

MEMO TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

HEARING DATE: October 1, 2020

September 24, 2020

Record No.: 2020-008009OTH

Subject: Planning Commission Policy: Implementing Proposition E **Staff Contact:** Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator - (628) 652-7328

corey.teague@sfgov.org

Recommendation: Adopt the Proposed Resolution

Office Development Annual Limit Program

San Francisco's Office Development Annual Limit Program ("Program") was initially created in 1985 as part of the Downtown Plan. It limited the amount of large office development (projects containing at least 50,000 square feet of office space) that could be permitted each year in the City (the "Large Cap"). The passage of Proposition M the following year amended the Program in various ways, including the addition of a new and separate annual limit for smaller office development (projects containing between 25,000 square feet to 49,999 square feet), which is commonly known as the "Small Cap." Each October 17th the Program receives an allotment of 875,000 square feet for the Large Cap, and allotment of 75,000 square feet for the Small Cap. Under the original Program, the Planning Commission could not allocate office space to any development in excess of what was available in the relevant cap at that time. Additionally, unallocated office space in one year rolls over to the next year, and unused office space may be revoked and returned to the relevant cap.

A net total of more than 12 Million square feet of office was allocated from the Large Cap in the last 10 years, with the most recent allocations for projects within Central SoMa. As a result, there is currently only 24,949 square feet available in the Large Cap. There are currently 6 large office development proposals on file with the Department that represent 2,215,422 square feet. There are also two large, entitled projects under the jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco that total more than 3 Million square feet, which will draw down from the Large Cap while not triggering the Program's requirement for a hearing as those buildings are constructed over time.

Proposition E

Proposition E ("Limits on Office Development") was adopted by the voters of San Francisco in the March 3, 2020 election. As described in detail below, Proposition E directly ties the amount of office space available to be allocated from the Program to the production of affordable housing within the City, both city-wide and within the

Central SoMa SUD. But it also allows office projects to be allocated office space beyond typical Program limits if those projects meet specific criteria related to the production of affordable housing and/or other community benefits, while accounting for such allocations over time. The various components of Proposition E are further described below.

1. <u>Annual Large Cap Allotments Linked to Affordable Housing Production</u>

Beginning October 17, 2020, Proposition E permanently reduces the 875,000 square-foot annual allotment to the Large Cap each year by the percentage of the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) affordable housing goals not met. Because RHNA goals are based on an 8-year cycle that most recently began in 2015, the Large Cap allotment for 2020 is based on the five completed years of 2015-2019. Subsequent years will use the single complete calendar year prior to the year in which the one-year approval period begins (i.e. the 2021 Large Cap allotment will rely on data from 2020). The calculation for the 2020 Large Cap allotment is provided below.

2015-2019 RHNA Affordable Housing Goal	10,210 Units
SF Affordable Housing Produced 2015-2019	6,156 Units ¹
Percent of Goal Met	60.3 %
Baseline Annual Large Cap Allotment	875,000sf
Updated 2020 Large Cap Allotment	527,625sf

2. Office Jobs/Affordable Housing Balance Incentive Reserve

As mentioned above, the Program historically did not permit the Planning Commission to allocate office space to a project beyond what was available in the relevant cap. However, Proposition E now allows the Planning Commission to allocate office space to projects beyond what is available in the Large Cap pursuant to a new "Housing Balance Reserve," but only if the projects meet the following criteria:

a. The office project will also produce affordable housing sufficient to meet 100 percent of the affordable housing demand created by the proposed office space, pursuant to the "City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio." This ratio is defined to be 809 units affordable to households with household income no greater than 120 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI) per one million square feet of new office space. This ratio was originally established in the City's May 2019 Jobs Housing Nexus Analysis, and is required to be updated at least every five years.

The housing produced pursuant to this criterion may either be provided on-site or located off-site if located within a Community of Concern as designated by the Board of Supervisors. Additionally,

¹ This number does not exactly match the numbers included in the Planning Department's annual Housing Inventories for the same time period. This is due to the fact that RHNA housing production is tracked using the issuance of a project's site or building permit. However, Proposition E does not consider an affordable housing unit to be "produced" until the project receives its "first construction document," which occurs sometime after the project's site or building permit is issued. As such, there will likely be small deviations between the number of units reported to RHNA and the number of units used to conduct this Proposition E calculation.



if an office project also includes housing that is subject to the City's Inclusionary Housing Program, and the project elects to satisfy that obligation through payment of a fee, then 50% of that payment may be credited toward this provision. Finally, if a project is proposed to develop in phases as part of a Development Agreement with the City, then the required housing production for the entire project (i.e. all phases) must be considered when evaluating the proposed office allocation.

For example, a project using this provision to develop a 300,000 square-foot office project would be required to also provide 243 affordable housing units as part of the project (on or off-site), although that number of units could be reduced to a degree through credit for payment of any required Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program fee for the project.

b. The office project may not use any "San Francisco Affordable Housing Development Funding" for capital development costs of the project.

3. Additional Office Limits within Central SoMa

Proposition E limits how much Large Cap office space may be allocated within the Central SoMa SUD until a minimum number of "housing units" are produced within the larger SoMa neighborhood, pursuant to the boundaries established in the Planning Department's Neighborhood Boundaries Map in effect in May 2011. More specifically, beginning January 1, 2019, no more than 6 Million square feet of Large Cap office space may be allocated within the SUD until at least 15,000 new housing units are produced within the larger SoMa neighborhood. Small Cap office space allocations are exempt from this limit.

To date, the Planning Commission has allocated approximately 4.1 million square feet of Large Cap office space to projects within the Central SoMa SUD. Additionally, applications are currently on file with the Planning Department for projects within the Central SoMa SUD proposing approximately 1.6 million additional square feet of office space. Proposition E requires the Planning Department to publish each October 17th exactly how many housing units have been produced in the SoMa neighborhood since January 1, 2019.

4. Central SoMa Incentive Reserve

Proposition E created a 1.7 Million square foot "Central SoMa Reserve" of office space available for allocation only to Large Cap projects within the Central SoMa SUD. The Central SoMa Reserve is **entirely separate** from the square footage available in the standard Large Cap. As such, the square footage within the Central SoMa Reserve is intended to be allocated to Large Cap projects within the SUD when there is insufficient office space available within the standard Large Cap. However, the Central SoMa Reserve may not be used to allocate more than the 6 Million square-foot cap described in No. 3 above until the required amount of housing is produced. Additionally, a Central SoMa project may only make use of this new



Central SoMa Reserve if it meets all of the following criteria:

- a. Its Preliminary Project Assessment application was submitted prior to September 11, 2019;
- b. The project contains at least 50,000 square feet of office space (i.e. Large Cap);
- c. The amount of proposed office space exceeds what is otherwise available for allocation from the standard Large Cap;
- d. Any prior or current phase of the project meets at least one of the following criteria:
 - i. The project dedicates a parcel of land within SoMa to the City to develop affordable housing, and that parcel is at least 10,000 square feet; or
 - ii. The project includes at least 10,000 square feet of "Community Arts PDR" space or "Neighborhood-Serving Retail" space that will be leased for no higher than 60% of the comparable market rate for a period of 30 years; or
 - iii. The project constructs or funds a new or replacement City public safety facility within SoMa of at least 10,000 square feet.

5. <u>Incremental Deductions for All Reserve Projects</u>

Proposition E requires the Program to gradually account for the total square footage allocated to projects from either the Housing Balance Reserve or the Central SoMa Reserve, as discussed above in Nos. 2 and 4. More specifically, one-tenth of all additional office space allocated pursuant to these "reserve" provisions in a year is deducted from the Large Cap's annual allotment at the beginning of the next allocation year (i.e. October 17). The one-tenth deductions then continue each year until the allocated amount is reduced to zero (i.e. 10 years total).

For example, if one project of 100,000 square feet is approved in the 2020-21 allocation year using one of the "reserve" provisions due to insufficient square feet available in the standard Large Cap, then the typical 875,000 square foot Large Cap annual allotment on October 17,2021 would be reduced by 10,000 square feet (100,000 sf / 10 years = 10,000 square feet). Approvals of additional "reserve" provisions projects in subsequent years will compound this deduction during overlapping approval periods.

This provision is analogous to a zero-interest loan or credit card, where no payment is required at purchase, but the total balance must be paid over 10 equal installments. As such, approval of projects under these "reserve" provisions allow such projects sooner, while reducing the ability to approve non-"reserve" office projects in the future. Further, it is conceivable that these provisions may lead to the Large Cap having a negative balance at some point in the future.

6. Planning Commission Review Criteria

Proposition E reduced the number of required criteria for review by the Planning Commission for office



allocations from seven to four. It also removed the prohibition for the Planning Commission to consider any payments to City housing or transit funds when considering a proposed office allocation. The Measure removed the following review criteria:

- a. contributions and effects on the General Plan,
- b. the quality of design,
- c. anticipated uses of the project,
- d. proposed occupancy (single vs multiple tenants), and
- e. the use of Transferable Development Rights (TDR).

The Measure added the following review criteria:

- f. Whether the project includes new affordable housing units that meet all the following criteria:
 - i. The affordable units are on-site or off-site within a Community of Concern;
 - ii. The affordable units are pursuant to a requirement of a Development Agreement with the City; and
 - iii. The office project will also produce affordable housing to account for 100 percent of such housing needed to house future employees of the office space, pursuant to the "City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio."
- g. The extent to which the project incorporates "Community Improvements" (newly defined) beyond Planning Code requirements.

Recommended Planning Commission Action

Proposition M provided that "the Planning Commission shall have authority to adopt such rules and regulations as it may determine are appropriate to carry out the purposes and provisions of" the Program. And historically, the Commission has used that authority to address undefined terms (i.e. "commencement of construction") and establish implementation policies (i.e. no revocation of active projects past 18-month performance period).

Several provisions and terms within Proposition E are either undefined or not clearly expressed in terms of specific implementation. The Planning Department recommends that the Planning Commission adopt a resolution addressing each of these 4 specific issues within Proposition E, as described below.

1. Proposition E ties certain provisions to the production of "Housing Units." The Planning Code uses the defined terms "Dwelling Units" and "Group Housing" as the two primary types of residential land uses. The Planning Code also defines "Residential Units," although only for the purpose of regulating the



removal of such units under Section 317. However, the Planning Code does define and use the term "Housing Units" exclusively within the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, which is as follows:

"Housing unit or 'unit.' A residential use in a Housing project. For the purposes of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415et seq., and corresponding definitions in this Section 401, the use of the word 'unit' will also mean bedrooms where a Group Housing or other Housing project is measured by number of bedrooms."

The Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program considers each Group Housing bedroom to be a "Housing Unit" for the purpose of calculating a project's affordable housing requirement.

RECOMMENDATION 1: Adopt the Planning Code Section 401 definition of "Housing Unit" to be used for implementation of Proposition E.

- 2. The review of projects using the Central SoMa Incentive Reserve includes consideration of "Community Arts PDR," "Neighborhood-Serving Retail," and "City Public Safety Facility," which are not currently defined in the Planning Code. However, the proposition provided no definition for these terms. These terms are vague and provided with little context, which may present challenges for review and implementation.
 - **RECOMMENDATION 2:** Considering the challenge of defining these terms within the land use context of the Planning Code, the Department recommends that the Planning Commission does not define these terms, but instead adopts a policy to review the specifics of each project proposal on a case-by-case basis.
- 3. Projects using the Housing Balance Reserve provision must also provide a certain amount of affordable housing. However, the proposition does not state by when those affordable units must be constructed relative to the associated office space. As a reference, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requires on-site and off-site affordable units to be completed concurrently with the associated market-rate units, or no later than the first certificate of occupancy for the principal project.
 - **RECOMMENDATION 3:** Adopt a policy that all affordable housing required for a project pursuant to Proposition E must receive its first certificate of occupancy prior to or concurrent with the issuance of first certificate of occupancy for the associated office space. However, consistent with the terms of Proposition E, the Planning Commission may allow projects subject to a Development Agreement with the City to provide such affordable housing at later dates.
- 4. Projects using the Housing Balance Reserve provision may have up to 50% of their Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program fee be credited toward the required production of new affordable housing. However, the proposition provides no methodology for calculating such credit. The actual cost to construct affordable housing units can vary greatly depending on a variety of factors (location, housing type, building type, etc.). However, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program set forth in Planning Code Section 415 includes a fee option. The methodology for calculating that fee is determined by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) with input from the Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), and is required to be updated every three years.

RECOMMENDATION 4: Adopt a policy that the raw number of housing units represented by the percentage



of housing units within a project that pays the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program fee will equal the number of housing units provided to meet the affordable housing requirement of the Office Jobs/Affordable Housing Balance provision.

For example, a project seeking to use the Housing Balance Reserve and proposing 300,000 square feet of office use and 100 market-rate rental dwelling units must provide 243 affordable units pursuant to the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio discussed in 2(a), above. Additionally, the required Inclusionary Affordable Housing fee for the 100 units of market-rate housing must account for 30% of the units (i.e. 30 units) per Planning Code Section 415. Because only 50% of the fee may be credited towards the requirements of the Housing Balance Reserve, the project's inclusionary fee would equal comprise a 15-unit credit toward the required 243 units (i.e. 30×0.5). Accordingly, the project would be required to provide 228 units (243 units – 15 units) to qualify for the Housing Balance Reserve.

