From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON DECLARING JUNE LGBTQ PRIDE MONTH

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 10:43:34 AM

Attachments: 6.7.18 Pride Month.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2018 10:33 AM To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON DECLARING JUNE LGBTQ PRIDE MONTH

### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, June 7, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON DECLARING JUNE LGBTQ PRIDE MONTH

"On Monday, while we were raising the Rainbow Flag over City Hall to kick off Pride Month in San Francisco, the Supreme Court issued a decision in the Masterpiece Cakeshop case that troubled many of our LGBQT residents.

I am here to state unequivocally that this does not change San Francisco's longstanding commitment to protect the rights of our LGBTQ community members. Now, more than ever, it is critical for our great city to be a beacon of hope and freedom for the rest of the country.

In San Francisco, we are steadfast in our opposition to discrimination of all kinds. Due to its recently passed anti-LGBTQ adoption law, Oklahoma has been added to the list of states prohibited from city-funded travel and contracting. We know that it is important to not only a stand against discrimination, but also to celebrate and support the communities that make this city a vibrant and incredible place to live. Pride Month gives us that opportunity.

We are proud to once again declare June LGBTQ Pride Month in the City of San Francisco. The Pride Flag will fly over City Hall, acting as a tribute to the generations of pioneers who

came before us and as a celebration of the strong leaders we have with us today."

**Subject:** FW: Please support ADU legislation sponsored by Katy Tang

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:53:33 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: JL [mailto:mrbokchoi@gmail.com] Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:49 AM

**To:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); asha.safai@sfgov.org; Kim, Jane

(BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS)

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Haddadan, Kimia (CPC); Mohan, Menaka (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)

Subject: Please support ADU legislation sponsored by Katy Tang

Dear Supervisors and Planning Commissioners,

I am a renter living in the Outer Sunset. I am writing to you to express my support for the ADU legislation sponsored by Supervisor Katy Tang (2018-004194PCA, [Board File No.180268]).

San Francisco is in a housing crisis and because of this, people are unable to stay and live here because the entire Bay Area is so unaffordable. As someone who is born and raised in San Francisco Sunset district, I have no choice but to live in an ADU because the supply of housing units is so low that I am oftentimes priced out. Many of my high school friends that grew up with me in the Sunset also live in ADUs as well. We are all very grateful to have access to housing and without the ADUs, we would be homeless or force to move out of the city that we were born in.

Please approve this important piece of legislation.

Thanks,

Your Name Jimmy From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject: FW: Please support ADU legislation

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:04:00 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

### jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Chris Shaffer [mailto:chris.shaffer@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 7:39 PM

**To:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); asha.safai@sfgov.org; Kim, Jane

(BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS)

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Haddadan, Kimia (CPC); Mohan, Menaka (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)

Subject: Please support ADU legislation

Dear Supervisors and Planning Commissioners,

I am a renter in the Sunset and I want to express my support for the ADU legislation sponsored by Supervisor Katy Tang (2018-004194PCA, [Board File No.180268]). Please approve it!

Thanks.

Chris Shaffer 1524 18th Ave San Francisco, CA 94122

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

**Subject:** FW: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:03:39 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Jennifer Gette [mailto:jennifergette@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 6:07 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance

### Hi:

I am in support of the Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance as a way to keep more families in SF. Real estate prices make it very challenging for families to buy an home that can accommodate their family, and the current improvements process is very tedious and restrictive. Please consider streamlining the housing improvements process to allow for small changes with less red tape. This will keep more families in SF!

### https://sfmayor.org/article/executive-directive-17-02

Jennifer Gette Public School Parent and long-time SF resident 407A Washington Blvd. SF 94129 415-939-4616

Thanks, Jen

Please excuse brevity and typos as this message is sent via iPhone

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Mayors process improvements

Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:03:32 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Tushar M Patel [mailto:tusharpatel@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 8:16 AM **To:** Secretary, Commissions (CPC) **Subject:** Mayors process improvements

I support the Mayor's process Improvements Ordinance.

I live at 479 28th St., San Francisco CA 94131.

**Tushar Patel** 

To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin

(CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

**Subject:** FW: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:03:25 AM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

----Original Message-----

From: Laura Lison [mailto:leblison@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 8:49 PM To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance

I am in support of the Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance Laura Lison 30 Chaves Ave. San Francisco,CA 94127

**Subject:** FW: Planning Commission Powers Regarding Demolition Calculations

**Date:** Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:03:00 AM

Attachments: Demo Calc Adjustments.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309 Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Schuttish [mailto:schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2018 7:54 AM

To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Kathrin Moore; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC);

Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Rahaim, John (CPC); Sanchez, Scott (CPC)

Subject: Planning Commission Powers Regarding Demolition Calculations

### Dear Commissioners:

Good morning.

Attached is a letter regarding Demo Calcs.

I was prompted to write it because of a project on Chattanooga Street off of 24th Street that has just hit the market asking for \$7.65 million dollars. \$7,650,000.00! That means a monthly mortgage of about \$35,915.00, which is basically \$36K per month.

I hope you will read the letter and the attachments and consider my request to you.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Georgia

From: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

To: <u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY</u>

Cc: Sider, Dan (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC); Conner, Kate (CPC); Karunaratne, Kanishka (MYR)

**Subject:** FW: Support for the Mayor"s Process Improvement Ordinance

**Date:** Wednesday, June 06, 2018 6:33:15 PM

### Jacob Bintliff, MCP

Senior Planner

San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415.575.9170 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

**From:** Jonathan Pearlman [mailto:jonathan@elevationarchitects.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 4:32 PM

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Rich Hillis; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com;

millicent.johnson@sfgov.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

**Subject:** Support for the Mayor's Process Improvement Ordinance

Dear President Hillis and Commission Members,

I am writing in support of case 2018-004366PCA for the Mayor's Process Improvement Ordinance. All of the elements of the proposed ordinance will go a long way to not only streamline the process for the staff, but will also help to make the current draconian system slightly easier for the public to understand and navigate.

As a practicing architect in San Francisco for 25 years, I have watched as the Planning Code has ballooned with much more regulation and, in many cases, odd discrepancies such as the varying time for notification that depends on the particular type of review being noticed. It never made sense to me why a variance notification, which is a request to do something *not* allowed in the code, would be 10 days *less* than for a sec. 311 notification which is for projects that are fully code-compliant. By making all of the notification periods the same, this will remove much of the head-scratching about what is required for projects. In addition, making all of the posters the same will serve a similar purpose.

The allowance of the sec. 136 "pop-outs" as either over-the-counter or at least not requiring notification is a step in the right direction. While a request for a permit for a "pop-out" as a stand alone project is now relatively rare, the idea that one could get permits quickly to add the space for an additional bedroom for a new baby or for aging parents with minor interior modifications coupled with the "pop-out" would allow this type of project to become far more common. And in the same vein, the creation of more space for an ADU with a one-story "pop-out" with space at the ground floor (behind a garage, for instance) will make that type of construction much more appealing.

The concern expressed by some about their loss of some control over the property development in their neighborhood is not well-founded. While there may not be notification, it does appear that the requirements would include notifying the immediate neighbors prior to applying for permits as well as the right of appeal at the Board of Appeals.

Clogging up the Planning Department with many months of review for small projects such as

these does not serve anyone and certainly does not have any effect on the overall built environment of the city. This type of project, if submitted under the current system, could take upwards of 1 1/2 years to get a permit. That is patently absurd and only serves to drive up the cost of housing and continues to erode the public's trust of the Planning and Building Department's ability to get anything done. It is discouraging to talk to my clients about the process that they will go through and have them crest-fallen because of the costs, the time and the lack of surety in them receiving the "green light" to do code-allowed work on their property. While but a small step, these process improvements will help to relieve some of the pressure on the Planning staff as well as offer some sense of sanity to a treacherous process.

I encourage you to vote to support this Ordinance

Jonathan

Jonathan Pearlman

ELEVATIONarchitects
1159 Green Street, Suite 4
San Francisco, CA 94109

v: 415.537.1125 x101 c: 415.225.3973

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Planning permit streamline process

 Date:
 Wednesday, June 06, 2018 4:02:08 PM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Michael Lam [mailto:michaelkylam2002@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 06, 2018 3:49 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Planning permit streamline process

I am in support of Mayor's process improvements Ordinance, especially in streamlining the process and allow the planning department to review smaller additions over the counter.

Michael Lam 745 Darien Way, San Francisco, CA 94127

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Subject: FW: Support for 2018-004194PCA, [Board File No.180268]

**Date:** Wednesday, June 06, 2018 4:01:58 PM

Attachments: ADU legislation June 2018.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

### jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Westside = best side! [mailto:westsidebestsidesf@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 4:00 PM

**To:** Rich Hillis; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); asha.safai@sfgov.org; Kim, Jane (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS)

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Haddadan, Kimia (CPC); Mohan, Menaka (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)

Subject: Support for 2018-004194PCA, [Board File No.180268]

Dear Supervisors and Commissioners,

Please find attached our letter of enthusiastic support for the proposed ADU legislation to be discussed at this week's Planning Commission and at next week's Land Use and Transportation Committee. We ask that you please approve this item.

