking Points for DR.pdf

From: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Reply-To: Shelley Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com>
Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 5:45 PM
To: "Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or" <Joel.Koppel@sfgov.or>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)"
<deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"

Subject: Request for Coninuance - Noticing for Project at 476 Lombard Improperly Posted!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

It has come to my attention that the DR scheduled for January 14, 2021 is correctly described as a 3 story addition to the front of a building, with other elements that have nothing to do with the project at 476 Lombard. The wrong project has been referenced and people have become, and will become confused.

We are seeking a continuation of this item so that staff can go back and properly notice and describe the project in question.

This has the potential of causing people to be to confused to call in because they do not want to be embarrassed they are responding to the wrong notice.

This is too important a building in North Beach, to have a hiccup with the noticing process. I have attached my email to Supervisor Peskin, which includes the text copied from last weeks' and this weeks' calendars.

I'm available to answer any questions should you need to speak to me.

Sincerely,

Shelley Bradford Bell DR Requestor's Representative 415-749-1083

----- Forwarded Message -----From: Shelley Bradford-Bell <shelley@shelleybradfordbell.com> To: Aaron Peskin <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Hepner Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org> Sent: Saturday, January 9, 2021, 06:41:09 PM PST Subject: Noticing for Project at 476 Lombard Improperly Posted!

Hello Supervisor Peskin

The Discretionary Review for 476 Lombard is Scheduled for Thursday January 14th, but I have just discovered that it has been completely misidentified in Calendar for Thursday, January 14, 2021 as well as on last weeks Calendar for the continuance.

The Lot and Block are Correct but the project description is **wrong!** This is a single family home 2 story home, built in 1926 by Louis Mastrapasque (Julius Castle), seeking to add a 3-floor addition, and REDUCE parking from 4 down to 2 parking spots.

There are not two units and there is no horizontal addition at the front to create a three car garage.

People will be confused. It is misleading. This item needs to be properly noticed.

I am going to seek a continuation because of this error, but I am respectfully requesting your help in getting it rescheduled, so residents of North Beach can express any concerns they may have regarding this Historic property.

I have created a website that details all the issues with this project, that we are perfectly prepared to fight for, but the noticing is so flawed, like so much else about this project, that people may not call in or email their concerns.

So the website is http://www.save476lombard.com

Even the original description that appeared on the Planning website was wrong, calling it a 3-story instead of 2-story building. But at least the rest of the information was correct.

2018-017283DRP: 476 Lombard Street - between Stockton and Grant Streets; Lot 017A in Assessor's Block 0062 (District 3) - Request for Discretionary Review of building permit 2018.1019.3722. to construct a horizontal addition and a new elevator and roof deck to the existing **three-story (its a two-story)**, 3,192-square-foot single-family residence within the RH-3 (Residential House, Three-Family) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h). For further information, call David Winslow at (628) 652-7335 or email at <u>david.winslow@sfgov.org</u> and ask about Case No. 2018-017283DRP.

This is the description in last weeks continuation calendar and this weeks DR calendar:

2018-017283DRP (D. WINSLOW: (628) 652-7335) 476 LOMBARD STREET – between Stockton and Grant Streets; Lot 017A in Assessor's Block 0062 (District 3) – Request for Discretionary Review of Building Permit no. 2018.1019.3722 for the construction of a one-story vertical addition and two-level below grade addition and a horizontal addition at the front to create a three-car garage; existing lightwells reduced, and decks added at the front and rear of a two-unit building within a RH-3 (ResidentialHouse, Three Family) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. One of the two units will be enlarged 226% (6,904 gsf total). This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h). Preliminary Recommendation: Do Not Take Discretionary Review and Approve (Proposed for Continuance to January 14, 2021)

2018-017283DRP (D. WINSLOW: (628) 652-7335) 476 LOMBARD STREET – between Stockton and Grant Streets; Lot 017A in Assessor's Block 0062 (District 3) – Request for Discretionary Review of Building Permit no. 2018.1019.3722 for the construction of a one-story vertical addition and two-level below grade addition and a horizontal addition at the front to create a three-car garage; existing lightwells reduced, and decks added at the front and rear of a two-unit building within a RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. One of the two units will be enlarged 226% (6,904 gsf total). This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h). Preliminary Recommendation: Do Not Take Discretionary Review and Approve (Continued from Regular hearing on January 7, 2021)

I hope you can assist in getting this rescheduled. So much information surrounding this project has been incorrect. People may not realize this is the item they want to comment on.

