



**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES GUN BUY-BACK EVENT  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 12:02:34 PM  
**Attachments:** [12.10.20 Gun Buy-back Event.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 at 11:37 AM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES GUN BUY-BACK EVENT

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**

Thursday, December 10, 2020

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES GUN BUY-BACK  
EVENT**

*Mayor Breed, San Francisco Police Department, and United Playaz will host a gun buy-back event in SoMa, this Saturday, December 12<sup>th</sup> from 8:00am until 12:00pm*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), United Playaz, George Floyd's family member and friend, and anti-gun violence advocates today held a press conference to promote the United Playaz Gun Buy-back event this Saturday, December 12<sup>th</sup>. The gun buy-back event provides a place for people to turn in their weapons, no questions asked, and get guns off the streets and out of communities.

**Gun Buy-Back Event**

United Playaz  
1038 Howard St., San Francisco, CA  
Saturday, December 12, 2020  
8:00am – 12:00pm

The gun buy-back event will be set-up to comply with COVID-19 health requirements. Participants will remain in their personal vehicles as they drop-off the guns, and United Playaz will ensure there is adequate space for walk-up participants to maintain at least six feet of distance from others. Face coverings will be required, and United Playaz will have personal protective equipment and hand sanitizer available for participants and volunteers.

“Getting weapons off the street is essential, and events like this Gun Buy-Back provide a place for people to get guns out of their homes safely and legally,” said Mayor Breed. “As we’ve been dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic, we’ve also been seeing an increase in gun-related incidents throughout the United States. Here in San Francisco, we saw an increase in firearm-related incidents during the first seven months of the year. The loss of life from gun violence has to stop, and we all have to work together to save lives and keep our communities safe.”

The San Francisco Police Department (SFPD) and United Playaz typically host gun buyback events twice per year. Following collection of the firearms, they are melted down and the parts are recycled to create jewelry and other goods that are sold to finance future gun buy-back events. United Playaz is a violence prevention and youth development organization that has worked in San Francisco for over 20 years.

“Gun buyback programs are a proven and effective strategy to stop deadly firearms from getting into the wrong hands,” said SFPD Chief William Scott. “I’m thankful for Mayor Breed’s leadership on public safety initiatives like this one, and grateful to United Playaz for their continued partnership with us to help to make San Francisco safer for everyone — particularly our families and youth.”

“United Playaz hosts these gun buy backs to show the relevance of our mission statement: ‘It takes the hood to save the hood,’” said Rudy Corpuz, Jr., Founder and Executive Director of United Playaz.

The Gun Buy-Back program has operated since 2014 and has collected nearly 2,000 guns. People can turn in their guns in exchange for \$100 for a handgun and \$200 for assault weapons. Funding for the gun buy-back was provided by philanthropic donations and a grant from the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development.

###

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Taylor, Michelle \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Request for operating limits and early removal of the Observation Wheel in the Music Concourse in Golden Gate Park  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 12:03:25 PM  
**Attachments:** [San Franciscans for Urban Nature 12-09-20 -date revised.pdf](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** SFPaRC <[sfparc@earthlink.net](mailto:sfparc@earthlink.net)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 11:15 AM  
**To:** Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <[frank.fung@sfgov.org](mailto:frank.fung@sfgov.org)>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>; 'Kathrin Moore' <[mooreurban@aol.com](mailto:mooreurban@aol.com)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <[rachael.tanner@sfgov.org](mailto:rachael.tanner@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Request for operating limits and early removal of the Observation Wheel in the Music Concourse in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners,

*San Franciscans for Urban Nature (SFUN)* is a new group of residents from all over San Francisco who support Golden Gate Park as San Francisco's major landscape park, a historic gem that is a unique and vital resource for plants and wildlife as well as a place for people to experience nature within our urban environment. As such, we are very concerned about the installation and operation of the Observation Wheel in the Music Concourse.

Given the inappropriateness of both the Observation Wheel and its lighting for this historic setting, the potential damage to wildlife, and the message that artificial attractions are more important than parkland, we recommend the following:

- The Observation Wheel should be removed from the Music Concourse by February 15, 2021, the beginning of the spring bird migration season.
- The lighting on the Observation Wheel should be modified between now and February 15, 2021. All exterior lighting on the Observation Wheel (spokes, ring, and outside gondola lights) should be shut off at dusk each day. Only lighting that is necessary for personal safety should remain on.
- There is concern that even as the City moves into the Purple Tier of COVID restrictions, the generator would be left on 24/7. Whatever the end result of the COVID restrictions, the generator should be shut down each night and only turned on when the Wheel is open to the public.
- A less intrusive security lighting system should be used for this facility.

Our full letter giving reasons for these requests is attached.

*San Franciscans for Urban Nature (SFUN)*

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#)  
**Subject:** Continuances and a Withdrawal.  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 10:08:45 AM

---

Commissioners,

I am pleased to inform you that our DR Whisperer continues to work his magic and has successfully resolved the 1151 Washington Street DR's. Both have been withdrawn.

Also, we will be requesting that you continue the Planning Code Amendment and 550 O'Farrell Street. The requests come from the Supervisorial offices and project sponsor.

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT, AND TREASURER JOSÉ CISNEROS ANNOUNCE ONLINE TOOL FOR PEOPLE STRUGGLING WITH TRAFFIC COURT DEBT  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 10:00:11 AM  
**Attachments:** [12.10.20 MyCitations Launch.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 at 9:01 AM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT, AND TREASURER JOSÉ CISNEROS ANNOUNCE ONLINE TOOL FOR PEOPLE STRUGGLING WITH TRAFFIC COURT DEBT

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Thursday, December 10, 2020  
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED, SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT, AND TREASURER JOSÉ CISNEROS ANNOUNCE ONLINE TOOL FOR PEOPLE STRUGGLING WITH TRAFFIC COURT DEBT**

*People with financial hardships may use MyCitations to request 80% discount or more for traffic citations*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed, the San Francisco Superior Court, and Treasurer José Cisneros today announced the launch of [MyCitations](#)—a new online tool for people struggling with traffic court debt. The launch of the tool is part of a citywide effort to alleviate the disproportionate adverse impact of fines and fees on people with low incomes and communities of color.

Traffic citations in California can exceed \$400. If people cannot pay, a civil assessment of \$300 can be added to people's citations. Research from the [Federal Reserve](#) shows that about half of Americans are unable to pay an unexpected emergency expense of \$400. The [MyCitations](#) tool allows people to look up their traffic citations online, answer a series of simple questions, and submit a request for a possible reduction on the amount owed based on their financial need. In addition to requesting a fine reduction, people can also use MyCitations to request a payment plan, more time to pay, or community service. Using MyCitations can also save people an in-person trip to San Francisco Traffic Court.

“No one should have to choose between paying their traffic ticket and paying their rent,” said Mayor Breed. “Traffic tickets in California are the most expensive in the country and our citations should not put people in financial distress. With so many people struggling to make ends meet right now due to COVID-19, we need this tool more than ever.”

