From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: DECLINE SUPPORT for San Francisco Supervisor Aaron Peskin's amendments to SF Planning Code section
	317, Tantamount to Demolition.
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 11:51:43 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Christian Dauer <chr@chrdauer.com>
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 at 11:50 AM
To: "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject: DECLINE SUPPORT for San Francisco Supervisor Aaron Peskin's amendments to SF
Planning Code section 317, Tantamount to Demolition.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Ionin,

I have send a version of the email below (edited per person) regarding Supervisor Peskin's Section 317 amendments to:

Aaron Peskin <u>Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org</u>

Ahsha Safai <u>Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org</u>

Dean Preston prestonstaff@sfgov.org

Rafael Mandelman <u>mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org</u>

District 1 Sandra.Fewer@sfgov.org

District 2 Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org

District 4 marstaff@sfgov.org (Gordon Mar)

District 6 <u>haneystaff@sfgov.org</u> (Matt Haney)

District 7 Norman.Yee@sfgov.org (President of Board of Supes)

District 9 RonenStaff@sfgov.org (Hillary Ronen)

District 10 <u>waltonstaff@sfgov.org</u> (Shamann Walton)

Joel Koppel joel.koppel@sfgov.org (President of Planning Comm.)

Kathrin Moore kathrin.moore@sfgov.org (Vice President of Planning Comm.)

Sue Diamond sue.diamond@sfgov.org

Frank S. Fung frank.fung@sfgov.org

Theresa Imperial <u>theresa.imperial@sfgov.org</u> Milicent A. Johnson <u>milicent.johnson@sfgov.org</u> Thank you for your and your staff's hard work!! Sincerely, Christian Dauer

On May 7, 2020, at 11:33 AM, Christian Dauer <<u>chr@chrdauer.com</u>> wrote:

Dear XXX,

I urge you to fight and DECLINE SUPPORT for San Francisco Supervisor Aaron Peskin's amendments to SF Planning Code section 317, Tantamount to Demolition.

On Monday, May 4th at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors Land Use & Transportation Committee, Supervisor Peskin made lastminute changes to Supervisor Rafael Mandelman's legislation with additional amendments, in an attempt to circumvent public process and review of his proposal by the Planning Commission and their staff. These amendments would change the Tantamount to Demolition calculations, from an "and" to an "or" of the removal language. In so doing, it transforms Section 317 into an entirely NEW and significantly more restrictive Planning Code provision.

The proposed amendment would make most rear additions, or most full vertical additions, "Demolition".

The city attorney duplicated Supervisor Mandelman's legislation with the Supervisor Peskin's added amendments, and the Land Use committee voted to continue it to the Chair. It is possible/likely that this legislation will be heard in the next committee meeting this coming Monday, May 11.

This change, if adopted would significantly burden the Conditional Use application process that is already cumbersome and oversubscribed, and slow the creation, renovation, and improvement of housing in San Francisco.

The impacts of this legislation are:

It adversely affects the smallest projects. A San Francisco family who wishes to build a simple rear addition will be required to submit and attend a Conditional Use application and hearing.

It adds considerable time and expense to an already inordinately long and costly process.

It adds workload for Planning Staff and Commissioners to an already overburdened system in dealing with an increase in Conditional Use authorization cases.

It does nothing to increase density or create additional affordable housing.

A foundation replacement on a hillside would now need a Conditional Use hearing.

Rear horizontal additions that are three stories tall would require a Conditional Use hearing.

Currently, the Planning Department is aware of issues with the existing Planning Code Demolition policy. The Planning Staff is currently is working on making surgical and thoughtful changes to remedy these failing. Supervisor Peskin's political maneuvers will surely complicate an already dysfunctional process and thwart forward thinking reform that serves the **current and future** needs of San Francisco residents.

I am supportive of the Planning Department's efforts in reforming the Demolition process that include exemptions from time consuming and expensive processes and promote:

Life & health safety measures, including seismic upgrades.

Building and neighborhood resilience

Sustainability and energy conservation

Increased unit density

Rear horizontal additions to accommodate changing household needs.

I urge you to DECLINE SUPPORT for Supervisor Peskin's proposed changes to Section 317 (highlighted in yellow below).
 (2) "Residential Demolition" shall mean any of the following:

(A) Any work on a Residential Building for which the Department of Building Inspection determines that an application for a demolition permit is required, or

(B) A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the sum of the Front Facade and to Rear Facade and also proposes or the Removal of more than 65% of the sum of all exterior walls, measured in lineal feet at the foundation level, or

(C) A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the Vertical Envelope Elements <mark>and or</mark> more than 50% of the Horizontal Elements of the existing building, as measured in square feet of actual surface area.

(D) The Planning Commission may reduce the above numerical elements of the criteria in Subsections (b)(2)(B) and (b)(2)(C), by up to 20% of their values should it deem that adjustment is necessary to implement the intent of this Section <u>317</u>, to conserve existing sound housing and preserve affordable housing. Sincerely,

Christian Dauer 1597 Treat Avenue San Francisco, CA 94110 tel. 415.531.1466

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Letter of Concern and attached photographs related to letter of concern for property at 3627 Divisadero Street
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 9:58:45 AM
Attachments:	Planning Department Letter of Concern 1 .docx

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Date: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 at 7:49 PM
To: "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Letter of Concern and attached photographs related to letter of concern for property at 3627 Divisadero Street

Jonas, Please forward to the Commissioners

David Winslow Principal Architect Design Review | Citywide and Current Planning San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 | San Francisco, California, 94103 T: (415) 575-9159

The Planning Department is open for business during the Shelter in Place Order. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. The Planning Commission is convening remotely and <u>the public is encouraged to participate</u>. The Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are <u>accepting appeals</u> via e-mail despite office closures. All of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended until further notice. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Dennehy, Cathi <Cathi.Dennehy@ucsf.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 4:07 PM
To: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; May, Christopher (CPC)
<christopher.may@sfgov.org>
Cc: dinno@digipic.co

Subject: FW: Letter of Concern and attached photographs related to letter of concern for property at 3627 Divisadero Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Dennehy, Cathi
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 6:04 PM
To: <u>Christopher.May@sfgov.org</u>
Cc: <u>dinno@digipic.co</u>
Subject: Letter of Concern and attached photographs related to letter of concern for property at 3627 Divisadero Street

Hi Mr. May and Mr. Winslow, I was viewing the documents for the public hearing tomorrow in relation to the third and fourth story additions to the property at 3627 Divisadero and did not see my letter of concern in the attachments or any of the photos that I attached.

Can you tell me why my letter wasn't included as an attachment? Did other homeowners like myself submit letters of concern as it would be good to get a sense of the larger communities concerns regarding this building project.

If there is a way to include my letter and any other letters of concern, that would be greatly appreciated. I do see the two neighboring properties documents regarding the DR that was filed, but no letters of concern.

Is there a ZOOM link for this meeting that will be sent to those who are concerned or do we just logon to the SF planning meeting site and is the ZOOM link there?

Thanks very much, Cathi Dennehy and Dinno Kovic

Dear Mr. May,

I'm attaching a letter of concern and related supportive documents specific to the building permit plan submitted to you by 3627 Divisadero street. Please contact me at this email or by phone if you have any questions about the letter or the photographic supportive documents.

The photos were all taken from homes in the Marina on Beach street, Lyon street, etc. I did not

include home addresses for these buildings, but if you would like them, I can record them and send them to you.

Thanks very much for your consideration, Cathi Dennehy

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Comments regarding project record number 2018-012648CUA
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 9:55:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're available by e-mail. Our Public Portal, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning Property Information Map are available 24/7. Similarly, the Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. Click here for more information.

-----Original Message-----From: Kai Ng <kai_ng@hotmail.com> Sent: Thursday, May 07, 2020 9:10 AM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: Comments regarding project record number 2018-012648CUA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi:

As a neighbor of St. Ignatius College Prep, we have patiently dealt with traffic and parking issues in our neighborhood during the weekend football games. The idea of holding games on weeknights when we return home from work, having to deal with traffic, lack of parking, and unruly teens late at night, is completely inappropriate for a residential neighborhood. We have young children, and the noise and light pollution are completely unacceptable to us. There is no mitigation possible, so we oppose the project.

As well, this project provides no benefit whatsoever to the neighborhood, only to St. Ignatius. Especially in light of the current pandemic where we can easily expect no sporting events such as football games for the next few years, it also seems like a marginal benefit to St. Ignatius as well. Their funds would be better spent on improvements in education, especially distance education.

In short, I have heard no convincing arguments that this planned project should proceed. It is of no benefit to the neighborhood, or really to St. Ignatius.

Sincerely, Kai Ng 2178 40th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116 kai_ng@hotmail.com







From:Ionin, Jonas (CPC)To:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: Support for DR request 804 22nd StreetDate:Thursday, May 07, 2020 9:44:25 AMAttachments:804 22nd Street DR Request 05.04.20.docx

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Kayleigh Henson <henson.kayleigh@gmail.com>
Date: Thursday, May 7, 2020 at 12:53 AM
To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)"
<sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, "Fung,
Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Ionin,
Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, CPC-Commissions Secretary
<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>, "Giacomucci, Monica (CPC)" <Monica.Giacomucci@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for DR request 804 22nd Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Koppel,

Attached please find my letter in support of a Design Review Request for 804 22nd street. I may not be able to attend the entirety of the hearing tomorrow as I work tomorrow afternoon, but I do hope the commission is able to read my comments in advance of the hearing and consider them. As their next door neighbor, who literally shares a wall with their building, my unit as well as the upstairs unit will be directly and permanently affected by the project as it is currently drawn. Your consideration is appreciated.

Most Sincerely,

Kayleigh Henson

Kayleigh Henson Dogpatch Financial 415.706.6860

--

 From:
 CPC-Commissions Secretary

 To:
 Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: S.I. Stadium Lights in Context

 Date:
 Thursday, May 07, 2020 8:43:00 AM

 Attachments:
 200506 SI LIGHTS SITE X SECTION 39TH AVE.pdf 200506 SI LIGHTS SITE X SECTION 39TH 40TH AVES.pdf

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-</u> mail. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property</u> <u>Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our inperson services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more</u> information.

