# Discretionary Review <br> Abbreviated Analysis <br> HEARING DATE: MAY 14, 2020 

CONTINUED TO JUNE 4, 2020

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project consists of:

1. Demolition of an existing one-story noncomplying building in the rear yard that straddles the current lot line;
2. Adjustment of the side lot line and subdivision of the two contiguous through-lots to create two new substandard lots fronting Laussat Street;
3. New construction of two, three-story over basement, two-family dwellings with no parking on the lots fronting Laussat Street;
4. One-story vertical additions, 4-story rear horizontal additions, and front façade alterations of each of the dwellings on 400 and 446-448 Waller Street and;
5. Construction of a new garage at 440 Waller Street.

Parking for the new townhomes on Laussat is proposed in the existing and new garages fronting Waller Street.

This proposal is requesting variances for lot size for 440 Waller and rear yards for 446-448 and 440 Waller Street (Case No. 2015-008247VAR) which was heard by the Zoning Administrator at a public hearing on January 22, 2020). A new variance for front setback on Laussat has since been submitted. All three variance requests will be considered at this date.

## SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

There are currently two through-lots with two buildings fronting Waller Street: 440 Waller - a single-family house; and 446-448 Waller - a two-unit building; and a one-story former commercial building fronting Laussat Street that straddles the common property line. The subject property slopes up toward Laussat Street, with a grade differential from the front lot line to the rear lot line of approximately 7 feet.

## SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The subject property is in the Lower Haight neighborhood. Laussat Street is a narrow street developed with two- to three-story, 1- and 2- unit townhouse houses with raised stoops and no curb cuts and garages. The development pattern of the block fronting Waller consists of primarily 2- to 3-story two- and three-unit townhouses that extend to similar depth at the rear resulting in a consistent but constrained mid-block open space.

## BUILDING PERMIT NOTIFICATION

| TYPE | REQUIRED <br> PERIOD | NOTIFICATION <br> DATES | DR FILE DATE | DR HEARING DATE | FILING TO HEARING TIME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 <br> Notice | 30 days | January 13, 2020 <br> - February 12, <br> 2020 | February 12, <br> 2020 | June 4, 2020 | 113 days |

## HEARING NOTIFICATION

| TYPE | REQUIRED <br> PERIOD | REQUIRED NOTICE DATE | ACTUAL NOTICE DATE | ACTUAL <br> PERIOD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Posted Notice | 20 days | April 24, 2020 | April 24, 2020 | 20 days |
| Mailed Notice | 20 days | April 24, 2020 | April 24, 2020 | 20 days |
| Online Notice | 20 days | April 24, 2020 | April 24,2020 | 20 days |

## PUBLIC COMMENT

|  | SUPPORT | OPPOSED | NO POSITION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjacent neighbor(s) | 0 | 0 | - |
| Other neighbors on the <br> block or directly across <br> the street | 40 | 0 | - |
| Neighborhood groups | 0 | 0 | - |

## DR REQUESTOR

Thomas Drohan, of 436 Waller Street adjacent property to the East.

## DR REQUESTOR'S CONCERNS AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES

The proposed project will encroach excessively into the rear yard and block light and privacy; it does not respect the form of surrounding buildings.

See attached Discretionary Review Applications, dated February 12, 2020.

## PROJECT SPONSOR'S RESPONSE TO DR APPLICATION

The project is consistent with the scale of the street, open space, and pattern of the development and an appropriate response to add 4 units of housing.

See attached Response to Discretionary Review, dated March 12, 2020.

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt/excluded from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15303 (Class 3 - New construction or conversion of small structures).

## RESIDENTIAL DESIGN TEAM REVIEW

The Residential Design Advisory Team re-reviewed project with the DR Applications on April 1, 2020, and found that Laussat Street, like most alleys in the city, has been developed with a pattern of smaller residential buildings with small rear yards and, cumulatively, constrained mid-block open space. RDAT found that the new buildings are set between two- and three-story houses with a footprint, massing, and rear yard comparable to those of the adjacent buildings on either side and generally consistent with the development pattern of the block. RDAT also found that the DR Requestor's concerns regarding the loss of light and privacy from the new buildings on Laussat are neither extraordinary nor exceptional as the height and footprint of the proposed buildings is consistent with the existing development pattern of this block. Furthermore, the buildings' location to the North maintains light to the DR requestor's property and the yards of the mid-block open space.
However, RDAT did find that the following modification were appropriate and recommended that the project sponsor revise the project per below:

## 446-448 Waller building:

1. Limit the extension at the rear to the existing main rear building wall to provide a Code-complying rear yard with $25 \%$ of lot depth;
2. Incorporate a raised front stoop with entries that directly face the street, in accordance with the Department's Guidelines for Ground Floor Residential Design.
3. Minimize the stair roof penthouse;
4. Reduce the size of the roof deck to have a $10^{\prime}$ or greater setback from the front and rear building edges; and sized to provide only the minimum required private open space for upper unit.

