Dear Commissioners,

Attached is an article about the role our rear yards could play in helping climate change through carbon capture by not replacing soil and greenery with cement and other non-natural surfaces. It can’t be printed out, but I think you should be able to open the link and read it.

Thank you.

Georgia Schuttish


Sent from my iPad
Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners,

Because I can't make it to today's meeting in person I'm emailing to encourage that you decline the discretionary reviews for the cannabis retailers at 152 Geary Street and 667 Mississippi Street.

I want to speak up for the Mississippi Street location in particular - I live at 160 Connecticut Street and commute through the 22nd Street Caltrain station most days so spend a fair amount of time in the vicinity of this location. I don't believe that there is anything inherently wrong with having a legal cannabis retail location in a neighborhood with children, and note that San Franciscans voted to legalize recreational marijuana usage by a margin of 3 to 1 in 2016 (https://sfelections.sfgov.org/november-8-2016-election-results-summary). The discretionary review specifically complains about parking but this location is close to multiple Muni bus lines, the Muni Metro T line, and is right next to Caltrain. The supporters of the discretionary review communicated to the Potrero View that they would rather see a grocery store or some other use at this location. I point out that not only is that not on the table but denying this use does not magically facilitate someone opening a grocery store there. Not only is the location likely too small but it would also have a much larger impact on parking, which they have already objected to.
I also object to the discretionary review filed by Chanel against the application for 152 Geary Street. The DR requestor claims that a legal cannabis retailer is somehow incompatible with neighboring "high end retail" uses, a complaint that is itself absurd. I'm not sure if this position is classist or merely outdated but it isn't up to Chanel to decide who is or isn't sufficiently "high end" to operate in Union Square.

Thank you,
Cliff Bargar
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[signed letter attached; hard copies to be provided at this afternoon's Planning Commission Hearing]

February 6, 2020

President Joel Koppel (joel.koppel@sfgov.org)
Vice-President Kathrin Moore (kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Sue Diamond (sue.diamond@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Frank S. Fung (frank.fung@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Milicent A. Johnson (milicent.johnson@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Dennis Richards (dennis.richards@sfgov.org)
Re: 2651-2653 Octavia Street; Record No. 2018-011022DRP; Building Permit Application No. 2018.0803.6405

Dear President Koppel, Vice President Moore, Commissioners Diamond, Fung, Johnson, and Richards, and Mr. Winslow:

I’m writing to oppose the proposed project at 2651-2653 Octavia Street (“Project”) in its current form. I respectfully ask that the Commission require the project sponsors to explore an alternative plan, one which wouldn’t negatively impact the Golden Gate Valley Branch of the San Francisco Public Library (1801 Green Street) and the immediate neighborhood.

Negative Impacts of the Proposed Project

The Project, as currently proposed:

- **Infringes upon the light to the Golden Gate Valley Library (“GGV Library”) and causes a major loss of natural light for its many daily users.** The current 2651-2653 Octavia Street building, which is immediately south of the Library, partially blocks light into the south-facing main windows. The proposed addition to the Project would completely block all direct sunlight to the Library’s south-facing windows and will alter the character of the interior space, permanently and to the detriment of the users. (See below for more detail on the importance of the GGV Library.)

- **Impacts a grouping of consistent street roof lines on Octavia Street.** The height and flat roof of the proposed addition would be higher than the building upslope. It would break a set of rooflines of a series of Victorian-era buildings along Octavia Street.

- **Distracts from the character of the neighborhood.** The elevator penthouse is out of character with neighboring properties. There are many other properties in the area with roof deck access via external staircase, which do not exceed the 40-foot height limit. This project should be held to the same standard as other projects recently approved in the
neighborhood.

Golden Gate Valley Library

- The Golden Gate Valley Library is a stunning Beaux-Arts Carnegie building which became the ninth branch of the San Francisco Public Library system when it opened to the public in 1918. With a legacy spanning over a hundred years, the GGV Library continues to be an epicenter of the neighborhood.

- The Library is open seven days a week and is a prime example of how a public space can provide immeasurable benefits to our community.

- These benefits extend well beyond loaning books, periodicals and other media to the public at no cost. The Library is also a community center for a diverse population ranging from infants to school-aged children to seniors, and everyone in between.

- There’s an extraordinarily rich schedule of free programming for all ages, including storytimes, music and movement classes, technology instruction, crafting, film nights, and STEM courses (see Exhibit A). To give you a sense of the popularity of these programs, the branch manager shared with me that the playtime and storytime events for infants and toddlers, which typically occur three times a week, usually attract approximately 70 people per session.

- It also provides free resources and services to visitors such as laptops, software, printers and private meeting space, which can be reserved in advance.

- Additionally, the Library is an excellent example of San Francisco’s commitment to responsible environmental policies and programs. By investing in an extensive renovation about a decade ago, the Library achieved LEED Gold certification and supports the City’s commitment to green building standards, renewable energy systems, and rigorous energy efficiency standards. As a result of this renovation, the GGV Library has new south-facing high-performance windows controlling solar heat exchange, and a new photovoltaic system on the south-facing roof providing 25% of the library’s energy needs. The primary source of light into this building comes from the south and the proposed additions to 2651-2653 Octavia will completely block sunlight to the south-facing facade of the library rendering these windows and impairing the solar panels. Public funds in the amount of $8.5 million plus significant private contributions of private monies paid for this renovation.

- Upon its renovation, I believe that the Library was intended to be landmarked under
Article 10 of the Planning Code. Further review of the Landmark status of the Golden Gate Valley Library should be conducted by the Historic Preservation Commission in advance of approval of the Project.

Please reject the proposed Project as it currently stands and require the project sponsors to explore a new design that minimizes the negative impact to our neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Letitia Yang

Cc: Catherine Stefani, District 2 Supervisor
    Michael Lambert, City Librarian, San Francisco Public Library
    Cathy Delano, Chief of Branches, San Francisco Public Library
    Susan Goldstein, City Archivist, San Francisco Public Library
    Marie Ciepilla, Friends of the San Francisco Public Library
    Andrea Ruiz-Esquide, Deputy City Attorney
    Rebecca Alcala, Assistant Chief of Branches, San Francisco Public Library
    Chela Lucas, Branch Manager, Golden Gate Valley Branch, San Francisco Public Library
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Maureen <maureen@ddmhww.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2020 9:02 PM
To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; katherine.moore@sfgov.org; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; denis.richards@sfgov.org; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>
Cc: City Librarian, City Librarian (LIB) <citylibrarian@sfpl.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Marie Ciepiela <marie.ciepiela@friendssfpl.org>; aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com; dianematsuda@hotmail.com; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; RUIZ-ESQUIDE, ANDREA (CAT) <Andrea.Ruiz-Esquide@sfcityatty.org>; Goldstein, Susan (LIB) <Susan.Goldstein@sfpl.org>; Delneo, Catherine (LIB) <catherine.delneo@sfpl.org>
Subject: Building Permit Application No. 2018.0803.6405

Re: 2651-2653 Octavia Street, Record No. 2018-011022DRP
Building Permit Application No. 2018.0803.6405

Dear President Koppel, Honorable Members of the Planning Commission and Mr. Winslow:

We are homeowners living in the Golden Gate Valley Public Library neighborhood directly across the street from the proposed 2651-2653 Octavia Street expansion. We joined with another HOA (2634 Octavia Street Homeowners Association) in filing the request for a Discretionary Review in October 2019 and are unpleasantly surprised at discovering that
we went to the bother and expense of registering our HOA's opposition and it appears that that opposition is not cited in the materials prepared by Planning Staff (https://commissions.sfplanning.org/cpcpackets/2018-011022DRP.pdf)

We are again writing to oppose the vertical and horizontal additions to the property at 2651-2653 Octavia as they will obstruct the daylight needed to maintain interior light levels and low cost operation of the Golden Gate Valley Public library.

The historic Beaux-Arts library at 1801 Green Street underwent significant renovation in October 2012 to achieve LEED Gold certification with major infrastructure improvements as well improvements of the facility for public use. This was accomplished at great taxpayer expense in the amount of 8.5 million as well as significant private money contributions by residents in the neighborhood. The neighborhood supported the San Francisco Public Library stated goal to ensure that this library, one of the most important anchors of our neighborhood, has an environment that is a positive, healthy model of the larger community ecosystem as part of the SFPL/Green Stack strategy.