Recommendation: Adopt the Proposed Resolution

Attachments:

Draft Resolution
Proposition E – Legal Text
Current Office Annual Limit Program Tracking Sheet





PLANNING COMMISSION DRAFT RESOLUTION

HEARING DATE: October 1, 2020

Record No.: 2020-008009OTH

Subject: Planning Commission Policy: Implementing Proposition E **Staff Contact:** Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator – (628) 652-7328

corev.teague@sfgov.org

ADOPTING A POLICY TO IMPLEMENT THE PROVISIONS OF PROPOSITION E AS THEY RELATE TO THE OFFICE ANNUAL LIMIT PROGRAM.

WHEREAS, in 1986, San Francisco voters adopted Proposition M, which modified Planning Code Sections 320-325 to create the Office Development Annual Limit Program ("Program"), and limited the amount of office space that could be approved by the Planning Commission to a maximum of 950,000 per year;

WHEREAS, the Program provides that 875,000 of the annual approvable space be allocated to office projects of 50,000 square feet, known as the "Large Cap"; and 75,000 square feet each year be devoted to projects between 25,000 and 49,999 square feet, known as the "Small Cap"; and allows for any un-allocated space each year to be carried over and accumulated:

WHEREAS, Proposition E ("Limits on Office Development") was adopted by the voters of San Francisco in the March 3, 2020 election, and directly ties the amount of office space available to be allocated from the Program to the production of affordable housing within the City, both city-wide and within the Central SoMa SUD;

WHEREAS, the Program provides that "the Planning Commission shall have authority to adopt such rules and regulations as it may determine are appropriate to carry out the purposes and provisions of" the Program. And historically, the Commission has used that authority to address undefined terms (i.e. "commencement of construction") and establish implementation policies (i.e. no revocation of active projects past 18-month performance period);

WHEREAS, Proposition E includes various provisions and terms that are either undefined or not clearly expressed in terms of specific implementation;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission hereby adopts the following policies to ensure the appropriate implementation of Proposition E:

1. Proposition E ties certain provisions to the production of "Housing Units." The Planning Code uses the defined terms "Dwelling Units" and "Group Housing" as the two primary types of residential land uses. The Planning Code also defines "Residential Units," although only for the purpose of regulating the removal of such units under Section 317. However, the Planning Code does define and use the term "Housing Units" exclusively within the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, which is as follows:

"Housing unit or 'unit.' A residential use in a Housing project. For the purposes of the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, Planning Code Section 415et seq., and corresponding definitions in this Section 401, the use of the word 'unit' will also mean bedrooms where a Group Housing or other Housing project is measured by number of bedrooms."

The Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program considers each Group Housing bedroom to be a "Housing Unit" for the purpose of calculating a project's affordable housing requirement.

The Planning Commission hereby determines that the Planning Code Section 401 definition of "Housing Unit" shall be used for implementation of Proposition E.

- 2. The review of projects using the Central SoMa Incentive Reserve includes consideration of "Community Arts PDR," "Neighborhood-Serving Retail," and "City Public Safety Facility," which are not currently defined in the Planning Code. However, the proposition provided no definition for these terms. These terms are vague and provided with little context, which may present challenges for review and implementation.
 - The Planning Commission hereby determines that, considering the challenge of defining these terms within the land use context of the Planning Code, these terms shall remain undefined, and the Department and Commission shall review the specifics of each project proposal on a case-by-case basis.
- 3. Projects using the Housing Balance Reserve provision must also provide a certain amount of affordable housing. However, the proposition does not state by when those affordable units must be constructed relative to the associated office space. As a reference, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program requires on-site and off-site affordable units to be completed concurrently with the associated market-rate units, or no later than the first certificate of occupancy for the principal project.
 - The Planning Commission hereby determines that all affordable housing required for a project pursuant to Proposition E must receive its first certificate of occupancy prior to or concurrent with the issuance of first certificate of occupancy for the associated office space. However, consistent with the terms of Proposition E, the Planning Commission may allow projects subject to a Development Agreement with the City to provide such affordable housing at later dates.
- 4. Projects using the Housing Balance Reserve provision may have up to 50% of their Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program fee be credited toward the required production of new affordable housing. However, the proposition provides no methodology for calculating such credit. The actual cost to construct affordable housing units can vary greatly depending on a variety of factors (location, housing type,



building type, etc.). However, the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program set forth in Planning Code Section 415 includes a fee option. The methodology for calculating that fee is determined by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) with input from the Inclusionary Housing Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), and is required to be updated every three years.

The Planning Commission hereby determines that the raw number of housing units represented by the percentage of housing units within a project that pays the Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program fee will equal the number of housing units provided to meet the affordable housing requirement of the Housing Balance Reserve provision.

For example, a project seeking to use the Housing Balance Reserve and proposing 300,000 square feet of office use and 100 market-rate rental dwelling units must provide 243 affordable units pursuant to the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio discussed in 2(a), above. Additionally, the required Inclusionary Affordable Housing fee for the 100 units of market-rate housing must account for 30% of the units (i.e. 30 units) per Planning Code Section 415. Because only 50% of the fee may be credited towards the requirements of the Housing Balance Reserve, the project's inclusionary fee would equal comprise a 15-unit credit toward the required 243 units (i.e. 30×0.5). Accordingly, the project would be required to provide 228 units (243 units – 15 units) to qualify for the Housing Balance Reserve.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on October 1, 2020.

AYES: NAYS: ABSENT:

October 1, 2020

Jonas P. Ionin

ADOPTED:

Commission Secretary

Planning

Proposition E

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain font. Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics</u>. Deletions from Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics</u>.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco.

SECTION 1. Title.

This Initiative shall be known and may be cited as the "San Francisco Balanced Development Act" (referred to hereinafter as the "Initiative").

SECTION 2. Findings and Purposes

- (a) In 1986, San Francisco voters adopted Proposition M. Proposition M established Priority Policies for the City's Master Plan and required that certain City decisions be consistent with those Priority Policies. It amended and extended an existing annual limitation on construction of new office space that was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 1985 concurrently with approval of the Downtown Plan, a nationally recognized comprehensive plan to regulate downtown growth. It also required the City to study and adopt a program to coordinate local programs on job training and placement for people who live in San Francisco. Proposition M was first approved more than 30 years ago, and the real estate market in San Francisco has changed dramatically since then.
- (b) San Francisco has among the lowest office space vacancy rates in the nation; office space is in high demand, and the high cost of renting forces out small local businesses and non-profits. Rising rents have left many of these small businesses and nonprofit organizations unable to find office space, pricing many out of the City. By modifying Prop M and creating new office space, we can relieve rent pressures and keep small firms and non-profits in San Francisco.
- (c) In early 2011, the City began preparing the Central SoMa Plan to provide goals, objectives, and policies that will guide development of roughly 230 acres of land adjacent to Downtown San Francisco and bounded approximately by Second Street, Townsend Street, Sixth Street, Howard and Folsom Streets. The Central SoMa area has excellent transit access to regional and local transit, being served by CalTrain and numerous local and regional bus lines. Starting in 2020, the area will also be served by the Central Subway running down Fourth Street. The vision of the Central SoMa Plan is for the creation of a sustainable, transit-oriented neighborhood with a mix of housing, commercial, and light industrial uses. This measure will support reducing greenhouse gas emissions and curbing further sprawl by concentrating future office development adjacent to mass transit like the new Central Subway and Caltrain.
- (d) New developments in the Central SoMa Plan Area are projected to generate up to \$2 billion in direct public benefits to serve the Central SoMa neighborhood over the life of the Plan, along with an additional \$1 billion for the City's General Fund. The Central SoMa Plan and Implementation Strategy, approved in Fall 2018, includes a detailed public benefits package that will increase fees and taxes on private developments to fund a comprehensive program of public improvements and construction of affordable housing. New developments will generate these direct public benefits by paying one-time impact fees and ongoing special taxes, constructing or dedicating land for affordable housing, and building public improvements. The \$2 billion in direct public benefits represents a 667 percent increase in public benefits over the \$300 million that would be generated without the Central SoMa Plan.
- (e) In 2014, the voters adopted Proposition K, which established a goal of setting aside at least one-third of newly constructed units in the City as permanently affordable housing. Office projects will contribute to Proposition K's affordable housing goal by participating in the Jobs-Housing Linkage Program, which requires that office developments contribute land or funds for construction of affordable housing. Office developments within the Central SoMa Plan Area are anticipated to generate more than \$200 million in affordable housing funds. This is a critical source of funding to ensure that the Central SoMa Plan achieves its goal of setting aside at least 33% of the new and rehabilitated housing within the South of Market Neighborhood as affordable to very low, low, and moderate income households consistent with Proposition K.
- (f) Increased land values in SoMa due to new development make it impossible to buy sites for new affordable housing development, result in displacement of community arts organizations from the older buildings, and drive storefront commercial rents up to levels that neighborhood-serving stores cannot afford. This Initiative would create a reserve of 1,700,000 square feet of office space for large office projects that could be constructed within Central SoMa Plan Area earlier than would normally be allowed under Proposition M. To qualify for an allocation from this reserve, an office project would be required to either dedicate land for the construction of permanently affordable housing, include below-market community arts, neighborhood serving retail space, or build a new City public safety facility. Any allocation from the reserve would then be deducted in equal annual increments over the next ten years from the office allocation allowed under Proposition M. Thus, the total amount of office development allowed on a citywide basis would not actually increase in the long term.

- (g) The longtime Filipino and LQBTQ communities comprise a vibrant and integral social and spiritual fabric of the South of Market neighborhood. In recognition of this, the City has established the South of Market Filipino Cultural Heritage District and the South of Market LGBTQ Cultural Heritage District. This Initiative incentivizes provision of affordable spaces for such cultural facilities in Central SoMa.
- (h) To ensure that housing production keeps pace with office construction, this Initiative would require the Planning Department to maintain an inventory of the number of residential units that have been approved within the South of Market Neighborhood and would prohibit the City from approving more than 6,000,000 square feet of large office projects within the Central SoMa Plan area until a total of at least 15,000 housing units have been approved and started construction there.
- (i) This measure would also create an estimated 13,000 good union construction jobs with benefits. It would also support more than 28,000 permanent jobs with benefits in San Francisco and help more middle income families and residents stay in the City (Office of Economic & Workforce Development, 2017 estimate).
- (j) This measure would potentially increase the supply of commercial office space sooner without any long-term increase overall, leading to lower rents and more opportunities for local businesses and organizations to remain in San Francisco during the current economic boom.
- (k) Large-scale office developments in the City have attracted and continue to attract employees to the City, and there is a causal connection between such developments and the need for additional housing in the City, particularly housing affordable to households of lower and moderate income. Office developments in the City benefit from the availability of housing close by for their employees. However, housing development in the City has not kept pace with the demand for housing created by these new employees. Due to this shortage in housing, office employers have difficulty in securing a labor force, and employees, unable to find decent and affordable housing, will be forced to commute long distances, having a negative impact on quality of life, limited energy resources, air quality, social equity, and already-overcrowded highways and public transportation. This Initiative would provide significant incentives for additional development of affordable housing in conjunction with future office developments to directly address these crucial issues.
- (I) The Bay Area has seen dramatic increases in costs for housing and the affordability gap for low- to moderate-income workers seeking housing. Commute patterns for the region have also changed, with more workers who work outside of San Francisco seeking to live in the City, thus increasing demand for housing here and decreasing housing availability. As the region's job center, San Francisco has historically had the highest ratio of jobs to housing units in the Bay Area. The ratio of jobs to housing has remained relatively unchanged between 1980 and 2019, at about 1.75 jobs per unit of housing.
- (m) Objective 1, Policy 7 of the Residence Element of the San Francisco General Plan calls for the provision of additional housing to accommodate the demands of new residents attracted to the City by expanding employment opportunities caused by the growth of large-scale commercial activities in the City.
- (n) Many of the employees in new office developments are competing with present residents for scarce, vacant affordable housing units in the City. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD") continues to see a widening affordability gap for extremely-low, low-, and moderate-income households in both the rental and homeownership markets.
- (o) The City has consistently set housing production goals to address the regional and citywide forecasts for population, households, and employment. Although San Francisco has seen increased housing production each successive decade since the 1970s, the City has not been able to close the gap between its housing production goals and actual production.
- (p) Demand for affordable housing has continued to rise yet there is a continuing shortage of low- and moderate-income housing in the City. For the years 2015-2022, housing production targets in the City's Housing Element called for 3,849 units per year. Of those, 57%, or 2,178 new units per year, should be affordable to meet growing demand.
- (q) As demonstrated in the 2018 Jobs Housing Balance Report, between 2008 and 2018, the City produced only 657 net new affordable housing units per year, which represented 23.5% of housing production during that time period.
- (r) The Jobs Housing Nexus Analysis of May 2019 prepared for the City and County of San Francisco by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. determined that the Affordable Unit Demand Factor for 1,000 square feet of new San Francisco office development is currently 0.80892 affordable housing units. That is equal to 809 housing units affordable to households with household incomes no greater than 120% of Area Median Income per 1,000,000 square feet of new office development.
- (s) State law requires each local government in California to adopt a Housing Element as part of its General Plan that shows how the community plans to meet the existing and project housing needs of people at all income levels. The Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) is the State-mandated process to identify the total number of housing units by affordability level that each city must accommodated in its Housing Element. As part of that process the California Department of Housing And Community Development identifies the total housing need for the San Francisco Bay Area for an eight-year period from 2015 to 2023. The Association of Bay Area Governments then determines the distribution of this need to each city.
- (t) In 2013, the Association of Bay Area Governments determined that this total eight-year RHNA allocation for Very-Low, Low, and Moderate income affordable housing development for San Francisco is 16,333 new affordable housing units, which is

2,042 new affordable housing units per year. This Initiative would provide new incentives that would significantly support achievement of this goal.