The discounts that people receive from MyCitations will be based on their financial need and their ability to pay. When people use the tool, they can provide information about their financial circumstances by showing proof that they receive public benefits—such as Medi-Cal or CalFresh (food stamps)—and answer a few questions about their monthly income and expenses. Approximately 250,000 San Franciscans receive these public benefits. People can also explain a financial hardship they are experiencing. After someone submits their request, they can expect to hear back from the court within 30 days. MyCitations is also available in Spanish. A video demonstration of the tool is [here](#).

“The court is proud to be part of this pilot project that gives expanded access to justice 24/7 to our court users,” said Mark Culkins, Chief Operations Officer of the Criminal and Traffic divisions of the San Francisco Superior Court. “It is an especially useful tool to have available during the current pandemic as a person does not need to come to court to physically to address their citation. The court encourages folks to take advantage of this new, online program.”

[The Financial Justice Project](#), led by the Office of San Francisco Treasurer Cisneros, works with City departments and the courts to assess and reforms fines and fees that have an adverse and disproportionate impact on people with low incomes and communities of color. For example, The Financial Justice Project helped lead the City’s efforts to eliminate administrative fees in the criminal justice system, stop the suspensions of driver’s licenses for people who missed traffic court dates, and was a partner in the City’s recent work to eliminate overdue library fines.

“A \$300 or \$400 traffic tickets hits a daycare worker harder than it hits a dentist,” said San Francisco Treasurer José Cisneros. “Fines deter people from speeding or running a stop sign, but they should not put people in financial distress. MyCitations moves us toward that goal.”

MyCitations is being offered in five courts throughout California. In addition to San Francisco, MyCitations is available in Santa Clara, Shasta, Tulare, and Ventura Counties. [The California Judicial Council worked with county courts](#) to develop and pilot the tool. The San Francisco MyCitations tool offers the most extensive services—allowing people to request reductions for nonmoving or moving violations, as well as for current or past due citations.

For people who are unable to pay their traffic tickets, they can also visit the San Francisco Superior Court’s [Can’t Afford to Pay](#) webpage. [Here](#) is a guide from the San Francisco Financial Justice Project of fine, fee, and ticket discounts available for San Franciscans with low incomes.

###



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Faux tree tower proposal  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 9:27:48 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Adrienne Bolsega <[abolsega@felton.org](mailto:abolsega@felton.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 9:27 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <[rachael.tanner@sfgov.org](mailto:rachael.tanner@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Fw: Faux tree tower proposal

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix<sup>®</sup>

---

**From:** Opal Essence <[opalminged@gmail.com](mailto:opalminged@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 9:23 AM  
**To:** Adrienne Bolsega <[abolsega@felton.org](mailto:abolsega@felton.org)>

**Subject:** Faux tree tower proposal

San Francisco Planning Commissioners,

It has just come to my attention that there is a proposal coming before the Commission today about installing a faux cell phone tower and 3 eucalyptus trees around it at the intersection of Alanna Way and Executive Park Boulevard.

Please do not place a faux tower surrounded by eucalyptus trees in the Southeast section on San Francisco.

I implore you to reject this project. Our neighborhood, Visitacion Valley, has suffered from City neglect and poor planning policies over the years and deserves better treatment. One never hears about such projects in Pacific Heights.

Adrienne Bolsega

This message was secured by [Zix](#)<sup>®</sup>.

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW:  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 9:27:37 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Fran Martin <[fma6764860@aol.com](mailto:fma6764860@aol.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 9:15 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <[joel.koppel@sfgov.org](mailto:joel.koppel@sfgov.org)>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <[kathrin.moore@sfgov.org](mailto:kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <[deland.chan@sfgov.org](mailto:deland.chan@sfgov.org)>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <[sue.diamond@sfgov.org](mailto:sue.diamond@sfgov.org)>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <[theresa.imperial@sfgov.org](mailto:theresa.imperial@sfgov.org)>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <[rachael.tanner@sfgov.org](mailto:rachael.tanner@sfgov.org)>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <[shamann.walton@sfgov.org](mailto:shamann.walton@sfgov.org)>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:**

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Planning Commissioners,

It has just come to my attention that there is a proposal coming before the Commission today about installing a faux cell phone tower and 3 eucalyptus trees around it at the intersection of Alanna Way and Executive Park Boulevard.

It is absurd to even consider installing a 70 foot high faux tree tower at the main entry to San Francisco. Visitors from all over the world entering the City will be greeted by something that looks ridiculous. What will that say about our much vaunted reputation as a sophisticated city?

To have such an eyesore in our neighborhood is a social, environmental and aesthetic justice affront. In addition, it is egregious that it would be near the Bay waterfront and in the view line of the proposed new housing at Executive Park.

To disguise it with the invasive, highly flammable Eucalyptus is a comment on how seriously the City takes its own biodiversity policy.

I implore you to reject this project. Our neighborhood, Visitacion Valley, has suffered from City neglect and poor planning policies over the years and deserves better treatment. One never hears about such projects in Pacific Heights.

Thank you.

Fran Martin  
Visitacion Valley Greenway  
Visitacion Valley Planning Alliance  
186 Arleta Avenue,  
San Francisco, CA 94134  
415-216-8560

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Commentary re Proposed AT&T Cell Tower on Blanken and Executive Park  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 8:31:58 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Alissa Bermudez <[alissabermudez@hotmail.com](mailto:alissabermudez@hotmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 7:33 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Lindsay, Ashley (CPC) <[ashley.lindsay@sfgov.org](mailto:ashley.lindsay@sfgov.org)>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <[waltonstaff@sfgov.org](mailto:waltonstaff@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Commentary re Proposed AT&T Cell Tower on Blanken and Executive Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We, the people of the affected community, are sovereign. We do not consent to the installation of the cell tower in our neighborhood.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 8:31:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Roeë <evenro@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 4:50 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

**I write regarding** to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda **installing an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.**

While in general I'm supporting the idea of widening the cellular reception to include this area, as a resident of candlestick cove I strongly recommend repositioning the cell tower a bit south compared to where suggested (either at the most south point in the chosen area, or near the 101 exit ramp.

While I do not believe that in distance this equipment is dangerous, when it's in a very close proximity to residential areas, it probably is. On top of that, we should remember that the executive park commercial area soon is expected to be converted to residential (by towers that would replace the current office structures), and for those who would choose to live there this equipment would be just across the street and in front their windows.

These concerns can easily be resolved by moving these antennas a bit south.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners.

Roe Ebenstein

Districts 10 Resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Winslow, David \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Construction  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 8:31:46 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Jaroslav Koudela <jaroslav.koudela689@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 4:33 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Construction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing to express my concerns regarding proposed construction at 1151 Washington. I live at 1254 Taylor Street, about half a block from 1151 Washington Street. The attached pictures show the results of construction in "my backyard", be it 1250 Taylor or 1255 Taylor. It is hard to describe the noise, dust, congestion, and smell created by the constructions; pictures will not capture that.