The building has new south facing high performance windows controlling solar heat exchange and a new photovoltaic system on the south facing roof providing 25% of the library’s energy needs. The primary source of light into the building comes from the south and the proposed additions to 2651-2653 Octavia would undermine the light into the main reading room interior of the building, rendering the solar panels and windows much less effective and potentially, useless.

The proposed project, adjacent to the library, would change the visitor experience to the library considerably as it will block light into the main reading room. The grand scale of the library reading room is one of San Francisco’s great neighborhood gems. We should think very carefully before we allow change to a neighboring building that would have such an impact on this treasured, neighborhood PUBLIC space.

As neighborhood residents who frequent the library, appreciate the character it lends to our neighborhood and the city and want our next generations to have the same bright, safe and enlightening experiences we had in libraries growing up, we point out that the project would:

1. Infringe on the light to the Golden Gate Valley Library, and cause a major loss of natural light for its many daily users. The current 2651-2653 Octavia Street building already blocks light into the main windows. Additional blockage of all direct sunlight to the windows will alter the character of the interior space, permanently and to the detriment of the users.

2. Impact a grouping of consistent street roof lines on Octavia Street. The height and flat roof of the proposed addition would be higher than the building upslope. It will break a set of rooflines of a series of Victorian-era buildings that march up and down Octavia Street.

3. Distract from the character of the neighborhood. The elevator penthouse is out of character with neighboring properties. There are many other properties in the area with roof deck access via external staircase, which does not exceed the 40-foot height. This project should be held to the same standard as other projects recently approved in the neighborhood.
We urge the Commission to deny the request or at least continue the item and provide modifications towards a reasonable project that will protect and enhance the livability and usability of the space.

Elizabeth Reilly
President 1791-1795 Green Street HOA

Maureen Holt
CFO 1791-1795 Green Street HOA
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning Commissions Secretary,

Attached is another letter of support from one of the tenants in our building. Looking forward to our hearing today.

Chris

Chris Vance
Managing Partner

415.640.3756 cell
415.520.0757 fax
districtsf.com
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Thursday, February 6, 2020
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
MAYOR LONDON BREED AND SUPERVISOR RAFAEL MANDELMAN ANNOUNCE PLAN TO CREATE DRUG SOBERING CENTER IN THE TENDERLOIN

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman today announced the City will open a drug sobering center for people who are experiencing the effects of methamphetamine and other substances. The new center will open this spring and is part of Mayor Breed’s commitment to providing 2,000 placements for people experiencing homelessness and behavioral health issues.

The drug sobering center will be located at 180 Jones Street, which is the future site of an affordable housing development owned by the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD). Local non-profit developer Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation will develop 71 permanently affordable homes at the site and construction is expected to begin in the fall of 2021. Until construction begins, the City will make use of the
property to help people who are experiencing homelessness and the effects of substance use.

“Sobering centers help people off the streets and provide a safe place indoors where they can get connected to services,” said Mayor Breed. “The reality is that drug use and overdoses are on the rise and doing nothing is not an option. We’re taking action to implement the recommendations of our Meth Task Force because the public drug use we see every day hurts those who are suffering from addiction as well as the surrounding communities.”

“San Francisco is facing a methamphetamine crisis,” said Supervisor Mandelman. “We see it on our streets, in our hospital emergency rooms, in our jails, and all too often on the growing list of San Franciscans dying from overdoses. The 2019 Methamphetamine Task Force, which I co-chaired, identified the establishment of drug sobering centers as it number one recommendation, and I am glad to see the Mayor and the Department of Public Health moving quickly to implement this proposal.”

The goals of the drug sobering center include reducing overdose deaths, reducing the harms of substance use in the surrounding neighborhood, and providing resources that can give people who use drugs an alternative to hospital and jail stays and an opportunity to begin their journey toward recovery when they are ready. At the center, the City will offer harm reduction services in a safe, welcoming setting with professional health workers and peer counselors. People under the influence of methamphetamine and other substances can move safely through intoxication, then have an opportunity to engage in conversation about wellness and recovery options available to them, and be connected to housing resources.

Specifically, services will include: medical care and observation; linkage to social services and shelter or housing; referral to primary medical and psychiatric community providers; individual and group counseling; provision of snacks, hygiene supplies and showers; and transportation to medical and social service appointments or destinations.

This first drug sobering center will be a 15-bed pilot project where clients may stay an average of eight to ten hours, though some may stay longer. The facility is able to serve 15 individuals at a time but over the course of a 24-hour period, can serve up to three times that amount. Findings from this effort will inform near-term future investments to save lives and promote safety in the Tenderloin and throughout the city. The Department of Public Health will evaluate the pilot program and refine the model for replication in other locations in San Francisco.

“Creating a drug sobering center will save lives,” said Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health. “As we work to transform our behavioral health system of care, we are creating more safe and welcoming places where people experiencing homelessness, substance use disorder and mental illness can find paths to wellness and recovery.”

“We know that about half of the people who come in to psychiatric emergency services at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital have a methamphetamine-related problem,” said Dr. Anton Nigusse Bland, Director of Mental Health Reform. “And half of them come voluntarily, asking for help. The drug sobering center will offer a safe, community-based alternative where they can receive support and connect to services.”

“Housing and health outcomes are deeply intertwined which is why a drug sobering center at our 180 Jones site is the optimal interim use for the property,” said Dan Adams, MOHCD
Acting Director. “We are proud to be partnering with the Department of Public Health on this pilot project to ensure residents in need in the Tenderloin have a secure place to receive the services they need.”

Creating a drug sobering center was the top recommendation of San Francisco’s Methamphetamine Task Force, which noted that overdose death linked to methamphetamine has increased significantly in the City since 2008. In October 2019, Mayor Breed and Supervisor Mandelman pledged to move forward with the sobering center as a way to help people who use methamphetamine to get off the streets and connected to services. Mental Health SF also calls for the creation of a drug sobering center.

###
To Planning Commission:

Please see attached letter expressing our concern over the CUA for 1735 Polk (2019-014039CUA).

Regards,

Roy Chan
Community Planning Manager
Chinatown Community Development Center
(415) 984-1447
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department\City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309\Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Suzanne Markel-Fox <foxsm7212@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2020 11:35 AM
To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>
Cc: Hicks, Bridget (CPC) <Bridget.Hicks@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>
Subject: 2019.014039PRJ - 1735 Polk Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Koppel and Commissioners,

Attached please find my letter in opposition to the proposed “Mad River Wellness” cannabis dispensary at 1735 Polk Street. I am not alone in believing that the project is ill-conceived and not fit for purpose for the community.

Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opposition.

Kind regards,

Suzanne Markel-Fox
As a mother of two young children and an active participant in the Polk Street community, I would like to fully agree with the letter of Ms. Suzanne Markel Fox: This is not the right fit for this space for our neighborhood at this time. This is especially true in light of the recently filed CU application for the Children’s Activity Center space directly across the street at the long vacant Big Apple space which I and my neighbors wholeheartedly support. Rather than cannabis retail, this space should remain a restaurant with a new operator open to all including children.

Annie Yang
Board Member
Discover Polk CBD
February 5, 2020

President Joel Koppel (joel.koppel@sfgov.org)
Vice-President Kathrin Moore (kathrin.moore@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Sue Diamond (sue.diamond@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Frank S. Fung (frank.fung@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Milicent A. Johnson (milicent.johnson@sfgov.org)
Commissioner Dennis Richards (dennis.richards@sfgov.org)

San Francisco Planning Commission
c/o David Winslow (david.winslow@sfgov.org)
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
Dear President Koppel, Honorable Members of the Planning Commission and Mr. Winslow:

I write with regard to Planning Application for 2651-53 Octavia Street which sits immediately adjacent to the historic Ernest Coxhead-designed, Carnegie Library, the Golden Gate Valley Branch of the San Francisco Public Library. From 2004-2008, I served on the San Francisco Landmarks Advisory Board, appointed by Mayor Newsom, spending a portion of that time as the Board’s President. During my tenure on the Board we reviewed a number of the Branch Library renovation projects. It was my understanding that once each project was completed, then each historic Branch Library would be Landmarked under Article 10 of the Planning Code.

With that in mind, I respectfully ask you to continue the above item until this matter can be referred back to the Historic Preservation Commission for discussion regarding the Landmark status of the Golden Gate Valley Library. I ask you to do this prior to any hasty decisions that will impair the library irrevocably. The proposed project, adjacent to the library, would change the visitor experience to the library considerably as it will block light into the main reading room. The grand scale of the library reading room is one of San Francisco’s great neighborhood gems. We should think very carefully before we allow change to a neighboring building that would have such an impact on this treasured, neighborhood PUBLIC space.