From: Nina & Jay Manzo <nijaymanzo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 4:33 PM
To: Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: S.I. Stadium Lights in Context

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planner Horn, Planning Commissioners and Supervisor Mar,

As a homeowner with a family and two children living near S.I., I want to tell you that I am strongly opposed to the proposed stadium lights and cell antenna project. I hope you understand how distressing this proposal is for nearby residents, especially having it reviewed during these difficult times in which we are already experiencing great stresses and uncertainty. To see the peacefulness of our homes threatened by this project is extremely disturbing. This project will be a massive visual blight to the neighborhood, as well as impose so many additional nuisances (like traffic, parking issues, light pollution, noise, litter, etc).

I can't understand how the Planning Department or Commission could even consider this project, as it doesn't meet the requirements of a Conditional Use Permit per the Zoning Code. Even in the recent community Zoom meeting hosted by S.I., there was no mention of how this project would be desirable for, compatible with, or serve us non-S.I.-affiliated residents here the neighborhood (see SF Planning Code Section 303(c)).

As an architect I think often about the message that the built environment expresses. These **grossly out-of-scale light poles and antennas**, erected by Verizon (certainly at a great profit in terms of rental income to S.I.) send the message that this private institution, with very little neighborhood basis, values their own needs and profits far above the interests of the surrounding community. The significance of private organized sports and cellular communications, as embodied in these massive towers, will be looming over all of us in the area. It will be seen and felt constantly from our front yards, our rear yards, our decks, our living rooms, dining rooms and bedrooms. The brilliant LED light-throw, cheering crowds, and amplified announcements will reign over our family dinners and the evening down-time we and all our neighbors want to enjoy at home. And the 5G cell antennas (with there as-yet-unknown health impacts) will be running constantly 24-hours a day over the heads of all our neighborhood children.

Please do not approve this unfortunate proposal! Let's not see this neighborhood spoiled by this. So many other San Franciscans visit these few blocks when using the public facilities at West Sunset Soccer and Baseball Fields, as well as the West Sunset Library, AP Giannini (where one of my sons is a student), Sunset Elementary, and even the West Sunset Community Garden (which we belong to). They will be walking beneath these gargantuan looming private towers which are so **extremely out and character with the surrounding neighborhood and larger Sunset District**.

Please see the attached drawings I prepared which show Verizon's poles and antennas drawn to scale, and put into the neighborhood context. Such drawings were not provided by S.I., but I wanted to see the actual impact to our neighborhood, in terms of height, bulk, and sight lines. You can see how **out of scale with the neighborhood the proposed lights and antennas are.**

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Sincerely, Nina Manzo

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel
	(CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Advance Submission for Project #2018-012648CUA - Saint Ignatius Stadium Lighting Project
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 8:43:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano

Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 5:24 PM

To: sisunset neighbors <sisunsetneighbors@hotmail.com>; CPC-Commissions Secretary

<commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Advance Submission for Project #2018-012648CUA - Saint Ignatius Stadium Lighting

Project

Materials received,

Thank you Deborah.

Jeff Horn, Senior Planner

Southwest Team, Current Planning Division

San Francisco Planning Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-575-6925 | Email: jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org

www.sfplanning.org |San Francisco Property Information Map

The Planning Department is open for business during the Shelter in Place Order. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. The Planning Commission is convening remotely and the <u>public</u> is encouraged to <u>participate</u>. The Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are <u>accepting appeals</u> via e-mail despite office closures. All of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended until further notice. <u>Click here for more information</u>. **I am working from home during this time and will be available through email**.

From: sisunset neighbors <<u>sisunsetneighbors@hotmail.com</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 4:08 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <<u>commissions.secretary@sfgov.org</u>>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)

<jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>

Subject: Advance Submission for Project #2018-012648CUA - Saint Ignatius Stadium Lighting Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Saint Ignatius Neighborhood Association hereby submits the attached:

Advance Submission Materials for Project #2018-012648CUA - Saint Ignatius Stadium Lighting Project.

This submittal is being filed more than eight (8) days prior to the currently scheduled May 14, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting, and in accordance with San Francisco Planning Department procedures.

Please confirm your receipt of this email.

Thank you Deborah F. Brown, Secretary

Saint Ignatius Neighborhood Association

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent
	(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 1760 Ocean Avenue: Block / Lot: 3283 / 195
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 8:38:00 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Shirley Lima <hello@shirleylima.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 7:39 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: 1760 Ocean Avenue: Block / Lot: 3283 / 195

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Project: 1760 Ocean Avenue Block/Lot: 3283 / 195

Record Number: 2019-016388CUA

Hi Planning Commission,

My name is Shirley Lima and I live in the Ocean Gardens Residential Condominiums Project right above the proposed expansion of Fresenius Kidney Care (FKC) formerly known as RAI Dialysis Care Center.

I oppose the granting of the conditional use for the expansion of the dialysis center to 1760 Ocean Avenue for the below reasons:

 The business owners have never made any attempt to mitigate the traffic situation outside their current location. I've yet to see any plans on how this business plans to handle the increased traffic by expanding into 1760 Ocean Avenue. In the current situation, the para-transit vans who pick up their patients tend to double park on Ocean Avenue frequently, making it unsafe and difficult for me to make a right turn onto the street I live on. What's even more surprising is that the Conditional Use Permit request mentions, "Traffic will not change as the space currently has a dedicated parking garage." There are two things wrong with this statement:

- a. This parking garage has been available for use by patients in the current location at 1738 Ocean Avenue, but the business owners have not disclosed that the height of most para-transit vans will not fit into that garage. For example, a typical para-transit model is the Ford E450 with a height of 111" (9'1/4"). Unfortunately, the clearance for that garage is only 8'2". So what happens instead is that the vans pile up on the right lane of Ocean Avenue, double parked, and if you are unlucky to get stuck in that lane, you cannot get out because there is a Muni island to your left. I've seen cars drive backwards on Ocean Avenue and then over the east end of the island to get themselves out of the situation.
- b. I don't see how Savely Healthcare Architects can assume that traffic will remain the same on the right lane of Ocean Ave. and Dorado Terr. Where is the traffic survey that will let residents know what it will be like with triple the patients arriving at the intersection? Until this business makes a better attempt at being a 'good neighbor', it doesn't seem sustainable for them to be expanding in this neighborhood.
- 2. FKC doesn't serve the needs of the residents who shop on the Ocean Avenue corridor. In fact, this business <u>adds</u> to the retail leakage in the neighborhood because their customers are bused in from outside neighborhoods and then leave to spend their dollars elsewhere. 1760 Ocean Avenue is reserved for a retail space and should stay vacant until an appropriate retailer can move in. I understand that getting vacancies filled is difficult, but should FKC move into the 1760 Ocean Ave, it could increase retail leakage for years to come.

For the reasons stated, I'm opposed to the expansion of the Fresenius Kidney Care. I feel the business is better suited to a location where there is an open parking lot that can serve their population. I've lived in the neighborhood since 2007 and it's changed a lot; there are many more residential units (Avalon, Brighton Luxury Apartments, future development at Balboa Park Reservoir) and therefore, more walkable retail services are moving in (restaurants, grocery stores, hardware stores, etc). We should work towards protecting what the neighborhood has become, a residential community with retail services within walking distance.

Thanks in advance for your consideration,

Shirley Lima

18 Dorado Ter #8

San Francisco, CA. 94112

Enclosure

Photo of Garage Clearance at 1738 / 1760 Ocean Ave which shows clearance as 8'2":



From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent
	(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Block / Lot 3283 / 195: 1760 Ocean Avenue
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 8:38:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: VIRGILIO DE JOYA <vdejoya@comcast.net>

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2020 9:34 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Cc: vdejoya@comcast.net; hellow@shirleylima.com

Subject: Block / Lot 3283 / 195: 1760 Ocean Avenue

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: Virgil de Joya 18 Dorado Terrace #3 San Francisco, CA 94112 To: SF Planning Department Re: Block / Lot 3283 / 195: 1760 Ocean Avenue Record # 2019-016388CUA

To Whom It May Concern:

Being a homeowner living directly above the dialysis Center with plans for the expansion of this medical facility is quite troublesome for our neighborhood and especially for me and my family.

This expansion will only create wreak havoc, and in turn, affect and change the overall atmosphere of the neighborhood mainly due to traffic congestion, noise and air pollution, traffic safety, parking issues, and the overall health and safety of every individual living around the area.

Because of all my concerns stated above, I vehemently oppose the plan for the expansion of the Dialysis Center.

From:	<u>eliza nemser</u>
То:	Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC);
	Jimenez, Sylvia (CPC); CPC-Commissions Secretary
Subject:	Objection to proposed construction at 1043-1045 Clayton
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 7:02:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners; Dear City Planner,

Per today's agenda, Record Number 2018-014766CUA, I am writing in objection to the planned construction at the aforementioned addresses. This project will substantially affect the light, views, and quality of life for at least 5 properties on Belvedere Street, and the proposed housing is out of proportion with the historic nature of the neighborhood. This will not create affordable housing and will adversely impact the neighborhood.

Thank you, Dr. Eliza Nemser

Eliza Nemser, PhD (206) 399-2651

From:	Kayleigh Henson
То:	Koppel, Joel (CPC)
Cc:	Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC); CPC-Commissions Secretary; Winslow, David (CPC); Giacomucci, Monica (CPC)
Subject:	Support for DR request 804 22nd Street
Date:	Thursday, May 07, 2020 12:53:03 AM
Attachments:	804 22nd Street DR Request 05.04.20.docx

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mr. Koppel,

Attached please find my letter in support of a Design Review Request for 804 22nd street. I may not be able to attend the entirety of the hearing tomorrow as I work tomorrow afternoon, but I do hope the commission is able to read my comments in advance of the hearing and consider them. As their next door neighbor, who literally shares a wall with their building, my unit as well as the upstairs unit will be directly and permanently affected by the project as it is currently drawn. Your consideration is appreciated.

Most Sincerely,

Kayleigh Henson

Kayleigh Henson Dogpatch Financial 415.706.6860

From:	Peter Bransten
To:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
Subject:	Fw: 1043 - 1045 Clayton Street; Record No. 2018-0147766CUA
Date:	Wednesday, May 06, 2020 3:12:21 PM
Attachments:	ATT00001.htm
	ATT00002.htm
	ATT00003.htm
	ATT00004.htm
	ATT00005.htm
	ATT00006.htm
	Proposed west facing side of new construction.pdf
	ATT00007.htm

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello:

I omitted to copy you on my email to the Commissioners and the Planner below.

