

# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING COMMISSION



## Meeting Minutes

## Remote Hearing via video and teleconferencing

**Thursday, June 25, 2020**

**11:30 a.m.**

**Closed Session**

**COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:** Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Johnson, Koppel, Moore

**THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY PRESIDENT KOPPEL AT 11:37 AM**

**STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:** Jonas P. Ionin – Commission Secretary

**SPEAKER KEY:**

- + indicates a speaker in support of an item;
- indicates a speaker in opposition to an item; and
- = indicates a neutral speaker or a speaker who did not indicate support or opposition.

**A. SPECIAL CALENDAR:**

1. Public Comments on matters to be considered for discussion in Closed Session.
2. Consider Adoption of Motion on Whether to Assert the Attorney-Client Privilege Regarding the Matters Listed Below as Conference with Legal Counsel. (San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d).)

**ACTION:** Adopted a Motion to Assert Attorney-Client Privilege  
**AYES:** Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Johnson, Koppel, Moore

**THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAY GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS THE FOLLOWING:**

3. Conference with Legal Counsel – Pursuant to California Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) and (e)(1) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.10(d), a point has been reached where, on the advice of legal counsel, based on existing facts and circumstances, there is significant exposure to litigation with the City as defendant. (Kristen Jensen, Kate Stacy, Austin Yang)

**FOLLOWING THE CLOSED SESSION, THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION.**

4. Following the Closed Session, the Planning Commission will report on any action taken during the Closed Session and will consider a motion regarding whether to disclose any part of the discussions during Closed Session.

ACTION: Reported No Action Taken and Adopted a Motion to Not Disclose  
AYES: Chan, Diamond, Fung, Imperial, Johnson, Koppel, Moore

ADJOURNMENT 12:52 PM  
ADOPTED JULY 9, 2020