



49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION

HEARING DATE: October 7, 2021

Record No.:	2020-006344CUA
Project Address:	37 Vicente Street
Zoning:	West Portal Avenue NC (Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District
	26-X Height and Bulk District
	Scenic Streets Special Sign District
Block/Lot:	2989B/032
Project Sponsor:	Eric Lentz
	430 Bush Street, 5th Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94108
Property Owner:	Greenspan Family Trust
	37 Vicente Street
	San Francisco, CA 94127
Staff Contact:	Ryan Balba – (628) 652-7331
	Ryan.Balba@sfgov.org
Recommendation:	Approval with Conditions

Project Description

The Project includes the installation of a new AT&T Mobility Macro Wireless Telecommunication Services Facility at the rooftop of the existing two-story commercial building, consisting of nine (9) new antennas and ancillary equipment as part of the AT&T Mobility Telecommunications Network. Antennas will be screened within one (1) FRP enclosure and three (3) faux vents.

Required Commission Action

In order for the Project to proceed, the Commission must grant a Conditional Use Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303(c) and 729 to allow the installation of a macro Wireless Transmission Services (WTS) facility within the West Portal Avenue NC (Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District.

Issues and Other Considerations

- Public Comment & Outreach.
 - **Support/Opposition:** The Department has not received any letters of support or opposition for the Project.
 - O **Outreach**: The Project Sponsor held two community meetings, one on September 17, 2019, and another on August 26, 2021. No community members attended either meeting.

Environmental Review

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 1 categorical exemption.

Basis for Recommendation

The Department finds that the Project is, on balance, consistent with the and Policies of the General Plan. The Project will enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services. The Department also finds the project to be necessary, desirable, and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood, and not to be detrimental to persons or adjacent properties in the vicinity.

Attachments:

Draft Motion – Conditional Use Authorization with Conditions of Approval Exhibit B – Plans and Renderings Exhibit C – Environmental Determination Exhibit D – Land Use Data Exhibit E – Maps and Context Photos Exhibit F – Radio Frequency Report Exhibit G – Department of Public Health Approval Exhibit H – Independent Evaluation Exhibit I – Coverage Maps Exhibit J – Alternatives Site Analysis





49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

PLANNING COMMISSION DRAFT MOTION

HEARING DATE: October 7, 2021

Record No.: Project Address: Zoning:	2020-006344CUA 37 Vicente Street West Portal Avenue NC (Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District 26-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot:	2989B/032
Project Sponsor:	Eric Lentz
	430 Bush Street, 5th Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94108
Property Owner:	Greenspan Family Trust
	37 Vicente Street
	San Francisco, CA 94127
Staff Contact:	Ryan Balba – (628) 652-7331
	Ryan.Balba@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTION 303(c) AND 729, TO INSTALL A NEW AT&T MOBILITY MACRO WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES FACILITY AT THE ROOFTOP OF THE EXISTING TWO-STORY COMMERCIAL BUILDING, CONSISTING OF NINE (9) NEW ANTENNAS AND ANCILLARY EQUIPMENT AS PART OF THE AT&T MOBILITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK. ANTENNAS WILL BE SCREENED WITHIN ONE (1) FRP ENCLOSURE AND THREE (3) FAUX VENTS. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 37 VICENTE STREET, LOT 032 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 2989B, WITHIN THE WEST PORTAL AVENUE NC (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL) ZONING DISTRICT AND 26-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

Draft Motion October 7, 2021

PREAMBLE

On July 6 2020, Eric Lentz on behalf of AT&T Mobility (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed Application No. 2020-006344CUA (hereinafter "Application") with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") to install a new AT&T Mobility Macro Wireless Telecommunications facility (hereinafter "Project") at 37 Vicente Street, Block 2989B, Lot 032 (hereinafter "Project Site").

On October 7, 2021, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Application No. 2020-006344CUA.

On September 22, 2021 the Project was determined to be exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 1 Categorical Exemption under CEQA as described in the determination contained in the Planning Department files for this Project.

The Planning Department Commission Secretary is the custodian of records; the File for Record No. 2020-006344CUA is located at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use Authorization as requested in Application No. 2020-006344CUA, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:



FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. Project Description. The Project includes the installation of a new AT&T Mobility macro wireless Telecommunication Services facility at the rooftop of the existing two-story commercial building, consisting of nine (9) new antennas and ancillary equipment. Antennas will be screened within one (1) FRP enclosure and three (3) faux vents.
- **3. Site Description and Present Use.** The Project is located on a lot which has approximately 35 feet of frontage along West Portal Avenue, 25 feet of frontage on the corner of West Portal Venue and Vicente Street and 89 feet of frontage along Vicente Street. The Project Site contains an existing two-story commercial building.
- 4. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The Project Site is located within the West Portal Avenue NC (Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District. The surrounding neighborhood is dominantly comprised of single family homes. However, there are fully commercial buildings and commercial residential buildings along the corridor. The two directly adjacent properties are a residential duplex and a commercial building. Other zoning districts in the vicinity of the project site include: RH-1(D) (Residential, House: One-Family (Detached Dwelling)) and P (Public).
- 5. Public Outreach and Comments. The Project Sponsor held two community meetings, one on September 17, 2019, and another on August 26, 2021. No community members attended either meeting. The Department has received no letters of support or opposition regarding the proposed project
- 6. Past History and Actions. The Planning Commission adopted the *Wireless Telecommunications Services* (*WTS*) Facilities Siting Guidelines ("Guidelines") for the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities in 1996. These Guidelines set forth the land use policies and practices that guide the installation and approval of wireless facilities throughout San Francisco. A large portion of the Guidelines was dedicated to establishing location preferences for these installations. The Board of Supervisors, in Resolution No. 635-96, provided input as to where wireless facilities should be located within San Francisco. The Guidelines were updated by the Commission in 2003 and again in 2012, requiring community outreach, notification, and detailed information about the facilities to be installed.

Section 8.1 of the Guidelines outlines Location Preferences for wireless facilities. There are five primary areas were the installation of wireless facilities should be located:

- 1. Publicly-used Structures: such facilities as fire stations, utility structures, community facilities, and other public structures;
- 2. Co-Location Site: encourages installation of facilities on buildings that already have wireless installations;
- 3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as warehouses, factories, garages, service stations;



- 4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as supermarkets, retail stores, banks; and
- 5. Mixed-Use Buildings in High Density Districts: buildings such as housing above commercial or other non-residential space.

Section 8.1 of the WTS Siting Guidelines further stipulates that the Planning Commission will not approve WTS applications for Preference 5 or below Location Sites unless the application describes (a) what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more Preferred Locations, (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide networks.

Before the Planning Commission can review an application to install a wireless facility, the Project Sponsor must submit a five-year facilities plan, which must be updated biannually, an emissions report and approval by the Department of Public Health, Section 106 Declaration of Intent, an independent evaluation verifying coverage and capacity, a submittal checklist and details about the facilities to be installed.

Under Section 704(B)(iv) of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act, local jurisdictions cannot deny wireless facilities based on Radio Frequency (RF) radiation emissions so long as such facilities comply with the FCC's regulations concerning such emissions.

- 7. Location Preference. The WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines identify different types of zoning districts and building uses for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities. Based on the zoning and land use, the proposed WTS facility is at a Location Preference 6 Site (Limited Preference Sites) according to the WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, making it not a preferred location unless the Project application (a) shows what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) shows by clear and convincing evidence what good faith efforts and measures to secure these Preferred Location Sites were taken; (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide network. The Project Sponsor has provided an Alternate Site Analysis which is included as an attachment and addresses the requirements mentioned above for a Location Preference 6 Site.
- 8. Radio Waves Range. The Project Sponsor has stated that the proposed wireless network is designed to address coverage and capacity needs in the area. The network will operate at 3,600 watts for WCS, 7,740 watts for AWS, 4,620 watts for PCS, 1,090 watts for cellular, and 3,720 watts for 700 MHz service, which is regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and must comply with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
- **9.** Radiofrequency (RF) Emissions: The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines.



Draft Motion October 7, 2021

10. Department of Public Health Review and Approval. The Project was referred to the Department of Public Health (DPH) for emissions exposure analysis. Radio-Frequency (RF) levels from the proposed AT&T transmitters at any nearby publicly accessible building or area would be 26% of the FCC public exposure limit.

There are 3 existing antennas operated by AT&T Wireless and T-Mobile installed on the roof top of the building at 37 Vicente Street. Existing RF levels at ground level were approximately 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. No other antennas were observed within 100 feet of this site. AT&T Wireless proposes to install 9 new antennas and remove 2 existing antennas. The antennas are mounted at a height of 42 feet above the ground and 9 feet above the roof.

The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed AT&T Wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.2 mW/sq cm., which is 26 % of the FCC public exposure limit. The three-dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 95 feet and does not reach any publicly accessible areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas and roof access points in English, Spanish and Chinese. Workers should not have access to within 36 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation.

There is no roof access presently installed. It is recommended that the roof access hatch proposed by AT&T be kept locked, so that the antennas are not accessible to unauthorized persons. Measurements shall be conducted at 48 Vicente Street when construction is complete, in order to confirm that actual exposure levels there do comply with the FCC public exposure limits.

- **11.** Coverage and Capacity Verification. The maps, data, and conclusion provided by AT&T to demonstrate the need for outdoor and indoor coverage and capacity have been determined Hammett & Edison, an engineering consultant and independent third party, to accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation conclusions.
- **12.** Maintenance Schedule. The facility would operate without on-site staff but with a maintenance crew visiting the property to service and monitor the facility.
- **13. Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
 - A. Use. Per Planning Code Section 729, a Conditional Use Authorization is required for a macro WTS facility (Utility and Infrastructure Use).
- **14. Conditional Use Findings.** Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use authorization. On balance, the project complies with said criteria in that:
 - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the



neighborhood or the community.

The Project at 37 Vicente Street is generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the Project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be designed to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. The overall location, setback from public streets, height and design of the proposed facility, including visible screening elements is situated so as to avoid intrusion into public vistas, and to ensure harmony with the existing neighborhood character and promote public safety.

There is an existing coverage gap in the AT&T Mobility wireless telecommunications network. A new facility is necessary to close a significant service coverage gap in the area roughly centered around West Portal Avenue and Vicente Street.

The proposed facility will enhance the area's public safety infrastructure by providing wireless telecommunication services to the surrounding neighborhood and local at all times, and during natural disasters or other emergencies

- **B.** The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
 - (1) Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project height and bulk of the existing building is minimally impacted and will not significantly alter the existing appearance or character of the project vicinity. The proposed work will not affect the building envelope or alter the use of the property.

(2) The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The Planning Code does not require parking or loading for a telecommunications wireless facility. The proposed use is designed to meet the needs of the immediate neighborhood and should not generate significant amounts of vehicular trips from the immediate neighborhood or citywide.

(3) The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, noise or noxious emissions from continued use are not likely to be significantly greater than ambient conditions due to the operation of the wireless communication network.



(4) Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The facility will not affect landscaping, open space, required parking, lighting or signage at the Project Site or surrounding area.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District.

The proposed project is consistent with the stated purpose of the West Portal Avenue Neighborhood Commercial District in that the facility will be consistent with the existing scale and character of the area.

15. General Plan Compliance. The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 12

BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

Policy 12.3

Ensure new housing is sustainable supported by the City's public infrastructure systems.

The Project will improve AT&T's coverage and capacity within the West of Twin Peaks neighborhood.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.1



Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 1.2

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Project will enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the Project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

Policy 2.3

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The Site will be an integral part of a new wireless communications network that will enhance the City's diverse economic base.

OBJECTIVE 4

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 4.1

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

Policy 4.2

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The Project will benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

Visitor Trade

OBJECTIVE 8

ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

Policy 8.3



Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project will ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of AT&T Mobility telecommunications.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 3

ESTABLISH STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTS OF A DISASTER.

Policy 1.20

Increase communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster and ensure communication abilities extend to hard-to-reach areas and special populations.

Policy 2.4

Bolster the Department of Emergency Management's role as the City's provider of emergency planning and communication, and prioritize its actions to meet the needs of San Francisco.

Policy 2.15

Utilize advancing technology to enhance communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster, particularly in the high-contact period immediately following a disaster.

Policy 3.7

Develop a system to convey personalized information during and immediately after a disaster.

The Project will enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services.

- **16. Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project complies with said policies in that:
 - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The wireless communications network will enhance personal communication services for businesses and customers in the surrounding area.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No residential uses will be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this Authorization.



C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

The Project will have no adverse effect on housing in the vicinity.