SECTION 3. Planning Code Amendment

Sections 320, 321, and 322 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Planning Code) are hereby amended to read as follows:

SEC. 320. OFFICE DEVELOPMENT: DEFINITIONS.

When used in Sections 320<u>-325</u>, 321, 322 and 323, the following terms shall each have the meaning indicated. See also Section 102.

- (a) "Additional office space" shall mean the number of square feet of gross floor area of office space created by an office development, reduced, in the case of a modification or conversion, by the number of square feet of gross floor area of preexisting office space which is lost.
- (b) "Annual RHNA Affordable Housing Goal" shall mean one-eighth of the eight-year Final Regional Housing Need Allocation for the years 2015-2023 for San Francisco City and County, adopted by the Executive Board of the Association of Bay Area Governments on July 13, 2013, pursuant to California Government Code sec. 65580, for the "Very Low," "Low," and "Moderate" categories combined. The total eight-year Final Regional Housing Need Allocation in these categories combined is 16,333 units, which is 2,042 units per year. If future implementation of California Government Code sec. 65580, or any successor statewide mechanism to establish local affordable housing goals, establishes a higher annual allocation for San Francisco for production of units affordable to households earning up to 120 percent of area median income, then such higher annual allocation shall replace the number of units established pursuant to the first sentence of this Subsection (b). However, in no case shall the Annual RHNA Affordable Housing Goal be less than 2,042 units.
- (c) (b) "Approval period" shall mean the 12-month period beginning on October 17, 1985 and each subsequent 12-month period.
- (d) (c) "Approve" shall mean to approve issuance of a project authorization and shall include actions of the Planning Commission, Board of Appeals and Board of Supervisors.
- (e) "City of San Francisco Affordable Housing Development Funding" means any capital development funds or subsidies administered or awarded by the City or County of San Francisco or any entity thereof. Such entities include the Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, any future local redevelopment agency established pursuant to state law, the Port of San Francisco, and all other City or County departments or agencies. Such funds and subsidies include lease or sale of City property at less than market value, state or federal capital development funds administered or awarded by the City, and any other direct or indirect public support for capital development provided to a project. Tax credits, rent subsidies, and the Welfare Property Tax Exemption are excluded from this definition. Fees and exactions that are imposed on the proposed project pursuant to City requirements to fund affordable housing development that are retained or reimbursed for use by the proposed project to build affordable housing as a component of the project are excluded from this definition.
- (f) "City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio" means 809 housing units affordable to households with household incomes no greater than 120% of Area Median Income per 1,000,000 square feet of new office development, as detailed in the Jobs Housing Nexus Analysis of May 2019 prepared for the City and County of San Francisco by Keyser Marston Associates, Inc., which determined that the Affordable Unit Demand Factor for 1,000 square feet of new San Francisco office development is currently 0.80892 affordable housing units. The City shall update the Affordable Unit Demand Factor at least every five years, and the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio shall be adjusted according to the updated Factor.
- (g) (d)—"Completion" shall mean the first issuance of a temporary certificate of occupancy or a Certificate of Final Completion and Occupancy as defined in San Francisco Building Code Section 307.
- (h) (e) "Disapprove" shall mean for an appellate administrative agency or court, on review of an office development, to direct that construction shall not proceed, in whole or in part.
- (i) "Large Cap Maximum" shall mean the portion of the maximum set forth in Subsection (a)(1)(A) that is available to buildings of at least 50,000 square feet in gross floor area of office development.
- (j) "New Affordable Housing Unit" shall mean a newly constructed unit with permanent affordability requirements that conform to standards established by the State of California as applicable to the City and County of San Francisco for determination of affordability to households with incomes of up to no more than 120 percent of the Area Median Income.
- (k) (f)——"Office space" shall mean space within a structure intended or primarily suitable for occupancy by persons or entities which perform for their own benefit or provide to others services at that location, including but not limited to professional, banking, insurance, management, consulting, technical, sales and design, or the office functions of manufacturing and warehousing businesses, but shall exclude the following: Retail use; repair; any business characterized by the physical transfer of tangible goods to customers on the premises; wholesale shipping, receiving and storage; any facility, other than physicians' or other individuals' offices and uses accessory thereto, customarily used for furnishing medical services, and design showcases or any other

space intended and primarily suitable for display of goods. This definition shall include all uses encompassed within Section 102 of this Code.
(I) (g) "Office development" shall mean construction, modification or conversion of any structure or structures
or portion of any structure or structures, with the effect of creating additional office space, excepting only:
(1) Development which will result in less than 25,000 square feet of additional office space;
(2) Development either:
(i) Authorized under San Francisco Redevelopment Agency disposition or owner participation agreements
which have been approved by Agency resolution prior to the effective date of this Section, or
(ii) Authorized prior to the effective date of this Section by Agency resolution in anticipation of such
agreements with particular developers identified in the same or a subsequent agency resolution;
(3) Any development which is governed by prior law under Section 175.1(b) of this Code, unless modified after the
effective date specified in Section 175.1(b) to add more than 15,000 square feet of additional office space. Any addition of office
space up to 15,000 square feet shall count against the maximum for the approval period, pursuant to Section 321(a)(2)(B);
(4) Any development including conversion of 50,000 square feet or more of manufacturing space to office space
where the manufacturing uses previously located in such space are relocated to another site within the City and County of San
Francisco and the acquisition or renovation of the new manufacturing site is funded in whole or part by an Urban Development
Action Grant approved by the Board of Supervisors;
(5) Any mixed-residential-commercial development which will be assisted by Community Development Block Grant
funds approved by the Board of Supervisors in which all of the housing units shall be affordable to low-income households for a
minimum of 40 years and for which an environmental review application and site permit application have been filed prior to the
effective date of this ordinance which enacted the provisions of this Section;
(6) Any development authorized pursuant to a Planned Unit Development, as provided for by City Planning Code
Section 304, providing for a total of 500 or more additional units of housing, provided such development first received a Planned
Unit Development authorization prior to November 4, 1986. Such Planned Unit Development may be amended from time to time by
the Planning Commission, but in no event shall any such amendment increase the amount of office space allowed for the
development beyond the amount approved by the Planning Commission prior to November 4, 1986.
(m) "Produced" shall mean, with regard to an affordable housing unit, that the housing unit is issued a first
construction document, as defined in San Francisco Building Code sec. 107A.13.1.
(n) (h) "Project authorization" shall mean the authorization issued by the Planning Department pursuant to
Sections 321 and 322 of this Code.
(o) (i) "Replacement office space" shall mean, with respect to a development exempted by Subsection (g)(6) of
this Section, that portion of the additional office space which does not represent a net addition to the amount of office space used
by the occupant's employees in San Francisco.
(p) (j) "Retail Use" shall mean supply of commodities on the premises including, but not limited to, stores,
shops, Restaurants, Bars, eating and drinking businesses, and Retail Sales and Services uses defined in Planning Code Section 102,
except for Hotels and Motels.
(g) (k) "Preexisting office space" shall mean office space used primarily and continuously for office use and not
accessory to any use other than office use for five years prior to Planning Commission approval of an office development project
which office use was fully legal under the terms of San Francisco law.
SEC. 321. OFFICE DEVELOPMENT: ANNUAL LIMIT.
(a) Limit.
(1) (A) No office development may be approved during any approval period if the additional office space in
that office development, when added to the additional office space in all other office developments previously approved during that
approval period, would exceed 950,000 square feet or any lesser amount resulting from the application of Section 321.1. To the
extent the total square footage allowed in any approval period is not allocated, the unallocated amount shall be carried over to the
next approval period.
(B) For the one-year approval period that commences in October 2020, the Large Cap Maximum shall be
permanently reduced by a percentage equal to the percentage by which the total of New Affordable Housing Units Produced in the
City during the five calendar years of 2015-2019 is less than the combined total of five years of the Annual RHNA Affordable Housing
Goal (i.e., 10,210 units). In no case shall operation of this Subsection (a)(1)(B) act to increase the office development permitted

(C) Thereafter, for the one-year approval period that commences in October 2021 and for all subsequent

annual approval periods, the Large Cap Maximum for each single year shall be permanently reduced by a percentage equivalent to the percentage by which New Affordable Housing Units Produced in the City during the single complete calendar year prior to the

pursuant to Subsection (a)(1)(A).

calendar year in which the approval period commenced is less than the annual RHNA Affordable Housing Goal. In no case shall operation of this Subsection (a)(1)(C) act to increase the office development permitted pursuant to Subsection (a)(1)(C).

- (2) The following amounts of additional office space shall count against the maximum set in Subsection (a)(1):
- (A) All additional office space in structures for which the first building or site permit is approved for issuance during the approval period and which will be located on land under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Port Commission or under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency; provided, however, that no account shall be taken of structures which are exempt under Section 320(g)(2);
- (B) The amount of added additional office space approved after the effective date of this ordinance in structures which are exempt under Section 320(g)(3);
- (C) All additional office space in structures owned or otherwise under the jurisdiction of the State of California, the federal government or any State, federal or regional government agency, which structures are found to be otherwise exempt from this Section 321 or Section 322 by force of other applicable law;
- (D) All additional office space in structures exempt under Section 320(g)(4) or 320(g)(6) or the last sentence of Section 175.1(b), or which satisfy the substantive terms of either of said exemptions but for which the first building or site permit is authorized or conditional use or variance approved by the Planning Commission after June 15, 1985 but before the effective date of this ordinance.

The additional office space described in Subsection (a)(2)(A) shall be taken into account with respect to all proposed office developments which are considered after the first site or building permit is approved for issuance for the described project. The additional office space described in Subsections (a)(2)(B) and (a)(2)(D) shall be taken into account with respect to all proposed office developments which are considered during the approval period and after the project or the added additional office space is first authorized or a conditional use or variance approved by the Planning Commission. The additional office space described in Subsection (a)(2)(C) shall be taken into account with respect to all proposed office developments which are considered during the approval period and after commencement of construction of the described structures. Modification, appeal or disapproval of a project described in this Section shall affect the amount of office space counted under this Section in the time and manner set forth for office developments in Section 321(c).

- (3) The Planning Department shall maintain and shall make available for reasonable public inspection a list showing:
- (A) All office developments and all projects subject to Section 321(a)(2) for which application has been made for a project authorization or building or site permit and, if applicable, the date(s) of approval and of approval for issuance of any building or site permit;
- (B) The total amount of additional office space and, if applicable, replacement office space, approved with respect to each listed development;
- (C) Approved office developments (i) which are subsequently disapproved on appeal; (ii) the permit for which expires or is cancelled or revoked pursuant to Subsection (d)(1) of this Section; or (iii) the approval of which is revoked pursuant to Subsection (d)(2) of this Section; and
 - (D) Such other information as the Department may determine is appropriate.
- (4) Not less than six months before the last date of the approval period, the Planning Department shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a written report, which report shall contain the Planning Commission's recommendation with respect to whether, based on the effects of the limitation imposed by this Section on economic growth and job opportunities in the City, the availability of housing and transportation services to support additional office development in the City, office vacancy and rental rates, and such other factors as the Commission shall deem relevant, there should continue to be a quantitative limit on additional office space after the approval period, and as to what amount of additional office space should be permitted under any such limit.
- (5) Every holder of a site permit issued on or after July 1, 1982 for any office development, as defined in Section 320(g) without regard to Subsections (g)(2) through (g)(5), shall provide to the Planning Commission reports containing data and information with respect to the following:
- (A) Number of persons hired for employment either in construction of the development or, to the extent such information is available to the permittee, by users of the completed building;
 - (B) The age, sex, race and residence, by City, of each such person;
- (C) Compensation of such persons, classified in \$5,000 increments, commencing with annualized compensation of \$10,000;
- (D) The means by which each such person most frequently travels to and from the place of employment.

 Such reports shall commence on October 1, 1985 and continue quarterly thereafter during the approved period. A report

containing information by quarter for the period between July 1, 1982 and the effective date of the ordinance shall be submitted not later than December 31, 1985. The Planning Commission shall have full access to all books, records and documents utilized by any

project sponsor in preparation of the written reports referred to above, and shall inspect such books, records and documents from time to time for purposes of authenticating information contained in such reports.

(6) Central SoMa Plan Area. This Subsection (a)(6) shall apply within the boundaries of the Central SoMa Special Use District, as established and described in Planning Code Sec. 249.78.

