Commissioners,

On behalf of HAH 5 LLC (dba Have a Heart), we wish to state our support for the Conditional Use application for 146 Geary, LLC and urge the Commissioners to approve the Conditional Use authorization at today’s Planning Commission hearing.

Regards
Jay Smith-Hill,
on behalf of Alexis Bronson and Ryan Kunkel

Jay Smith-Hill
Associate Counsel, Corporate Development
Have a Heart

(503) 975-6577
Seattle, WA
http://haveaheartcc.com
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org

www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Evan Rosen <evan@thecultureofcollaboration.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 12, 2019 9:41 AM
To: Myrna, Joel, Sue, Frank, Milicent, Kathrin, Dennis, Jonas and Linda,

I'm writing regarding today's agenda item 2018-011904 CUA 1420 Taraval before the Planning Commission. Modifications that are easily reversed would seem like a weak reason to permit the demolition of a historical resource which is unique and quite different from the other "better" example of early Parkside architecture across the street. I live around the corner from this house of historical importance that is one of the oldest houses on Taraval. 1420 Taraval is unique and a different architectural style than the house across the street that the Planning staff believes is a "better" example. The modifications to this historical resource have been very modest and easily reversed and it would be devastating to the neighborhood to demolish this important structure with great integrity because of these very modest, easily-reversible modifications. 1420 Taraval is in many ways the soul of the Parkside District, and I and my neighbors along 25th avenue near Taraval are very concerned about the proposed demolition. I am requesting that the Planning Commission consider my comment, vote against the demolition of 1420 Taraval, and preserve this important historical resource with great integrity that is an integral part of the look, feel and character of San Francisco's Parkside district.

Thank you.

Evan Rosen
2371 25th Ave.
San Francisco, CA 94116
415-866-7279 cell
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Thursday, December 12, 2019
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
150-FOOT OBSERVATION WHEEL TO OFFER ‘BAY TO BREAKERS’ VIEWS FOR RIDERS DURING GOLDEN GATE PARK’S 150th ANNIVERSARY

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department and San Francisco Parks Alliance to lead yearlong celebration to honor “Everybody’s Park”

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced an illuminated 150-foot observation wheel is among the attractions being planned for Golden Gate Park’s 150th anniversary in 2020. The wheel will provide riders with sweeping views from the ocean to downtown San Francisco.

The observation wheel will launch on April 4th, 2020 and operate through March 1st, 2021. It is planned for Golden Gate Park’s Music Concourse, the outdoor plaza near the de Young Museum, the California Academy of Sciences, and the Japanese Tea Garden. The wheel features 36 fully enclosed, climate-controlled gondolas that each seat six passengers. It was previously installed in Louisville, Kentucky’s Waterfront Park; Cincinnati, Ohio; and Norfolk,
“Golden Gate Park is a San Francisco treasure and a place where everybody can enjoy the best that the City has to offer,” said Mayor Breed. “We want to celebrate the Park and give people a new way to appreciate the beauty of our City during this 150th anniversary celebration. This observation wheel is just the first of many exciting announcements we will be sharing in the coming months as we get ready for the coming year-long celebration.”

The San Francisco Recreation and Park Commission is expected to approve an agreement between the Recreation and Park Department and the attraction’s operator, SkyStar Wheel, LLC. This will be the first time an observation wheel of this scale has operated in San Francisco since Golden Gate Park hosted the Midwinter International Exposition of 1894, where the Firth Wheel was a major attraction, standing at 120 feet and carrying ten people per carriage.

“This 150th celebration provides an amazing opportunity to tell the story of Golden Gate Park—its past, present and future—and share all our park has to offer,” said Phil Ginsburg, General Manager of the San Francisco Recreation and Park Department. “The storied Music Concourse with its spectacular observation wheel will be the center of a yearlong party that connects yesteryear with tomorrow.”

The celebration of “Everybody’s Park” is being organized by the Recreation and Park Department and the San Francisco Parks Alliance. The anniversary events will bring together more than 150 cultural institutions and community groups to pay tribute to Golden Gate Park.

“This celebration will bring San Francisco communities together to be a part of an amazing tribute to Golden Gate Park and celebrate the many attractions within its 1,017 acres that are available to everybody young and old,” said Drew Becher, CEO of San Francisco Parks Alliance.

The yearlong celebration will include major events and attractions, including a free park-wide community celebration on April 4th—exactly 150 years after the California Legislature created Golden Gate Park. The celebration will bring together thousands of San Franciscans and visitors to experience Everybody’s Park and all that it has to offer for people of all ages and interests.

Mayor Breed will chair the Golden Gate Park 150 Honorary Committee with the Honorable Willie L. Brown Jr., Charlotte Shultz, Nancy Bechtle, Mark Buell and Rodney Fong serving as co-chairs. Institutional partners include the Conservatory of Flowers, de Young Museum, Japanese Tea Garden, National AIDS Memorial, San Francisco Public Library, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco Botanical Garden, San Francisco International Airport, San Francisco Travel, and SPUR. Presenting sponsors include Kaiser Permanente, Paul Simon and Another Planet Entertainment. Additional partners and supporters will be announced in the coming weeks.

“We are eagerly anticipating Golden Gate Park’s celebration, coinciding with our own 125th anniversary,” said Thomas Campbell, Director and CEO of the Fine Art Museums of San Francisco. “The park has been an incredible home to the de Young museum for the past 125 years and we eagerly await the opportunity to see it from new heights when the
observation wheel opens in 2020. Most importantly, we are proud to continue to offer free admission to all Bay Area residents every Saturday giving visitors to Golden Gate Park the opportunity to enjoy art from around the globe, here in their own backyard.”

The wheel is roughly as tall as the de Young Museum’s observation deck. The 12-minute ride will be $18, or $12 for seniors and children under 13. Rides will be free during the April 4th parkwide celebration.

“At the Academy, we’re committed to connecting people to nature and inspiring a deeper appreciation for our natural world,” said Dr. Scott Sampson, Executive Director of the California Academy of Sciences. “We’re thrilled to celebrate this exciting moment in the history of Golden Gate Park and we hope that San Francisco residents and visitors alike will come explore all that this incredible park has to offer in 2020 and beyond.”

A Golden Gate Park 150 website has been created at www.goldengatepark150.com that provides a guide to the special events, programming, exhibitions, history, amazing stories and the many things to do in the park. Information about the observation wheel in the Music Concourse, along with other events, will be announced in January as part of an official launch event.