D. That commuter traffic does not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

Due to the nature of the Project and minimal maintenance or repair, municipal transit service will not be significantly impeded and neighborhood parking will not be overburdened.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project will not cause any displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project will be designed and will be constructed to conform to the structural and seismic safety requirements of the Building Code. This proposal will not impact the property's ability to withstand an earthquake.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The facility will be screened from view by virtue of equipment placement on the rooftop. While the proposed FRP box and faux vents are minimally visible from surrounding public rights-of-way (e.g. sidewalks along surrounding streets), the size, height, and setback of the screening structures will not significantly detract from views of the subject building.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project will not adversely affect parks or open space, nor their access to sunlight or public vistas.

- **17.** The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- **18.** The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.



Draft Motion October 7, 2021

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2020-006344CUA** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated March 12, 2021, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 1 categorical exemption.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (after the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on June 2, 2019.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES: NAYS: ABSENT: RECUSE: ADOPTED: October 7, 2021



Draft Motion October 7, 2021 RECORD NO. 2020-006344CUA 37 Vicente Street



EXHIBIT A

Authorization

This authorization is for a conditional use to a allow macro Wireless Telecommunications Facility (d.b.a. AT&T Mobility) located at 37 Vicente Street, Block 2989B, and Lot 032 pursuant to Planning Code Section(s) 303.3 and 729 within the West Portal Avenue Neighborhood Commercial District and a 26-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated March 12, 2021, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Record No. 2020-006344CUA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on October 7, 2021 under Motion No XXXXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

Recordation of Conditions Of Approval

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **October 7, 2021** under Motion No XXXXXX.

Printing of Conditions of Approval on Plans

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. XXXXXX shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the site or building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

Severability

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

Changes and Modifications

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.



CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, COMPLIANCE, MONITORING, AND REPORTING

Performance

1. Validity. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

2. Expiration and Renewal. Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

3. Diligent Pursuit. Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

4. Extension. All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

5. Conformity with Current Law. No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463,



www.sfplanning.org

Design – Compliance at Plan Stage

6. Final Materials. The Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department on the building design. Final materials, glazing, color, texture, landscaping, and detailing shall be subject to Department staff review and approval. The architectural addenda shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 628.652.7331, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

7. Rooftop Mechanical Equipment. Pursuant to Planning Code 141, the Project Sponsor shall submit a roof plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the building permit application. Rooftop mechanical equipment, if any is proposed as part of the Project, is required to be screened so as not to be visible from any point at or below the roof level of the subject building.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-7331, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

- 8. Plan Drawings WTS. Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:
 - A. **Structure and Siting.** Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
 - B. For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities. Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
 - C. **Emissions.** Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 628.652.7331, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

9. Screening - WTS. To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:



- A. Modify the placement of the facilities;
- B. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
- C. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2 1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions;
- D. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
- E. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
- F. Antennas and back up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual effects;
- G. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back up facilities are not viewed from the street;
- H. Antennae attached to building facades shall be so placed, screened or otherwise treated to minimize any negative visual impact; and
- I. Although co location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case by case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 628.652.7331, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

Monitoring - After Entitlement

10. Enforcement. Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

11. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463,



www.sfplanning.org

12. Implementation Costs - WTS. The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.

The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with implementation of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Technology, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency. The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.

The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

13. Implementation and Monitoring - WTS. In the event that the Project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

- **14. Project Implementation Report WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:
 - A. Identify the three dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
 - B. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
 - C. The Project Implementation Report shall compare test results for each test point with applicable FCC standards. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a non-holiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power.
 - D. Testing, Monitoring, and Preparation. The Project Implementation Report shall be prepared by a certified professional engineer or other technical expert approved by the Department. At the sole option of the Department, the Department (or its agents) may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the Project Sponsor pursuant to the condition related to the payment of the City's



reasonable costs.

- E. Notification and Testing. The Project Implementation Report shall set forth the testing and measurements undertaken pursuant to Conditions 2 and 4.
- F. Approval. The Zoning Administrator shall request that the Certification of Final Completion for operation of the facility not be issued by the Department of Building Inspection until such time that the Project Implementation Report is approved by the Department for compliance with these conditions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at 415.252.3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>

15. Coverage and Capacity Verification. Use is authorized as long as an independent evaluator, selected by the Planning Department, determines that the information and conclusions submitted by the wireless service provider in support of its request for conditional use are accurate. The wireless service provider shall fully cooperate with the evaluator and shall provide any and all data requested by the evaluator to allow the evaluator to verify that the maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity submitted are accurate. The wireless service provider shall bear all costs of said evaluation. The independent evaluator, upon request by the wireless service provider shall keep the submitted data confidential and shall sign a confidentiality agreement acceptable to the wireless service provider. The independent evaluator shall be a professional engineer licensed by the State of California.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 628.652.7331, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

- **16.** Notification prior to Project Implementation Report WTS. The Project Sponsor shall undertake to inform and perform appropriate tests for residents of any dwelling units located within 25 feet of the transmitting antenna at the time of testing for the Project Implementation Report.
 - A. At least twenty calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report, the Project Sponsor shall mail notice to the Department, as well as to the resident of any legal dwelling unit within 25 feet of a transmitting antenna of the date on which testing will be conducted. The Applicant will submit a written affidavit attesting to this mail notice along with the mailing list.
 - B. When requested in advance by a resident notified of testing pursuant to subsection (a), the Project Sponsor shall conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within the residence of that resident on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Project Implementation Report.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

17. Installation - WTS. Within 10 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall confirm in writing to the Zoning Administrator that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC



emissions standards.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

18. Periodic Safety Monitoring - WTS. The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at 415.252.3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>

Operation

19. Community Liaison. Prior to issuance of a building permit to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator and all registered neighborhood groups for the area with written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator and registered neighborhood groups shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

20. Out of Service – WTS. The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennae and equipment that has been out of service or otherwise abandoned for a continuous period of six months.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

21. Emissions Conditions – WTS. It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at 415.252.3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>

22. Noise and Heat – WTS. The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at



415.252.3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>

23. Transfer of Operation – WTS. Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 628.652.7463, <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

24. Compatibility with City Emergency Services – WTS. The facility shall not be operated or caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Technology, 415.581.4000, <u>http://sfqov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421</u>





ENGINEERING

2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE 2019 CALIFORNIA TITLE 24 2019 CALIFORNIA FIRE CODE 2019 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE 2019 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE TIA/EIA-222-F OR LATEST EDITION

GENERAL NOTES

THE FACILITY IS UNMANNED AND NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION. A TECHNICIAN WILL VISIT THE SITE AS REQUIRED FOR ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. THE PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN ANY SIGNIFICANT DISTURBANCE OR EFFECT ON DRAINAGE; NO SANITARY SEWER SERVICE, POTABLE WATER, OR TRASH DISPOSAL IS REQUIRED AND NO COMMERCIAL SIGNAGE IS PROPOSED

SITE INFORMATION



· REMOVE (1) EXISTING RRUW UNIT. REMOVE (1) EXISTING RRU22 UNIT. REMOVE (2) EXISTING PBC02 UNITS.
 REMOVE (1) EXISTING 6501 MAIN UNIT W/ MRRU700, REMOVE (1)(E) MRRU1900. SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-11'S, NEAR ANTENNA. INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-4415 B25'S, NEAR ANTENNA INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-32 B30'S, NEAR ANTENNA. FA NUMBER: 10101864, USID 47421 INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-4478 B14'S. NEAR ANTENNA INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-E2'S, NEAR ANTENNA. RFDs ID: 1735126, v6.00, DATED 06/18/2018 INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-4478 B5'S NEAR ANTENNA INSTALL (3) NEW RRUS-4426 B66'S, NEAR ANTENNA INSTALL (3) NEW RADOME AT SECTOR 'B' LTE 3C MRSFR037604, LTE 4C MRSFR037610, LTE 5C MRSFR041605, INSTALL (N) STEEL EQUIPMENT PLATFORM W/METAL GRATE INSTALL (3) NEW DC9, (1) AT EACH SECTOR INSTALL (1) NEW H-FRAME AT EQUIPMENT FOR (3)(N) DC12 UNITS. LTE 6C MRSFR041370, LTE 7C MRSFR045574, 4TXRX MRSFR043116 INSTALL NEW 1" CONDUIT FROM TRANSPORT CABLE FROM CIENIA TO SAID. PTN# 3701A0AMBV, PTN# 3701A0AMD8, PTN# 3701A0BMZ0, INSTALL (2) NEW 2 CONDUCT FROM A CONSTOL FOR A PANEL TO POWER PLANT. UPGRADE P&GE 100AMP SERVICE TO 200 SERVICE. INSTALL (1) NEW 200AMP METER CAN PTN# 3701A0BMZ7, PTN# 3701A0EA65, PTN# 3701A0D8K4 INSTALL (1) NEW 200 AC BREAKER PANEL NEAR EQUIPMENT. INSTALL (1) NEW DISCONNECT BREAKER. SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE BATTERIES. INSTALL NEW ENTRANCE/EXIT TO ACCESS ROOF **37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127** REMOVE (1) EXISTING 100A METER INSTALL (1) NEW 200A METER **ROOFTOP / OUTDOOR** REMOVE (2) EXISTING TRIPLEXERS RELOCATE EXISTING CIENA REMOVE EXISTING TELCO BOX REMOVE (2) EXISTING RXAIT BOXES
 REMOVE EXISTING UMTS 3518
 REMOVE EXISTING CONDUITS, CABLES AND CABLE TRAY VICINITY MAP SHEET NO: T-1 TITLE SHEET GN - 1GENERAL NOTES F-1 FIRE DEPARTMENT CHECKLIS EME-1 EME REPOR PS-1 PHOTOSIMS PS-2 PHOTOSIMS VICENTE STREET A-1 EXISTING SITE PLAN / Ur PROJECT A-2 NEW SITE PLAN EXISTING AND NEW ENLARGED SITE PLANS LOCATION A-3 EXISTING AND NEW EQUIPMENT LAYOUTS A-4 EXISTING AND NEW ANTENNA LAYOUTS A-5 A-6 **FLEVATIONS** A-7 ELEVATIONS A-8 ELEVATIONS D-1 DETAILS D-2 DETAILS D-3 DETAILS D-4 DETAILS GROUNDING DETAILS F-1 F = 0.0

DRIVING DIRECTIONS

NO SCALE

DIRECTIONS FROM AT&T OFFICE: GET ON I-680 S FROM BOLLINGER CANYON RD, HEAD NORTHEAST ON BISHOP DR TOWARD SUNSET DR, SUNSET DR, BOLLINGER CANYON RD, MERGE ONTO I-680 S VIA THE RAMP TO SAN JOSE, CONTINUE ON I-680 S. CA-92 W, US-101 N AND I-280 N TO CA-1 N/JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD. TAKE EXIT 49B FROM I-280 N, MERGE ONTO I-680 S, TAKE EXIT 30B TO MERGE ONTO I-580 W TOWARD DUBLIN/OAKLAND, CONTINUE ON I-238 N, FOLLOW SIGNS FOR I-880, TAKE EXIT 16A FOR INTERSTATE 880 S TOWARD SAN JOSE/SAN MATEO BRIDGE. MERGE ONTO I-880 S. TAKE EXIT 27 TO MERGE ONTO CA-92 W. TO TAKE EXIT 13B TO MERGE ONTO US-101 N TOWARD SAN FRANCISCO, TAKE EXIT 423B FOR INTERSTATE 380 W TOWARD SAN BRUNO/INTERSTATE 280, CONTINUE ONTO I-380 W, MERGE ONTO I-280 N, CONTINUE ON JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD. DRIVE TO VICENTE ST, CONTINUE ONTO CA-1 N/JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD, VICENTE ST, DESTINATION WILL BE ON THE LEFT

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS & EXISTING DIMENSIONS & CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE & SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IN WRITING OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.

S-1

SHEET 1

CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS

IF USING 11"X17" PLOT. DRAWINGS WILL BE HALF SCALE

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

AT&T WIRELESS PROPOSES TO MODIFY AN EXISTING WIRELESS INSTALLATION. THE SCOPE WILL CONSULT OF THE FOLLOWING:

REMOVE AND REPLACE (2) EXISTING OMNI ANTENNAS WITH (9) NEW PANEL ANTENNAS
 INSTALL (1) NEW FRP BOX TO MATCH (E) BUILDING WITH (3) FAUX VENTS

INSTALL (1) NEW V2 PURCELL CABINET WITH (2) NEW 5216 AND (2) NEW XMU UNITS. INSTALL NEW CABLE TRAY ON ROOFTOP.

