

Memo to the Planning Commission

HEARING DATE: JULY 26, 2018

Continued from the February 22, 2018, April 19, 2018, and May 24, 2018 Hearings

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date: July 19, 2018

Case No.: 2017-014841CUA
Project Address: 655 Alvarado Street

Zoning: RH-2 (Residential-House, Two-Family)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 2803/028C Project Sponsor: John Kevlin

> Reuben, Junius & Rose, LLP One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

Staff Contact: Jeff Horn – (415) 575-6925

jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org

Recommendation: Approval with Modifications and Conditions

BACKGROUND

On May 16, 2018, the Building Inspection Commission (BIC) held a hearing on the property at 214 States Street, and heard a presentation from the Department of Building Inspection (DBI) and testimony from the Project Sponsors and neighbors.

Documents have been submitted by the adjacent neighbor at 651 Alvarado Street, who is in opposition to the project.

Prior to the February 22, 2018 hearing, the Project Sponsor submitted revised plans that proposed a twounit building per the modification request of the Planning Department, but access was provided through a common door and entryway. The Department supports a two unit building that provides to separate entrances with direct access to the street.

Attachments:

BIC Hearing Minutes from May 16, 2018 DBI's Supporting Documents for the May 16, 2018 BIC Hearing Project Sponsor's Support Documents for the May 16, 2018 BIC Hearing 651 Alvarado Streets Documents in opposition.



BUILDING INSPECTION COMMISSION (BIC) Department of Building Inspection (DBI)

REGULAR MEETING Wednesday, May 16, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 416 Aired Live on SFGTV Channel 78 ADOPTED June 20, 2018

MINUTES

The regular meeting of the Building Inspection Commission was called to order at 10:03 a.m.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call - Roll call was taken and a quorum was certified.

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

Angus McCarthy, **President**Debra Walker, **Vice-President**Kevin Clinch **Commissioner**, **Excused**Frank Lee, **Commissioner**

John Konstin, Commissioner Sam Moss, Commissioner James Warshell, Commissioner

Sonya Harris, Secretary
Shirley Wong, Assistant Secretary

D.B.I. REPRESENTATIVES:

Tom Hui, Director
Ron Tom, Assistant Director
Edward Sweeney, Deputy Director, Inspection Services
Daniel Lowrey, Deputy Director, Permit Services
Taras Madison, Chief Financial Officer
William Strawn, Legislative and Public Affairs Manager
Lily Madjus, Communications Director

CITY ATTORNEY REPRESENTATIVE

Robb Kapla, **Deputy City Attorney**

2. President's Announcements.

President McCarthy made the following announcements.

• Welcome to our new Commissioner, Sam Moss, Executive Director of Mission Housing. Originally from Fresno, then moved East for a number of years and to San Francisco in 2008. He joined Mission Housing in December 2011 as an Asset Manager, then was promoted to Director of Asset Management, and became Executive Director in September 2013. Oversees the administration of all Mission Housing assets, programs and services. Since 2012, their staff has grown from 8 people to 25 people; and Mission Housing now

S.F. Building Inspection Commission - MINUTES - Regular Meeting of May 16, 2018 - Page 8

Dan Lowrey, Deputy Director, said currently they can put an address restriction on the job so that when it moves from department to department (Plan Review and Building) it can reviewed properly, and it does get scrutinized closely.

8. Discussion regarding permit history and investigation of potential violations at 655 Alvarado Street.

Patrick O'Riordan, Chief Building Inspector, gave a presentation regarding 655 Alvarado Street. He addressed the following items:

- Project Overview
 - o Form 3 alteration site permit issued September 2016
 - o 3 additional permits issued from Feb-Nov 2017
 - o 5 complaints filed between Aug-Apr 2018
 - o 3 active NOVs
 - o 7 permits suspended at the request of Planning
 - Work Stopped
- Plan Review Issues
 - o The Site permit PA# 200912113061 was filed in December of 2009
 - Planning review commenced in December of 2009 and was completed in September 2016
 - The structural addendum was filed in October 2016 and was issued in November 2016
 - The site permit drawings didn't include demolition calculations
 - o From February to November 7 additional permits were issued
- Site Inspection Findings
 - o Multiple site visits have occurred at the site since the first complaint was filed in August 2017.
 - 3 Notices of Violation were issued based on site observation for undermining of neighboring structure, exceeding the scope of the permit and to document the suspension of the permits.
 - The building adjacent to the east property line was issued a Notice of Violation for the unsafe condition created by the excavation.
 - Remediation work was necessary after permit suspensions to mitigate hazard because of the excavation.
 - o The project is being monitored regularly by inspectors
- Current Status
 - o Site reconnaissance is 11/1/17
 - o Follow up site reconnaissance is 3/5/18
- Plan Review
 - o Shows the Scope of Work and Building Information
 - o Document submittals, and site permit AB-032
 - o Discusses addendum structural, hindsight, and recommendations
- Next Steps
 - Will be scheduled for Planning Commission Hearing subsequent to this hearing.
 - o Post Planning approval DBI will review based on submittal documents.