Thanks for your time,

Giovanna Guevara

Westside = best side! community organizer

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

Subject:FW: Process Improvements OrdinanceDate:Wednesday, June 06, 2018 3:19:39 PM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

Cc:

----Original Message-----

From: Peggy [mailto:peggyelee@gmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 3:16 PM To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC) Subject: Process Improvements Ordinance

### **Dear Commissioners**

I am in support of the Mayor's process Improvements Ordinance started by late Mayor Ed Lee. We need a streamline process and less cumbersome process to renovating homes.

Thank you, Peggy

Peggy Lee Mirpuri 65 Maywood Dr San Francisco CA 94127

Sent from my iPhone

Subject: FW: Request for Continuances from Supervisor Cohen

Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 9:37:20 AM Attachments: Cohen 6.5.18 Continuance Request.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Cohen, Malia (BOS)

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:39 PM

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); 'planning@rodneyfong.com';

'richhillissf@yahoo.com'; 'mooreurban@aol.com'

Cc: Rahaim, John (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Chicuata, Brittni (BOS)

Subject: Request for Continuances from Supervisor Cohen

June 5, 2018

Richard Hillis President San Francisco Planning Commission Commission Chambers, City Hall, Room 400

Re: Request for Continuance for Case No. 2015-009015DRP-03, Case No. 2014-001400ENX, and Case No. 2014.0231CUA

President Hillis and Members of the Planning Commission:

I respectfully request that you continue Case No. 2015-009015DRP-03, Case No. 2014-001400ENX, and Case No. 2014.0231CUA on the June 7, 2018 agenda. These items are related to a Request for Discretionary Review of a project at 75, 77, 79-81 Leland, a Request for a Large Project Authorization for a project at 2750 19<sup>th</sup> Street, and Request for Conditional Use Authorization of a project at 331 Pennsylvania, respectfully.

The project sponsors for these items have not reached out to give me an opportunity to speak with them and address my concerns about each project.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Malin

Malia Cohen Member, Board of Supervisors

cc: John Rahaim

Subject: FW: TDM Program Amendments - 6/7/18

Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 9:36:56 AM

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png image003.png

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Daniel Frattin [mailto:dfrattin@reubenlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 8:22 PM

**To:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com;

millicent.johnson@sfgov.org; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC) Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Harris, Audrey (CPC); Wietgrefe, Wade (CPC); Grisso, Mike;

Alexandra Stoelzle; Chloe V. Angelis; Rahaim, John (CPC)

**Subject:** TDM Program Amendments - 6/7/18

Dear President Hillis and Commissioners,

We are writing on behalf of Kilroy Realty Corporation, which proposes to build a new long-term home for the San Francisco Flower Mart as part of a mixed-use anchor development in the Central SoMa Plan Area. On April 4, 2018, we submitted a letter to the Commission expressing support for the passage of the Central SoMa Plan and identifying aspects of the legislation that are problematic for the Flower Mart Project. Implementation of the TDM Program was among the concerns identified in that letter. Even with the retention of 75% TDM grandfathering, as recommended by the Commission, we have some concerns about the proposed TDM Standards amendments that will be before you on Thursday, as well concerns regarding a number of existing TDM measures which are overly burdensome when applied to large projects.

**TDM program is not Proportional.** The overarching concern with the TDM Program is its lack of proportionality. The Program requires the same number of points for a 50,000 square foot project with 100 parking spaces as for a

500,000 square foot project with 100 parking spaces (through points awarded based on the neighborhood parking rate provide some relief). Given this lack of proportionality, larger projects like the Flower Mart Project are required to incorporate most of the available TDM measures in order to meet the requisite point requirement. Taking into account the cost of implementing measures, this puts larger projects at a competitive disadvantage to smaller projects that are over-parked by comparison.

Proposed Amendments are Problematic for the Flower Mart Project. The proposed changes to all options under Measure ACTIVE-1 require projects to provide the minimum sidewalk width established under the Better Streets Plan in order to receive any points under the measure. Though the project includes capacious sidewalks overall, it is not feasible to achieve minimum widths for the entirety of its three street frontages. It is constrained by the on-street loading needs of the Flower Market, the interior space needs of the Wholesale Flower Market, and the City's plans for surrounding streets. Categorically excluding projects that cannot provide sidewalk widths across-the-board from receiving ACTIVE-1 points effectively removes the incentive for other streetscape improvements. The perfect should not be the enemy of the good, and the measure should not be modified: Planning Staff should retain the discretion to determine whether sidewalk widths are feasible and desirable, rather than strictly mandating across-the-board sidewalk widths.

The proposed modification to **2.2(b)(3)** (Development Projects With Multiple Buildings) could also be problematic for large projects. The amendment would require that on sites with multiple buildings, TDM measures must be "proportionately allocated amongst any land use on the project's site; and/or readily identifiable and accessible to the residents, tenants, employees and/or visitors to a project's site." According to the staff report, this is intended to "clarify the requirements for implementation and allocation of a project's TDM measures proportionally amongst all the buildings in the project." In the case of the Flower Market Project, most features provided under the TDM Program will be accessible to all tenants and employees; however, providing each measure for every future employee would make certain measures cost prohibitive or logistically infeasible. The proposed modification should provide

instead that "selected physical TDM measures must should be proportionately allocated amongst any land use on the [a] project's site; and/or readily identifiable and accessible to the residents, tenants, employees and/or visitors to a project's site, where feasible." This is particularly important for vanpool, car-share, and bike repair facilities that may need to be centralized in a single location rather than spread across the site.

**Several TDM Measures Encourage Free Riders to Claim Benefits even if not put towards Transit Use.** Several of the existing TDM Measures lack mechanisms to ensure that the measures are used in the manner intended by the TDM Program, and the following measures are particularly susceptible to misuse:

- HOV-1: Contributions or Incentives for Sustainable Transportation.

  Projects can earn between 2-8 points for offering contributions or incentives equal to the cost of (25, 50, 75, or 100%) a monthly Muni only "M" pass, or equivalent value in e-cash loaded onto Clipper Card, per full time employee.
- **INFO-3: Tailored Transportation Marketing Services.** In order to earn 3 or 4 points under this measure a project sponsor must provide a financial incentive, equivalent to at least 25% of the cost of a monthly Muni only "M" pass.
- **ACTIVE-4: Bike Share Membership.** Requires that the property owner proactively offer one complimentary bike share membership to each full time employee, at least once annually, for the life of the project.
- **ACTIVE-5B: Bicycle Maintenance Services.** Calls for the property owner to offer bicycle maintenance services to each employee once a year for 40 years. If requested by the employee, the property owner must pay for bicycle maintenance services equivalent to the cost of one annual bicycle tune-up.

In each case, the measure does not incorporate any measures that allow the

project sponsor to confirm that the employees on the site are actually using the benefit themselves. The incorporation of some straightforward modifications into these measures would ensure that the measures are used as intended by building tenants and actually serve to facilitate alternative transit commuting:

- Provide transit pass incentives as reimbursements upon submittal of a receipt for a monthly Muni pass, rather than as vouchers. This will help prevent free riders from claiming a benefit that they do not actually intend to use.
- Bike share membership should also be provided as a reimbursement, rather than as a free voucher. This would discourage people from claiming the membership who are not genuinely interested in using bike share, whereas those that are interested are unlikely to be discouraged by a temporary upfront cost to join. Employees could be required to submit documentation that they are in fact using bike share to qualify for renewal of the benefit.
- Require employees to present a voucher and office ID card with photo to
  utilize bike repair benefit. This will serve to help prevent employees from
  collecting a repair voucher and giving it away to a non-employee, or
  using the voucher to drive into work one day have a family member's
  bike repaired.
- Only grant bike repair vouchers to employees who have applied for access to an on-site bike storage room. Large office and retail buildings often include a locked bike storage room that requires employees to tag in to gain access. Free riders could be deterred from claiming the bike repair benefit if it were limited to those who have obtained a tag card for an on-site bike room—e.g. those who actually ride a bike to work.

We strongly support the goal of the TDM Program, and are working towards a submittal of a plan for the Flower Mart Project by the end of the week. In

formulating the project's TDM plan, the high costs and unpredictability of a number of the program measures have become clear. We hope that you will consider the modifications suggested herein in an effort to facilitate the implementation of meaningful TDM measures in large projects and to mitigate the costly impact of free rider tenants claiming offered benefits that they will not use as intended by the Program.

Thank you.

### REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

Daniel A. Frattin, Partner T. (415) 567-9000 C. (415) 517-9395 dfrattin@reubenlaw.com www.reubenlaw.com

SF Office:

Oakland Office:

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 456 8<sup>th</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94607



PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE – This transmittal is intended solely for use by its addressee, and may contain confidential or legally privileged information. If you receive this transmittal in error, please email a reply to the sender and delete the transmittal and any attachments.

Subject: FW: Oppose: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance, 2018-004633PCA

**Date:** Wednesday, June 06, 2018 9:36:41 AM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Paul Wermer [mailto:pw-sc\_paul@sonic.net]

Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 4:04 AM

**To:** Secretary, Commissions (CPC); richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); RODNEY FONG; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC)

**Cc:** Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Marlayne Morgan; 'George Wooding'; Greg Scott **Subject:** Oppose: Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance, 2018-004633PCA

San Francisco Planning Commission San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

### Dear Commissioners:

I urge you to reject 2018-004633PCA, Mayor's Process Improvements Ordinance (Item 11 on the June 7 Calendar) as written.