 INSTALL (1) NEW OUTDOOR POWER PLANT WITH (3) NEW STRINGS OF 180AH BATTERIES. INSTALL (1) NEW OUTDOOR BATTERY BACK UP CABINET WITH (1) NEW STRING OF 180AH

DRAWING INDEX GENERAL NOTES, SYMBOLS, SHEET INDEX, SLD & PANEL SCHEDULE ROOF EQUIPMENT PLATFORM FRP DESIGN BY ETECH FRP



CCL02102/CCU2102 CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DR **37 VICENTE STREET** SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 OUTDOOR/ROOFTOP **at&t** 5001 EXECUTIVE PKWY. SAN RAMON, CA 94583 ERICSSON 6140 STONERIDGE MALL RD THIRD FLOOR PLEASANTON, CA 94588 PLANS PREPARED BY B 03/12/2021 REVISED RFDS/ EME A 01/12/2021 100% CONSTRUCTION DRAWI JS DATE DESCRIPTION IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTIC OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, TO ALTER THIS DOCUMEN

> SHEET TITLE TITLE SHEET

SHEET NUMBER T-1

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION NOTES

- FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY: GENERAL CONTRACTOR - OVERLAND CONTRACTING INC. (B&V) SUBCONTRACTOR - CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION) OWNER - AT&T
- ALL SITE WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS AND AT&T PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
- GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE SITE AND SHALL FAMILIARIZE HIMSELF WITH ALL CONDITIONS AFFECTING THE PROPOSED WORK AND SHALL MAKE PROVISIONS. GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FAMILIARIZING HIMSELF WITH ALL CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, FIELD CONDITIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND CONFIRMING THAT THE WORK MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
- ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES. REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF WORK.
- ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINANCES, AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.
- UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- PLANS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED. THESE PLANS ARE INTENDED TO BE A DIAGRAMMATIC OUTLINE ONLY UNLESS DITHERWISE NOTED. DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE TO FINISH SURFACES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE TO FINISH SURFACES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. SPACING BETWEEN EQUIPMENT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED CLEARANCE. THEREFORE, IT IS CRITICAL TO FIELD VERIFY DIMENSIONS, SHOULD THERE BE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, THE DIMENSIONS, SHOULD THERE BE ANT QUESTIONS REGARDING THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING A CLARIFICATION FROM THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. DETAILS ARE INTENDED TO SHOWN DESIGN INTENT. MODIFICATIONS MAY BE REQUIRED TO SUIT JOB DIMENSIONS OR CONDITIONS AND SUCH MODIFICATIONS SHALL BE INCLUDED AS PART OF WORK AND PREPARED BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH WORK
- THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S 8. RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.
- IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING
- GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF WORK AREA, ADJACENT AREAS AND 10. BUILDING OCCUPANTS THAT ARE LIKELY TO BE AFFECTED BY THE WORK UNDER THIS CONTRACT. WORK SHALL CONFIRM TO ALL OSHA REQUIREMENTS AND THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
- 11. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE WORK AND SCHEDULE WORK ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER DISCIPLINES.
- ERECTION SHALL BE DONE IN A WORKMANLIKE MANNER BY COMPETENT EXPERIENCED WORKMAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND THE BEST ACCEPTED PRACTICE. ALL MEMBERS SHALL BE LAID PLUMB AND TRUE AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS. 12.
- SEAL PENETRATIONS THROUGH FIRE RATED AREAS WITH UL LISTED MATERIALS APPROVED BY LOCAL JURISDICTION. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP AREA CLEAN, HAZARD FREE, AND DISPOSE OF ALL DEBRIS. 13.
- 14 WORK PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED IS REPRESENTED BY LIGHT SHADED LINES AND NOTES. THE SCOPE OF WORK THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR OF ANY EXISTING CONDITIONS THAT DEVIATE FROM THE DRAWINGS PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION.
- SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER 48 HOURS PRIOR TO 15. COMMENCEMENT OF WORK
- 16. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER
- 17. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT UTILITY LOCATING SERVICES PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 18. GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE AND MAINTAIN ACCESS FOR ALL TRADES AND SUBCONTRACTORS TO THE SITE AND/OR BUILDING.
- 19. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SECURITY OF THE SITE FOR THE DURATION OF CONSTRUCTION UNTIL JOB COMPLETION.
- 20. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN IN GOOD CONDITION ONE COMPLETE SET OF PLANS WITH ALL IONS, ADDENDA, AND CHANGE ORDERS ON THE PREMISES AT ALL TIMES.
- THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE PORTABLE FIRE EXTINGUISHERS WITH A 21. RATING OF NOT LESS THAN 2-A OR 2-A:10-B:C AND SHALL BE WITHIN 25 FEET OF TRAVEL DISTANCE TO ALL PORTIONS OF WHERE THE WORK IS BEING COMPLETED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- 22. ALL EXISTING ACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, AND OTHER UTILITIES SHALL BE PROTECTED AT ALL TIMES, AND WHERE REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE RELOCATED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. EXTREME CAUTION SHOULD BE USED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR WHEN EXCAVATING OR DRILLING PIERS AROUND OR NEAR UTILITIES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SAFETY TRAINING FOR THE WORKING CREW. THIS SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO A) FALL PROTECTION, B) CONFINED SPACE, C) ELECTRICAL SAFETY, AND D) TRENCHING & EXCAVATION.
- 23. ALL EXISTING INACTIVE SEWER, WATER, GAS, ELECTRIC, AND OTHER UTILITIES, WHICH INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, SHALL BE REMOVED, CAPPED, PLUGGED OR OTHERWISE DISCONNECTED AT POINTS WHICH WILL NOT INTERFERE WITH THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK, AS DIRECTED BY THE RESPONSIBLE ENGINEER, AND SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER AND/OR LOCAL UTILITIES.
- 24. THE AREAS OF THE OWNER'S PROPERTY DISTURBED BY THE WORK AND NOT COVERED BY THE TOWER EQUIPMENT OR DRIVEWAY, SHALL BE GRADED TO A UNIFORM SLOPE, AND STABILIZED TO PREVENT EROSION.
- SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO THE EXISTING SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, IF REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION, SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE FEDERAL AND LOCAL JURISDICTION FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL. 25.
- 26. NO FILL OR EMBANKMENT MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED ON FROZEN GROUNDING. FROZEN MATERIALS, SNOW OR ICE SHALL NOT BE PLACED IN ANY FILL OR EMBANKMENT.
- 27. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE BROUGHT TO A SMOOTH UNIFORM GRADE AND COMPACTED TO 95 PERCENT STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY UNDER PAVEMENT AND STRUCTURES AND 80 PERCENT STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY IN OPEN SPACE. ALL TRENCHES IN PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH FLOWABLE FILL OR OTHER MATERIAL PRE-APPROVED BY THE LOCAL JURISDICTION.
- 28. ALL NECESSARY RUBBISH, STUMPS, DEBRIS, STICKS, THE SITE AND DISPOSED OF IN A LAWFUL MANNER. NECESSARY RUBBISH, STUMPS, DEBRIS, STICKS, STONES, AND OTHER REFUSE SHALL BE REMOVED FROM
- ALL BROCHURES, OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE MANUALS, CATALOGS, SHOP DRAWINGS, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS SHALL BE TURNED OVER TO THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AT COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION AND PRIOR TO PAYMENT. 29.
- 30. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT A COMPLETE SET OF AS-BUILT REDLINES TO THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR UPON COMPLETION OF PROJECT AND PRIOR TO FINAL PAYMENT.

- 31. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN A CLEAN CONDITION.
- 32. THE PROPOSED FACILITY WILL BE UNMANNED AND DOES NOT REQUIRE POTABLE WATER OR SEWER SERVICE, AND IS NOT FOR HUMAN HABITAT (NO HANDICAP ACCESS REQUIRED)
- 33. OCCUPANCY IS LIMITED TO PERIODIC MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION, APPROXIMATELY 2 TIMES PER MONTH, BY AT&T TECHNICIANS.
- 34. NO OUTDOOR STORAGE OR SOLID WASTE CONTAINERS ARE PROPOSED.
- ALL MATERIAL SHALL BE FURNISHED AND WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST 35. REVISION AT&T MOBILITY GROUNDING STANDARD "TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF GSM/GPRS WIRELESS SITES" AND "TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION FOR FACILITY GROUNDING". IN CASE OF A CONFLICT BETWEEN THE CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATION AND THE DRAWINGS, THE DRAWINGS SHALL GOVERN.
- SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS REQUIRED FOR CONSTRUCTION. IF SUBCONTRACTOR CANNOT OBTAIN A PERMIT, THEY MUST NOTIFY THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR 36. IMMEDIATELY
- 37. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE ALL TRASH AND DEBRIS FROM THE SITE ON A DAILY BASIS.
- INFORMATION SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS WAS OBTAINED FROM SITE VISITS AND/OR DRAWINGS PROVIDED BY THE SITE OWNER. CONTRACTORS SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION. 38.
- NO WHITE STROBIC LIGHTS ARE PERMITTED. LIGHTING IF REQUIRED, WILL MEET FAA STANDARDS AND 39. REQUIREMENTS.
- 40. ALL COAXIAL CABLE INSTALLATIONS TO FOLLOW MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 41. NO NOISE, SMOKE, DUST, ODOR, OR VIBRATIONS WILL RESULT FROM THIS FACILITY. (DELETE THIS NOTE IF THE SITE WILL HAVE A GENERATOR)
- 42. NO ADDITIONAL PARKING TO BE PROPOSED. EXISTING ACCESS AND PARKING TO REMAIN. (REVISE THIS NOTE ACCORDING TO THE SITE CONFIGURATION)
- 43. NO LANDSCAPING IS PROPOSED AT THIS SITE. (REVISE THIS NOTE ACCORDING TO THE SITE CONFIGURATION)



此屋宇房顶有射频天線装置 在天線範圍四周務請小心,並遵照各己張貼之指示 及/戴襦钀行事 如需進入禁區範圍或索取更多資料

請致電1-800-832-6662 此站匾號: (CCL02102)

依據 FCC條例 第47 CFR1.1310 款執行

- NOTES WARNING SIGN TO BE MOUNTED AT ANTENNA LOCATIONS.
- SIGN SHALL COMPLY WITH ANSI C95.2 COLOR, SYMBOL, AND CONTENT CONVENTIONS.
- SIGNAGE SHALL BE CLEARLY LABELED IN A PHENOLIC LABEL WITH A WHITE BACKGROUND AND
- BLACK LETTERING, AND SHALL BE READABLE FROM AT LEAST (15) FEET FROM THE SIGN.
- PROPOSED 12"X20" PLASTIC SIGN 4

MULTI-LANGUAGE SIGN



4

2.06 SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CELLULAR ANTENNA SITES (2019) 2.06 SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CELLULAR ANTENNA SITES (2016)

REFERENCE: 2016 SFBC, 2016 SFFC, 2016 SFMC AND FCC OET BULLETIN (97-01)