Thank you in advance Supervisor Peskin for any assistance you can provide to make sure this item is properly describe and vetted before the public.

Sincerely,

Shelley Bradford Bell 415-749-1083 home 415-724-0136 Cell

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Error in item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please continue item with correction
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:53:50 AM

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org <<u>http://www.sfplanning.org/</u>> San Francisco Property Information Map <<u>https://sfplanninggis.org/pim/</u>>

On 1/10/21, 5:03 PM, "Charles Tobias" <tobiascharles46@gmail.com> wrote:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows 476 Lombard Street as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. After some investigation, I find that this is not correct. The item needs to be continued so North Beach neighbors can have a say on the right project! Please continue this item and direct staff to send out the right project information.

Sincerely. Charles Tobias

From:	<u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
To:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 476 Lombard Street
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:53:39 AM

From: David Tobias <d.tobias3@icloud.com>
Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 4:04 PM
To: "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: 476 Lombard Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Tobias <d.tobias3@icloud.com> Date: January 10, 2021 at 4:02:36 PM PST To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org Subject: Fwd: 476 Lombard Street

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows <u>476 Lombard St</u>reet as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. This is completely wrong! We need this item continued so North Beach neighbors can have a say on the right project! Please continue this item and direct staff to send out the right project information. <u>Sincerely</u>,

David Tobias

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: David Tobias <d.tobias3@icloud.com> Date: January 10, 2021 at 3:58:08 PM PST To: Joel.Koppel@sfgov.org Subject: 476 Lombard Street

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows <u>476 Lombard St</u>reet as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. This is completely wrong! We need this item continued so North Beach neighbors can have a say on the right project! Please continue this item and direct staff to send out the right project information. <u>Sincerely</u>, David Tobias

Sent from my iPad

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Subject: Major error in item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please continue item with correction
Date:	Monday, January 11, 2021 10:53:01 AM

From: Elizabeth Whitley <irshyank@gmail.com>
Date: Sunday, January 10, 2021 at 3:27 PM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)"
<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Tanner, Rachael (CPC)" <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)"
<david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Chan, Deland (CPC)" <deland.chan@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank
(CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>,
"Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial
<theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>

Subject: Subject: Major error in item 14, 2018-017283DRP, 476 Lombard St – please continue item with correction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel,

Your January 14, 2021 agenda shows <u>476 Lombard St</u>reet as an addition to the front of a building to add parking spaces. This is completely wrong! We need this item continued so North Beach neighbors can have a say on the right project! Please continue this item and direct staff to send out the right project information.

<u>Sincerely</u>, Sally Elizabeth Whitley From:CPC-Commissions SecretaryCc:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)Subject:FW: Modernizing UCSF Parnassus HeightsDate:Monday, January 11, 2021 8:34:30 AMAttachments:image007.png
image008.png
image009.png
image010.png
image011.png
image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Brett Byron <Brett.Byron.403763241@p2a.co>
Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 4:02 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Modernizing UCSF Parnassus Heights

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

My name is Brett Byron. I am a SF resident, supporter, and support the UCSF Comprehensive Parnassus Heights Plan, which will allow UCSF to expand patient care, construct new housing, and bring transit solutions to San Francisco.

NIMBYISM is killing our city. I support increased housing and all the benefits that come as a byproduct.

Without the plan to revitalize UCSF Parnassus Heights and build a new hospital, UCSF will be unable to invest millions in transportation, affordable housing, and a new hospital for the community.

These updates reflect years of internal collaboration, neighborhood engagement, and community

input. I appreciate UCSF led an extensive community engagement and internal planning process. I also appreciate UCSF and the City and County of San Francisco have been working together to advance local investments that best serve the community.

Thank you, and I ask for your support of UCSF's commitment to the community and Parnassus Heights.