The Beaux-Arts-inspired, Carnegie Library at 1801 Greet Street underwent extensive renovation, completed in October 2012, to attain LEED Gold certification for Commercial Interiors and improve the facility for local residents’ use. As part of San Francisco Public Library’s Branch Improvement Program, along with significant infrastructural improvements, this building now has new south-facing high-performance windows controlling solar heat exchange, and a new photovoltaic system on the south-facing roof providing 25% of the library’s energy needs. The primary source of light into this building comes from the south and the proposed additions to 2651-2653 Octavia will completely block sunlight to the south-facing facade of the library rendering these windows and solar panels useless. Public funds in the amount of $8.5 million plus significant contributions of private monies, donated by residents like me, paid for this renovation. The neighborhood supported the San Francisco Public Library stated goal to ensure that this library, one of the most important anchors of our neighborhood, has an environment that is a positive, healthy model of the larger community ecosystem as part of the SFPL/Green Stack strategy.
In reviewing the information provided through the Planning Department’s on-line permit tracking system, I found no detailed analysis of the proposed project’s impact on the adjacent library, listed as an “A” historic resource. Why is there no Historic Resources Evaluation Part II for this project to assess the impact on the Library? Was there an analysis of the project employing the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties? If so, why is it not posted on the city’s on-line system for public review?

Further, as a neighborhood resident who, along with others, gave a considerable sum of money toward the Library renovation, through the Friends of the San Francisco Public Library, I point out that the project would:

1. Infringe on the light to the Golden Gate Valley Library, and cause a major loss of natural light for its many daily users. The current 2651-2653 Octavia Street building already blocks light into the main windows. Additional blockage of all direct sunlight to the windows will alter the character of the interior space, permanently and to the detriment of the users.

2. Impact a grouping of consistent street roof lines on Octavia Street. The height and flat roof of the proposed addition would be higher than the building upslope. It will break a set of rooflines of a series of Victorian-era buildings that march up and down Octavia Street.

3. Distract from the character of the neighborhood. The elevator penthouse is out of character with neighboring properties. There are many other properties in the area with roof deck access via external staircase, which does not exceed the 40-foot height. This project should be held to the same standard as other projects recently approved in the neighborhood.

I urge the Commission to deny the request or at least continue the item until the Landmark status of the Library can be understood.

Sincerely,
Bridget Maley
1715 Green Street

--

Bridget Maley
bridget.maley@gmail.com
Dear Planning Commission,

We have been residents and Condominium owners in the Polk neighborhood since 1992. We have seen many changes in Polk Street over the years. We are very interested in the future of our neighborhood and would like to respond to the "Mad River Wellness" business proposal for Polk Street. We feel this business plan is a duplication of current businesses in our community and would like to request a different business designation for that space. Today on Polk street we have the following businesses that cater to Cannabis, Exercise and Massage:

- **Bodyrok**: 1850 Polk Street
- **Massage/Reflexology**: 1747 Polk Street
- **Gym**: 1630 Polk Street
- **Massage/Reflexology**: 1623 Polk Street
- **Massage/Reflexology**: 1538 Polk Street

We currently have the following Cannabis locations to serve our neighborhood:

- **EZ Blaze**: Polk Street between Geary and Post
- **Grass Roots**: 1077 Post Street
We need more diverse businesses to attract San Francisco shoppers to our Neighborhood. There is nothing unique about Bodywork, Massage, and Cannabis to this location. Our street is currently at great risk for survival. We need the support of the Planning Commission to place businesses that will attract visitors and neighbors alike to enhance our streets and encourage visitors and San Franciscans to shop local. Please contribute to the survival of Polk Street by denying this application.

Thank you,
Barbara and John Addeo
1650 Jackson Street #705
San Francisco, CA 94109
Hi Jonas

Please see the revised draft motion for 855 Brannan St, which is currently on Consent Calendar for tomorrow. Could you help distribute this revision to all the commissioners? The edits are on Page 3 of the Planning Code Compliance. Instead of using Planning Code Section 8 definition for Personal Services Use, I have updated the draft motion to use Section 102 definition for consistency as requested by Commissioner Diamond. Please let me know if would need anything else from me. For now, I will let the applicant know that the project will remain on the consent calendar.

Thank you very much for your help!

Xinyu Liang, AICP, Planner
Southeast Team, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9182 | Fax: 415-558-6409
Website | Hours of Operation | Property Information Map
I will modify the draft motion and work with Jonas on sending the commissioners the revised version today so that there won’t be any delay.

Thanks,

Xinyu Liang, AICP, Planner
Southeast Team, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9182 | Fax: 415-558-6409
Website | Hours of Operation | Property Information Map

Please note that I will be out of the office from February 13, 2020 through March 6, 2020.

---

From: Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 10:05 AM
To: Liang, Xinyu (CPC) <xinyu.liang@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: 855 Brannan St - use determination follow up

Hi - Thanks for doing the research to clarify. My preference is that the motion we adopt be clear on its face as to the applicable code sections and how the project complies with those sections. As a result, my preference would be that you modify the motion before we adopt it, assuming that it would not result in any delay. Let me know. Thanks.

---

From: Liang, Xinyu (CPC) <xinyu.liang@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 9:56 AM
To: Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>
Cc: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject: 855 Brannan St - use determination follow up

Good morning Commission Diamond,

Thanks for calling me regarding the use determination for the StretchLab located at 855 Brannan Street yesterday. The applicant confirmed that it’s possible a Flexologist could hold a state certification, but it’s not a requirement for StrechLab. However, it turns out that the definition of Personal Service Use is different in Planning Sections 102 and 890.116.

**Planning Code Section 102:**

*Service, Personal.* A Retail Sales and Services Use that provides grooming services to the individual, including salons, cosmetic services, tattoo parlors, and health spas, bathhouses, and steam rooms. Personal Service does not include Massage Establishments or Gym, which are defined separately in this Section 102.

**Planning Code Section 890.116:**

A retail use which provides grooming services to the individual, including salons,
cosmetic services, tattoo parlors, and health spas, excluding instructional services not
certified by the State Educational Agency, such as art, dance, exercise, martial arts,
and music classes.

Since the Department is working on updating the Planning Code, which would consolidate all the
definitions to Section 102 for consistency, the proposed use would still be considered as a Personal
Service Use. Please let me know if you would like me to modify the draft motion and use Section 102
definition instead before the hearing tomorrow. Alternatively, I can also revise it in the finalized
motion after the vote. In the meantime, please feel free to let me know if you have any
questions/concerns.

Thanks,

Xinyu Liang, AICP, Planner
Southeast Team, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9182 | Fax: 415-558-6409
Website | Hours of Operation | Property Information Map

Please note that I will be out of the office from February 13, 2020 through March 6, 2020.
Dear Members of the Planning Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor Breed,

Let our Speaker's powerful rejection of lies, harassment & intimidation inspire you to do the right thing when this is submitted:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
This person Mark E. Hyatt (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) cannot be trusted with the well-being of tenants here in San Francisco. I have never seen behavior this abhorrent in my 60+ years of living here. When he submits his ADU planning application to the Planning Commission IT MUST BE REJECTED for the well-being of all of us that call San Francisco home.

As a senior citizen with disabilities and on a fixed income, my rent controlled apartment at 801 Corbett Ave. on Twin Peaks has been my home and my sanctuary for 13 years.

It allows me to live my life in quiet peace, manage my pain and maintain my mobility and independence. If an ADU were allowed in the garage, not only would it take away access to my car so badly needed for my health issues, but the construction noise will be intolerable for me and my fellow residents who live directly on top of the garage. This building has very thin floors and the concrete garage is an echo chamber that will be excruciating if there is continuous construction for two years. I would not be able to tolerate 2 years of extreme noise/shock/vibration. It would surely be my death sentence as the stress would give me a heart attack. Noise is a health factor which is just as deadly as pollution, carcinogens and cholesterol.

Because of this and my efforts to prevent the disruption of the lives at my building, I have faced constant retaliation by new owner/speculator Mark Hyatt (aka: MEH Pioneer, LLC) and Joe Peters his ADU developer.

Wealthy Newport Beach (registered Republican) Mark E. Hyatt (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) is extremely secretive and there are no images of him anywhere. However, his wife "Honeybee" (yes, her real name) loves flaunting their wealth (and CO2 emissions) for the news in
Orange County. Mr. Hyatt has never returned any of the dozens of calls made and emails I have sent to him regarding harassment by his developer Joe Peters.