## 440 Waller building:

1. Limit the extension at the rear to the existing main rear building wall to provide Code-complying rear yard with $25 \%$ of lot depth;
2. Reduce the width of the garage door to $8^{\prime}$;
3. Reduce the size of the roof deck to have a $10^{\prime}$ or greater setback from the front and rear building edges; and sized to provide only the minimum required private open space.

## Laussat Buildings:

1. Provide a Code-complying front setback on Laussat, landscape setback;
2. Demonstrate Code compliance with height on narrow streets.

Provide raised entrances with separate doors to each unit directly from Laussat;
3. Reduce the size of the roof deck to provide only the minimum required private open space for upper units;
4. Minimize stair penthouse access to roofs
5. Provide window details showing a $3^{\prime \prime}$ recess from face of finish façades and
6. Match light well to adjacent neighbor to West.

The project sponsor has made some revisions to the plans, dated 5.26.2020, to address the recommendations above. After having reviewed the revised proposal, Planning Department staff has determined that the revised project creates exceptional or extraordinary circumstances and recommends taking DR with the following modifications:

1. Limit the horizontal extensions of both the 440 and $446-448$ Waller buildings to provide Codecomplaint rear yards of $25 \%$ of the lot depths;
2. Maintain a raised entrance at 446-448 Waller and;
3. Reduce the size of the roof decks on 440 and $446-448$ Waller sized to provide only the minimum required private open space for upper units.

## RECOMMENDATION: <br> Take DR and Approve with Modifications

Attachments:<br>Block Book Map<br>Sanborn Map<br>Zoning Map<br>Aerial Photographs<br>Context Photographs<br>Section 311 Notice<br>CEQA Determination<br>DR Applications dated February 12, 2020<br>Response to DR Application dated March 12, 2020<br>Reduced Plans, revised, dated 5.26.2020<br>Letters of support

## Exhibits

Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Parcel Map

## HAIGHT



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Sanborn Map*


*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.

## Zoning Map



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04 440-448 Waller Street

## Aerial Photo



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Aerial Photo



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Aerial Photo



Discretionary Review Hearing
©
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Aerial Photo



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## Site Photo



Discretionary Review Hearing
Case Number 2018-000528DRP-04
440-448 Waller Street

## NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION（SECTION 311）

On January 30，2019，Building Permit Application No．2019．01．30．1630 was filed for work at the Project Address below．

Notice Date：January 13， 2020

| PROJECT IN F ORMATION |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Address： | 440－448 WALLER |
| Cross Street（s）： | Steiner \＆Fillmore Streets |
| Block／Lot No．： | $\mathbf{0 8 6 0}$／013 |
| Zoning District（s）： | RH－3／40－X |
| Record Number： | 2018－000528PRJ |

Expiration Date：February 12， 2020

Applicant：
Address：
City，State：
Telephone：
Email：

APPLICANT INFORMATION
Jody Knight
One Bush Street，Suite 600
San Francisco，CA
（415）567－9000
jknight＠reubenlaw．com

You are receiving this notice as an owner or occupant of property within 150 feet of the proposed project．You are not required to take any action．For more information about the proposed project，or to express concerns about the project， please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible．If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project，you may request that the Planning Commission review this application at a public hearing for Discretionary Review．Requests for a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30－day review period，prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown above，or the next business day if that date is on a week－end or a legal holiday．If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed，this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date．
Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department．All written or oral communications，including submitted personal contact information，may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department＇s website or in other public documents．


To view plans or related documents，visit sf－planning．org／notices and search the Project Address listed above．Once the property is located，click on the dot（s）to view details of the record number above，its related documents and／or plans．
For more information，please contact Planning Department staff：
Laura Ajello，415－575－9142，Laura．Ajello＠sfgov．org

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION（SECTION 311）

On January 30，2019，Building Permit Application No．2019．01．30．1621 was filed for work at the Project Address below．

Notice Date：January 13， 2020

| PROJECT INFORMATION |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Address： | 440－448 WALLER（new building at rear） |
| Cross Street（s）： | Steiner \＆Fillmore Streets |
| Block／Lot No．： | 0860／013 |
| Zoning District（s）： | RH－3／40－X |
| Record Number： | 2018－000528PRJ |

You are receiving this notice as an owner or occupant of property within 150 feet of the proposed project．You are not required to take any action．For more information about the proposed project，or to express concerns about the project， please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible．If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project，you may request that the Planning Commission review this application at a public hearing for Discretionary Review．Requests for a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30－day review period，prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown above，or the next business day if that date is on a week－end or a legal holiday．If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed，this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date．