Brett Byron 1980 Washington St San Francisco, CA 94109

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Switzky, Joshua (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: UCSF Parnassus Extension Request

 Date:
 Monday, January 11, 2021 8:32:06 AM

 Attachments:
 image007.png image008.png image009.png image010.png image011.png image011.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Richard Drury <richard@lozeaudrury.com>

Sent: Friday, January 08, 2021 5:43 PM

To: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Cc: ed.leonard@gmail.com; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> **Subject:** UCSF Parnassus Extension Request

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors Preston, Peskin, and Safai:

I am writing on behalf of the Parnassus Neighborhood Coalition ("PNC"), a group of residents living in the Parnassus Heights neighborhood, to strongly support the proposed resolution to urge the UC Regents to continue consideration of the Environmental Impact Report for the UCSF Parnassus expansion project to the March 2021 meeting of the Regents. This is a massive project that will add over 2 million square feet to the UCSF Parnassus Campus. This is larger than the SalesForce Tower, and will have dramatic impacts on the surrounding residential communities. The Regents have still not released the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Project. While CEQA allows a bare minimum ten day review period for the Final EIR, (CEQA 21092.5), given the scope and magnitude of this project, ten days is clearly not sufficient to allow the affected public and decision-makers to consider and comment on the Final EIR. The need for the extension is particularly acute given the COVID pandemic, which complicates communication with consultants, and community members required to review the Final EIR. At the minimum, there should be at least a 45 day period for the public, Supervisors, and Regents to review and comment on the Final EIR. We therefore fully support the proposal to continue consideration of the Final EIR to the March 2021 meeting of the Regents.

I would like to emphasize the PNC supports the need to modernize and seismically upgrade the campus and hospital. However, we believe that this should be done consistent with the recently adopted 2014 Long Range Development Plan, which recognized the "permanent" 3.55 million square foot space cap at the Parnassus Campus. We believe that a new hospital can be located at Parnassus consistent with the space cap, while additional hospital space should be located at other locations, such as Hunters Point, or Mount Zion. This would allow for a modern, seismically safe hospital, while still complying with the Regents' long-standing resolution to maintain a permanent space cap at Parnassus of 3.55 million square feet. It would also create new hospital capacity in the City's most under-served community of the Bayview/Hunters Point. Thank you. Richard Drury

Counsel for Parnassus Neighborhood Coalition.

Richard Drury Lozeau Drury LLP 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 836-4200 <u>richard@lozeaudrury.com</u> From: **CPC-Commissions Secretary** To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Son, Chanbory (CPC) Subject: FW: General Public Comment January 14, 2021 Monday, January 11, 2021 8:32:02 AM Date: Attachments: Presentation 6.pdf image013.png image014.png image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png

Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7600 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are <u>available by e-mail</u>, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is <u>encouraged to participate</u>. Find more information on our services <u>here</u>.

From: Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Sunday, January 10, 2021 7:44 PM

To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Cc: Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>; Sanchez, Scott (CPC) <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; Tam, Tina (CPC) <tina.tam@sfgov.org>; Washington, Delvin (CPC) <delvin.washington@sfgov.org>; Speirs, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>; Cisneros, Stephanie (CPC) <stephanie.cisneros@sfgov.org>; Ajello Hoagland, Linda (CPC) <linda.ajellohoagland@sfgov.org>; Hicks, Bridget (CPC) <Bridget.Hicks@sfgov.org>; Campbell, Cathleen (CPC) <cathleen.campbell@sfgov.org>; Pantoja, Gabriela (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>; Merlone, Audrey (CPC) <audrey.merlone@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>;

Subject: General Public Comment January 14, 2021

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from

Dear President Koppel, Vice President Moore, Commissioners Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial and Tanner and Director Hillis,

Good evening to you all.

If you have home delivery of the Chronicle, you might have seen today's Real Estate section which featured a story about a project in Corona Heights, entitled <u>"Architecture for San Francisco"</u>. In case you don't take the Chron, the article is about 23-25 Clifford Terrace which is currently for sale, asking \$5.25 million.

While the Chronicle article lists two addresses, it *does not* describe a second unit in the text of the article.

Attached are 19 slides.

<u>Slide 1</u> is the project as it was prior to the Alteration permit being effectuated.

<u>Slides 2-3</u> is during the Demolition/construction.

<u>Slide 4</u> is the Assessor's Report from the SFPIM which describes this property as *two units*.

<u>Slide 5</u> is a photo of the original upper unit kitchen at 25 Clifford Terrace.

<u>Slide 6</u> is a photo of the original lower unit kitchen at 23 Clifford Terrace.