In 1870, an act of the State Legislature created the boundaries of Golden Gate Park in western San Francisco. Skeptics said that that park could never be built, but a determined engineer teamed up with a skilled horticulturalist to make the sand dunes bloom, displaying the creativity and can-do spirit that has always been a part of San Francisco. Since that time, it has stood with a growing city as a haven from urban life and a place where San Franciscans have always come together. Today, Golden Gate Park’s 1,017 acres boast 24 million visits each year by those who come from across the city, the nation, and the world to visit its historic institutions, attend concerts, participate in races, and enjoy activities from soccer and lawn bowling to hiking and fly-casting.

###
Dear Members of the Planning commission:

I am a 79 year old San Franciscan who wholeheartedly approves the issuance of a conditional use permit for Liberty San Francisco's conversion of the Unity Church to the "Fillmore" Cannabis dispensary.

I have gone to the Unitary church for years, as it has been our precinct's polling place. I think it is the, perfect choice for a cannabis dispensary filling the void from Geary to Union, Van Ness to Divisidero. I live at 2040 Sutter st., one block down fillmore and around the corner, one block away from the site.

Parking is not an issue. The neighborhood is incredibly accessible by Muni, with the 38 and 1, three blocks away; the 2 and the 3, one stop away an, all d the 22 up and down Fillmore, both ways every day, all day. However, people from the neighborhood, tourists from out of state, and visitors from around the Bay make it an incredibly vibrant neighborhood, populated with friendly people of many diverse cultures.

A dispensary is what is missing in this centrally located neighborhood that has a strong medical presence.

CPMC's Pacific campus is six blocks away; CPMC Van ness Campus, 11 blocks, UCSF's Mount Zion
campus is five blocks to the west, All these facilities, as well as the pharmacies, make a vital contribution to the neighborhood.

I personally am dependent of Cannabis. Eleven years ago I had 3 open heart surgeries in 18 days. An aortic valve replacement turned into a staph infection that had to be cut away, with a sternumectomy, some ribs removed, along with costal cartilages. Then my heart blew up.

At that point in time, my survival was at risk. I was proscribed a heavy morphine dose daily, and was on it for five years until I quit cold turkey (bad) and was then was left to find a replacement. Weaning drugs like Vicodin made no sense, while regulations on painkillers became more stringent due to rampant use of opioids.

Mt chest is only attached to my spine. It moves constantly. the pain from my chest moving is constant. Cannabis is the only aid I use to calm my chest, relax my small motor muscles, manage my breathing, all in trying daily to keep my chest from locking up whole in strange positions.

Most important to me is the character and goals of the dispensary. Josh Genderson brings a fresh dedication to the community that thinks about people like me. I also have been impressed with the entire plan that was presented to the Planning Commission. Thank You......Michael Skredynski
Honorable Members of the Planning Commission,

Attached please find comments from our expert hydrogeologist. Please attach this to today's letter sent at 2:15 PM. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Thanks,
Toyer Grear
Office Manager / Paralegal
Lozeau Drury, LLP
1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94612
email: toyer@lozeaudrury.com
phone: 510-836-4200 / fax: 510-836-4205

On Wed, Dec 4, 2019 at 2:15 PM Toyer Grear <toyer@lozeaudrury.com> wrote:
Honorable Members of the Planning Commission,

Attached please find correspondence written on behalf of The Hollow Revolution ("THoR"), an association of neighbors living near 1776 Green Street, San Francisco, California, concerning the proposal to convert the existing automotive garage at 1776 Green Street.

Please note hard copies will follow by hand delivery. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact our office,

Thanks,
Toyer Grear
Office Manager / Paralegal
Lozeau Drury, LLP
1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150
Oakland, CA 94612
email: toyer@lozeaudrury.com
phone: 510-836-4200 / fax: 510-836-4205
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department
City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Maggie C. <magc05@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2019 8:02 AM
To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Lewis, Don (CPC) <don.lewis@sfgov.org>; May, Christopher (CPC) <christopher.may@sfgov.org>
Subject: 1776 Green Street: Case No. 2018-011430CUAVAR and 2018-011430ENV | Letter of Opposition

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs
Planning Department| City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----
From: Alice Rogers <arcomnsf@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2019 3:55 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>
Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; John Kevlin <jkevlin@reubenlaw.com>; Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC) <esmeralda.jardines@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>
Subject: Item 2005.0759ENX/OFA/VAR: 725 Harrison Street--support

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to express my personal support for the 725 Harrison Street project. Although large, it is well-sited so that the size and massing will feel appropriately-scaled, and the adjacent transit and freeway will support the office/PDR mix. And the micro-retail spaces should benefit from their proximity to 4th Street, said to be a focus of neighborhood commercial opportunities.

As importantly, the child care center and mix of interior and open air POPOS will be much appreciated in an area that is deficient in both. I also understand that, although not required by the City, the project sponsor will either construct or contribute $100,000 toward an important mid-block crossing flowing into Lapu Lapu. This crossing will be useful in connecting workers to the senior community garden (with benches and tables for public use) that opens onto Lapu Lapu, promoting increased generational and cultural interaction opportunities. The crossing has been called out in the Central SoMa Plan, but not funded, so I believe the sponsor’s offer will be welcomed by the City as well as the local community.

As a pedestrian safety advocate, I lobbied for this additional green connection and safety benefit.

Sincerely,

Alice Rogers
10 South Park, San Francisco

CC: Jonas Ionin
    Esmeralda Jardines
    John Kevlin
    Supervisor Haney
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Michelle Dobrow <msd7667@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2019 4:28 PM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>
CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: OPPOSED - 1846 Grove Street

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to the project at 1846 Grove Street and ask that you do not approve this conditional use application or grant variances, or grant the exemption from CEQA, for the following reasons:

- Though the project entrance is proposed near the intersection of Fulton and Masonic, the project is listed as 1846 Grove Street, in order to try to get approved under the radar
- This project impacts the 17 properties that share the property line
- The lot being developed is zoned RH-2 for a maximum of two housing units, not five
- No off-street parking is proposed in a neighborhood with a parking deficit
- The "flag lot" provides only a narrow access path to the street, and will be a hazard in case of an emergency evacuation due to fire
- The Class 3 CEQA Exemption only applies to "three new single-family units or six dwelling units in one building." The project is described as "Five new single-family units" and the diagram shows four separate buildings, not one building.
- This project should not be exempt from CEQA and we will be challenging this exemption if this project is approved.
I have lived at this address since 1993. It is a wonderful, quiet space and I fear that our neighborhood will be negatively impacted if this project is allowed to go through. I'm all for more affordable housing, that San Francisco so desperately needs. This project will do nothing to help that issue.