Peter M. Bransten Cell: 415-269-0118

----- Forwarded Message -----From: Leela de Souza Bransten <leeladesouza@hotmail.com> To: peter bransten <peter.bransten@yahoo.com> Sent: Wednesday, May 6, 2020, 03:08:42 PM PDT Subject: Fwd: 1043 - 1045 Clayton Street; Record No. 2018-0147766CUA

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "peter.bransten@yahoo.com" <peter.bransten@yahoo.com> Date: May 6, 2020 at 2:28:44 PM PDT To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "kathrin.moore@sfgov.org" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "sue.diamond@sfgov.org" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "frank.fung@sfgov.org" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "theresa.imperial@sfgov.org" <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "milicent.johnson@sfgov.org" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, "sylvia.jimenez@sfgov.org" <sylvia.jimenez@sfgov.org> Cc: Leela de Souza Bransten <leeladesouza@hotmail.com> Subject: 1043 - 1045 Clayton Street; Record No. 2018-0147766CUA

Dear Planning Commissioners and Ms. Jimenez:

This email concerns the Conditional Use Authorization dated April 30, 2020 pertaining to the project located at 1043 – 1045 Clayton Street. For nearly fifteen years I have lived with my wife and daughter in the home at 510 Belvedere St., the property immediately to the west of the proposed project at 1043-1045 Clayton Street.

In concept, I am not opposed to the proposed increase in density sought by the Conditional Use Authorization dated April 30, 2020. I fully appreciate the need for more housing in San Francisco.

I do, however, object to the Conditional Use Authorization to the extent it is based on the design of the project as presented in the Conditional Use Authorization.

My particular objection is to the proposed five stories of massing –totalling over 50 feet -- at the rear of the proposed project. The attached photos depict the current conditions of the west facing side of the home currently existing on the project site. The project design would create new living units at ground level at the rear of the property (where there is now planting) and add another nearly 30 feet of new structure above the current roof line. The result will be imposing, unsightly, excessively massive, and block light to my property and the adjacent properties on Belvedere Street. Please compare the attached photos of the west side of the current home with the attached pdf, the architect's rendering of the west side of the proposed new structure.

It is my understanding that the proposed project is a "spec" project. I have no objection to the project owners, "OBC, LLC and TIBT, LLC" realizing a return on their investment, but they should design the massing of the rear of the project to give consideration and attention to me and other adjacent neighbours immediately below the project on Belvedere Street.

Finally, please be advised that in 2017, a very large tree on the project site toppled over into my backyard. Photos of the toppled tree are attached. Before any new construction on the property is approved, I believe that soil conditions at the project site must be carefully evaluated. Thank you and please stay safe.

Peter M. Bransten

510 Belvedere St.

(415) 269-0118 (cell)

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** SAN FRANCISCO RELEASES TENDERLOIN NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND PLAN FOR COVID-19
Date:	Wednesday, May 06, 2020 11:48:31 AM
Attachments:	05.06.20 Tenderloin Neighborhood Safety Assessment and Plan.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Wednesday, May 6, 2020 at 11:39 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** SAN FRANCISCO RELEASES TENDERLOIN NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND PLAN FOR COVID-19

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Wednesday, May 6, 2020 Contact: San Francisco Joint Information Center, <u>dempress@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE *** SAN FRANCISCO RELEASES TENDERLOIN NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND PLAN FOR COVID-19

Block-by-block assessment identifies consistent challenges to guide the City's response for improving the conditions on the streets and ensuring the health and safety of all Tenderloin residents.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced the release of the <u>Tenderloin Neighborhood Safety Assessment and Plan for COVID-19</u>, a comprehensive report of the current conditions in the Tenderloin and a block-by-block plan for addressing those challenges. The Tenderloin Plan is part of the City's broader efforts to address the public health crisis in San Francisco and among people who are experiencing homelessness.

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Tenderloin has seen a significant increase in unsheltered homelessness and a reduction in quality of life and safety for housed and unhoused residents alike. Mayor Breed directed the Human Rights Commission (HRC) to establish a citywide roundtable of stakeholders with a focus on low-income residents, immigrants, African Americans and other communities to address the disparate impacts of the

coronavirus. Tenderloin stakeholders joined the roundtable at the beginning of April to identify and escalate urgent needs to the Emergency Operations Center (EOC). The collaborative effort has led to the distribution of thousands of face coverings, meals, and technology equipment for youth distance learning to the Tenderloin neighborhood.

In April, the HRC Tenderloin Community Roundtable facilitated the assembly of a team of representative City departments from the Healthy Streets Operations Center and community groups and stakeholders to design and implement a robust Tenderloin Neighborhood Needs Assessment. This assessment was conducted on the morning of April 28th and consisted of multi-disciplinary teams walking each block of a segment of the Tenderloin, broken into six geographic zones. Each City department used a standardized survey tool to evaluate each block on a set of safety and quality of life parameters relevant to their respective departments. The report issued today compiles findings from these assessments and details a plan to address the issues facing the Tenderloin during the global COVID-19 pandemic.

"We are committed to ensuring our most vulnerable neighbors are safe and have access to the resources they need to stay healthy during this public health crisis," said Mayor Breed. "This plan was informed by an on-the-ground assessment of the current challenges in the Tenderloin and with input from the community, and our City employees and nonprofit partners who are out there every day interacting with and serving the people who are experiencing homelessness. By implementing this plan, we can help improve health and safety of everyone living in the Tenderloin."

The Tenderloin Plan seeks to address and improve conditions in the neighborhood, with an initial focus on the 13 blocks in the Tenderloin that are most highly impacted. Implementation of the Plan will be iterative and informed by ongoing community input, with a goal of expanding to the other 36 blocks in the Tenderloin not specifically identified in the Plan.

The Plan has eight main goals:

- 1. Address encampments by offering safe sleeping alternatives to unsheltered individuals.
- 2. Facilitate social distancing compliance by closing streets and limiting parking.
- 3. Ensure that all residents, housed and unhoused, have safe passage and access to their homes and businesses.
- 4. Improve access to hygiene stations, restrooms and garbage disposal for unhoused individuals.
- 5. Address food and water insecurity for housed and unhoused residents alike.
- 6. Increase police presence and activate community care ambassadors to mitigate public safety concerns.
- 7. Increase health services in the neighborhood.
- 8. Increased education and outreach to residents and businesses through a community care ambassador program.

The City has begun implementing the recommendations in the plan, and is focusing first on the 13 blocks with the highest needs. The City has already installed six drinking water fixtures in the Tenderloin—called manifolds—that attach to fire hydrants and allow for drinking water access. Starting the week of May 11, the City will activate a "Safe Sleeping Village" at the current Fulton Street Mall encampment, which will have on-site services and be operated by Urban Alchemy.

A team of community care ambassadors will operate in the Tenderloin taking a measured block-by-block approach to supporting neighboring community members in social distancing, accessing pertinent resources, and participate in light street cleaning

The Tenderloin Plan is one of the ways the City is protecting the health of people experiencing homelessness. The City has moved 1,053 people experiencing homelessness into hotel rooms and has established 120 shelter-in-place RVs and trailers for people experiencing homelessness in District 10. The City has expanded meal delivery to existing encampments and has expanded the number of handwashing stations and staffed Pit Stops. There are now 49 24/7 staffed Pit Stops in San Francisco.

The model of the Tenderloin Plan will be used to inform and create comprehensive plans for other neighborhoods down the road throughout the City heavily impacted by the ongoing public health crisis.

"The Tenderloin Plan is the direct result of a coordinated effort by multiple City-departments, Tenderloin residents and community non-profits coming together to protect our housed and unhoused neighbors alike," said Jeff Kositsky, Manager of the Healthy Streets Operations Center. "The safety assessment will allow us to provide acute care to our vulnerable populations so they are safe and have access to the resources they need, while also maintaining the health and well-being of the surrounding neighborhood."

"How we address homelessness, addiction and mental health during this unprecedented global pandemic is of utmost importance. I am proud of the disaster service workers, service providers and community members who have worked tirelessly to develop solutions during this public health crisis," said Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director, San Francisco Department of Emergency Management. "The Tenderloin Plan can serve as a model for how San Francisco promotes healthy streets as we learn to live with COVID-19 in our community."

"The creation and implementation of the Tenderloin Plan has been a community-driven process from start to finish," said Sheryl Davis, Executive Director of the HRC. "We are thankful for the continued collaboration and open dialogue we have established with Tenderloin community stakeholders. This plan is a great start at addressing their urgent needs to make the neighborhood safer, healthier, and more livable for all."

"The housed and unhoused residents of the Tenderloin are uniquely impacted by the COVID-19 crisis," said Abigail Stewart-Kahn, Interim Director of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. "We are grateful for the leadership of Mayor Breed and the Emergency Operations Center in the development and implementation of the strategy."

"As a community leader, being a part of the Community Roundtable and the Tenderloin Subcommittee has allowed me to help our families and neighbors — housed and unhoused, business owners, and community agencies — with solving some of the issues being presented in the Tenderloin," said Michael Vuong, Clubhouse Director for the Tenderloin Clubhouse of the Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco. "I, along with others in the community, have had an opportunity to have a voice, provide feedback, and hear directly from the City agencies who have participated in solving these issues. While we may not always agree and acknowledge there's more to do, it's important that the lines of communication stay open, and that is what has happened thanks to the leadership of Sheryl Davis and Suzy Loftus."

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Nancy Wuerfel <nancenumber1@aol.com> Reply-To: Nancy Wuerfel <nancenumber1@aol.com> Date: Tuesday, May 5, 2020 at 4:37 PM **To:** Aaron Hyland <aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com>, Diane Matsuda <dianematsuda@hotmail.com>, "kate.black@sfgov.org" <kate.black@sfgov.org>, Chris Foley <chris.foley@sfgov.org>, Richard Johns <RSEJohns@yahoo.com>, Jonathan Pearlman <jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com>, "So, Lydia (CPC)" <lydia.so@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" < jonas.ionin@sfgov.org> **Cc:** "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "sue.diamond@sfgov.orgjonas.ionin" <sue.diamond@sfgov.orgjonas.ionin>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Stefani, Catherine (BOS)" <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>, "Preston, Dean (BOS)" <dean.preston@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Haney, Matt (BOS)" <matt.haney@sfgov.org>, "MandelmanStaff, [BOS]" <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>, "Walton, Shamann (BOS)" <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Safai, Ahsha (BOS)" <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>, "Ronen, Hillary" <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org> Subject: Please Continue item 6 Annual Report at the May 6 HPC meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners:

I request that item 6 Certified Local Government Program (CLG) Annual Report be continued and not approved that this time. The reason for continuance is that this 2019 draft report is deficient because it does not include reference in the "Summary of Local Preservation Programs" to the existence of the city's Landmark Tree Program authorized by Public Works Code Article 16, Section 810, in the Administrative Code since 1995 and updated through to 2015.