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department．All written or oral communications，including submitted personal contact information，may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department＇s website or in other public documents．

| PROJECT SCOPE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ■ Demolition | ■ New Construction | $\square$ Alteration |
| $\square$ Change of Use | $\square$ Façade Alteration（s） | $\square$ Front Addition |
| $\square$ Rear Addition | $\square$ Side Addition | $\square$ Vertical Addition |
| PROJECT FEATURES | EXISTING | PROPOSED |
| Building Use | Commerical（2－story building at rear） | Residential |
| Front Setback | None | No Change |
| Side Setbacks | None | No Change |
| Building Depth | N／A | 35 feet |
| Rear Yard | N／A | 15 feet |
| Building Height | N／A | 40 feet |
| Number of Stories | N／A | 3－stories－over－basement |
| Number of Dwelling Units | 0 | 2 |
| Number of Parking Spaces | N／A | 2 （proposed in front building） |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION |  |  |
| Note this entire project encompasses multiple pemits and buildings on two existing lots． <br> The proposal is to merge and re－subdivide two lots fronting on Waller Street（lots 12 \＆13）and create two new lots fronting on Laussat Street．The existing noncomplying building in the rear yard that straddles the current lot line will be demolished and replaced with a new 3－story－over－basement two－family dwelling．One－story vertical additions and 4－story horizontal additions at the rear of each of the dwellings on Waller Street are proposed，plus a new garage is proposed for 440 Waller Street． <br> This proposal requires variance for lot size（Case No．2015－008247VAR scheduled for hearing on January 22，2020）． <br> The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA，pursuant to Section 31．04（h）of the San Francisco Administrative Code． |  |  |

To view plans or related documents，visit sf－planning．org／notices and search the Project Address listed above．Once the property is located，click on the dot（s）to view details of the record number above，its related documents and／or plans．

For more information，please contact Planning Department staff：
Laura Ajello，415－575－9142，Laura．Ajello＠sfgov．org

## CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

## PROPERTY INFORMATION／PROJECT DESCRIPTION

| Project Address | Block／Lot（s） |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 440，446－448 Waller Street | 0860012 |  |
| Case No． | Permit No． |  |
| 2015－008247ENV |  |  |
| Addition／ <br> Alteration | Demolition（requires HRE for <br> Category B Building） | New <br> Construction |

Project description for Planning Department approval．
The project would merge and re－subdivide two lots fronting on Waller Street（lots $12 \& 13$ ）and create two new lots fronting on Laussat Street．The existing non－complying building in the rear yard that straddles the current lot line would be demolished and replaced with two new 3 －story over－basement two－family dwellings．One－story vertical additions and 4－story horizontal additions at the rear of each of the dwellings on Waller Street are proposed，plus a new garage is proposed for 440 Waller Street．

Building Permit Applications：2019．01．30．1623，2019．01．30．1617，2019．0130．1621，and 2019．0130．1630

## STEP 1：EXEMPTION CLASS

| The project has been determined to be categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality <br> Act（CEQA）． |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Class 1 －Existing Facilities．Interior and exterior alterations；additions under 10，000 sq．ft． |
| $\square$ | Class 3 －New Construction．Up to three new single－family residences or six dwelling units in one <br> building；commercial／office structures；utility extensions；change of use under 10，000 sq．ft．if principally <br> permitted or with a CU． |
| $\square$ | Class 32－In－Fill Development．New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than <br> 10，000 sq．ft．and meets the conditions described below： <br> （a）The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan <br> policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations． <br> （b）The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres <br> substantially surrounded by urban uses． <br> （c）The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species． <br> （d）Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic，noise，air quality，or <br> water quality． <br> （e）The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services． <br> FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING USE ONLY |
| $\square$ | Class＿ |

## STEP 2：CEQA IMPACTS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

|  | Air Quality：Would the project add new sensitive receptors（specifically，schools，day care facilities， hospitals，residential dwellings，and senior－care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone？Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations（e．g．，backup diesel generators， heavy industry，diesel trucks，etc．）？（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞Air Pollution Exposure Zone） |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Hazardous Materials：If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials（based on a previous use such as gas station，auto repair，dry cleaners，or heavy manufacturing，or a site with underground storage tanks）：Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance－or a change of use from industrial to residential？ <br> Note that a categorical exemption shall not be issued for a project located on the Cortese List if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health （DPH）Maher program，a DPH waiver from the Maher program，or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞Maher layer）． |
|  | Transportation：Does the project involve a child care facility or school with 30 or more students，or a location 1,500 sq．ft．or greater？Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit，pedestrian and／or bicycle safety（hazards）or the adequacy of nearby transit，pedestrian and／or bicycle facilities？ |
|  | Archeological Resources：Would the project result in soil disturbance／modification greater than two （2）feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight（8）feet in a non－archeological sensitive area？If yes，archeo review is requried（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞ Archeological Sensitive Area） |
| $\square$ | Subdivision／Lot Line Adjustment：Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of $20 \%$ or more？（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞ Topography）．If yes，Environmental Planning must issue the exemption． |
|  | Slope $=$ or $\mathbf{>} \mathbf{2 5 \%}$ ：Does the project involve any of the following：（1）square footage expansion greater than 500 sq．ft．outside of the existing building footprint，（2）excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil，（3）new construction？（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞Topography）If box is checked，a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption． |
| $\square$ | Seismic：Landslide Zone：Does the project involve any of the following：（1）square footage expansion greater than 500 sq ．ft．outside of the existing building footprint，（2）excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil，（3）new construction？（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞Seismic Hazard Zones） If box is checked，a geotechnical report is required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption． |
| $\square$ | Seismic：Liquefaction Zone：Does the project involve any of the following：（1）square footage expansion greater than 500 sq．ft．outside of the existing building footprint，（2）excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil，（3）new construction？（refer to EP＿ArcMap＞CEQA Catex Determination Layers＞ Seismic Hazard Zones）If box is checked，a geotechnical report will likely be required and Environmental Planning must issue the exemption． |
| Comments and Planner Signature（optional）： <br> Don Lewis <br> Planning department staff archaeologist cleared the project with no effects on 5／28／20． <br> The proposed project is subject to the 2014 Air Pollutant Exposure Zone（APEZ）map based on the project＇s site permit date of $1 / 30 / 2019$ ．Because it is not located in the APEZ with respect to the 2014 map，enhanced ventilation in accordance with Article 38 is not required and an application does not need to be submitted． |  |