There are 3 items of concern:

1) Reducing all public notice periods to 20 days. That means that for many hearings Neighborhood associations, which tend to meet on a monthly basis, would not necessarily be able to meet between notice and hearing. In several cases I have helped neighbors attempt to resolve conflicts, and so know from personal experience how difficult and time-consuming it is for people to understand the issues, the process and what options are. Neighbors seeking support would find it difficult to engage with the local association or other advisors in a timely manner. In addition to suppressing constructive (as opposed to legally compliant) notice, this risks increasing DR filings in contentious cases. Public notice is intended to inform the public, not serve the convenience of Planning Staff or project sponsors. REQUEST: Amend to set all public notice to 30 days if a common notice period is required.

2) The public notice process (sec 333): The current proposed process requires only on-line posting for proposed legislation, and restricts radius notification to 150 ft. Both of these would require interested parties to regularly visit a web site to see if a notice is posted. This "Pull" process is significantly less likely to get timely notice to interested parties. A "Push" process is much more effective at reaching the public - this could be implemented by having an opt-in

registry so people can request e-mail notification for project notices in their neighborhood, notices of proposed policy or code amendments, notices of area plans, etc. *REQUEST: Amend to require that SF Planing establish an opt-in e-mail registry so that individuals can sign up to receive e-mail notice of projects as well as proposed legislation and proposed changes to Planning policies and procedures.* (unfortunately, notification to Neighborhood Associations does not always result in interested residents receiving notification from the local neighborhood association, so opt-in is essential for interested individuals) (San Francisco buildingeye notifications are very cumbersome to work through - to the point of being of very limited value - because all types of notices are lumped together, and there is no effective sorting. San Francisco buildingeye notification format would not be effective at communicating hearings or other public notices0

3) Eliminating DR for 100% affordable housing: There needs to be more discussion on this, as depending on who the occupants are may require additional conditions. While the San Francisco non-profits currently serving this need are extremely responsible, the broader history of pubic/private partnerships for e.g half-way houses has shown that responsible operators are not guaranteed. Eliminating DR without establishing performance standards to address predictable problems means that neighborhoods may end up with very difficult to solve problems. REQUEST: defer action on this item until additional meetings are held to determine what performance standards are appropriate for various housing clients. (It is clear that for the vast majority of affordable housing projects no specific performance standards are needed, but for supportive housing projects and "half-way" housing clear protocols for dealing with emergent problems are needed. If these are clearly established in advance as use conditions, then waiving DR for these projects is less of an issue)

Sincerely yours, Paul Wermer

Paul Wermer 2309 California Street San Francisco, CA 94115

+1 415 929 1680 paul@pw-sc.com

Subject: FW: 1274-1276 Hampshire Street Notice Of Building Permit Application (Section 311/312); Record No.: 2015-

005022PRJ

**Date:** Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:46:01 PM

Attachments: <u>kwiatkowska060518.docx</u>

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Eddie Stiel [mailto:eddiestiel@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:57 AM

To: Kwiatkowska, Natalia (CPC)

**Cc:** Rahaim, John (CPC); Rich Hillis; Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Rodney Fong; Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Ronen, Hillary; Randy Shaw; Inc Mission Local; Julian Mark; Joe Eskenazi; Tim Redmond; Laura Waxmann **Subject:** 1274-1276 Hampshire Street Notice Of Building Permit Application (Section 311/312); Record

No.: 2015-005022PRJ

2887 Folsom Street

San Francisco, CA 94110

June 5, 2018

### Natalia Kwiatkowska

San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 (By email)

RE: 1274-1276 Hampshire Street Notice Of Building Permit Application (Section 311/312); Record No.: 2015-005022PRJ

Dear Ms. Kwiatkowska:

I have lived in the Mission District since January, 1992; always a renter, twice no fault evicted (OMI-2004, Ellis Act-2005).

I request that you reject the Building Permit Application for 1274-1276 Hampshire Street because the proposed construction requires the involuntary displacement of its current residents.

At the March 26, 2018 Pre-Application Meeting, Project Sponsor Amir Afifi stated that the addition of a fourth unit at a new fourth floor would require all current residents to vacate the building in order to bolster the building structure to support the new floor, almost definitely for longer than the standard 90 day relocation period allowed by the San Francisco Rent Ordinance for the Temporary Eviction for Capital Improvement (http://sfrb.org/topic-no-206-temporary-eviction-capital-improvements).

The proposed construction would completely remodel the existing ground floor, unpermitted apartment into one clearly designed for new occupants rather than the existing ones. Similarly, the plans show a loss of space to the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor apartment so even if the current residents return after temporarily relocating to accommodate this construction, their remodeled apartment will be smaller.

The Planning Department should reject this proposed project out of hand because it unnecessarily forces people from their perfectly habitable rent-controlled apartments.

I have addressed the issue of "renovictions" several times by letter and in person with the Planning Department and Planning Commission. Because the Department refuses to fix its procedures, I must mention these issues again in this letter. While considering Building Permit Applications, Planners are not required to know if anyone lives in the affected apartments. Compounding this flawed process is the fact that for current tenants to get a public hearing prior to the Planning Department's approval of the project requires a Request for Discretionary Review with an expensive filing fee of \$598.

Indeed, the Planning Department focuses on the physical property rather than on the profound impacts proposed construction would have on its existing residents; most notably, their unnecessary, potentially permanent displacement. The Planning Department has this process all wrong. Your top priority must be to preserve existing rent-controlled apartments and to prevent the eviction, even temporary, of current residents.

Thank you for letting me share my thoughts with you. I look forward to your rejection of the Building Permit Application for 1274-1276 Hampshire Street.

Sincerely,

**Edward Stiel** 

Cc: John Rahaim, Director, SF Planning Department

SF Planning Commissioners

Supervisor Hillary Ronen

Subject: FW: CSFN Letter for Case No. 2018-004194PCA (Board File No. 180268 -- Amendments to ADU Requirements

**Date:** Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:40:35 AM

Attachments: CSFN 2018-004194PCA (Board File No. 180268 .pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

### jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: :) [mailto:gumby5@att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:02 AM

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Melgar,

Myrna (CPC); 'Rich Hillis'; 'Rodney Fong'

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Haddadan, Kimia (CPC); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Kim, Jane (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

**Subject:** CSFN Letter for Case No. 2018-004194PCA (Board File No. 180268 -- Amendments to ADU Requirements

Dear Planning Commissioners,

Please see attached CSFN Letter on subject-referenced matter for the 6/7/2018 meeting.

Thank you.

Rose H.

From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON TODAY'S ELECTION

**Date:** Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:33:39 AM

Attachments: 6.5.18 June 5 Vote.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) **Sent:** Tuesday, June 05, 2018 9:11 AM **To:** MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON TODAY'S ELECTION

### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, June 5, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON TODAY'S ELECTION

"Today, I cast my ballot on local propositions and races, participating in the great American tradition of helping shape the future of my community and country. I encourage all San Franciscans to join me by getting out and voting today.

It has been my greatest honor to serve the past six months as the Mayor of San Francisco—the city where I was born and raised and truly love. I want to thank the Board of Supervisors, City departments and our residents for working closely with me on the most pressing issues facing San Francisco today.

I look forward to working closely and collaboratively with the Mayor-elect to ensure the continuity of government and a smooth transition into the next administration. It was my great fortune to have been welcomed so quickly and graciously by everyone in the Mayor's Office, and I am committed to extending that same courtesy to the next leader of our City."

From:Secretary, Commissions (CPC)To:Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)Subject:FW: Case 2018-004194PCA

 Date:
 Monday, June 04, 2018 12:40:23 PM

 Attachments:
 18.06.07 Tang - Case 2018-004194PCA.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Mohan, Menaka (BOS)

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2018 12:00 PM

To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC);

Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC)

Cc: Haddadan, Kimia (CPC); Rahaim, John (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

**Subject:** Case 2018-004194PCA

Commissioners-

Please see attached letter regarding Case Number 2018-004194PCA

### Menaka Mohan

Legislative Aide
Office of Supervisor Katy Tang
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 264
San Francisco, CA 94102
menaka.mohan@sfgov.org

P: (415) 554-7460 www.sfbos.org/Tang From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Support Letter for Process Improvements Ordinance, 2018-004633PCA, [Board File No. 180423]

**Date:** Monday, June 04, 2018 12:23:11 PM

Attachments: Support Letter - Process Improvement Ordinance Kanter.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2018 6:45 PM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: Support Letter for Process Improvements Ordinance, 2018-004633PCA, [Board File No.

180423]

#### Jacob Bintliff, MCP

Senior Planner

San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9170 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Bruno Kanter [mailto:bruno@kanterarchitects.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:13 PM

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

Subject: Support Letter for Process Improvements Ordinance, 2018-004633PCA, [Board File No.

