

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 8:56:20 AM
Attachments: [scan0026.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Jamie Dyos [mailto:jamie@soireevalet.com]
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 4:16 PM
To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneymfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS); May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear President Hollis and Members of the Commission,

Please see attached.

Thank you

FOR YOUR NEXT EVENT LARGE OR SMALL
Group services include airport arrival and departure service,
event transportation coordination, valet parking and traffic management.

Jamie S. Dyos
President
Soiree Valet Parking Service, Inc.
1470 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94103-2523
Phone: 415-284-9700 or 800-660-1906
Direct: 415-284-9711
Cell: 415-559-2469
Fax: 415-284-9770
Email: Jamie@soireevalet.com
Web: www.soireevalet.com

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: More Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 8:56:11 AM
Attachments: [Letter in Opposition to CUA 2015-009507.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Connie Best [mailto:cbest@pacificforest.org]
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 5:15 PM
To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS); May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: More Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear President Hollis and Members of the Commission,

Please see attached letter signed by 28 residents who are neighbors to the proposed demolition and rebuild at 318 30th Avenue.

Thank you for your review in advance of your Oct. 5 hearing.

Sincerely,
Connie Best

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Staff Report on 318 30th Avenue - Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 8:55:10 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Connie Best [mailto:cbest@pacificforest.org]
Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 9:09 PM
To: May, Christopher (CPC)
Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject: Staff Report on 318 30th Avenue - Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear Christopher,

I was surprised to read in the staff report on this project of the neighborhood opposition as of 9.25.17 consisting of 3 emails and a phone call. I am aware that by that date at least 3 other letters (transmitted by email and/or USPS) had been delivered to the Department with copies to the Commission so I hope these are not somehow lost. Those letters have been augmented by at least 3 more letters I am aware of as well as the group letter from 28 residents.

Every day I hear from another neighbor expressing opposition to this project. Given the very minimal outreach conducted by the project developer, it has taken time for the details of the project to come to peoples' attention. The more they learn, the greater the opposition.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Connie Best

From: [Campbell, Cathleen \(CPC\)](#)
To: [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#)
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:36:29 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
Importance: High

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., to the Commissioners received September 30, 2017 2:29 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning

Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: Torr [mailto:catvse@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 30, 2017 2:29 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Cc: Sheehy, Jeff (BOS)
Subject: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.
Importance: High

Cathleen, I cannot make it to the Public Hearing 10-5-17 for case # 2002.0124CUA-02, But for the record I want to express the concerns that I, and my neighbors have for the proposed amendment to the Conditional Use Authorization for the Grocery Inventory Delivery hours at the Canyon Market.

I believe the original hours that were set for inventory delivery were 9a–12p, and we insist that these hours remain in place and not be changed! With that being said, I also want to

point out that these delivery hours are constantly are being violated, and that must stop. Going forward the set delivery hours need to be adhered to for many reasons -

Before the Canyon Market arrived, Wilder Street was much quieter, safer and less congested. Currently, delivery trucks often block the driveways of homes and apartment buildings, including my own. I am sure anyone would agree that as a tax paying home owner, I should not have to chase down a truck driver to be able to use my own driveway after I get home from an exhausting 10-12 hour work day. Let us also not fail to mention that when a Muni Bus that has to cross over into the opposite lane of traffic to pass a double parked truck it creates a huge safety hazard, especially during commute hours. The double parked trucks, sometimes as many as 6 at a time (I have pictures) on Wilder Street along with the recent addition of the Muni Bus route have served to dramatically decrease the Safety, Cleanliness and over all Quality of Life on this block. There should have been studies/surveys already done to investigate these issues and plans put into effect to mitigate and avoid all these negatives. If said studies/surveys have indeed already been done, we believe they need to be reinvestigated. If they have not been done, they need to be.

With a reasonable amount of coordination between the Owners/Managers of the Canyon market and their Vendors they should be able make Mid-Day deliveries work. Taking the easy way out by forcing Glen Park citizens to accept all the negative effects of deliveries outside these hours is unacceptable and unfair.

The citizens of Glen park deserve better, and we expect the SF City departments who have responsibility for these matters to make every effort to support the goals of safety and quality of life in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention,

Torr Tietz

From: [Campbell, Cathleen \(CPC\)](#)
To: [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#)
Subject: FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:40:39 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image015.png](#)
[image016.png](#)
[image017.png](#)
[image018.png](#)
[image019.png](#)
[image020.png](#)
[image021.png](#)

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 01, 2017 1:14 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning

Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: Elise F Ravel [mailto:eravel@stanford.edu]
Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Subject: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Dear Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Hearing on Thursday, October 5th regarding Conditional Use Authorization request Case No 2002.0124CUA-02.

I am writing to protest the change in delivery hours to the Glen Park Canyon Market and the rescinding of the requirement for the market to provide delivery service, and request that the market be required to adhere to the hours the planning department agreed upon with the granting of the project.

I do not know if the planning department commissioners are the same members that were

on the commission at the time the development was approved, but I would like to remind the commissioners that after hours of testimony from dozens of residents objecting to:

1. the removal of a parking lot
2. the lack of parking provided by the developer for the market
3. the reduced parking for the condo owners and
4. the determination that the **project did not need a loading dock or area for deliveries,**

the only concession that was made, was the requirement that a delivery service be provided. There was also a suggestion that if there were any unused parking spaces from the condo that they could be rented out.

The neighborhood is barraged with trucks and there are now buses on Wilder Street which decrease the safety of the neighborhood. It is not acceptable to continue to disrupt the residents and decrease the safety of pedestrians (including school aged children) by lengthening the hours of deliveries. Not only should the requirements not be changed, but the Glen Canyon Market should comply with the agreed upon hours, restrict the delivery trucks to the area adjacent to the market (not in front of residential property), and they should also be required to broadly advertise delivery service to reduce the parking problems.

(If the owners are going to contend that there have been no complaints, it may be that residents were unaware of the restricted hours or how and where to report violations. I have just recently been informed.)

The extension of the delivery hours and the removal of the delivery service decreases parking and safety while increasing congestion. The customers of the market continually block access to my garage as well as my neighbors' garages. The parking of delivery trucks day and night (in violation of the agreement) have resulted in increase in congestion and decrease in parking. I have missed appointments, had to pay for missed appointments, and am unable to access my garage after an hour commute when I get home from work. Not only is there not enough parking for their customers, it appears there are tenants of the Glen Canyon Market complex that utilize street parking as well. Most likely there are not enough spaces for them, or they are unable to access their garage either. We have also had to request that a delivery driver turn off his idling engine of a semi, parked about 20 feet in front of my dining room window, during my dinner hour.

It is unfortunate that the Planning Department made the decision to approve the project without a loading dock or area for deliveries, but the decision was made and the requirements should be honored. The quality of the neighborhood has already deteriorated and should not be allowed to continue to.

Since it has been my experience that residents have little effect on these decisions, I would like to know what concessions the neighborhood will receive in return for the increased disruption and decrease in safety caused by the decisions that are made, that only benefit one party.

Perhaps the owners of the market should purchase parking permits for the residents who are unable to access their garages, pay DPT to paint the curbs, assure priority towing from DPT, and employ someone to patrol the neighborhood to prevent their customers from blocking residents access to their homes and assure that delivery trucks are parked adjacent to the Canyon Market and not 50 to 100 feet above the market in front of residents' homes. They should also pay to clean our homes and cars that are covered with dirt and filth from the delivery trucks.

The idea that agreements are not honored and decisions are made to accommodate the violations of the agreements made, is insulting and shameful.

I look forward to a positive response that supports law abiding, tax paying citizens, who simply desire a safe and reasonable quality of life.

Sincerely,

Elise Ravel

From: [Samonsky, Ella \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [McArthur, Margaret \(REC\)](#)
Cc: [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#)
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:54:28 AM
Attachments: [West Bay-LetterOfSupport-3016thStreet.pdf](#)
[United Playaz 301 6th street support.pdf](#)
[SOMCAN's letter on 301 6th Street.pdf](#)
[Veterans Equity Center Letter of Support .pdf](#)
[301 - 6th st.pdf](#)

Dear Jonas, Margaret,

Attached are letter of support for the project at 301 6th Street/ 999 Folsom Street that I have received addressed to the Planning Commission and the Rec and Park Commission.