STEP 3：PROPERTY STATUS－HISTORIC RESOURCE
TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER
PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING：（refer to Property Information Map）
Category A：Known Historical Resource．GO TO STEP 5.
Category B：Potential Historical Resource（over 45 years of age）．GO TO STEP 4.
Category C：Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible（under 45 years of age）．GO TO STEP 6.

## STEP 4：PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project．

| $\square$ | 1．Change of use and new construction．Tenant improvements not included． |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | 2．Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration，decay，or damage to building． |
| $\square$ | 3．Window replacement that meets the Department＇s Window Replacement Standards．Does not include <br> storefront window alterations． |
| $\square$ | 4．Garage work．A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts，and／or <br> replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines． |
| $\square$ | 5．Deck，terrace construction，or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right－of－way． |
| $\square$ | 6．Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public <br> right－of－way． |
| $\square$ | 7．Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning <br> Administrator Bulletin No．3：Dormer Windows． |
| $\square$ | 8．Addition（s）that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right－of－way for 150 feet in each <br> direction；does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a <br> single story in height；does not have a footprint that is more than 50\％larger than that of the original <br> building；and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features． |
| Note：Project Planner must check box below before proceeding． |  |
| $\square$ | Project is not listed．GO TO STEP 5． |
| $\square$ | Project does not conform to the scopes of work．GO TO STEP 5． |
| $\square$ | Project involves four or more work descriptions．GO TO STEP 5． |
| $\square$ | Project involves less than four work descriptions．GO TO STEP 6． |

## STEP 5：CEQA IMPACTS－ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

| Check all that apply to the project． |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | 1．Project involves a known historical resource（CEQA Category A）as determined by Step 3 and <br> conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4． |
| $\square$ | 2．Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces． |
| $\square$ | 3．Window replacement of original／historic windows that are not＂in－kind＂but are consistent with <br> existing historic character． |
| $\square$ | 4．Façade／storefront alterations that do not remove，alter，or obscure character－defining features． |
| $\square$ | 5．Raising the building in a manner that does not remove，alter，or obscure character－defining <br> features． |
| $\square$ | 6．Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building＇s historic condition，such as historic <br> photographs，plans，physical evidence，or similar buildings． |



## STEP 6：CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER
No further environmental review is required．The project is categorically exempt under CEQA． There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect．

| Project Approval Action： <br> Building Permit | Signature： <br> Don Lewis |
| :--- | :--- |
| If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested， <br> the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project． | $05 / 28 / 2020$ |
| Once signed or stamped and dated，this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter <br> 31of the Administrative Code． <br> In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code，an appeal of an exemption determination can only be <br> filed within 30 days of the project receiving the approval action． <br> Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project．Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals． |  |

## STEP 7：MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

## TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code，when a California Environmental Quality Act（CEQA）exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval，the Environmental Review Officer（or his or her designee）must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project．This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a＂substantial modification＂and，therefore，be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA．

## MODIFIED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Modified Project Description：

## DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project，would the modified project：

| $\square$ | Result in expansion of the building envelope，as defined in the Planning Code； |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code <br> Sections 311 or 312； |
| $\square$ | Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005（f）？ |
| $\square$ | Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known <br> at the time of the original determination，that shows the originally approved project may <br> no longer qualify for the exemption？ |
| If at least one of the above boxes is checked，further environmental review is required． |  |

## DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes．
If this box is checked，the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA，in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required．This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant，City approving entities，and anyone requesting written notice．In accordance with Chapter 31，Sec 31．08j of the San Francisco Administrative Code，an appeal of this determination can be filed within 10 days of posting of this determination．

| Planner Name： | Date： |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## PRESERVATION TEAM REVIEW FORM

1650 Mission St Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558 .6378

Fax:
415.558 .6409

Planning Information:
415.558.6377

| PURPOSE OF REVIEW: |  | PROJECT DESCRIPTION: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\odot$ CEQA | C Article 10/11 | C Preliminary/PIC | $\odot$ Alteration | Cemo/New Construction |