I have following questions:

- 1) Does the Commission consider the impact to residents in the neighborhood?
- 2) If yes, does the Commission consider all constructions in the neighborhood collectively or only the one seeking permit? (What I am after is whether or not the Commission attempts to determine whether another construction may be one too many)
- 3) How long is the construction at 1151 Washington Street supposed to be? (Construction at 1250 Taylor has been going on for a year or so)



I cannot express it more directly--the construction activity and its negative impact on our quality of living will be the major reason I and my family will leave the neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Jaroslav Koudela

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jaroslav Koudela** <[jaroslav.koudela689@gmail.com](mailto:jaroslav.koudela689@gmail.com)>

Date: Wed, Dec 9, 2020 at 3:55 PM

Subject: Construction

To: Jaroslav Koudela <[jaroslav.koudela689@gmail.com](mailto:jaroslav.koudela689@gmail.com)>

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: {Candlestick Cove} AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Thursday, December 10, 2020 8:29:32 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** AS Mili M. <m2mori@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 4:31 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** {Candlestick Cove} AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

**I write in strong opposition** to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda **installing an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.**

When such an installation is proposed by a large corporation as AT&T, local government agencies must take into account the health and well-being of those residing near the equipment.

For many years, residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have been targeted as a location to place this type of

equipment. The placements exposed residents to health hazards.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the long term health consequences in their decision on this matter.

Asti Te  
Districts 10 Resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Feeney, Claire \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Canna Club at 3407 Geary - Cannabis Storefront on Geary and Beaumont  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 4:21:42 PM  
**Attachments:** [image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)  
[image019.png](#)  
[image020.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Alex Ponce de Leon <alexpd@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 3:20 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Canna Club at 3407 Geary - Cannabis Storefront on Geary and Beaumont

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Canna Club at 3407 Geary  
Block/Lot No.: 1085/031  
Record No.: 2020-006608CUA

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Alex Ponce de Leon and I am an attorney and homeowner on Beaumont Avenue representing the sentiments of the vast majority of homeowners and residents on Beaumont Avenue. I am also the father of an 8 year old and 12 year old. My wife is a public school teacher.

The proposed location of the cannabis storefront is problematic for a wide-array of reasons. The

proposed location is just **TWO doors** down from a built and opened Chinese Immersion School ([The Richmond Academy](#)). The school has been here for several months now. Roosevelt Middle School and the [One Fifty Parker School](#) are also nearby, although, admittedly (a few FEET) outside the 600 foot required by the current SF Regs.

The Richmond Academy has been operating in the previous space occupied by Sprint. We are elated that a business FINALLY occupied the space vacated by Sprint. Here is a picture of the Richmond Academy -- just **TWO doors** from the proposed pot retailer.



Also, the notice stated that Thai Cafe occupied the space "until approximately 2019." That is inaccurate and Thai Cafe has operated continuously and is still open today. Here is a picture taken on December 4, 2020.



CannaClub already has a location being built at 899 Columbus. And an initial analysis of their approach has been to create a loud and social space for their customers. This would be an incongruous and incompatible use of the Thai Cafe space at Geary. This is a small and compact space with a relatively narrow sidewalk adjacent to a quiet family neighborhood.

These are advertisements from the Canna Club DJ advertising loud events at the site.



**#cannaclubsf**

People are posting about this



Konnex

June 12 · 🌐

IM BACK!!! 🙌 I've missed playing music. 🎧 If you are in San Francisco 📍 tomorrow Near the Pier ill be DJin come check out a soon to be new dispensary called #cannaclubsf for herb n tunes. DM for more details

**CannaClub**™

Dear North Beach & Telegraph Hill Neighbors

CannaClub is an equity owned cannabis boutique seeking authorization to operate at 899 Columbus located at the



Joe Konnex ▶ Konnex Productions

June 12 · 🌐

DJin tomorrow in SF at a new to be dispensary called Canna Club come blaze n dance with me

**CannaClub**™

Dear North Beach & Telegraph Hill Neighbors

CannaClub is an equity owned cannabis boutique seeking

There are approximately 30 households that live on this portion of Beaumont Avenue. It is a pocket of families and most of us have children under the age of 18. We have seen the neighborhood get transformed over the last decade -- some for the better and some for the worse. We finally have 100% occupancy on all the retail spaced in this portion of Geary. Shutting down Thai Cafe and replacing it with a loud and potentially problematic pot retail storefront with a party atmosphere will drive the character of the residential neighborhood in the wrong direction.

The residents of Beaumont Avenue implore the commission to not permit the opening of another CannaClub location at 3407 Geary.

Thanks,  
Alex Ponce de Leon, JD

**Alex Ponce de Leon**



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Dec 10 Planning Dept hearing re AT&T cell phone tower  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 3:08:04 PM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** lmckay@pobox.com <lmckay@pobox.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 2:53 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** RE: Dec 10 Planning Dept hearing re AT&T cell phone tower

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Correction to previous email:

The mural & “sense of entrance” seem **in**compatible with a cell phone tower at the same location

---

**From:** [lmckay@pobox.com](mailto:lmckay@pobox.com) <[lmckay@pobox.com](mailto:lmckay@pobox.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 9, 2020 2:39 PM  
**To:** 'commissions.secretary@sfgov.org' <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Dec 10 Planning Dept hearing re AT&T cell phone tower

I’m a neighbor of the proposed AT&T cell phone tower at Blanken and Executive Park Blvd. I understand there is a hearing on December 10<sup>th</sup> regarding the proposed tower. I would really like other locations to be considered for the tower that aren’t so close to housing, and at one of the entrances to my neighborhood.

We’re very proud of Little Hollywood and have been working closely with the planning department

on all the surrounding developments, and on a beautiful mural in the Blanken Tunnel that we hope will create an sense of entrance. The mural & “sense of entrance” seem incompatible with a cell phone tower at the same location. There are other sites that we think should be considered for the tower – either near the existing tower on Bayview Hill, or further south toward a more industrial area (SF Recology).

Please delay approval until these sites are seriously considered. I wasn't aware of the proposed tower or would have reached out sooner.

Regards

Linda McKay

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Dec 10 Planning Dept hearing re AT&T cell phone tower  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 3:07:37 PM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** lmckay@pobox.com <lmckay@pobox.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 2:39 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Dec 10 Planning Dept hearing re AT&T cell phone tower

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I'm a neighbor of the proposed AT&T cell phone tower at Blanken and Executive Park Blvd. I understand there is a hearing on December 10<sup>th</sup> regarding the proposed tower. I would really like other locations to be considered for the tower that aren't so close to housing, and at one of the entrances to my neighborhood.

We're very proud of Little Hollywood and have been working closely with the planning department all the surrounding developments, and on a beautiful mural in the Blanken Tunnel that we hope will create an sense of entrance. The mural & "sense of entrance" seem compatible with a cell phone tower at the same location. There are other sites that we think should be considered for the tower – either near the existing tower on Bayview Hill, or further south toward a more industrial area (SF Recology).

Please delay approval until these sites are seriously considered. I wasn't aware of the proposed tower or would have reached out sooner.

Regards

Linda McKay

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to Caltrans PROW-AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 3:07:25 PM  
**Attachments:** [petition\\_signatures\\_jobs\\_26216590\\_20201209213429.pdf](#)  
[Petition : San Francisco Planning Commission Change at&t cell tower.pdf](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Ted Rusli <trusli@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 1:44 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Lindsay, Ashley (CPC) <ashley.lindsay@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Opposition to Caltrans PROW-AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

My name is Teddy Rusli and I am a board member of Candlestick Cove Homeowner Association. On behalf of the board, I write in **strong opposition** to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting: Caltrans PROW-AT&T Mobility Macro WTS Facility (1-91 Executive Park Blvd) 2018-009545CUA

Candlestick Cove HOA has 150 units with 450+ residents. We all have to live with this cell tower for as long as we live here and we are concerned with 3 things:

1. Lack of at&t concern for community feedback

2. Health hazard
3. Negative impact on property value.

The details of our concern is listed in the petition: <http://chnng.it/S8BcjlCgwC>. It is signed not by our HOA members but also by other neighborhoods nearby: Executive Park and Little Hollywood.