The letters are from the following organizations:

- West Bay Pilipino Mult Service Center
- United Playaz
- SOMCAN
- Veterans Equity Center
- Filipino American Development Foundation

Sincerely,

Ella Samonsky
Planner, Current Planning

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-575-9112 **Fax:** 415-558-6409
Email: ella.samonsky@sfgov.org
Web: www.sfplanning.org

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:09 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image010.png](#)
[image012.png](#)
[image014.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
Importance: High

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:36 AM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.
Importance: High

Hi,
Please forward to the below concerned correspondence, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., to the Commissioners received September 30, 2017 2:29 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell
Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning
Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: Torr [<mailto:catvse@comcast.net>]
Sent: Saturday, September 30, 2017 2:29 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Cc: Sheehy, Jeff (BOS)
Subject: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.
Importance: High

Cathleen, I cannot make it to the Public Hearing 10-5-17 for case # 2002.0124CUA-02, But for the record I want to express the concerns that I, and my neighbors have for the proposed amendment to the Conditional Use Authorization for the Grocery Inventory Delivery hours at the Canyon Market.

I believe the original hours that were set for inventory delivery were 9a–12p, and we insist that these hours remain in place and not be changed! With that being said, I also want to point out that these delivery hours are constantly are being violated, and that must stop. Going forward the set delivery hours need to be adhered to for many reasons -

Before the Canyon Market arrived, Wilder Street was much quieter, safer and less congested. Currently, delivery trucks often block the driveways of homes and apartment buildings, including my own. I am sure anyone would agree that as a tax paying home owner, I should not have to chase down a truck driver to be able to use my own driveway after I get home from an exhausting 10-12 hour work day. Let us also not fail to mention that when a Muni Bus that has to cross over into the opposite lane of traffic to pass a double parked truck it creates a huge safety hazard, especially during commute hours. The double parked trucks, sometimes as many as 6 at a time (I have pictures) on Wilder Street along with the recent addition of the Muni Bus route have served to dramatically decrease the Safety, Cleanliness and over all Quality of Life on this block. There should have been studies/surveys already done to investigate these issues and plans put into effect to mitigate and avoid all these negatives. If said studies/surveys have indeed already been done, we believe they need to be reinvestigated. If they have not been done, they need to be.

With a reasonable amount of coordination between the Owners/Managers of the Canyon market and their Vendors they should be able make Mid-Day deliveries work. Taking the easy way out by forcing Glen Park citizens to accept all the negative effects of deliveries outside these hours is unacceptable and unfair.

The citizens of Glen park deserve better, and we expect the SF City departments who have responsibility for these matters to make every effort to support the goals of safety and quality of life in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention,

Torr Tietz

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image016.png](#)
[image017.png](#)
[image018.png](#)
[image019.png](#)
[image020.png](#)
[image021.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:41 AM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject: FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Hi,
Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 01, 2017 1:14 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell
Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning
Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: Elise F Ravel [<mailto:eravel@stanford.edu>]
Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 1:14 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Subject: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Dear Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Hearing on Thursday, October 5th regarding Conditional Use Authorization request Case No 2002.0124CUA-02.

I am writing to protest the change in delivery hours to the Glen Park Canyon Market and the rescinding of the requirement for the market to provide delivery service, and request that the market be required to adhere to the hours the planning department agreed upon with the granting of the project.

I do not know if the planning department commissioners are the same members that were on the commission at the time the development was approved, but I would like to remind the commissioners that after hours of testimony from dozens of residents objecting to:

1. the removal of a parking lot
2. the lack of parking provided by the developer for the market
3. the reduced parking for the condo owners and
4. the determination that the **project did not need a loading dock or area for deliveries,**

the only concession that was made, was the requirement that a delivery service be provided. There was also a suggestion that if there were any unused parking spaces from the condo that they could be rented out.

The neighborhood is barraged with trucks and there are now buses on Wilder Street which decrease the safety of the neighborhood. It is not acceptable to continue to disrupt the residents and decrease the safety of pedestrians (including school aged children) by lengthening the hours of deliveries. Not only should the requirements not be changed, but the Glen Canyon Market should comply with the agreed upon hours, restrict the delivery trucks to the area adjacent to the market (not in front of residential property), and they

should also be required to broadly advertise delivery service to reduce the parking problems.

(If the owners are going to contend that there have been no complaints, it may be that residents were unaware of the restricted hours or how and where to report violations. I have just recently been informed.)

The extension of the delivery hours and the removal of the delivery service decreases parking and safety while increasing congestion. The customers of the market continually block access to my garage as well as my neighbors' garages. The parking of delivery trucks day and night (in violation of the agreement) have resulted in increase in congestion and decrease in parking. I have missed appointments, had to pay for missed appointments, and am unable to access my garage after an hour commute when I get home from work. Not only is there not enough parking for their customers, it appears there are tenants of the Glen Canyon Market complex that utilize street parking as well. Most likely there are not enough spaces for them, or they are unable to access their garage either. We have also had to request that a delivery driver turn off his idling engine of a semi, parked about 20 feet in front of my dining room window, during my dinner hour.

It is unfortunate that the Planning Department made the decision to approve the project without a loading dock or area for deliveries, but the decision was made and the requirements should be honored. The quality of the neighborhood has already deteriorated and should not be allowed to continue to.

Since it has been my experience that residents have little effect on these decisions, I would like to know what concessions the neighborhood will receive in return for the increased disruption and decrease in safety caused by the decisions that are made, that only benefit one party.

Perhaps the owners of the market should purchase parking permits for the residents who are unable to access their garages, pay DPT to paint the curbs, assure priority towing from DPT, and employ someone to patrol the neighborhood to prevent their customers from blocking residents access to their homes and assure that delivery trucks are parked adjacent to the Canyon Market and not 50 to 100 feet above the market in front of residents' homes. They should also pay to clean our homes and cars that are covered with dirt and filth from the delivery trucks.

The idea that agreements are not honored and decisions are made to accommodate the violations of the agreements made, is insulting and shameful.

I look forward to a positive response that supports law abiding, tax paying citizens, who simply desire a safe and reasonable quality of life.

Sincerely,

Elise Ravel

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: at 301 6th Street/ 999 Folsom Street
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:39 PM
Attachments: [West Bay-LetterOfSupport-3016thStreet.pdf](#)
[United Playaz 301 6th street support.pdf](#)
[SOMCAN's letter on 301 6th Street.pdf](#)
[Veterans Equity Center Letter of Support .pdf](#)
[301 - 6th st.pdf](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Samonsky, Ella (CPC)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:54 AM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); McArthur, Margaret (REC)
Cc: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject:

Dear Jonas, Margaret,

Attached are letter of support for the project at 301 6th Street/ 999 Folsom Street that I have received addressed to the Planning Commission and the Rec and Park Commission. The letters are from the following organizations:

- West Bay Pilipino Multit Service Center
- United Playaz
- SOMCAN
- Veterans Equity Center
- Filipino American Development Foundation

Sincerely,

Ella Samonsky
Planner, Current Planning

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-575-9112 **Fax:** 415-558-6409
Email: ella.samonsky@sfgov.org
Web: www.sfplanning.org

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Recreation and Park Commission meeting materials
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:10:43 PM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Harrison, Jordan (REC)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 10:19 AM
To: Samonsky, Ella (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc: McArthur, Margaret (REC)
Subject: Recreation and Park Commission meeting materials

Jonas and Ella,

Could you please provide to your commission the following information about how to retrieve the Recreation and Park Commission staff reports online. At a previous meeting Planning Commissioners requested information about cumulative projects, which we provide in Attachments H and I of the staff reports.