This program has landmarked over 20 trees in San Francisco, yet none of them are listed as cultural and/or historic resources by Planning staff. All landmarked trees are designated as such by a Board of Supervisors ordinance. The Board considers the age, size, shape, species, location, historical association, visual quality, and other contributions to the City's character, as set forth in Article 16 Section $\underline{810}(f)(4)(A)$ -(E).

Article 10 section 1004 (b) states "Each such designating ordinance shall include ... a description of the particular features that should be preserved. Any such designation shall be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of this <u>Article 10</u> and the standards set forth herein." The Landmark Tree Program complies with the requirement to describe the "particular features" that should be preserved.

It is time that this failure to recognize the Landmark Tree Program as an integral part of the city's historical resources must be corrected. This oversight should be mentioned on CLG page 18 along with the actions Planning staff will take to document the program and include landmarked trees in future preservation activities and evaluations.

Thank you for considering a continuance for item 6 to discuss this issue.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wuerfel

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Nancy Wuerfel <nancenumber1@aol.com>

Reply-To: Nancy Wuerfel <nancenumber1@aol.com>

Date: Tuesday, May 5, 2020 at 5:13 PM

To: Aaron Hyland <aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com>, Diane Matsuda

<dianematsuda@hotmail.com>, "kate.black@sfgov.org" <kate.black@sfgov.org>, Chris Foley <chris.foley@sfgov.org>, Richard Johns <RSEJohns@yahoo.com>, Jonathan Pearlman <jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com>, "So, Lydia (CPC)" <lydia.so@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>

Cc: "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Stefani, Catherine (BOS)" <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>, "Preston, Dean (BOS)" <dean.preston@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Haney, Matt (BOS)" <matthaney@sfgov.org>, "MandelmanStaff, [BOS]" <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>, "Walton, Shamann (BOS)" <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Safai, Ahsha (BOS)" <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>, "Ronen, Hillary" <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org> Subject: Please continue item 7 SER at the May 6 HPC meeting

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners:

The standard environmental requirements, item 7, must be continued until the coronavirus restrictions are lifted and the public can testify in person to the HPC. As currently written, this proposal is a blank check without any specifics named that are the actual requirements to be used to justify a categorical exemption. This important discussion can wait until face to face meetings between commissioners and the public are once again held at city hall. There is no need to rush into this controversial change to our CEQA review.

Thank you for considering a continuance,

Sincerely,

Nancy Wuerfel

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES EXPANSION OF 24-HOUR PIT STOPS TO
	INCREASE SANITATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
Date:	Tuesday, May 05, 2020 2:28:47 PM
Attachments:	05.05.20 Pit Stop Expansion.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Tuesday, May 5, 2020 at 1:54 PM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES EXPANSION OF 24-HOUR PIT STOPS TO INCREASE SANITATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, May 5, 2020 Contact: Rachel Gordon, San Francisco Public Works; Rachel.Gordon@sfdpw.org

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES EXPANSION OF 24-HOUR PIT STOPS TO INCREASE SANITATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

18 existing Pit Stops will now be open around the clock during the COVID-19 pandemic, bringing the total number of staffed 24-hour restroom locations in the City to 49.

San Francisco, CA—Mayor London N. Breed and San Francisco Public Works today announced that the City is vastly increasing the number of staffed Pit Stop public toilets that will operate around the clock, seven days a week, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The regular Pit Stop program in San Francisco has 24 locations throughout the City, three of which have been operating 24 hours a day. Another 15 of these existing Pit Stops will temporarily be open around the clock by the end of next week. Additionally, the City recently deployed 37 temporary portable Pit Stop public toilets and sinks that are staffed 24/7 near known encampment hot spots while the Stay Home Order is in effect.

In total, there now will be 49 staffed Pit Stop locations, with 67 toilets altogether, operating

24/7 in San Francisco.

"We have been pushing to open more staffed restrooms, including 24-hour facilities, in San Francisco, but this pandemic has really highlighted the urgent need for these facilities," said Mayor Breed. "We're moving as quickly as possible to bring homeless residents into hotels and other alternative housing sites during this pandemic, but we face real challenges now that we are unable to bring new clients into shelters due to the need to social distance. People living on the street need available facilities, and the fact that they are staffed helps to ensure that they remain open and operating."

Overall, the City has 136 staffed public toilets at 62 locations, when park bathrooms are factored in. That number exceeds United Nations emergency sanitation standards, which call for a ratio of one toilet for every 50 unsheltered residents. Now, as the City faces the unprecedented COVID-19 crisis, making more restrooms available 24 hours per day will help meet demand.

"We are committed to providing this critical service that offers San Francisco's unhoused residents and others in need expanded access to staffed bathrooms that are clean and safe," said Alaric Degrafinried, Acting Director of San Francisco Public Works, which manages the Pit Stop program.

The 24-hour Pit Stops already are available in the Tenderloin, Mission, South of Market, Bayview, Cathedral Hill, Haight, Richmond, Castro, and Civic Center neighborhoods. The expansion will add Mid-Market, Lower Polk, and The Embarcadero to the roster.

###
From:	Ruth Marks
То:	Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC);
	Johnson, Milicent (CPC)
Cc:	Witte, Bill; CPC-Commissions Secretary
Subject:	Mercy Housing Support Letter for 98 Franklin
Date:	Tuesday, May 05, 2020 10:33:48 AM
Attachments:	Mercy Housng Letter of Support 98 Franklin.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commission President Koppel and Commissioners,

Please find attached a letter of support from Mercy Housing for 98 Franklin.

Ruth Marks Executive Assistant

> Mercy HOUSING Mercy Housing California 1256 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102 t|415.355.7122| mercyhousing.org/california

From:	<u>CPC-Commissions Secretary</u>
То:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent
	(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 1760 Ocean Avenue (2019-016388CUA) (closing 5/7/2020)
Date:	Tuesday, May 05, 2020 8:44:00 AM
Attachments:	1760 Ocean Avenue.docx

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-</u> <u>mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property</u> <u>Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our inperson services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more</u> information.

From: JKNagai <jkeinagai@att.net>
Sent: Monday, May 04, 2020 4:18 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: 1760 Ocean Avenue (2019-016388CUA) (closing 5/7/2020)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissions Secretary,

A request in connection with the proposed new occupancy at 1760 Ocean Avenue is hereby submitted per attached.

Thank you.

JKN

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent
	(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 1760 Ocean Ave, SF - Public Hearing for expanding office space for RAI Dialysis Center
Date:	Tuesday, May 05, 2020 8:44:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Ilona <sfydacha18@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, May 04, 2020 11:23 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: 1760 Ocean Ave, SF - Public Hearing for expanding office space for RAI Dialysis Center

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: SF Planning Department

Re: Block / Lot 3283 / 195: <u>1760 Ocean Avenue</u>

Record Number: 2019-016388CUA

Hi,

My name is Ilona Chizhayeva.

I am a homeowner in the immediate vicinity of the dialysis center.

I oppose the expansion because of the current noise and traffic issues associated with operating the clinic in our community. The proposed expansion by some 15,000 square feet will make noise at inappropriate times much worse and will increase traffic. In the current situation, the vans picking up their patients tend to block completely right lane of traffic making unsafe and difficult for me and other drivers to make a right turn onto the street we live on. Drivers are often forced to make a right turn from the left lane because the right lane is frequently blocked by vans picking up or unloading customers from the dialysis center. In addition, an air cooling system for this office space is located outside of the home owner association I live in and in a close proximity to my unit. A few years back our residents complained to a SF Department of Public Health about an excessive noice coming from this cooling system. The department ordered the owner to make improvements to the cooling system but it took more than a year to make this property owner to make the updates. I'm concern about excessive noice from the cooling system with the expanding of the RAI Dialysis Center as well as an increased traffic. It does not look like a good fit for the neighborhood.

Thank you. Ilona Chizhayeva 18 Dorado Terrace, Unit 2 San Francisco, CA 94112

Sent from my iPad

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Ozzie Rohm <ozzierohm@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Monday, May 4, 2020 at 11:34 AM

To: "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>

Cc: "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, CPC-Commissions Secretary
 <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>,
 "Giacomucci, Monica (CPC)" <Monica.Giacomucci@sfgov.org>, Sfluc Info <info@sfluc.org>
 Subject: Opposition to 804-806 22nd Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Koppel and Members of the Planning Commission:

I am writing to express my support for the DR requester's opposition to the project at 804-806 22nd Street.

I came to know about this project last year when I was presenting Supervisor Peskin's proposed controls on residential demolitions and alterations at Dogpatch Neighborhood Association. The ghastly scale of this project was shocking to everyone who was present at this meeting.

Over a year of negotiation with the Project Sponsor and the most pressing issue still remains, namely, a new construction at zero lot line that will block the DR requester's light well entirely. The proposed project doesn't comply with the Residential Design Guidelines (RDG), which San Francisco Planning Code requires adherence to.

On a block where every property other than the church on the corner is NOT developed to zero lot line, why is this project allowed to leave NO room for the midblock open space? Why doesn't this project respect the neighboring property's light well despite the specific guidelines provided by the RDG?

The DR requester has proposed a reasonable compromise that will result in less than 200 square feet of reduction from a proposed building of over 5,000 square feet. City living in densely populated areas is all about striking a balance between one's right to expand their property and the neighbor's right to enjoy theirs. That is why I urge you to take DR and require the project sponsor to make a small compromise by reducing the horizontal expansion by 5 feet. This will respect the neighboring property's light well while providing a small mid-block open space that is a community amenity for all neighbors in a densely populated area such as Dogpatch.

Sincerely,

Ozzie Rohm

Member of San Francisco Land Use Coalition

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
To:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 2441 Mission St. 2020-001294cua
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 3:35:00 PM

Josephine O. Feliciano Commission Affairs San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're available by e-mail. Our Public Portal, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning Property Information Map are available 24/7. Similarly, the Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. Click here for more information.