180423]

Hi Jacob,

Please see the attached letter and include in the public packet to be shared with the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

Thank you, Bruno Kanter

Bruno Kanter, LEED AP

# Kanter Architects

822 Greenwich St, S.F. CA 94133 / 415-921-5456 / Bruno@Kanterarchitects.com

From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

**Subject:** FW: SPUR supports process improvements legislation

 Date:
 Monday, June 04, 2018 12:23:11 PM

 Attachments:
 SPUR Supports Process Improvements.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)

**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2018 6:45 PM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: SPUR supports process improvements legislation

### Jacob Bintliff, MCP

Senior Planner

San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.9170 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Kristy Wang [mailto:kwang@spur.org] Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 9:49 AM

**To:** Karunaratne, Kanishka (MYR); Bintliff, Jacob (CPC) **Subject:** SPUR supports process improvements legislation

Kanishka and Jacob,

Here's SPUR's letter. Did I miss anything? Let me know. Please go ahead and share with the Planning Commission if not.

Who else should I send it to?

Best, Kristy

Kristy Wang, LEED AP Community Planning Policy Director SPUR • Ideas + Action for a Better City (415) 644-4884 (415) 425-8460 m

### kwang@spur.org

SPUR | Facebook | Twitter | Join | Get Newsletters

Join us this summer for the SPUR Member Parties! Reserve your spot today >>

From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>
To: <u>Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)</u>

Subject: FW: Concern re: PCA004633 (June 7 CPC calendar)

**Date:** Monday, June 04, 2018 10:34:23 AM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Cynthia Gomez [mailto:cgomez@unitehere2.org]

Sent: Friday, June 01, 2018 5:26 PM

To: Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Joel Koppel; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Sue Hestor; Ian Lewis

Subject: Concern re: PCA004633 (June 7 CPC calendar)

Dear Commissioners,

We are writing to express our opposition to many of the proposed Planning Code Amendments included in PCA004633, proposed for your consideration on the June 7 Planning Commission calendar. These changes go far beyond the stated goals of streamlining the process for affordable housing approvals, and would weaken notification protections that affect the ability of the public to play an active role in determining the fate of their own communities. We are asking that PCA004633 be sent back to Planning Staff until these problems can be addressed.

Speeding up the approvals process for 100% affordable housing projects is a crucial step, and we support all of the proposed changes that would affect projects truly containing 100% affordable housing. (We are assuming, of course, that there is no watering down of restrictions on the quality of the housing construction in the guise of streamlining the process.) There are many laudable proposed changes in PCA004633, such as the addition of tenants to those who must receive notices, and the elimination of cumbersome requirements to include facsimiles of project plans. However, PCA004633 also includes changes that would affect various kinds of projects which are *not* affordable housing. Furthermore, PCA004633 was put on the agenda with no affirmative outreach to any organizations that might be affected by these proposed changes, including but not limited to Local 2.

Even a quick read of PCA004633 reveals some problematic changes, such as the loosening of approval and notification requirements for projects seeking exceptions for dwelling unit exposure and usable open space requirements. To the extent that these changes would apply to "all projects located in C-3 districts," this would represent a step backwards. Many of our members live in SRO hotels and other tiny units, and they can attest to how their quality of life is impacted when developers attempt to reduce the already inadequate light and air and open space available. Also problematic is the proposal of adding "non-visible rooftop appurtenances" to the list of projects no longer requiring a Certificate of Appropriateness; we are currently watching a possibly unpermitted rooftop appurtenance spring up very near our office, yet this appurtenance is, by some criteria, "non-visible."

As stated, these proposed amendments were drafted and agendized without consultation or outreach, and bundles in some very problematic changes together with some very helpful ones. We urge you to send this back to Staff for an excision of these changes. If that is not possible, then PCA004633 must be disapproved, and that would be a loss for the City and its ability to support the building of affordable housing.

Sincerely,

--

Cynthia Gómez Research Analyst UNITE/HERE, Local 2 209 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 cgomez@unitehere2.org 415.864.8770, ext. 763

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC)
Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Support of proposed Process Improvements by Planning Staff

**Date:** Monday, June 04, 2018 10:22:12 AM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Brandon Philips [mailto:brandon@ifup.org]

**Sent:** Saturday, June 02, 2018 8:50 AM

To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC);

Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@gmail.com

**Cc:** Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Support of proposed Process Improvements by Planning Staff

Hello President Hillis and Members of the Planning Commission-

I am writing in support of the proposed Process Improvements as introduced by the <u>Planning staff on May 17th</u>. Namely:

- Reducing neighborhood notification periods to increase throughput
- Replacing paper notifications for digital notifications
- Issuing over the counter permits for smaller low-impact projects like pop-outs

I think the overall objectives of reducing paper waste, review times, and time for open comment on straightforward proposals are practical and easy to implement.

I respectfully request that you continue to introduce process improvements that you see necessary to improve throughput of housing production and housing stock improvements. Perhaps make it a tradition to introduce a few improvements every quarter. I believe the best way to convince people these are the \_right\_ changes is to move boldly, measure the effects, and report their success/failure back to the community to build trust with the community.

As an aside I continue to marvel, in sadness, at how many of my fellow San Franciscans demand oversight of process changes and proposals that the commission oversees such as these. I hope that sometime in the future, say five years from now, San Francisco has reliable rules based approval processes for the majority of proposals.

Thank You.

Brandon Philips and Nisha George Castro / Upper Market From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Commission Update for the Week of June 4, 2018

Date:Monday, June 04, 2018 10:21:31 AMAttachments:Commission Weekly Update 6.4.18.doc

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Tsang, Francis

Sent: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:09 AM

To: Tsang, Francis

Subject: Commission Update for the Week of June 4, 2018

### Good morning.

Please find a memo attached that outlines items before commissions and boards for this week. Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

### **Francis**

### **Francis Tsang**

Deputy Chief of Staff
Office of Mayor Mark Farrell
City and County of San Francisco
415.554.6467 | francis.tsang@sfgov.org

From: <u>Ionin, Jonas (CPC)</u>

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S TWO-YEAR BUDGET FOCUSES ON HOMELESSNESS,

STREET CLEANLINESS, PUBLIC SAFETY AND EQUITY INVESTMENTS

**Date:** Thursday, May 31, 2018 1:28:44 PM

Attachments: 5.31.18 Mayor Farrell"s Budget FY 2018-19 and 2019-20.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:53 PM To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S TWO-YEAR BUDGET FOCUSES ON

HOMELESSNESS, STREET CLEANLINESS, PUBLIC SAFETY AND EQUITY INVESTMENTS

### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, May 31, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

### \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\*

## MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S TWO-YEAR BUDGET FOCUSES ON HOMELESSNESS, STREET CLEANLINESS, PUBLIC SAFETY AND EQUITY INVESTMENTS

Two-year budget addresses main priorities of San Francisco residents while building responsibly for the future

**San Francisco, CA**— Mayor Mark Farrell today presented his two-year balanced budget, proposing an \$11 billion framework for Fiscal Year 2018-19 and an \$11 billion framework for Fiscal Year 2019-20. The two-year budget features significant additional investments for four key priority areas of Mayor Farrell—homelessness, street cleanliness, public safety and programs that support an equitable and diverse city.

The Mayor's budget also maintains historic funding levels for long-term infrastructure projects such as street repair, park improvements and seismic upgrades, and commits the City to sustainable fiscal practices, which have led to San Francisco receiving record ratings.

"We are taking bold and innovative measures to address the most pressing challenges of today while building the foundation for a strong and successful San Francisco for our next

generation of residents," said Mayor Farrell. "My budget will invest in programs that work—we will move residents out of the depths of homelessness and into safe, stable homes, we will clean up our streets and sidewalks, we will improve public safety in communities throughout San Francisco and we will ensure that our City budget remains in strong fiscal health."

"The Board of Supervisors has prioritized clean streets, homelessness and housing, and public safety in our budget process," said Supervisor Malia Cohen, Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee. "I'm pleased that our emphasis on these themes is reflected in the Mayor's budget, and look forward to reviewing the details in Budget Committee."

# **Addressing Homelessness and Street Behavior**

To combat San Francisco's homelessness crisis, Mayor Farrell's budget will fund measures that prevent residents from falling into homelessness and provide permanent exits from homelessness.

The Mayor's budget will include \$4 million for nearly 200 new permanent supportive housing units across San Francisco in the next fiscal year. With the additional investments, San Francisco will have approximately 7,900 total permanent supportive housing units, the most per capita of any major city in the country.

While working to support individuals in exiting homelessness, the Mayor's budget will also invest in prevention and diversion programs. Notably, the budget will double the annual support of the Homeward Bound program by adding \$1.2 million in FY 2018-19, an initiative that reunited nearly 900 individuals with loved ones and family members last year.

Mayor Farrell's homelessness funding package builds on current investments to open and operate four new Navigation Center facilities at an annual cost of \$15.2 million. A national model, Navigation Centers are resource-heavy shelters that offer counseling, services and other assistance for residents trying to break the cycle of homelessness, poverty and addiction.

Other key investments include \$1 million for rapid rehousing programs for Transitional Age Youth (TAY), and the creation of two new access points that provide resources, support and services for families and residents struggling to remain out of homelessness.

Along with investments in homelessness initiatives, Mayor Farrell's budget will include significant funding for programs that support behavioral health and drug treatment programs. Last month, Mayor Farrell announced \$6 million in funding over the two-year budget to create a dedicated drug addiction street team, a first-in-the-nation program to bring the opioid treatment buprenorphine directly to people suffering from addiction.