If you or your commissioners have any questions about the materials or the meeting, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Jordan

1. Go to: <http://sfrecpark.org/about/recreation-park-commission/full-commission-documents/>
2. Click on the "Supporting Documents" tab
3. Expand "2017" folder
4. Expand "Special Joint Meeting with Planning – Thursday October 5, 2017"
5. The staff reports and all attachments are provided individually within the above folder

Jordan Harrison

Planner, Capital and Planning Division
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco

3rd Floor | 30 Van Ness Avenue | San Francisco, CA | 94102

415.575.5602 | jordan.harrison@sfgov.org



Visit us at sfrecpark.org

Like us on [Facebook](#)

Follow us on [Twitter](#)

Watch us on [sfRecParkTV](#)

Sign up for our [e-News](#)

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#); [Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC](#); [Andrew Wolfram \(andrew@tefarch.com\)](#); [Diane Matsuda](#); [Ellen Johnck - HPC](#); [Jonathan Pearlman](#); [Richard S. E. Johns](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:11:52 PM
Attachments: [10.2.17 Las Vegas Shooting.pdf](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 11:34 AM
To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)
Subject: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Monday, October 2, 2017

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

***** STATEMENT *****
MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING

"The unprecedented tragedy that took place in Las Vegas is shocking and heartbreaking. An evening intended to be filled with love and music transformed into a terrible nightmare due to an act of domestic terrorism.

We are sending our deepest condolences and sympathies to the hundreds of families affected by this senseless act of gun violence. We also offer our profound appreciation to all the public safety officials who risked their lives responding to this tragic incident.

As San Francisco prepares for many civic events this week, we will be increasing our local law enforcement resources and working with our State and Federal partners to ensure a safe and secure environment for our residents, visitors and employees. There are no known threats to San Francisco at this time. We remind everyone that if they ["See Something, Say Something."](#)

During times like these, we solemnly remember the victims, but we must also ask ourselves why these horrific events continue to transpire. Our nations needs stricter, commonsense gun laws. We cannot continue to play politics with American lives."

###

As a mark of respect for the victims of the violence in Las Vegas, the City and County of San Francisco will observe the President's request that flags on all buildings and grounds be flown at half-staff until sunset, October 6, 2017.

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: BRIDGE Letter of Support
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:14:38 PM
Attachments: [Bridge Letter of Support 10 2 2017.pdf](#)
Importance: High

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Kari Galatolo [mailto:kgalatolo@bridgehousing.com]
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 12:05 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: BRIDGE Letter of Support
Importance: High

Hello, Our letter of support is attached. Thank you, Kari Galatolo

Executive Assistant, Cynthia Parker, President & CEO
and Rebecca Hlebasko, Sr. VP & General Counsel
T: 415.321.3501
F: 415.495.4898
kgalatolo@bridgehousing.com

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of Support - Mission Rock
Date: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 10:41:54 AM
Attachments: [Mission Rock Letter of Support Tomiquia Moss.pdf](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Daniyel Turner [<mailto:dtturner@hamiltonfamilies.org>]
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Letter of Support - Mission Rock

Hello,

Please find a letter of support for Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock) attached.

Daniyel Turner | Executive Assistant | Hamilton Families
832 Folsom St., 8th Floor | San Francisco, CA 94107 | 415-409-2100 x107

Our mission is to end family homelessness in the San Francisco Bay Area.

[Follow us on Twitter](#)
[Like us on Facebook](#)
[Visit our Website](#)

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#); [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Mission Rock Support Letter
Date: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 2:09:42 PM
Attachments: [Mission Rock support Letter from JB.pdf](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Martha Joseph [mailto:martha@jbaforyouth.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 1:53 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: richhillissf@gmail.com; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Mission Rock Support Letter

Good afternoon,

Please find attached a letter of support for the Mission Rock project from John Burton Advocates for Youth, signed by Sen. John Burton. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Best,

Martha

Martha Joseph
Operations Manager
John Burton Advocates for Youth
235 Montgomery, Suite 1142
San Francisco, CA 94104
martha@jbaforyouth.org
Office: 415-348-0011

[Facebook](#) | [Website](#) | [Twitter](#)

[Check out our new website!](#)

From: [Campbell, Cathleen \(CPC\)](#)
To: [CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY](#)
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:57:55 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 3, 2017 7:20 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning

Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: bill rauch [mailto:billrauch@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 7:20 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Subject: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Planning Commission hearing on Thursday, October 5th but want to inform the committee that I am opposed to the proposal to amend the delivery hours and removal of the condition requiring customer delivery.

Both of these requirements are helpful in reducing congestion and parking issues that are prevalent in the Glen Park village.

I live on Wilder Street and am subjected to constant inconveniences brought on by delivery trucks and believe grocery delivery service should be encouraged to decrease traffic.

Sincerely,

William Rauch

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Wenger, Maggie \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:09:46 AM
Attachments: [19th Ave Corridor Study.pdf](#)
[L Line Extension 0.pdf](#)
[L taraval traffic4.pdf](#)
[L taraval traffic5.pdf](#)
[light rail money.pdf](#)
[LRT BRT discussion.pdf](#)

FYI

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Wenger, Maggie (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:55 AM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

Jonas,

I am just confirming that you will forward this item directly to Commissioners and I do not need to print it for tomorrow's hearing.

Thanks!
Maggie

From: Aaron Goodman [<mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 11:00 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: Wenger, Maggie (CPC); Kern, Chris (CPC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

SF Planning Commission

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

cc: Maggie.wenger@sfgov.org / Chris.Kern@sfgov.org / board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: Item # 12 // 2014.2110GPA WESTERN SHORELINE AREA PLAN/LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Item # 13 // JOBS-HOUSING CAPACITY & GROWTH DISCUSSION

SF Planning Commissioners;

As I am unable to attend the Thursday evening meeting, please accept these comments on the two items noted above.

Item#12

A) Regardless of the EIR's focus on the shoreline and erosion, the impacts of transit is a key component to the creation of new public spaces and addressing population growth and amenities and the effects of change in the district and the cumulative effects on the shoreline use. Therefore there is a direct need to look seriously at the options on the transit systems, and include this in the EIR discussion on options and alternatives.

B) We submitted a pdf which did not show up in the documentation shown, which included a serious look at the mileage from the great highway to 19th Ave (Stern Grove) and future 19th Ave Transit proposals being considered. The current proposal shows a shift of the L-Line across Sloat Blvd. and turning back to the existing route. This is extremely poor envisionment of the transit needs of the growing population. 2800 Sloat Blvd. and the SF Zoo, alongside Lakeshore Malls changes and increased housing density along the sunset western edge is occurring and to lessen impacts would be critical to reduce traffic and population impacts on the shoreline and its erosion.

C) Providing and inclusion of mass-transit solutions will correctly address growth impacts, and population impacts, by providing a less polluting system of getting to the created proposed areas by the Ocean beach Masterplan. The southern side should consider the adequate linkage back up to Stern Grove and West Portal to provide direct connectivity. It can also consider the co-funding of different agencies for the transit improvement costs.

D) **Please see the attached diagrams and articles** that discuss the area changes, and the concerns for not looking NOW at the linkages that can be made to get people to these destinations via mass-transit options for future generations in SF. The discussion on the Southern portion ignores the premise of a GOOD solid mass-transit solution for Sloat for density and population growth and impacts. If we are "retreating" design wise, there should be an effort to plan for adjusted mass-transit routes such as along Sunset Blvd. north to south as an example, maybe out to Daly City along Stonestown SFSU and parkmerced's western edge ? Or a better connection and loop in the system to improve and get more people out of cars and not just making "road-diets" along Sloat, when Stern Grove music festival and the lakeshore mall area create higher use and volume issues.

Item#13

A) I would like to note that there is a serious issue on the creation of jobs and housing, and that is that many jobs created are not long-term/solid employment of local hires within existing communities at risk of redevelopment and gentrification. Many new developments being proposed will be increased in costs due to Costa-Hawkins and therefore renovations, or replacement housing will not be “enforceable” as new ownership can negate in court the controls of the city negotiations as state-law. This has been brought up by renter’s rights groups as a concern in the agreements on major projects citywide.