-----Original Message-----From: Margie Ramirez <maramirez@yahoo.com> Sent: Monday, May 04, 2020 2:56 PM To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Subject: 2441 Mission St. 2020-001294cua

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am against authorizing the existing Medical Cannabis Dispensary be allowed to have smoking and vaporizing on the first floor of their building We should not be promoting this behavior, but curtailing it. Seems like the more these Cannabis dispensaries are allowed to take over empty buildings in the Mission, the more they want to extend more privileges. I live at 21st and Capp and walk out of my building and can count 3 within 2 blocks. This seems to me that we are being bombarded with these products and now that is not enough for them, now they also want to take it even further. When does it end?

I hope this "ask" will not be allowed. Your neighbor, who sees no need for this.

Margie Ramirez Concerned

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
To:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel
	(CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 1760 Ocean Avenue / Conditional Use
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 3:35:00 PM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: David Blumenfeld <dblumenfeld9@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, May 04, 2020 12:07 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC)

<jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>

Subject: 1760 Ocean Avenue / Conditional Use

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Commissions Secretary and Jeffrey Horn,

Re: 1760 Ocean Avenue

Block/Lot 3283 / 195

Record Number: 2019-016388CUA

My name is David Blumenfeld, I live in the Ocean Gardens Residential project which is situated on top of the current dialysis center. I am a board member and president of the Ocean Gardens Homeowners Association.

I oppose the granting of the conditional use for the expansion of the dialysis center at the above address for several reasons:

1. The Ocean Gardens residential project is over the commercial parcel at Ocean Gardens. The residential and commercial parcels share the same air space parcel. While this is a proposal for commercial property at ground level the neighborhood is very much residential except for the ground level on the Ocean Avenue corridor.

2. The proposed expansion will probably at least triple the size of the dialysis center. This will increase the current noise level when the expanded dialysis center becomes operational. Currently the dialysis center opens for business around 4:30 a.m. when the para transit buses start delivering patients. The steady flow of patients generally continues until about 9:00 p.m. six days a week from Monday through Saturday. The para transit vans are especially noisy with their "safety" back-up beepers, and often the drivers let their diesel engines idle while waiting to pick up patients from the center. Taking what exists now and multiplying it by a factor of three would be very disconcerting if the proposal was approved.

3. The traffic situation will get worse in the areas around the dialysis center. Right now, during business hours the dialysis center pretty much blocks off one of the two travel lanes in the westbound direction on Ocean Avenue. There is a small bulbout that allows for maybe two vans to

park, which always seems to be full. Additionally, whether the bulbout has vans in it or not, many vans simply park near the entrance to the center because the bulbout is closer to the Dorado Terrace corner than the entrance to the dialysis center. Aside from the small bulbout there is no street parking on Ocean Avenue between Faxon and Dorado because of the Muni Island in the middle of westbound Ocean Avenue that extends all the way from Faxon to Dorado. If the project is approved, patient volume in and out of the center will no doubt substantially increase and will escalate the noise and traffic issues.

4. Traffic on Ocean Avenue has increased dramatically over the past few years due to the Avalon Apartment complex which added about 160 units, a new apartment complex at the Muni loop by City College, and the opening of the Whole Foods store. There are also recent additions of multi-unit housing at Ocean and Brighton and Ocean and Miramar.

5. The dialysis center does not serve the neighborhood in general -- it is not a retail store. It serves a specific population that mostly buses in for treatment at the center.

6. Construction Noise - I have lived in my home for more than 25 years and lived through about 3 or 4 demolitions and remodels for this site going all the way back to Blockbuster Video. Living over the site in a concrete and steel structure is like an echo chamber during construction and every noise is heard and felt. If the Planning Department does approve this project, I urge you to limit construction hours to not before 7:00 a.m. and not later than 5:00 p.m. Also, please take into consideration the current shelter in place order and the impact construction noise would have on residents in the area.

I am not in favor of the expansion of the dialysis center. The Planning Department should protect our neighborhood. This type of commercial enterprise does not belong in a predominantly residential neighborhood with no separation, distance or buffer between it and the neighborhood at large. The expansion of the dialysis center is not a positive step for the neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration.

David I. Blumenfeld

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES UNIVERSAL COVID 19 TESTING FOR ALL
	ESSENTIAL WORKERS IN SAN FRANCISCO
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 11:12:35 AM
Attachments:	05.04.20 Expanded Testing Essential Workers.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Monday, May 4, 2020 at 10:31 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES UNIVERSAL COVID 19 TESTING FOR ALL ESSENTIAL WORKERS IN SAN FRANCISCO

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Monday, May 4, 2020 Contact: San Francisco Joint Information Center, 415-558-2712, <u>dempress@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES UNIVERSAL COVID-19 TESTING FOR ALL ESSENTIAL WORKERS IN SAN FRANCISCO

Anyone who must work during the Stay Home Order is eligible for free testing, with or without symptoms.

San Francisco takes another step toward goal of universal access to testing.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Director of Health Dr. Grant Colfax today announced that all essential workers in San Francisco will now be eligible for COVID-19 testing, regardless of symptoms or exposure. The expanded testing criteria is another step toward the City's goal of universal access to testing for all San Franciscans. The expansion to asymptomatic essential workers is citywide and effective today, and applies to all testing conducted at CityTestSF and the Department of Public Health (DPH) community test sites.

The new policy expands COVID-19 testing to include any essential workers, including health care workers, first responders, grocery clerks, construction workers, drivers, childcare workers

and others who continue to leave their homes each day to serve San Francisco during the Stay Home Order. These essential workers interact daily with other people and cannot easily maintain social distance by staying home. For these workers, they can decide when they want the test, but it is important for them to be tested immediately when they feel ill, or have symptoms consistent with COVID-19, or if they believe they have been exposed. No doctor's note will be required, and the test is free.

DPH recommends that all private providers in San Francisco extend testing to asymptomatic essential workers. Additionally, testing continues to be available for anyone living in San Francisco who either has one symptom or has been exposed to a confirmed case of COVID-19.

"San Francisco's essential workers have kept our city going for months now during the pandemic response," said Mayor Breed. "They continue to show up every day, often at great personal risk, and I am so grateful to them. Now that we have our testing program established and are certain that we can test everyone with symptoms, we want to extend to them the opportunity to get tested more easily—for peace of mind and to take action if needed to protect themselves and their families."

The expansion puts San Francisco squarely on the path to universal access to testing. Any person living in San Francisco is already eligible for testing if they have one COVID-19 symptom, such as fever, cough, sore throat, or have been in contact with someone with confirmed COVID-19. The City also announced last Friday that all residents and workers in skilled nursing facilities in San Francisco will be required to be tested.

"To achieve our goal of universal access, we have continually expanded testing, based on where the need is greatest, and the availability of resources," said Dr. Colfax. "We focused first on people with symptoms – in outbreaks, vulnerable populations, case contact investigations and among essential workers. As the circle keeps widening, we now can test more people without symptoms, including close contacts, residents and staff of skilled nursing facilities and essential workers."

Essential workers can get tested for free at one of the two <u>CityTestSF</u> sites at the Embarcadero and in SoMa. These two sites have the capacity to test 1,500 people per day, and are operated in partnership with Color, Carbon Health, and One Medical. The Department of Public Health's community test sites also are providing free tests at Southeast Health Center in the Bayview, Castro-Mission Health Center in the Castro, Maxine Hall Health Center in the Western Addition, and Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital in the Mission. Appointments for tests are still necessary at all sites in order to ensure social distancing and reduce the number of people waiting for a test at any given time.

For more information about COVID-19 testing, contact your primary health care provider, call 311, or visit <u>SF.gov/GetTestedSF</u>.

"Given that the majority of transmissions of COVID-19 are likely originating from asymptomatic carriers, the broadening of criteria is an important step in achieving universal access to testing," said Othman Laraki, Color CEO. "We hope that by identifying COVID-19 infections early, CityTestSF can help keep essential workers and the public they serve safer from infection and accelerate the re-opening of the American economy." San Francisco's coronavirus response has consistently prioritized those who are most at risk, and the expanded testing strategy is no different. Essential workers can be at risk because of their job duties, or their own individual risk factors. For example, if the worker is over 60 or has underlying health conditions, or works with people over 60 or with underlying health conditions. Those workers and workers who are members of communities affected by health disparities, income inequality, and/or discrimination are urged to take advantage of the new testing opportunity. The City will be conducting outreach to essential workers in these priority groups to make sure they know about the availability of testing and how to get it.

As the City works toward universal access to testing, the next steps will be testing in additional congregate settings, such as shelters. The City also will increase testing in geographic and cultural communities that are affected by disparities in the spread of the coronavirus.

As important as testing is, it is just one piece of an overall approach to fight the coronavirus. It is just as crucial to prevent getting sick in the first place. That includes staying at home, physical distancing, covering your face when you are around other people, and frequent hand washing.

It is equally important to develop strong systems to respond to the pandemic, including a wellprepared hospital system to handle a surge of cases, an effective contact tracing system to reduce spread and limit exposure, and sufficient supplies of personal protective equipment (PPE) for health care workers and patients.

San Francisco began local COVID-19 testing in the Public Health Lab on March 2, 2020 and has been continually expanding testing capacity. As of today, 25,165 people in San Francisco have been tested, and an average of 9% have tested positive.

Below are a few examples of the City's testing expansion to date:

- The City opened two CityTestSF sites for symptomatic frontline workers and essential workers as well as San Franciscans who are uninsured or have barriers to health care access. CityTestSF will now accept frontline workers and essential workers without symptoms, close contacts to confirmed COVID-19 cases without symptoms, and anyone living in the city with one symptom consistent with COVID-19.
- DPH has opened test sites in the community at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, Castro-Mission Health Center, Southeast Health Center and Maxine Hall Health Center. In addition, DPH Jail Health Services tests all people coming into the jail who will be housed in jail.
- DPH announced Friday, May 1 that all residents and staff working at the 21 skilled nursing facilities in San Francisco will be tested for the COVID-19 virus.
- San Francisco now has 26 public and private testing sites across the city at UCSF, NEMS, One Medical, Kaiser, Sutter, Chinese Hospital, and Dignity Health.
- The City is working with partners, such as UCSF and the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, on COVID-19 testing. There is research underway that uses testing to help us learn more about the prevalence of the virus in the community.