Because of my outspoken opposition to the ADU plans that they have here, I have been the victim of an ever increasing amount of harassment by Joe Peters, the developer hired by Mark Hyatt. Joe Peters moved here from NY and has now made it his full time activity to exploit the ADU law for the quick enrichment of out of town speculators. Developer Joe Peters is the worst human being I've ever encountered in my entire life. I have been the victim of an ongoing campaign of abuse that has left me (a senior citizen with disabilities) terrified and a nervous wreck.

• He has followed me with a camera taking pictures of me and then sends me printouts letting me know he is "watching" me. Intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.

• He has come to the building late at night knocking on my door, waking me up and taunting me. I have had to call the police to escort him off the property. An intentional infliction of emotional distress upon me.

• In collusion with the owner Mark Hyatt they have conspired to isolate me by having the organization not respond to my requests. When I confronted him about this he just looked at me with a sickly smile and (almost proudly) acknowledged that no one is going to talk or respond to me. My requests go unanswered and the building continues to deteriorate. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.

• Despite my emotional pleading with him, he deliberately removed the security system protecting our cars in the garage. It had been keeping us safe for years preventing burglaries and even helping the police catch vicious gang suspects that were doing crime all over the city. As soon as he tore it down we had a rash of burglaries in the garage and no more protection for our vehicles. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me and the other tenants.

• He has repeatedly threatened me with eviction in an arrogant and abusive manner. He takes every opportunity to remind me of the eviction power he has because of his employment by the owner. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.

I believe he is doing all this because he perceives me as being old and perhaps easily intimidated. He is attacking those of us who are most vulnerable. **Is this Elder Abuse? Someone needs to investigate this.**

I believe these people have but one priority: to stuff the building's garage with an extra unit or two and then flip it for what they hope will be a big profit. I don't think they give a rat's a$$ about the housing situation here in Our City because I have never seen the building with so many vacant units since they took over. That is the problem that the ADU has created and it must be addressed and these people must be stopped before their actions further erode my health and well being as well as negatively affecting the 30 other tenants who live here.

**Something must be done by those of you on the Board of Supervisors and at the Planning Commission so that when this Joe Peters files for an ADU permit representing MEH Pioneer, LLC (aka Mark E. Hyatt) it can be rejected for its substantial negative impact on those of us who call 801 Corbett Ave. home.**

Sincerely,

Roger Dawson
801 Corbett, # 15
San Francisco, CA 94131
Cell: (650) 218-5431

*Newport Beach based (registered Republican) Mark Hyatt (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) who bought our building to ADU it for a quick profit, has a bad history of building ownership and fire, reference this newspaper article:
The San Mateo County Times - 2013

The six-alarm fire in the 72-unit Hallmark House Apartments at 531 Woodside Road displaced 97 residents and killed one tenant — 48-year-old Darin Michael Demello-Pine. About 20 people, including three firefighters, were injured as a result of the fire, first reported around 2 a.m. on July 7. A lawsuit, filed in San Mateo County Superior Court on behalf of Jorge and Juanita Chavez, states that Hallmark House residents “suffered displacement, fear, emotional trauma, and the loss of most of their life’s possessions” because of the fire. The building’s owner, KDF Hallmark L.P., is to blame for the way the fire spread, according to the lawsuit, because it failed to “properly inspect, maintain and safeguard the property from a foreseeable unit fire.” KDF founder Mark Hyatt said in a phone interview that he can’t comment on the pending legal action.

Several of us seniors now live in fear because of Mark E. Hyatt’s (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) troubled history with his Woodside building burning and causing death. Mr. Hyatt has never returned any of the dozens of calls made and emails I have sent to him regarding harassment by his developer Joe Peters, neglected building maintenance issues, or flooding emergencies. Not even a response regarding a large dripping water damage hole in the fire sprinkler section of our garage ceiling that wasn’t repaired for 10 months.

This person Mark E. Hyatt (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) cannot be trusted with the well-being of tenants here in San Francisco. If he can’t properly manage this building or the one in Woodside, then it is highly likely that his ADU plans for our garage will become a disaster. His history speaks for itself.
Hello Commissioners,

It has been brought to my attention that there are some points of confusion and typographical errors within the submitted commission packet for 271 Granada Ave. An updated packet will be available during my presentation.

1. Existing building Bedroom Count
   The existing floors plans are labeled with two bedrooms. The commission packet refers to an Existing single bedroom building.
   One of the rooms, on the existing plans, do not meet the Building Code definition of a Bedroom.
   The existing building plans have been updated to reflect the non-habitable room use.

   San Francisco Building Inspection Commission Codes- Chapter 5 SPACE AND OCCUPANCY STANDARDS- SEC. 503. ROOM DIMENSIONS.-
   (b) **Superficial Floor Area.** Every room used for sleeping purposes shall have not less than 70 square feet of superficial floor area.
   (c) **Width.** No habitable room except a kitchen shall be less than seven feet in width. Rooms used as guest rooms with cooking shall have a 10-foot minimum width.

2. Condition and Maintenance of the Existing Site
   The word **superficially** has been removed from page 6 of the motion.
   An additional line has been added to address public safety.- *The project sponsor has constructed a temporary security barrier to ensure public safety.*

b. Whether the housing has been maintained in a decent, safe, and sanitary condition;
   *The structure does not appear to superficially be maintained in a decent or sanitary conditions.*
   *The project sponsor has constructed a temporary security barrier to ensure public safety.* The structural soundness report confirms the cost to upgrading a residence, with respect to habitability and Housing Code requirements, would exceed 50% of the measured economic feasibility soundness factor. Therefore, based on San Francisco Planning Guidelines the building is considered Unsound and not economically feasible to repair.
3. **DWELLING UNIT REMOVAL: MERGER, CONVERSION OR DEMOLITION SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION**

There is a typographical error made by the applicant that has been corrected.
The proposed number of bedrooms within the 1,318 square foot unit is 3 not 2.

![Unit Specific Information Table]

4. **Clarity of question number 19 - DWELLING UNIT REMOVAL: MERGER, CONVERSION OR DEMOLITION SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION**

19 If the building is not subject to Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance or affordable housing, will the Project replace all of the exiting units with new dwelling units of similar size and with the same number of bedrooms?

The project does not propose the same number of bedrooms. The project would increase the number of dwelling units, while providing a net gain of six bedrooms to the City’s housing stock.

Katy

*Cathleen Campbell, Planner*  
**Southwest Team, Current Planning Division**  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 415.575.8732 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](http://www.sfplanning.org)
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Tuesday, February 4, 2020
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
SAN FRANCISCO TO HOST ANNUAL CITYWIDE SUMMER RESOURCE FAIR FOR FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

Resource Fair on Saturday, February 8th and pop-up events throughout the city will provide families with information about the City’s 140+ summer programs

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Board of Supervisors President Norman Yee today announced the City will host a citywide Summer Resource Fair and a series of Pop-Up events for families to learn about summer programs for their children.

The San Francisco Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families (DCYF), the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (RPD), and Livable City are hosting the Resource Fair and related events. The 2020 Resource Fair is produced in conjunction with San Francisco’s “Child and Youth Friendly City Initiative,” which Mayor Breed and President Yee launched on World Children’s Day in November 2019.

“Every young person should have access to fun and enriching programs during the summer and throughout the year,” said Mayor Breed. “Our summer programs give kids the chance to
discover new hobbies, make friends, and stay active and engaged during the summer. These events are a great way for families to learn more about all the resources that are available for their children this summer, and I encourage all families in San Francisco to attend.”

“As part of the Child and Youth Friendly City Initiative, Summer Resource Fairs not only connect families to summer programs, but also bring an element of play, creativity, and community-building to neighborhoods across our diverse city,” said President Yee. “I hope that these pop-ups will spark everyone’s imagination on what is possible when we look at the world through the eyes of a child.”

The annual Citywide Summer Resource Fair will take place on **Saturday, February 8th** at the **County Fair Building** from **11 a.m. to 2 p.m.** The Resource Fair will feature representatives from over 140 exhibitors, and is expected to attract between 1,500 and 2,000 attendees.

The Summer Resource Fair and pop-up events are free, fun and family-friendly events that connect parents and families to a variety of summer program opportunities for their children in science, art, technology, sports, music, the outdoors, and more. Program representatives will be available to provide useful information, demonstrations, play activities, and free giveaways. Many of the programs and services featured at the Summer Resource Fairs are free or low cost, and many of them will enroll participants on the spot. Interpretation services will be available on-site in Mandarin, Cantonese, and Spanish.