## **Committing to Clean and Vibrant Neighborhoods**

Mayor Farrell will fund a comprehensive street cleaning program, investing nearly \$13 million for improvement projects and equipment over the next two years.

With a focus on neighborhood cleaning, Mayor Farrell's plan will add 44 new cleaners, with the workers split up evenly among San Francisco's 11 Supervisorial districts. Additionally, the Mayor will also include funding for a new street cleaning program in the SoMa District that will operate five days a week.

The Mayor will also fund the creation of five new Pit Stops—staffed facilities that provide safe and clean public toilets in high-need communities—while expanding the hours at five other Pit Stop sites. In addition, the Mayor's budget includes \$3.4 million in new equipment investments over two years, allowing San Francisco Public Works to purchase new state-of-the-art street cleaning vehicles.

These funding efforts will help bolster Mayor Farrell's existing initiatives to clean up San

Francisco streets. Earlier this year, he announced the creation of a dedicated team of public health professionals, with a singular focus of picking up discarded syringes. The needle cleanup team focuses their efforts based on resident complaint data.

Mayor Farrell has also announced that the City's Fix-It Team—an interagency unit that responds to quality-of-life concerns—will expand from 25 zones to 35 zones, providing more opportunities for the group to address issues such as graffiti, broken streetlights and overgrown bushes.

# **Improving Public Safety and Emergency Responses**

Mayor Farrell's budget includes a strategic plan to eventually bring on 250 additional sworn personnel to the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), with 130 officers in the police academy in the next year.

The Mayor's public safety improvement investments also feature \$7.5 million for 130 new police vehicles and \$3 million for Controlled Electrical Devices, commonly referred to as Tasers.

In addition to supporting new hires and equipment, Mayor Farrell's budget provides \$1.7 million to support efforts of the SFPD's ongoing police reforms. In 2016, the SFPD entered into a voluntary agreement with the United States Department of Justice to carry out 272 reform measures, many related to use-of-force operations. The SFPD is now collaborating with the California Department of Justice to finish implementing all the reforms.

Mayor Farrell will provide \$1.5 million over two years to add four new positions to the Department of Police Accountability, the agency that audits police use-of-force and officer misconduct policies.

The Mayor's budget investments also include \$1.6 million over two years to provide additional staff within the Department of Public Health to improve sexual assault coordination and provide more resources to sexual assault survivors.

The Mayor will invest \$8 million in new funding over the two year budget for the Department of Emergency Management to train 90 new dispatcher recruits, ensuring that staffing levels are met to support the department's goal of answering 90 percent of 9-1-1 calls within 10 seconds. Mayor Farrell will complement those investments with \$1.5 million in funding over the next two years for the San Francisco Fire Department to staff a Medical Assistance Response Team, which will rapidly respond to medical service calls in the Tenderloin and Civic Center neighborhoods.

## **Supporting an Equitable and Diverse City**

The Mayor's budget includes substantial investments for underserved communities, including \$7.6 million in funding over next two years to provide legal representation to immigrants facing deportations, among other legal and social support programs.

The Mayor will invest more than \$7 million over the two years on criminal justice reform programs, including initiatives to expand pretrial release and weekend rebooking measures and efforts to end onerous local fees.

The Mayor's budget will also continue to support small businesses and residents from underserved communities seeking employment and training opportunities. The budget will

provide funding for business loan programs, advanced manufacturing training and the Gleaneagles Citybuild Academy, which provides career pathways for local residents.

In addition, the two-year budget includes programming of \$20 million in soda tax revenue address health inequities. That funding will support health education, physical activity and food access programs in underserved communities, which have disproportionately high levels of obesity and heart disease and have been the subject of marketing campaigns from soft drink companies.

# **Preparing Responsibly for the Future**

San Francisco's Capital Plan will be fully funded for two consecutive years. The Mayor will dedicate \$304 million in General Fund allocations for long-term improvement projects, ensuring that San Francisco is responsibly prepared for the future.

That funding commitment includes more than \$100 million for street resurfacing projects, a historic level of investment for San Francisco Public Works to repair sidewalks, install curb ramps and repave streets, among other projects. Additionally, the Capital Plan includes historic funding levels for the Recreation and Park Department to repair and replace fences, irrigation systems, playing fields and tennis and basketball courts.

Other major undertakings funded by the Capital Plan include an expansion to the City's 9-1-1 call center, the Hall of Justice administrative exit and the Islais Creek Bridge rehabilitation project.

The Mayor's two-year budget includes \$449 million in rainy day reserves, a record level of contingency funding for the City. The \$449 million has the City in reach of meeting its goal of 10 percent of General Fund revenues in reserve, representing a remarkable improvement since the last economic downturn in San Francisco. Earlier this year, the credit rating agency Moody's awarded San Francisco an Aaa rating. That is the highest rating in Moody's system and the highest rating ever awarded to the City.

The City Charter requires the Mayor to submit a balanced budget proposal by the first working day in June. To deliver this two-year consensus budget proposal, Mayor Farrell worked with the Board of Supervisors and heard directly from community leaders and residents, and met with residents, nonprofit organizations, City Commissioners, labor organizations, business owners and advocates to discuss priorities and address concerns.

Mayor Farrell's balanced two-year budget fulfills many of the commitments and priorities outlined by former Mayor Edwin M. Lee, who passed away unexpectedly on December 12, 2017.

###

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC)

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Process Improvements Letter of Support
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 9:45:34 AM
Attachments: Process Improvements Letter of Support.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Laura Clark [mailto:laura@yimbyaction.org]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 4:03 PM

To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong; Johnson,

Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC) **Subject:** Process Improvements Letter of Support

Dear Commissioners,

Please find our coalition letter of support for the Process Improvements legislation.

Best, Laura

# Laura Foote Clark

Executive Director | Pronouns: she/her

c. <u>(415) 489-01</u>97

e. laura@yimbyaction.org

Become a member of YIMBY Action now!

From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

To:

Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) Cc: Subject: Tony Bennett Way Celebration Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:21:37 PM

Attachments: Tony Bennett Way.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL INTRODUCES ORDINANCE MANDATING WATER

CONSERVATION PRACTICES AT CITY DEPARTMENTS

Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:20:07 PM
Attachments: 5.30.18 Water Conservation Ordinance.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 2:02 PM

To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL INTRODUCES ORDINANCE MANDATING

WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES AT CITY DEPARTMENTS

#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Wednesday, May 30, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\*

# MAYOR MARK FARRELL INTRODUCES ORDINANCE MANDATING WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES AT CITY DEPARTMENTS

Ordinance would require large agencies to be prepared to scale back operations during droughts

**San Francisco, CA**— Mayor Mark Farrell today introduced legislation requiring the City's largest departments to proactively develop more aggressive water conservation plans that could be quickly implemented during times of drought in California.

"In this age of climate change, we need to ensure that San Francisco is resilient and prepared for dramatic shifts in weather conditions," said Mayor Farrell. "We are only a few years removed from the worst drought in California history and we will not be caught off guard in the future."

During the California drought that lasted from 2014 - 2017, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and former Mayor Edwin M. Lee required that departments reduce water usage by 10 percent. Mayor Farrell's ordinance follows up on those efforts by requiring the eight City departments with the largest water use to develop an additional comprehensive drought

response plan to be implemented during times of at least 20% mandatory water rationing.

Under the ordinance, the departments would be required to do the following within 90 days:

- Develop a list and brief description of water-saving practices implemented during the 2014 2017 drought to achieve the 10 percent reduction.
- Provide names and contact information of agency representatives responsible for responding and coordinating reduction efforts.
- Create an inventory of remaining inefficient plumbing fixtures in City-owned buildings.

Within 180 days, the ordinance would require City departments to develop the following, to reduce usage by 20 percent or more:

- Identify what indoor and outdoor temporary water reduction measures could be taken during 20 percent or more mandatory reduction periods.
- Identify the approximate order in which the Department would implement these measures.
- Identify the estimated percent reduction that each measure would achieve.

Within five years, the ordinance requires:

• The City's top water-using departments to provide updated drought response plans.

Since Mayor Lee issued his Executive Directive requiring the 10 percent reduction plans, many City agencies have gone to great lengths to conserve water. Collectively, City agencies have reduced their water use by 30 percent from 2013 to 2017.

"In this last drought we were reminded how fragile our water supply resources can be, and how much we could achieve as a City to reduce our water use to protect those supplies," said Harlan L. Kelly, Jr., General Manager of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission. "This ordinance takes drought preparedness to the next level to ensure that we are doing everything we can to not only conserve water, but to be ready to make the tough decisions when the time comes."

"The Recreation and Park Department has reduced overall water usage by 43 percent throughout the City's park system since 2013," said Phil Ginsburg, SF Rec & Parks' General Manager. "With Golden Gate Park transitioning to recycled water by year 2021, we will most definitely further exceed the Mayor's aggressive goal."

Mayor Farrell's legislation to reduce water use, is the latest environmental policy put forth demonstrating San Francisco environmental leadership. Mayor Farrell recently announced a pledge to have the City become carbon neutral by 2050, the transition to electrify all Muni buses through 2035, and to have 80 percent of trips taken by sustainable modes by 2030. The City has reduced greenhouse gas emissions by 29 percent below 1990 levels, achieving that mark while San Francisco's population has grown by 111 percent and its economy has grown by 20 percent.