<u>Slide 7</u> is Sheet A2.3 from the 2012 Variance Hearing. Near the lower right corner is the notation, *"no unit merger"*. It highlights the floor plan for 23 Clifford Terrace, which is labeled here as "unit 1" as well as showing two levels of the upper unit aka 25 Clifford Terrace.

<u>Slide 8</u> is the realtor's floor plan as published in the current Redfin web ad.

<u>Slides 9-11</u> show Sheet A1.1, Sheet A1.1B and Sheet A1.2 from the 2012 Variance hearing with the Demolition Calculations near the lower right corner.

<u>Slide 12</u> is a web ad from the time of the 2008 sale touting it as a "single family home" but still describing it as two separate units.

<u>Slides 13-14</u> are the sales/price history from the Redfin web ad including the current asking price.

<u>Slide 15</u> is from the DBI Tracking regarding a complaint to the Building Department.

<u>Slide 16</u> is from the DBI Tracking with the original Alteration permit showing the date of the CFC issuance....and the filing date for the Alteration permit.

<u>Slides 17-18</u> show the property as it is today from two different perspectives.

<u>Slide 19</u> is the the property as it was prior to the work. This photo clearly shows a front door for 25 Clifford Terrace and a front door for 23 Clifford Terrace.

Thank you and take good care. Sincerely, Georgia Schuttish

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY; CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS; STACY, KATE (CAT); YANG, AUSTIN (CAT);
	JENSEN, KRISTEN (CAT)
Subject:	CPC Calendars for January 14, 2021
Date:	Friday, January 08, 2021 2:50:11 PM
Attachments:	20210114_cal.pdf
	20210114 cal.docx
	Advance Calendar - 20210114.xlsx
	CPC Hearing Results 2021.docx

Commissioners,

Attached are your Calendars for January 14, 2021.

Commissioner Tanner,

If you haven't already, please review the previous hearing and materials for 1145 Mission Street.

Cheers,

Jonas P Ionin Director of Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 628.652.7589 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM'S PROPOSED BUDGET
Date:	Friday, January 08, 2021 1:55:09 PM
Attachments:	01.08.21 Governor Newsom"s Budget.pdf

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Friday, January 8, 2021 at 1:42 PM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM'S PROPOSED BUDGET

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Friday, January 8, 2021 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM'S PROPOSED BUDGET

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today issued the following statement regarding Governor Gavin Newsom's proposed state budget for Fiscal Year 2021-22.

"The Governor's Budget recognizes the stark reality of this incredibly difficult time for the State of California. In addition to all the challenges we have been facing for years, right now in this moment, our workers, families, and young people are in desperate need of immediate relief. By proposing direct investments to working people, small businesses, and our schools, the

Governor is doing just that. I also want to recognize the Governor for his continued focus on making significant investments to support those who are living on our streets and suffering from challenges with mental health and substance use.

California will recover from this pandemic, and that recovery starts with investing in the people of this state, whether they are trying to get back to work or they just need to get their lives stabilized in the middle of this pandemic.

I want to thank Governor Newsom for his work to lead this state through one of the most challenging years in our history. I know there is a lot of work to do on this budget going forward, and I look forward to working with San Francisco's state legislative leaders --

Senator Scott Wiener, Assembly Budget Chair Phil Ting, and Assemblymember David Chiu -- to support the needs and priorities of San Francisco residents."

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES LARRY YEE TO SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION
Date:	Friday, January 08, 2021 11:33:16 AM
Attachments:	01.08.21 Police Commission Nomination Larry Yee.pdf

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Friday, January 8, 2021 at 11:32 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES LARRY YEE TO SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Friday, January 8, 2021 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED NOMINATES LARRY YEE TO SAN FRANCISCO POLICE COMMISSION

Yee will bring strong community ties and experience with labor organizations to Police Commission

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today nominated Larry Yee to the San Francisco Police Commission, the seven-member body charged with setting policy for the Police Department and conducting disciplinary hearings when police conduct charges are filed. Yee, who is Chinese-American, is a long-time Chinatown community advocate with decades of experience serving on the boards of multiple community and labor organizations.

"Larry will be a strong voice for San Francisco residents on the San Francisco Police Commission, and I'm proud to nominate him for this important position," said Mayor Breed. "It's critical that all of our diverse communities, including our Chinese community, are represented and have a voice at the table in our City government and policy making at the Commission. I believe that Larry will work to make sure the community is involved and engaged in public safely decisions, and that their concerns are respected and addressed."