B) There is also a direct need to look at transit/infrastructure improvements so that communities where housing is being built will have direct access transit wise to local jobs, and thus will not impact doubly the roadways and mass-transit systems, existing, but will have systems build to adequately get people to their jobs and destinations. An example is the Geneva Harney (BRT vs. LRV) and needed solutions at Balboa Park Station, and connectivity to D7 and D10 through D11. Many of the cross-town commuting can be better planned for if mass-transit options are directly implemented alongside jobs/housing changes. Prop. O will add jobs alongside Brisbane land development and all the way around India Basin to Pier 70. To ensure adequate access and provision of transit infrastructure it is important to provide improvements that link the communities being transformed directly South-East SF to South-West SF for a southern “bridge” of housing to jobs, that reduces auto-trips and useage through new mass-transit systems.

Only in this way can real transformation of job creation, and locals access to long-term employment and job training improve the job-market for communities impacted by redevelopment and transformations occurring.

Thank you for your time in reviewing and including these comments.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman D11

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Samonsky, Ella \(CPC\)](#); [McArthur, Margaret \(REC\)](#)
Subject: FW: West Bay Support Letter for 980 Folsom Project
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:16:17 AM
Attachments: [WB-LetterOfSupport-980Folsom \(1\) \(1\).pdf](#)

FYI

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Carla Laurel [<mailto:carla@westbaycentersf.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 12:50 AM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Cc: John Goldman
Subject: West Bay Support Letter for 980 Folsom Project

Hi Jonas,

My name is Carla Laurel, the Executive Director of West Bay. Kindly find my letter of support for the 980 Folsom Street project, being heard Thursday, October 5th, attached.

Let me know if you have trouble opening it or have further questions.

Thanks,
Carla

--

Carla Laurel
Executive Director
West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center
175 7th Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 431 - 6266
M: (408) 905 - 9429
www.westbaycenter.org

| |

From: [Jonin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#); [Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC](#); [Andrew Wolfram \(andrew@tefarch.com\)](#); [Diane Matsuda](#); [Ellen Johnck - HPC](#); [Jonathan Pearlman](#); [Richard S. E. Johns](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#); [Green, Andrea \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Planning Commission Centennial Celebration
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:17:44 AM

Please hold the date...and hope to see you there!

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Green, Andrea (CPC)
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 6:38 PM
To: CTYPLN - CITY PLANNING EVERYONE
Subject: Planning Commission Centennial Celebration

Staff,

When the San Francisco Planning Commission was created in 1917, it was comprised of four citizen appointees, given no budget or staff, and held no approval authority. Over the years, it has evolved through hard fought battles, amidst changing political and social climates, to provide the invaluable services of today. The Planning Commission's rich and storied history is felt throughout the City's urban landscape.

Please join me, former Commissioners, elected officials and staff for a special evening as we celebrate the extraordinary contributions of the San Francisco Planning Commission's first 100 years.

Wednesday, November 8, 2017
6:00pm
City Hall

Please RSVP to CPC.Events@sfgov.org or by clicking on the invitation below.

1917

2017

San Francisco
Planning Commission

YOU ARE CORDIALLY INVITED TO JOIN
FORMER COMMISSIONERS, LANDMARK
ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS AND SENIOR
MANAGERS TO A CELEBRATORY EVENING
COMMEMORATING 100 YEARS OF
PLANNING.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2017 AT 6 p.m.
SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO

Please reply by November 1, 2017

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P.
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:21:38 AM
Attachments: [Let_Canyon_Market.pdf](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:17 AM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject: FW: Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P.

Hi,

Please forward to the attached letter of support to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 4, 2017 9:15 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell
Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning

Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: Carl Scheidenhelm [<mailto:carl@sf-arc.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:15 AM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Cc: Anne Moriarity; 'Richard Tarlov'
Subject: Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P.

Hi Cathleen,

I am sending you this letter of support for the Canyon Market C.U.P. revisions. I hope the hearing is pretty straightforward and there is no reason to block the proposed changes. I have included specific reasons why I think the revision is justified and necessary.

Regards,
Carl

Carl Scheidenhelm
principal architect
ca. #25859



SF Architecture
2856 Diamond Street
San Francisco, CA 94131
O) 415-585-2440
M) 415.378.6051

carl@sf-arc.com

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:21:47 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:58 AM
To: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Hi,
Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 3, 2017 7:20 PM.

Thanks,

Katy

Cathleen Campbell
Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning
Direct: 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103



[Hours of Operation](#) | [Property Information Map](#)



From: bill rauch [<mailto:billrauch@sbcglobal.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 7:20 PM
To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Subject: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Planning Commission hearing on Thursday, October 5th but want to inform the committee that I am opposed to the proposal to amend the delivery hours and removal of the condition requiring customer delivery.

Both of these requirements are helpful in reducing congestion and parking issues that are prevalent in the Glen Park village.

I live on Wilder Street and am subjected to constant inconveniences brought on by delivery trucks and believe grocery delivery service should be encouraged to decrease traffic.

Sincerely,

William Rauch

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:23:51 AM
Attachments: [19th Ave Corridor Study.pdf](#)
[L Line Extension 0.pdf](#)
[L taraval traffic4.pdf](#)
[L taraval traffic5.pdf](#)
[light rail money.pdf](#)
[LRT BRT discussion.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 11:00 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: Wenger, Maggie (CPC); Kern, Chris (CPC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)
Subject: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

SF Planning Commission

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

cc: Maggie.wenger@sfgov.org / Chris.Kern@sfgov.org / board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: Item # 12 // 2014.2110GPA WESTERN SHORELINE AREA PLAN/LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Item # 13 // JOBS-HOUSING CAPACITY & GROWTH DISCUSSION

SF Planning Commissioners;

As I am unable to attend the Thursday evening meeting, please accept these comments on the two items noted above.

Item#12

A) Regardless of the EIR's focus on the shoreline and erosion, the impacts of transit is a key component to the creation of new public spaces and addressing population growth and amenities and the effects of change in the district and the cumulative effects on the shoreline use. Therefore there is a direct need to look seriously at the

options on the transit systems, and include this in the EIR discussion on options and alternatives.

B) We submitted a pdf which did not show up in the documentation shown, which included a serious look at the mileage from the great highway to 19th Ave (Stern Grove) and future 19th Ave Transit proposals being considered. The current proposal shows a shift of the L-Line across Sloat Blvd. and turning back to the existing route. This is extremely poor envisionment of the transit needs of the growing population. 2800 Sloat Blvd. and the SF Zoo, alongside Lakeshore Malls changes and increased housing density along the sunset western edge is occurring and to lessen impacts would be critical to reduce traffic and population impacts on the shoreline and its erosion.

C) Providing and inclusion of mass-transit solutions will correctly address growth impacts, and population impacts, by providing a less polluting system of getting to the created proposed areas by the Ocean beach Masterplan. The southern side should consider the adequate linkage back up to Stern Grove and West Portal to provide direct connectivity. It can also consider the co-funding of different agencies for the transit improvement costs.

D) **Please see the attached diagrams and articles** that discuss the area changes, and the concerns for not looking NOW at the linkages that can be made to get people to these destinations via mass-transit options for future generations in SF. The discussion on the Southern portion ignores the premise of a GOOD solid mass-transit solution for Sloat for density and population growth and impacts. If we are "retreating" design wise, there should be an effort to plan for adjusted mass-transit routes such as along Sunset Blvd. north to south as an example, maybe out to Daly City along Stonestown SFSU and parkmerced's western edge ? Or a better connection and loop in the system to improve and get more people out of cars and not just making "road-diets" along Sloat, when Stern Grove music festival and the lakeshore mall area create higher use and volume issues.

Item#13

A) I would like to note that there is a serious issue on the creation of jobs and housing, and that is that many jobs created are not long-term/solid employment of local hires within existing communities at risk of redevelopment and gentrification. Many new developments being proposed will be increased in costs due to Costa-Hawkins and therefore renovations, or replacement housing will not be "enforceable" as new ownership can negate in court the controls of the city negotiations as state-law. This has been brought up by renter's rights groups as a concern in the agreements on major projects citywide.