S.F. Building Inspection Commission – MINUTES – Regular Meeting of May 16, 2018 – Page 9

- After permit issuance a start of work inspection will be scheduled to review approved permit documents based on current site conditions.
- The site will be monitored on an ongoing basis to ensure compliance with all DBI requirements.

Zac Maddry, Architect of Record for the Project Sponsors, said he was involved in this project since July 2016. The following points were made:

- The project sponsors submitted two building permits, and two architectural permits which complied with Section 317.
- He showed a picture of the project on the overhead projector, and said that significant portions of the side wall were to stay.
- The structural system/weight does not bear on the side walls.
- The project sponsors were up front about the areas of demolition on the plan and additional demolition.

Mr. Patrick Davis, General Contractor for this project, said that he represented Thomas Brooks and stated the following:

- They realized there were errors in proper notification of the removal.
- The additional removal consisted of the two blind walls on each side of the property 6 inches from the neighbor.
- The walls were removed due to structural integrity of the wall, intended to be replaced with like walls.
- DBI Inspectors did not indicate non-compliance during their inspections.
- Permits were suspended in Oct 2017 stating excessive demolition.
- Inspectors cited a violation after a complaint was made, not during the inspection.

Secretary Harris called for public comment.

Mary Ferretti-Breidinger, neighbor residing at 651 Alvarado Street, displayed a plan of area gained by the demolition. She requested that the BIC impose a reduction in project size equivalent to the amount gained, including property line and addition to the parapet. The owners kept neighbors out of the loop from the very beginning, and they were not notified of the neighborhood preapplication meeting. She and her brother were given plans that showed areas unexcavated. Her property fence was removed without notification, and Jonathan Kaplan told neighbors that he gave her money for this project, which was not true.

Jerry Dratler, SF resident, provided 2 handouts to the BIC. He said this is a code enforcement problem, and DBI should enforce this. – There is a failure to enforce the Building Code. If DBI enforced properly, the owner would be limited to replacing the structure with a home of the same size. If DBI properly classified these homes as demolitions, there would not be this problem.

Ozzie Rohm, Noe Neighborhood Council, said this project is different from 214 States Street because with this project you can clearly see there is nothing left of this building. This is clearly a demolition that was not permitted. If principal portions of the building are removed two-thirds, it is a demolition. Ms. Rohm said that she would like to ask the BIC and the Planning Commission to reduce the footprint of this structure.

S.F. Building Inspection Commission – MINUTES – Regular Meeting of May 16, 2018 – Page 10

Georgia Schuttish, resident, said this is a demolition as only 8-10% of the structure remains. There was an over the counter permit to expand the building which caused further demolition. They damaged their neighbor's house with their excavation. This is a complicated project and looks to be a demolition.

Rebuttal from the Department – Mr. O'Riordan said the addendum would have the same permit application number, so it is one permit. A subsequent permit was filed in 2017 which spoke of excavating in the basement, which permit displayed a good rendering of the project, but this permit was not reviewed by the Planning Department. It addressed many Planning issues, but was not submitted to the Planning Department as it should have been.

Rebuttal from Project Sponsor – Mr. Maddry said there seemed to be some misunderstanding regarding the language of Code Section 317. They worked to comply with Code Section 317, which allowed 100% removal of the floor and horizontal systems as long as you maintained 50% of the walls. It is not the architect's job to indicate what is existing and what is to remain; their drawings show mandatory structural features required for the building for support itself. He said their drawings show compliance to Code Section 317. There is no perceived mis-coordination of the drawings. It is also not the job of the structural engineer to indicate which portions are to be kept and which are to be removed, as long as the design is compatible with both.

Rebuttal from Project Sponsor – Mr. Patrick Davis said they removed the walls after evidence of dry rot and fire damage on the east side. He said they should have asked for permission before removing the walls.