DATE OF PLANS UNDER REVIEW: $12 / 05 / 16$, revised $11 / 01 / 17$
PROIECT ISSUES:

| $\boxtimes$ | Is the subject Property an eligible historic resource? |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | If so, are the proposed changes a significant impact? |
| Additional Notes: |  |
| Submitted: Supplemental Information for Historic Resource Determination |  |
| Proposed Project: Division of an existing lot into two lots and seek a variance from rear |  |
| yard requirements for the Waller Street portion of the lot. Demolition of rear shed and |  |
| construction of four new dwelling units on two lots fronting Laussat Street and add a |  |
| new dwelling unit to the existing 3 unit structure facing Waller Street. |  |



| Complies with the Secretary's Standards/Art 10/Art 11: | C Yes | C No | C N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CEOA Material Impairment to the individual bistoric resource: | C Yes | C No |  |
| CEQA Material Impairment to the historic district: | C Yes | © No |  |
| Requires Design Revisions: | C Yes | C No |  |
| Defer to Residential Design Team: | C Yes | C No |  |

## PRESERVATION TEAM COMMENTS:

The area of the proposed project encompasses two lots containing three buildings located at 440 and 446-448 Waller Street. 440 Waller Street is a two-story-over-basement, woodframe, single-family dwelling constructed ca. 1890 (Assessor's Record). 446-448 Waller Street is a two-story-over-garage, wood-frame, two-family dwelling constructed ca. 1905 (Assessor's Record). No original building permits were found to determine architect and/or builder of both properties. Both residential buildings face Waller Street and are best described as vernacular in style. Based on their respective dates of construction and the surrounding architectural context, they may have been originally designed in an Italianate style. However, in their existing states, there is no evidence of their original architecture. There is also an existing rear shed that encompasses the rear of both lots. The 1905 Sanborn Map shows various one-story buildings located at the rear of both properties. These rear buildings likely were altered and conjoined at a later date to produce the existing rear shed structure.

The Spring Valley Water Tap request for both buildings, dated August 26, 1904, was recorded together and lists Neils Christensen Toft as the point of contact, who was also likely the original owner. The 1905 Sanborn Map shows that 446-448 Waller Street utilized its first floor as an office for a Wood \& Coal business that operated out of the rear of both properties. The top two floors were used as two flats and 440 Waller Street is recorded as one dwelling, likely for the Toft family that operated the Wood \& Coal business. The Wood \& Coal business is recorded on Sanborn Maps up until 1998.

440-448 Waller Street does not appear to be eligible for individual listing on the California Register under any Criteria. Neither property nor the business that operated out of them is associated with an event or events that made significant contributions to the broad patterns of local, state or national history (Criterion 1). None of the owners or occupants have been identified as important to history (Criterion 2). Both buildings are not architecturally distinct such that they would qualify individually for listing in the California Register under Criterion 3. Additionally, the subject buildings are not significant under Criterion 4 since this significance criteria typically applies to rare construction types when involving the built environment. The subject building is not an example of a rare construction type.
(continued)


The subject property is not located adjacent to any known historic resources (Category A properties) or within the boundaries of any identified historic district. The subject property is located in the Western Addition neighborhood on a block that exhibits a variety of architectural styles and construction dates ranging from ca. late 1800 s to 1991 , many with major subsequent alterations. Together, the block does not comprise a significant concentration of historically or aesthetically unified buildings.

Therefore, the subject property is not eligible for listing in the California Register under any criteria individually or as part of a historic district.


440 Waller Street (right) and 446-448 Waller Street (left), source: Google Maps

































## DISCRETIONARY REVIEW PUBLIC (DRP) <br> APPLICATION

## Discretionary Review Requestor's Information

| Name: Thomas Drohan |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address: 436 | Email Address: tomedrohan@gmail.com |
|  | Telephone: 415-728-7134 |
| Information on the Owner of the Property Being Developed |  |
| Name: Jody Knight (applicant) |  |
| Company/Organization: Reuben Junius and Rose |  |
| 1 Bush Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94104 | Email Address: jknight@reubenlaw.com |
|  | Telephone: 415-567-9000 |
| Property Information and Related Applications |  |
| Project Address: 440-448 Waller Street |  |
| Block/Lot(s): 0860/013 |  |
| Building Permit Application No(s): | 2019.01.30.1623; |

## ACTIONS PRIOR TO A DISCRETIONARY REVIEW REQUEST

| PRIOR ACTION | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Have you discussed this project with the permit applicant? |  |  |
| Did you discuss the project with the Planning Department permit review planner? |  |  |
| Did you participate in outside mediation on this case? (including Community Boards) |  |  |

## Changes Made to the Project as a Result of Mediation.

If you have discussed the project with the applicant, planning staff or gone through mediation, please summarize the result, including any changes that were made to the proposed project.

## DISCRETIONARY REVIEW REQUEST

In the space below and on seperate paper, if necessary, please present facts sufficient to answer each question.