Thank you for your time,

Michelle Dobrow
613 Masonic Ave. 94117
Western Addition
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Melgar and Commissioners,

I have attached a letter regarding the Discretionary Review hearing scheduled for this Thursday in re 2452 Green Street.

Sincerely,

David MacGregor
2460 Green Street
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Wednesday, December 11, 2019
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***

MAYOR LONDON BREED, SUPERVISOR AHSHA SAFAÍ, AND SUPERVISOR VALLIE BROWN ANNOUNCE OPENING OF VEHICLE TRIAGE CENTER

New center at the Upper Yards near Balboa BART station will provide a safe place for people experiencing vehicular homelessness to sleep and access services

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed and Supervisors Ahsha Safaí and Vallie Brown today announced the opening of a Vehicle Triage Center near the Balboa Park BART station. The Triage Center was created as a pilot program to provide a secure location and services for people who are living in their vehicles. The goal is to assess people for housing through the Coordinated Entry System and serve both people who are highly vulnerable and targeted for Permanent Supportive Housing, as well as less vulnerable clients who are engaged in the Problem-Solving services to end their homelessness without a permanent subsidy.

The Vehicle Triage Center will include up to 30 parking spaces, 24/7 security, lighting, electricity, bathrooms, access to shower facilities, potable water, and mobile blackwater pumping services. Urban Alchemy will provide peer support and security for people staying at
the Vehicle Triage Center.

“As we work to expand capacity across our homeless response system and get people off the streets, this Vehicle Triage Center provides an important place for people who are living in RVs or in their cars to have regular access to the restroom, and other basic services,” said Mayor Breed. “The new Center will offer people living in their vehicles with an opportunity to connect with services and more forward on their path out of homelessness.”

“I am proud that our District will be the first to open a Safe Parking facility in the City’s history,” said Supervisor Safai. “Our community has worked diligently with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing over the past six months to create a successful program. We know 30 parking spots is not enough and we are looking forward to seeing this program grow and replicated in other parts of San Francisco.”

“It’s going to take time to build our way out of this housing crisis and in the interim we need an array of solutions,” said Supervisor Brown. “With the opening of this vehicle triage center, we are providing greater safety and dignity to people who depend on their cars for shelter and more. This is a solution tailored to one of the fastest growing groups experiencing homelessness.”

The San Francisco 2019 Point in Time Count found 8,011 people experiencing homelessness in San Francisco on any given night. 65% of people experiencing homelessness in San Francisco are living unsheltered on our streets, in encampments, or vehicles. A majority of the increase in people experiencing unsheltered homelessness was the result of a higher number of people living in vehicles.

People living in their vehicles have many different needs—some are highly vulnerable and have experienced homelessness for many years, and others are working and unable to afford housing. Nationally, communities are working to respond to this increasing need and no national best practices have emerged to date.

In April 2019, the Board of Supervisors passed Supervisor Safai and Supervisor Brown’s ordinance to create a pilot vehicle triage center to address the rise in vehicular homelessness. On July 15, 2019, Supervisor Safai announced a proposal for a one-year pilot program to operate a Vehicle Triage Center at a parking lot in Balboa Park at the intersection of Geneva and San Jose Avenues.

“This pilot is an example of the kind of collaboration and openness to welcoming people experiencing homelessness into our communities that San Francisco sorely needs,” said Jeff Kositsky, Director of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH). “HSH looks forward to this pilot and learning together with our partners, guests of the VTC and the surrounding community about what is most effective in serving people living in their vehicles so that they can get connected to services and ultimately end their experience of homelessness.

“Urban Alchemy is proud to be a part of the new generation of strategies and services designed to support the needs of displaced individuals,” said Urban Alchemy Executive Director, Lena Miller. “Urban Alchemy maintains a deep belief and philosophy that we must create safe, calm and beautiful spaces and services for displaced and unhoused individuals in order to facilitate their personal healing process and support them on their journey to stable and sustainable housing. We strive to uphold this commitment to quality and love in all of our
interactions with the community and throughout all of our services. We are proud to join the team of thought leaders and innovators at HSH, the HOT team, and the Mayor’s Office to create and innovate services that support our City’s most vulnerable residents.”

HSH’s Vehicle Encampment Resolution Team (VERT), a part of its Homeless Outreach Team (HOT), and partners will work with people living in their vehicles and build best practices for future interventions for people living in their vehicles. VERT will manage the outreach and engagement process based on the needs of the community they serve. VERT has already begun to survey those living in their vehicles to determine their needs and interest in services, offer Coordinated Entry Assessments, and identify those potentially interested in the Vehicle Triage Center.

All eligible participants will need to have completed a Coordinated Entry Assessment prior to being admitted to the Vehicle Triage Center. Coordinated Entry Assessments are available to any person experiencing homelessness by visiting an Access Point, through HOT/VERT, or requesting a mobile assessment. The Vehicle Triage Center will allow clients to either store their vehicle and enter a Temporary Shelter or reside in their vehicle onsite while accessing services. People will be allowed to stay onsite for up to 90 days, with options for renewal.

Mission Housing and the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development will be building 130 units of affordable housing on the site, which is expected to break ground in fall 2020. The Vehicle Triage Center will operate on the site until construction begins.

###
FYI

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Schuett, Rachel (CPC) <rachel.schuett@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2019 11:52 AM
To: Schuett, Rachel (CPC) <rachel.schuett@sfgov.org>
Cc: Compliance, PPS (ECN) <pps_compliance.ecn@sfgov.org>
Subject: Potrero Power Station (Case No. 2017-011878ENV) - Responses to Comments - Volume 3 publication

All:

The San Francisco Planning Department has published Volume 3 of the environmental impact report (EIR) for the Potrero Power Station Mixed-Use Development Project (Case No. 2017-011878ENV) the Responses to Comments document. The Responses to Comments document provides written responses to comments received on the Draft EIR on this project and includes an impact analysis for a project variant.

The Final EIR on the Potrero Power Station Mixed-Use Development Project consists of the Draft EIR (Volumes 1 and 2) together with the Response to Comments document (Volume 3). The Final EIR is available online at the following link: https://sfplanning.org/environmental-review-documents. Paper copies of the Draft EIR and the Responses to Comments document are available at the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, San Francisco, California; or at the San Francisco Environmental Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Ste. 400, San Francisco, California, by request.

The San Francisco Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on **January 9, 2020** at San Francisco City Hall (Room 400, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco) to consider the adequacy of the Final EIR. Call (415) 558-6422 the week of the public hearing for a recorded message giving a more specific time for the hearing. If the Planning Commission finds that the Final EIR complies with CEQA, it will certify the Final EIR. Following certification of the Final EIR, the City decision-makers will review and consider the certified Final EIR and the associated Mitigation...
Monitoring and Reporting Program before making a decision and taking an approval action on the project.