	I. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS		
SEE SHEET T-1	ZA. PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF WORK ON THE PLANS.	SEE SHEET A-1	L. STATIONARY STORAGE BATTERY SYSTEMS SHALL COMPLY WITH 2016
SEE SHEET A-1, A-2,		055 0U557 0U 4 100	CFC, SECTION 608.
<u>A-3, A-4, A-5</u>	ZB. PLANS SHALL INCLUDE PLAN VIEWS AND ELEVATIONS SHOWING ALL EQUIPMENT LOCATIONS AND CABLE RUNS.	SEE SHEET GN-1 AND <u>SHEET A-2</u>	oxtimes m. The fire department may need to shut down the power to
SEE SHEET A-3, D-1, <u>D-2</u>	, ZC. PLANS SHALL INCLUDE ANTENNA MANUFACTURER SPECIFICATION SHEETS AND EQUIPMENT LIST ON A DRAWING.		THE CELL SITE IN AN EMERGENCY SITUATION. IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE SITE OPERATOR'S POSSIBLE LOSS OF SERVICE, PERMANENT EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN PROCEDURE SIGNAGE SHALL BE PROVIDED AT THE EQUIPMENT ROOM ENTRANCE.
			1. THE SIGN SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:
<u>SEE SHEET EME-1</u>	☑ D. INCLUDE A COPY OF THE SIGNED AND STAMPED RF REPORT ON A DRAWING SHEET AS A REFERENCE TO IDENTIFY THE EXCLUSION AREA REQUIRED TO PREVENT OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURES IN EXCESS OF THE FCC GUIDELINES (47CFR1.1310 AND FCC OET BULLETIN 65 EDITION 97-01).		 D. EMERGENCY 24-HOUR/7 DAY A WEEK NETWORK OPERATIONS CENTER (NOC) / FIELD TECHNICIAN TELEPHONE NUMBER FOR RF SHUT-DOWN
	GOIDELINES (47CFR1.15TO AND FCC OET BOLLETIN 05 EDITION 97-01).		b. CELL SITE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
<u>SEE SHEET EME-1</u>	REVIEW IS A PART OF A MULTIPLE TRANSMITTER SITE AND SHALL SHOW		c. MAP SHOWING LOCATION OF ELECTRICAL MAIN SHUT-OFF (ELECTRICAL MAIN SHALL BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED WITH A PERMANENT RED LABEL AND WHITE LETTERING).
	COMPLIANCE WITH FCC 47CFR1.1307 (B) (3), AS AMENDED – ALL TRANSMITTERS SHALL NOT EXCEED 5% OF THE POWER DENSITY EXPOSURE LIMIT.		d. MAP SHOWING LOCATION OF BATTERY CABINETS AND BREAKERS (CABINETS AND BREAKERS SHALL BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED WITH A PERMANENT RED LABEL AND WHITE LETTERING).
SEE SHEET EME-1 AND SHEE A-2	☑ F. DRAWINGS SHALL REFLECT THE STRIPED/EXCLUSION AREAS FOR		e. ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION OR PROCEDURES AS REQUIRED FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CELLULAR SITE.
N/A	WORKERS PER THE ABOVE RF REPORT WITH A MINIMUM RADIUS OF 1-FOOT.		2. THE SIGN SHALL BE CLEARLY LABELED IN A PHENOLIC LABEL WITH A WHITE BACKGROUND AND BLACK LETTERING. THE TITLE BLOCK SHALL BE A RED BACKGROUND AND 1-INCH HIGH WHITE LETTERING. MULTIPLE SIGNS MAY NEED TO BE INSTALLED BASED
	G. PLANS SHALL INCLUDE A QUANTITATIVE THREE-DIMENSIONAL IMAGE OF		UPON THE CELLULAR SITE CONFIGURATION.
	THE RF LEVELS FROM EACH ANTENNA LOCATED NEAR AN EGRESS POINT (E.G. PENTHOUSE STAIR; FIRE ESCAPE, ROOF WALKING PATHS; SKYLIGHTS, ETC.).		 A COPY OF THE SIGNAGE SHALL BE INCLUDED ON A DRAWING SHEET. SEE ATTACHED SAMPLE.
SEE SHEET GN-1, EME-1 AND A-2	□ Z H. "NOTICE TO WORKERS" WARNING SIGNAGE, AS APPLICABLE PER THE		II. DRAWING NOTES
SEE SHEET EME-1	ABOVE RF REPORT, SHALL BE PERMANENTLY MOUNTED AT THE STAIRWELL SIDE OF THE ROOF-ACCESS DOOR (ANSI C95.2-1982 (REFERENCE [3]) - YELLOW OR MORE DURABLE COLOR FOR OUTDOOR LONGEVITY)		A. SIGN SHALL BE A PHENOLIC LABEL WITH WHITE BACKGROUND AND BLACK LETTERING. THE TITLE BLOCK SHALL BE A RED BACKGROUND AND 1-INCH HIGH WHITE LETTERING.
AND SHEET A-2	_ ☑ I. CAMOUFLAGED ANTENNAS SHALL HAVE 4-INCH X 4-INCH SIGNAGE		B. CONTRACTOR TO PLACE SIGNS IN FOLLOWING LOCATIONS:
	PERMANENTLY MOUNTED TO THE EXTERIOR TO THE RF SCREEN AS		1. CELL SITE EQUIPMENT ROOM DOOR
	PROVIDED BELOW. THE SIGN SHALL BE WEATHERPROOF WITH CONTRASTING BACKGROUND COLOR AND SHALL CONTAIN THE YELLOW TRIANGLE AROUND		2. BATTERY LOCATION WITHIN PROXIMITY OF BATTERY DISCONNECT
	THE ANTENNA SYMBOL (ANSI C95.2-1982 (REFERENCE [3]) - YELLOW OR		3. FCC ROOM WITHIN PROXIMITY OF THE FIRE ALARM PANEL
	MORE DURABLE COLOR FOR OUTDOOR LONGEVITY). SIGNAGE LOCATION(S) AND DETAIL OF THE SIGN SHALL BE INCLUDED ON THE PLANS.		4. BUILDING'S MAIN ELECTRICAL ROOM WITHIN PROXIMITY OF THE MAIN SHUTOFF AND/OR AT THE CELL SITE MAIN ELECTRICAL
N/A	□ □ J. CABLES/WIRING SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED IN EXIT ENCLOSURES.		DISCONNECT
	SMOKE-PROOF TOWERS, ELEVATOR SHAFTS, OR IN FRONT OF DRY STANDPIPES. 2016 SFFC 1023.5 AND 509.2		
SEE SHEET A-2	ZIK. ANTENNAS SHALL NOT BE MOUNTED CLOSER THAN THE EXCLUSION		
	ZONE PLUS 4-FEET FOR INSTALLATIONS NEAR FIRE ESCAPES, STAIR PENTHOUSE DOORS, EXTERIOR STANDPIPE OUTLETS, SKYLIGHTS, OR OTHER FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS CONSIDERATION.		



AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, Californ FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate proposed modifications to its existing base station (Site No. CCL02102) located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency ("RF") electromagnetic fields.

Background

The San Francisco Department of Public Health has adopted an 11-point checklist for determining compliance of proposed WTS facilities or proposed modifications to such facilities with prevailing safety standards. The acceptable exposure limits set by the FCC are shown in Figure 1. The most restrictive limit for exposures of unlimited duration at several wireless service bands are as follows:

Wireless Service Band	Transmit Frequency	"Uncontrolled" Public Limit	Occupational Lin (5 times Public)
Microwave (point-to-point)	1-80 GHz	1.0 mW/cm ²	5.0 mW/cm2
Millimeter-wave	24-47	1.0	5.0
Part 15 (WiFi & other unlicensed)	2-6	1.0	5.0
CBRS (Citizens Broadband Radio)	3,550 MHz	1.0	5.0
BRS (Broadband Radio)	2,490	1.0	5.0
WCS (Wireless Communication)	2,305	1.0	5.0
AWS (Advanced Wireless)	2,110	1.0	5.0
PCS (Personal Communication)	1,930	1.0	5.0
Cellular	869	0.58	2.9
SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio)	854	0.57	2.85
700 MHz	716	0.48	2.4
600 MHz	617	0.41	2.05
[most restrictive frequency range]	30-300	0.20	1.0
	Checklist		

Reference has been made to information provided by AT&T, including construction drawings by Pramira Architectural & Engineering Services, dated January 8, 2021. It should be noted that the calculation results in this Statement include several "worst-case" assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels from the proposed operations. Figure 2 describes the calculation methodologies, reflecting the facts that a directional antenna's radiation pattern is not fully formed at locations very close by (the "near-field" effect) and that at greater distances the power level from an energy source decreases with the square of the distance from it (the "inverse square law"). This methodology is an industry standard for evaluating RF exposure conditions and has been demonstrated through numerous field tests to be a conservative prediction of exposure levels. HAMMETT & EDISON, INC.

AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, California FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that the proposed operation of the AT&T Mobility base station located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco, California, can comply with the prevailing standards for limiting human exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, need not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Taking measurements and locking the roof access hatch is recommended to establish compliance with public exposure limits; training authorized personnel, marking roof areas, and posting explanatory signs are recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limits.



March 2, 2021

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC.

AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, California

FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

1. The location, identity, and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site. AT&T had installed two omnidirectional "whip" antennas on the west side of the two-story mixed-use building located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco. Located above the roof of the building was a directional panel antenna for use by T-Mobile, within a shroud configured to resemble a vent.

2. List all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site that could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location. There are reported no other WTS facilities within 100 feet of the site.

3. Provide a narrative description of the proposed work for this project.

AT&T proposes to remove its existing antennas and to install replacement antennas above the roof. This is consistent with the scope of work described in the drawings for transmitting elements.

4. Provide an inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed or removed.

AT&T proposes to remove its dBSpectra Model DST05F36U-D omnidirectional antennas and to install nine CommScope directional panel antennas - six Model JAHH-65A and three Model NNHH-65A-R4 - on short poles above the roof. The nine antennas would be mounted at an effective height of about 42 feet above ground, 9 feet above the roof, and would be oriented in identical groups of three toward 20°T,* 190°T,* and 270°T. The 20°T and 190°T antennas would employ no more than 2° downtilt, and the 270°T antennas would employ up to 18° downtilt.

For the limited purpose of this study, it is assumed that T-Mobile has installed one Ericsson Model AIR21 antenna at an effective height of about 42 feet above ground, employing 2° downtilt.

 Describe the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level. This description may be based on field measurements or calculations.

The maximum existing RF level for a person on the upper roof near the proposed antenna location was measured* to be 15% of the applicable public exposure limit. The maximum existing RF level for a person at ground near the site was measured to be 0.0013 mW/cm², which is 0.65% of the most restrictive public limit.

Based on information received from AT&T subsequent to the date of the drawings. September 26, 2019, using calibrated Narda Type NBM-520 Broadband Field Meter with EA-5091 and EF-0691 Isotropic Broadband Electric Field Probes (Serial Nos. 01291 and H-0087, respectively).

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. 00001

FCC Radio Frequency Protection Guide

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") The U.S. Congress required (1996 Felecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission (*PCC*) to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licenceses do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The FCC adopted the limits from Report No. 86, "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," published in 1986 by the Congressionally chartered National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements ("NCRP"). Separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits generally five times more restrictive. The more recent standard, developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Environment and american Mational Standard and NSUEEE C68.1.2006; eSsfart Electronics Engineers and approved as American National Standard ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2006, "Safety Lections's algorets in approved as running the final association of the second state o

As shown in the table and chart below, separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits (in italics and/or dashed) up to five times more restr



Frequency (MHz) Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits, and higher levels also are allowed for exposures to small areas, such that the spatially averaged levels do not exceed the limits. However, neither of these allowances is incorporated in the conservative calculation formulas in the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) for projecting field levels. Hammett & Edison has incorporated those formulas in a computer program capable of eaclulating, at thousands of locations on an arbitrary grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency sources. The program allows for the inclusion of nucewn terrain in the vicinity, as well as any number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain more accurate projections.

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEPARS

AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, California FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

6. Provide the maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation. The power should be reported in watts and reported both as a total and broken down by frequency band.

The maximum effective radiated power proposed by AT&T in any direction is 20,770 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 3,600 watts for WCS, 7,740 watts for AWS, 4,620 watts for PCS, 1,090 watts for cellular, and 3,720 watts for 700 MHz service. For the limited purpose of this study the maximum effective radiated power by T-Mobile is 4,400 watts, representing the simultaneous operation at 2.200 watts for AWS, and 2.200 watts for PCS service.

7. Describe the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly accessible building or area.

The maximum calculated cumulative level at any nearby building is 98% of the public limit; this occurs on the roof of the commercial building at 48 Vicente Street, about 75 feet across the street. The maximum calculated cumulative level inside the top-floor elevation of any nearby building is 75% of the public exposure limit: this occurs at the residential building at 25-27 Vicente Street.

8. Report the estimated cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level. For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation by itself is calculated to be 0.20 mW/cm², which is 26% of the applicable public exposure limit.

Cumulative RF levels at ground level near the site are therefore estimated to be less than 27% of the applicable public limit.

9. Provide the maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas.

The three-dimensional perimeters of RF levels equal to the public and occupational exposure limits are calculated to extend up to 95 and 36 feet out from the antenna faces, respectively, and to much lesser distances above, below, and to the sides; this includes areas of the roof of the building but does not reach any publicly accessible areas.

10. Provide a description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas. Describe any resisting or proposed warring signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop striping, or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards.

There is no roof access presently installed; it is recommended that the roof access hatch proposed by AT&T be kept locked, so that the antennas are not accessible to unauthorized persons. It is recommended that measurements be conducted at 48 Vicente Street when construction is complete, in order to confirm that actual exposure levels there do comply with the FCC public exposure limits.

RFR.CALC[™] Calculation Methodology

Assessment by Calculation of Compliance with FCC Exposure Guidelines

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to

adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The maximum permissible exposure limits adopted by the

FCC (see Figure 1) apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a

For every local or staffy for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. Higher levels are prudent marging of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for accupational or public settings, chepterively, do not exceed the limits.

Prediction methods have been developed for the near field zone of panel (directional) and whip

(considirectional) antennas, typical at writes telecommunications base stations, as well as dish (aperture) antennas, typically used for microwave links. The antenna patterns are not fully formed in the near field at these antennas, and the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) gives suitable formulas for calculating power density within such zones.

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC.

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Recommended Mitigation Measures for AT&T Lock roof access hatch · Stripe roof areas as shown · Post explanatory signs

T-Mobile



FEET

Legend:	Less Than Public	Exceeds Public	Exceeds Occupational	Exceeds 10x Occupationa
Striping color	blank	vellow	red	N/A
Sign type	I - Green	B-Blue NOTICE	Y- Yellow CAUTION	O - Orange WARNING



- FCC Guidelines

T0ES.2 Page 2 of 5

- power density. This formula is used in a computer program capable of calculating, at housands of locations on an arbitrary grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency sources. The program also allows for the inclusion of uneven terrain in the vicinity, as well as any number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain more accurate projections.