Commissioner's & Staff Question & Answer Discussion:

President McCarthy asked if this was a completely different building structurally and architecturally. What was DBI's understanding with regards to the removal of the walls?

Mr. O'Riordan said the property line walls were to remain, but the walls were removed. If this happens, the project sponsor should call the inspector out there prior to the removal of the walls and ask for an issuance of a correction notice so they could go back to DBI to get the necessary permit to document their removal.

Commissioner Warshell said he understood how the everyday person would look at the building and see a complete demolition, he asked why it was important to preserve the non-structural walls on the sides rather than say this is a demolition intended for a new building, and everything would be new.

Mr. Maddry said they modified the drawings as necessary, but his goal was to be as candid as possible. Through the site permit process there was an additional wall that he displayed removed for proper review. He said he served at the request of his client and they determine whether something is an alteration or demolition. He said it is his job to create structures that will stand for a long time and are Code compliant and fit within the Code requirements.

President McCarthy asked who submitted to Planning.

S.F. Building Inspection Commission - MINUTES - Regular Meeting of May 16, 2018 - Page 11

Mr. Maddry said John Holy & Associates, a different architect, submitted to Planning. His company modified some window sizing requests. They intentionally maintained elements of the condition of the approval for the final site application. They were not changing the project.

Commissioner Lee asked regarding the structural design, if there was any discussion with the structural engineer to not touch the existing walls.

Mr. Maddry said the side walls provided sheer value, and the structural details have plywood indicated on the interior.

Amy Lee, Consultant for the owner, said the owner originally stopped the Planning process due to finances and another 311 notice was sent out in 2016. This is not a case of serial permitting, they were clear in what they were and were not doing in the removal of the walls. The architect at that time was not familiar with the process with the correction notice. Everyone working on the job intended to do the right thing, but there was a mishap with the side walls and not obtaining the correction notice.

Commissioner Lee said this project demonstrates why there needs to be a clear definition for demolition. He said he would leave it to the task force to determine whether the Code upgrades in regards to removal and replacement will be considered demolition as well.

Mr. Cyril Yu said engineers would provide the minimum requirements. The system conformed to Code. DBI proposes a site permit to indicate what is to remain or to be removed. DBI is attempting to incorporate a demolition sheet.

President McCarthy said he heard from the owner that they did not exceed the 50% demolition, and the neighborhood groups are saying the façade is gone. He asked for highlights from DBI indicating what happened on the plans, what was removed and what should stay, and to do it in a color code. Anything to that level from the owners would be appreciated as well.

Mr. O'Riordan said DBI could provide those drawings, the site permit and addendum set, and revision from March 2017 in basement.

President McCarthy asked about the NOV that was issued to the property next door.

Mr. O'Riordan said that 661 Alvarado had cracks and some distress on the building, so the Inspector required an engineer's report, and that a permit be filed for corrections needed. They are currently reviewing the reports and will do site inspections.

President McCarthy asked for a DBI completed report, so they could calendar a date for discussion and close out the report.


9. Discussion on Accela permit and project tracking system.

Shawn Bulen, IS Project Manager, gave an update on the Accela permit and project tracking system and discussed the following items:

BIC Regular Meeting of May 16, 2018

Agenda Item 8

655 Alvarado Street



Project Overview: 655 Alvarado Street

- Form 3 alteration site permit application issued for PA # 200912113061 on September 29, 2016.
- Addendum for structural issued November 30, 2016.
- 3 additional permits issued from February November 2017.
- 4 Revision permits issued March –August 2017.
- 5 complaints filed between August 2017 and April 2018.
- 3 active Notices of Violation (Referred to Enforcement March 2018).
- 7 permits suspended at the request of Planning.
- Work stopped (except hazard mitigation).

- The Site permit PA # 200912113061 was filed in December of 2009.
- Planning review commenced in December of 2009 and was completed in September 2016.
- The structural addendum was filed in October 2016 and was issued in November 2016.
- The site permit drawings didn't include demolition calculations.
- From February to November 7 additional permits were issued.

Site Inspection Findings: 655 Alvarado Street

- Multiple site visits have occurred at the site since the first complaint was filed in August 2017.
- 3 Notices of Violation were issued based on site observation for undermining of neighboring structure, exceeding the scope of the permit and to document the suspension of the permits.
- The building adjacent to the east property line was issued a Notice of Violation for the unsafe condition created by the excavation.
- Remediation work was necessary after permit suspensions to mitigate hazard because of the excavation.
- The project is being monitored regularly by inspectors.