Thank you for your interest in this project.

Best,
Rachel

Rachel Schuett, Senior Planner
Environmental/Transportation Team, Environmental Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9030 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map
Dear President Melgar, Vice President Koppel, Commissioners Moore, Fung, Diamond, Johnson and Richards,

I know you are really busy this week with your selection process to replace Director Rahaim but I wanted to write to you. Please see below and I hope you will have some time to read the “historical email” from 2015 and the five points I want to make in this new email plus look over the attachments.

The 2015 email was sent after raising this issue of Alterations that were really Demolitions at the Commission, but prior to the meeting with Commissioner Richards and Staff in December 2015. That was the meeting with the five samples and it was said that 40% should have been reviewed by the reviewers but only 4% were reviewed.
Planning as Demolitions.** At that time these would have required a Mandatory DR, but now that review would be as a CUA.

I have shown you lots more photos and examples since 2015 unfortunately.

Here are the points I want to make in this email:

1. The Demo Calcs have never been adjusted as allowed by Section 317 since it was added to the Planning Code in 2007/2008. This adjustment is to make sure the policy of Section 317 has efficient implementation to preserve existing housing and preserve relative affordability….and that a project is an Alteration and *not* a Demolition.

However since 2013 the RH-1 value has been adjusted 5 times by the Zoning Administrator to reflect the increase price of housing. I have attached a chart showing the adjustments along with the original 2015 attachment below.

The Planning Commission has the ability to adjust the Demo Calcs, just as the ZA can adjust the value without any additional legislative action. For the RH-1 the current value is $2.2 million which means that any project in the RH-1 with a current appraisal at or above this dollar amount can be demolished without a CUA. To understand this further, please see Planning Code Section 317 or Page 7 of the Code Implementation Document for Section 317 or please see my June 10, 2019 letter that I emailed to all of you and hand delivered to President Melgar and Director Rahaim.

2. I don’t think it pays to try and solve this problem of Alterations that are really Demolitions once the project is underway or completed. Enforcement is tough and very time consuming. And it doesn’t really solve the problem. It isn’t protecting housing and relative affordability. There is no policy efficacy per Section 317. It is also hard to prove for most projects. Ms. Tam and her Staff are very able but very overburdened. On June 25, 2015 during General Public Comment in response to my testimony Mr. Sanchez and Commissioner Richards had an interesting discussion about Enforcement that is still pertinent today.

It is better for Staff to assess whether a project is an Alteration or a Demolition at the very beginning, when a project proposal lands at Intake. One way to do that even better would be by adjusting the Demo Calcs per Section 317 as the current Calcs are way too liberal.

3. Another way would be a new straightforward definition of Demolition. There have been two processes attempting to deal with this issue since 2016. Both were ill-fated. The RET was knee-capped two years ago right about now and Ordinance #181216 (Peskin legislation) was described as “dead” in October 2019.

4. The Demo Calcs are a *quantitative* definition of what constitutes a Demolition. However a simple *qualitative* definition could be that a Vertical Expansion is a Demolition. Because of the nature of construction on existing homes in the RH neighborhoods this is the case.

Here is the thing: Alterations that have Vertical Expansions have the same consequences as
Demolitions… the finished project is much more expensive and therefore does not meet the Relative Affordability criterion of the Planning Code which is really at the heart of the housing crisis.

Projects require sistering to build a Vertical Expansion and should logically be reviewed as a Demolition if policy efficacy under Section 317 is to be achieved.

5. A Demo Definition like this would need a change in the Planning Code. I think it is a realistic definition. The issues with Vertical Expansion have been discussed extensively by Building Department Staff at the two joint BIC/Planning Commission hearings and would require new legislation.

However you can adjust the Demo Calcs yourself (4 votes would do it!) and perhaps you can even adjust them two or three times since you have never adjusted them, unlike the ZA adjusting the value for appraisals in the RH-1 five times since 2013. (I discussed all this further in my June 10, 2019 letter.) The Planning Commission does not need the Building Inspection Commission or the Board of Supervisor to adjust the Demo Calcs.

Thanks and good luck in your selection process. I am sure you will do the right thing and your public outreach in your search was appreciated.

Sincerely,
Georgia Schuttish

*Of the seven projects listed below three of them were in the December 2015 five-project sample: 168 Jersey, 4326 Cesar Chavez and 709 27th Street.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Alterations that seem to be Demolitions
Date: April 27, 2015 at 12:35:05 PM PDT
To: "Sanchez Scott (CPC)" <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>, "Hui Tom (DBI)" <tom.hui@sfgov.org>, "Richards Dennis (CPC)" <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>
Cc: "Secretary Commissions (CPC)" <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Reply-To: Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>

Dear Mr. Sanchez and Mr. Hui and Commissioner Richards:

I know I have been talking about this for a while, but I just got a scanner on my printer so I am taking advantage of the technology to send these to you.

I would like to preface this by saying, I do not like feeling like some Inspector Javert going after project sponsors or the City. However,
my concern is for the overall issue of the character of the City and in particular the character of the Noe Valley neighborhood and the impact on the economics of housing. If there are rules it would be nice to see them enforced. And most importantly I think there should be a major distinction between an alteration permit that involves an expansion of a home to increase livability and an alteration permit that allows for the expansion of a home that is speculative in nature, and creates a totally different structure that is completely different from the home pre alteration and then brings about a major uptick in the prices.

Attached are the most recent projects that I have seen that appear to me to be demos, at least as a reasonable person could understand. But they have proceeded under alteration permits.

These projects are in the middle of construction currently and are not complete. I have another set which are completed projects and some I have photos of during the construction and others are just before and after because I did not think to take photos of them during the construction process. I will send the other set to you under a separate email.

Here are descriptions:

#1 23-29 Kronquist. This was approved by the Commission as a unit merger with minimal expansion after a DR hearing. (The DR was denied). There appears to be a permit granted OTC amending the original permit approved by the Planning Commission. Here are several different permits that I tried to sort out but I am no expert: 201403060046; 201412234447; 201305086422. Frankly, I watched this hearing on line and the Commission was very deliberate in their decision making and when I stumbled on this project site while out for a walk, I felt as though I was in an alternate universe. There seems to be no building left (29 Kronquist) and I think as the photos show there is nothing but open space to the hillside below.

#2 481 Jersey. This had a DR hearing in 2012. And was approved by Commission. The facade alteration seems extreme but based on the file, it seems the Commission was aware of this change. Still looks like a demo and would this pass today and should it have been passed then?