Attached are the petition and the 58 signatures as of Dec 9, 1:35 PM.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the concerns of many residents here.

Regards,

Teddy Rusli  
Board Member - Candlestick Cove HOA  
District 10 resident.

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Submitting my comment for the public hearing  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 11:15:32 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Yu Liang <liangy01@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 10:41 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Submitting my comment for the public hearing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I was informed by Linda McKay that yesterday was the last day to submit comment to your public hearing about the AT&T cell tower. As confused as it can possibly sound why the Little Hollywood neighborhood is informed so late, I am sending my concern and disappointment about this plan and want to know why AT&T does not propose several plans of possible sites and present their assessment of each possibility and/or the justification of the decision. I would like to hear these details from them in the hearing.

Yu Liang

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T cell tower installation  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 10:00:24 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Jason Mao <jasonmao@singhaiyi.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:49 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** AT&T cell tower installation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

### To Whom It May Concern:

#### **This letter is in opposition to the AT&T cell tower installation on Blanken Way and Executive Park.**

My name is Jinshan Mao and I am the Managing Director of SingHaiyi Group US Operations. My company owns the office building and land at 5 Thomas Mellon Circle in San Francisco. It is entitled for a 585 residential unit development. We strongly oppose installation of the AT&T tower because of the dramatic property value loss we will suffer if the cell tower is installed.

The impact of loss to our property value is extraordinary due to the close proximity of the proposed tower to our property. If installed, the current office building tenants and future residential units will be directly across from the proposed cell tower. Our residential tenant target market of high-end owners will be significantly diminished as this target market will not purchase a home with an obstructed view by a gigantic fake tree. In addition to the foregoing, fear of cell tower failures, fires,



and health concerns will prohibit purchasers and tenants from considering our property if this cell tower is built.

On behalf of SingHaiyi Group US Operations and myself, we respectfully request the planning commission to require AT&T to consider other locations.

Sincerely,

Jinshan Mao

Managing Director  
US Operations  
SingHaiyi Group Limited  
5 Thomas Mellon Circle  
Suite 305, San Francisco  
CA 94134, USA

Cell: (415) 307 4899  
Tel: (415) 881 4483  
Fax: (415) 814 0849

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 10:00:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Candlestick Resident <candlestickcoveresident@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 8:07 AM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Lindsay, Ashley (CPC) <ashley.lindsay@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissions Secretary, Ashley, and Supervisor Walton,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda; the installation of an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood on Blanken Way and Executive Park Boulevard.

When an installation like this occurs, I believe that the local government must help to take into account the health and well-being of those residing near the equipment.

For many years, residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have been targeted as a location to place this type of equipment. The placement of this equipment exposes residents to health hazards.

Thank you!

-CCR

Districts 10 Resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: {Candlestick Cove} AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:59:34 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Winnie Low <winnielow@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 11:02 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** {Candlestick Cove} AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

**I write in strong opposition** to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda **installing an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.**

When such an installation is proposed by a large corporation as AT&T, local government agencies must take into account the health and well-being of those residing near the equipment.

For many years, residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have been targeted as a location to place this type of equipment. The placements exposed residents to health hazards.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the long-term health consequences in their decision on this matter.

Winnie Low

Districts 10 Resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:58:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Ellen <ellenita@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 9:54 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

**As a member of the Bayview/Hunter's Point Community and resident of the Candlestick Cove community, I vehemently oppose the installment of an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.** This is an item on the upcoming December 10, 2020 meeting agenda.

Local government agencies must prioritize the health and well-being of

local residents over the interests of large corporations, such AT&T. We elected you to do so and hold you to that expectation.

The residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have had enough exposure to health hazards and need local governments to stand up for them.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the long term health consequences in their decision on this matter.

Ellen Yang

District 10 Resident

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:57:59 AM

---

Commission Affairs  
San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
San Francisco Property Information Map

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are available by e-mail, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is encouraged to participate. Find more information on our services here.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ankit Maroo <[ankitmaru@gmail.com](mailto:ankitmaru@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2020 5:37 PM  
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>  
Subject: AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

I write in strong opposition to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda installing an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.

When such an installation is proposed by a large corporation as AT&T, local government agencies must take into account the health and well-being of those residing near the equipment.

For many years, residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have been targeted as a location to place this type of equipment. The placements exposed residents to health hazards.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the long term health consequences in their decision on this matter.

thanks

Ankit Maroo



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: AT&T installation in District 10  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:57:34 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Julian Eison <[jeison@eisonomics.com](mailto:jeison@eisonomics.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 5:08 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <[commissions.secretary@sfgov.org](mailto:commissions.secretary@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** AT&T installation in District 10

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioner Secretary:

**I write in strong opposition** to an item on the December 10, 2020 meeting agenda **installing an AT&T pole near the Candlestick Cove neighborhood.**

When such an installation is proposed by a large corporation as AT&T, local government agencies must take into account the health and well-being of those residing near the equipment.

For many years, residents of the Bayview and Hunters Point neighborhoods have been targeted as a location to place this type of equipment. The placements exposed residents to health hazards.

Commissioner Secretary, please forward this email to the commissioners. They must take seriously the long term health consequences in their decision on this matter.

Julian Eison  
District 10 Resident

Julian Eison, Investor  
[julianeison.com](http://julianeison.com)  
650.451.2888  
[Twitter](#) | [Medium](#)

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Lindsay, Ashley \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Re:Candlestick Cove ATT tower installation  
**Date:** Wednesday, December 09, 2020 9:57:09 AM  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Harsha Kabra <harsha.kabra28@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 4:22 PM  
**To:** CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Re:Candlestick Cove ATT tower installation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

This email is to offer public comment on the installation of a tower by AT&T in my neighbourhood, Candlestick Cove. I'm a concerned resident requesting a change of location for this AT&T cell tower to a location further away from the residential area (Candlestick Point, San Francisco, California).

Background:

One community meeting in 2018 and then silence...

In 2018, at&t was planning to install a cell tower on Blanken Way and Executive Park Boulevard, San Francisco. Many residents around the area

have expressed opposition through the community meeting and email. It is clear now that it was simply to satisfy a checklist. There was no further reach out until a Dec 10, 2020 public hearing notice. It is mind boggling why another location was not chosen. *Our area has other suitable sites* where the cell tower can be installed away from residential areas (see map).

Health Concern:

Anything can become a poison given enough high doses over a long period.

at&t along with other cell providers will likely cite that there is no proof that cell phone radiation causes cancer. The fair statement is that it is *inconclusive* as there isn't enough data. (see reference - American Cancer Society).

Technology history is full of "oops" moments. What was once originally thought as a breakthrough and harmless innovation ended up as harmful.