"I've lived and worked in San Francisco my whole life, and it would be an honor to serve my fellow San Franciscans residents on the Police Commission," said Larry Yee. "As someone who has worked for many years with the community and labor groups, I think I can help bring people together and help bridge the divide that sometimes occurs between government and city residents. I want to thank Mayor Breed for nominating me, and I look forward to the

opportunity to serve on the Police Commission and making San Francisco a better place to work, live and raise a family for all."

For almost two and a half decades, Larry Yee has devoted himself to serving San Francisco, particularly the Chinatown community in which he grew up. He is the incoming President of Hop Wo Benevolent Association, one of the associations of the Chinese Consolidated Benevolent Association, also known as the Six Companies. In 1996, he joined the Yee Shew Yan Benevolent Association and since then has served on the boards of multiple community organizations, including the Yee Fung Toy Family Association.

In addition to dedicating his time to multiple community organizations, Yee has experience working with labor organizations as a union officer. For the past twelve years, he has served as the Secretary and Treasurer of Communication Workers of America (CWA) Local 9410. Larry has been a part of numerous rallies and strikes fighting for racial, social, and economic justice. He is also a delegate to San Francisco Labor Council and previously served as the Vice President of the Asian Pacific Labor Alliance.

"I am excited at the nomination of Larry Yee to the Police Commission. Larry has served a diversity of San Francisco communities that he has been a part of for decades. So he's no stranger to service," said Malcom Yeung, San Francisco Airport Commissioner. "This diverse experience gives Larry the perspective to balance the competing and complex demands of policing in San Francisco — the community policing and public safety needs of monolingual immigrant communities, which make up over 40% of our population, with the morale and pragmatic obligation to seek criminal justice reforms that best serve all our communities."

Yee was born and raised in San Francisco. He grew up living in Chinatown's Ping Yuen Housing Development and attended public school, graduating from Galileo High School. In 1978, Larry started working at AT&T and earned his bachelor's degree from San Francisco State University in Accounting in 1980. Larry spent the next 40 years in telecommunications before retiring in 2018. He and his wife raised three children in the city and sent them all to local public schools.

Yee's nomination to the Police Commission comes at an important time for public safely in San Francisco. In June 2020, Mayor Breed announced a roadmap to fundamentally change the nature of policing in San Francisco and issued a set of policies to address structural inequities. She proposed four priorities to achieve this vision: ending the use of police in response to noncriminal activity; addressing police bias and strengthening accountability; demilitarizing the police; and promoting economic justice. These policies build on the City's ongoing work to meet the standards contained in President Obama's 2015 Task Force on 21st Century Policing. If approved by the Board of Supervisors, Yee will oversee the development and implementation of these critical reforms.

###

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW INITIATIVES TO COMBAT HUMAN TRAFFICKING IN SAN FRANCISCO
Date:	Friday, January 08, 2021 11:13:21 AM
Attachments:	01.08.21 Anti-Human Trafficking Initiatives.pdf

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Friday, January 8, 2021 at 11:09 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW INITIATIVES TO COMBAT HUMAN TRAFFICKING IN SAN FRANCISCO

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Friday, January 8, 2021 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW INITIATIVES TO COMBAT HUMAN TRAFFICKING IN SAN FRANCISCO

City Environmental Health Inspectors are now trained to recognize signs of human trafficking and refer cases to the Police Department. New signage at SFO will help victims of human trafficking immediately connect with resources and support from trained Airport staff.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced two new initiatives to combat and prevent human trafficking in San Francisco. The San Francisco International Airport, the Department of Public Health, and the Department on the Status of Women have joined together to advance two efforts that will help identify potential victims of human trafficking and provide victims with the support and services they need.

Mayor Breed made the announcement at a press conference hosted by the San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking (SFCAHT) to commence January as Human Trafficking Awareness Month. SFCAHT is a group of anti-trafficking advocates, service providers, survivors, and Bay Area government and law enforcement officials.

The COVID-19 health and economic crisis has potentially deepened vulnerabilities for people at risk of being trafficked or currently trafficked. Fifty-one human trafficking cases were reported directly to the San Francisco Police Department in 2020. These two initiatives are launching to promote vigilance against human trafficking at a time when cases may be driven further underground.