Current Status: 655 Alvarado Street


SITE RECONNAISSANCE: NOV. 01, 2017 FOLLOW-UP SITE RECONNAISSANCE:

BLDG PERMIT #:2017-1103-3047

FEB. 01, 2018 / MARCH 05, 2018

ADMINISTRATIVE PERMIT TO COMPLETE TEMPORARY CONFIRM ALL CONSTRUCTION LIMITED TO TEMPORARY SHORING AND FOUNDATION / RETAINING WALL TO MITIGATE SHORING AND FOUNDATION / RETAINING WALL SYSTEM HAZARD TO SITE AND ADJOINING NEIGHBOR BUILDING





SFDBI Engineering Department



SCOPE OF WORK:

- 3 LEVELS OF HORIZONTAL EXTENSION:

(E) BASEMENT

(E) 1ST FLOOR

(E) 2ND FLOOR

- 1 (N) BASEMENT BELOW

- 1 (N) VERTICAL ADDITION ABOVE

BUILDING INFORMATION:

	<u>EXISTING</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>
- TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION:	V-B	V-B
- NUMBER OF STORIES:	2+BASEMENT	4+BASEMENT
- OCCUPANCY CLASS:	R-3	R-3
- USE	SFR	SFR
- NUMBER OF DWELLING:	1	1
- SPRINKLER SYSTEM:	NONE	NFPA 13R



DOCUMENT SUBMITTALS:

- SITE PERMIT
- ADDENDUMS
- EXCAVATION SHORING

SITE PERMIT: AB-032


ASSURE NO MAJOR FACTORS THAT WOULD PRECLUDE DETAILED DESIGN OF A BUILDINGCODE COMPLYING STRUCTURE WITH THE PROPOSED BUILDING ENVELOPE


MAJOR LIFE-SAFETY COMPLIANCE:

- BUILDING INFORMATION
- ALLOWABLE HEIGHT, STORY AND AREA
- FIRE PROTECTION
- MEANS OF EGRESS

SITE PERMIT

THIS APPLICATION SUBMITTED FOR SITE PERMIT ONLY. NO WORK MAY BE STARTED UNTIL CONSTRUCTION PLANS HAVE BEEN APPROVED.





(E) REAR

(N) REAR

14

ADDENDUM: STRUCTURAL

STRUCTURAL DESIGN CRITERA:

- GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT
- STRUCTUAL ENGINEER CALCULATIONS
- CBC 2016
- SFBC 2016
- ASCE / SCI 7-16
- ACI 318-14
- NDSWC-2015
- AISC 341-10 - IAPMO / ESR

GRAVITY COMPONENT

- DEAD LOADS	D
- LIVE ROOF LOADS	L
- LIVE FLOOR LOADS	L
LATERAL COMPONENT	
- SOIL LOADS	Н
- WIND LOADS	V
- EARTHQUAKE LOADS	Ε

LOAD COMBINATIONS:

LRFD: 7 COMBINATIONS

- 1.4D
- 1.2D + 1.6(L+H) + 0.5Lr
- 1.2D + 1.6Lr + 1.6H + (0.5L OR 0.5W)
- 1.2D + 1.0W + 0.5L + 1.6H + 0.5Lr - 1.2D + 1.0E + 0.5L + 1.6H
- 0.9D + 1.0W + 1.6H
- 0.9D + 1.0E + 1.6H

ASD: 7 COMBINATIONS

- D
- -D+H+L
- D + H + Lr
- D + H + 0.75L + 0.75Lr
- D + H + (0.6W OR 0.7E)
- D + H + 0.75(0.6W) + 0.75L + 0.75Lr
- D + H + 0.75(0.7E) + 0.75L

STRUCTURAL REVIEW: AXIAL / SHEAR / BENDING / DEFLECTION

INDIVIDUAL STRUCTURAL COMPONENT

- FOUNDATION
- RETAINING WALL
- ROOF FRAMING
- FLOOR FRAMING
- WALL FRAMING

- SITE GRADE - GRAVITY

- FOUNDATION


- RETAINING WALL

- LATERAL

- PLYWOOD DIAPHRAGM
- PLYWOOD SHEAR WALLS
- ANCHOR TIE-DOWNS
- STEEL MOMENT FRAMES
- SHEAR TRANSFER
- SHEAR COLLECTORS STRUCTURAL SYSTEM