"Water conservation is a lifestyle that every resident, every business and every City department needs to work at each day," said Debbie Raphael, Director of the San Francisco Department of the Environment. "Preparation in the face of an increasingly unpredictable

climate will ensure each of us has the resilience to thrive even during the most severe water shortages.

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ANNOUNCES MORE THAN \$44 MILLION IN FUNDING FOR

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE OPERATIONS** 

Date:Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:18:26 PMAttachments:5.30.18 Emergency Response Investments.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:09 PM

To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ANNOUNCES MORE THAN \$44 MILLION IN

FUNDING FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE OPERATIONS

#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Wednesday, May 30, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ANNOUNCES MORE THAN \$44 MILLION IN FUNDING FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE

# **OPERATIONS**

Two-year budget includes \$8 million investment to hire 90 new dispatchers, ensuring that 9-1-1 response times are met

**San Francisco, CA**— Mayor Mark Farrell today announced that his two-year budget will feature more than \$44 million in additional investments for emergency response measures. That total includes \$8 million in new funding for the Department of Emergency Management to train 90 new dispatcher recruits, ensuring that staffing levels are met to maintain the department's goal of answering 90 percent of 9-1-1 calls within 10 seconds.

"9-1-1 is a critical service for any City and we must remain vigilant and committed to improving our response times," said Mayor Farrell. "These new investments will help us continue to build on our recent progress. Having enough dispatchers to answer emergency calls and to dispatch first responders is critical for the safety and wellbeing of our communities."

The San Francisco dispatch center has experienced a 44 percent increase in call volume since 2011, causing the City's dispatchers to answer approximately 1,000 more calls a day today than they did seven years ago.

"Last year, our 9-1-1 dispatch center was falling short of answering calls in a timely manner, and recognizing the vital importance to address this, Mayor Lee created a special task force to improve 9-1-1 response time," said Anne Kronenberg, Director of the Department of Emergency Management. "Since then we have hired, trained, and retained more and more dispatchers, resulting in a call response time improvement from 66 percent to 88 percent. To keep this trend, we must continue to increase the number of 9-1-1 dispatchers."

The Mayor will pair the hiring increases with new funding investments for technology and infrastructure upgrades at the 9-1-1 call center. Those investments include increasing the size of the dispatch floor to allow for eight additional dispatcher stations, and improving infrastructure protections to ensure continuous electricity in the event the center loses power, in which case all computers and phone systems will experience a seamless switch to an uninterruptable power source.

Along with the new investments for the Department of Emergency Management (DEM), the Mayor will include additional funding for emergency response support systems at the San Francisco Fire Department, including a \$1.5 million two-year budget enhancement to create a Medical Assistance Response Team. The new unit offers 24/7 medical response to calls for services requiring resources lower than those provided by an ambulance or fire engine, and will focus on high-need areas within the Tenderloin and Civic Center neighborhoods.

In addition, the Mayor's two-year budget includes \$13.3 million in funding to replace aging firefighting apparatuses and support vehicles.

"I would like to thank Mayor Farrell for his continued support of the Fire Department," said Joanne Hayes-White, Chief of the Fire Department. "These budget enhancements help address the increased demand for services seen in recent years. "These resources support the critical work of the brave men and women of our department and expand support for Fire Department priorities identified during Mayor Lee's tenure."

DEM also received funding to launch a pilot of a Watch Center that would further enhance the City's emergency response capabilities. The Watch Center will provide comprehensive emergency and disaster response coordination for San Francisco.

###

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S BUDGET TO INCLUDE MORE THAN \$7 MILLION FOR

CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM MEASURES

Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:17:19 PM
Attachments: 5.30.18 Criminal Justice Reform Investments.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:53 AM

To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S BUDGET TO INCLUDE MORE THAN \$7

MILLION FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM MEASURES

#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Wednesday, May 30, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\*

# MAYOR MARK FARRELL'S BUDGET TO INCLUDE MORE THAN \$7 MILLION FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM MEASURES

Two-year plan includes funding for pretrial release programs, street violence response teams and other critical initiatives

**San Francisco, CA**— Mayor Mark Farrell today announced that his two-year budget will include more than \$7 million in criminal justice reforms, including investments in pretrial release programs and police oversight measures.

"Criminal justice reform is vital to ensuring that everyone in San Francisco is treated equally," said Mayor Farrell. "My budget reflects our dedication to a fair and equitable criminal justice system."

The Mayor's budget includes \$1.2 million to continue pilot programs that offer pretrial alternatives to jail, further reducing the population at county jails, which are seismically unsafe and do not meet current health and safety standards. The investments will support the Office of the Public Defender's Pretrial Release Unit, which offers pre-arraignment representation to defendants, similar to services offered by defendants with private attorneys.

The funding will also support the City's weekend rebooking pilot program managed by the District Attorney's Office. Weekend rebooking allows the District Attorney to make charging decisions swiftly, ensuring that no one spends time in jail unnecessarily.

In addition, the Mayor's budget includes \$2 million to end onerous local criminal justice administrative fees for defendants. It also includes \$2.4 million to support the Pretrial Diversion Project, a nonprofit agency contracted by the Sheriff's Department to oversee the City's supervised release services.

"I am pleased the Mayor made a significant investment to support the Sheriff's Pretrial Diversion Project," said San Francisco Superior Court Presiding Judge Teri Jackson. "This investment will ensure public safety by increasing the likelihood defendants will return to court while offering pretrial supervision options that are less restrictive than incarceration."

The package of criminal justice reform efforts features \$1.5 million to expand police oversight measures at the Department of Police Accountability (DPA). The DPA investigates all officer-involved shootings, and with the new funding, the department will be able to hire an additional four employees and expand its administrative functions, which include performing biennial audits on the San Francisco Police Department.

"Civilian oversight is meant to address community concerns about officer misconduct and when it works well, it can help hold police accountable and increase trust with local residents," said Paul Henderson, Executive Director of the Department of Police Accountability. "This increased funding will provide DPA with the appropriate resources to investigate our most serious cases, analyze data collected from the police department and actively promote inclusive reforms to ensure that San Francisco is a city where no community fears law enforcement."

During the next two years, the Mayor will provide \$300,000 in funding for the City's Street Violence Response Team, which provides services and support to communities affected by violent incidents. The team focuses its efforts on minimizing incarceration and maximizing effective intervention and support strategies while building community trust, especially for youth at highest risk of violence.

"The Street Violence Response Team works diligently to help quell and prevent violence, particularly violence affecting San Francisco youth," said San Francisco Police Chief William Scott. "SVRT members perform invaluable work to engage and assist our City's communities during times of crisis and promote peace in our neighborhoods."

###

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC) To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Case 2018-004633PCA (Board File No. 180423) "Process Improvements" + Related

Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:22:20 AM

Attachments: COMMENTS for June 7"2018 PC Mtg Process Improvements.pdf

ED Action Plan and Agreement.FINAL.041618.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, **Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department<sup>1</sup>City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:**:) [mailto:gumby5@att.net] Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 4:17 PM

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); 'Rich Hillis'; 'Rodney Fong'; Rahaim, John (CPC); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Kim, Jane (BOS); Sheehy, Jeff (BOS); Tang, Katy (BOS); Breed, London (BOS); Cohen, Malia (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Board of Supervisors,

Subject: Case 2018-004633PCA (Board File No. 180423) "Process Improvements" + Related

Mr. Bintliff.

Please see my comments letter on "Process Improvements" and related documents.

Attached also is the "Housing Now: A Multi-agency Housing Action Plan and Agreement, April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2018" (aka "ED Action Plan and Agreement" that is the "Action Plan Matrix" with dates for goals).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Planning Commissions Secretary Ionin:

Please include the attached comments letter (lot of images made it long but it's not really that long) and the short "Housing Now" document in the June 7, 2018 Commission packet.

Thank you for your continued excellent work.

Apologies for large files (8MB total).

Sincerely, Rose

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis

Cc: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC); Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Russian Hill Neighbors" Letter Commenting on Proposed Process Improvement Ordinance

**Date:** Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:15:43 AM

Attachments: RHN Letter Commenting on Mayor"s Proposed Process Improvement Ordinance 5.30.2018.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Carol Ann Rogers [mailto:carolannrogers@prodigy.net]

Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 10:58 AM

To: Rich Hillis

Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: Russian Hill Neighbors' Letter Commenting on Proposed Process Improvement Ordinance

Good morning Commission President Hillis,

Russian Hill Neighbors is submitting for distribution to the Commission the attached letter regarding the proposed Process Improvement Ordinance being considered at the June 7, 2018 Planning Commission Meeting. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have questions or concerns. Thank you.

Carol Ann Rogers, RHN President 415-902-3980

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC)

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

**Subject:** FW: Opposition on the Proposed Process Improvements

**Date:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 3:14:57 PM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Elizabeth Fromer [mailto:efromer3@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 3:10 PM

To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Koppel,

Joel (CPC); Rodney Fong; Johnson, Milicent (CPC)

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

**Subject:** Opposition on the Proposed Process Improvements

President Hillis and Planning Commissioners,

I'm writing on behalf of the Liberty Hill Neighborhood Association to express my concerns about the proposed Process Improvements that were presented to the Commission on May 17th.