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Kathleen Dooley
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 10:17:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-</u> <u>mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property</u> <u>Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our inperson services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more</u> information.

From: Gina Baratta <ginahandbag@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2020 3:31 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Kathleen Dooley

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To whom it may concern, I'm writing to you today to throw my full-fledged support to Kathleen Dooley and her effort to bring a marijuana dispensary to North Beach. We've been living just off of Grant Street for twenty years and we've seen Kathleen's never ending passion for North Beach, it's residents and it's small businesses.

I've witnessed her commitment to improve our neighborhood first-hand, picking up trash with her in the daytime and going to neighborhood meetings with her in the evenings. So when I heard she was trying to open up a marijuana dispensary in North Beach, I was not surprised—she is such an advocate for all of us living here and knows that this is the kind of business that our colorful neighborhood needs to keep current and thriving.

So in short, we and our neighbors would be delighted to have a marijuana dispensary in the neighborhood and there's nobody I would trust more to run it and keep it "North Beach" than Kathleen Dooley.

Gina and Mark Sweeney

8 Bob Kaufman Alley, North Beach

Gina Beretta http://www.imdb.com/name/nm1322204/

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel
	(CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Comments for the proposed rezoning amendment to the Market Octavia Plan.
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 10:17:00 AM
Attachments:	Planning Commissionv2.pdf

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> San Francisco Property Information Map

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: bob ryan <ryan_sanfran@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, May 01, 2020 10:25 AM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Cc: Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; Langlois, Lily (CPC) <lily.langlois@sfgov.org>

Subject: Comments for the proposed rezoning amendment to the Market Octavia Plan.

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached please find comments as per the subject topic. Please confirm your receipt of this document. Thank you, Robert Rhine, 1025 Minna street, apt 5.

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel
	(CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Conditional Use Authorization for Phillip Lesser
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 10:16:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Don Norton <don@noevalleywineandspirits.com>

Sent: Friday, May 01, 2020 1:34 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary < commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: Conditional Use Authorization for Phillip Lesser

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I'd like to give my personal thumbs up to enabling Phillip Lesser to be allowed to open Mathnasium. There is an obvious need in the community, and there are no other tutoring services in the corridor that would be competing. There are many empty storefronts, and an educational business is a valueadded business that will improve foot traffic and safety in the corridor.

Best Regards,

Don Norton

Noe Valley Wine & Spirits

3821 24th St. @ Church St.

San Francisco, CA 94114

415.309.9502 cell

415.374.7249 shop

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
To:	Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject:	FW: RAI Dialysis Center expansion project
Date:	Monday, May 04, 2020 10:16:00 AM

Josephine O. Feliciano **Commission Affairs** San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9111 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

REDUCED CAPACITY DURING THE SHELTER IN PLACE ORDER -- The Planning Department is open for business. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our award-winning <u>Property Information Map</u> are available 24/7. Similarly, the <u>Board of Appeals</u> and <u>Board of Supervisors</u> are accepting appeals via e-mail despite office closures. To protect everyone's health, all of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are cancelled until April 9, at the earliest. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: Harrison Wang <wangharrison@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, May 03, 2020 7:15 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: RAI Dialysis Center expansion project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: SF Planning Department

Re: Block / Lot 3283 / 195: 1760 Ocean Avenue

Record Number: 2019-016388CUA

I am a resident who lives above the RAI Dialysis Center. I oppose the expansion plan into 1760 Ocean Avenue as it will increase the number of car accidents and worsen the traffic problems on Ocean Avenue that are caused by the illegally parked vans, shuttle buses, and individual cars that are there to drop and pick up patients from RAI Dialysis Center.

On a daily basis, these vans, shuttle buses, and individual cars park illegally and block the right lane of Ocean Avenue for quite a lengthy time. This forces drivers to make an extremely dangerous right turn onto Dorado Terrace from the middle lane of Ocean Avenue (due to the MUNI station divider). There are many pedestrians waiting for the MUNI tram, which increases the chance of an accident even higher (which also may cause accidents to these pedestrians). Sometimes when I asked the drivers behind these illegally parked vehicles not to park on Ocean Avenue, they often ignore my ask and even return with obscene gestures and languages.

Until there is a solution for a safer environment for the local residents, drivers, and the pedestrians, either by the City of San Francisco or the RAI Dialysis Center (a drop-off land pickup solution that is not on the Ocean Avenue), it doesn't seem sustainable for the RAI Dialysis Center to expand in this neighborhood.

Best Regards,

Harrison Wang

From:	Suzanne Markel-Fox
То:	Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Imperial, Theresa (CPC);
	Johnson, Milicent (CPC); CPC-Commissions Secretary
Cc:	Benny Bleiman; matthew.chandler@sfgov.org
Subject:	Support letter for project at 1650 Polk Street
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 3:56:26 PM
Attachments:	DPCBD Letter of Support - 1650 Polk Street.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

President Koppel and Commissioners,

Please see the attached latter of support for this project from the Discover Polk Community Benefit District Board and Executive Management. We firmly believe this project will be a benefit to the community.

Kind regards,

Suzanne Markel-Fox suzanne@discoverpolk.org https://discoverpolk.org

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY; CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS; STACY, KATE (CAT); YANG, AUSTIN (CAT);
6 1.1	JENSEN, KRISTEN (CAT)
Subject:	CPC Calendars for May 7, 2020
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 2:35:45 PM
Attachments:	20200507 cal.docx
	20200507 cal.pdf
	Advance Calendar - 20200507.xlsx
	CPC Hearing Results 2020.docx

Commissioners,

Congratulations on yet another successful remote hearing. I wanted to thank you for your patience and perseverance through a rough start, to allow my staff to re-bridge the AT&T Conference line. We believe this was a result of human error. Coupled with SFGovTV throwing us a curveball after our hearing had already started, we were able to recover without incident for the remainder of the hearing.

Attached are your Calendars for May 7, 2020. The larger items that were scheduled for this hearing are being continued, resulting in a what may be a relatively short hearing.

Enjoy the fresh air and beautiful weather.

Cheers,

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: FORMAL PROTEST!!! REQUEST TO REMOVE FROM CONSENT CALENDAR - Item No. 17, Grant Ave Cannabis Dispensary
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 12:09:25 PM

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Daniel Macchiarini <danny1mac@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Friday, May 1, 2020 at 12:07 PM

To: Stan Hayes <stanhayes1967@gmail.com>

Cc: "brendan@hallinan-law.com" <brendan@hallinan-law.com>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Hepner, Lee (BOS)" <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>, kathleendooley58 <kathleendooley58@gmail.com>, Maryo Mogannam <maryo@sfcdma.org>, Fady Zoubi <ffzoubinbba@gmail.com>, Ida Zoubi <caffeida@yahoo.com>, Richard Azzolino <Sotto.mare@outlook.com>, al ribaya <albertadolforibaya@gmail.com>, Lynnet Spiegel <lynnet@jeffreyspets.com>, John Duggan <johnaduggan@originaljoes.com>, "zccustomercare@gmail.com" <zccustomercare@gmail.com>, Romalyn Schmaltz <romalynschmaltz@gmail.com>, "andy.katz@thd.org" <andy.katz@thd.org>, Judy Irving <films@pelicanmedia.org>, n shan <nshan@mindspring.com>

Subject: FORMAL PROTEST!!! REQUEST TO REMOVE FROM CONSENT CALENDAR - Item No. 17, Grant Ave Cannabis Dispensary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ciao Stan,

As a long time merchant member of THD and supported of your residential organization, I must formally protest your action as president of THD in sending a letter to the SF Planning Commission in the name of our organization seemingly attempting to obstruct the permit to establish a Cannabis Dispensary 1335 Grant Ave. I say this because;

1.) The objections raised in your letter are and were already stated in the permit plan as submitted by North Beach Barbary Coast, member NBBA, to the SF Planning Commission rendering a full hearing before that Board moot over these issues; 2.) You sent the letter only one day before the hearing knowing that with just one objection registered to SF Planning Commission it would be removed from the Consent Calendar and extended into a full hearing before that board. You send this letter after we, you and me, personally discussed this issue over 2 months ago in a face to face meeting at Cafe Trieste and had seeming agreement about helping to facilitate this establishment to fill a vacant storefront on Grant Ave which had existed for over two years and knowing that NB Barbary Coast was struggling to achieve this permit with the SF city permit process structure for all that time and more!;

3.) Within the full CU hearing yesterday, you nor anyone from THD Board spoke to the supposed concerns you stated in your letter and those concerns could have easily been aired long before this hearing with either Kathie Dooley, member THD and our NBBA community rep. on this issue, or myself in my capacity as President of NBBA.

These combined facts render your letter to the SF Planning Commission in this matter unprincipled, unacceptable and a breach of trust with both NBBA and me personally.

It will take massive community support to bring back small business and to recover our commercial district from the economic disaster that the CV pandemic crisis has brought down on North Beach in the months to come. We will need to have mutual support, trust and not obstructionist underhanded actions to achieve the normalization of commerce here again. A major part of this will require that permits be expedited for existing small businesses to evolve as well as new ones to be established in a way that does not break the zoning structure of our unique dynamic commercial district but allows for them to be reestablished, established and, hopefully, thrive.

I look forward to THD Board and your positive response in the future on matters that facilitate the survivability of our NB Small Business Community. Thank You, Dan Macchiarini President NBBA SF Legacy Business in our 72 year

On Apr 29, 2020, at 12:16 PM, Stan Hayes <<u>stanhayes1967@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

President Koppel, Vice-President Moore, and Commissioners,

On behalf of the Telegraph Hill Dwellers, we request that the Planning Commission remove from the Consent Calendar the above-referenced Item No. 17 (2019-004021CUA) to discuss the addition of several important approval conditions.

Please see our attached letter for additional details.

In our letter, we offer two conditions of our support for the establishment of a cannabis retail use at 1335 Grant Avenue:

1. That the cannabis retail use at 1335 Grant Avenue shall not be expanded into the adjacent (adjoining) space at 1331 Grant Avenue, which shall be, and remain, an independent, non-cannabis related retail use.

2. That a Traffic Control Plan for the cannabis retail use at 1335 Grant Avenue shall be developed and implemented.

We urge that you include the above conditions of approval in the Conditional Use Authorization (CUA).