- (A) Additional Limitations on Office Development. No more than a total of 6,000,000 square feet of office space shall be approved in office developments within the Central SoMa Plan Area, after January 1, 2019, until a combined total of at least 15,000 new housing units have been Produced within the South of Market Neighborhood, as delineated in the Neighborhood Boundaries Map contained within the Department of City Planning's May 2011 "San Francisco Neighborhoods Socio-Economic Profiles" report, after January 1, 2019 (the "South of Market Neighborhood"). Space in individual projects that contain less than 50,000 square feet of office space shall neither be subject to, nor contribute to, the footage limit described in this Subsection (a)(6)(A).
- (B) <u>Jobs-Housing Balance Monitoring. On or before October 17, 2020, and on an annual basis</u> thereafter, the Planning Department shall publish an inventory of the number of housing units Produced that may be credited under this Subsection.
- (C) Central SoMa Incentive Reserve. Notwithstanding the limit specified in Subsection (a)(1), the Planning Commission may approve up to an additional 1,700,000 square feet in total of office space located in the Central SOMA Special Use District. A proposed office development may only be approved pursuant to this Subsection (a)(6)(C) if all of the following criteria are satisfied:
- (i) <u>The Preliminary Project Assessment application for the proposed office development was</u> submitted prior to September 11, 2019;
 - (ii) The proposed office development contains more than 49,999 square of additional office

space;

- (iii) <u>The amount of office space in the proposed office development exceeds the square</u> footage available pursuant to Subsection (a)(1) in the current approval period;
- (iv) <u>Any current or prior phase of the project of which the proposed office development is a</u> part satisfies any of the following criteria:
- (a) <u>Includes a parcel on-site or off-site in the South of Market Neighborhood of no</u> <u>less than 10,000 square feet to be deeded to the City for future development of affordable housing;</u>
- (b) <u>Includes community arts PDR space or neighborhood-serving retail space of no</u> less than 10,000 square feet that will be affordable to such tenants at no more than 60% of comparable market rent for no less than 30 years.
- (c) <u>Includes funding and construction of a new or replacement City public safety</u> facility of no less than 10,000 square feet on-site or off-site in the South of Market Neighborhood.
- (v) <u>Approval of the proposed office development would not cause the total amount of additional office development approved in the Central SoMa Plan Area to exceed the 6,000,000 square foot total allowed by Subsection (a)(6)(A).</u>
- (7) Office Jobs/Affordable Housing Balance Incentive Reserve. At the election of a project sponsor, the Planning Commission may grant an authorization for a proposed office development notwithstanding the limit specified in Subsection (a)(1) if all of the following criteria are satisfied:
 - (A) The proposed office development contains more than 49,999 square of additional office space.
- (B) The proposed project of which the office development is a component includes development of New Affordable Housing units in an amount no less than 100% of the New Affordable Housing Units required to house the future employees of the proposed project's office development in accordance with the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio, and such units are either: (a) on-site, or (b) located off-site within a Community of Concern as designated by the Board of Supervisors and developed pursuant to a requirement included in a development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor Section for the proposed office development. If the project sponsor elects to satisfy Section 415.5 of the Planning Code by payment of an Affordable Housing Fee to the City, then one-half (50%) of the New Affordable Housing Units credited to satisfaction of that inclusionary housing requirement by payment of the Fee in accordance with Subsection 515.5 (b)(C) shall also be counted toward satisfaction of this Subsection (a)(7)(B). For projects developed in multiple phases as provided in an approved development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor Section, the total of all New Affordable Housing Units required to be Produced by the development agreement in all phases shall be considered in evaluating a project sponsor's application for an allocation of office space pursuant to this Subsection (7) at any time.
- (C) No other City of San Francisco Affordable Housing Development Funding will be used to fund capital development costs of such affordable housing component of the project.

(8) Additional office space in projects approved pursuant to Subsections (a)(6)(C) and (a)(7) shall be deducted from the amount otherwise available pursuant to Subsection (a)(1) in equal annual increments of one-tenth of such approved additional office space per year over a ten year period. The first such deduction shall occur at the outset of the approval period that commences following approval of the proposed project, and the nine subsequent deductions shall occur annually at the outset of each approval period thereafter, until the proposed project's entire allocation of additional office space has been deducted from the ten subsequent approval periods.

(b) Guidelines.

- (1) During the approval period, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors and Board of Appeals on appeal from the Planning Commission shall approve, within the allowable limit, subject to Subsection (b)(2) of this Section, only those office developments which they shall determine in particular promote the public welfare, convenience and necessity, and shall be empowered under this Section to disapprove the remainder. The Planning Department shall issue to office developments so approved, in accord with Sections 320 through 323 of this Code, a project authorization.
- (2) The following proposed office developments, subject to all other applicable sections of this Code and other applicable law, shall be approved under this Section in preference to all others:
 - (A) All proposed developments to the extent approval is required by court order; and, thereafter,
- (B) Subject to Subsection (a)(1) of this Section, all proposed office developments which were approved by the Planning Commission during the approval period, but subsequently disapproved by any administrative appellate body or court, if and when said disapproval is later reversed.
- (3) In determining which office developments best promote the public welfare, convenience and necessity, the Board of Supervisors, Board of Appeals and Planning Commission shall consider:
- (A) Apportionment of office space over the course of the approval period in order to maintain a balance between economic growth, on the one hand, and housing, transportation and public services, on the other;
 - (B) The contribution of the office development to, and its effects on, the objectives and policies of the

General Plan;

- (C) The quality of the design of the proposed office development;
- (B) (D) The suitability of the proposed office development for its location, and any effects of the proposed office development specific to that location;
- (C) Whether the proposed project includes development of New Affordable Housing Units such that all of the following criteria are satisfied:
- (i) The New Affordable Housing units are on-site or located within a Community of Concern as designated by the Board of Supervisors;
- (ii) The New Affordable Housing Units will be developed pursuant to a requirement included in a development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor section for the proposed office development;
- (iii) The number of New Affordable Housing Units is no less than 100% of the New Affordable Housing Units required to house the future employees of the proposed project's office development in accordance with the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio.
- (E) The anticipated uses of the proposed office development, in light of employment opportunities to be provided, needs of existing businesses, and the available supply of space suitable for such anticipated uses;
 - (F) The extent to which the proposed development will be owned or occupied by a single entity;
- (G) The use, if any, of TDR by the project sponsor.
- Payments, other than those provided for under applicable ordinances, which may be made to a transit or housing fund of the City, shall not be considered.
- (D) The extent to which the project incorporates Community Improvements that exceed the requirements of zoning and City ordinances applicable to the project. "Community Improvement(s)" include construction, financing, land dedication, or land exchanges for the creation of any of the following facilities: community-serving facilities, including without limitation, childcare facilities, tot lots, community gardens, parks, indoor and outdoor neighborhood-oriented plazas and open space, neighborhood recreation centers, dog parks, public safety facilities, affordable space for community-serving retail services and food markets, and affordable space for community arts and cultural activities.
- (4) Reserve for Smaller Buildings. In each approval period at least 75,000 square feet of office development shall be reserved for buildings between 25,000 and 49,999 square feet in gross floor area of office development. To the extent the total square footage allowed under this Subsection in any approval period is not allocated, the unallocated amount shall be carried over to the next approval period and added only to the Reserve for Smaller Buildings.
- (5) With respect to any office development which shall come before the Board of Supervisors for conditional use review, that Board shall consider, in addition to those criteria made applicable by other provisions of law, the criteria specified in

Subsection (b)(3). As to any such office development, the decision of the Board of Supervisors with respect to the criteria specified in Subsection (b)(3) shall be a final administrative determination and shall not be reconsidered by the Planning Commission or Board of Appeals.

(6) The Planning Commission shall establish procedures for coordinating review of project authorization applications under Section 322 with review under Section 309 of this Code. The Commission may hold hearings under Sections 309 and 322 in such sequence as it may deem appropriate, but may not issue any project authorization until the requirements of Section 309 have been satisfied.

(c) Appeal and Modification.

- (1) If an approved office development is disapproved, or if a previously unapproved office development is approved, by a court or appellate agency, the list described in Subsection (a)(3) of this Section shall be revised accordingly at the time that the period for rehearing before the appellate body in question shall have lapsed. Approval on appeal of any office development, if conditioned on disapproval of another office development which was previously approved, shall not be effective before the time for rehearing with respect to the disapproval shall have lapsed.
- (2) The amount of additional office space of any development shall not count against the maximum for the approval period, beginning from the time the office development loses its approved status on the Planning Department list under Subsection (c)(1); provided, however, that if a decision disapproving an office development permits construction of a part of the project, the permitted additional office space only shall continue to count against the maximum, unless and until all building or site permits for the development expire or are cancelled, revoked or withdrawn.
- (3) Any modification of an approved office development, including, without limitation, modification by a court or administrative appellate agency, shall be governed by this Subsection, subject, in the case of a court order, to Subsection (b)(2)(A).
- (A) Any office development which is modified for any reason after it is first approved so as to increase its amount of additional office space shall lose its approved status on the list described in Subsection (a)(3) at the time such modification is approved, and may be approved as modified only subject to the limits of Subsection (a)(1). Such a modified development shall not be constructed or carried out based on its initial approval. Approval on appeal of such a modified development, if approval would violate the maximum set forth in Subsection (a)(1) of this Section but for disapproval of another previously approved office development, shall not be effective, nor grounds for reliance, until the time for rehearing with respect to the disapproval shall have lapsed.
- (B) An approved office development may be modified so as to reduce the amount of additional office space, subject to all authorizations otherwise required by the City. No additional office space shall become available for any other development during the approval period on account of such a modification, unless the modification is required by any appellate administrative agency or a court, in which case additional office space shall become available when the time for rehearing has lapsed.

(d) Unbuilt Projects; Progress Requirement.

- (1) The maximum amount of additional office space for the approval period shall be increased by the amount of such space included in office developments which were previously approved during the period but for which during such period an issued site or building permit has been finally cancelled or revoked, or has expired, with the irrevocable effect of preventing construction of the office development.
- (2) Construction of an office development shall commence within 18 months of the date the project is first approved, or, in the case of development in the C-3-O(SD) District the development shall commence within three (3) years. Notwithstanding the above provision, office projects larger than 500,000 gross square feet in the C-3-O(SD) District shall commence construction within five (5) years. Failure to begin work within that period, or thereafter to carry the development diligently to completion, shall be grounds to revoke approval of the office development. Neither the Department of Building Inspection nor the Board of Appeals shall grant any extension of time inconsistent with the requirements of this Subsection (d)(2).
- (3) The Department of Building Inspection shall notify the Planning Department in writing of its approval for issuance and issuance of a site or building permit for any office development, and for any development under the jurisdiction of the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco or the Port Commission subject to Section 321(a)(2), and of the revocation, cancellation, or expiration of any such permit.
- (e) Rules and Regulations. The Planning Commission shall have authority to adopt such rules and regulations as it may determine are appropriate to carry out the purposes and provisions of this Section and Sections 320, 322 and 323.

SEC. 322. PROCEDURE FOR ADMINISTRATION OF OFFICE DEVELOPMENT LIMIT.

(a) Project Authorization Required. During the approval period, every site or building permit application for an office development must, before final action on the permit, include a copy of a project authorization for such office development, certified as accurate by the Planning Department. No such application shall be considered complete and the Department of Building Inspection shall not issue any such site or building permit unless such a certified copy is submitted. No site or building permit shall be

issued for an office development except in accordance with the terms of the project authorization for such office development. Any such site or building permit which is inconsistent with the project authorization shall be invalid.

- (b) Application for Project Authorization. During the approval period, an applicant for approval of an office development shall file an application for a project authorization with the Planning Department contemporaneously with the filing of an application for environmental evaluation for such development. Such application shall state such information as the Planning Department shall require; provided, however, that an application for a project authorization for each office development for which an environmental evaluation application has been filed prior to the effective date of this Section, shall be deemed to have been filed effective as of the date such environmental evaluation application was filed.
 - (c) Processing of Applications.
- (1) The approval period shall be divided into such review periods as the Planning Commission shall provide by rule. The first review period shall commence on the effective date.
- (2) Applications for project authorizations shall be considered by the Planning Commission during a specific review period in accordance with the following procedures:
- (A) During a specific review period the Planning Commission shall consider all project authorization applications for which, prior to the first day of such review period, a final Environmental Impact Report has been certified, or a final Negative Declaration has been issued, or other appropriate environmental review has been completed; provided, however, that during the first review period, the Planning Commission shall consider only those office developments for which (i) an environmental evaluation application and a site or building permit application were submitted prior to June 1, 1985, or (ii) a draft environmental impact report or a preliminary negative declaration was published prior to the effective date.
- (B) The Planning Commission may hold hearings on all project authorization applications assigned to a specific review period before acting on any such application.
- (C) In reviewing project authorization applications, the Planning Commission shall apply the criteria set forth in Section 321, and shall, prior to the end of such a review period, approve, deny, or, with the consent of the applicant, continue to the next subsequent review period each such application based on said criteria.
- (D) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or Section 321, the Planning Commission may at any time, after a noticed hearing, deny or take other appropriate action with respect to any application for a project authorization as to which environmental review, in the judgment of the Commission, has not been or will not be completed in sufficient time to allow timely action under applicable law.
- (E) Any project authorization application which is denied by the Planning Commission, unless such denial is reversed by the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors, shall not be resubmitted for a period of one year after denial.
- (d) Appeal of Project Authorization. The Planning Commission's determination to approve or deny the issuance of a project authorization may be appealed to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the Commission's issuance of a dated written decision pursuant to the procedural provisions of Section 308.2 of this Code, except in those <code>instancescases</code> where <code>either(i)</code> a conditional use application was filed-, or (ii) the project would proceed under terms of a development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor section. In cases in which a conditional use application was filed-such case, the decision of the Planning Commission may be appealed only to the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 308.1 of this Code. The decision on the project authorization by the Board of Appeals or Board of Supervisors shall be the final administrative determination as to all matters relating to the approval of the office development that is the subject of the project authorization, except for matters, not considered in connection with the project authorization, which arise in connection with a subsequent building or site permit application for the development in question.
- (e) Modification of Project Authorization. The Planning Commission may approve a modified project authorization, after a noticed hearing, during the review period in which the initial project authorization was approved or a subsequent review period. Approval or denial of a modified project authorization shall be subject to appeal in accord with Subsection (d).
- (f) No Right to Construct Conveyed. Neither approval nor issuance of a project authorization shall convey any right to proceed with construction of an office development, nor any right to approval or issuance of a site or building permit or any other license, permit, approval or authorization which may be required in connection with said office development.