Examples:

- Lead in pipe
- Lead in paint
- BPA in plastic bottles for babies
- Asbestos

The key here is we don't want to find out when it's too late. *There is a preventative measure that can be taken now.*

Property Values Impact:

- It is definitely not positive.
- Neutral at best.
- Negative most likely.

Cell towers have a similar impact on properties near *high voltage power lines*.

We are hopeful that, with your advocacy, we can push the San Francisco Planning Commission to reject at&t's application.

Best,  
Harsha Kabra



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: General Public Comment for December 10, 2020. CORRECTED VERSION  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 8:32:47 AM  
**Attachments:** [Comments 1017 Stabilization.pdf](#)  
[Presentation 5.pdf](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 07, 2020 10:35 PM  
**To:** Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; mooreurban@aol.com; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Chion, Miriam (CPC) <miriam.chion@sfgov.org>; Nelson, Andrea (CPC) <andrea.nelson@sfgov.org>; Flores, Claudia (CPC) <claudia.flores@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; Merlone, Audrey (CPC) <audrey.merlone@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** General Public Comment for December 10, 2020. CORRECTED VERSION

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:  
Good evening to everyone.

Attached above are two items.

Back on October 17, 2019 during a hearing on Community Stabilization I talked about 3544 Taraval Street which had just been rescued from developers through the Small Sites Program and 22-26 Day Street which had been Ellis'ed by developers.

The first pdf is "Comments 10/17" and are basically what I said that day.

I showed some pictures on the overhead which are included and now amplified in the second pdf "Presentation 5".

Since Commissioners Chan, Diamond, Imperial and Tanner were not seated and President Koppel was absent on October 17, 2019, I wanted to revisit this subject. (Also Director Hillis had resigned by this time, so he was not there that day either.)

I will just add that the tenants from 3544 Taraval Street cited the three condos developed next door at 3534-3536-3538 Taraval Street (sold in 2018 for \$1.275; \$1.385 and \$1.370 million) as putting speculative pressure on their homes, which I mentioned on SFGOVTV.

My point is that I think these projects show another reason for adjusting the Demo Calcs in order to deal with the speculative pressure on all housing, particularly now as we try to recalibrate what kind of City we want to be post-Pandemic.

*Both 33 Day Street and 3534-3536 Taraval Street were approved as Alterations. They put direct speculative pressure on the neighboring properties....I guess you could call it gentrification in action.*

Please take a quick look at the attached pdfs.

One more postscript, the condos at 22-26 Day Street are now for sale with one of them asking \$995K and the other asking \$1.195 million for these two bedroom remodeled units.

Thank you and take good care.

Sincerely,

Georgia Schuttish



**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: General Public Comment for December 10, 2020  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 08, 2020 8:31:42 AM  
**Attachments:** [Comments 1017 Stabilization.pdf](#)  
[Presentation 5.pdf](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 07, 2020 6:27 PM  
**To:** Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>; Rodgers, AnMarie (CPC) <anmarie.rodgers@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Chion, Miriam (CPC) <miriam.chion@sfgov.org>; Nelson, Andrea (CPC) <andrea.nelson@sfgov.org>; Flores, Claudia (CPC) <claudia.flores@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; Merlone, Audrey (CPC) <audrey.merlone@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** General Public Comment for December 10, 2020

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

Good evening to everyone.

Attached above are two items.

Back on October 17, 2019 during a hearing on Community Stabilization I talked about 3544 Taraval Street which had just been rescued from developers through the Small Sites Program and 22-26 Day Street which had been Ellis'ed by developers.

The first pdf is "Comments 10/17" and are basically what I said that day.

I showed some pictures on the overhead which are included and now amplified in the second pdf "Presentation 5".

Since Commissioners Chan, Diamond, Imperial were not seated and President Koppel was absent on October 17, 2019, I wanted to revisit this subject. (Also Director Hillis had resigned by this time, so he was not there that day either.)

I will just add that the tenants from 3544 Taraval Street cited the three condos developed next door at 3534-3536-3538 Taraval Street (sold in 2018 for \$1.275; \$1.385 and \$1.370 million) as putting speculative pressure on their homes, which I mentioned on SFGOVTV.

My point is that I think these projects show another reason for adjusting the Demo Calcs in order to deal with the speculative pressure on all housing, particularly now as we try to recalibrate what kind of City we want to be post-Pandemic.

*Both 33 Day Street and 3534-3536 Taraval Street were approved as Alterations. They put direct speculative pressure on the neighboring properties....I guess you could call it gentrification in action.*

Please take a quick look at the attached pdfs.

One more postscript, the condos at 22-26 Day Street are now for sale with one of them asking \$995K and the other asking \$1.195 million for these two bedroom remodeled units.

Thank you and take good care.

Sincerely,

Georgia Schuttish

**From:** [Updegrave, Samantha \(CPC\)](#)  
**To:** [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Diamond, Susan \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Chan, Deland \(CPC\)](#); [Fung, Frank \(CPC\)](#); [Tanner, Rachael \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#); [Asbagh, Claudine \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** Revised CPC Packet 550 O'Farrell St Correcting minor typos  
**Date:** Monday, December 07, 2020 3:33:34 PM  
**Attachments:** [2017-004557CUA\\_Rev1.pdf](#)

---

Good afternoon Commissioners.

Please find a revised version of the staff report for 550 O'Farrell Street. This revision fixes minor typographical errors in the draft CUA motion:

- Section 2 and Exhibit A: updated project description to remove duplicative language
- Section 6B: Proposed Rear Yard is 23% (not 24%) and 6C: Roof deck is 3,142 square feet (not 3,153)
- 101 Findings corrected to read (B ) that the site does not include existing housing and (H) the project does not cast shadow on any parks

I'll read into the record that minor fixes were made after the initial publishing.

Thank you and see you Thursday!

**Samantha Updegrave, LEED AP, Senior Planner (she/her)**  
**Northeast Team, Current Planning Division**

San Francisco Planning

**PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17:**

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 628.652.7322 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)<sup>0</sup>

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW MEASURES TO FINANCIALLY SUPPORT LOCAL SMALL BUSINESSES DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC  
**Date:** Monday, December 07, 2020 3:27:06 PM  
**Attachments:** [12.07.20 Local Business Support.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Monday, December 7, 2020 at 3:10 PM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <[mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW MEASURES TO FINANCIALLY SUPPORT LOCAL SMALL BUSINESSES DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Monday, December 7, 2020  
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, [mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES NEW MEASURES TO FINANCIALLY SUPPORT LOCAL SMALL BUSINESSES DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

*Following the most recent Stay at Home Order, San Francisco will defer Unified License Fees, provide up to \$1 million in support for operators of Shared Spaces, and release an additional \$3.2 million in zero interest loans for Latino-owned businesses in Latino communities that have been disproportionately impacted by COVID-19*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed today announced three new measures aimed at helping local small businesses that have been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, especially in light of the new Stay at Home Order that went into effect Sunday, December 6 at 10:00 p.m.