1. What are the reasons for requesting Discretionary Review? The project meets the standards of the Planning Code and the Residential Design Guidelines. What are the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances that justify Discretionary Review of the project? How does the project conflict with the City's General Plan or the Planning Code's Priority Policies or Residential Design Guidelines? Please be specific and site specific sections of the Residential Design Guidelines.

## See Attachment 1

2. The Residential Design Guidelines assume some impacts to be reasonable and expected as part of construction. Please explain how this project would cause unreasonable impacts. If you believe your property, the property of others or the neighborhood would be unreasonably affected, please state who would be affected, and how.

## See Attachment 2

3. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project, beyond the changes (if any) already made would respond to the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances and reduce the adverse effects noted above in question \#1?

## See Attachment 3

## DISCRETIONARY REVIEW REQUESTOR'S AFFIDAVIT

Under penalty of perjury the following declarations are made:
a) The undersigned is the DR requestor or their authorized representation.

self

Relationship to Requestor
(i.e. Attorney, Architect, etc.)

415-728-7134
Phone

Thomas Drohan
Name (Printed)
tomedrohan@gmail.com
Email

For Dopartment Use only
Application received by Planning Department:

## Attachment A

The entire project consists of 2 newly constructed 2-unit, four story buildings in the rear yard of the property at 440 Waller and 446-448 Waller Street, as well as additional vertical and horizontal construction at the existing buildings on Waller.

The project violates the guideline of minimizing the impact on light and privacy to adjacent properties due to excessive height and excessive encroachment in the rear yard of the rear buildings (future 241-243 Laussat) and the 446-448 building. The project as designed will, due to its overall size, significantly reduce the light and air on the 434-436 Waller property (and others), and impact the existing housing character of the area. Residential Design Guidelines, pg. 16; Planning Code §_101.1(b). In addition, the building's form is not compatible with that of surrounding buildings. Residential Design Guidelines, pg. 23.

The attached photos show how the light and view would be affected from 434 Waller Street. The effect on the light is even more pronounced at 436 Waller Street (lower unit).

## Attachment A

The entire project consists of 2 newly constructed 2 -unit, four story buildings in the rear yard of the property at 440 Waller and 446-448 Waller Street, as well as additional vertical and horizontal construction at the existing buildings on Waller.

The project violates the guideline of minimizing the impact on light and privacy to adjacent properties due to excessive height and excessive encroachment in the rear yard of the rear buildings (future 241-243 Laussat) and the 446-448 building. The project as designed will, due to its overall size, significantly reduce the light and air on the 434-436 Waller property (and others), and impact the existing housing character of the area. Residential Design Guidelines, pg. 16; Planning Code §_101.1(b). In addition, the building's form is not compatible with that of surrounding buildings. Residential Design Guidelines, pg. 23.

## BLOCKED LIGHT/VIEW



## Attachment B

The effect of the of the project would have significant impact on 434-436 Waller, as well as 428 Waller, particularly given the encroachment by the addition at the rear of 235 Laussat. The open aspect of the combined rear yards would be unreasonably cut off, to the detriment of all due to lack of light and view.

## Attachment C

Elimination of the fourth floors of the rear buildings would substantially lessen the mass and impact of the project.

RESPONSE TO

# DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (DRP) 

## Project Information

Building Permit Application(s): 201901301623; 201901301617; 201901301630; 201901301621

Record Number:

## Project Sponsor

Name: 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street LLC c/o Jody Knight Phone: (415) 567-9000
Email: jknight@reubenlaw.com

## Required Questions

1. Given the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties, why do you feel your proposed project should be approved? (If you are not aware of the issues of concern to the DR requester, please meet the DR requester in addition to reviewing the attached DR application.)

## See Attachment A.

2. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project are you willing to make in order to address the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties? If you have already changed the project to meet neighborhood concerns, please explain those changes and indicate whether they were made before or after filing your application with the City.

## See Attachment A.

3. If you are not willing to change the proposed project or pursue other alternatives, please state why you feel that your project would not have any adverse effect on the surrounding properties. Include an explaination of your needs for space or other personal requirements that prevent you from making the changes requested by the DR requester.

## See Attachment A.

## Project Features

Please provide the following information about the project for both the existing and proposed features. Please attach an additional sheet with project features that are not included in this table.

|  | EXISTING | PROPOSED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Dwelling Units (only one kitchen per unit - additional kitchens count as additional units) | 3 | 7 |
| Occupied Stories (all levels with habitable rooms) | 3 | $3-4$ |
| Basement Levels (may include garage or windowless storage rooms) | 1 | 1 |
| Parking Spaces (Off-Street) | 2 | 7 |
| Bedrooms | 9 | 18 |
| Height | $57^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime \prime}-60^{\prime \prime}$ | $47^{\prime} 2 "^{\prime \prime}-57^{\prime \prime} 6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Building Depth |  |  |
| Rental Value (monthly) |  |  |
| Property Value |  |  |

I attest that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge.