Office Development Annual Limitation ("Annual Limit") Program

The Office Development Annual Limit (Annual Limit) Program became effective in 1985 with the adoption of the Downtown Plan Amendments to the Planning Code (Sections 320–325) and was subsequently amended by Propositions M (1986) and C (1987). The Program defines and regulates the allocation of any office development project that exceeds 25,000 gross square feet (gsf) in area. However, pursuant to Proposition O (2016), office development within the Hunters Point Shipyard Phase 2 and Candlestick Point areas is not subject to this Program.

A total of 950,000 gsf of office development potential becomes available for allocation in each approval period, which begins on October 17th every year. Of the total new available space, 75,000 gsf is reserved for Small Allocation projects (projects with between 25,000 and 49,999 gsf of office space), and the remaining 875,000 gsf is available for Large Allocation projects (projects with at least 50,000 gsf of office space). Any available office space not allocated in a given year is carried over to subsequent years.

This document reflects the status of the Annual Limit Program, including current availability and summaries of previously approved and pending projects.

Information in this document was last updated on July 10, 2020. Inquiries should be directed to Corey Teague at (415) 575-9081 or corey.teague@sfgov.org.

Summary of Key Figures										
	Current Availability	752,624 gsf	Pending Availability	-38,747 gsf	Pipeline Availability	-105,747 gsf				
Small Allocation Projects (<50,000 gsf of office space)	Current total square foots allocation.	age available for	e footage less 791,371	Currently available squar gsf of pending* projects a application** projects.	,					
	Current Availability	24,949 gsf	Pending Availability	-4,646,452 gsf	Pipeline Availability	-5,244,175 gsf				
Large Allocation Projects (>50,000 gsf of office space)	Current total square foots allocation.	age available for	Currently available squar 4,671,401 gsf of pending	•	Currently available squar 4,671,401 gsf of pending gsf of pre-application** pi	* projects and 597,723				

^{*} A "pending project" is one for which an office allocation application has been submitted but not yet acted upon.

^{**} A "pre-application" project is one for which an environmental review application, preliminary project assessment application, or other similar application has been submitted but for which no office allocation application has yet been submitted.

PENDING OFFICE PROJECTS*

*Projects that have submitted an application (B or OFA) pursuant to Planning Code Section 321 (Office Development Annual Limit) but on which no Commission action has yet ocurred.

Small Office C	ар	1					
Case No.	Address	Sq. Ft.	Status	Staff	Comments		
2014.0154	1800 Mission Street	49,999	OFA filed on 1/27/15	Rich Sucre	Conversion in the Armory.		
				Esmeralda	5-story addition to existing 1-story building. (Central SoMa		
2015-010219	462 Bryant Street	49,990	OFA filed on 12/20/16	Jardines	Project)		
					Demo existing commercial building and construct new 65-ft, six-		
				Ella	story office and retail sales/food service building. (Central		
2016-004392	531-535 Bryant Street	47,810	OFA filed on 3/2/17	Samonsky	SoMa Project)		
				Monica			
2019-015122	444 Townsend Street	49,240	OFA filed on 8/8/19	Giacomucci	Convert first and second floors of existing building to office.		
				Ella			
2018-017279	501 Tunnel Avenue	49,999	OFA filed on 7/10/19	Samonsky	New office space for Recology regional HQ.		
					New building with approx. 150,000sf lab use and less than 50k		
2018-014357	1450 Owens Street	49,950	OFA filed on 6/18/19	Mat Snyder	sf office space. (OCII - Mission Bay)		
				Alex			
2019-011944	660 3rd Street	36,699	OFA filed on 5/30/19	Westhoff	Legalize first and second floor office space in existing building.		
2018-010838	543 Howard Street	49,500	OFA filed on 4/25/19	Nick Foster	Addition to an existing office building.		
					Five-story office building with ground floor retail, through vertical		
				Alex	addition to existing one-story building. Building 1 ("Townsend		
2019-023623	130 Townsend Street	34,120	OFA filed on 12/30/19	Westhoff	Building") of two-building project on property site.		
					Five-story office building with ground floor PDR, new		
				Alex	construction. Building 2 ("Stanford Building") of two-building		
2019-023623	130 Townsend Street	46,464	OFA filed on 12/30/19	Westhoff	project on property site.		
					Demo existing structures and new construction of 17-story		
					mixed-use hotel and office tower; also includes construction of		
2019-017481	530 Sansome Street	40,000	OFA filed on 12/26/19	Nick Foster	new Fire Station 13.		
					Existing 55,759sf of office on-site, project to demo adjacent 1-		
				Samantha	story retail building and construct horizontal and penthouse		
2020-001410	545 Sansome Street	49,999	OFA filed on 2/3/20	Updegrave	addition.		
					Existing lot will be split into two with two buildings constructed.		
				Ella	Lot A (aka 298 Ritch St.) will include 7-story mixed-use building		
2019-020057	424 Brannan Street	47,090	OFA filed on 2/13/20	Samonsky	with PDR at ground floor and below grade and retail at ground		

Subtotal		791,371			
2020-005897	233 Geary Street	42,992	OFA filed on 6/17/20	Asbagh	conversion of retail at floors 5-7 to office.
				Claudine	office and would add to the 51,337 sf of "existing, legal office" (though still TBD on existing legal status); Note: this application supersedes prior application under 2018-007289 (49,999 sf) for
2020-005255	474 Bryant Street	49,999	OFA filed on 5/25/20	Rich Sucre	Demo existing buildings, adjust lot line and construct two new 7-story buildings with 6 floors of office over ground floor PDR Conversion of existing retail to office; floors 4-8 proposed for
2020-005255	474 Bryant Street	49,999	OFA filed on 5/25/20	Rich Sucre	Demo existing buildings, adjust lot line and construct two new 7- story buildings with 6 floors of office over ground floor PDR
2019-020057	424 Brannan Street	47,521	OFA filed on 2/13/20	Ella Samonsky	Existing lot will be split into two with two buildings constructed. Lot B (aka 258 Ritch St.) will include 7-story mixed-use building with PDR at ground floor

Large Office Cap					
Case No.	Address	Sq. Ft.	Status	Staff	Comments
2014-001272	Pier 70 (Forest City Only)	1,753,702	Planning Commission approvals on 8/24/17	Rich Sucre	SF Port project. Office allocation will be provided automatically on a per-permit basis, at the time of issuance of each building permit.
2013.0208	SWL 337 ("Mission Rock")	1,300,000	Planning Commission approvals on 10/5/17	Rich Sucre	SF Port project. Office allocation will be provided automatically on a per-permit basis, at the time of issuance of each building permit.
2012.0640	598 Brannan Street	211,601	Phase 2	Rich Sucre	Phase 2 (Record No. 2012.0640OFA-02)
2017-000663	610-698 Brannan Street	676,802	Phase 2 (aka Phase 1b and 1c)	Ella Samonsky	Phase 2 (May have different Case No. in future).
2015-009704	505 Brannan Street	165,000	OFA filed on 3/6/18.	Ella Samonsky	"Phase II" addition (165', 11 stories) of office space onto an approved 85' "Phase I" office building approved by the Planning Commission on 12/11/14. With this newly planned addition, total building height would now be 250' and contain a total of approx. 300,000sf (Central SoMa Project).
2010 000704	000 Brainian Greet	100,000	OFA-02 filed 2/6/20 for	Camonoky	Occident Control Projects.
2005.0759	725-735 Harrison	295,000	Phase 2	Xinyu Liang	Phase 2 (May have different Case No. in future).
2020-005610	490 Brannan Street	269,296	OFA filed on 6/12/20	Rich Sucre	"Wells Fargo" Key Development Site; demolition of existing 1- story commercial building and parking lot, new construction of 12-story, 185-foot tall mixed-use building with office, arts/PDR, retail and child-care
Subtotal		4,671,401			

PRE-APPLICATION OFFICE PROJECTS*

*Projects that have submitted a pre-application (PPA or ENV) but on which no Office Allocation (OFA) entitlement application has been yet submitted

Small Office C	ар				
Case No.	Address	Sq. Ft.	Status	Staff	Comments
2014.1616	1200 Van Ness Ave	27,000	EE filed on 9/21/15.	Mary Woods	Exact office square footage TBD.
2016-000346	Pier 70 (Orton)	40,000	CEQA clearance issued 7/6/17.	Don Lewis	Conversion of existing buildings to office.
Subtotal		67,000			

Large Office Cap					
Case No.	Address	Sq. Ft.	Status	Staff	Comments
					Proposed project would involve construction
					of up to approximately 5.3 million gross
					square feet in a mixed commercial office,
					laboratory, PDR, and hotel use. Most new
					buildings would range in height of 65-180 ft,
2017-011878	1201A Illinois Street	597,723	EE filed on 9/15/17.	Rachel Schuett	with one building at 300-ft.
Subtotal		597,723			

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Small" Office Annual Limit	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
1985-1986	0	75,000	75,000	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1986-1987	75,000	75,000	150,000	1199 Bush	1985.244	46,645	46,645	
1987-1988	103,355	75,000	178,355	3235-18th Street	1988.349	45,350	45,350	aka 2180 Harrison Street
1988-1989	133,005	75,000	208,005	2601 Mariposa	1988.568	49,850	49,850	
1989-1990	158,155	75,000	233,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1990-1991	233,155	75,000	308,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1991-1992	308,155	75,000	383,155	1075 Front	1990.568	32,000	32,000	
1992-1993	351,155	75,000	426,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1993-1994	426,155	75,000	501,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1994-1995	501,155	75,000	576,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1995-1996	576,155	75,000	651,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1996-1997	651,155	75,000	726,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1997-1998	726,155	75,000	801,155	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1998-1999	801,155	75,000	876,155	1301 Sansome	1998.362	31,606	31,606	
1999-2000	844,549	75,000	919,549	435 Pacific	1998.369	32,500		
				2801 Leavenworth	200.459	40,000		
				215 Fremont	1998.497	47,950		
				845 Market	1998.090	49,100	169,550	
2000-2001	749,999	75,000	824,999	530 Folsom	2000.987	45,944		
				35 Stanford	2000.1162	48,000		
				2800 Leavenworth	2000.774	34,945		
				500 Pine	2000.539	44,450	173,339	See also 350 Bush Street - Large
2001-2002	651,660	75,000	726,660	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2002-2003	726,660	75,000	801,660	501 Folsom	2002.0223	32,000	32,000	
2003-2004	769,660	75,000	844,660	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2004-2005	844,660	75,000	919,660	185 Berry Street	2005.0106	49,000	49,000	
2005-2006	870,660	75,000	945,660	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2006-2007	945,660	75,000	1,020,660	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2007-2008	1,020,660	75,000	1,095,660	654 Minnesota	no case number	43,939	0	UCSF
2008-2009	1,095,660	75,000	1,170,660	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2009-2010	1,170,660	75,000	1,245,660	660 Alabama Street	2009.0847	39,691	39,691	
2010-2011	1,205,969	75,000	1,280,969	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2011-2012	1,280,969	75,000	1,355,969	208 Utah / 201 Potrero	2011.0468	48,732		EN Legitimization
				808 Brannan Street	2012.0014	43,881		EN Legitimization

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Small" Office Annual Limit	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
				275 Brannan Street	2011.1410	48,500		
				385 7th/1098 Harrison	2011.1049	42,039	1	EN Legitimization
				375 Alabama Street	2012.0128	48,189	231,341	EN Legitimization
2012-2013	1,124,628	75,000	1,199,628	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2013-2014	1,199,628	75,000	1,274,628	3130 20th Street	2013.0992	32,081		
				660 3rd Street	2013.0627	40,000	72,081	
2014-2015	1,202,547	75,000	1,277,547	340 Bryant Street	2013.1600	47,536		
				101 Townsend Street	2014-002385	41,206	1	
				2101 Mission Street	2014.0567	46,660	135,402	
2015-2016	1,142,145	75,000	1,217,145	135 Townsend Street	2014.1315	49,995		
				360 Spear Street	2013.1511	49,992	1	aka 100 Harrison St
				1125 Mission Street	2015-000509	35,842	135,829	Approved 12/17/15, Motion No. 19538
2016-2017	1,081,316	75,000	1,156,316	300 Grant Avenue	2015-000878	29,703		Motion No. 19813
				2525 16th Street	2015-011529	43,569	1	Motion No. 19799
				144 Townsend Street	2015-017998	42,510	1	Motion No. 19846
				1088-1090 Sansome Street	2016-010294	49,814	1	Motion No. 19889
				77-85 Federal Street	2012.1410	49,840	215,436	Motion No. 19996
2017-2018	940,880	75,000	1,015,880	945 Market Street	2017-011465	47,552		
				120 Stockton Street	2016-016161	49,999	1	
				345 4th Street	2017-001690	49,901	1	
				420 Taylor Street	2017-016476	38,791	186,243	
2018-2019	829,637	75,000	904,637	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2019-2020	904,637	75,000	979,637	865 Market Street	2018-007267	49,999		
				2300 Harrison Street	2016-010589	27,017		
				2 Henry Adams Street	2013.1593	49,999	Ì	Motion No. 20642
				701 Harrison Street	2018-008661	49,999		Motion No. 20698
				30 Van Ness Avenue	2017-008051	49,999	227,013	Motion No. 20719
					Total	1,916,315	Î	-