Far Field. OET-65 gives this formula for calculating power density in the far field of an individual RF source:

Near Field.

- power density $S = \frac{2.56 \times 1.64 \times 100 \times RFF^2 \times ERP}{mW/cm^2}$ in mW/cm² $4 \times \pi \times D^2$
- where ERP = total ERP (all polarizations), in kilowatts,

= aperture height of antenna, in meters, and

 η = aperture efficiency (unitless, typically 0.5-0.8). The factor of 0.1 in the numerators converts to the desired units of power density.

For a panel or whip antenna, power density $S = \frac{180}{\theta_{BW}} \times \frac{0.1 \times P_{net}}{\pi \times D \times h}$, in mW/cm²,

where θ_{BW} = half-power beamwidth of antenna, in degrees,

Pnet = net power input to antenna, in watts,

D = distance from antenna, in meters.

 $\pi \times h^2$

 $\label{eq:RFF} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{First out out point of relation, and} \\ \mbox{D} &= \mbox{distance from antenna effective height to point of calculation, in meters.} \end{array}$ The factor of 2.56 accounts for the increase in power density due to ground reflection, assuming a reflection coefficient of 1.6 (1.6 x 1.6 \pm 2.56). The factor of 1.64 is the gain of a half-wave dipole relative to an isotropic radiator. The factor of 100 in the numerator converts to the desire to the distribution of 1.60 is the set of 1.60 is the set

and for an aperture antenna, maximum power density $S_{max} = \frac{0.1 \times 16 \times \eta \times P_{net}}{-2}$, in mW/cm²,

TOES.2 Page 3 of 5

Methodology

AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, California FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, it is recommended that appropriate RF safety training, to include review of personal monitor use and lockout/tagout procedures, be provided to all authorized personnel who have access to the structure, including employees and contractors of the wireless carriers and of the property owner. It is recommended that "Worker Notification Areas" be marked with yellow paint stripes and that "Prohibited Access Areas" be marked with red paint stripes on the roof of the building, as shown in Figure 3, to identify areas within which exposure levels are calculated to exceed the FCC public and occupational limits, respectively. No work in the red-striped areas or above the roof within 36 feet of the antennas, such as might occur during certain maintenance activities, should be allowed while the pertinent antennas are in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. It is recommended that explanatory signs[‡] be posted at the new roof access hatch, at edges of the striped areas, and at the antennas, readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that distance. Similar measures should already be in place for T-Mobile: applicable mitigations for that carrier have not been determined as part of this study.

11. Statement of authorship and qualification.

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos, E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2021. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.

5 Signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contast information should be provided (e.g., a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter; the San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends that all signs be written in English, Spanish, and Chinese.

T0ES.2 Page 4 of 5

AT&T Mobility • Base Station No. CCL02102 37 Vicente Street • San Francisco, California FA No. 10101864, USID No. 47421, PA No. 3701A0EA65

Calculated Cumulative RF Exposure Levels on Roof

anuary 8, 2021

T0ES.2 Figure 3



PHOTO SIMULATION

ROOFTOP MOUNTED PANEL ANTENNAS AT:

37 VICENTE STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127



12.09.20

SHEET	INDEX
PAGE NO.	PAGE TITLE
1	COVER
2	VIEW 1
3	VIEW 2
4	VIEW 3
5	VIEW 4

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AT&T PROPOSES TO MODIFY AN EXISTING WIRELESS INSTALLATION.

LOCATION OF AT&T ANTENNAS

COVER

PAGE 1

1875 CORONADO AVE SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755 PH: 562-230-3519 PROJECT INFORMATION SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 SITE ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 FA NUMBER: 10101864 INTELOCITY DESIGN | BUILD | INNOVATE



MOBILE ANTENNA IN FAUX VENT AFTER

PROJECT INFORMATION SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 SITE ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 FA NUMBER: 10101864





12.09.20

PROJECT INFORMATION SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 SITE ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 FA NUMBER: 10101864

PAGE 3



PAGE 2

CCL02102/CCU2102 CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DR 37 VICENTE STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 OUTDOOR/ROOFTOP		
5001 EXECUTIVE PKWY. SAN RAMON, CA 94583		
ERICSSON 6140 STONERIDGE MALL RD THIRD FLOOR PLEASANTON, CA 94588		
PLANS PREPARED BY: Pramira ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES		
Pramira Architectural & Engineering Services		
B 03/12/2021 REVISED RFDS/ EME JS A 01/12/2021 100% CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS JS REV DATE DESCRIPTION INT		
IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, TO ALTER THIS DOCUMENT.		
Image: State of the state		



VIEW 3 | LOOKING EAST FROM W PORTAL AVE



12.09.20

12.09.20

PROJECT INFORMATION SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 SITE ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 FA NUMBER: 10101864

PAGE 4



VIEW 4 | LOOKING NORTHWEST FROM VICENTE ST



PROJECT INFORMATION SITE NAME: CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DRIVE SITE NUMBER: CCL02102/CNU2102 SITE ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 FA NUMBER: 10101864





	BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM T	HRESHOLD	
		LEAD ACID	CCL02102/CCU2102 CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DR
	BATTERY TECHNOLOGY QUANTITY OF EXISTING BATTERIES	N/A	37 VICENTE STREET
	QUANTITY OF NEW BATTERIES	16	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127
	QUANTITY OF TOTAL BATTERIES	16	OUTDOOR/ROOFTOP
	EXISTING BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM CAPACITY	180AH PER BATTERY	
-	NEW BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM CAPACITY	N/A	
	TOTAL BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM CAPACITY	34.56kWh	
=/	TOTAL CAPACITY IS LESS TH	AN 70kWh.	
1	BATTERY ELECTROLYTE VC	LUME	🛛 😂 at&t
	QUANTITY OF BATTERIES	16	
_	QUANTITY OF ELECTROLYTE	2.47 GALLONS	
-	VOLUME IN EACH BATTERY TOTAL ELECTROLYTE VOLUME	30.52	5001 EXECUTIVE PKWY.
	TOTAL VOLUME IS LESS THAN	39.52 50 GALLONS.	SAN RAMON, CA 94583
l			
]]]
F			
K			ERICSSON
			6140 STONERIDGE MALL RD
\sim			THIRD FLOOR
			PLEASANTON, CA 94588
		_	
			PLANS PREPARED BY:
		$\overline{\lor}$	
		*	
		\mathbf{i}	
$\langle \rangle$			Pramira
Ň	\backslash		ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING SERVICES
	\sim		B 03/12/2021 REVISED RFDS/ EME JS
	``		A 01/12/2021 100% CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS JS
		\mathbf{i}	REV DATE DESCRIPTION INT
	\sim		
	\sim		
	\backslash		
	\backslash		
	\ \		
E	1	\mathbf{i}	
E			
-			IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER,
			OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, TO ALTER THIS DOCUMENT.
		1 .	SHEET TITLE
		13.2	
		/ ג ו	EXISTING SITE PLAN
(E)	BUILDING	- 🖌 🕅	
			SHEET NUMBER
		WICH	A-1
	SCALE:	, I	
	1"=10'-0" 0	5' 10' 1	



BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM THRESHOLD QUANTITES	
BATTERY TECHNOLOGY LEAD ACID	CCL02102/CCU2102 CLAREMONT-PORTOLA DR
QUANTITY OF EXISTING BATTERIES N/A	37 VICENTE STREET
QUANTITY OF NEW BATTERIES 16	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127 OUTDOOR/ROOFTOP
QUANTITY OF TOTAL BATTERIES 16 EXISTING BATTERY STORAGE 180AH PER	OUTDOOR/ROOFTOP
SYSTEM CAPACITY BATTERY NEW BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM N/A	
CAPACITY TOTAL BATTERY STORAGE SYSTEM	
TOTAL CAPACITY IS LESS THAN 70kWh.	
BATTERY ELECTROLYTE VOLUME	at&t 🛛
QUANTITY OF BATTERIES 16 QUANTITY OF ELECTROLYTE 2.47 GALLONS	
VOLUME IN EACH BATTERY	
TOTAL ELECTROLYTE VOLUME 39.52 TOTAL VOLUME IS LESS THAN 50 GALLONS.	5001 EXECUTIVE PKWY. SAN RAMON, CA 94583
	ERICSSON CERICSSON CAS STONERIDGE MALL RD THIRD FLOOR PLEASANTON, CA 94588 PLANS PREPARED BY: PLANS PREPARED BY: CAS STONE STONE CAS STONE STONE CAS STONE STONE CAS S
$\langle \rangle$	
	B 03/12/2021 REVISED RFDS/ EME JS A 01/12/2021 100% CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS JS
	REV DATE DESCRIPTION INT
	1
	IT IS A VIOLATION OF LAW FOR ANY PERSON, UNLESS THEY ARE ACTING UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER,
	TO ALTER THIS DOCUMENT.
135.	SHEET TITLE
	NEW SITE PLAN
(E) BUILDING	
	SHEET NUMBER
	A-2
SCALE:	
1"=10'-0" 0 5' 10' 1	


























CEQA Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
37 Vicente Street		2989B032	
Case No.		Permit No.	
2020-006344PRJ			
Addition/ Demolition (requires HRE for Alteration Category B Building)		New Construction	
Project description for Planning Department approval			

Project description for Planning Department approval.

AT&T modification: Remove two existing omni antennas from facade; install nine new panel antennas on roof top screened within FRP box and three faux vent exhaust chimneys; relocate equipment from side yard to roof top.

STEP 1: EXEMPTION TYPE

The p	The project has been determined to be exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).			
	Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.			
	Class 3 - New Construction. Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.			
	 Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below: (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations. (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses. (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species. (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. 			
	Other			
	Common Sense Exemption (CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3)). It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility of a significant effect on the environment.			

STEP 2: ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING ASSESSMENT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g. use of diesel construction equipment, backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (<i>refer to the Environmental</i>		
	Hazardous Materials: Maher or Cortese Is the project site located within the Maher area or on a site containing potential subsurface soil or groundwater contamination and would it involve ground disturbance of at least 50 cubic yards or a change of use from an industrial use to a residential or institutional use? Is the project site located on a Cortese site or would the project involve work on a site with an existing or former gas station, parking lot, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing use, or a site with current or former underground storage tanks? if Maher box is checked, note below whether the applicant has enrolled in or received a waiver from the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, or if Environmental Planning staff has determined that hazardous material effects would be less than significant. Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List		
	Transportation: Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students, or a location 1,500 sq. ft. or greater? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities? Would the project involve the intensification of or a substantial increase in vehicle trips at the project site or elsewhere in the region due to autonomous vehicle or for-hire vehicle fleet maintenance, operations or		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? If yes, archeology review is required.		
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (<i>refer to the Environmental Information tab on</i> https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked. Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Average Slope of Parcel = or > 25%, or site is in Edgehill Slope Protection Area or Northwest Mt. Sutro Slope Protection Area: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, or (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is likely required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
	Seismic Hazard: Landslide or Liquefaction Hazard Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) New building construction, except one-story storage or utility occupancy, (2) horizontal additions, if the footprint area increases more than 50%, (3) horizontal and vertical additions increase more than 500 square feet of new projected roof area, or (4) grading performed at a site in the landslide hazard zone? (refer to the Environmental Information tab on https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption.		
Comments and Planner Signature (optional): Ryan Balba			

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Property Information Map)			
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.		
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.		

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check	Check all that apply to the project.		
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.		
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.		
	 Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations. 		
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.		
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.		
	 Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 		
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning</i> Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows.		
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.		
Note:	Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.		
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.		

STEP 5: ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project.			
	1. Reclassification of property status. (Attach HRER Part I)		
	Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C a. Per HRER (No further historic review) b. Other (specify): (No further historic review)		
	2. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.		
	 Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces that do not remove, alter, or obscure character defining features. 		
	4. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.		
	5. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.		

	 Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features. 			
	7. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
	8. Work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (Analysis required):			
	9. Work compatible with a historic district (Analysis required):			
	10. Work that would not materially impair a historic resource (Attach HRER Part II).			
Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST sign below.				
	Project can proceed with exemption review . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.			
Comments (<i>optional</i>):				
Freser	Preservation Planner Signature:			

STEP 6: EXEMPTION DETERMINATION

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Project Approval Action: Signature: Building Permit Ryan Balba	
Supporting documents are available for review on the San Francisco Property Information Map, which can be accessed at https://sfplanninggis.org/PIM/. Individual files can be viewed by clicking on the Planning Applications link, clicking the "More Details" link under the project's environmental record number (ENV) and then clicking on the "Related Documents" link. Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes an exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination to the Board	

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional

MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Modified Project Description:

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Com	Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:			
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;			
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;			
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?			
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?			
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required				

DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Plan	ner Name:	Date:			
approv Depart	approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice. In accordance with Chapter 31, Sec 31.08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of this determination can				
If this h	ox is checked, the proposed modification	ons are exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project			
	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.				