ADDENDUM

SITE PERMIT ADDENDUM FOR CONSTRUCTION OF:



CONNECTIONS: EACH STRUCTUAL ELEMENT SHALL PROVIDE A DIRECT AND POSITIVE CONNECTION TO ANOTHER AND THE STRUCTUAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING SHALL COMPRISE OF STRUCTUAL ELEMENTS WHICH PROVIDE A **DIRECT LOAD PATH**

MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS

- LUMBER / ROUGH CARPENTRY:

- FASTENERS :

- WALL SHEATHING

- CONCRETE / SHOTCRETE

- REINFORCING STEEL

- STEEL

- WELDS

- BOLTS / ANCHORS

- EPOXY


DF #1 / DF #2 GALV. / S.S.

APA RATED fc/AGGREGATE

GRADE: 40 / 60 A992 / A36 / A500

70 KSI ELECTRODES

A325 / F1554 IAPMO / ESR



HINDSIGHT:

- EXTREMELY INVOLVED STRUCTURAL UNDERTAKING

TEMPORARY SHORING
HAND-DUG UNDERPINING PIERS
DRILL PIERS (AS CONTRACTOR'S OPTION)
INTERNAL BRACING
TIEBACK ROCK ANCHORS
WOOD LAGGING

BUILDING STRUCTURE CONCRETE MAT SLAB

CONCRETE MAT SLAB
CONCRETE SLAB ON GRADE
CONCRETE GRADE BEAMS
SHOTCRETE RETAINING WALLS
PLYWOOD DIAPHRAGMS
PLYWOOD SHEARWALLS
SIMPSON STRONG WALLS
STEEL MOMENT FRAMES
WOOD FRAMING
STEEL FRAMING

1ST ADDENDUM FOR REVIEW OF STRUCTUAL INTEGRITY, SEISMIC SAFETY, AND BUILDING PERFORMACE

- COORDINATION OVERSIGHT

EXISTING TO REMAIN AND NEW CONSTRUCTION

SITE PERMIT TO ADDENDUM
ARCHITECTUAL AND STRUCTURAL
DESIGN PROFESSIONAL AND CONTRACTOR

RECOMMENDATIONS:

CURRENT:

- PROCESS STRUCTURAL ADDENDUMS FOR PERMENANT STRUCTUAL DIRECTLY AFTER SITE PERMIT


PROPOSE:


- DEDICATED DEMOLITION SHEETS WITH FLOOR PLAN INDICATING EXISTING WALLS TO REMAIN AND EXISTING WALLS TO BE TO BE REQUIRED ON SITE PERMIT
- ADMEND AB-032: SITE PERMIT PROCESSING TO INCLUDE REVIEW AND INCLUSION OF DEMOLITION SHEETS
- TEMPORARY SHORING PLANS AND CALCULATIONS BY DESIGN PROFESSIONAL FOR EXISTING WALLS TO REMAIN IN SUBSTANTIAL ALTERATIONS TO BE THE IMMEDIATE ADDENDUM AFTER SITE PERMIT
- STRUCTURAL ADDENDUM FOR PERMANENT BUILDING STRUCTURE TO DIFFERENTIATE VIA LEGEND BETWEEN NEW AND EXISTING WALLS TO REMAIN

DILEMMA:

- CODE COMPLIANT WEATHER PROTECTION
- CODE COMPLIANT FIRE PROTECTION
- CODE COMPLIANT STRUCTURAL SYSTEM

DBI: FIRE PROTECTION, STRUCTUAL & SEISMIC INTEGRITY AND BUILDING PERFORMACE WHICH CONTRIBUTES TO THE LIFE SAFETY & HABITABILITY OF THE OCCUPANTS TAKES PRECEDENCE





Next Steps

- Will be scheduled for Planning Commission Hearing subsequent to this hearing.
- Post Planning approval DBI will review based on submittal documents.
- After permit issuance a start of work inspection will be scheduled to review approved permit documents based on current site conditions.
- The site will be monitored on an ongoing basis to ensure compliance with all DBI requirements.

QUESTION & ANSWER Thank you!

- Patrick O'Riordan, Chief Building Inspector
- Joseph Duffy, Senior Building Inspector
- Cyril Yu, Engineer



1414 Fourth St. #200B San Rafael, CA 94901 t: 415.258.9100 f: 415.258.9191

Permit Sequence Narrative

Permit Application # 2009-1211-3061

Project Description: The proposed project is to construct a three-level horizontal rear extension, a one level front horizontal extension and a one-story vertical extension. The proposed rear extension involves adding a rear horizontal extension at the existing first floor and basement levels and creating a new second basement level under the proposed basement extension. The rear horizontal extension at the first floor will be setback from the east property line by five feet.