B) There is also a direct need to look at transit/infrastructure improvements so that communities where housing is being built will have direct access transit wise to local jobs, and thus will not impact doubly the roadways and mass-transit systems, existing, but will have systems build to adequately get people to their jobs and destinations. An example is the Geneva Harney (BRT vs. LRV) and needed solutions at Balboa Park Station, and connectivity to D7 and D10 through D11. Many of the cross-town commuting can be better planned for if mass-transit options are directly implemented alongside jobs/housing changes. Prop. O will add jobs alongside Brisbane land development and all the way around India Basin to Pier 70. To ensure adequate access and provision of transit infrastructure it is important to provide improvements that link the communities being transformed directly South-East SF to South-West SF for a southern “bridge” of housing to jobs, that reduces auto-trips and useage through new mass-transit systems.

Only in this way can real transformation of job creation, and locals access to long-term employment and job training improve the job-market for communities impacted by redevelopment and transformations occurring.

Thank you for your time in reviewing and including these comments.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman D11

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: SF Chamber Letter in Support of Mission Rock Project
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:25:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[10.3.17 Mission Rock Project to Planning Commission.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Alexander Mitra [mailto:amitra@sfchamber.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 4:50 PM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com
Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Weld, Fran
Subject: SF Chamber Letter in Support of Mission Rock Project

Dear President Hills,

Please see the attached letter from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce in support of Mission Rock project.

Thank you,



Alex Mitra

Manager, Public Policy
San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104
(O) 415-352-8808 • (E) amitra@sfchamber.com



From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#); [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Mission Rock Support Letter
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:25:25 AM
Attachments: [Mission Rock support Letter from JB.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Martha Joseph [mailto:martha@jbaforyouth.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 1:53 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: richhillissf@gmail.com; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Mission Rock Support Letter

Good afternoon,

Please find attached a letter of support for the Mission Rock project from John Burton Advocates for Youth, signed by Sen. John Burton. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Best,

Martha

Martha Joseph
Operations Manager
John Burton Advocates for Youth
235 Montgomery, Suite 1142
San Francisco, CA 94104
martha@jbaforyouth.org
Office: 415-348-0011

[Facebook](#) | [Website](#) | [Twitter](#)

[Check out our new website!](#)

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Mission Rock
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:36:09 AM
Attachments: [mission rock](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Lauren Schwartz [mailto:schwartzbaum@me.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:25 AM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Planning Commission
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103-2414

Re: Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock)

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners:

As a Mission Bay Resident and San Francisco Unified School District teacher, I strongly urge you to fully support the Mission Rock mixed-use project.

My wife and I moved to Mission Bay 15 years ago to raise our daughter. We were thrilled to be part of the developing and exciting neighborhood.

I am thrilled about the Mission Rock development as this project brings the missing pieces together to make this a more robust and responsive neighborhood since it includes a central public gathering space, unprecedented amount of on-site local businesses, open spaces that will create that much more of a vibrant community.

Moreover, Mission Rock creates an unprecedented amount of on-site, workforce housing which is desperately needed for my public school teachers colleagues. As you may know, In May of 2016, the San Francisco Chronicle published a story^[1] detailing the struggle of many San Francisco public school teachers. Public school teacher salaries are currently unable to keep up with the nation's highest median rents forcing many of our teachers to leave the City.

The Mission Rock land use plan clearly outlines a careful balance of office and housing space that helps generate the resources to create hundreds of affordable housing units, more parks, and local retail. The Housing units are set with teachers in mind by establishing a wide-range of AMI levels that would include the salary range

for San Francisco public school teachers who cannot afford to live in the city they proudly serve.

The waterfront park and urban town square bring needed gathering space similar to many other San Francisco neighborhoods and the neighborhood-serving retail creates space for grocery stores, cleaners, a hardware store, and many other services our community craves. Furthermore, Mission Rock is conveniently located near public transit that serves to complement its pedestrian-centric design, installation of new bike lanes, and the City's goal of reducing the use of single-occupancy vehicles

After an impressive 10 long years of planning and community input, Mission Rock meets multiple and often competing needs of the community and City, and is a worthy example for future developers. With the support of my family, our neighbors, and San Francisco voters I ask you to support the Mission Rock project.

Sincerely,

Lauren Obstbaum Schwartz
SFUSD Teacher

[1] San Francisco Chronicle, Knight and Palomino, May 13, 2016. <http://projects.sfchronicle.com/2016/teacher-pay/>

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Sider, Dan \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code Amendments"
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:56:29 AM
Attachments: [17.10.04 The Green Cross - Ordinance Comment Ltr.pdf](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Kevin Reed [mailto:kevinreed@thegreencross.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:38 AM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Cc: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneymfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)
Subject: Re: City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code Amendments"

Dear Planning Commission,

I am writing today on behalf of The Green Cross, a local medical cannabis dispensary and delivery service located in San Francisco's Excelsior and South of Market districts.

Please find a letter of suggested amendments and concerns regarding City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code Amendments" attached.

We hope your office will take the time to thoroughly review this document and take our suggestions into consideration when finalizing this ordinance.

If you have any questions or concerns for us, please don't hesitate to reach out at anytime. We appreciate your careful consideration moving forward.

Thank you,

--

Kevin Reed

Founder & President
The Green Cross
4218 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671
Office: 415.648.4420
Fax: 415.431.2420
Email: KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org

Web: TheGreenCross.org

Whether you come to us or we come to you, The Green Cross delivers.



Medical Cannabis Delivery
415.648.4420

.....

TheGreenCross.org

THE GREEN CROSS CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication (including any attachments) may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. The sender does not intend to waive any privilege, including the attorney-client privilege, that may attach to this communication. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to intercept, read, print, retain, copy, forward or disseminate this communication or any part of it. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete this communication and all copies.

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Snyder, Mathew \(CPC\)](#); [Sheyner, Tania \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Mission Rock
Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:56:33 AM
Attachments: [mission rock](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Lauren Schwartz [mailto:schwartzbaum@me.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:25 AM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Planning Commission
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103-2414

Re: Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock)

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners:

As a Mission Bay Resident and San Francisco Unified School District teacher, I strongly urge you to fully support the Mission Rock mixed-use project.

My wife and I moved to Mission Bay 15 years ago to raise our daughter. We were thrilled to be part of the developing and exciting neighborhood.

I am thrilled about the Mission Rock development as this project brings the missing pieces together to make this a more robust and responsive neighborhood since it includes a central public gathering space, unprecedented amount of on-site local businesses, open spaces that will create that much more of a vibrant community.

Moreover, Mission Rock creates an unprecedented amount of on-site, workforce housing which is desperately needed for my public school teachers colleagues. As you may know, In May of 2016, the San Francisco Chronicle published a story^[1] detailing the struggle of many San Francisco public school teachers. Public school teacher salaries are currently unable to keep up with the nation's highest median rents forcing many of our teachers to leave the City.

The Mission Rock land use plan clearly outlines a careful balance of office and housing space that helps generate the resources to create hundreds of affordable housing units, more parks, and local retail. The Housing units are set with teachers in mind by establishing a wide-range of AMI levels that would include the salary range for San Francisco public school teachers who cannot afford to live in the city they proudly serve.

The waterfront park and urban town square bring needed gathering space similar to many other San Francisco neighborhoods and the neighborhood-serving retail creates space for grocery stores, cleaners, a hardware store, and many other services our community craves. Furthermore, Mission Rock is conveniently located near public transit that serves to complement its pedestrian-centric design, installation of new bike lanes, and the City's goal of reducing the use of single-occupancy vehicles

After an impressive 10 long years of planning and community input, Mission Rock meets multiple and often competing needs of the community and City, and is a worthy example for future developers. With the support of my family, our neighbors, and San Francisco voters I ask you to support the Mission Rock project.