¹ Each approval period begins on October 17

² Carried over from previous year

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Large" Office Annual Limit ³	Reduction per Section 321.1	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
1985-1986	0	875,000	(475,000)	400,000	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1986-1987	400,000	875,000	(475,000)	800,000	600 California	1986.085	318,030		
					235 Pine	1984.432	147,500		
					343 Sansome	1985.079	160,449	625,979	
1987-1988	174,021	875,000	(475,000)	574,021	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1988-1989	574,021	875,000	(475,000)	974,021	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1989-1990	974,021	875,000	(475,000)	1,374,021	150 California	1987.613	195,503	195,503	
1990-1991	1,178,518	875,000	(475,000)	1,578,518	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1991-1992	1,578,518	875,000	(475,000)	1,978,518	300 Howard	1989.589	382,582	382,582	aka 199 Fremont Street
1992-1993	1,595,936	875,000	(475,000)	1,995,936	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1993-1994	1,995,936	875,000	(475,000)	2,395,936	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1994-1995	2,395,936	875,000	(475,000)	2,795,936	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1995-1996	2,795,936	875,000	(475,000)	3,195,936	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
1996-1997	3,195,936	875,000	(475,000)	3,595,936	101 Second	1997.484	368,800	368,800	
1997-1998	3,227,136	875,000	(37,582)	4,064,554	55 Second Street	1997.215	283,301		aka One Second Street
					244-256 Front	1996.643	58,650		aka 275 Saramento Street
					650 Townsend	1997.787	269,680		aka 699-08th Street
					455 Golden Gate	1997.478	420,000		State office building - see also Case No. 1993.707
					945 Battery	1997.674	52,715		
					475 Brannan	1997.470	61,000		
					250 Steuart	1998.144	540,000	1,685,346	aka 2 Folsom/250 Embarcadero
1998-1999	2,379,208	875,000	0	3,254,208	One Market	1998.135	51,822		
					Pier One	1998.646	88,350		Port office building
					554 Mission	1998.321	645,000		aka 560/584 Mission Street
					700 Seventh	1999.167	273,650		aka 625 Townsend Street
					475 Brannan	1999.566	2,500	1,061,322	addition to previous approval - 1997.470
1999-2000	2,192,886	875,000	0	3,067,886	670 Second	1999.106	60,000		
					160 King	1999.027	176,000		
					350 Rhode Island	1998.714	250,000		revoked 87,700 sf during 2019-2020
					First & Howard	1998.902	854,000		First & Howard bldg #2 (405 Howard), #3 (505-525 Howard) & #4 (500 Howard)
					235 Second	1999.176	180,000		
					500 Terry Francois	2000.127	280,000		Mission Bay 26a
					550 Terry Francois	2000.329	225,004	0.470.504	Mission Bay 28
2000-2001	889,382	875,000	0	1,764,382	899 Howard First & Howard	1999.583 1998.902	153,500 295,000	2,178,504	First & Howard bldg #1 (400 Howard)

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Large" Office Annual Limit ³	Reduction per Section 321.1	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
					550 Terry Francois	2000.1293	60,150	355,150	Additional allocation (see also 2000.329)
2001-2002	1,409,232	875,000	0	2,284,232	350 Bush	2000.541	344,500		See also 500 Pine Street - Small
					38-44 Tehama	2001.0444	75,000		
					235 Second	2000.319	64,000		modify 1999.176
					250 Brannan	2001.0689	113,540		
					555 Mission	2001.0798	549,000		
					1700 Owens	2002.0300	0	1,146,040	Alexandria District - West Campus (160,100)
2002-2003	1,138,192	875,000	0	2,013,192	7th & Mission GSA	No Case	514,727	514,727	Federal Building
2003-2004	1,498,465	875,000	0	2,373,465	Presidio Dig Arts	No Case	839,301	839,301	Presidio Trust
2004-2005	1,534,164	875,000	0	2,409,164	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2005-2006	2,409,164	875,000	0	3,284,164	201 16th Street	2006.0384	430,000	430,000	aka 409/499 Illinois
2006-2007	2,854,164	875,000	0	3,729,164	1500 Owens	2006.1212	0		Alexandria District - West Campus (158,500)
					1600 Owens	2006.1216	0		Alexandria District - West Campus (228,000)
					1455 Third Street/455 Mission Bay South Blvd/450 South Street	2006.1509	0		Alexandria District - North Campus (373,487)
					1515 Third Street	2006.1536	0		Alexandria District - North Campus (202,893)
					650 Townsend	2005.1062	375,151		
					120 Howard	2006.0616	67,931		
					535 Mission	2006.1273	293,750	736,832	
2007-2008	2,992,332	875,000	0	3,867,332	100 California	2006.0660	76,500		revoked 76,500 sf (ALL) during 2019- 2020
					505-525 Howard	2008.0001	74,500		Additional allocation for First & Howard Building #3
					680 Folsom Street	No Case	117,000		Redevelopment - Yerba Buena
					Alexandria District	2008.0850	1,122,980		Establishes Alexandria Mission Bay Life Sciences and Technology Development District ("Alexandria District") for which previously allocated office space and future allocations would be limited to 1,350,000 gsf to be distributed among designated buildings within district.
					600 Terry Francois	2008.0484	0		Alexandria District - East Campus (312,932)

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Large" Office Annual Limit ³	Reduction per Section 321.1	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
					650 Terry Francois	2008.0483	0		Alexandria District - East Campus (291,367)
					1450 Owens	2008.0690	0	1,390,980	Alexandria District - West Campus (61,581)
2008-2009	2,476,352	875,000	0	3,351,352	No Projects	N/A	0	0	
2009-2010	3,351,352	875,000	0	4,226,352	850-870 Brannan Street	2009.1026	138,580		aka 888 Brannan Street
					222 Second Street	2006.1106	430,650	569,230	LEED
2010-2011	3,657,122	875,000	0	4,532,122	350 Mission Street	2006.1524	340,320		
					Alexandria District	n/a	200,000		under terms of Motion 17709
					Treasure Island	2007.0903	0	540,320	Priority Resolution Only
2011-2012	3,991,802	875,000	0	4,866,802	Alexandria District	n/a	27,020		under terms of Motion 17709
					850-870 Brannan St	2011.0583	113,753		aka 888 Brannan Street
					444 DeHaro St	2012.0041	90,500		
					460-462 Bryant St	2011.0895	59,475		
					185 Berry St	2012.0409	101,982		aka China Basin Landing; revoked 101,982 sf (ALL) during 2019-2020
					100 Potrero Ave.	2012.0371	70,070		EN Legitimization
					601 Townsend Street	2011.1147	72,600	535,400	EN Legitimization; revoked 72,600 sf (ALL) during 2019-2020
2012-2013	4,331,402	875,000	0	5,206,402	101 1st Street	2012.0257	1,370,577		Transbay Tower; aka 425 Mission
					181 Fremont Street	2007.0456	404,000		new office/residential building
					1550 Bryant Street	2012.1046	108,399		EN Legitimization
					1100 Van Ness Ave	2009.0885	242,987		CPMC Cathedral Hill MOB
					3615 Cesar Chavez	2009.0886	94,799		CPMC St. Luke's MOB
					345 Brannan Street	2007.0385	102,285		
					270 Brannan Street	2012.0799	189,000		
					333 Brannan Street	2012.0906	175,450		
					350 Mission Street	2013.0276	79,680		Salesforce (No. 2)
					999 Brannan Street	2013.0585	143,292		EN Legitimization - Dolby
					1800 Owens Street	2012.1482	700,000	3,610,469	Mission Bay Block 40
2013-2014	1,595,933	875,000	0	2,470,933	300 California Street	2012.0605	56,459		revoked 56,459 sf (ALL) during 2019- 2020
					665 3rd Street	2013.0226	123,700		
					410 Townsend Street	2013.0544	76,000		
					888 Brannan Street	2013.0493	10,000		AirBnB - See Also 2011.0583B
					81-85 Bluxome Street	2013.0007	55,000	321,159	
2014-2015	2,149,774	875,000	0	3,024,774	501-505 Brannan Street	2012.1187	137,446		
					100 Hooper Street	2012.0203	284,471		
					390 Main Street	2012.0722	137,286		MTC Project - Verified on 4/14/15

Amount Currently Available: 24,949

	Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Large" Office Annual Limit ³	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
I					250 Howard Street	2014-002085	766,745		aka Transbay Block 5 (195 Beale St)
ı					510 Townsend Street	2014.0679	269,063		

Amount Currently Available:

Approval Period ¹	Unallocated Sq. Ft. ²	"Large" Office Annual Limit ³	Reduction per Section 321.1	Adjusted Annual Limit	Project Address	Case No.	Project Allocation	Total Allocated	Comments
					901-925 Mission Street	2011.0409	633,500	2,228,511	5M (Motions 19467 & 19468)
2015-2016	796,263	875,000	0	1,671,263	MBS Blocks 29 & 31	2014-002701	0		GSW Event Center (Design Only)
					645 Harrison Street	2013.1545	98,964		
					1455 & 1515 3rd St	2008.0850	0		Uber/Alexandria (Design Only)
					50 1st St	2006.1523	1,057,549		Motion No. 19636
					875 Howard St	2015-009141	70,881	1,227,394	Motion No. 19700
2016-2017	443,869	875,000	0	1,318,869	633 Folsom St	2014.1063	90,102		
					1500 Mission Street	2014-000362	0	90,102	Motion No. 19887 - DNX Approval (City Gov't. Office Bldg Approx. 464,000 GSF)
2017-2018	1,228,767	875,000	0	2,103,767	1 De Haro Street	2015-015010	86,301	86,301	
2018-2019	2,017,466	875,000	0	2,892,466	598 Brannan Street	2012.0640	711,136		
					610 Brannan Street	2017-000663	1,384,578		Flower Mart
					88 Bluxome Street	2015-012490	775,000	2,870,714	Tennis Club
2019-2020	21,752	875,000	0	896,752	601 Townsend Street	2019-017636OTH; 2011.1147	(72,600)		Administrative Revocation
					100 California Street	2006.066	(76,500)		PC Revocation, Motion No. 20554
					300 California Street	2012.0605	(56,459)		PC Revocation, Motion No. 20555
					350 Rhode Island Street	1998.714	(87,700)		PC Revocation, Motion No. 20556
					185 Berry Street	2019-021980OTH; 2012.0409	(101,982)		Administrative Revocation
					725 Harrison Street	2005.0759	505,000		
					542-550 Howard St	2016-013312	275,746		Transbay Parcel F
					Pier 70 - Bldg 12	2014-001272	56,298		Pier 70 Permit Issued
					400 2nd Street	2012.1384	430,000		One Vassar
						Total	24,862,469	871,803	

¹ Each approval period begins on October 17

² Carried over from previous year

³ Excludes 75,000 gsf dedicated to "small" projects per Section 321(b)(4)

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
1986-1987	1985.244	1199 Bush	0280-031	46,645	11026	complete	1991	St. Francis Hospital
1987-1988	1988.349	3235-18th Street	3591-001/030	45,350	11451	complete		PG&E, aka 2180 Harrison Street
1988-1989	1988.568	2601 Mariposa	4016-001	49,850	11598	complete	1991	KQED
	1988.287	1501 Sloat	7255-002	39,000	11567	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 12/00
1990-1991	1990.238	350 Pacific	0165-006	45,718	13114	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 12/00
1991-1992	1990.568	1075 Front	0111-001	32,000	13381	complete	1993	
	1987.847	601 Duboce	3539-001	36,000	13254	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 12/00
1992-1993								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1993-1994								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1994-1995								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1995-1996								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1996-1997								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1997-1998								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1998-1999	1998.362	1301 Sansome	0085-005	31,606	14784	complete	1999	
1999-2000	1998.369	435 Pacific	0175-028	32,500	14971	complete	2003	
	2000.459	2801 Leavenworth	0010-001	40,000	15922	complete	2001	The Cannery
	1998.497	215 Fremont	3738-012	47,950	15939	complete	2002	
	1999.668	38-44 Tehama	3736-111	49,950	15967	doesn't count	n/a	reapproved as large project
	1998.090	845 Market	3705-09:18 into 3705-049	49,100	15949	complete	2006	Bloomingdale's
2000-2001	1999.821	178 Townsend	3788-012	49,002	16025	doesn't count	n/a	18mos exp 5/2/02; 2005.0470 new E & K appl for residential, building permit application no.200608290851 for residential submitted on 8/29/07; 9/4/08 CPC approves conversion to Residential (M17688) - Revoked on 1/23/09
	2000.987	530 Folsom	3736-017	45,944	16023	complete	2006	
	1999.300	272 Main	3739-006	46,500	16049	doesn't count	n/a	18mos exp 6/7/02; permit 200502185810 filed 2/05. 12/15/08 - Building Permit Application No. 200811136470 issued for demolition of two buildings on property. To be used for temp Transbay facility. REVOCATION LETTER ISSUED 3/16/09
	2000.1162	35 Stanford	3788-038	48,000	16070	complete	2007	
	2000.774	2800 Leavenworth	0011-007/008	34,945	16071	complete	2001	The Anchorage