## Signature: <br> Printed Name: Jody Knight

## Date:

3/12/20
$\square$ Authorized Agent

If you have any additional information that is not covered by this application, please feel free to attach additional sheets to this form.

## Attachment A <br> 440-448 Waller Discretionary Review Response

## 1. Given the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties, why do you feel your proposed project should be approved?

## A. The Project is Appropriate for the Site and Provides Much Needed Dwelling Units

The Project proposes to demolish a rear shed and divide the existing two lots into three lots, with one merged lot fronting on Waller Street and two new lots fronting on Laussat Street. The Project further proposes to add two new two-unit buildings to the new lots fronting on Laussat Street and to modify the existing buildings on Waller Street. The current configuration on Laussat Street creates an unattractive gap in residential use, with a blank wall at the rear of the existing shed. The Project would close that gap with two new well-designed three story over basement residential buildings, consistent with surrounding properties.

The Laussat Street buildings would have Code-compliant 15 foot rear yards. The Project requires a variance for lot size because the new lots on Laussat Street would be 1,250 square feet and for rear yard because the Waller Street lots will have rear yards of 12 '2" for 446-448 Waller Street and 15 feet for 440 Waller Street, which are less than the 17 foot $25 \%$ rear yards provided for in the Planning Code. The proposed rear yards are consistent with the block and actually larger than the midblock open space on many of the lots on the block.

The proposal for 440 Waller Street would include a minor height increase of four feet six inches to allow for the addition of a fourth floor. A notch between 440 Waller Street and 446-448 Waller Street would be filled in, but that would have no impact on the DR Requestor's property at 436 Waller Street. Moreover, a rear sun porch would be removed from 440 Waller Street, with the depth of the building reduced from $54^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ to 47 ' 2 ." Therefore, the proposed changes to 440 Waller Street would actually benefit the DR Requestor's property. The back of 436 Waller Street has a kitchen and small sitting area with three square windows that face west. The porch blocks about half the windows. Removal of the porch will increase direct late afternoon sunlight into 436 Waller Street, benefiting that property. Moreover, the size of the combined rear yards is much larger than anything else on the block (See Exhibit A, attached map of mid-block open space).

The proposal for 446-448 Waller Street would include a height increase of two feet two inches to allow for the addition of a new second floor and raising the upper floors. It would also involve a minor rear addition but overall shortening of the building and cosmetic changes to the front facade.

The garage of the 446-448 Waller Street building, which currently contains two car parking spaces, would be expanded to accommodate four car parking spaces, with one car dedicated to each of the new rear units and one car parking space dedicated to each of the two front units. The Project would add three car parking spaces to the 440 Waller Street building, with one dedicated to each of the new rear units and one parking space dedicated to the front building. These parking spaces would contribute to the family-friendly nature of the dwelling units. In order to remain in the City,
many families want to maintain one vehicle for the household. Furthermore, neighbors do not want to see street parking on narrow Laussat Street become even more difficult.

## B. The DR Challenges Construction of Two Three Story over Basement Buildings on Laussat Street That are Entirely Consistent with the Streetfront

The DR Requestor alleges impacts to light and privacy of surrounding buildings due to excessive height and encroachment into the rear yard from the construction on Laussat Street. The Discretionary Review Application also provides photos with the alleged massing of the Laussat Street buildings drawn in. These photos are not to scale for the proposed development and do not correctly show perspective. The difference between the two story existing shed and three story over basement buildings proposed has a minor impact on the view of the sky from 436 Waller. The current view of the open sky from both units at 436 Waller Street is very limited, and will not be detrimentally impacted by the Project. In fact, demolition of the existing two-story shed will open up the mid-block space at 446-448 Waller, benefitting all of the surrounding lots.

The Project proposes three story over basement buildings in Laussat Street that would be separated from 436 Waller Street by both properties' rear yards. The Laussat Street buildings are compatible with both the surrounding buildings on Laussat Street and the buildings on Waller Street. The distance between the DR Requestor's house and the new buildings provides more than sufficient access to light and air. Moreover, the Laussat Street buildings are to the north of the DR Requestor's home and will not block significant sunlight.

The DR Requestor does not appear to challenge the modification of the Waller Street buildings. The changes to 440 and $446-448$ Waller Street increase the livability of the existing buildings significantly while not negatively impacting surrounding buildings. In fact, the facade changes will improve the aesthetics of the block as a whole.
2. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project are you willing to make in order to address the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties? If you have already changed the project to meet neighborhood concerns, please explain those changes and indicate whether they were made before or after filing your application with the City.

Prior to filing of the DR Application, DR Requestor Thomas Drohan did not reach out to the Project Sponsor or attend the pre-application meeting. The Sponsor Team was surprised to receive the DR Request, as the Project does not detrimentally impact surrounding properties, and other neighbors are supportive of the Project and glad to see the shed demolished.

Drohan's request to remove a story from the Laussat Street buildings would eliminate two familysize units from the City's housing stock and create buildings out of scale with the streetfront on Laussat. Therefore, there are no reasonable changes to be made in response to the DR Request.