Sincerely,

Lauren Obstbaum Schwartz
SFUSD Teacher

[1] San Francisco Chronicle, Knight and Palomino, May 13, 2016. <http://projects.sfchronicle.com/2016/teacher-pay/>

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Irving MCD
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 9:59:48 AM
Attachments: [OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\).msg](#)
[regardind barbary coast dispensary.msg](#)
[no MCD on Irving St..msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\).msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)
[MCD on 2161 - 2165 Irving St.msg](#)
[MCD at 2161-65 Irving Street.msg](#)
[Please NO marijuana on Irving Street.msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[Neighbor Support for a new MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[318 30th Avenue - 2015-009507CUA heard 10.5.17.msg](#)
[No MCD on 2161-2165 Irving.msg](#)
[NOT welcome the MCD to be opened on Irving Street.msg](#)
[NO MCD ON 2161-2165 IRVING STREET.msg](#)
[来自周的邮件.msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving .msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)
[Re Barbary Coast MCD on Irving St.msg](#)
[Medical Marijuana at 2161 - 2165 Irving Street.msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[MCD.msg](#)

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Community Opposition to CPO2 Development Plan /Lack of outreach and notification
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 9:57:44 AM
Attachments: [Letter to planning re Candlestick Parking Garage \(Revised\).doc](#)
[letter to OCII re Five Point \(2\).docx](#)
[letter to Supervisor Cohen re Five Point.docx](#)

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: o [mailto:sammy988@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); planningcommission@sfgov.org; cwu.planning@sfgov.org; joy.navarette@sfgov.org
Subject: Community Opposition to CPO2 Development Plan /Lack of outreach and notification

Dear Commissioners:


For your Information:

Re: Candlestick Point Hunters Point Shipyard Phase II
Case No: 2007-946E
Joy Navarette Senior Environmental Planner
Tamsen Drew, Senior Project Manager, OCII

Community Residents and Homeowners of Candlestick Point and opposes the Candlestick Point Development Plan, due to the lack of outreach by Lennar/Five Point Developers, (OCII) Office of Community Infrastructure, in addition to the lack of plan and development notification from the SF Planning Department .

Sincerely,

Shirley Moore,
Vp Bayview Hill Neighborhood Ass.
Candlestick Point Neighborhood, Chair

**SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT**
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 • San Francisco, CA 94103 • Fax (415) 558 6409

Public Hearing – No MCD on Irving

Hearing Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017
Time: Not before 1:00 PM
Location: City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400
Case Type: Conditional Use
Hearing Body: Planning Commission

PROPERTY INFORMATION	APPLICATION INFORMATION
Project Address: 2161-2165 Irving Street Cross Street(s): 23 rd Avenue Block /Lot No.: 1777 / 037 Zoning District(s): Irving St NCD / 65-A Area Plan: N/A	Case No.: 2016-002424CUA Building Permit Applicant: Brendan Hallinan Telephone: (415) 863-1520 E-Mail: brendan@hallinan-law.com

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The request is for Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 732, proposing to establish a new Medical Cannabis Dispensary (MCD) (d.b.a. Barbary Coast Dispensary) in vacant ground commercial spaces of the subject property. The MCD would not allow for on-site medication of medical cannabis (e.g. smoking, vaporizing, and consumption of medical cannabis edibles), nor would the MCD permit on-site cultivation of plants for harvesting medical product. The MCD would permit on-site sales of medical cannabis.

A Planning Commission approval at the public hearing would constitute the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h).

1) Please email the followings and let the Planning Dep & Supervisor Tang know your concerns. Irving Street is not an appropriate location for MCD, too many children and families walk by daily.

richhillissf@yahoo.com,
nancy.h.tran@sfgov.org,
katy.tang@sfgov.org,
commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

2) Attend the Public Hearing on 10/12, after 1pm @ City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 400. Over 85% of the Irving Street merchants and most nearby neighbors do NOT welcome the MCD to be opened on Irving Street

***If you can, please take half day off from work, come join the hearing and let the commissioners know your concerns, otherwise, come afterward. Your present and voice is very valuable and important. ***

Open - Reply to add attachment. Item 10.

On Sun, Oct 8, 2017 at 1:11 PM Eric Lee <eric.lee@sfgov.org> wrote:

To: whom may concern

I'm contacting all of you to voice my concerns and ratings at the anti-MCD campaign that's being circulated by a few ignorant and prejudiced individuals to the area.

As a longtime resident of the area and one who firmly believes in diversity and inclusion since 1967 I've witnessed firsthand the evolution and changes in the neighborhood over the years and decades - some good, some bad but overall obviously trending towards a Chinese dominant, ethnic-centric community that increasingly is lacking in diversity and balance.

My feeling is that there is opposition to the idea of a MCD from the local business community because it's competing for their customers and on location they're not sure. The argument that having a MCD in the area would be harmful to kids of families is ridiculous since, as medical and recreational cannabis is legal in the dispensary is not a place for the use of consumption on site (or some kind of evil opinion does) having a well designed and operated business store front would be a welcome change to the many elderly and 70-75+ age types of businesses that can't provide food, I mean honestly, how many more Chinese businesses? What day care centers and places that can use area more? Please understand that as a Chinese American person, I'm strongly for having an on-site walk retail store of products. I'm simply voicing my work to see more more medical diverse businesses welcomed into the area and serve the needs of an equally diverse population with different needs and tastes.

Yes, the building a store site of a facility that was designed in my mother's day I suspect is probably illegal due to the fact that the signposts read the SF Planning Dept intended to perpetuate their racism and opinion. Shouldn't this kind of activity be shut down and prevented? I know there is a woman who owns a pet store stand in front of the Chinese general house goods store on the southeast corner of 23rd and Irving and I suspect she and her group are secretly behind this.

Thank you in advance for hearing my concerns and looking into this matter. If I can be of any assistance please don't hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Eric Lee

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#); [Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC](#); [Andrew Wolfram \(andrew@tefarch.com\)](#); [Diane Matsuda](#); [Ellen Johnck - HPC](#); [Jonathan Pearlman](#); [Richard S. E. Johns](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Son, Chanbory \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LEE ON NORTH BAY FIRES
Date: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 2:18:35 PM
Attachments: [10.10.17 North Bay Fires.pdf](#)

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 12:09 PM
To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)
Subject: *** STATEMENT *** MAYOR LEE ON NORTH BAY FIRES

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, October 10, 2017

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

***** STATEMENT *****
MAYOR LEE ON NORTH BAY FIRES

"We continue to send our most heartfelt thoughts and deepest sympathies to the families and residents affected by the fires in the North Bay and other communities in California. We are heartbroken at the loss of life and incredibly saddened by the devastation brought on by these events.

Firefighters and other first responders from San Francisco have been dispatched to areas in the North Bay to provide mutual aid. We are incredibly grateful for their courageous actions.

Napa and Sonoma counties are the homes to many of our public employees in San Francisco, and we are currently reaching out to those individuals to assure that they are safe. With the [air quality poor in San Francisco](#), we are asking our residents to minimize their outdoor activities and close their windows.

The following San Francisco Public Libraries are offering filtered air and are available for respite from the poor air quality:

- Main – 100 Larkin Street
- Chinatown – 1135 Powell Street

th

- Mission Bay – 960 4 Street
- Glen Park – 2825 Diamond Street

The American Red Cross is currently accepting [volunteers](#) to assist with aid efforts, and donations for the thousands of families displaced by these fires can be made to the [Napa Valley Community Foundation](#). During these tragic times, the communities of the Bay Area have always come together to support those most in need. We will do so again for those impacted by these fires.”

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Subject: FW: OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST (2016-002424CUA, 2165 IRVING ST, Block/Lot 1777/037)
Date: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 9:18:05 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Kimberly [mailto:ktam94116@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 10:10 AM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Tran, Nancy (CPC); Tang, Katy (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST (2016-002424CUA, 2165 IRVING ST, Block/Lot 1777/037)

Dear Commissioners:

I oppose the proposed MCD at 2161-2165 Irving Street.