The City provides over 20,000 publicly funded summer program slots from a variety of providers. These programs include community-based summer programs funded by DCYF, summer programs and day camps provided by RPD, school-based summer programs, and programs provided by licensed childcare providers. The goal of all of the providers is to ensure that children and youth, particularly those from working and low-income families, have enriching, safe, and fun activities to participate in during the months that school is not in session.

“Children who are not enrolled in summer programming—particularly children from low-income families—may experience summer learning loss,” said Maria Su, DCYF Executive Director. “By fifth grade, summer learning loss can leave low-income students two-and-a-half to three years behind their peers academically. This is why summer programs, especially those that are free or publically funded, are so important. They keep children intellectually active during the summer, and provide safe, fun environments for them to play, learn, and grow.”

“Summer is all about finding opportunities for our children to get out and play,” said Phil Ginsburg, General Manager, Recreation and Parks Department. “Summer Resource Fairs provide access to summer programming for all San Francisco families, regardless of income or location. We’re proud to work with our partners in DCYF and Livable City to make sure that every child has access to enriching and inspiring camps and programs.”

The Summer Resource Fair series includes the following events:
- **Saturday, February 8, 11am - 2pm**: Citywide Summer Resource Fair at the County Fair Building, 1199 9th Avenue.
- **Saturday, February 29, 11am - 3pm**: OMI Lunar New Year Celebration and Pop-Up Resource Fair at Minnie & Lovie Ward Rec Center, 650 Capitol Avenue.
- **Sunday, March 8, 11am - 4pm**: Sunday Streets Mission Pop-Up Resource Fair, Valencia Street between 14th and 15th Streets.
• Friday, March 13, 5pm - 7pm: Friday Fun Fest and Pop-Up Resource Fair at Tenderloin
  Rec Center, 570 Ellis Street.
• Saturday, March 14, 1pm - 3pm: Membership Drive and Pop-Up Resource Fair at
  Stonestown YMCA, 333 Eucalyptus Drive.
• Sunday, March 29, 11am - 4pm: Sunday Streets Excelsior Pop-Up Resource Fair at the
  Persia Triangle, Ocean Avenue and Mission Street.
• Saturday, April 11, 11am - 2pm: Pop-Up Resource Fair at Bernal Heights Rec Center,
  500 Moultrie Street.
• Sunday, April 19, 11am - 4pm: Sunday Streets Tenderloin Pop-Up Resource Fair,
  Location to be determined.
• Sunday, May 3, 11am - 4pm: Sunday Streets Bayview/Mission Bay, Location to be
determined.

For more information about the Summer Resource Fair Series, visit DCYF’s website:
www.dcyf.org.

###
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please read the attached document regarding Case #: 2018-012576CUA. The hearing is scheduled for February 13, 2020.

Graciously,

Stephanie Dintcho
C: (650) 771-1152
Email: stephanie2044a@gmail.com
I am a SF resident and registered voter (District 9) and I am writing to express my support for 657 Harrison. It makes tremendous sense to turn this underutilized site into nearly 500 homes. Responsible infill development like this is critical if we are ever to address our tremendous housing shortage in SF. And I fully support the developer’s efforts to provide inclusionary housing in excess of the amount required and to preserve the existing historically significant building on the site. Let’s see it happen!

Gregory Davies
From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)  
To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Foley, Chris (CPC); Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns; So, Lydia (CPC)  
Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)  
Subject: FW: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON BLACK HISTORY MONTH  
Date: Tuesday, February 04, 2020 11:41:53 AM  
Attachments: 02.01.20 Black History Month.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,  
Director of Commission Affairs  
Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409  
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org  
www.sfplanning.org

From: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
Sent: Saturday, February 01, 2020 12:46 PM  
To: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
Subject: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON BLACK HISTORY MONTH

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  
Saturday, February 1, 2020  
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** STATEMENT ***  
MAYOR LONDON BREED ON BLACK HISTORY MONTH

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today issued the following statement in honor of Black History Month:

“As we begin Black History Month, we celebrate the progress that we have made and recommit ourselves to creating a country that is more just and equitable for all. African Americans have a rich history in San Francisco, from the jazz artists of the ‘Harlem of the West’ to Maya Angelou and the shipbuilders of World War II. This month we celebrate that history, and pay tribute to all those who have come before us.

One of the greatest things we can do to honor the history of those who have come before is to continue working to fix societal inequities and right past wrongs. I am committed to addressing the challenges currently facing our African American residents—this month and throughout the year.”

###
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: DHR Alert (HRD) <hrd.noreply@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2020 4:28 PM
Subject: DHR Alert: City Employee Responsibilities

Dear City Employees:

Recent events in the news remind each of us of our ethical obligations as public servants. For that reason, I want to highlight several resources that outline our ethical responsibilities.

1. Each of us agrees to follow a Departmental Statement of Incompatible Activities (SIA). Please take the time to review your department’s SIA. These can be found on the Ethics Commission website, which is online here: https://sfethics.org/compliance/city-officers/incompatible-activities-city-officers

2. All City Employees are required to request approval for any additional employment. You can find the form to request approval here: https://sfdhr.org/sites/default/files/documents/Forms-Documents/Additional-Employment-Request-Form.pdf

3. City officers and designated employees are required to disclose reportable financial interests by filing Statement of Economic Interests (SEI), also known as Form 700. These public filings help officials and employees monitor their financial interests, identify when those interests might conflict with their government actions, and take steps to avoid conflicts of interests. More information about these responsibilities can be found on the Ethics Commission website: https://sfethics.org/compliance/city-officers/statement-of-economic-interests-city-officers.

4. As a reminder, Deputy Directors, and employees having a role in the contracting process are required to take the online Ethics Training, located in the SF Learning area of the Employee Gateway. Department Heads take this annually as part of their required Ethics and Sunshine Training. Please contact your department HR for questions on this requirement.

If you have concerns about ethical or other violations, please use the various resources that are available to you as a City employee.
1. **The City’s Whistleblower Program.** The Whistleblower Program responds to specific allegations of wrongdoing by City employees and those who do business with the City. City officers and employees are protected from retaliation for filing a complaint with, or providing information to, the Whistleblower Program. You may make an anonymous complaint. [https://sfcontroller.org/whistleblower-program](https://sfcontroller.org/whistleblower-program)

2. **The Ethics Commission.** The Commission’s Enforcement Division investigates and enforces violations of state and local laws relating to campaign finance, lobbying, conflicts of interest, governmental ethics, and whistleblower protection. [https://sfethics.org/enforcement](https://sfethics.org/enforcement)

3. **The City’s Equal Employment Opportunity Program.** The Department of Human Resources, Equal Employment Opportunity Division (EEO Division), evaluates and investigates complaints filed by applicants and employees who believe they are experiencing discrimination, harassment, or retaliation in City employment. Employees may call the EEO Helpline at (415) 557-4900 or (415) 557-4810 (TTY). [https://sfdhr.org/equal-employment-opportunity](https://sfdhr.org/equal-employment-opportunity)

In all cases, employees reporting a complaint are protected by law from retaliation.

Finally, the reports in the news may have been upsetting or stressful for you or your team members. Please reach out to your department HR staff or to the City’s Employee Assistance Program (EAP) if you need assistance. Learn more about EAP services at [https://sfhss.org/eap](https://sfhss.org/eap) or call 415-554-0610.

I know each of you takes seriously your responsibilities to serve the public, treat colleagues and members of the public with respect, and behave ethically in your work.

Sincerely,

Micki Callahan

---

Micki Callahan  
Human Resources Director  
(she, her, hers)  
Department of Human Resources  
One South Van Ness Ave., 4th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
Phone: (415) 557-4845  
Website: [www.sfdhr.org](http://www.sfdhr.org)
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Tuesday, February 4, 2020
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
MAYOR LONDON BREED AND SUPERVISOR RAFAEL MANDELMAN ANNOUNCE NEW HUMMINGBIRD BEHAVIORAL HEALTH BEDS IN THE MISSION

New center will provide 30 overnight beds in addition to serving up to 25 daytime drop-in clients

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Supervisor Rafael Mandelman today announced a new Hummingbird Place behavioral health respite center on Valencia Street, in partnership with the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH), Tipping Point Community, The Salvation Army, and PRC/Baker Places.