San Francisco will extend the deadlines for businesses to pay their annual 2020 and 2021 Unified License Fees in order to provide more flexibility as a result of the economic impact caused by the pandemic. The Unified License bill accounts for a large majority of the licensing fees a business must incur in order to operate. Analysis by the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector shows that 69 percent of businesses that must pay a Unified License Fee have \$2.5 million or less in gross receipts. Additionally, locally owned businesses that either hold or have applied for a Shared Spaces permit are eligible to receive up to \$5,000 in reimbursement from the City, which will help businesses that have been forced to close

outdoor operations as a result of the recent Stay at Home Order and prepare for an eventual reopening. Up to \$1 million in funding comes from the Shared Spaces Equity Grants Program, which prioritizes minority-owned businesses and businesses that advance the City's equity goals.

San Francisco is also launching the San Francisco Latino Small Business Fund, which includes \$3.2 million to expand the San Francisco Hardship and Emergency Loan Program (SF HELP) that will provide zero interest loans of up to \$50,000 to approximately 80 small businesses. The funds are intended to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19 on Latino-owned businesses and small businesses in neighborhoods that serve the Latino community. Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, the City has identified nearly \$24.2 million in grants and loans for more than 1,150 local small businesses and their employees.

“We have been focused from the very beginning of this pandemic on supporting our local businesses because we know the devastating impact it has had on small business owners and their employees,” said Mayor Breed. “We’re going to continue to roll out programs like these to help in every way we can, but what is most needed right now is federal support because cities and counties throughout the country are facing the same large budget deficits that we are. Our restaurants, our small businesses, our travel industry—everyone is hurting, and as a country we should be able to both protect public health and support our businesses and their employees.”

[In March 2020, Mayor Breed extended the deadline for businesses to pay their 2020 Unified License Fee from April 30, 2020 to March 1, 2021.](#) Given the pandemic's continued impact on businesses, today Mayor Breed announced the deferral of the Unified License Fee for 2020 will further extend until October 31, 2021. To provide additional relief and time, the Unified License Fee for 2021 will also be deferred from March 1, 2021 to October 31, 2021.

These deferrals will give approximately 19,000 businesses an additional seven months to pay roughly \$16 million in fees. Approximately 20% of businesses have not yet paid their 2020 Unified License Fee. For these 3,800 businesses, this represents \$2.2 million in fees that they will now have more time to pay. For businesses that have paid their 2020 Unified License Fee, they will now have additional time to pay their 2021 fee. [San Francisco has waived roughly \\$3 million in 2020 Unified License Fees and \\$815,000 in 2021 Unified License Fees for some entertainment venues and restaurants.](#)

“The pandemic continues to devastate our small businesses and, just as many were reopening and rehiring staff, our recent COVID spike is forcing them to close yet again,” said Supervisor Rafael Mandelman. “We must always put public health first, but we should also be doing everything we can locally to mitigate the financial impacts of our Stay at Home order. These measures provide some relief to beleaguered businesses and I will keep working with the Mayor and my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to provide additional resources wherever we can.”

“Our small business community is the backbone of our economy, but these local gems have been among the hardest hit during this difficult time,” said Supervisor Catherine Stefani. “We owe it to them to provide relief. Deferring fees and providing additional subsidies will be key lifelines as we continue to combat the virus.”

“We know our small businesses are hurting and measures like these are essential to our small

businesses and to the City's ongoing economic recovery and cultural vitality. The investments for our minority and Latino-owned businesses builds upon our equity work while creating further pathways for our economic recovery," said Joaquín Torres, Director of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development. "We know we need more funds. We're going to continue to advocate for more funds with our federal partner and will leave no stone unturned in identifying new opportunities and financial resources to support our small businesses during this extremely difficult time."

The San Francisco Latino Small Business Fund is part of the [\\$28.5 million commitment for the Latino community, which covers a broad range of support directed to focusing on health, housing, food access, workforce, and small businesses](#). Latinos make up 50% percent of reported cases of COVID-19 in San Francisco, despite the demographic making up just 15% of the City's population, according to the Department of Public Health. They have been disproportionately impacted by COVID-19. For Latino-owned businesses, they're largely operating in industries that have taken a hard hit from the pandemic. Based on the latest census data, there were nearly 400,000 U.S. Latino-owned employer firms in 2017, employing 3.4 million people and generating nearly \$500 billion in annual revenue. The lack of cash on hand can result in the loss of over two million jobs nationally if Latino employer businesses have to permanently close before the end of the year. According to the Latino Entrepreneurship Initiative Research Program at Stanford University, only 28% of scaled Latino-owned businesses have a majority of employees that can work from home compared to 44% among white-owned businesses. Their survey data also showed that Latino-Owned Businesses had their Paycheck Protection Program loans approved at nearly half the rate of White-Owned Businesses.

The \$3.2 million expansion of SF HELP will focus on private, for-profit low-income to moderate-income small businesses. The loans can be used to pay for payroll, rent, inventory, equipment, and other operating expenses businesses have as they re-open and recover. They are zero interest loans up to \$50,000, with a repayment term of up to six years. There are no loan fees, personal guarantees, or collateral requirements for the borrower.

The Mission Economic Development Agency (MEDA) will conduct a lottery to identify the businesses invited to formally apply for the loan. Priority will be built into the lottery to small business located in neighborhoods with a large Latino population. Outreach will be conducted in multiple languages including in Spanish to businesses in partnership with community organizations that serve the Latino community. Small businesses interested in applying for the San Francisco Latino Small Business Fund can visit [link.oewd.org/latinofund](http://link.oewd.org/latinofund). The deadline to apply is January 14, 2021. Small businesses may also email for inquiries at [FondoLatinoSF@medasf.org](mailto:FondoLatinoSF@medasf.org) or call 415-612-2014.

While many Shared Spaces locations have been required to close as a result of the surge in COVID-19 cases locally and across the State, the Shared Spaces Equity Grants Program offers financial support to reimburse business owners for costs associated with building and operating Shared Spaces location. This support will also help business owners in the process of opening a Shared Spaces location in anticipation of future re-openings once this current COVID-19 surge is better controlled.

Priority for the Shared Spaces Equity Grants is given to locally-owned, minority-owned businesses that advance the City's equity goals, including women-owned businesses, immigrant-owned businesses, legacy businesses, and businesses in established cultural

districts or that serve a largely minority clientele. Applications are now open and there is no deadline to apply. Grants will be issued on a rolling basis with applicants notified every two weeks about the status of their applications. Interested businesses can apply at [sf.gov/get-help-paying-your-shared-space-improvements](https://sf.gov/get-help-paying-your-shared-space-improvements).

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#); [CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS](#); [STACY, KATE \(CAT\)](#); [YANG, AUSTIN \(CAT\)](#); [JENSEN, KRISTEN \(CAT\)](#)  
**Subject:** CPC Calendars for December 10, 2020  
**Date:** Friday, December 04, 2020 4:06:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [20201210\\_cal.docx](#)  
[20201210\\_cal.pdf](#)  
[CPC Hearing Results 2020.docx](#)  
[Advance Calendar - 20201210.xlsx](#)

---

Commissioners,  
Attached are your Calendars for December 10, 2020.