Revisions to permit application #2009.1211.3061 including: Increase in size of the basement by approximately 200 S.F. (and associated update to T24 compliance report). Modifications to the window and door.

DMARCstudio Project Initiation:	07.22.2016
Site Permit Intake:	08.22.2016
Site Permit Approval:	09.29.2016
Structural Addendum Intake:	10.17.2016
Architectural Addendum Intake:	11.09.2016
15'-3" x 32'-9" (500 sq.ft - approx 14%)	
portion of wall added to scope of removal	
Architectural and Structural Addendum Approval:	11.30.2016

Permit Application # 2017-0316-1580

Project Description: Revisions to permit application #2009.1211.3061 including: Increase in size of the basement by approximately 200 S.F. (and associated update to T24 compliance report). Modifications to the window and door manufacturer (U and SHGC values) and minor modifications to window size and operation (and associated update to T24 compliance report). Minor modifications to the thermal envelope and mechanical equipment sizing (and associated update to the T24 compliance report). All work under this permit shown in clouds.

Revision Permit Submittal (Arch and Struct): 03.16.2017 Revision Permit Approval: 05.04.2017

5/9/2018 Page **1** of **2**

Permit Application # 2017-0519-7112

Project Description: Shoring Permit as required by Addendum 1 (200912113061 S2, R/1). The shoring was reviewed and approved by DBI personnel. It clearly shows the intent of the shoring required to construct the new foundation walls to be installed at GL A&D, and GL 4. If any previous confusion existed regarding the parameters of the new foundation walls and the extent of the excavation, this permit was clear.

Sec. 317. Loss of Residential and Unauthorized Units Through Demolition, Merger and Conversion.

(b) Definitions.

(9) "Removal" shall mean, with reference to a wall, roof or floor structure, its dismantling, its relocation or its alteration of the exterior function by construction of a new building element exterior to it. Where a portion of the exterior wall is removed, any remaining wall with the height less than the Building Code requirement for legal head room shall be considered demolished.

Where exterior elements of the building are removed and replaced for repair or maintenance, in like materials, with no increase in the extent of the element or volume of the building, such replacement shall not be considered Removal for the purposes of this section.

Portions of blind walls removed per Sec. 317 were fire damaged and had dry rot. There were also pre-existing foundation damage:





655 ALVARADO STREET RESIDENCE

ABBACHMARTS DEMONSTRATION OF A COMPLIANCE MITHER SEC. 347











NOT OF SAME PARCETON

COVER

JOB NUMBER:

DMARCS tudio 1414 fourth at 200b ann ratiosis, ca 94901 tel: 415,256,9100 www.atminestudio.com




ROPERTY OWNER
ynathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer
55 Alvarado Street
Ian Francisco, CA 94114

TRUCTURAL ENGINEER

G F design for fine architecture *

655 ALVARADO STREET RESIDENCE

DMARCS tudio
DMARCS tudio
1444 fourth st #2006
san ratest, ca 94901
tel: 415 268 3100
www.dmarcstude.com



EAST: 594
WEST: 971
TOTAL: 1,892 (56%) EXISTING TO REMAIN:
NORTH: 327
SOUTH: 0

TOTAL: 1,515 (44%)

TO BE REMOVED:
NORTH: 137
SOUTH: 733
EAST: 513
WEST: 132

PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marcl Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114



Structural design for fine architecture

ATTN: Randy Braun Emall: rbraun@gfdseng.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwalte Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER
Muray Engineers Inc.
ATTN: Kristofer T. Korth, P.E.
Phone: 50.559.9980
Email: kris@murrayengineers.com

MEP ENGINEER
MHC ENGINEERS
150 Eighth Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Elki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T. 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F. 415.512.7120
E. elklor@mhcengr.com

EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION

EXISTING EAST ELEVATION

733 sf

6 50% DD SEYT
6 50% DD -REY 1 SET
6 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET
6 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM
6 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS
7 02.01.17
7 PERMIT REVISION SET

30 sf

Swo Swo

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA


102 sf 971 sf

DATE: JOB NUMBER: 1613

3 EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION

EXISTING WEST ELEVATION

655 ALVARADO STREE RESIDENCE



DMARCS tudio DMARCS tudio 1444 fourth st #2006 san ratest, ca 94901 tel: 415 268 3100 www.dmarcstude.com



PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marcl Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

(*)

0 G 5

971 sf 30 sf

ATTN: Randy Braun Emall: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER
Muray Engineers Inc.
ATTN: Kristofer T. Korth, P.E.
Phone: 650.5589.9980
Email: kris@murrayengineers.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwalte Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER
MHC ENGINEERS
150 Eighth Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
atto: Elikl Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 445.512.7140 ex. 19
E: eliklor@mhcengr.com

5 50% DD SET 18T 3 50% DD - REV 1 SET 100% DD ARCHTECTURAL SET ARCHTECTURAL ADDENDUM PLAN UNHECK COMMENTS 7 02.01.17 PERMIT REVISION SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114


971 sf

DATE: JOB NUMBER:

1613

655 ALVARADO STREET RESIDENCE

VICINITY MAP



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

INCREASE IN SIZE OF THE BASEMENT BY APPROXIMATELY 200 S.F. (AND ASSOCIATED UPDATE TO 724 COMPLIANCE REPORT). REVISIONS TO PERMIT APPLICATION #: 2009.1211.3081 INCLUDING:

MODIFICATIONS TO THE WINDOW AND DOOR MANUFACTURER (U AND SHGC VALUES) AND MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO WINDOW SIZE AND OPERATION (AND ASSOCIATED UPDATE TO T24 COMPLIANCE REPORT).

MINOR MODIFICATIONS TO THE THERMAL EMPLOPE AND MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT SZING (AND ASSOCIATED UPDATE TO TRACOMPLIANCE REPORT).

ALL WARK UNDERL-THYS PERMIT SHOWN IN CLOUDS

CONSTRUCTION TYPE: ZONING: OCCUPANCY: HEIGHT & BULK DISTRICTS: 40-X 4 + BASEMENT 끊 V-B, FULLY SPRINKLERED RH-2 RESIDENTIAL

LOT SIZE PROJECT DATA APN# BLOCK/LOT: ADDRESS: 25 x 114' = 2850 S.F. 655 ALVARADO STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

2013 CALFORNA BLEANICA CODE 2013 CALFORNA MECHANICAL CODE 2013 CALFORNA PLANBINA CODE 2013 CALFORNA BLECTROAL CODE 2013 CALFORNA BLECTROAL 2013 CALFORNA GREEN BLEDNA STANDAR 2013 CALFORNA GREEN BLEDNA STANDAR ME CITY & COLATY OF SAN FRANCISCO

GROSS FLOOR AREAS

EXISTING THIRD LEVEL: 909 SQ. FT. EXISTING SECOND LEVEL: 1125 SQ. FT. XISTING TOTAL: 2737 SQ. FT. DUSTING FIRST LEVEL: 613 SQ. FT. PROPOSED TOTAL: 5096 SQ. FT. PROPOSED THIRD LEVEL: 1068 SQ. FT. PROPOSED SECOND LEVEL: 1405 SQ. FT. PROPOSED FIRST LEVEL: 961 SQ. FT. PROPOSED FOURTH LEVEL: 511 SQ. FT OPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL: 1151 SQ. FT.

DEFERRED APPROVALS

TITLE-24 DOCUMENTATION

GEOTECHNICAL REVIEW

~	5	
AO.1	SUI	333
MAX AREA OF EXT WALL OPENINGS & FIRE SEPARATION DISTANC	SITE SURVEY	TITLE SHEET, GENERAL INFO, MOEX) GENERAL CONDITIONS, ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS GREEN BUILDING CHECKLIST (C-7)

OPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL FLOOR PLAN
STRIG PRST LEVEL FLOOR PLAN
OPOSED FIRST LEVEL FLOOR PLAN

HOPOSED ROOF PLAN

OSTING NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS TO POSED NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS TLEVEL LIGHTING, POWER & HVAC OND LEVEL LIGHTING, POWER & HVAC D LEVEL LIGHTING, POWER & HVAC TITH LEVEL LIGHTING, POWER & HVAC

A62 INLARGED DECK & BALCONY PLANS

A7.1 DOOR SCHEDULE WINDOW SCHEDULE

WATERAL SPECIFICATIONS
MATERAL SPECIFICATIONS





DRAWING INDEX

		}
SITE SURVEY	TITLE SHEET, GENERAL INFO, INDEX.) GENERAL CONDITIONS, ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOUS GREEN BUILDING CHECKLIST (C-7)	COVER SHEET