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

		1						
Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
	2000.552	199 New Montgomery	3722-021	49,345	16104	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 1/6/05
								building permit application no. 200011014657 withdrawn on
	2000.1269	3433 Third	5203-23	42,000	16107	doesn't count	n/a	11/9/06. REVOCATION LETTER ISSUED 9/25/07
	1999.795	177 Townsend	3794-4,7	46,775	16122	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 1/6/05
			258-4 to					
	2000.539	500 Pine	9/033	44450	16113	complete	n/a	BPA No. 200011024683 complete as of 3/22/17.
								time limit for construction extended (see Case No.
					16118/164			2002.0363B). Project converted to residential use (see Case
	2000.986	150 Powell	327-22	39,174	23	doesn't count	n/a	No. 2006.1299)
	1998.281	185 Berry	3803-005	49,500	16143	doesn't count	n/a	new approval 2005
	2000.190	201 Second	3736-097	44,500	16148	doesn't count	n/a	converted to residential use
								converted to residential use - see 2004.0852 and building
	2000.660	35 Hawthorne	3735-047	40,350	16174	doesn't count	n/a	permit application no. 200509082369
	2000.122	48 Tehama	3736-084/085	49,300	16235	doesn't count	n/a	revoked at Planning Commission hearing on 6/9/11
			3789-	,				J J
	2000.723	639 Second	005/857:971	49,500	16241	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 1/6/05
			3789-	<u> </u>				
	1999.423	699 Second	004/857:971	49,500	16240	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 1/10/05
								6/28/07 - building permit application no. 200706285450
								submitted to revise project and reduce office space to approx.
2001-2002	2001.0050	3251 18th Street	3591-018	49,500	16451	doesn't count	n/a	10,000 gsf REVOCATION LETTER ISSUED 8/16/07
2002-2003	2002.0223	501 Folsom Street	3749-001	32,000	16516	complete	2006	
2003-2004								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2004-2005	2005.0106	185 Berry Street	3803-005	49,000	17070	complete	2008	
2005-2006								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
								Confirmed by UCSF via 7/13/2007 letter from UCSF and
2006-2007	No Case	654 Minnesota	1042-003 & 004	43,939	none	complete	2009	associated LoD
2007-2008								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

	Con No. 14 days Completing Charles Completing Completin							
Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
2008-2009	2006.1294	110 The Embarcadero	3715-002	41,940	17804	doesn't count	n/a	18mos exp 7/14/10 - E appealed to BoS and overturned on 3/17/09. Application withdrawn and case closed on 12/30/09.
2009-2010	2009.0847	660 Alabama Street	4020-002	39,691	17973	complete	2011	CFC for building permit application no. 201001144798 issued on 3/23/11
2010-2011								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2011-2012	2011.0468	208 Utah / 201 Potrero	3932-017	48,732	18608	complete	2012	BPA No. 201205090093
	2012.0014	808 Brannan Street	3780-004D	43,881	18559	complete	2013	BPA No. 201201031584
	2012.0128	375 Alabama Street	3966-002	48,189	18574	complete	2013	BPA No. 201209210308
	2011.1049	385 7th / 1098 Harrison	3754-017	42,039	18700	complete	2013	BPA No. 201212115895
	2011.1410	275 Brannan Street	3789-009	48,500	18672	complete	2013	BPA No. 201207164925
2012-2013								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2013-1014	2013.0992	3130 20th Street	4083-002	32,081	19188	complete	n/a	BPA No. 201409297604 issued 10/28/16.
	2013.0627	660 3rd Street	3788-008	40000	19234	complete	2015	BPA No. 201411252480 issued on 2/24/15.
2014-2015	2013.1600	340 Bryant Street	3764-061	47536	19311	complete	n/a	BPA 201305177189 issued 7/15/15.
	2014-002385 2014.0567	101 Townsend Street 2101 Mission Street	3794-015 3575-091	41,206 46,660	19338 19445	complete complete	2015 2018	BPA No. 201505055374 for change of use completed 9/10/15. BPA No. 201312033192 issued 11/3/15. CFC issued 5/10/18.
2015-2016	2014.1315	135 Townsend Street	3794-022	49,995	19517	complete	2017	BPA No. 201601086717 complete 3/10/17.
	2013.1511	360 Spear Street	3745-009	49,992	19515	under construction	n/a	BP No. 201809119777 issued on 9/28/18. awaiting final inspection and completion
	2015-000509	1125 Mission Street	3727-091	35,842	19538	complete	2017	BPA No. 201511021472 complete 3/14/17.
2016-2017	2015-000878	300 Grant Avenue	0287-014	29,703	19813	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201612275920 issued on 12/22/17.
		2525 16th Street	3966-001	43,569	19799	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201604185006 issued on 9/5/18. awaiting final inspection and completion
	2016-010294	1088-1090 Sansome Street	0135-009	49,814	19889	complete	2019	BPA No. 201910073788 complete 12/30/19
	2015-017998	144 Townsend Street	3788-009A	42,510	19846	complete	2019	BPA No. 201806263016 complete 1/8/19
	2012.1410	77-85 Federal Street	3774-444	49,840	19996	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201306200082 issued on 6/14/18.
2017-2018	2017-011465	945 Market Street	3704-240	47,552	20137	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201805017929 issued on 5/18/18. awaiting final inspection and completion
	2016-016161	120 Stockton Street	0313-017	49,999	20173	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201805048215 issued on 11/15/18.
	2017-001690	345 4th Street	3751-165	49,901	20222	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201807194942 issued on 7/30/19.

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
						under		BPA No. 201712146457 withdrawn; BPA No. 201901160492
	2017-016476	420 Taylor Street	0316-010	38,791	20289	construction	n/a	issued on 7/26/19.
2018-2019								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2019-2020	2018-007267	865 Market Street	3705-042	49,999	20591	approved	n/a	
	2016-010589	2300 Harrison Street	3593-001	27,017	20596	approved	n/a	awaiting permit to be filed

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Data	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
Date	Case No.	Address		Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
1986-1987	1986.085	600 California	0241-003 into 0241- 027	318,030	11077	complete	1992	
	1984.432	235 Pine	0267-015	147,500	11075	complete	1991	
	1984.274	33 Columbus	0195-004	81,300	11070	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 12/00
	1985.079	343 Sansome	0239-002	160,449	11076	complete	1991	16V6Red 12/00
1987-1988	1000.070	040 Garisonic	0200 002	100,440	11070	complete	1001	No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1988-1989	1984.199	524 Howard	3721-013	199,965	11683	doesn't count	n/a	reapproved in 1998 under Case No. 1998.843.
1900-1909	1304.133	024 Howard	0236-003 into 0236-	133,303	11003	docarr count	11/A	reapproved in 1990 under Gase No. 1990.040.
1989-1990	1987.613	150 California	019	195,503	11828	complete	2001	
1990-1991	1989.589	300 Howard	3719-005 into 3719- 018	382,582	13218	complete	2001	aka 199 Fremont Street
1991-1992	1000.000	00011011414	0.0	002,002	102.10	oompioto .	2001	No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1992-1993								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1993-1994								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1994-1995	1994.105	101 Second Street	3721-072	386,655	13886	doesn't count	n/a	Reapproved in 1997 under Case No. 1997.484.
1995-1996	10011100	101 0000114 041001	0.2.0.2	000,000		account count	.,,	No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
1000 1000			3721-72:75 into 3721-					, to the species approved Duning and council to the d
1996-1997	1997.484	101 Second Street	089	368,800	14454	complete	2000	
1997-1998	1997.215	55 Second Street	3708-019A/033/034 into 3708-096	283,301	14542	complete	2002	aka One Second Street
	1996.643	244-256 Front	0236-018	58,650	14601	complete	2001	aka 275 Sacramento Street
	1997.787	650 Townsend	3783-009	269,680	14520	complete	2001	aka 699-08th Street
	No Case	455 Golden Gate	0765-002/003	420,000	none	complete	1998	State office building. See also case no. 1993.707.
	1997.674	945 Battery	0135-001	52,715	14672	complete	1998	
	1997.470	475 Brannan	3787-031	61,000	14685	complete	2001	
			3741-028 into 3741-					
	1998.144	250 Steuart	035	540,000	14604	complete	2002	aka 2 Folsom/250 Embarcadero
1998-1999	1998.135	One Market	3713-006	51,822	14756	complete	2000	
	1998.843	524 Howard	3721-013	201,989	14801	doesn't count	n/a	revoked 6/11 under Case No. 2011.0503
	1998.646	Pier One	9900-001	88,350	none	complete	2003	Port office building

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
20.00	54.65 1.15.	71441.000	7	0.20		0.0.00	- Completion	
			3708-015/017/018 into					
	1998.321	554 Mission	3708-095 3799-001 into 3799-	645,000	14893	complete	2003	aka 560/584 Mission
	1999.167	700 Seventh	008	273,650	14895	complete	2006	aka 625 Townsend
		475 Brannan	3787-031	2,500	14884	complete		addition to previous approval - 1997.470
								project converted to residential - allocation revoked
		631 Folsom	3750-090	170,000	14750	doesn't count	n/a	12/00.
1999-2000		670 Second	3788-043/044	60,000	14907	complete	2001	
	1999.027	160 King	3794-025	176,000	14956	complete	2002	07.700 (7
	1998.714	350 Rhode Island	3957-001	250,000	14988	complete	2004	87,700sf Revoked by PC in 2019.
								18 mos exp 9/2/01. Includes 3 of 4 buildings at First & Howard (see bldg #1 - 400 Howard - below): bldg #2 -
								405 Howard (3737-030) - 460,000 gsf office -
								200002172133 - complete); bldg #3 - 505-525 Howard
								(3736-121/114) - 178,000 gsf office - 200610316514
								currently (8/4/08) under review by Planning (see also
								2008.0001 for additional allocation); bldg #4 -500
								Howard (3721-119) - 216,000 gsf office -
	1998.902	First & Howard	3721; 3736; 3737	854,000	15006	complete	2003	200006172952 - complete).

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
			0700 004 5-4- 0700					
	1999.176	235 Second	3736-061 into 3736- 123	180,000	15004	complete	2002	
			3838; 3839 into 8721-	,		'		
	2000.127	500 Terry Francois	001/010	280,000	15010	complete	2008	MB 26a
	1998.766	535 Mission	3721-068	252,000	15027	doesn't count	n/a	revoked and reapproved as residential
	1000 005	0404 D	4000 007	1.10.000	45044		,	project converted to residential - allocation revoked
	1998.635	2101 Bryant	4080-007 3839; 3840 into 8721-	148,000	15044	doesn't count	n/a	1/10/05
	2000.329	550 Terry Francois	001/011	225,004	15055	complete	2002	MB 28
	1999.583	899 Howard	3733-079	153,500	15062	complete	2005	
2000-2001	1998.902	First & Howard	3720-008	295,000	16069	complete	2008	First & Howard - Building #1 (400 Howard)
	2000.1293	550 Terry Francois	3839: 3840 into 8721- 001/011	60,150	16110	complete	2002	addition to 2000.329.
			3840; 3841 into 8721-					AKA MB 26 East. returned to cap for approval of
	2000.1295	Mission Bay 26/2	001-012	145,750	16111	doesn't count	n/a	2002.0301
	1999.603	555 Mission	3721-69.70.78	499.000	16130	doesn't count	n/a	project revised - allocation revoked and reapproved under Case No. 2007.0798.
	2000.277	801 Market	3705-48	112,750	16140	doesn't count	n/a	project abandoned per letter from sponsor
2001-2002	2000.541	350 Bush	269-2,2a,3,22	344,500	16273	complete	2019	Building permit application no. 200708078938 issued 12/19/14.
2001-2002	2000.341	38-44 Tehama	3736-111	75,000	16280	complete	2013	12/19/14.
				,				modify 1999.176 - convert warehouse from PDR to
	2000.319	235 Second	3736-61,62,64-67	64,000	16279	complete	2002	office.
	2001.0689	250 Brannan	3774-25	113,540	16285	complete	2002	
	2001.0798	555 Mission	3721-69,70,78-81, 120	549,000	16302	complete	2008	
	2002.0301	Mission Bay 42/4	8709-10	80,922	16397	doesn't count	n/a	revoked and reapproved as 2002.1216 (1600 Owens)
	2002.0300	1700 Owens	8709-007	0	16398	complete	2007	Alexandria District (160,100). West Campus. 164,828

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
2002-2003	No Case	7th/Mission GSA	3702-15	514,727	none	complete	2007	Federal Building
	2002.0691	499 Illinois/201-16th Street	3940-001	429,542	16483	doesn't count	n/a	revoked and reapproved as 2006.0384 (201 16th Street) MB Block X4
2003-2004	2001.1039	55 9th Street	3701-063	268,000	16760	doesn't count	n/a	200408111247 issued 5/19/05 - Authorization REVOKED by Planning Commission Motion Nos. 17521 and 17522 for proposal to convert project to residential use.
	2000.1229	Pier 30-32	3770-001	370,000	none	doesn't count	n/a	E, K & ! Cases created, no B case created. BCDC permit approved in 2003 and allocation made for accounting purposes, but permit never acted upon. 2/09 - 370,000 added back to cap because project does not appear to be moving forward.
	No Case	Presidio - Letterman Digital Arts		839,301	none	complete	2006	
2004-2005								No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2005-2006	2006.0384	201-16th Street	3940-001	430,000	17223	complete	2008	aka 1409-1499 Illinois/MB Block X-4. 18 mos exp 10/6/07. Project (200607186938) complete 11/19/08
2006-2007	2006.1212	1500 Owens	8709-006	0	17333	complete	2009	Alexandria District - West Campus (158,500); 200611298694 issued 5/24/07 (aka MBS Blk 41-43, Parcel 5). Under construction. Estimated completion in March 2009.
	2006.1216	1600 Owens	8709-004/010	0	17332	complete	2016	BPA 200711097802 completed 2/4/16.
	2006.1509	Alexandria District - North Campus (MB 26/1- 3; 1455 Third Street/455 Mission Bay South Blvd/450 South Street)	8721-012/8720- 011/016/017	0	17401	complete/under construction	n/a	Alexandria District - North Campus (373,487); aka MBS Blk 26, Parcels 1-3, project proposes 3 buildings building permit application no. 200704279921 (455 Mission Bay South Blvd.) COMPLETE on 11/17/09 for 5 story office/lab; 200705090778 (450 South Street) COMPLETE on 10/23/09 for "parking garage with 7 stories new building." BPA 201508245071 for 12-story office issued 11/2/16 and 201508245062 issued 11/3/16 for 7 story office/retail building.