Regards,

Stan Hayes

President Telegraph Hill Dwellers <THD Ltr_Dispensary_FINAL 4-29-20.pdf>

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** CITY LAUNCHES REQUIRED COVID-19 TESTING FOR ALL RESIDENTS AND STAFF
	AT SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 10:39:00 AM
Attachments:	05.01.20 Skilled Nursing Facility Testing.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Friday, May 1, 2020 at 10:01 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** CITY LAUNCHES REQUIRED COVID-19 TESTING FOR ALL RESIDENTS AND STAFF AT SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Friday, May 1, 2020 Contact: San Francisco Joint Information Center, 415-558-2712, <u>dempress@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

CITY LAUNCHES REQUIRED COVID-19 TESTING FOR ALL RESIDENTS AND STAFF AT SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

Expanded testing focuses on vulnerable populations and settings that have seen highest mortality rates nationally; lessons of Laguna Honda outbreak inform plan for all Skilled Nursing Facilities in San Francisco

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Director of Health Dr. Grant Colfax today announced the next phase in the City's expansion of coronavirus testing that will focus on and protect San Francisco's most vulnerable populations during the ongoing pandemic. The new directive will require all residents and staff working at the 21 skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) in San Francisco be tested for the COVID-19 virus. The City will begin testing all residents and staff at SNFs next week, with a goal of creating a two-week testing cycle after the first round of tests are completed.

Universal testing is one of a series of resources that the Department of Public Health (DPH) is providing to the City's SNFs. DPH resources for SNFs include guidance on the prevention and management of COVID-19 in long-term care facilities as well as a new set of protocols on outbreak preparedness and response. The testing program and these resources build on the lessons learned from the swift response to the outbreak at Laguna Honda, and the expert support and guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the California Department of Public Health.

The SNF testing program will be detailed in an upcoming Health Order. It is consistent with new testing guidelines issued by the California Department of Public Health that include screening of asymptomatic residents and workers of congregate living facilities such as skilled nursing facilities.

"Since this public health emergency began, protecting vulnerable populations living in skilled nursing facilities has been one of our top priorities," said Mayor Breed. "We responded quickly to the outbreak at Laguna Honda, and we've learned a lot during our ongoing response that can help the other skilled nursing facilities in the city. Complete testing of staff and residents in all of San Francisco's skilled nursing facilities is the next step in our commitment to vulnerable populations and universal access to testing."

"Our vision is to have universal access to testing for all in San Francisco," said Dr. Colfax. "To get there, we have continually expanded testing, based on where the need is greatest, and the availability of resources. We have focused first on mitigating outbreaks, protecting vulnerable populations, following case contact investigations and testing of frontline workers. As we have made progress in those areas, we grew to test everyone with symptoms, and we are expanding again. Testing asymptomatic residents and staff of skilled nursing facilities is a decisive move to protect these most vulnerable people, and their care givers, who are at high risk of an outbreak."

Skilled Nursing Facilities are among the top priorities in the City's efforts to mitigate and respond to COVID-19 outbreaks. There are 21 SNFs in San Francisco, including Laguna Honda Hospital, a SNF unit at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, and the VA Hospital. All SNFs serve some of San Francisco's most vulnerable populations including older adults and residents with underlying health conditions. Additionally, the congregate nature of these facilities means residents are living near each other and have extensive casual and close contact with fellow inhabitants and facility staff. Once introduced into a facility, COVID-19 can spread rapidly, and residents are at high risk of becoming seriously ill, or even dying, if they become sick. Early on, the City issued a <u>Health Order</u> restricting all non-essential visitors from skilled nursing facilities in order to protect the residents and staff from exposure.

As the City works toward its goal of universal testing access, the next step will be testing people without symptoms, starting with residents and staff of all SNFs. The City will continue to add other congregate settings, such as shelters. The City will also test asymptomatic health care workers, frontline workers and essential workers. Lastly, the City will continue conducting testing in geographic and cultural communities that are affected by disparities in the spread of the coronavirus.

As long as COVID-19 is circulating in the community, residents and staff of skilled nursing facilities remain at risk. Testing determines on a certain date who is COVID-19 positive and allows SNFs to immediately implement protocols, including isolation and quarantine, screening, testing and infection control procedures. Surveillance and monitoring remain central to containing the coronavirus and are not replaced by this new testing program.

Although testing is a critical tool to find and confirm asymptomatic COVID-19 positive cases, it is just one aspect of being prepared and able to respond. DPH has created a new set of

outbreak protocols in a "tool kit" for SNFs that offers an array of strategies to mitigate outbreaks and transmission. Testing is one piece of a larger strategy that also includes prevention, such as social distancing, frequent hand washing, appropriate use of personal protective equipment, and other recommended precautions.

The first round of complete SNF testing will take approximately one month and will primarily be administered by DPH staff. Testing starts on Monday, May 4th at Laguna Honda Hospital. During the first round of citywide testing, DPH will train personnel at other facilities as needed so that they can begin administering the tests on their own. Once all facilities have been tested, the goal is to establish a regular testing cycle about every two weeks, based on what is currently known about the virus's incubation period. Testing science and technology is rapidly evolving and will continue to inform our response and testing strategy. As more information becomes available about the virus, the testing schedule may adjust.

San Francisco began local COVID-19 testing in the Public Health Lab on March 2, 2020, and has been continually expanding testing capacity. As of today, 20,433 people in San Francisco have been tested, and an average of 9% have tested positive.

Below are a few examples of the City's testing expansion to date:

- The City opened two <u>CityTestSF</u> sites for health care workers, frontline workers, essential workers and other San Franciscans with symptoms.
- DPH has opened test sites in the community at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, Castro-Mission Health Center, Southeast Health Center and Maxine Hall Health Center. In addition, DPH Jail Health Services tests all people coming into the jail who will be housed in jail.
- Those DPH test sites are part of a growing capability including 26 testing sites across the city, at UCSF, NEMS, One Medical, Kaiser, Sutter, Chinese Hospital, and Dignity Health.
- The City is working with partners, such as UCSF and the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, on COVID-19 testing. There is research underway that uses testing to help us learn more about the prevalence of the virus in the community.

###

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES SAN FRANCISCO ARTISTS AND ORGANIZATIONS HAVE RECEIVED \$1.5 MILLION IN FIRST ROUND OF GRANTS
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 9:31:47 AM
Attachments:	04.30.20 Arts and Artists Relief Fund.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Date: Thursday, April 30, 2020 at 10:36 AM To: "Press Office, Mayor (MYR)" <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org> Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES SAN FRANCISCO ARTISTS AND ORGANIZATIONS HAVE RECEIVED \$1.5 MILLION IN FIRST ROUND OF GRANTS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, April 30, 2020 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, <u>mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org</u>

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES SAN FRANCISCO ARTISTS AND ORGANIZATIONS HAVE RECEIVED \$1.5 MILLION IN FIRST ROUND OF GRANTS

The Arts and Artists Relief Fund's rapid response of financial support to the arts community provides help for those most vulnerable due to loss of income from the COVID-19 pandemic.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced the City's Arts and Artists Relief Fund has made its first round of grants and loans, providing \$1.5 million in muchneeded financial support for the local arts sector struggling from substantial income loss due to COVID-19. Mayor Breed launched the Arts and Artists Relief Fund on March 23rd.

Through a partnership with the Center for Cultural Innovation (CCI), 527 individual artists and 65 arts organizations received a total of \$1.5 million to be used for their most urgent financial needs. Funding was prioritized for individuals and organizations from historically underserved communities, including black, indigenous, immigrant, and trans people, as well as people with disabilities.

In response to the significant need demonstrated by the first round of the CCI grant applications, Mayor Breed announced today the City is investing an additional \$250,000 in the Fund. This additional funding will focus specifically on helping individual artists.

"We provided these grants and loans to offer some financial relief for the arts community during this challenging time," said Mayor Breed. "Our artists and arts and culture organizations will be instrumental in our City's recovery efforts. With this additional investment, we continue to support the creativity, flexibility, and innovation that artists bring to our city, which will be pivotal as we move forward and get through this crisis together."

In addition to the \$1.5 million in from the Arts and Artists Relief Fund, \$1 million was made available to arts and culture nonprofits through a partnership with Northern California Grantmakers' Arts Loan Fund. To date, five San Francisco arts organizations received loans totaling nearly \$215,000 that will help them remain solvent. Currently, 12 additional arts organizations' applications, with a total request of more than \$425,000, are in process and are slated to be reviewed in the upcoming weeks.

"The rapid response and the breadth of support for diverse artists and organizations is a testament to how much we value our arts and culture sector," said Rebekah Krell, Acting Director of Cultural Affairs. "We are proud to invest these funds in our community to ensure artists and cultural workers will continue to play a meaningful role in San Francisco's response and recovery."

Author and interdisciplinary artist grant recipient Niloufar Talebi stated, "I thank Mayor Breed and all the funders who, recognizing the essential role of artists and nonprofits in our city, took expedient action to care for our community as we face uncertainty and loss. I hope that local philanthropists continue to build on this fund so that many more of our city's artists and colleagues are seen through during these unprecedented times when the public turns to art to nourish their souls."

"Frameline are very appreciative of the support from Mayor London Breed, The City of San Francisco, and Northern California Grantmakers," said James Woolley, Frameline Executive Director. "This loan gives Frameline a lifeline to keep paying staff and expenses during a time of crisis during which many of our usual sources of income have evaporated. With City support we look forward to returning to our home at the Castro Theatre when it is safe to do so."

"Our San Francisco nonprofit arts ecosystem, besides being a source of inspiration, connection and transformation for individuals and for communities, is a billion-dollar industry employing nearly 40,000 full-time employees," said Matthew Goudeau, Director of Grants for the Arts. "These relief funds are quickly infusing cash into our local economy, supporting the most vulnerable during this unprecedented time of financial and health challenges."

The Mayor is hopeful these additional dollars will continue to inspire private contributions to the Arts Relief Program. The City's contributions have been the catalyst for additional support from the private philanthropy sector, including generous support from the John and Marcia Goldman Foundation, Walter and Elise Haas Fund, Koret Foundation, Nion McEvoy and Leslie Berriman, David & Lucile Packard Foundation, Kenneth Rainin Foundation, Phyllis C. Wattis Foundation, and the Zellerbach Family Foundation.