The new center will open this spring and will serve 30 overnight clients at a time and up to 25 daytime drop-in clients, providing a place for people with mental health issues and substance use disorder to rest and get connected to care. This expansion of Hummingbird Place is part of Mayor Breed’s goal to create 2,000 new placements for people off the street, in addition to the 1,000 new shelter beds that will be open by the end of the year.
“We need safe, welcoming places for people to get off the street, rest, and get connected to services so they can take the next step toward getting the mental health care and substance use treatment they need,” said Mayor Breed. “I’m grateful to Supervisor Mandelman for working with us to create this site in his district. This location will help us meet people where they are and get them on their path out of homelessness.”

“Every day my constituents encounter unhoused people on our streets suffering from severe mental illness and substance use disorder,” said Supervisor Mandelman. “This status quo is inhumane and intolerable. We need many more safe and medically appropriate places to bring people indoors, and fast. I am proud that District 8 will soon be home to the first community-based Hummingbird, and I will continue to push for the rapid development of a citywide network of such spaces.”

“Hummingbird Place is a good example of the Department of Public Health trying something new, figuring out what works and building on that success to serve more clients with behavioral health needs,” said Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health. “This marks the third expansion of this innovative model, a broader public-private partnership, and a deepening of San Francisco's commitment to help people experiencing homelessness, mental illness and substance use disorder.”

According to the latest Point in Time count in San Francisco, approximately 8,000 people experience homelessness on any given night. Of these individuals experiencing homelessness, 42% self-report alcohol and drug abuse and 39% report psychiatric and emotional conditions.

Hummingbird Place, which first opened in 2017 on the campus of Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, is a successful model that bridges a gap between psychiatric emergency or hospital care and continuing on to treatment. For people who have not yet agreed to treatment, Hummingbird Place provides a respite as they recover from crisis and consider their next steps. Hummingbird clients are experiencing homelessness and behavioral issues. These clients are able to rest and regroup after an emergency visit or inpatient stay, learn about housing, substance use treatment and other services, and be prioritized for those services when they are ready.

In September 2019, Tipping Point Community announced that it would fund an expansion of Hummingbird Place beds in San Francisco, including this new location at 1156 Valencia Street. The Salvation Army is providing the property as part of its larger initiative to double its impact on homelessness. Using this site for a behavioral health respite program will continue the mission of The Salvation Army to serve vulnerable people in their communities.

PRC/Baker Places and the Department of Public Health will operate the program. PRC/Baker Places currently operates the existing Hummingbird Place located on the Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital campus.

Services at the Valencia Street Hummingbird Place will include:

- Referral to primary medical and psychiatric community providers
- Coordination of services and discharge planning
- Connection to shelter and housing
- Transportation to medical and social services appointments
- Food, clothing, access to showers, and laundry facilities
- Individual and group counseling to engage in motivational interviewing
One-on-one peer support
- Daily living skills training
- Referrals to vocational services for assessment of job skills, training, and employment opportunities.

“The Salvation Army is always looking to work collaboratively with members of the community and to best serve the needs of San Francisco,” said Major Darren Norton, Divisional Commander for The Salvation Army’s Golden State Division based in San Francisco. “This reuse of our Mission neighborhood location is just that, providing a win-win scenario for this facility. Through our partnership with the Department of Public Health, we can get our most vulnerable off our streets and into a safe place where they can rest and be introduced to some transformational life options.”

“As a family member of a consumer, it’s heartbreaking to experience the reality that while we have some excellent behavioral health resources in our community, there are shortages,” said Nina Maria Catalano, Senior Planner with the Chronic Homelessness Initiative at Tipping Point Community. “Low-barrier access to care and clear pathways to permanent housing are essential. That is why Tipping Point is investing $3 million to add much-needed capacity and open a second Hummingbird site on Valencia Street. We are excited to continue partnering with health care providers, consumers, the City, and the broader community to implement the recommendations from the report we released with UCSF last fall, and bring philanthropic resources to the table to strengthen our overall health and homelessness response systems.”

“PRC is pleased to be partnering with the Mayor’s Office and the San Francisco Department of Public Health to launch the first free-standing Hummingbird Navigation Center in the City,” said Brett Andrews, PRC Chief Executive Officer. “Building on the successful partnership we began together in establishing and growing the Hummingbird program at Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, we look forward to the opportunity to provide this unique model of evidence-based care to our most vulnerable residents living in the Mission neighborhood and beyond. We thank Mayor Breed for her leadership and her commitment to expand the capacity of mental health beds for San Francisco residents living in the most desperate of circumstances.”

###
From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Foley, Chris (CPC); Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns; So, Lydia (CPC)
Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject: FW: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY AND CONTROLLER
Date: Tuesday, February 04, 2020 11:32:58 AM
Attachments: Executive Directive 20_1_Cooperation with City Attorney and Controller Investigation.pdf
02.04.20 City Attorney & Controller Investigation.pdf

---

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

---

From: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, February 04, 2020 10:34 AM
To: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>
Subject: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ON INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY AND CONTROLLER

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Tuesday, February 4, 2020
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** STATEMENT ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED ON INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY AND CONTROLLER

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today issued the following statement following the announcement by City Attorney Dennis Herrera and Controller Ben Rosenfield that they have launched an investigation into the allegations made against Public Works Director Mohammed Nuru:

“While the investigation into the allegations against Mohammed Nuru is ongoing, we do know a serious breach of the public trust has occurred. We also know that we can and must take action to root out any illegal activities or ethical violations that have taken place in the impacted departments and in the City of San Francisco. Nothing matters more than restoring the public trust in our government. Our residents deserve it, and the hard-working men and women of our city deserve it. This requires us to not only hold anyone involved in any illegal or unethical actions accountable, but also to do the work to prevent corruption from occurring in our City.
I want to thank the City Attorney and the Controller for laying out a clear and comprehensive plan to investigate any departments impacted by these federal charges, and for committing to fully investigate any related issues they come across. I encourage the City Attorney and Controller to follow every lead, every dollar, and every complaint, and to make policy recommendations to help prevent future wrongdoing. If laws were violated, we will hold people accountable. If reforms are recommended, we will implement them. We will undertake whatever administrative and legislative changes necessary to strengthen our departments to safeguard against this happening again. We will act swiftly, but we will also act with clear vision and a full understanding of the facts.

I will issue a directive to every Department in this City to cooperate fully with this investigation, and to commit any resources necessary to comply with any requests from the City Attorney and the Controller. I will accept nothing less than a thorough and independent investigation, and we will do everything in our power to support that investigation.”

###
Commissioners – Please see attached correction for item #12 – 1735 Polk Street.

Thank you,
Christine

Christine Silva
EPR Project Lead
Permit Center Team
Principal Planner
Manager of Commission Affairs
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9085 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

Commissioners, Attached are your Calendars for February 6, 2020.

Please note that we are down to five Commissioners.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs
Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org
*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED AND CITY DEPARTMENTS OUTLINE PLANS FOR SUPER BOWL SUNDAY

Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Police Department, San Francisco Fire Department, and Department of Emergency Management today detailed their plans for ensuring public safety on Sunday, and encouraged several measures to keep San Francisco residents safe.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and City departments today hosted a press conference in advance of Sunday’s Super Bowl game between the San Francisco 49ers and the Kansas City Chiefs. Mayor Breed, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), the San Francisco Fire Department (SFFD), and the Department of Emergency Management (DEM) detailed the City’s plans for ensuring public safety and reminded residents to behave responsibly, including finding a safe ride home and remaining aware of their surroundings.

“This Sunday will be an exciting day for 49ers fans across the city. We want people to have a great time, but our first priority is always safety. Please remember to behave safely, stay alert, and treat your neighbors with respect,” said Mayor Breed.

The San Francisco Police Department uniformed officers will be deployed and the public can
expect to see officers patrolling in police cars, motorcycles and vans as well as on foot and bicycle. SFPD will have extra deployments in districts around the City to respond to any issues that may arise on game night. Resources will be deployed to police districts around the City where incidents have followed previous championship games. SFPD will have sufficient resources to respond to routine calls for service as well as game related calls.

“Whether you’re enjoying the game at a bar or at a house party, don’t drink and drive,” said Police Chief William Scott. “Designate a sober driver to get you home safely, use public transit or a taxi or ride share service. We also ask that you show your love for the team and our City by respecting our neighborhoods while you’re out this weekend. Let’s celebrate responsibly to ensure that everyone has a safe time before, during and after the big game.”