#3 168 Jersey. (I forgot to number this one). There was a 311 but no DR. This single family home is surrounded by a 6 unit building and a pair of flats. The sales price put this in the affordability category. As I mentioned at the public comment last week, I talked to a neighbor and he said they tried to get the project sponsor to have less intrusion into the mid block rear yard open space, but the project sponsor would not agree to that so the neighbors gave up. If the project sponsor had applied for a demolition permit, (a) would he have gotten it? (b) should he have gotten
it? (c) if he got it then could he have pushed the "new" building out to the blockface and preserved more of the rear yard for the neighbors?

#4 4326 Cesar Chavez This had a 311. This is RH 1 zoning. It sold for just over the affordability number in 2/2014. Couldn't they have gotten a demo permit as of right, instead of an alteration permit, when it really seems to be a demo?

#5 1014-1016 Diamond. This seems to be a unit merger because the property was marketed and sold as two units and is also considered affordable. I scanned you only one permit. There is an earlier permit #201312063527 that was approved OTC and states among other things, "no work on commercial space"

#6 709 27th Street. This had a 311, and was also considered affordable based on the sales price.

#7 50-52 Oakwood Street. Although not in Noe Valley, I included this one because the permit application #201302033195 said "facade improvements", but it seems more like facade obliteration to me.

Thank you very much and I will send you another email about the completed projects sometime soon.

Sincerely,

Georgia Schuttish
This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Jeffrey,
Attached please find the Castro CBD's letter of support the CUA for Sterling Bank & Trust. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you,
Andrea
Andrea Aiello  Executive Director
Castro/Upper Market CBD
ph: 415-500-1181
www.castrocbd.org
facebook.com/castrocbd
twitter.com/visitthecastro
FYI

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

Good Morning,

The Project Sponsor for 1420 Taraval Street has asked if this rendering could be sent to the Commissioners in advance of the Commission Hearing this Thursday. Is it possible to do so?

Regards,
Linda

Linda Ajello Hoagland, AICP Senior Planner
Southwest Team, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.6823 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

Please note that I am out of the office on Fridays

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
Linda

I will bring this to hearing but if possible to send to commissioners would be great.

Thanks

--
William Pashelinsky
1937 Hayes St
San Francisco, CA 94117
billpash@gmail.com
415.379.3676
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  
Tuesday, December 10, 2019  
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***  
MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES GUN BUY-BACK EVENT

Mayor Breed, San Francisco Police Department, United Playaz, and community organizations will host a gun buy-back event in SoMa, this Saturday, December 14th from 8:00am until 12:00pm

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed, Senator Scott Wiener, Assemblymember David Chiu, the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), and United Playaz today held a press conference to promote United Playaz 9th Gun Buyback event this Saturday, December 14th. The semi-annual gun buy-back event provides a place for people to turn in their weapons, no questions asked, and get guns off the streets and out of communities.

Gun Buy-Back Event  
United Playaz  
1038 Howard St., San Francisco, CA  
Saturday, December 14, 2019  
8:00am – 12:00pm

“We’ve made great progress reducing gun-related violence in San Francisco, but any loss of
life or injury from guns is unacceptable and has to stop,” said Mayor Breed. “We all have to work together to save lives and keep our communities safe. Events like this one are important because they provide a place for people to get guns out of their homes safely and legally.”

The San Francisco Police Department and United Playaz host gun buyback events at least twice per year. Following collection of the firearms, they are melted down and the parts are recycled to create jewelry and other goods that are sold to finance future gun buy-back events. United Playaz is a violence prevention and youth development organization that has worked in San Francisco for over 20 years.

“Through collaborations with community partners such as United Playaz, we’ve had great success preventing bloodshed in our communities,” said SFPD Chief William Scott. “Whether it’s gun violence on our streets or accidental discharges in our homes, these buy-backs help make San Francisco safer for everyone, particularly our families and youth.”

“United Playaz hosts these gun buy backs to show the relevance of our mission statement: ‘It takes the hood to save the hood,’” said Rudy Corpuz, Jr., Founder and Executive Director of United Playaz.

The Gun Buy-Back program has operated since 2014 and has collected over 1,000 guns. People can turn in their guns in exchange for $100 for a handgun and $200 for assault weapons. Funding for the gun buy-back was provided by philanthropic donations and a grant from the Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development.

Violent crime, particularly gun violence, dropped significantly in 2018. The findings from the SFPD’s 2018 CompStat Profile reflect progress from a number of new initiatives spearheaded by the SFPD and Mayor Breed, including increased foot patrols, hiring more officers, and stabilizing neighborhoods that experience a higher level of crime. The 2018 CompStat Profile shows that compared to 2017: homicides decreased 18 percent; homicides by firearms decreased 37 percent; non-fatal shooting incidents decreased 30 percent; and aggravated assaults decreased three percent. In 2018, the SFPD seized 1,276 firearms, a 25 percent year-over-year increase.

SFPD’s 2019 CompStat Profile will be released early next year.

###
Commissioners,

I am pleased to inform you that the above referenced DR has been withdrawn.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

Withdrawn for 12.12 - Already noticed / calendared.

David Winslow
Principal Architect
Design Review | Citywide and Current Planning
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 | San Francisco, California, 94103
T: (415) 575-9159

From: Chu, Mary <mchu@buchalter.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2019 3:00 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>
Cc: Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; juliebyoung@mac.com; Ryan Patterson <ryan@zfplaw.com>; Scott Emblidge <emblidge@mosconelaw.com>; Guerra, Alicia C. <aguerra@buchalter.com>
Subject: 2018-013697DRP-3500 Jackson St - Discretionary Review Withdrawal [IWOV-BN.FID2194655]

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.
Dear Ms. Melgar,

We are respectfully submitting the letter of withdrawal of the Request for Discretionary Review, Case No. 2018-013679DRP. Please see attached file.

Thank you!

Best regards,

Buchalter

Mary Chu
Legal Secretary to Alicia Guerra
T (415) 227-3657
mchu@buchalter.com

55 Second Street, Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94105-3493
www.buchalter.com

Notice To Recipient: This e-mail is meant for only the intended recipient of the transmission, and may be a communication privileged by law. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify us immediately of the error by return e-mail and please delete this message and any and all duplicates of this message from your system. Thank you in advance for your cooperation. For additional policies governing this e-mail, please see http://www.buchalter.com/about/firm-policies/.