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
Date	0436 140.	Audiess	ALIV	Oize	Motion	Otatus	Completion	
								Alexandria District - North Campus (202,893); aka MBS Blk 27, Parcel 1 see also 2006.1509. BPA
								200806265407 withdrawn 11/3/16; new BPA
								201508245062 issued 11/3/16 for 7 story office/retail
	2006.1536	1515 Third Street	8721-012	0	17400	under construction	n/a	building.
								18 mos exp 12/7/08. 200705151356 issued 2/20/08 -
								Conversion of existing structure into office - no major
	2005.1062	650 Townsend	3783-009	375,151	17440	complete	2009	construction required. Final Inspection (3/16/09)
	0000 0040	400.11	0747.040	07004	47400		,	
	2006.0616	120 Howard	3717-019	67931	17466	complete	n/a	Construction completed in 2012
								18 mos exp 2/2/09; 2/12/08 - 200508049463 issued by
								CPB on 8/21/08. Appealed to Board of Permit Appeals
								on 8/29/08 (Appeal No. 08-137) - appeal withdrawn and permit reinstated on 8/29/08. Separate permits
								issued for pile indicators, site cleanup and fencing.
	2006.1273	535 Mission	3721-068, 083	293750	17470	complete	n/a	10/24/08 - Construction started in early 2013.
			,			,		·
2007-2008	2006.0660	100 California	0236-017	76,500	17544	approved	n/a	Revoked by PC.
								18 mos exp 12/26/09. 200610316514 for new
								construction COMPLETED on 3/11/14. "First &
	0000 0004		0700 004 0044444	74.500	47044		,	Howard" bldg 3 - see 1998.902. 2005.0733 on file to
	2008.0001	505-525 Howard	3736-001:004/114/121	74,500	17641	complete	n/a	legalize existing surface parking lot.
	No Case	680 Folsom Street	3735-013	117,000	none	complete	n/a	Redevelopment (Yerba Buena) Establishes Alexandria Mission Bay Life Sciences and
								Technology Development District ("Alexandria District")
	2008.0850	Alexandria District	various	1122980	17709	approved	n/a	to consolidate previous and future allocations.
								Alexandria District - East Campus (312,932) -
	2008.0484	600 Terry Francois	8722-001	0	17710	approved	n/a	schematic design.
	2008.0483	650 Terry Francois						Alexandria District - East Campus (291,367) -
	2000.0400	occ rony manoois	8722-001	0	17711	approved	n/a	schematic design.
	2008.0690	1450 Owens	9700 000	0	17710		-/-	Alexandria District - West Campus (61,581) -
2008-2009			8709-006	0	17712	approved	n/a	schematic design as of 4/2011
2000-2009			0700					No Projects Approved During Allocation Period
2009-2010	2009.1026	850-870 Brannan Street	3780- 006/007/007A/072	138,580	18095	complete	2013	aka 888 Brannan Street

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
								NO ALLOCATION GRANTED YET. First 800,000 gsf
			Candlestick Point and					of office development within the Candlestick Point -
		Candlestick Point -	Hunter's Point					Hunter's Point Project Area to receive priority office allocation over all projects except the Transbay Transit
	2007.0946	Hunter's Point	Shipyard	800000	18102	approved	n/a	Tower or those within Mission Bay South.
	2006.1106	222 Second Street	3735-063	430650	18170	complete	n/a	BPA No. 200711309386
	2000.1100	ZZZ Second Street	3733-003	430030	16170	complete	II/a	additional allocation per terms of Motion 17709 by
2010-2011	No Case	Alexandria District	various	200000	17709	approved	n/a	Letter of Determination
2010 2011	2006.1524	350 Mission Street	3710-017	335000	18268	complete	n/a	
	2007.0903	Treasure Island	1939-001/002	0	18332	approved	n/a	Priority Resolution Only for 100,000gsf.
								additional allocation per terms of Motion 17709 by
2011-2012	No Case	Alexandria District	various	27020	17709	approved	n/a	Letter of Determination
	2011.0583	850-870 Brannan Street	3780-006, 007, 007A, and 072	113,753	18527	complete	2013	aka 888 Brannan Street
	2011.1147	601 Townsend Street	3799-001	72,600	18619	approved	n/a	Administrative Revocation
			0004.040	0.40.00=	40500		,	CPMC - Cat Hill MOB; rescinded & reallocated in 2013
	2009.0885	1100 Van Ness Ave	0694-010	242,987	18599	doesn't count	n/a	cycle
	2011.0895	460-462 Bryant St	3763-015A	59475	18685	complete	n/a	BPA No. 201312194664 issued on 5/22/14.
	2012.0041	444 DeHaro St	3979-001	90500	18653	complete		BPA No. 201312194626 issued on 12/31/13.
	2012.0409	185 Berry St	3803-005	101,982	18690	complete	n/a	Administrative Revocation
	2012.0371	100 Potrero Ave.	3920-001	70070	18704	complete	2013	EN Legitimization. BPA No. 201212286973 issued 5/6/13.
	2012.0371	100 Policio Ave.	3920-001	70070	10704	complete	2013	CPMC - St. Luke's MOB; rescinded & reallocated in
	2009.0886	3615 Cesar Chavez	6576-021	99,848	18595	doesn't count	n/a	2013 cycle
		oo to ooda. Onavez	00.0 02.	00,010			.,,	Transbay Tower; aka 425 Mission St. BPA No.
2012-2013	2012.0257	101 1st Street	3720-001	1,370,577	18725	complete	n/a	201303132080.
								BPA No. 201305015894 issued 12/26/13. TCOs issued
	0007.045		2000 201	224222	40704		00.40	on 5/10 and 8/13/19. BPA No. 202002205012 issued
	2007.0456	181 Fremont Street	0308-001	361038	18764	complete		to obtain final inspection.
	2012.1046	1550 Bryant Street	3923-006	108,399	18732	complete	2013	EN Legitimization. BPA No. 201302069627
								Mission Bay Block 40. BPA No. 201409045458 issued
	2012.1482	1800 Owens	8727-005	700,000	18807	complete	2017	11/12/15.
	2009.0885	1100 Van Ness Ave	0694-010	242,987	18890	complete	2019	CPMC - Cat Hill MOB; BPA 201112090400
	2009.0886	3615 Cesar Chavez	6576-021	94799	18886	under construction	n/a	CPMC - St. Luke's MOB

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
								BPA 200810275193 completed and CFC issued
	2007.0385	345 Brannan Street	3788-039	102285	19000	complete	2015	10/14/15
								BPA No. 201312174402 issued on 4/25/14. Foundation
								and Superstructure Addendum approved. Architectural
								Addendum under review by DBI/DPW/PUC.
	2012.0799	270 Brannan Street	3774-026	189000	18988	complete	2016	"Groundbreaking" in August 2014.
	0040 0000	000 D 01 1	0700 040	475.450	40050			BPA No. 201306280744 completed and CFC issued
	2012.0906	333 Brannan Street	3788-042	175,450	18952	complete	2015	10/14/15.
	2013.0276	350 Mission Street	3710-017	79,680	18956	complete	2017	Salesforce (No. 2). BPA No. 201108011461 complete 3/23/17.
	2013.0270	330 Mission Street	3710-017	79,000	10930	Complete		EN Legitimization. BPA No. 201306280728 issued
	2013.0585	999 Brannan Street	3782-003	143292	18950	complete		4/28/14.
2013-2014	2012.0605	300 California Street	0238-002	56.459	19034	approved		Revoked by PC.
2010 2011	2012.0000	ooo camonna caroot	0200 002	00,100	10001		10, 21	BPA No. 201311222636 issued on 12/31/13 to legalize
	2013.0226	665 3rd Street	3788-041	123,700	19012	complete		office space.
	2013.0544	410 Townsend Street	3785-002A	76,000	19062	complete	2015	BPA No. 201306260587 issued on10/29/15.
			3780-006, 007, 007A,					
	2013.0493	888 Brannan Street	and 072	10000	19049	complete	2014	AirBnB (No. 2) to convert GF parking to office.
								BPA No. 201404072588 completed and CFC issued on
	2013.0007	81-85 Bluxome Street	3786-018	55,000	19088	complete	2016	12/1/16.
2014-2015	2012.1187	501-505 Brannan Street	3786-038	137,446	19295	complete	2018	BPA No. 201508285498 issued on 2/8/16.
								BPA Nos. 201410239755 and 201410209377 issued
	2012.0203	100 Hooper Street	3808-003	284471	19315	under construction	n/a	12/17/15. awaiting final inspection and completion
	2012.0722	390 Main Street	3746-002		n/a	complete	2017	Conversion of former gov. agencies to office space.
		250 Howard Street	3718-012, 025, 027	766,745	19413	complete	· '	BPA No. 201504274732 completed on 10/17/19.
	2014.0679	510 Townsend Street	3784-007, 080	269,063	19440	complete	2019	
								5M Project:
			0705 005 000 000		40.407			BPA 201806293425 issued 8/2/19 for 415 Natoma
	0044 0400	004 005 Mississ Street	3725-005, 006, 008,	000 500	19467,			(Motion No. 19467, 593,500 sf of allocation, "H-1" site)
	2011.0409	901-925 Mission Street	009, 012, 098, 093	633,500	19468	under construction		BPA No. 2017/03/10/13/13 isocyacles of 4/3/2017 BPA No.
2015-2016	2013.1545	645 Harrison Street	3763-105	98.964	19524	complete		BPA No. 201703101213 issued on 4/3/2017. BPA No. 201906052563 issued for final inspection.
2013-2010	2013.1343	040 Hairison Gueet	3703-103	30,304	13024			GSW Event Center (Design Only); BPA No.
								201606149952 (11-story office bldg.) issued on
	2014-002701	MBS Blocks 29 & 31	8722-001	0	19502	complete	2019	4/11/17.

COMPLETE

REVOKED

18 MOS. EXPIRED

NO INFORMATION / NOT APPLICABLE

UNDER CONSTRUCTION

						_		
Date	Case No.	Address	APN	Size	Motion	Status	Completion	Comments
								Uber/Alexandria (Design Only); BPA No.
								201508245071 (12-story office bldg.) issued 11/2/16;
								BPA No. 201508245062 (7-story office/retail bldg.)
	2008.0850	1455 & 1515 3rd St	8721-029, 033	0	19619	under construction		issued 11/3/16.
	2006.1523	50 1st St	3708/055	1,057,549	19636	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201510301303 issued 7/5/17.
	2015-009141	875 Howard St	3733/079	70,881	19700	complete	2018	BPA No. 201707182101 completed on 3/5/18.
2016-2017	2014.1063	633 Folsom St	3750/079	90,102	19815	under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201706018184 issued on 3/21/18.
			3506-006, 007, 008-			under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201606200387 issued on 10/3/17. (aka 49
	2014-000362	1500 Mission St	011	0	n/a	under construction	II/a	South Van Ness)
2017-2018	2015-015010	1 De Haro St	3800-004, 005	86,301		under construction	n/a	BPA No. 201710121125 issued on 12/5/18.
								BPA Nos. 201909060913 and 201909060914
2018-2019	2012.0640	598 Brannan Street	3777-045, 050, 052	711,136	20460	approved	n/a	approved by Planning 11/26/19, not issued.
			3778-001B, 002B,					BPA Nos. 2019.0806.8051, 8052, 8053, 8054, 8055;
	2017-000663	610 Brannan Street	004, 005, 047, 048	1,384,578	20485	approved	n/a	project variant is project being constructed
								BPA Nos. 201903215884, 201903215873 approved by
	2015-012490	88 Bluxome Street	3786-037	775,000	20494	approved	n/a	Planning 10/17/19, issued 6/16/20
			3762-106, 108, 109,					BPA No. 201911157378 for Phase 1 office filed under
2019-2020	2005.0759	725 Harrison Street	112, 116, 117	505,000	20598	approved	n/a	review by Planning
			3721-016, 135, 136,					
	2016-013312	542-550 Howard St	138	275,746	20617	approved	n/a	BPA No. 201903215849 filed under review by Planning