The San Francisco Fire Department reminded people to be fire safe during and after the Super Bowl game. This includes being careful when cooking and preparing food, locating the exits when in a crowded building, and being cautious of weight restrictions and railing heights when standing on balconies and rooftops. Additionally, SFFD reminded San Franciscans that the use of fireworks is dangerous and against the law.

“The San Francisco Fire Department is taking steps to be prepared and to keep the city safe while reminding everyone to celebrate responsibly,” said Chief Jeanine Nicholson.

The Department of Emergency Management will have additional 9-1-1 dispatchers to manage any potential increase in call volume and support police officers, fire fighters, and medics responding to emergencies. DEM will also have San Francisco’s Emergency Operations Center active to coordinate City resources, support first responders, and provide information to the public as necessary.

“We join the Faithful in cheering on our San Francisco 49ers to victory in the Super Bowl. San Francisco 911 dispatchers and emergency managers stand ready to support our City during this exciting time. Go Niners!” said Mary Ellen Carroll, Executive Director, San Francisco Department of Emergency Management.

Lastly, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) is preparing for possible street closures following the game. For the most current information about Muni re-routes or street closures, please visit: www.sfmta.com/travel-updates/super-bowl-liv-neighborhood-celebrations-february-2-2020

One way to stay informed is to sign up for AlertSF. Simply text SF49ERS to 888-777 to sign up for emergency text message alerts. AlertSF will send alerts and instructions for emergencies and significant disruptions which may occur.

Law enforcement relies on cooperation and assistance from the public to report criminal activity. Remember: If You See Something, Say Something. Call 911 to report suspicious activity or items.

###
Good afternoon Director Rahaim, President Koppel, Commissioners

1/30/20 Planning Commission (Items 14a-f Potrero Power Station)

My name is Bruce Agid. I’m providing this public comment as a native San Franciscan and a resident of Mission Bay for the past 11 years. For identification purposes, I’m very involved in the community; as a Board member and transportation rep of the South Beach/Rincon/Mission Bay Neighborhood Association, President of the San Francisco Eastern Neighborhoods Democratic Club, an active public transportation advocate serving on various transportation focused CAC’s, CAG’s and CWG’s.

I’m writing in support of the Power Station Project. I was introduced to this project several years ago through conversations with friends and community members who live in either Dogpatch or Potrero Hill and learned significantly more about the project over the past year. This project takes 29 acres of industrial isolated waterfront and transforms it into community. Not only building 2,600 units of housing, but provides so much more. Infrastructure build out, 30% of the units are desperately needed affordable housing, retail, office, life science, restaurants, community amenities, childcare facilities and much more. In addition, it will open up this section of the Waterfront to the public. A precious gift. To me, this is not a project focused on building individual elements, it’s a project about building a wonderful and vibrant community.

This is also another critical project which contributes to the transformation and opening up
of the Eastern Part of the City; Starting at Oracle Park, with the development of Mission Rock, the Chase Center, Pier 70, this project the Power Station, India Basin and so much more. Not only creating a wonderful community for local residents and neighbors, but transforming our part of the City, where San Franciscans in the past would not dream of visiting, to making it a premier destination.

Thank you for this opportunity to provide public comment and please approve the Power Station Project.
From: Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs
Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 11:42 AM
To: Aol Mail <jscottweaver@aol.com>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Thursday's Calendar - Corporate Rentals. Item No 12, January 30, 2020 agenda

Thank you, Mr. Weaver.

Jonas, please note my correct sfgov email for inclusion in the public file.

Thank you,
Sunny Angulo

From: Sunny Angulo <sunny.angulo@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 11:39 AM
To: scott weaver <jscottweaver@aol.com>; Angulo, Sunny (BOS) <sunny.angulo@sfgov.org>

Subject: Fwd: Thursday's Calendar - Corporate Rentals. Item No 12, January 30, 2020 agenda

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Copying my SFGOV - do you have the UC Berkeley study?
-------- Forwarded message --------
From: Aol Mail <jscottweaver@aol.com>
Date: Wed, Jan 29, 2020 at 8:34 PM
Subject: Thursday's Calendar - Corporate Rentals. Item No 12, January 30, 2020 agenda
To: <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>, <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>, <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>,
<joel.koppel@sfgov.org>, <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>, <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>,
<milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>, <frank.fung@sfgov.org>

Please see attached letter re: corporate rental legislation.

Thank you,

J. Scott Weaver

--

Sunny Angulo

"Bird by Bird."
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Lau, Jon (ECN) <jon.lau@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 1:05 PM
To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Diamond,
Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC)
<dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>
Cc: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
<jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Rahaim, John (CPC) <john.rahaim@sfgov.org>; Francis, John (ECN)
<john.francis@sfgov.org>; Rich, Ken (ECN) <ken.rich@sfgov.org>; James Abrams <jabrams@jabramslaw.com>
Enrique Landa <e5@associatecapital.com>
Subject: RE: Letter the Potrero Power Station

Commissioners:

Please find the attached letter of City-initiated minor modifications to the Potrero Power Station packet you
previously received. I will bring hard copies for your reference to today's hearing.

Thanks,
Jon

Jonathan O. Lau
Project Manager
Office of Economic and Workforce Development
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 448 San Francisco, CA 94102
Direct: (415) 554-6123
Fax: (415) 554-4565
jon.lau@sfgov.org
www.oewd.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Enrique Landa <e5@associatecapital.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2020 4:35 PM
To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Diamond,
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners,

Please see an enclosed letter requesting that the Planning Commission consider and recommend approval of changes to the Potrero Power Station Development Agreement and Special Use District that have resulted from conversations with members of the community and San Francisco Heritage since the publication of the project's case report on January 10th of this year.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Enrique Landa

Project Sponsor

Potrero Power Station
The 26th is canceled.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department; City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 1:21 PM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY <CPC.COMMISSIONSECRETARY@sfgov.org>
Cc: Exline, Susan (CPC) <susan.exline@sfgov.org>
Subject: Balboa Reservoir General Plan Amendment Initiation

Hello,

Could you please place the Balboa Reservoir General Plan Amendment Initiation as an action item on the CPC calendar for March 26, 2020? Myself should be the main contact for this item.

Thank you.

Seung-Yen Hong, LEED Green Associate
Urban Designer/Planner, City Design Group
Direct: 415-575-9026 | Fax: 415-558-6409
SF Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department\City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309\Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: acabande@somcan.org <acabande@somcan.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2020 2:48 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: cebocanegra@usfca.edu; gmuse3412@gmail.com
Subject: Case No. 2019-001694CUA 1500 Mission Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon Commissioners,

Please find attached SOMCAN's letter regarding 1500 Mission Street. Thank you.

*******

Angelica Cabande
Organizational Director
South of Market Community Action Network (SOMCAN)
1110 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

www.somcan.org

Office: (415) 255-7693
Hello Commissioners,

Please see the attached letter of support for the One Vassar Project. I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this much needed development.

Sam Moss

Executive Director | Mission Housing Development Corporation
415-864-6432 (office)
415-350-2024 (cell)
474 Valencia St. Ste. 280., SF, CA 94103
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department/City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309/Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Friday, January 31, 2020
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
MAYOR LONDON BREED, SUPERVISORS RAFAEL MANDELMAN AND AARON PESKIN ANNOUNCE TRANSIT RELIABILITY WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS

Following months of work, the Transit Working Group finds that addressing workforce shortages, traffic congestion, and upgrading infrastructure is key to keeping San Francisco moving.

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed, Supervisor Rafael Mandelman, and Supervisor Aaron Peskin today received findings and final recommendations from the Muni Reliability Working Group, which was convened to develop a roadmap for improving Muni service. The findings and 17 recommendations were presented at the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) Board of Directors Meeting on January 21st and the final report was completed by the Controller’s Office. According to the Working Group’s findings, the primary issues that affect Muni service reliability are workforce shortages, traffic congestion, and the state of repair of vehicles and infrastructure.

Mayor Breed assembled the working group with Supervisor Mandelman and Supervisor Peskin in June this year to provide guidance for the next Director of Transportation at the SFMTA. The working group was tasked with reviewing the performance of the City’s current bus and rail system and recommending actionable steps that the City can take to improve service for riders.