I support medical marijuana, and respect with the result of Prop. 64. However, it is not desirable and not necessary to open an MCD on Irving ST. It will trigger a wave of clustering, and it will subtract from our family friendly neighborhood characteristics.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Tam
1946-36th Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94116

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Irving MCD
Date: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 9:19:14 AM
Attachments: [OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)
[Oppose opening MCD at 2161-2165 Irving Street.msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving St. S.F..msg](#)
[Register Group Speakers for Irving MCD on 1012.msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[MCD Irving.msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD@2165 IRVING STREET \(2016-002424CUA2165 IRVING STREET BlockLot 1777037\).msg](#)
[No MCD on Irving Street.msg](#)
[Untitled.msg](#)
[Oppose the proposed MCD at 2165 Irving Street.msg](#)
[NO MCD on 2161-2165 Irving ST.msg](#)

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: Irving MCD
Date: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 1:54:14 PM
Attachments: [Fwd Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Opposition to Residential Flats Proposed Policy
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:13:08 AM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Jonathan Pearlman [mailto:jonathan@elevationarchitects.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 8:47 AM
To: Bendix, Brittany (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC)
Cc: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneymfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Opposition to Residential Flats Proposed Policy

Dear Ms. Watty and Ms. Bendix,

I want to register my opposition to the Residential Flats Proposed Policy being considered on today's Planning Commission agenda for many reasons. This policy appears to be hastily conceived without any community input and it is unclear if this policy as written, is a solution to a problem that doesn't exist. In the executive summary:

- there is no information provided on the impetus for the policy's creation;
- no data on the extent of the problem and even if there is a real problem;
- no data on the extent of conversions that have been approved without Planning Commission review (such as without community DRs or Conditional Use);
- no data on middle-income families and Residential Flat occupancy;
- no projections of the effect of this policy over time.

For these reasons, this seems like bad planning policy.

The unintended consequences of this policy are many:

1. By requiring a mandatory DR for any project that alters a residential flat, there is the effect of making these units/buildings undesirable to expansion due to the fact that anyone willing to invest to expand the housing stock would be disinclined to take the risk.
2. The policy is counter to the notion of adding density to all neighborhoods around the City since there will be less incentive to consider these properties for expansion. These properties are numerous throughout the neighborhoods of San Francisco and do not require raw land to add housing units in established neighborhoods.
3. This policy serves to undermine the Mayor's recent policy to add 5,000 units of housing to

our City per year and his Executive Directive to reduce processing times for permitting by adding additional DRs to an already crowded Planning Commission calendar.

4. This is the type of policy that will further activate neighborhood opposition and "nimby-ism" by the requirement of a mandatory DR.

5. This policy does not take into account whether a property is under rent control or not. This will prevent expansion of the number of units even if there is no effect on the rent-control stock of the City.

As an architect here in San Francisco, I am well-versed on the Planning Code and its myriad of restrictions and potholes for helping my clients work to expand the housing stock. Over the past few years, many of the additional regulations that have been added to the Planning Code have only served to make construction more expensive across the board and thereby, increasing the cost of housing at all levels. Over the past 10 years, the time for getting approvals for even the smallest code-compliant projects has increased by 100% to 200%. This proposed policy will continue the march towards making the housing stock of San Francisco the most exclusive, most expensive and least available of any city in the country. I can only hope that the Planning Commission will recognize that this is not a good policy proposal and vote to NOT approve it.

Thank you for your attention to this,

Jonathan Pearlman
ELEVATIONarchitects
1159 Green Street, Suite 4
San Francisco, CA 94109

v: [415.537.1125](tel:415.537.1125) x101
c: [415.225.3973](tel:415.225.3973)

The information contained in this transmission is privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: To Be Continued...
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:14:14 AM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Ben Fong-Torres [mailto:fongtorres@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:47 PM
To: Rich Hillis; Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Tran, Nancy (CPC)
Subject: To Be Continued...

Greetings.

Dianne and I had made plans to attend the Planning Commission hearing today (Thursday) regarding the proposed project at 799 Castro Street.

At the last minute, we learned that the developer of the project has requested a continuance.

This is not the first time this has happened. In fact, it's the third. Once again, we, and neighbors who, like us, are concerned with what this project would do to our neighborhood, have to scuttle plans and prepare for another hearing.

Despite this, we are all right with the developer's request for this latest continuance, and are relying on that continuance as

our reason for not attending the hearing.

We would appreciate adequate notice about the hearing, whenever it is scheduled, and we hope this is the last of the developer's continuances and other delays.

*Ben
and Dianne
Fong-Torres
812 Castro Street*

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Appeal #16-137 - 799 Castro Street
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:14:24 AM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: kbdelara@comcast.net [mailto:kbdelara@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 9:17 PM
To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Tran, Nancy (CPC)
Subject: Appeal #16-137 - 799 Castro Street

To Whom It May Concern:

This appeal was previously scheduled for a hearing on October 12 at 1:00 PM, and we had made plans to attend. However, I understand the developer has again requested a continuance.

I would first like to express my frustration with the many delays, continuances, cancellations, etc., that have occurred in regard to this appeal. My husband and I live in the East Bay, and we have arranged our schedules multiple times over the past year so that we could attend a hearing to express our objections to the developer's plans. Each time the matter has been postponement at the last minute.

My husband and I have investment property on 21st Street. We lived there for many years and love the neighborhood; we also strongly believe that this project is inappropriate as currently configured. We believe our property values will be adversely affected if this plan is allowed to be built. And we are not the only ones to feel this way. There are significant objections from many other neighbors, mostly regarding the multiple variances that are being sought by the developer.

We had made special plans to attend the appeal hearing, but once again we have been told the hearing has been put off. We would like to express our strong objections to the variances that are being requested for this project. For the record, we have no objections to a structure being built within the established guidelines for this area, but this developer's requests for variances are beyond the pale.

Please advise me when the matter will finally be heard.

Thank you,

Karen and John DeLara
Owners of 3855 21st Street

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Concerning Appeal No. 16-137 - 799 Castro Street
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:14:32 AM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Mitch Horowitz [mailto:mhwitz@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 7:35 PM
To: richhillissf@gmail.com
Cc: Tran, Nancy (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Subject: Concerning Appeal No. 16-137 - 799 Castro Street

To The Planning Commission President, Secretary and Planner,

Myself and my Husband live at 3881 21st Street and have been active in trying to reason with the developer to modify their design and to reduce the variances that they are requesting as these will disrupt the nature of the historic neighborhood. Enough has already been done in the past and we need to strive to maintain the feeling of what we still have.

I have been to the local meetings in the past and I had been planing on attending tomorrow's meeting. However I will not be in attendance, as well as many of my other neighbors, as we have been informed that developer has requested a continuance. I am relying on this request to not attend.

I have no objection to a new neighborhood-appropriate family dwelling being constructed on the site. I have severe objections for all the variances as they are out of the character of the neighborhood. I am also hoping that the removal of the trees that are at the property will be be part of the plans as these trees are critical to feeling of the area.

Very sincerely,

Mitchell Horowitz
home owner at 3881 21st Street.

From: [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Record No. 2016-003658GEN New Flat Policy
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:14:37 AM

*Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs*

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: SchuT [mailto:schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 5:42 PM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); mooreurban@aol.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Johnson, Christine (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneymfong.com
Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC); Bendix, Brittany (CPC)
Subject: Record No. 2016-003658GEN New Flat Policy

Dear President Hillis and Fellow Members of the Planning Commission:

Good evening to you all.

This is a positive Policy and very helpful in preserving density and preserving affordable or relatively affordable housing. Ms. Watty and Ms. Bendix did a really good job in writing both the Executive Summary and the Draft Motion.

The first three points on page two of the Summary are a little more extensive definitions that the Commission should look at and that are worthy of being included in the Motion.....please see them under "Issues and Other Considerations".