"San Francisco is a cultural beacon thanks to the inspiring, provocative power of the arts and the artists who make up the fabric of our community," said Nion McEvoy, President and Founder of the McEvoy Foundation for the Arts. "During this global pandemic and future recovery, we will need the arts more than ever to help us unite, heal and make meaning out of what has happened. I'm proud to help San Francisco's community of artists stay resilient during this crisis by giving to the City's Arts & Artists Relief Fund."

- To donate to the San Francisco Arts & Artists Relief Fund: https://ncg.org/arts-loan-fund-emergency-covid-19-loans https://www.cciarts.org/donate.html
- To apply for the next round of grant funding: <u>https://www.cciarts.org/EmergencyRelief.htm</u>
- To apply for an arts loan: <u>www.artsloanfund.org/covid-19-emergency</u>
- To find additional arts resources: www.sfartscommission.org/content/other-resources

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Poling, Jeanie (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Reservoir EIR Transit Delay Re: RTC
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 9:30:09 AM

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>

Reply-To: aj <ajahjah@att.net>

Date: Thursday, April 30, 2020 at 11:03 AM

To: Jeanie Poling <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, James Hsue <james.hsue@gmail.com> Cc: Cat Carter <cat@sftransitriders.org>, tselby <tselby@ccsf.edu>, Robert Feinbaum <bobf@att.net>, Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>, SNA BRC <snabrc@googlegroups.com>, "Supawanich, Paul (MYR)" <paul.supawanich@sfgov.org> Subject: Reservoir EIR Transit Delay Re: RTC

Thanks, Jeanie.

QUESTION: Before basically dismissing my transit delay comments, weren't your analysts interested in understanding transit delay from a MUNI Operations standpoint (vs. a Planners standpoint)?!

Did they even bother to talk to any of the Operators taking their layovers at City College Terminal? How about the Inspectors who deal with line management?

It's obvious to me that the Planners' operational knowledge is limited when they conflate "stops" with "timepoints."

Also, Planning Dept understanding of "substantial evidence" is faulty:

• I had presented an analysis of 43 delay from timepoint to timepoint based on Kittelson

data. My analysis apparently was dismissed as lacking "substantial evidence." Yet because my analysis (based on your own Kitttelson data and official SFMTA schedules) was unfavorable to your less-than-significant determination, the SEIR has been revised to conveniently eliminate the City College Terminal to BP Station segment from EIR analysis of the 43's 7-minute running time timepoint-to-timepoint (Monterey /Gennessee to Balboa tPark Station) segment.

• I had challenged the SEIR's misuse of the City Charter's 4-minute late standard for scheduled timepoints as your Significance Criterion for Transit Delay. The RTC refers to the Planning Dept's Transit Impact Assessment Guidelines as "substantial evidence" to justify using 4-minute delay as the threshold of significance. In a careful reading of the TIA Guidelines, the 4-minute transit delay standard is simply an assertion, containing not even a shred of evidence that would support the assertion. Using common sense, how could a 4 minute delay within a 7-minute running time route segment be objectively considered "less-than-significant"?!

An EIR is supposed to be an objective analysis. However, actually it's similar to the run-up to the Iraq War: The facts were being fixed around the policy.

Sincerely, Alvin Ja

On Thursday, April 30, 2020, 8:25:52 AM PDT, Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Alvin,

You'll receive a copy by Fedex tomorrow.It was s

Thanks,

Jeanie Poling, Senior Environmental Planner

San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9072 | <u>www.sfplanning.org</u> <u>San Francisco Property Information Map</u>

The Planning Department is open for business during the Shelter in Place Order. Most of our staff are working from home and we're <u>available by e-mail</u>. Our <u>Public Portal</u>, where you can file new applications, and our <u>Property</u> <u>Information Map</u> are available 24/7. The Planning Commission is convening remotely and <u>the public is encouraged</u> to <u>participate</u>. The Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors are <u>accepting appeals</u> via e-mail despite office closures. All of our in-person services at 1650 and 1660 Mission Street are suspended until further notice. <u>Click here for more information</u>.

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net> Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2020 4:23 PM To: Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org> Subject: RTC

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Jeanie,

Please send me a hard copy of RTC.

Thanks,

Alvin Ja

546 Flood Ave

SF, CA 94112

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Today's Proposed Continuance of hearing on 3074 Pacific Ave. Planning Department Case No. 2017-013272DRP
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 9:29:16 AM

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: "M. Brett Gladstone" <BGladstone@g3mh.com>

Date: Thursday, April 30, 2020 at 12:22 PM

To: LRSussmanlaw <lrsussmanlaw@gmail.com>, "joel.koppel@sfgov.org" <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, "Moore, Kathrin (CPC)" <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org> Cc: Corey Teague <corey.teague@sfgov.org>, "May, Christopher (CPC)" <christopher.may@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, Ryan Patterson

<ryan@zfplaw.com>, LRSussmanlaw <lrsussmanlaw@gmail.com>, "Winslow, David (CPC)" <david.winslow@sfgov.org>

Subject: Today's Proposed Continuance of hearing on 3074 Pacific Ave. Planning Department Case No. 2017-013272DRP

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel and Commissioners,

I represent property owners Tim and Natasha Monahan. We do not oppose a continuance but suggest that the continuance be to May 21 and not June 25. My client sent in their first application to the Planning Department early in 2017 and it has taken over three years to get to this point. I note that your Regular Calendar shows that the continuances to June 25 are mostly cases where applications went in during the year 2018. My clients feels that because their applications went in at the start of 2017, their hearing date should be a month earlier than the 2018 cases scheduled to be heard on June 25.

You have received an opponent's request that two months is needed for settlement discussions to be completed. They have been ongoing for weeks, drafts have circulated, and there is plenty of time between now and May 21 for those talks to be completed.

Again, we respectfully request May 21, 2020.

Brett Gladstone

From: LRSussmanlaw <lrsussmanlaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2020 11:57 AM
To: joel.koppel@sfgov.org; kathrin.moore@sfgov.org; sue.diamond@sfgov.org; frank.fung@sfgov.org; theresa.imperial@sfgov.org; milicent.johnson@sfgov.org; commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
Cc: corey.teague@sfgov.org; 'May, Christopher (CPC)' <christopher.may@sfgov.org>; M. Brett Gladstone <BGladstone@g3mh.com>
Subject: Planning Department Case No. 2017-013272DRP

Dear Mr. Koppel and Commissioners:

Please see the attached letter in support of the proposed continuance of the hearing on the Discretionary Review Request in the above referenced matter on calendar for a hearing today.

Lawrence R. Sussman Law Office of Lawrence R. Sussman 423 Washington St., Ste. 200 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: 415-788-7000 Fax: 415-296-0999 Irsussmanlaw@gmail.com Is@sheppardlaw.com

Confidential Communication:

This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended solely for ADDRESSEE(S) named, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, private and precluded from discovery and/or disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee, agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, please note and understand that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this electronic mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply to this message and entirely delete this email and all attachments. Thank you.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners:

Further to my comments by phone, a quick correction: my client on items <u>7a&b</u> hopes to participate in person on the continuance date, after the shelter-in-place order is scheduled to end.

Thank you,

Ryan J. Patterson Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 956-8100 Facsimile: (415) 288-9755 Email: <u>ryan@zfplaw.com</u> www.zfplaw.com

This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: File 2020-000052PCA, Standard Environmental Requirements (SER), Code Amendments - Request for continuance until at least one month after the end of the stay-at-home order
Date:	Friday, May 01, 2020 9:28:25 AM
Attachments:	SER Ordinance - SPEAK - 4-30-20.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: SFPaRC <sfparc@earthlink.net>

Date: Thursday, April 30, 2020 at 2:34 PM

To: "Fung, Frank (CPC)" <frank.fung@sfgov.org>, Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>, "Johnson, Milicent (CPC)" <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, Theresa Imperial <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>, "Diamond, Susan (CPC)" <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>, Aaron Hyland <aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com>, Diane Matsuda <dianematsuda@hotmail.com>, "kate.black@sfgov.org" <kate.black@sfgov.org>, Chris Foley <chris.foley@sfgov.org>, Richard Johns <RSEJohns@yahoo.com>, Jonathan Pearlman <jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com>, "So, Lydia (CPC)" <lydia.so@sfgov.org>, "Ionin, Jonas (CPC)" <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, "Board of Supervisors, (BOS)" <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>, "Stefani, Catherine (BOS)" <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>, "Preston, Dean (BOS)" <dean.preston@sfgov.org>, "Mar, Gordon (BOS)" <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>, "Haney, Matt (BOS)" <matt.haney@sfgov.org>, "MandelmanStaff, [BOS]" <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>, "Walton, Shamann (BOS)" <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>, "Peskin, Aaron (BOS)" <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>, "Safai, Ahsha (BOS)" <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>, "Ronen, Hillary" <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>, "Yee, Norman (BOS)" <norman.yee@sfgov.org>, "Fewer, Sandra (BOS)" <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>

Cc: 'aeboken' <aeboken@gmail.com>

Subject: File 2020-000052PCA, Standard Environmental Requirements (SER), Code Amendments - Request for continuance until at least one month after the end of the stay-at-home order

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SPEAK SUNSET PARKSIDE EDUCATION AND ACTION COMMITTEE 1329 7th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94122-2507 (415) 976-4816

April 30, 2020 San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Subject: File 2020-000052PCA, Standard Environmental Requirements (SER), Code Amendments - **Request for continuance until at least one month after the end of the stay-at-home order**

Commission President Aaron Jon Hyland,

As a community organization committed to public input and transparency in government, SPEAK is very concerned about the proposed Standard Environmental Requirements (SER) Ordinance and the fact that the Historic Preservation Commission is planning to hear and possibly vote on this ordinance during the shelter-in-place order.

This is not the time to implement such a sweeping change to the CEQA process in San Francisco. Our residents are deeply concerned about the coronavirus and have to deal daily with the impacts on their families. In particular, many frontline responders - including doctors, nurses, emergency room workers as well as food service workers and delivery people - are working long hours to save lives and trying to keep everyone fed and housed. They are not able to participate in this discussion. And yet the proposed change will affect them.

SPEAK urges the Historic Preservation Commission to continue any consideration of and vote on the proposed SER ordinance until at least one month after the shelter-in-place orders for San Francisco have been lifted, so that the public can gather in person, and discuss and weigh in on the proposed SER ordinance in an informed and effective manner.

Sincerely,

Eileen Boken

Eileen Boken, President

cc: Historic Preservation Commissioners Planning Commissioners Board of Supervisors