## 3. If you are not willing to change the proposed project or pursue other alternatives, please state why you feel that your project would not have any adverse effect on the surrounding properties. Include an explanation of your needs for space or other

Page $\mathbf{2}$ of $\mathbf{3}$
personal requirements that prevent you from making the changes requested by the DR requester.

The Project will demolish an unattractive rear shed and build well-designed buildings on Laussat Street. The DR Requestor requests removal of the top floors of the Laussat Street buildings, which would prevent the addition of four two-bedroom family size units. As discussed above, the Project does not negatively impact surrounding buildings, and actually benefits adjacent properties by removing a decrepit shed and adding well-designed housing to the block. Therefore, no changes to the Project are warranted.

## Exhibit A
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# Waller and Laussat Street Neighbors 40 Letters of Support 

Dear Planning Commisioners:

My family consists of myself, two moms, Bernadette Capozzoli and Johanna Metzgar, a grandmother Pat Metzgar and our two sons Graham and Jackson that we co-parent. We purchased the property in 2016 and live in all 3 units. We are looking to build 4 new units in the back as well as remodel our existing homes after the Laussat units are finished and pays down our loan.

Over the past 2 years my family met with Waller and Laussat Street neighbors to gain support. We held 2 meetings with all Laussat Street neighbors in the past 2 years. We also sent emails out via a Laussat Street Google Group asking for comments. Recently, we went door to door asking people to sign letters of support on Laussat and Waller Sis.

The DR requester came to the 311 neighborhood meeting and we communicated and asked for comments and concerns and we received none. The DR requester filed the DR on the last day. Since then we have sent them a dozen emails encouraging them to meet with us over the past $\mathbf{2}$ months to no avail.

As you can see from the Support Chart on the next page almost everyone has been very supportive of my families' proposal to develop the 4 family sized units on Laussat Street as well as the remodel of our families' homes at 440-446-448 Waller Street. Every neighbor who was asked to sign a letter of support signed one. The gaps in the chart are neighbors who are sheltering in place elsewhere or couldn't be reached.

Sincerely,





## Letters of Support

## HATGHT



## Laussat Street Neighbors <br> Letters of Support

## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.


LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,

$$
\mathcal{H o h m}_{214} \text { stale st., } 5 F
$$

LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely, Clenuser


- Alex Mayo


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


FRANCIS DJABRI
229 LaUSAAT ST

## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


Gary shambat a 230 laussat

LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.


LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,
T Sung $\rightarrow$ tho


TSung-ttuo chang
234 Laussat ST.

## AJACENT PROPERTY OWNER

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I own a property adjacent to the project sponsors and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at neighborhood meetings over the past two years.

Sincerely,


Sternawe Shushan
235 LaNSSat st

## AJACENT PROPERTY OWNER

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I own a property adjacent to the project sponsors and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at neighborhood meetings over the past two years.


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,
Conme Long


247 Laussat.

LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,

$\overbrace{\text { CHRIS MOORE }}$
254 Lanssat St
Sf CA 94117

## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,
hark o boullacoua voa gondorrbolise (rantira)
258 LAUSSAT ST.

## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,

U Banda
Margaret Banda
260 Laussat st.


LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


DAN JOHNSON

$$
269 \text { LAUSSAT }
$$

LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,


Anna Kacius
275 LAUSSAT ST.


## LAUSSAT STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Laussat Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans. They have also presented their plans at a neighborhood meetings of Laussat Street neighbors.

Sincerely,

$$
\mathcal{M i m i l l}^{27 T \text { LAUSSAT } 51 .}
$$

## Waller Street Neighbors

## Letters of Support

# WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR 

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,

Kamenwan wormprate. 406 walled 5

## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,
LOUIE VIDAIES


157 FIllmore st

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TENN MEYER } \\
& 410 \text { Wall }
\end{aligned}
$$

# WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR 

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


# WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR 

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


# WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR 

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


$$
433 \text { waler street }
$$

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


$$
243 \text { WALLER }
$$

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely, Synth: founds: 455 wattle st

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,

$57 c A c y 17$

# WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR 

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,
460 Waller
Abigail Lieberfarb
James Hanse

## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.


## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

## Dear Planning Commissioners:

I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,
Marissa Kraines


461 waller St.

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


Catheriersandy
Catherine Gaudy
463 waller
734-883-1043

## WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

## LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand 440 \& 446-448 Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR

LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 473 \text { Waller Street } \\
& \text { San Franciva cA } 94117
\end{aligned}
$$

WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,


WALLER STREET NEIGHBOR
LETTER of SUPPORT

Dear Planning Commissioners:
I live near the project sponsors on Waller Street and I am writing in support of their project to remodel and expand $440 \& 446-448$ Waller Street as well as the two new buildings on Laussat Street.

The project sponsors have done outreach to us and showed and explained their plans.

Sincerely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sven Kruger } \\
& 488 \text { - Waller street } \\
& \text { san Tnancisco,CA-94117 }
\end{aligned}
$$