49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94103 628.652.7600 www.sfplanning.org

LAND USE INFORMATION

PROJECT ADDRESS: 37 VICENTE ST RECORD NO.: 2020-006344CUA

	EXISTING	PROPOSED	NET NEW
	GROSS SQUARE FO	OTAGE (GSF)	
Parking GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retail/Commercial GSF	1764	1764	0
Office GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Industrial/PDR GSF Production, Distribution, & Repair	N/A	N/A	N/A
Medical GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Visitor GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
CIE GSF	N/A	N/A	N/A
Usable Open Space	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other ()	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL GSF			
	EXISTING	NET NEW	TOTALS
	PROJECT FEATURES (U	nits or Amounts)	
Dwelling Units - Affordable	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dwelling Units - Market Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A
Dwelling Units - Total	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hotel Rooms	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Buildings	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Stories	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parking Spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loading Spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bicycle Spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A
Car Share Spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other ()	N/A	N/A	N/A

	EXISTING	PROPOSED	NET NEW			
	LAND USE - RESIDENTIAL					
Studio Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
One Bedroom Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Two Bedroom Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Three Bedroom (or +) Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Group Housing - Rooms	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Group Housing - Beds	N/A	N/A	N/A			
SRO Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Micro Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Accessory Dwelling Units	N/A	N/A	N/A			

*This Land Use Table includes only information related to the installation of a wireless telecommunications facility use. The "Retail/Commercial GSF" row refers specifically to the roof, where the installation is proposed. This table does not include information about the entire building.



Parcel Map



PART OF WEST PORTAL PARK



Sanborn Map*



*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



Aerial Photo – View 1







Aerial Photo – View 2



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Aerial Photo – View 3







Zoning Map





Site Photo



Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate proposed modifications to its existing base station (Site No. CCL02102) located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency ("RF") electromagnetic fields.

Background

The San Francisco Department of Public Health has adopted an 11-point checklist for determining compliance of proposed WTS facilities or proposed modifications to such facilities with prevailing safety standards. The acceptable exposure limits set by the FCC are shown in Figure 1. The most restrictive limit for exposures of unlimited duration at several wireless service bands are as follows:

	Transmit	"Uncontrolled"	Occupational Limit
Wireless Service Band	Frequency	Public Limit	(5 times Public)
Microwave (point-to-point)	1–80 GHz	1.0 mW/cm^2	5.0 mW/cm^2
Millimeter-wave	24-47	1.0	5.0
Part 15 (WiFi & other unlicensed)	2–6	1.0	5.0
CBRS (Citizens Broadband Radio)	3,550 MHz	1.0	5.0
BRS (Broadband Radio)	2,490	1.0	5.0
WCS (Wireless Communication)	2,305	1.0	5.0
AWS (Advanced Wireless)	2,110	1.0	5.0
PCS (Personal Communication)	1,930	1.0	5.0
Cellular	869	0.58	2.9
SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio)	854	0.57	2.85
700 MHz	716	0.48	2.4
600 MHz	617	0.41	2.05
[most restrictive frequency range]	30–300	0.20	1.0

Checklist

Reference has been made to information provided by AT&T, including construction drawings by Pramira Architectural & Engineering Services, dated January 8, 2021. It should be noted that the calculation results in this Statement include several "worst-case" assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels from the proposed operations. Figure 2 describes the calculation methodologies, reflecting the facts that a directional antenna's radiation pattern is not fully formed at locations very close by (the "near-field" effect) and that at greater distances the power level from an energy source decreases with the square of the distance from it (the "inverse square law"). This methodology is an industry standard for evaluating RF exposure conditions and has been demonstrated through numerous field tests to be a conservative prediction of exposure levels.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS

1. The location, identity, and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site.

AT&T had installed two omnidirectional "whip" antennas on the west side of the two-story mixed-use building located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco. Located above the roof of the building was a directional panel antenna for use by T-Mobile, within a shroud configured to resemble a vent.

2. List all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site that could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location.

There are reported no other WTS facilities within 100 feet of the site.

3. <u>Provide a narrative description of the proposed work for this project.</u>

AT&T proposes to remove its existing antennas and to install replacement antennas above the roof. This is consistent with the scope of work described in the drawings for transmitting elements.

4. <u>Provide an inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed</u> or removed.

AT&T proposes to remove its dBSpectra Model DST05F36U-D omnidirectional antennas and to install nine CommScope directional panel antennas – six Model JAHH-65A and three Model NNHH-65A-R4 - on short poles above the roof. The nine antennas would be mounted at an effective height of about 42 feet above ground, 9 feet above the roof, and would be oriented in identical groups of three toward 20°T,* 190°T,* and 270°T. The 20°T and 190°T antennas would employ no more than 2° downtilt, and the 270°T antennas would employ up to 18° downtilt.

For the limited purpose of this study, it is assumed that T-Mobile has installed one Ericsson Model AIR21 antenna at an effective height of about 42 feet above ground, employing 2° downtilt.

5. Describe the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level. This description may be based on field measurements or calculations.

The maximum existing RF level for a person on the upper roof near the proposed antenna location was measured[†] to be 15% of the applicable public exposure limit. The maximum existing RF level for a person at ground near the site was measured to be 0.0013 mW/cm², which is 0.65% of the most restrictive public limit.

September 26, 2019, using calibrated Narda Type NBM-520 Broadband Field Meter with EA-5091 and EF-0691 Isotropic Broadband Electric Field Probes (Serial Nos. 01291 and H-0087, respectively).



Based on information received from AT&T subsequent to the date of the drawings.

6. Provide the maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation. The power should be reported in watts and reported both as a total and broken down by frequency band.

The maximum effective radiated power proposed by AT&T in any direction is 20,770 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 3,600 watts for WCS, 7,740 watts for AWS, 4,620 watts for PCS, 1,090 watts for cellular, and 3,720 watts for 700 MHz service. For the limited purpose of this study the maximum effective radiated power by T-Mobile is 4,400 watts, representing the simultaneous operation at 2,200 watts for AWS, and 2,200 watts for PCS service.

7. Describe the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly accessible building or area.

The maximum calculated cumulative level at any nearby building is 98% of the public limit; this occurs on the roof of the commercial building at 48 Vicente Street, about 75 feet across the street. The maximum calculated cumulative level inside the top-floor elevation of any nearby building is 75% of the public exposure limit; this occurs at the residential building at 25-27 Vicente Street.

8. *Report the estimated cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level.*

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation by itself is calculated to be 0.20 mW/cm², which is 26% of the applicable public exposure limit. Cumulative RF levels at ground level near the site are therefore estimated to be less than 27% of the applicable public limit.

9. Provide the maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas.

The three-dimensional perimeters of RF levels equal to the public and occupational exposure limits are calculated to extend up to 95 and 36 feet out from the antenna faces, respectively, and to much lesser distances above, below, and to the sides; this includes areas of the roof of the building but does not reach any publicly accessible areas.

10. Provide a description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas. Describe any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop striping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards.

There is no roof access presently installed; it is recommended that the roof access hatch proposed by AT&T be kept locked, so that the antennas are not accessible to unauthorized persons. It is recommended that measurements be conducted at 48 Vicente Street when construction is complete, in order to confirm that actual exposure levels there do comply with the FCC public exposure limits.



To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, it is recommended that appropriate RF safety training, to include review of personal monitor use and lockout/tagout procedures, be provided to all authorized personnel who have access to the structure, including employees and contractors of the wireless carriers and of the property owner. It is recommended that "Worker Notification Areas" be marked with yellow paint stripes and that "Prohibited Access Areas" be marked with red paint stripes on the roof of the building, as shown in Figure 3, to identify areas within which exposure levels are calculated to exceed the FCC public and occupational limits, respectively. No work in the red-striped areas or above the roof within 36 feet of the antennas, such as might occur during certain maintenance activities, should be allowed while the pertinent antennas are in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. It is recommended that explanatory signs[‡] be posted at the new roof access hatch, at edges of the striped areas, and at the antennas, readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that Similar measures should already be in place for T-Mobile; applicable mitigations for that distance. carrier have not been determined as part of this study.

11. Statement of authorship and qualification.

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos. E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2021. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.

Signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (e.g., a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter; the San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends that all signs be written in English, Spanish, and Chinese.



Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that the proposed operation of the AT&T Mobility base station located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco, California, can comply with the prevailing standards for limiting human exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, need not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Taking measurements and locking the roof access hatch is recommended to establish compliance with public exposure limits; training authorized personnel, marking roof areas, and posting explanatory signs are recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limits.

3026 20676 William F. Hammett, P.E. 6-30-2021 707/996-5200

March 2, 2021



FCC Radio Frequency Protection Guide

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The FCC adopted the limits from Report No. 86, "Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," published in 1986 by the Congressionally chartered National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements ("NCRP"). Separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits generally five times more restrictive. The more recent standard, developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers and approved as American National Standard ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2006, "Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz," includes similar limits. These limits apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health.

As shown in the table and chart below, separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits (in *italics* and/or dashed) up to five times more restrictive:

Frequency	Electro	magnetic F	ields (f is fr	equency of	emission in	MHz)
Applicable Range	Elec Field S	trength	Field S	netic trength	Equivalent Power I	Density
(MHz)	(V/	/m)	(A	/m)	(mW/	(cm ²)
0.3 - 1.34	614	614	1.63	1.63	100	100
1.34 - 3.0	614	823.8/f	1.63	2.19/f	100	$180/f^{2}$
3.0 - 30	1842/ f	823.8/f	4.89/ f	2.19/f	$900/~{\rm f}^{2}$	$180/f^2$
30 - 300	61.4	27.5	0.163	0.0729	1.0	0.2
300 - 1,500	3.54 √ f	1.59 √ f	√ f/106	$\sqrt{f/238}$	f/300	<i>f/1500</i>
1,500 - 100,000	137	61.4	0.364	0.163	5.0	1.0
1000 -			Occupat	ional Expos	sure	
100 -		\sim		PCS		
			Cell			



Frequency (MHz)

Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits, and higher levels also are allowed for exposures to small areas, such that the spatially averaged levels do not exceed the limits. However, neither of these allowances is incorporated in the FCC conservative calculation formulas in the Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) for projecting field levels. Hammett & Edison has incorporated those formulas in a computer program capable of calculating, at thousands of locations on an arbitrary grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency The program allows for the inclusion of uneven terrain in the vicinity, as well as any sources. number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain more accurate projections.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO ©2021

RFR.CALC[™] Calculation Methodology

Assessment by Calculation of Compliance with FCC Exposure Guidelines

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The maximum permissible exposure limits adopted by the FCC (see Figure 1) apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits.

Near Field.

Prediction methods have been developed for the near field zone of panel (directional) and whip (omnidirectional) antennas, typical at wireless telecommunications base stations, as well as dish (aperture) antennas, typically used for microwave links. The antenna patterns are not fully formed in the near field at these antennas, and the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) gives suitable formulas for calculating power density within such zones.

For a panel or whip antenna, power density $S = \frac{180}{\theta_{BW}} \times \frac{0.1 \times P_{net}}{\pi \times D \times h}$, in mW/cm²,

and for an aperture antenna, maximum power density $S_{max} = \frac{0.1 \times 16 \times \eta \times P_{net}}{\pi \times h^2}$, in mW/cm²,

where θ_{BW} = half-power beamwidth of antenna, in degrees,

 P_{net} = net power input to antenna, in watts,

D = distance from antenna, in meters,

h = aperture height of antenna, in meters, and

 η = aperture efficiency (unitless, typically 0.5-0.8).

The factor of 0.1 in the numerators converts to the desired units of power density.

Far Field.

OET-65 gives this formula for calculating power density in the far field of an individual RF source:

power density
$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{2.56 \times 1.64 \times 100 \times \mathrm{RFF}^2 \times \mathrm{ERP}}{4 \times \pi \times \mathrm{D}^2}$$
, in mW/cm²,

where ERP = total ERP (all polarizations), in kilowatts,

RFF = three-dimensional relative field factor toward point of calculation, and

D = distance from antenna effective height to point of calculation, in meters.

The factor of 2.56 accounts for the increase in power density due to ground reflection, assuming a reflection coefficient of 1.6 ($1.6 \times 1.6 = 2.56$). The factor of 1.64 is the gain of a half-wave dipole relative to an isotropic radiator. The factor of 100 in the numerator converts to the desired units of power density. This formula is used in a computer program capable of calculating, at thousands of locations on an arbitrary grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio frequency sources. The program also allows for the inclusion of uneven terrain in the vicinity, as well as any number of nearby buildings of varying heights, to obtain more accurate projections.