“We convened this working group of experts, elected officials, and community stakeholders because we need to work together to ensure Muni is reliable, safe, and efficient for all San Franciscans,” said Mayor London Breed. “The findings in this report highlight the most important things we can do to improve transportation, and that is to hire more operators, reduce congestion on our streets, and ensure that our infrastructure is up to date. I’m looking forward to working with SFMTA Director Jeffrey Tumlin to prioritize addressing these issues over the coming months”

The working group was composed of local elected officials, transit stakeholders, labor representatives, and industry experts who have run transit agencies around the country. The group was co-chaired by Ed Harrington, the City’s former Controller, and Gwyneth Borden, Vice Chair of the SFMTA Board of Directors. The working group was supported by staff from the Controller’s Office, the SFMTA, and the San Francisco County Transportation Authority.

“My Muni-riding constituents are beyond frustrated, and I hear their horror stories every day,” said Supervisor Mandelman. “While there are no easy fixes, these working group recommendations provide a roadmap toward
addressing core issues of reliability, safety, equity, hiring and management. I look forward to working with the SFMTA, my colleagues and the Mayor to implement these recommendations as quickly as possible, so that we can deliver the 21st century world-class transit system that San Franciscans deserve.”

“Creating a transit network that serves every corner of our dense city has been a passion project for me since I first took office,” said Supervisor Peskin. “Much of that work has focused on funding an accessible and affordable system, but funding alone won’t make the trains run on time. This working group was a truly soup-to-nuts evaluation of how to restructure SFMTA so that it works for everyone, from small reforms to big ones.”

The Working Group was created as the SFMTA Board of Directors was in the process of identifying a new Director of Transportation. After his selection, Jeffrey Tumlin was sworn in by the Mayor on December 16th. The recommendations will provide important guidance for the organization under Director Tumlin’s leadership.

“The working group’s expertise and ideas revealed areas where the SFMTA and the City need to step up,” said Ben Rosenfield, City Controller. “We all must marshal leadership, operational expertise, funding, improved planning, and the skill of our workforce to better serve our residents. The recommendations help point the way to a high-performing Muni system and a fuller realization of the City’s Transit First goals.”

“The Muni Reliability Working Group’s findings perfectly match my own observations since my arrival in December. Staff are well aware of and transparent about our agency’s failings,” said Jeffrey Tumlin, SFMTA Director of Transportation. “Where staff have implemented solutions, like on the 5- Fulton, they’ve been very successful. As an agency, we need to have the courage to pick up the pace of delivering solutions despite the political obstacles that make change hard in San Francisco. We also desperately need to hire more operators and maintenance workers. I’m grateful they’ve provided us with a clear work plan.”

“The people of San Francisco rely on Muni and they deserve a reliable system,” said Ed Harrington, Muni Working Group Co-Chair. “We trust that our recommendations will help us get there.”

“The SFMTA is already moving forward to implement these recommendations and is grateful to the Mayor and Controller's Office for bringing together stakeholders and subject matter experts to delve into the critical issues to be solved to ensure a reliable transit future,” said Gwyneth Borden, Muni Working Group Co-Chair.

During the past six months, the Working Group validated some of SFMTA’s existing processes, while creating numerous recommendations on others. For example, when compared to many national peers, the Working Group found that SFMTA has the right component pieces, with streets, transit, and parking management working in alignment. In addition, the agency’s efforts to provide transit priority through street design has supported reliable and efficient service.

The findings indicate that the main issues causing transit delays and gaps in service are operator shortages and traffic congestion. Workforce shortages, most notably transit operators, significantly affect SFMTA’s ability to deliver transit service reliably. To improve Muni service, the Working Group recommended steps to help accelerate operator hiring to close the shortage by 2021. Specifically, the group recommended supporting existing workforce development programs—like CityDrive—to reduce barriers to becoming a transit operator, and developing additional partnership and apprenticeship programs to build a strong pipeline of future candidates.

To address the issue of street congestion, the Working Group recommended supporting and accelerating implementation of congestion management strategies to improve transit system performance. This includes leveraging existing successful strategies of the Muni Forward program, such as red bus lanes and transit priority signals.

Since being in office, Mayor Breed has outlined numerous areas requiring improvement at the SFMTA, with a priority on ensuring dependable bus and rail service. The Working Group’s recommendations present an opportunity to help resolve the issues that impact Muni riders on a daily basis.

Muni plays a critical role in moving people within San Francisco. The system has over 716,000 daily boardings, the largest of any Bay Area transit operator, and it continues to experience increased demand. Since 2010, Muni ridership has increased by 5%, an outlier compared to transit agencies around the country, which have been on the decline.

To view the final report and recommendations, go to:

###
From: Starr, Aaron (CPC)
To: mooreurban@aol.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Fung, Frank (CPC); Diamond, Susan (CPC)
Cc: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject: Weekly Board Report
Date: Thursday, January 30, 2020 12:02:18 PM
Attachments: 2019_01_30.pdf
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Please see attached.

Aaron Starr, MA
Manager of Legislative Affairs
Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6362 Fax: 415-558-6409
Email: aaron.starr@sfgov.org
Web: www.sfplanning.org
Meeting Notice

Design Review Board

Monday, February 10, 2020
Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
Yerba Buena Room, First Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 352-3600
5:30 p.m.

NOTE: To view the graphic exhibits for each project, please go to our home page at www.bcdc.ca.gov and click on “Design Review Board” listed under Public Meetings. For information about the meeting, please contact Andrea Gaffney at (415) 352-3643 or andrea.gaffney@bcdc.ca.gov.

Tentative Agenda

1. Call to Order and Safety Announcement
2. Staff Update
3. Approval of Draft Minutes for November 18, 2019 Meeting
4. Briefing on Environmental Justice Bay Plan Amendment Implementation
   The Board will receive a briefing by Clesi Bennett and Nahal Ghoghaie of BCDC staff on the implementation of the Environmental Justice and Social Equity Bay Plan Amendment that was adopted on October 17, 2019. The briefing will provide an update on short-term and long-term implementation, including the creation of guidance and trainings on how the new policies will be used.
   (Clesi Bennett) [415/352-3613; clesi.bennett@bcdc.ca.gov]
   (Nahal Ghoghaie) [415/352-3648; nahal.ghoghaie@bcdc.ca.gov]
5. 900 Innes and India Basin Shoreline Park; San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department (Second Pre-Application Review)
   The Design Review Board will review the design by the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department for a new shoreline park in India Basin located at 900 Innes Avenue property in the City and County of San Francisco. The approximately 14-acre park would include shoreline pathways, new public piers, a public dock and boat launch facility, a large lawn, a gravel beach, a play area, renovated and reused historic maritime buildings, and other amenities. This is the Board’s first pre-application review of the project.
   (Walt Deppe) [415/352-3622; walt.deppe@bcdc.ca.gov]
6. Oyster Point Phases 2-4, City of South San Francisco, San Mateo County (First Pre-Application Review)

The Design Review Board will hold their first pre-application review of the proposal by Oyster Point Development for the final phases of the Oyster Point Specific Plan to redevelop the Oyster Point peninsulas. These phases will involve the construction of several office buildings, a parking structure, and enhancement of the Bay Trail and additional shoreline amenities.

(Morgan Chow) [415/352-3654; morgan.chow@bcdc.ca.gov]

7. Adjournment

Role of Design Review Board. The Design Review Board serves as an advisory board to the Commission and its staff. The Board was formed to advise the Commission on the adequacy of public access proposed as a part of projects in the Commission’s Bay and 100-foot Shoreline Band jurisdictions. Public access may include both physical improvements as well as visual access. The Board advises the Commission on a project’s effects on appearance, design and scenic views in accordance with the Commission’s San Francisco Bay Plan policies and the Public Access Design Guidelines. The Board’s recommendations are advisory only.

Questions and Copies of Staff Reports. If you have any questions concerning an item on the agenda or would like to receive a staff report related to the item, please contact the staff member whose name and direct phone number are indicated in parentheses at the end of the agenda item, or visit the BCDC’s website at www.bcdc.ca.gov.

Access to Meetings. Meeting facilities are accessible to persons with disabilities. If you require special assistance, please contact any staff member prior to the meeting. An interpreter for the deaf will also be made available upon request to the staff at least five business days prior to the meeting. The meeting audio recording and summary meeting minutes are posted to our website. Due to the discursive and open dialogue of the Board, verbatim transcription is not practicable. The summary meeting minutes provide an equivalent alternative to the meeting audio.

Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act. As a state agency, the Commission is governed by the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act which requires the Commission to (1) publish an agenda at least ten days in advance of any meeting; (2) describe specifically in that agenda the items to be transacted or discussed; and (3) refuse to add an item subsequent to the published agenda. In addition to these general requirements, the Bagley-Keene Act includes other specific provisions about how meetings are to be announced and conducted.