Cheers,

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\*SAN FRANCISCO TO JOIN BAY AREA COUNTIES TO PREEMPTIVELY ADOPT CALIFORNIA'S REGIONAL STAY AT HOME ORDER IN AN EFFORT TO CONTAIN COVID-19 SURGE  
**Date:** Friday, December 04, 2020 1:26:59 PM  
**Attachments:** [12.4.20 COVID-19 Update.pdf](#)

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Friday, December 4, 2020 at 1:26 PM  
**To:** "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\*SAN FRANCISCO TO JOIN BAY AREA COUNTIES TO PREEMPTIVELY ADOPT CALIFORNIA'S REGIONAL STAY AT HOME ORDER IN AN EFFORT TO CONTAIN COVID-19 SURGE

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Friday, December 4, 2020  
Contact: San Francisco Joint Information Center, [dempres@sfgov.org](mailto:dempres@sfgov.org)

**\*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\***

**SAN FRANCISCO TO JOIN BAY AREA COUNTIES TO  
PREEMPTIVELY ADOPT CALIFORNIA'S REGIONAL STAY  
AT HOME ORDER IN AN EFFORT TO CONTAIN COVID-19  
SURGE**

*Due to the aggressive increase in COVID-19 cases and continuing rise in hospitalizations across the city and region, Bay Area counties will voluntarily implement the State's Regional Stay at Home order to significantly reduce gatherings and additional activities in an effort to stabilize COVID-19 cases and preserve region-wide hospital capacity.*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed and Director of Health Dr. Grant Colfax today announced San Francisco will join counties across the Bay Area to impose significant restrictions across the region in an effort to mitigate the current surge in COVID-19 cases. The City's case rate and hospitalizations continue to increase unabated since late October and are now prompting San Francisco to take further action.

San Francisco and the other Bay Area Counties are opting into the Regional Stay at Home Order that Governor Newsom announced Thursday, December 3<sup>rd</sup> for regions with less than 15% capacity in ICU beds. Although San Francisco and the Bay Area have not yet met that threshold, the City in partnership with Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Santa Clara and City of Berkeley, is preemptively implementing these restrictions in an effort to flatten the curve of

COVID-19 cases, which continue to surge, and prevent hospitals from being overrun across the region.

As of 10 p.m. on Sunday, December 6, San Francisco will close all personal services, outdoor dining, public outdoor playgrounds, outdoor museums, zoos and aquariums, drive-in theaters, and open-air tour busses and boats. Additionally, San Francisco will halt indoor limited personal training in gyms and limit outdoor gyms and outdoor fitness classes to a maximum group size of 12 people at a time, including instructors and participants. Low contact retail such as pet grooming, electronics or shoe repair services, may only operate in a curbside drop-off context. All other retail, including grocery stores must reduce capacity to 20%, and all indoor businesses that are open to the public, such as retail stores, must create a metering system to manage and enforce indoor customer capacity. This metering system must be in place no later 10 p.m. on Sunday December 6, when other amended order becomes operative.

Hotels may remain open for essential workers and critical infrastructure support, including isolation and quarantine. Out of town visitors who are not essential workers or here for critical infrastructure support must stay in the hotel for the full amount of time required to quarantine. Real Estate viewings must take place virtually. The City will limit any outdoor gatherings to members of the same household up to 12 people.

“Given the steep increase in COVID-19 cases in San Francisco, we must do whatever is necessary in order to get the virus under control,” said Mayor Breed. “This is about protecting people’s lives. We see how quickly it moves and how devastating the effects. We need to do everything we can to prevent our hospital system from becoming overwhelmed and to save lives. We know that the faster we flatten the curve, the less time it takes us to move out of the danger zone. We are taking these actions now in order to contain the spread in our communities, and I urge everyone to take this very seriously.”

San Francisco, along with California and the United States, is experiencing a surge in COVID-19 more aggressive than what the City has experienced to date. Local COVID-19 cases have quadrupled during the last month. San Francisco is currently averaging 142 new COVID-19 positive cases per day compared to the 34 per day that it averaged in late October. Moreover, the City currently has approximately 900 COVID-19 cases diagnosed per week and hospitalizations have tripled over the last month. As a result, the City’s hospital capacity will be under considerable stress. At its current rate of COVID hospitalizations, the City would run out of hospital beds by December 26. Unlike in previous surges, the rest of the State’s hospital capacity is strained and reaching patient limits and it is unlikely there will be additional hospital capacity in other counties if San Francisco’s is compromised.

"We are in our worst surge yet of COVID-19. It is stressing health care systems across the state of California and taxing our health care workers," said Dr. Colfax. "We need urgent intervention now if we want to be able to care for the sick in mid-to-late December. We do not want your parent, your spouse, your child, your grandparent or any loved one to be in need of help and our hospitals too overwhelmed to properly care for them."

San Francisco’s Health Order is expected to be in effect through January 4, 2021. If, after a week of this order being in effect, the City’s indicators show a consistent reduction in case rates and hospitalizations for three additional weeks (for a total of 4 weeks) and ICU capacity is holding at 25% availability or more, San Francisco will lift this health order. If however, the Bay Area continues on its current trajectory and reaches the 15% ICU capacity threshold, it

would trigger the State's Regional Stay at Home Order and mandate that the region continue these restrictions for at least 3 weeks from that time and until ICU capacity exceeds 15% and forward-looking indicators would allow easing the restrictions. In either scenario, once this order is lifted, San Francisco would be returned to the State's blueprint tier system.

In addition to the measures the City is taking in order to reduce close interactions by people from different households, the City is increasing its focus on compliance and enforcement activities. In an effort to promote responsible behavior in higher risk contexts, such as high traffic areas in neighborhoods experiencing increasing case rates, the Office of Economic and Workforce Development has funded the Creative Corps, a pilot program to deploy community health ambassadors to street closure locations. Additionally, the City's Community Education and Response Team (CERT) is addressing complaints regarding Health Order violations to ensure that business owners and operators are fully aware of and adhere to health orders and directives. Further, with expanding contact tracing capabilities and infection monitoring efforts, CERT is strategically focusing its proactive outreach and education efforts to business corridors in areas of the City with high infection rates, low compliance, and/or elevated risks.

The following activities will be required to suspend operations until further notice:

- Personal services. Establishments offering personal care services including hair and nail salons, barbers, tattoo, piercing, estheticians and massage must cease operations, including both indoor and outdoor operations.
- Outdoor dining. Restaurants and any other establishments offering meal service, may only operate for delivery or take-out. Eating and drinking on the premises is prohibited.
- Outdoor museums, aquariums, and zoos. Outdoor installations or exhibits associated with museums, aquariums, or zoos may not allow entrance to visitors. Outdoor botanical gardens and historic sites may remain open.
- Indoor gyms. Limited 1:1 personal training within gyms and fitness centers that was allowed under the previous health order must cease, but may take place outdoors (see below).
- Drive-in gatherings. Drive in theaters and other performances delivered in a drive in context must cease.
- Outdoor playgrounds. Public playgrounds including climbing structures and walls, slides swings, sand pits, etc. must close.
- Outdoor family entertainment centers. Outdoor family entertainment centers including skate parks, roller and ice skating rinks, batting cages, go kart racing and miniature golf must close.
- Open-air bus and boat operators. Operators of open-air busses offering sight seeing and other tour services and open-air boat excursions, including leisure and fishing expeditions, must cease operations.