Reducing neighborhood notification time from 30 to 20 days does not improve planning for our neighborhoods. Neither do Discretionary Review staff reductions and "reforms," or reducing notification packets to postcards. Over-the-counter permits for rear yard expansions that can include up to two floors and extend 12 feet into back yards gives neighbors no voice, and may lead to serial permits, since rarely are these additions done without other extensive alterations.

All of these "improvements" significantly harm the ability of residents to become adequately informed or take appropriate action about nearby projects. In short, it takes away real community control. The recent outcry over Senate Bill 827 and its attack on local planning and zoning controls is a recent reminder that neighborhood residents are not willing to accept these undemocratic actions.

The public must be heard in neighborhood projects, and engage with Planning about projects next door and policies that affect all of us citywide. Good city planning must be a two-way process. Neighborhood communities know best what projects may or may not work well to maintain good quality of life. Neighbors have a right to negotiate for better outcomes if a project next door will adversely affect them. And San Francisco residents should be able to help determine how our city changes, not just developers and speculators.

Before approving any changes that limit or make public engagement more difficult, please

consider how those "improvements" would improve the process for neighborhoods and their residents. There must be a solid justification acceptable to everyone.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lisa Fromer President Liberty Hill Neighborhood Association (LHNA) <u>efromer3@gmail.com</u> 415-826-5334

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL AND SUPERVISOR MALIA COHEN ANNOUNCE \$10

MILLION IN ANNUAL FUNDING TO REDUCE HEALTH DISPARITIES

Date: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 11:15:28 AM Attachments: 5.29.18 Soda Tax Health Funding.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 10:22 AM To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL AND SUPERVISOR MALIA COHEN ANNOUNCE

\$10 MILLION IN ANNUAL FUNDING TO REDUCE HEALTH DISPARITIES

# FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, May 29, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* PRESS RELEASE \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL AND SUPERVISOR MALIA COHEN ANNOUNCE \$10 MILLION IN ANNUAL FUNDING TO REDUCE HEALTH DISPARITIES

Additional funding made possible from voter-approved soda tax revenue will address inequities in underserved communities

**San Francisco, CA**— Mayor Mark Farrell and Supervisor Malia Cohen today announced that the City will spend \$10 million annually in soda tax revenue to address health inequities, with a specific focus on improving outcomes among low-income communities and communities of color.

Historically, soda consumption has been higher in low-income communities, which subsequently have disproportionately high rates of obesity, heart disease and diabetes. The funding will support health education, physical activity and food access programs and campaigns to raise awareness about the consumption of sugary drinks.

"Whether encouraging more physical activity or promoting healthy eating campaigns, this budget will help provide solutions to the epidemics of obesity and heart disease in our

underserved communities," said Mayor Farrell. "This community-led effort will ensure that our youth and families have healthy and active programs to enjoy."

"As the sponsor of this law, I am proud to stand with the community and uphold the promise of directing soda tax revenue towards reducing health disparities for people of color and working class people," said Supervisor Malia Cohen. "These dollars will be invested in creative programming that decrease sugary drink consumption and increase water intake. Most importantly, those spending most on the tax will see a direct reinvestment in their communities towards health education and disease prevention."

Of the \$10 million in additional annual funding, \$4.5 million will be administered as grants to community-based organizations serving low-income communities and communities of color, with a focus on preventive health measures. An additional \$2.5 million will be allocated through the San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD) to support efforts to improve food and water access, and oral health services in schools. This includes improved nutrition, student-led efforts to reduce soda consumption and the installation of water stations in the 23 remaining schools that do not have them.

"The San Francisco Unified School District is uniquely positioned to leverage its scale and role to prevent sugar sweetened beverage related diseases, such as obesity and Type 2 Diabetes, and to disrupt and reverse health inequities in our community," said SFUSD Superintendent Dr. Vincent Matthews. "The Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Tax revenue will increase our capacity to prepare students for learning and to practice healthy habits. As educators, we're committed to the overall wellbeing of our students. This tax revenue will allow us to expand access to nutritious meals made of quality ingredients and locally grown produce, install more water hydration stations in our schools, increase access to dental care, and establish robust nutrition education and student engagement programs to support healthy choices."

The funding will also support food security through healthy eating vouchers, to be administered by community-based organizations and faith-based organizations. In addition, the tax measure will also expand peer programming at HOPE SF housing sites in communities impacted by health disparities. It will also support the City's Peace Parks program, the successful Healthy Retail program and several oral health task forces across the city.

"Sugary beverages pose a major health risk because they increase the risk of chronic illnesses like diabetes, obesity and heart disease and cause tooth decay," said San Francisco Health Officer Dr. Tomas Aragon. "These products target consumers with promises of happiness that can't be kept. It is great that San Francisco will be able to support programs and services in health education, physical activity, food access and to raise awareness about the consumption of sugary drinks."

Mayor Farrell and Supervisor Cohen largely accepted the recommendations of the Sugary Drinks Distribution Tax Advisory Committee (SDDTAC) on a funding plan for the tax measure. The Committee began meeting in December 2017, and offered data-based recommendations regarding tax expenditures in April 2017.

The committee's recommendations focused on prevention and direct investments in communities that are the hardest hit by chronic disease and are often the target of marketing campaigns from the sugar-sweetened beverage industry. In addition to providing recommendations, the committee is also tasked with evaluating the effectiveness of the tax-

funded programs.

"It's gratifying to see the result of many years of collective effort by UCSF, UC Berkeley, Stanford and SFDPH scientists, SF policymakers and local health advocates," said Roberto Vargas, co-chair of the soda tax committee. "Mayor Farrell's budget proposal strongly supports the recommendations of the SDDTAC, supports evidence-based approaches, and lives up to San Francisco's commitment to reinvesting these dollars back into the communities most targeted by the soda industry, and most impacted by disease associated with consuming sugary drinks."

"I think this is a huge win," said Joi Jackson-Morgan, co-chair of the soda tax committee. "Sugary beverages have a significant impact on our community and now we're giving families the tools and support to invest in their health."

In 2016, more than 62 percent of San Francisco residents approved the Soda and Sugary Beverages Tax measure, which imposed a tax of one cent per ounce on the distribution of certain sugary beverages in the city.

###

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON THE SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DECISION

TO DECLINE TO FILE CHARGES AGAINST POLICE OFFICERS

**Date:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 9:18:01 AM

Attachments: 5.24.18 District Attorney Decline to Charge.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2018 12:08 PM To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON THE SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

DECISION TO DECLINE TO FILE CHARGES AGAINST POLICE OFFICERS

# FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Thursday, May 24, 2018

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR MARK FARRELL ON THE SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DECISION TO DECLINE TO FILE CHARGES AGAINST POLICE OFFICERS

"Any time we lose a life on our streets, it is a tragedy. This holds true for Mario Woods and Luis Góngora Pat. I continue to offer my condolences to families and friends who continue mourn.

After thorough investigations by multiple independent agencies pursuant to our police reforms, the District Attorney made the determination that criminal charges are not warranted in either of these cases. I respect the District Attorney's decision, and also acknowledge the pain it will cause in communities that have for so long been disproportionately impacted by violence.

The brave men and women of the San Francisco Police Department take very seriously their responsibilities to protect the public and earn the trust of communities. Today's decision by

the District Attorney will not lessen their commitment to all communities of San Francisco.

Our City's commitment to police reform continues – this work is more important today than ever. The Police Department has adopted new use of force policies and crisis intervention trainings, among other important reforms. Our goal has been, and still is, to prioritize the sanctity of life above all else. I am committed to working closely with Attorney General Xavier Becerra to ensure our City fully implements all 272 recommended reforms."

###

To: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com);

Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Commission Update for the Week of May 28, 2018

Date:Tuesday, May 29, 2018 9:02:27 AMAttachments:Commission Weekly Update 5.28.18.doc

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Tsang, Francis

Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:54 AM

To: Tsang, Francis

Subject: Commission Update for the Week of May 28, 2018

# Good morning.

Please find a memo attached that outlines items before commissions and boards for this week. Let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

#### **Francis**

# **Francis Tsang**

Deputy Chief of Staff
Office of the Mayor
City and County of San Francisco
415.554.6467 | francis.tsang@sfgov.org

To: Bintliff, Jacob (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC)

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject: Process Improvements

**Date:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 9:02:02 AM

Attachments: Opposition to proposed Process Improvement by Planning Staff.msg
Opposition to proposed Process Improvement by Planning Staff.msg

Concerns re Proposed Process Improvement by Planning Staff.msg
Proposal for Process Improvement by Planning Staff.msg
Opposition to proposed Process Improvement by Planning Staff.msg

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: Neighbor of 655 Alvarado Street - Unauthorized Construction work done to our property

**Date:** Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:59:57 AM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Departmentl'City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309lFax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: HC Thai [mailto:ilyasean@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, May 25, 2018 4:09 PM

To: O'Riordan, Patrick (DBI)

**Cc:** Mary Ferretti-breidinger; Horn, Jeffrey (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Rich Hillis; Harris, Sonya (DBI); Walsh, William (DBI); Curran, Bernie (DBI); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Sean Lii

Yang; MayorMarkFarrell (MYR); Bid Complaints, DBI (DBI)

Subject: Re: Neighbor of 655 Alvarado Street - Unauthorized Construction work done to our property

Dear Deputy Director of Permits Ed Sweeney, Chief Building Inspector Patrick O'Riordan, and Senior Inspector Bernie Curran,

I thank you very much for your time this morning and also accepting to see me during my impromptu drop in. I was picking up some documents on the 6th floor and decided to drop by and clarify this matter.