Specifically the third point seems like the perfect definition of Romeo/Juliet flats....certainly a very San Francisco type of housing.. *But all three points would be a nice additional umbrella for this Policy.*

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Georgia Schuttish

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#); [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: IRving MCD
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:38:52 AM
Attachments: [No MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[Fw No MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[No to locate MCD on irving st..msg](#)
[NO MCD on IRVING.msg](#)
[Strongly Disapprove Marijuana Shop on Irving St in SF.msg](#)
[NO MCD on 2161-2165 Irving ST.msg](#)
[No MCD Permit for 2161-2165 Irving Street.msg](#)
[NO MCD on Irving.msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\).msg](#)
[OPPOSE the Proposed MCD at 2165 IRVING ST \(2016-002424CUA 2165 IRVING ST BlockLot 1777037\) .msg](#)

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Christensen, Michael \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Demolition Proposal Objection (Case #2017-001283CUA)
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:40:44 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Jonathan Martinez [mailto:jonathan.c.martinez86@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 6:35 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Fwd: Demolition Proposal Objection (Case #2017-001283CUA)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jonathan Martinez <jonathan.c.martinez86@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Oct 11, 2017 at 3:12 PM
Subject: Demolition Proposal Objection (Case #2017-001283CUA)
To: <michael.christensen@sfgov.org>

Good Afternoon City and County Planner of San Francisco, I am writing to express my concern about the proposed demolition of a beautiful Victorian home located at 792 Capp Street, San Francisco. I am a resident of the Mission District, who's had family living here for generations and added to diverse community, which the Mission District use to be. The first point I want to make to my objection of demolishing this beautiful historic home is that the building itself adds to the history and culture of the neighborhood and the city. There are very few Victorian style homes left in the Mission, and replacing it with a luxury complex will just add onto the gentrification and erasing of the Mission District's identity. The demolition of this home will stand as precedent for future similar homes to meet the same fate.

The second point I want to make is that the Mission District historically has been home to San Francisco's Latino community; a community made up of first, second, third and so on generation Salvadorians, Mexicans, Guatemalans, Colombians, and many other Latin American country descendants. The majority of these populations come from immigrant backgrounds and are generally of low to middle economic class. Building a luxury complex not only will do a disservice to it's long standing residents because the majority cannot afford a monthly high-priced luxury unit. For years a majority of the Mission's long-standing residents have been pushed out of their homes because of unlawful evictions and high rent prices.

My final point is that adding a multi-unit luxury housing to the neighborhood and the street will create traffic congestion, in an area that already has a high-volume of traffic congestion. I personally know the neighborhood, and already there are issues with insufficient street parking and hit-and-run accidents in the neighborhood. Adding more people and families to an already congested area will not only further add to present day issues, but create future new issues as well.

Please object to the demolition of 792 Capp Street, San Francisco, and preserve this beautiful home, which adds character to a neighborhood that has been losing it's character for some time now. Thank you for your time Mr. Christensen, I hope you will strongly consider my objections when discussing this proposal.

Kind Regards,
Jonathan Martinez, MSW

"Education is the passport to the future, for tomorrow belongs to those who prepare for it today." -Malcom X

--

Jonathan Martinez, MSW

"Education is the passport to the future, for tomorrow belongs to those who prepare for it today." -Malcom X

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Christensen, Michael \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Disapproval of Project
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:40:53 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Ivan Diego [mailto:mrivandiego@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 6:11 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Disapproval of Project

Case #2017-001283CUA

Hello Michael,

I hope this email finds you well.

I am writing to you today to voice my disapproval and displeasure for the project/case mentioned above.

The block in question is home to one of the most storied reserve of Classic Victorian homes in San Francisco. These homes are just as much art as are our murals, music and culture. Going forward with this project will not only be detrimental to the history, culture and overall ambiance of the neighborhood, but will create unnecessary troubles for all residents. Traffic, congestion, parking, and construction noise are some of my concerns with this project. The Mission District has been through enough in recent years, I think it's time that we residents take a stand and take ownership of what is ours and the little that we have left to call our own

I invite you to strongly reconsider the proposal for this project to move forward. It would be great for once if our politicians, leaders and government officials would consider the needs of the residents first as opposed to favoring big money and profits as always. Please help us preserve our historic neighborhood for generations to come.

I am strongly against the demolition of the beautiful home at 792 Capp Street! Please do not move forward with this project!

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Record No. 2016-003658GEN New Flat Policy
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:40:56 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: SchuT [mailto:schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 5:42 PM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); mooreurban@aol.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC); Johnson, Christine (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); planning@rodneymong.com
Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Watty, Elizabeth (CPC); Bendix, Brittany (CPC)
Subject: Record No. 2016-003658GEN New Flat Policy

Dear President Hillis and Fellow Members of the Planning Commission:

Good evening to you all.

This is a positive Policy and very helpful in preserving density and preserving affordable or relatively affordable housing. Ms. Watty and Ms. Bendix did a really good job in writing both the Executive Summary and the Draft Motion.

The first three points on page two of the Summary are a little more extensive definitions that the Commission should look at and that are worthy of being included in the Motion.....please see them under "Issues and Other Considerations".

Specifically the third point seems like the perfect definition of Romeo/Juliet flats....certainly a very San Francisco type of housing.. *But all three points would be a nice additional umbrella for this Policy.*

Thank you.
Sincerely,
Georgia Schuttish

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Christensen, Michael \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: NO luxury condos at 792 Capp street
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:41:14 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Elsa Contreras [mailto:Elsa.Contreras@humboldt.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 5:01 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: NO luxury condos at 792 Capp street

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Elsa Contreras, I have been a resident of 743 Capp street for 32 years. I am urging you all to oppose the proposal for demolition and construction of four unit luxury condos at 792 Capp street. SAN FRANCISCO DOES NEED MORE LUXURY HOUSING. Please do not support furthering the divide between the rich and the poor. Longtime residents and sf natives, poor people, working class, people of color-are continually being pushed out of the city we love and have built. Please consider the negative impact this will have on our neighborhood and vote on the side that will serve the greater good of the community, the side that takes social justice and human rights into consideration.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Elsa Contreras

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Christensen, Michael \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: 792 Capp Street
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:41:22 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Elsa Contreras [mailto:Elsa.Contreras@humboldt.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 4:53 PM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Fwd: 792 Capp Street

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ann Nore <annnore1951@aol.com>
Date: Wed, Oct 11, 2017 at 9:07 AM
Subject: 792 Capp Street
To: <Michael.Christensen@sfgov.org>

I am a property owner at [688 Capp Street](#). I have lived at this property for over 10 years. The Mission District and particularly Capp Street does not need luxury housing. It needs housing for working class citizens who have inhabited the Mission for decades. Please do not allow [792 Capp Street](#) to be demolished. Thank you for considering my request.

Ann Nore
[688 Capp Street](#)
[San Francisco, CA 94110](#)

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: no MCD on Irving Street please
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:41:33 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: chew train [mailto:chewchewtrain111@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 4:22 PM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Tran, Nancy (CPC); Tang, Katy (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: no MCD on Irving Street please

To whom it may concern,

I am concern about opening a MCD on Irving Street. There are too many children and families walk by daily.

Thanks for listening.
-Sharene

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Case# 2016-002424CUA
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:41:54 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Patrick Lam [mailto:plam01@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 3:33 PM
To: richhilliss@yahoo.com; Tran, Nancy (CPC); Tang, Katy (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Case# 2016-002424CUA

I have been a resident in the Sunset District for thirty-four years. I am 100% against Brendan Hallinan to open Barbary Coast Dispensary at 2161-2165 Irving Street. This area is always with busy traffic. There are schools, churches, restaurants and supermarket in the neighborhood. The nature of this particular business doesn't fit in the area.

| | Virus-free. www.avast.com

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: No MCD on Irving Street!!!
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:43:31 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----

From: mabel lin [<mailto:mabel.lin2@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 8:06 AM
To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: No MCD on Irving Street!!!

Hi,

I want to voice out my opinion about the MCD opening request on Sunset Irving street: I am strongly oppose the MCD opening request on Irving St, No MCD on Irving Street!!!
<<https://ssl.gstatic.com/ui/v1/icons/mail/images/cleardot.gif>>
Mabel

From: [Secretary, Commissions \(CPC\)](#)
To: [Johnson, Christine \(CPC\)](#); [Richards, Dennis \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(CPC\)](#); [Rich Hillis](#); [Rodney Fong](#)
Cc: [Gerber, Patricia \(CPC\)](#); [Tran, Nancy \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: FW: Against MCD (Irving St)
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:46:00 AM

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Fred S [mailto:77ramc77@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 9:44 AM
To: richhillissf@yahoo.com; Tran, Nancy (CPC); Tang, Katy (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
Subject: Against MCD (Irving St)

Hi, we do not think 2161-2165 is an appropriate location for MCD. There are mostly families and students visiting and residing in this area. Please voice our concern that we are against MCD moving to Irving Street. Thank you.