This message was secured by Zix®.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Tuesday, December 10, 2019
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE ***
MENTAL HEALTH SF LEGISLATION APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY BY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Comprehensive plan put forward by Mayor London Breed, Supervisors Hillary Ronen, Matt Haney will help address mental health and substance use challenges in San Francisco

San Francisco, CA — Today the Board of Supervisors voted unanimously in favor of Mental Health SF, which is an overhaul of San Francisco’s mental health system and guarantees mental health care to all San Franciscans who lack insurance or who are experiencing homelessness. Mayor London N. Breed, Supervisors Hillary Ronen, and Supervisor Matt Haney co-sponsored the legislation.

“With the passage of Mental Health SF, we can keep moving ahead to address the serious mental health and substance use challenges on our city’s streets,” said Mayor Breed. “As we work to reform our entire mental health system, we’ll continue prioritizing the most vulnerable people, and providing targeted services to those who are experiencing homelessness, mental illness, and substance use disorder.”

Mayor Breed is committed to fast tracking implementation of the legislation and will prioritize
the hiring of a new Director of Mental Health SF by next summer. Additionally, a 13-person implementation working group will be impaneled to begin the process of developing recommendations on how best to reform and expand the City’s mental health services.

Prior to introducing the Mental Health SF legislation, Mayor Breed and Supervisors Ronen and Haney had submitted separate initiatives for the March 2020 ballot. Talks between the Mayor’s office, the Supervisors, community stakeholders, and union leaders representing front-line workers led to a compromise proposal focused on those most in need, allowing both previous versions to be withdrawn from the ballot. Mental Health SF prioritizes people experiencing homelessness, includes a central access location for behavioral health services, and creates a new Office of Private Insurance Accountability.

“Supervisor Haney and I began this work to reform our mental health care system two years ago, in partnership with nurses and front-line mental health care workers,” said Supervisor Ronen. “I am thrilled that Mayor Breed has now joined us as a co-sponsor of Mental Health SF, and that the Board unanimously passed this legislation today. This is a concrete plan that will help vulnerable people who are suffering from mental illness and substance abuse. It’s long past due for City Hall to take action on our mental health crisis and I’m so pleased that we were able to come together to make it happen.”

**Prioritizing People Experiencing Homelessness**

Mental Health SF will focus first on serving people who are homeless with serious mental illness or substance use disorders and will prioritize getting people off the street and into care. The program is also open to the uninsured and those who the Department of Public Health already serves. The system improvements targeting the homeless population will extend to the broader populations served as well.

**Mental Health Service Center**

Mental Health SF calls for the creation of a Mental Health Service Center that will serve as a central access portal for uninsured and homeless San Franciscans seeking access to mental health care. Mental Health SF will expand a centralized drop-in center for people in need of immediate behavioral health care, including those who come in voluntarily and providing another drop-off destination for first responders and the jail, strengthening coordination with law enforcement partners. The Center will provide expanded capacity for several levels of care, including pharmacy services and care coordination.

**Office of Private Insurance Accountability**

The Mental Health SF legislation creates an Office of Private Insurance Accountability that will advocate for insured people with mental illness to make sure that they receive the care to which they are legally entitled.

“Passing Mental Health SF is a huge step forward, but we still have a long way to go,” said Supervisor Haney. “Supervisor Ronen and I are committed to working with the Mayor, our colleagues, and stakeholders to ensure that Mental Health SF is fully implemented and fully funded. We will not rest until every component of this program is up and running. The crisis on our streets continues to have a devastating impact on our residents and neighborhoods, and Mental Health SF is the solution we urgently need.”

Mayor Breed and Supervisors Ronen and Haney have committed to working together to secure the approximately $100 million annually needed for Mental Health SF’s
implementation. The City will continue making investments immediately and in the upcoming budget to meet the goals of Mental Health SF, however, several elements of Mental Health SF will be dependent on identifying new revenue sources. Mayor Breed and Board of Supervisors President Norman Yee have asked the Controller to convene a process to reform the City’s business tax, which could provide a new revenue stream for Mental Health SF. The City Administrator and the Capital Planning Committee are also looking at moving up a Public Health Bond for the November 2020 election to help pay for capital improvements.

As the Mayor and Supervisors work to identify funding for the longer-term elements of Mental Health SF, the City will continue providing mental health and substance use treatment services to as many homeless individuals as possible. This immediate action includes continuing to prioritize healthcare and housing for the most vulnerable of the 4,000 who are experiencing homelessness, and have both a mental illness and a substance use disorder, as identified by the Department of Public Health.

The City will continue to expand treatment capacity and reduce administrative barriers to eliminate wait times for services. This will include adding new behavioral health treatment beds, creating new meth sobering centers and managed alcohol facilities, expanding access to existing City services, and ensuring there are navigators and case managers to help people get into care. These improvements will be folded into Mental Health SF as the new program becomes operational.

“Mental Health SF is an opportunity to come together and do great things—this time for San Franciscans experiencing homelessness, mental illness and substance use disorders,” said Dr. Grant Colfax, Director of Health. “An initiative of this magnitude promises to help transform our behavioral health care system. With the support of Mayor Breed and the Board of Supervisors, the expertise of the Department of Public Health, and the wisdom of our community, we can make a difference and save lives.”

###
Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission and Mayor Breed,

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 Fax: 415-558-6409
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: ROGER DAWSON - CPOST <roger@cpost.com>
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2019 5:13 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Brown, Vallie (BOS) <vallie.brown@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <dan.sider@sfgov.org>; Conner, Kate (CPC) <kate.conner@sfgov.org>; Kwiatkowska, Natalia (CPC) <natalia.kwiatkowska@sfgov.org>; Boudreaux, Marcelle (CPC) <marcelle.boudreaux@sfgov.org>; Sayed, Khaled M. (KGO-TV) <Khaled.M.Sayed@abc.com>; Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Rahaim, John (CPC) <john.rahaim@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Temprano, Tom (BOS) <tom.temprano@sfgov.org>; Fieber, Jennifer <jennifer@sftu.org>; Curran <sffmeancat@yahoo.com>; Noyes, Dan <abc.com>; PixNEWSASSIGN.EDITORS@CBS.COM; KTVU2inveigates@foxtv.com; stories@nbcbayarea.com; breakingnews@kron4.com; metrodesk@sfcchronicle.com; acooper@sfcchronicle.com; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC) <jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org>; Rohm <ozzie.romh@sbcglobal.net>; Woodrow, Melanie <melanie.woodrow@abc.com>; Cityattorney <cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; office@greensteinmcdonald.com; Roger Dawson <rogerpost@icloud.com>; pmatier@sfcchronicle.com; projecthome@csbs.com; votedean2019@gmail.com; Chen, Josephine (CPC) <josephine.chen@sfgov.org>; PIC, PLN (CPC) <pic@sfgov.org>; theunit@nbcbayarea.com
Subject: 801 Corbett ADU Nightmare
For the better part of this year I have been pleading with all of you to do something about the abuse of the ADU. Early this morning I was woken up by the choking vapors of carbon monoxide and the noises of machinery, drilling and breaking of concrete. As I sit in my living room trying to write this, the noise is unbearable and I can barely think or breathe. There was no notice given, there were no building permits or planning applications filed and when I went down to talk to the crew they said they were hired by the owner/architects to drill and take soil samples for fear that the steeply inclined hill upon which this building rests might give way if an ADU were built here.