Thank you for explaining the NOV, the subsequent potential steps to be taken and also the case pertaining to 655 Alvarado Street. I understand that we will be getting another NOV, the amended 1st NOV is to file permit for repair within 30 days and 655 Alvarado St will also be issued one NOV for this matter.

If you need any further clarification pertaining to my communication with Contractors of 655 Alvarado St, as well my correspondence with the owner of 655 Alvarado St- Mr Jon Kaplan, please reach out to me anytime.

Again, I am grateful for all of your explanation, guidance and support to me, and to all homeowners of San Francisco.

Have a wonderful Memorial Day weekend!

Respectfully,

Myra Thai

On Thu, May 24, 2018 at 10:21 PM, HC Thai < <u>ilyasean@gmail.com</u>> wrote: Dear Chief Building Inspector Patrick O'Riordan,

My name is Myra, or Haa Cheng Thai (my legal name). I am co-owner of 661& 663 Alvarado Street with my husband, Lii Yang. We are the adjacent neighbor to 655 Alvarado Street on the west.

It came to my attention that the Notice of Violation issued to our property was discussed during the recent BIC meeting on May 16th, 2018.

I submitted a formal complaint (Complaint Number :201857521) with DBI on April 18, 2018. Prior, I had emailed my concern to a staff of DBI, Thu Truong of Inspection Services on March 26, 2018. We were out of country from March 27 until April 15, hence were advised not to submit complaint until our return.

The complaint # 201857521 is closed on 5/14/2018. It is assigned to my home now, with a new complaint # 201864321.

Please note that the damage sustained in my building is not only because of the construction at 655 Alvarado Street. The contractor did UNAUTHORIZED excavation and construction beneath our house's foundation (on February 28, 2018), and he did not informed us until the 2nd meeting (on March 21st 2018) that I scheduled with my team of architect, engineer and contractor.

I have informed of the above in my emails to DBI, SF Planning and also to Commission Secretary. I have also informed Inspector William Walsh (when he inspected my home on April 24, 2018) and Senior Inspector Bernie Curran (when he inspected my home on May 11, 2018).

The contractor is requesting us, the owner of 661 & 663 Alvarado St to sign a Hold Harmless & Indemnification Agreement. The following term is not negotiable, as per our phone conversation with the contractor.

## The term #2 in the agreement:

#2. Owner hereby releases, and agrees to indemnify, hold harmless, protect and defend Barcewski, Inc. dba Sunshine Construction, Thompson Brooks, Inc., DMARCstudio, GFDS Engineers, DJ Engineers & Associations, Rockridge Geotechnical, Murray Engineers, Inc., Divis Consulting, Inc., and Jonathan and Marci Kaplan, their officers, directors, members, agents, representatives, employees, and successors-in-interest, against any and all claims, liabilities, damages or harm arising from or in any way related to: (a) the Work; and, (b) any construction or related work performed by Contractor at 655 Alvarado Street, San Francisco, CA up to and including April 18, 2018.

My husband and I are very reluctant to sign this agreement due to the fact that our shared fence with 655 Alvarado Street, was also illegally removed last August. A construction crew jumped into our garden without notice nor with our permission and cut down our fence, that was mainly on our property lot. We do not know what else has been done to our home without our knowledge.

We are unsure on how to proceed, but we will certainly seek legal counsel.

I would like to know why the unauthorized work by 655 Alvarado's contractor is not stated/written anywhere on both complaints? I have submitted proof of photos, and our engineer's report clearly informed of the issue. Attached below is an email correspondence-written proof from the contractor that our property was jacked up by them, causing the numerous cracks we saw all over our house.

I am available to answer any questions, by email or phone: 415 710 1307. Kindly leave a voice message if there is no answer, and I will return your call promptly.

Attached to this email:

- 1) Four photos texted to me on March 21st, 2018 by one of the construction crew of 655 Alvarado, showing roughly the scope of the unauthorized work they did beneath our house. I was told 3 areas were excavated.
- 2) Our Structural Engineer, Matthew Tropp's report
- 3) PDF of Complaint #201857521(Complaint closed on 655 Alvarado St)
- 4) PDF of Complaint #201864321 (NOV given to our home)
- 5) Email correspondence between myself, our structural engineer and 655 Alvarado's contractor

We are resident of San Francisco since 2001, and love this city very much. We sincerely hope this matter can be resolved with fairness to all parties involved.

We look forward to your reply.

Thank you.

Best wishes,

Myra Thai 415 710 1307 ilyasean@gmail.com From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
To: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

Subject: FW: 556 27th St

Date: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:59:41 AM Attachments: 556 27th St, San Francisco.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309 Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

----Original Message-----

From: Victoria Fierce [mailto:victoria@carlaef.org]

Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2018 12:13 PM

To: Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Richards,

Dennis (CPC); richhillissf@gmail.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC)

Cc: info@sfcityattorney.org; Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Townes, Chris (CPC)

Subject: 556 27th St

Honorable members of the San Francisco Planning Commission:

The California Renters Legal Advocacy and Education Fund submits the attached letter in regards to today's meeting regarding 556 27th St.

 From:
 Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

 To:
 Bendix, Brittany (CPC)

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Case #2013.0847DRP

 Date:
 Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:59:28 AM

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning DepartmentlCity & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Conor Kelly [mailto:conor.m.kelly@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2018 12:14 PM

**To:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Fwd: Case #2013.0847DRP

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Conor Kelly** < <u>conor.m.kelly@gmail.com</u>>

Date: Thu, May 24, 2018 at 8:06 AM Subject: Case #2013.0847DRP

To: myma.melgar@sfgov.org, planning@rodneyfong.com, milicent.johnson@sfgov.org,

richhillis@gmail.com, dennis.richards@sfgov.org, joel.koppel@sfgov.org,

kathrin.moore@sfgov.org

Members of the planning commission:

I am the owner of 1453-1455 Francisco Street. I have been the owner for over 10 years.

While I feel all property owners have the right to improve and update their investments, the drawings and renderings for the proposed new structure at 1503 Francisco significantly depart from existing design of buildings within the currently existing Marina neighborhood. The proposed changes for floor to ceiling windows facing the street are indicative of commercial high rise style. This style of building is not currently found on Francisco Street and I have been informed that the planned design is also unseen in the Marina as a whole.

The planning commission has the obligation to insure that all improvements fit within the neighborhood. In this instance the current proposed design should be rejected so that the owner can formulate a proposed design which is consistent with current building structures in this residential neighborhood.

Respectfully, Conor M. Kelly

 From:
 Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

 To:
 Bendix, Brittany (CPC)

 Cc:
 Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)

 Subject:
 FW: Case #2013.0847DRP

 Date:
 Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:59:00 AM

 Attachments:
 Planning Comission - 5-23-18.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: John Candido [mailto:John@rciinc.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 3:19 PM

To: richhillis@gmail.com; myma.melgar@sfgov.org; planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC);

Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: lauriecandido@aol.com; candidoelectric@aol.com

**Subject:** Case #2013.0847DRP

Members of The Planning Commission:

Please see the attached correspondence regarding the property located at 1503 Francisco St. I would appreciate any time you can offer reviewing it prior to tomorrow commission meeting.

Thank you for your time,

John J Candido Jr. Rudolph Commercial Interiors 1125 67th St. Oakland CA, 94608

Phone: (510) 601-9191 x 110

Fax: (510) 601-9199 Cell: (415) 999-0440

From: Starr, Aaron (CPC)

To: Planning@RodneyFong.com; richhillissf@gmail.com; mooreurban@aol.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel

(CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC)

Cc: <u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY</u>

Subject: Board Report

**Date:** Thursday, May 24, 2018 12:14:46 PM

Attachments: 2018 05 24.pdf

image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png

#### Commissioners,

Attached, please find this week's Board Report.

# Sincerely,

Aaron Starr, MA Manager of Legislative Affairs

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

**Direct:** 415-558-6362 **Fax:** 415-558-6409

Email: <u>aaron.starr@sfgov.org</u>
Web: <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>











# Dwight B. Washabaugh 445 Wawona Street #333 San Francisco, California 94116

# RECEIVED

JUN 1 2018

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
CPC/HPC

May 31, 2018

Planning Commission
San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, California 94103

Regarding: Support For 450 O'Farrell Street Proposed Project

Dear Commissioners,

I love San Francisco and all it has to offer since attending college here in the late 1960's. While I left the city for a number of years for various employment opportunities I am back now and retired.

Supporting this propose project is an easy decision for me because it will enhance this neighborhood which continues to struggle with many challenges. First there is the need for market-rate and affordable housing. Second this project will support the safety needs so desperately needed in this community. And last but also very important is the opportunity for there to remain a place of worship for this neighborhood.

I have visited this church and discussed the proposed project with the members and feel strongly that this is a well thought out project that will benefit this San Francisco neighborhood.

I urge your approval vote for the 450 O'Farrell Street Proposed Project.

B. Wohaling S

Sincerely.

Dwight B. Washabaugh

Citizen of the City of San Francisco