Calculated Cumulative RF Exposure Levels on Roof

Recommended Mitigation Measures for AT&T

- Lock roof access hatch
- Stripe roof areas as shown
- Post explanatory signs
- Provide training



Notes: See text.

Base image from drawing by Pramira Architectural & Engineering Services, dated January 8, 2021. Calculations performed according to OET Bulletin 65, August 1997.

Legend:	Less Than Public	Exceeds Public	Exceeds Occupational	Exceeds 10x Occupational
Striping color	blank	yellow	red	N/A
Sign type	∎ - Green INFORMATION	B - Blue NOTICE	¥- Yellow CAUTION	O - Orange WARNING



San Francisco City and County Department of Public Health

London Breed, Mayor Grant Colfax, MD, Director of Health

Environmental Health Branch

Patrick Fosdahl, MS, REHS Acting Director of Environmental Health

Review of Cellular Antenna Site Proposals

Project Sponsor : <u>AT&T V</u>	Vireless	Planner:	Elizabeth Watty	
RF Engineer Consultant:	Hammett & Edison		Phone Number: (707) 996-5	200
Project Address/Location:	37 Vicente St			
Site ID: 50	SiteNo.: CCL02102		Report Dated: 3/2/2021	

The following information is required to be provided before approval of this project can be made. These information requirements are established in the San Francisco Planning Department Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility Sitting Guidelines dated August 1996.

In order to facilitate quicker approval of this project, it is recommended that the project sponsor review this document before submitting the proposal to ensure that all requirements are included.

X 1. The location, identity and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1, Section 11, 2b)

Number of Existing Antennas: 3

- X 2. A list of all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site which could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.2)
 Yes
- **X** 3. A narrative description of the proposed work for this project was provided. The description should be consistent with scope of work for the final installation drawings. (WTS-FSG, Section 10)

● Yes ○ No

X 4. An inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed or removed was provided. The antenna inventory included the proposed installation height above the nearest walking/working surface, the height above ground level and the orientations of the antennas. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.2)

• Yes O No

X 5. A description of the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level was provided. A description of any assumptions made when doing the calculations was also provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1a, Section 10.4.1c, Section 10.5)

• Yes \bigcirc No

X 6. The maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation was provided along with the frequency bands used by the antennas. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.1.2, Section 10.5.1)

Maximum Effective Radiated Power: 20770 Watts

X 7. Based on the antenna orientation, the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly accessible building or area was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4, Section 10.5.1)

Maximum percent of applicable FCC public standard at the nearest building or structure: **98**% Distance to this nearby building or structure: **75** feet

X 8. The estimated maximum cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5)

Maximum RF Exposure: 0.2 mW/cm² Maximum RF Exposure Percent: 26 %

X 9. The maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas was provided. Any potential walking/working surfaces exceeding regulatory standards were identified. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.9.2)

Public Exclusion Area	Public Exclusion In Feet:	95
Occupational Exclusion Area	Occupational Exclusion In Feet:	36

X 10. A description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas was provided. A description was also provided of any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop stripping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards. All signs will be provided in English, Spanish and Chinese. (WTS-FSG, Section 9.5, Section 10.9.2)

• Yes O No

X 11. Statement regarding the engineer who produced the report and their qualifications was provided. The engineer is licensed in the State of California. (WTS-FSG, Section 11,8)

• Yes O No

X Approved. Based on the information provided the following staff believes that the project proposal will comply with the current Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC standard <u>CFR47 1.1310</u> Approval of the subsequent Project Implementation Report is based on project sponsor completing recommendations by project consultant and DPH.

Comments:

There are 3 antennas existing operated by AT&T Wireless and T-Mobile installed on the roof top of the building at 37 Vicente St. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. No other antennas were observed within 100 feet of this site. AT&T Wireless proposes to install 9 new antennas and remove 2 existing antennas. The antennas are mounted at a height of 42 feet above the ground and 9 feet above the roof. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed AT&T Wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.2 mW/sq cm., which is 26 % of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 95 feet and does not reach any publicly accessible areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas and roof access points in English, Spanish and Chinese. Workers should not have access to within 36 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. There is no roof access presently installed; it is recommended that the roof access hatch proposed by AT&T be kept locked, so that the antennas are not accessible to unauthorized persons. Measurements shall be conducted at 48 Vicente Street when construction is complete, in order to confirm that actual exposure levels there do comply with the FCC public exposure limits.

Not Approved, additional information required.

Not Approved, does not comply with Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC Standard

1 Hours spent reviewing

Charges to Project Sponsor (in addition to previous charges, to be received at time of receipt by Sponsor)

Signed:

Dated: 6/30/2021

Arthur Duque Environmental Health Management Section San Francisco Dept. of Public Health 1390 Market St., Suite 210, San Francisco, CA. 94102 (415) 252-3966

AT&T Mobility Radio Frequency Statement 37 Vicente Street, San Francisco, CA

STATEMENT OF MICHAEL CANIGLIA

I am the AT&T radio frequency engineer assigned to the proposed wireless communications facility at 37 Vicente Street, San Francisco, CA ("Property"). Based on my personal knowledge of the Property and with AT&T's wireless network, as well as my review of AT&T's records with respect to the Property and its wireless communications facilities in the surrounding area, I have concluded that the work associated with this permit request is needed to close a significant service coverage gap in an area roughly bordered by Ulloa Street to the north, Santa Clara Avenue to the east, 15th Avenue to the south, and 14th Avenue to the west.

The service coverage gap is caused by inadequate infrastructure in the vicinity of the Property. As explained further in Exhibit 1 and below, existing sites do not provide sufficient in-building service in the gap area. The proposed facility is necessary to improve signal strength and signal quality in the area, which will improve overall coverage and increase data rates necessary for customers to receive consistently reliable wireless service. Any areas that do not meet these minimal standards represent a service coverage gap that must be closed. The proposed facility will also help to offload network traffic carried by existing nearby facilities during current and future peak demand periods.

In addition to improving overall coverage, increasing data speed is critical to providing the mobile experience customers demand and to manage the unprecedented increase in mobile data usage on AT&T's network. AT&T estimates that since introduction of the iPhone in 2007, mobile data usage has increased 470,000% on its network. AT&T forecasts its customers' growing demand for mobile data services to continue. The increased volume of data travels to and from customers' wireless devices and AT&T's wireless infrastructure over limited airwaves — radio frequency spectrum that AT&T licenses from the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").

AT&T uses industry standard propagation tools to identify the areas in its network where signal strength is too weak to provide reliable in-building service quality. This information is developed from many sources including terrain and clutter databases, which simulate the environment, and propagation models that simulate signal propagation in the presence of terrain and clutter variation. AT&T designs and builds its wireless network to ensure customers will receive reliable in-building service quality. This level of service is critical as customers increasingly use their mobile phones as their primary communication devices. More than two-thirds of American households primarily rely on wireless

services for their communications needs) and rely on their mobile phones to do more (E911, video streaming, GPS, web access, text, etc.). In fact, the FCC estimates that 70% of 911 calls are placed by people using wireless phones. And with AT&T's selection by the federal First Responder Network Authority, FirstNet, as the wireless service provider to build and manage the nationwide first responder wireless network, each new or modified facility will enhance its capability to strengthen first responder communications.

Exhibit 2 is a map of the existing LTE service coverage (without the proposed installation at the Property) in the area at issue. It includes LTE service coverage provided by existing AT&T sites. The green shaded areas of the map depict acceptable in-building coverage. In-building coverage means customers are able to place or receive a call on the ground floor of a building. The yellow shaded areas depict areas within a signal strength range that provide acceptable in-vehicle service coverage. In these areas, an AT&T customer should be able to successfully place or receive a call within a vehicle. The blue shading depicts areas within a signal strength range in which a customer might have difficulty receiving a consistently acceptable level of service. Any unshaded areas of the map are areas where the signal strength does not meet the outdoor signal level threshold. The quality of service experienced by any individual customer can differ greatly depending on whether that customer is indoors, outdoors, stationary, or in transit. Any area in the yellow, blue, or unshaded category is considered inadequate service coverage and constitutes a service coverage gap.

Exhibit 3 to this Statement is a map that predicts LTE service coverage based on signal strength in the vicinity of the Property if antennas are placed as proposed in the application. As shown by this map, placement of the equipment at the Property closes the significant service coverage gap.

My conclusions are based on my knowledge of the Property and with AT&T's wireless network, as well as my review of AT&T's records with respect to the Property and its wireless telecommunications facilities in the surrounding area. I have a B.S.E.E. Degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of California, Davis, and have worked as an RF engineer in the wireless communications industry for more than 25 years.

monifia

Michael Caniglia AT&T Mobility Services LLC Network, Planning & Engineering RAN Design & RF Engineering April 2020



WILLIAM F. HAMMETT, P.E. RAJAT MATHUR, P.E. ROBERT P. SMITH, JR. ANDREA L. BRIGHT, P.E. NEIL J. OLIJ, P.E. MANAS REDDY, P.E. BRIAN F. PALMER M. DANIEL RO

Robert L. Hammett, P.E. 1920-2002 Edward Edison, P.E. 1920-2009

DANE E. ERICKSEN, P.E. CONSULTANT

BY E-MAIL KRISTY.ANDRES@ERICSSON.COM

June 3, 2020

Ms. Kristy Andres Ericsson 6140 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 350 Pleasanton, California 94588

Dear Kristy:

As requested, we have conducted the review required by the City of San Francisco of the coverage maps that AT&T Mobility will submit as part of its application package for proposed modifications to its base station located at 37 Vicente Street (Site No. CCL02102). This is to fulfill the submittal requirements for Planning Department review.

Executive Summary

We concur with the maps provided by AT&T. The maps provided to show the before and after conditions represent the carrier's present and post-installation coverage.

Based on information provided by AT&T, including construction drawings by NuWave Communications, Inc., dated February 6, 2019, that carrier presently has two omnidirectional "whip" antennas installed on the west side of the two-story mixed-use building located at 37 Vicente Street in San Francisco. AT&T proposes to remove its omnidirectional antennas and to install nine CommScope directional panel antennas – six Model JAHH-65A and three Model NNHH-65A – on short poles above the roof. The nine antennas would employ up to 18° downtilt, would be mounted at an effective height of about 42 feet above ground, 9 feet above the roof, and would be oriented in identical groups of three toward 30°T, 170°T, and 270°T. The maximum effective radiated power in any direction would be 13,300 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 1,800 watts for WCS, 2,580 watts for AWS, 4,620 watts for PCS, 1,090 watts for cellular, and 3,210 watts for 700 MHz service. Ms. Kristy Andres, page 2 June 3, 2020

AT&T provided for review two coverage maps, dated May 26, 2020,* attached for reference. The maps show AT&T's 4G LTE 700 MHz coverage in the area <u>before</u> and <u>after</u> the proposed modifications to the site. Both the before and after maps show three levels of coverage, which AT&T colors and defines as follows:

Green	Reliable Service Indoors/Outdoors
Yellow	Reliable Coverage In Transit; Indoor Coverage Less Reliable
Blue	Reliable Coverage Outdoors Only; Indoor Coverage Less Reliable

We undertook a two-step process in our review. As a first step, we obtained information from AT&T on the software and the service thresholds that were used to generate its coverage maps. This carrier uses commercially available software to produce the maps. The service thresholds that AT&T uses to are in line with industry standards, similar to the thresholds used by other wireless service providers.

As a second step, we conducted our own drive test, using an Ascom TEMS Pocket network diagnostic tool with built-in GPS, to measure the actual AT&T LTE 4G 700 MHz signal strength in the vicinity of the proposed site. Our fieldwork was conducted on May 11, 2020, between 9:45 AM and 10:55 AM, along a measurement route selected to cover all the streets within the AT&T map area.

Based on the measurement data, we conclude that the AT&T 4G LTE 700 MHz coverage map showing the service area without the proposed modifications includes areas of relatively weak signal levels in the carrier's present coverage. The map submitted to show the after coverage with the proposed modifications was reportedly prepared on the same basis as the map of the existing conditions and so is expected to accurately illustrate the improvements in coverage.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Please let us know if any questions arise on this matter.



^{*} The original April 10, 2020, maps have been recently re-issued.

Exhibit 2 – Existing LTE 700 Coverage



Exhibit 3 – Proposed LTE 700 Coverage – 37 Vicente St @ RC = 42 ft.





Cumulative Effects & Equipment Specifications

Number and Location of Proposed Antennas and Back-up Equipment:

Antennas: Nine (9) panel antennas to be mounted on the roof top within faux vents.Equipment: One (1) equipment cabinets and Two (2) battery back-up cabinet to be located on the roof top.