The following activities will be required to restrict their operations:

- Low Contact Retail. Service oriented retail such as dog groomers, electronics repair services and shoe repair services can operate in a curbside drop off context only.
- Retail. All retail establishments such as shopping centers, hardware stores, convenience stores, equipment rental, and specialty shops, and including standalone grocery stores, must reduce capacity to 20% (down from 25% and 50% in the case of grocery stores) and implement a metering system (see below).

The following activities will be required to proceed with modifications in place:

- Hotels and lodging. Hotels may only accept reservations from essential workers

travelling for work purposes or to support critical infrastructure including accommodations for isolation and quarantine purposes. If an individual who is not travelling for essential purposes makes a reservation, it must be at least for the number of days required for quarantine. The persons identified in the reservation must quarantine in the hotel or lodging facility for the entirety of the time required.

- Small gatherings. Small outdoor gatherings, must limit themselves to members of 1 household with a maximum number of 12 people (down from up to three households or no more than 25 people). Face coverings must remain on at all times and no eating or drinking is allowed.
- Outdoor gyms. Outdoor gym or fitness center activities as well as outdoor fitness in-person group classes (such as boot camps, dance, yoga, tai-chi, etc.) are limited to groups of 12 people, including personnel, and must maintain strict distance and face covering requirements. Running groups are prohibited.
- Youth sports. Youth sports activities affiliated with a childcare program, Out of School Time program, or other organized and supervised youth sports program may continue operating outdoors only without competitions or spectators and with strict social distancing and face covering requirements in place.
- Indoor activities open to public. Any establishment allowing members of the public to access indoor areas, including shopping centers, grocery stores, corner stores, financial services, hardware stores, pharmacies, etc. must establish a metering system to monitor capacity thresholds and ensure capacity does not exceed limits. In effect, a business will need to assign a specific staff person to monitor the number of people in the establishment and ensure that the 20% capacity threshold is maintained at all times. This system must be in place as soon as possible and no later than Sunday, December 6<sup>th</sup> at 10 p.m. when the amended order becomes operative. Additionally, special hours should be instituted for seniors and others with chronic conditions or compromised immune systems.
- Adult Recreation. No/low contact adult recreational activities such as golf, tennis, pickle ball, and bocce ball may continue outdoors but must be limited to participants within the same household.

Additional information about which businesses and activities can operate in San Francisco and what modifications are required at this time is available at [sf.gov/step-by-step/reopening-san-francisco](https://sf.gov/step-by-step/reopening-san-francisco).

###

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Required Cybersecurity Training  
**Date:** Friday, December 04, 2020 11:11:35 AM  
**Attachments:** [Instructions-for-Cybersecurity-classes FINAL 070920.pdf](#)

---

Apologies for the late notice, but I've just been advised that this requirement applies to Commissioners as well. You have till the end of the year.

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** Thomas DiSanto <[thomas.disanto@sfgov.org](mailto:thomas.disanto@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Friday, October 23, 2020 at 7:59 AM  
**To:** CTYPLN - CITY PLANNING EVERYONE <[CPC.CityPlanningEveryone@sfgov.org](mailto:CPC.CityPlanningEveryone@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Required Cybersecurity Training

Hi Everyone,

Per the Cybersecurity [policy](#) mandated by Committee on Information Technology (COIT), all City employees are required to complete Cybersecurity training annually by December 31. In the current climate, many City employees are accessing their emails, paycheck information, and other important data remotely. In order to protect our City's IT infrastructure and data, it is particularly important that all employees complete this Cybersecurity training.

This year, the Cybersecurity training is available to City employees on SF Learning, accessible through the SF Employee Portal. All employees have been enrolled in specific training courses aligned to their respective roles. Every City and County employee is required to complete the 45-minute foundational Cybersecurity training course. Additionally, managers, supervisors, IT professionals, and employees of specific departments are required to complete additional courses corresponding to their additional responsibilities.

Once you complete the training, SF Learning will maintain a record of training completion.

If you have difficulty accessing or navigating within SF Learning, please submit a request for assistance through the [Question about course\(s\) in SF Learning form linked here](#)

If you have questions regarding the Cybersecurity guidance included in the courses or the City's Cybersecurity policy, please email [dtis.helpdesk@sfgov.org](mailto:dtis.helpdesk@sfgov.org).

If you have any questions, please contact me or Michael Eng.

Thanks.

Tom

**Thomas DiSanto**

**Director, Administration**

San Francisco Planning Department

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7575

[www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

**From:** [CPC-Commissions Secretary](#)  
**To:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Board Report  
**Date:** Friday, December 04, 2020 9:24:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [2020\\_12\\_03.pdf](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)  
[image017.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)

---

### Commission Affairs

San Francisco Planning Department  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7600 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2020 3:46 PM  
**To:** Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Board Report

Please see attached

### Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

#### Legislative Affairs

San Francisco Planning

**PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17, 2020:**

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: +1628-652-7533 | [sfplanning.org](http://sfplanning.org)

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE, OUR OFFICE WILL BE CLOSED WITH NO ACCESS TO PHONES OR E-MAIL ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 13 and FRIDAY, AUGUST 14, 2020. WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.**

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are available by e-mail, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is encouraged to participate. Find more information on our services [here](#).

**From:** [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Feliciano, Josephine \(CPC\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: 598 Brannan Planning Commission Hearing Public Comment  
**Date:** Thursday, December 03, 2020 4:08:59 PM

---

**Jonas P Ionin**  
**Director of Commission Affairs**

San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7589 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

---

**From:** "Ajello Hoagland, Linda (CPC)" <linda.ajellohoagland@sfgov.org>  
**Date:** Thursday, December 3, 2020 at 12:08 PM  
**To:** "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: 598 Brannan Planning Commission Hearing Public Comment

FYI...

**Linda Ajello Hoagland, AICP Senior Planner**  
**Northeast Team, Current Planning Division**

San Francisco Planning  
**PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17, 2020:**  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7320 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE, OUR OFFICE WILL BE CLOSED WITH NO ACCESS TO PHONES OR E-MAIL ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 13 and FRIDAY, AUGUST 14. WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.**

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

---

**From:** robert mellett <rndevmv@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 03, 2020 12:07 PM  
**To:** Ajello Hoagland, Linda (CPC) <linda.ajellohoagland@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Bachrach, Jeremy <JBachrac@tishmanspeyer.com>; Dennis Phillips, Sarah <SPhillip@TishmanSpeyer.com>  
**Subject:** 598 Brannan Planning Commission Hearing Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted



We appreciate this opportunity to voice public comment of approval of Tishman Speyer's proposed project at 598 Brannan Street.

As an active business and property owner in the community, we look forward to and we welcome Tishman Speyer's project as a means of bringing new life into what is now a dormant part of our City. It will bring a new level of vibrancy, residency and public safety to the SOMA community.

Tiishman Speyer has a 100% proven track record of community involvement with their projects, reaching out to both residential and business owners to make their concerns and comments heard. Based on the high levels of residency and tenancy of Tishman Speyer's other properties, this project will boost the tax base of our City and will help to secure the City's future as a growing and vibrant hub for tech companies, start ups, entrepreneurs and local businesses.

We approve and look forward to Tishman Speyer and this proposed project in our neighborhood..

Sincerely,  
Robert Mellett  
Property Owner