Someone needs to investigate why such destructive testing with the tearing up of concrete and the boring of large holes is being done with no permits or applications filed.

Even more alarming, is the fact that this building sitting on such a steep hill will surely suffer some catastrophe in the event of an earthquake or flooding if it’s loading is modified with ADU’s. Obviously now after my repeated warnings to them, the owners and their contractors have become paranoid to the point where they have initiated destructive testing. This building is too sensitive and should just not be disturbed. The City must not allow their greedy ADU plans to be approved, the impact on us residents from both the construction and the subsequent danger of our home sliding down the hill onto Market Street are too much.

This activity is so horrific and destructive that I recorded it on video so that you may see for yourself how terrible it is. With every deep breath I take, I am coughing from the generator’s carbon monoxide, my eyes are red and irritated from concrete dust which is permeating the building and my ears are ringing from the noise of the jackhammer... louder than anything I’ve ever heard before in my life because of the amplification in the garage. Right now I am a nervous wreck. Imagine trying to live with this for two years of ADU construction. I can’t, and it would surely be the death of me as well as causing damages to my 30 fellow residents.

Here is the video documentation I made today and uploaded to YouTube:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WkdWkUMuHmM&feature=youtu.be
The Board of Supervisors needs to implement immediate measures reforming this defective legislation, and concurrently give the Planning Commission the authority to reject ADU applications that negatively impact existing tenants.

Why are we continuing to allow greedy cold-blooded developers to weaponize the ADU and attack our low income rent controlled Senior Citizens?

With an attitude of "we are far superior to the people we rent to", greedy Landlord Supremacists are abusing renters, treating them like cattle in a pen and arrogantly destroying the harmony of our city. I have never seen behavior this abhorrent in my 60+ years of living here. Landlords here in San Francisco have a virtual monopoly (via collusion) on the housing market and they relish and abuse the power it gives them. When did it become OK to allow landlords to disrespect renters so blatantly? Rumors abound about developers using their wealth to influence peddle here in our City. Honestly, if we were living at the zoo we’d be better protected and such abuse and harassment would result in arrests. Even one of the Planning Commissioners, at a meeting I attended in March, expressed her anxiety at being a renter here in SF and living with the threat of eviction, another expressed relief that he was able to buy a house.

Three actions should immediately be implemented to restore San Francisco to a peaceful, respectful place for renters to live.

1. Give the Planning Commission the necessary and immediate authority that they can consider the well-being of tenants as the most important factor in approving or disapproving ADU projects here in the city.

2. Add protections to the ADU for current residents of rent controlled buildings: No amenities relied upon by existing residents shall be infringed for the purpose of adding additional units to include: access, parking, laundry and storage. Additional units shall be properly insulated for sound to minimize disturbing adjacent units. Construction of additional units shall respect the current residents and not disrupt their access, parking or other amenities. Residents shall be protected from the noise, vibration and
dust of demolition & construction. Construction shall be completed within a reasonable length of time.

3. Put a stop to Landlord Supremacist's abuse of renters by instituting a $250,000 fine for any landlord caught harassing tenants, not responding to their needs in a timely manner or otherwise negatively affecting the quality of their life at their residence. We need to change their attitude from one of arrogance to one of walking on eggshells in consideration of their tenant’s well being.

A law like #3 would change the landscape to one of landlords who truly care about their tenants. All three actions would give thousands peace of mind and tranquility at home here in The City.

I was one of the first whistle-blowers (a year ago) to bring ADU abuse to the attention of the Supervisors and later the Planning Commission at a hearing on 3/14/19.

As a senior citizen with disabilities and on a fixed income, my rent controlled apartment at 801 Corbett Ave. on Twin Peaks has been my home and my sanctuary for 12 years.

It allows me to live my life in quiet peace, manage my pain and maintain my mobility and independence. If an ADU were allowed in the garage, not only would it take away access to my car so badly needed for my health issues, but the construction noise will be intolerable for me and my fellow residents who live directly on top of the garage. This building has very thin floors and the concrete garage is an echo chamber that will be excruciating if there is continuous construction for two years. I would not be able to tolerate 2 years of extreme noise/shock/vibration. It would surely be my death sentence as the stress would give me a heart attack. Noise is a health factor which is just as deadly as pollution, carcinogens and cholesterol.
Because of this and my efforts to prevent the disruption of the lives at my building, I have faced constant retaliation by new owner/speculator Mark Hyatt (aka: MEH Pioneer, LLC) and Joe Peters his ADU developer.

Wealthy Newport Beach (registered Republican) Mark E. Hyatt (aka MEH Pioneer, LLC) is extremely secretive and there are no images of him anywhere. However, his wife "Honeybee" (yes, her real name) loves flaunting their wealth (and CO2 emissions) for the news in Orange County. Mr. Hyatt has never returned any of the dozens of calls made and emails I have sent to him regarding harassment by his developer Joe Peters, neglected building maintenance issues, or even flooding emergencies. Not even a response regarding a large water damage hole in the fire sprinkler section of our garage ceiling that hasn't been repaired in over 10 months now.
This is very alarming to us all since Mark Hyatt's other building in Redwood City turned into a tragic inferno:

The San Mateo County Times - 2013

The six-alarm fire in the 72-unit Hallmark House Apartments at 531 Woodside Road displaced 97 residents and killed one tenant — 48-year-old Darin Michael Demello-Pine. About 20 people, including three firefighters, were injured as a result of the fire, first reported around 2 a.m. on July 7. A lawsuit, filed in San Mateo County Superior Court on behalf of Jorge and Juanita Chavez, states that Hallmark House residents “suffered displacement, fear, emotional trauma, and the loss of most of their life’s possessions” because of the fire. The building’s owner, KDF Hallmark LP, is to blame for the way the fire spread, according to the lawsuit, because it failed to “properly inspect, maintain and safeguard the property from a foreseeable unit fire.” KDF founder Mark Hyatt said in a phone interview that he can’t comment on the pending legal action.