Dimensions of Proposed Antennas and Back-up Equipment:

Antenna array:	3 panel antennas approximately 55.1" tall x 19.6" wide x 7.8" deep
	6 panel antennas approximately 55.1" tall x 14.1" wide x 8.2" deep
Base station:	1 cabinet approximately 56" tall x 24" wide x 56" deep
Battery back-up:	1 cabinet approximately 72" tall x 36" wide x 36.79" deep

Height of Proposed Facility"

Top of antennas:	±44' - 4"
Top of faux vents:	±46' - 0''
Height of building (parapet):	±38' - 0"
Height of building (roof top)	±33' - 2"
Height limit of district:	65'

List of Facilities per Building

The proposed AT&T Mobility facility.

Power Rating for Proposed Base Station

Power and Telco is supplied via basement power and telco panel..

Method of Attachment/ Screening

The nine (9) proposed panel antennas would be mounted on the roof top of an existing building within faux vents. The associated equipment cabinets would be located on the roof top.
Location Preference

The property is a commercial building in the West Portal Neighborhood Commercial District. According to the City and County of San Francisco's Wireless Telecommunications Services Facilities Siting Guidelines, dated August 15, 1996 the subject facility is considered to be a Preference 6 location.

Preference Level 6 locations are defined as follows: Limited Preference Sites: Buildings located in the following zoning districts are Limited Preference Sites: Individual Neighborhood Commercial Districts (NCDs) subject to Sections 714.1 through 729.1 and 781.1 through 781.7 of the Planning Code, NC-1 Districts, and RM-4 Districts. The Planning Commission will not approve applications for such sites unless the application, describes: (a) what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more preferred location (i.e. Paragraphs 1 through 5 above); (c) why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) how and why the proposed site is essential to meet service demands for the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide network.

Site Justification

In order to achieve the service goals as previously defined, AT&T network engineers considered site locations in the area defined by the search ring in the previously attached Service Map. The subject parcel is located within a commercial area of West Portal where there are variations in commercial uses, architecture, size and scale. The proposal is designed to utilize the existing vertical features of the building; therefore, the proposed wireless communication facility does not have an impact on existing street views, and is compatible with the existing character, scale, and massing of the surrounding neighborhood. The proposed antennas would be located within a combination of radio frequency transparent screen walls and a faux vent pipe so that they are completely screened from view. The screen walls and vent pipe would be painted to match the building. The equipment cabinets would be located within an equipment roof inside the subject building. Please refer to the attached photo simulations.

Alternative Site Locations

In order to achieve the service goals as previously defined, AT&T network engineers considered site locations in the area defined by the search ring in the previously attached Service Map. The area within the search ring is primarily comprised of buildings used for commercial retail. All of candidates are located within the West Portal NCD (Neighborhood Commercial District) making them all Preference 6 locations under the WTS guidelines. The West Portal NCD is surrounded by residential uses within the RH-1-D (One-Family – Detached Housing) which are considered to be Preference 7 locations. Below is a list of the alternative site locations evaluated by the AT&T network engineers and site acquisition team.

Location	Lot/ Block	Zoning District	Building Use	WTS Siting Preference	Meets Network Objectives	Compatible to Community	Willing Landlord
A) 98 West Portal		West Portal	Wholly	6	Yes	Yes	No
D) 444 407	2000D	NCD	Commercial	0	Nia	Vaa	
B) 111-127	2989B-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
West Portal Ave	031	NCD	Commercial				
C) 145 West	2989B-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	034	NCD	Commercial				
D) 157-161 West	2989B-	West Portal	Mixed Use	6	No	No (Design)	Unknown
Portal Ave	020	NCD					
E) 99 West Portal	2979A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Ave	021A	NCD	Commercial				
F) 75-91 West	2979A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	Yes	Yes	No
Portal Ave	019	NCD	Commercial				
G) 69 West	2979A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	023C	NCD	Commercial				
H) 59-63 West	2979A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	024A	NCD	Commercial				
I) 49-57 West	2979A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	025	NCD	Commercial	-			
J) 41-47 West	2979A-	West Portal	Mixed Use	6	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	026	NCD		-			
K) 100 West	2988A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	001	NCD	Commercial	Ū			•
L) 118-126	2988A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
West Portal	003	NCD	Commercial	Ũ		100	<u>e</u>
Ave							
M) 130-140	2988A-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	No (Design)	Unknown
West Portal	031	NCD	Commercial	Ũ		itte (Deeligii)	O maronin
Ave	001	1100	Commonola				
N) 76-78 West	2931-	West Portal	Mixed Use	6	Yes	No (Design)	Unknown
Portal Ave	008	NCD		Ũ	100	ite (Beelgii)	<u>e</u>
O) 62-70 West	2931-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	007	NCD	Commercial	Ū		100	Ontriown
P) 54-60 West	2931-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	006	NCD	Commercial	0	INO	163	OTIKITOWIT
Q) 44-50 West	2931-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	005	NCD	Commercial	0	NO	163	OTIKHOWH
R) 36-40 West	2931-	West Portal	Wholly	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave	004A	NCD	Commercial	0	INU	165	UTIKITUWIT
				6	No	Vaa	Unknown
S) 32 West	2931- 004A	West Portal	Wholly Commercial	6	No	Yes	Unknown
Portal Ave		NCD P		A	Na	Na	
T) West Portal	2979-		Public	1	No	No	Unknown
Muni Station	013A	<u> </u>	Dublic	A	N 1 -	NI-	
U) 900-910	2919-	Р	Public	1	No	No	Unknown
Ulloa / 190	031						
Lenox Way							



- Site Search Area
- A U Alternative Site Locations

Alternative Site Location – A 98 West Portal



Location A is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This building was originally pursued by AT&T as a replacement for 37 Vicente Street micro facility. This building meets the network objective of expanding in-building and in-transit coverage and capacity to the proposed geographic service area; however, AT&T and the property owner were not able to comes to terms on a lease agreement. Therefore, without lease terms, it was determined that this was not a viable candidate.

Alternative Site Location - B 111-127 West Portal Avenue



Location B is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 10 feet. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 15 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the north and east is blocked by taller buildings. Inability to provide service to the proposed service area eliminates this candidate as a viable alternative.

Alternative Site Location – C 145 West Portal Avenue



Location C is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 15 feet. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 20 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the north and east is blocked by taller buildings. Inability to provide service to the proposed service area eliminates this candidate as a viable alternative.

Location D is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The search area is established in locations where the proposed facilities would incorporate into the network. This building is located on the southern edge of the search area. As a result, this building would not be able to provide the desired service to the northern portion of the defined service area. The building has a challenging design with a substantially taller addition at the front of the building and a flat roof in the rear. In order to meet the service objective to the west, the facility would need to be incorporated into the tallest portion of the building along the front façade. The pitched roof and dormer windows make a compatible design infeasible. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not a viable candidate within the defined search area.

Alternative Site Location - D 157-161 West Portal Avenue

Alternative Site Location - E 99 West Portal Avenue



Location E is located within the West Portal NCD and therefore a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the east is partially blocked by the adjacent taller building. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not the best candidate within the search area.

Location F is a wholly commercial structure located within the West Portal NCD and therefore a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. There has been no property owner response or interest in leasing a space for a wireless telecommunication facility despite multiple calls, letters and visits to the building to discuss the proposal. Due to lack of owner interested it was determined that this building was not a viable candidate.

Alternative Site Location - F 75-91 West Portal Avenue

Alternative Site Location - G 69 West Portal Avenue



Location G is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 10 feet. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 15 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the north and east is blocked by taller buildings making this location incapable of filling the service gap. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was unable to meet the defined service requirements.

Alternative Site Location –H 59-63 West Portal Avenue

Location H is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 10 feet. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 15 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the north and east is blocked by taller buildings making this location incapable of filling the service gap. The proposed location is on a large corner building that provides a direct line-of-sight to the defined service area that this mid-block building does not. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was unable to meet the defined service requirements.

Alternative Site Location - I 49-57 West Portal Avenue



Location I is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 15 feet. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the north, south and east is blocked by taller buildings making this location incapable of filling the service gap. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 20 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. Inability to provide service to the proposed service area eliminates this candidate as a viable alternative.

Alternative Site Location - J 41-47 West Portal Avenue



Location J is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The existing wireless sites were approved by the San Francisco Planning Department as an accessory use; therefore, the facility is not considered to be eligible as a co-location according to the WTS guidelines. According to Section 729.83 of the Zoning Ordinance under the zoning controls for the West Portal NCD zoning district, wireless telecommunication facilities (Public Uses) are not permitted above the second floor. This is a three-story commercial building and as a result a roof-mounted wireless telecommunication facility above the third floor was determined by the San Francisco Planning Department as not permitted. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not a viable candidate for the proposed wireless telecommunication facility.

Alternative Site Location - K 100 West Portal Avenue



Location K is located within the West Portal NCD and therefore a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This building appears suitable for a wireless telecommunication facility as it appears able to meet the network objective of expanding in-building and in-transit coverage and capacity to the proposed geographic service area; however, the construction and architectural design of the Proposed Location provides a better opportunity to incorporate a wireless telecommunication facility. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not the best candidate within the search area.

Alternative Site Location –L 118-126 West Portal Avenue



Location L is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The search area is established in locations where the proposed facilities would incorporate the network. The building is located on the southern edge of the search ring limiting its line-of-sight to the northern portion of the defined service area as line of site is blocked by a neighboring building. The location of the building mid-block does not provide as superior coverage and as the Proposed Location at 98 West Portal Avenue. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

Alternative Site Location –M 130-140 West Portal Avenue



Location M is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This alternative is located mid-block and a shorter structure than the Proposed Facility leading to an overall height loss of over 15 feet. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of sight to the north is blocked by taller buildings making this location incapable of filling the service gap. If placed on the roof, a facility here would need to extend over 20 feet over the existing roofline which exceeds the permitted height limit for the district and would not be consistent with the existing mass and scale of the building. Inability to provide service to the proposed service area eliminates this candidate as a viable alternative.

Alternative Site Location - N 76-78 West Portal Avenue



Location N is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The height and location of this building appears suitable for a wireless telecommunication facility. However, the building's architecture (steeply pitched roof, peeks of varying depth) does not provide an opportunity to incorporate the proposed wireless communication facility with minimal visual impact. In addition, the building is set back on the lot from the street, which results in a more limited line-of-sight to the defined search area. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search area.

Location O is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The building would not provide the necessary line-of-sight which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. Line-of-sight to the south and southwest is blocked by taller buildings making this location incapable of filling the service gap. Inability to provide service to the proposed service area eliminates this candidate as a viable alternative.

Alternative Site Location – O 62-70 West Portal Avenue

Alternative Site Location - P 54-60 West Portal Avenue

Location P is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This is a small one-story, mid-block building and would only be able to provide a limited line-of-sight to the north and south which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. The proposed location in a large corner building that provides a direct line-of-sight to the defined service area that this mid-block building does not. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was unable to meet the defined service requirements.

Alternative Site Location - Q 44-50 West Portal Avenue



Location Q is located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. This is a small one-story, mid-block building and would only be able to provide a limited line-of-sight to the north and south which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. The proposed location in a large corner building that provides a direct line-of-sight to the defined service area that this mid-block building does not. Therefore, it was determined that this alternative was not the most suitable candidate within the defined search **area**.



Alternative Site Location – R and S 36-40 and 32West Portal Avenue

Locations R and S are located within the West Portal NCD, a Preference 6 Location under the WTS guidelines. The search area is established in locations where the proposed facilities would incorporate the network. The building is located on the northern edge of the search ring limiting its line-of-sight to the southern and southeastern portion of the defined service area. Both of these locations are small one-story, mid-block buildings and that would only be able to provide a limited line-of-sight to the north and south which is required in order to meet the defined service objective. The proposed location is a large corner building that provides a direct line-of-sight to the defined service area that this midblock building does not. Therefore, it was determined that these locations were not the most suitable candidates within the defined search area.

Alternative Site Location - T West Portal Muni Station (Block: 2979 Lot: 013A)



Location T is located within the P (Public) zoning district and therefore a Preference 1 Location under the WTS guidelines. This parcel is occupied by the West Portal Avenue Muni Station and is located outside of the defined search area; however, it was evaluated due to its consideration as a Preferred Location. The design of this structure with the series of awnings serving as the roof does not provide a suitable location for the antennas to incorporate into the building. A wireless facility at this location would need to extend above the Muni lines to prevent interference with the radio frequency signal. Therefore, it was determined that this was not a viable candidate.

Alternative Site Location – U 900-910 Ulloa Street / 190 Lenox Way



Location U is located within the P (Public) zoning district and therefore a Preference 1 Location under the WTS guidelines. This building is occupied by the San Francisco Public Library – West Portal Branch and is located outside of the defined search area; however, it was evaluated due to its consideration as a Preferred Location. The building's architectural style and red clay roof does not provide an opportunity to incorporate the proposed wireless communication facility with minimal visual impact. In addition, the building's location outside of the search ring does not provide the necessary line-of-sight to the defined search area. Therefore, it was determined that this was not a viable candidate.