"Every San Franciscan deserves to feel safe and live without fear of exploitation. Even as we face one of the greatest public health crises of our lifetime, our work to end human trafficking in our City must continue," said Mayor Breed. "These new initiatives, along with the hard work of numerous service providers and our law enforcement partners, will help us advance our work to support survivors and end human trafficking in San Francisco."

Starting this month, the Department of Public Health's Environmental Health Inspectors will begin incorporating new anti-human trafficking training into their inspection process. The Environmental Health Branch employs approximately 100 inspectors who conduct regular onsite inspections of over 9,000 businesses, such as restaurants and bars, gas stations, massage establishments, and residential hotels. All staff will be trained to recognize the Red Flags, or most common signs of human trafficking, and make referrals to the San Francisco Police Department for investigation within 24 hours whenever signs of potential human trafficking are observed in health-permitted businesses.

The San Francisco International Airport (SFO) is implementing a campaign to respond to instances of trafficking that may occur by airplane. Over 1,000 bathroom stalls in both the domestic and international terminals have been fitted with multi-lingual signs to urge a person suspected of being trafficked to call or text the Airport's hotline number for immediate help and services from trained airport staff.

"SFO has taken a comprehensive approach to adopting anti-trafficking training and protocols that equip employees to recognize and report signs of trafficking, and enable safe interventions for potential victims," said Ivar Satero, Airport Director, San Francisco International Airport. "The message to traffickers is that SFO will do all that is necessary to disrupt their illicit and unconscionable business."

The new signage at SFO is a long-lasting intervention campaign and the Department on the Status of Women will track the success of the program on a yearly basis.

"In the midst of a global health pandemic, racial injustice, and economic distress, the Department on the Status of Women understands that trafficking survivors have been especially impacted," said Kimberly N. Ellis, Director of the Department on the Status of Women. "In 2021 and beyond, our department, in partnership with the Mayor's Office, other city agencies, and our community partners, will continue to gather data to inform all stakeholders and will work towards policy and systems change for all people who have been impacted by trafficking."

According to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, San Francisco is one of the nation's high intensity areas for commercial sexual exploitation of children as related to human trafficking. In 2019, the Mayor's Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking found that there were an estimated 673 cases of human trafficking within the last two years reported to local service providers. The largest number of cases were young women of color between the ages of 18-24 years old. The report found that establishments such as restaurants, massage establishments, and single room occupancy hotel rooms are vulnerable to human trafficking.

"As a law enforcement agency, it is our responsibility to ensure the safety of those we serve including those who find themselves physically, emotionally, and economically forced into any activity against their will," said Chief William Scott, San Francisco Police Department. "At the San Francisco Police Department, we recognize that victims of human trafficking are often coerced into criminal conduct that puts them into contact with our officers. That's why we continue to assign dedicated investigators within our Special Victims Unit to address the myriad sensitivities of human trafficking crimes. We place enormous value our partnerships with city agencies and victim advocates to combat this kind of exploitation, and we will continue to collaborate with our partners to ensure that all human trafficking victims have access to appropriate resources and services."

For the last ten years, the Environmental Health Branch of the Department of Public Health has been collaborating with state and local labor law enforcement agencies to fight against labor exploitation and its negative impact on heath. Despite the challenges of COVID-19, San Francisco trafficking service providers are continuing to provide high quality, wraparound services to survivors. One legal service provider is working with nine clients who have been labor trafficked this year, seven of whom were trafficked by the same individual.

"Today's announcement builds on the Department of Public Health's ongoing commitment to combatting labor exploitation and human trafficking by reducing the health impacts suffered by those exploited through these illegal activities," said Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health. "With the addition of 100 trained regulatory inspectors to this effort, the initiative will further reduce and prevent labor exploitation and human trafficking. During their routine work, these inspectors will be able to help identify signs of labor exploitation and human trafficking and make referrals to the appropriate agencies for empowered recovery."

If you or someone you know may be a victim of human trafficking, you can call 9-1-1, the National Human Trafficking Resource Center at 1-888-373-7888, or contact one of the San Francisco Collaborative Against Human Trafficking service providers: <u>https://www.sfcaht.org/by-category-of-service.html</u>.

###