Because of my outspoken opposition to the ADU plans that they have here, I have been the victim of an ever increasing amount of harassment by Joe Peters, the developer hired by Mark Hyatt. Joe Peters moved here from NY and has now made it his full time activity to exploit the ADU law for the quick enrichment of out of town speculators. Developer Joe Peters is the worst human being I've ever encountered in my entire life. I have been the victim of an ongoing campaign of abuse that has left me (a senior citizen with disabilities) terrified and a nervous wreck.

• He has followed me with a camera taking pictures of me and then sends me printouts letting me know he is "watching" me. Intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.
• He has come to the building late at night knocking on my door, waking me up and taunting me. I have had to call the police to escort him off the property. An intentional infliction of emotional distress upon me.

• In collusion with the owner Mark Hyatt they have conspired to isolate me by having the organization not respond to my requests. When I confronted him about this he just looked at me with a sickly smile and (almost proudly) acknowledged that no one is going to talk or respond to me. My requests go unanswered and the building continues to deteriorate. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.

• Despite my emotional pleading with him, he deliberately removed the security system protecting our cars in the garage. It had been keeping us safe for years preventing burglaries and even helping the police catch vicious gang suspects that were doing crime all over the city. As soon as he tore it down we had a rash of burglaries in the garage and no more protection for our vehicles. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me and the other tenants.

• He has repeatedly threatened me with eviction in an arrogant and abusive manner. He takes every opportunity to remind me of the eviction power he has because of his employment by the owner. Again, intentionally inflicting emotional distress upon me.

I believe he is doing all this because he perceives me as being old and perhaps easily intimidated. He is attacking those of us who are most vulnerable. **Is this Elder Abuse? Someone needs to investigate this.**

As I get ready to mail my $1900 rent, it sickens me that my own money is being used against me, to pay Joe Peters to harass me, maybe to make donations to Trump and to put gas into Mr. Hyatt's enormous, hideous, CO2 belching Cadillac Escalade.

I believe these people have but one priority: to stuff the building's garage with an extra unit or two and then flip it for what they hope will be a big profit. I don't think they give a rat's a$$ about the housing situation here in Our City because I have never seen the building with so many vacant units since they took over.
That is the problem that the ADU has created and it must be addressed and these people must be stopped before their actions further erode my health and well being as well as negatively affecting the 30 other tenants who live here.

Something must be done by those of you on the Board of Supervisors and at the Planning Commission so that when this Joe Peters files for an ADU permit representing MEH Pioneer, LLC (aka Mark E. Hyatt) it can be rejected for its substantial negative impact on those of us who call 801 Corbett Ave. home.

Sincerely,

Roger Dawson  
801 Corbett, # 15  
San Francisco, CA 94131  

Cell: (650) 218-5431
### FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES COMPLETION OF OCTAVIA ‘OPEN STREET’ PROJECT

**Date:** Monday, December 09, 2019 4:56:30 PM  
**Attachments:** 12.09.19 Octavia Open Street.pdf

---

**Jonas P. Ionin,**  
*Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department, City & County of San Francisco  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409  
jonas.ionin@sfgov.org  
www.sfplanning.org

---

**From:** Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 09, 2019 11:35 AM  
**To:** Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES COMPLETION OF OCTAVIA ‘OPEN STREET’ PROJECT

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:**  
Monday, December 9, 2019  
Contact: Mayor’s Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

---

### *** PRESS RELEASE ***

**MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES COMPLETION OF OCTAVIA ‘OPEN STREET’ PROJECT**

*Octavia Street, between Linden and Hayes Streets will be closed to vehicles, making way for car-free public space and safer walking and biking*

**San Francisco, CA** — Mayor London N. Breed and Supervisor Vallie Brown today announced the completion of the Octavia ‘Open Street’ Project in Hayes Valley. The Open Street Project was designed by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to reopen a portion of Octavia Street as public space to be enjoyed by the neighborhood and community.

“Our neighborhoods deserve to have public spaces that benefit our residents, visitors and local businesses,” said Mayor Breed. “Before Octavia Street, this was the Central Freeway, a space dedicated to moving cars quickly through this neighborhood. Today, we are creating a space for friends and family to play, shop, and enjoy Hayes Valley. This is one example of our larger efforts to create more open space in San Francisco to benefit the community.”
“Patricia’s Green is the Heart of Hayes Valley,” said Supervisor Vallie Brown. “It is where neighbors and friends meet and dogs and children play. With this project the City expanded the heart of Hayes Valley and made a critical investment in a future in which the community can safely enjoy open space in the center of a thriving dense neighborhood.”

“We are very excited to see this pilot project made permanent,” said Tom Maguire, SFMTA Interim Director of Transportation. “Anytime we can add more public space for our city and improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists is a win for everyone. The successful short term closures of Octavia around Patricia’s Green showed us that this truly is an improvement to the area and the added space is a huge upgrade for the neighborhood.”

The project is part of the Octavia Boulevard Enhancement Program, which seeks to make the boulevard and surrounding streets safer, more pedestrian and bicycle friendly, and supportive of the needs of the Hayes Valley community. The ‘Open Street’ Project provides an extension of public space at Patricia’s Green.

“San Francisco is joining cities from around the world that are creating car-free people-first places,” said Jodie Medeiros, Executive Director of Walk San Francisco. “This supports climate goals, boost local economies and helps reduce severe and fatal traffic crashes. This small but significant stretch of Octavia Street is just the beginning of a long list of streets where we can establish more car-free streets that prioritize people.”

“Patricia’s Green is the heart of the neighborhood,” said Craig Hamburg of the Hayes Valley Neighborhood Association. “This project will expand on its success, providing much needed open space to locals as well as visitors from near and far. Like the original Market and Octavia Area Plan, this effort further prioritizes people walking and biking in the neighborhood. We are happy to see the City and public come together to make Hayes Valley a safer and more vibrant place, and would like to thank all involved in making this project, and the new open space a reality.”

In addition to the ‘Open Street’ portion, the project includes safety improvements such as shorter pedestrian crossings and improved intersection visibility. The redesigned street will also allow bicycles to make a safe, protected connection from South of Market through Hayes Valley. This project mirrors others efforts around the City to reprioritize how streets are used. For example, the first phase of the Better Market Street project will be implemented in January, prioritizing transit, biking, and walking by removing private vehicles from a large segment of the street.
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Commissioners,
Attached are your Calendars for December 9, 10, and 12, 2019.

Commissioner Johnson,
Please review the previous hearing and materials for 146 Geary Street.
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