(8)

WEST ELEVATION SOUTH ELEVATION

CONCRETE DETAILS

STEEL DETAILS NOT USED MOMENT FRAME DETAILS MOMENT FRAME NOTES

WOOD DETAILS

RECFIVED



TITLE

DMARCstudio

1414 fourth at #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA \$4114

PPICAL WOOD BETAILS

PPICAL WOOD SEISMC SHEAR WALL DETAILS

PPICAL WOOD SEISMC SHEAR WALL DETAILS

PPICAL WOOD SEISMC TIEDOWN DETAILS

PPICAL SIMPSON STRONG WALL DETAILS

ST FLOOR FRAMING PLAN

HEET LIST, INSP. & OBS. NOTES, SPECIAL INSP. & ABBREV. ENERAL NOTES



ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER
Murray Engineers inc.
ATTN: Kristofer T. Korth, P.E.
Phone: 650.558.9800
Email: kris@murrayengineers.com

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwalte Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.co

MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, A5 94103 athr. Elid Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T. 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F. 415.512.7120 E: elidor@mhcangr.com

08.12:16 50% DO SET 1
08.24:16 50% DO SET 1
08.14:16 100% DO ARCHTECTURAL SET 1
08.14:16 100% DO ARCHTECTURAL SET 1
08.27:16 ARCHTECTURAL SET 1
0.00.17:17 50.00.17:17 50.00.17:17 50.00.17:17 50.00.17:17 50.00.17:18

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA



DATE: 03:15:2017 JOB NUMBER: 1613

GENERAL CONDITIONS

, ALL APRILOMEE PROVISIONS OF THE CALFORNA BLEDNA CODE, CAPRIENT EXTINCH AND AS ACOPTED BY THE CITY OF SAN THANCESCO. SHALL BE ADMENDED TO AN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. IT IS THE CONTRACTIONS WITHANTE REPOYSISMUN'T TO COMETRACT THE PROJECT FOR HESIS CODES.

CONTRACTION SHALL MARTIAN LABLEY RESIDENCE TO PROTECT HINSEE AND HOLD THE OWNER AND THE ADDRESS. SES FROM ANY AND ALL MARTIAN LABLEY RESIDENCES. PROTECT HINSEE AND HOLD THE OWNER AND THE ADDRESS IS THE COURSE OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION.

. ALL FIXTURES, PRINSHES, INSULATION, HANDWARE, EQUIPMENT, APPLIANCES, AND MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS SHILL BE SELE AND OR APPROVED BY THE CHANER UNLESS NOTED ON PLANS OR THESE SPECIFICATIONS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE THE PROPERTY OWNER, SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION, AND SUPERIN ADMINIST OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES THAT ARE POTENTIALLY DEPLIFFINE.

II. THE ARICHTECT WILL IN NO WAY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR HOW THE WORK IS PERFORMED. SAFETY METHODS OF PERFORMANCE OR TIMELINESS IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK.

TO REJECT ANY MATERIALS AND WORK QUALITY WHICH ARE NOT CONSIDERED TO BE VARIOUS TRACES WACKED. SUCH OFFERDR MATERIAL OR WORK QUALITY SHALL BE IT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.

IS, THE COMPACTION SHALL RIGHE THAT CUTTING, FITTING, PATCHING ETC. (IF ALL THACES CAUSES ALL PARTS TO COME TOGETHER PROPERTY.

AND HIGH PARKET MET CHARLES CHARLISM AND THE HE MEDICATED TO THE PRESENT AND THE MEDICATED TO THE HE MEDICATED TO THE MEDICATED THE MEDICATED

OR SHALL CHECK AND VERRY SIZE AND LOCATION OF CIPCHICS FOR VEHTS, DUCTS, PLUMENS RUSS, SYTURES, ETC. WITH PRODUCT SHEDHICATIONS AND AICH TEUTUNA, DHAWAKIS.

22 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DISHTEY ORTICAL DUE DATES FOR ALL OWARE SELECTIONS TO BE PURICHASED AND INSTALLE THE CONTRACTOR AND B) CONTRACTOR RECEIPT OF COMMER PURCHASED ITEMS TO BE INSTALLED BY THE CONTRACTOR. CHITACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSAIY BLOCKING, STEFENERS, BEACHG, FRAMING, HANCERS, OR OF ALL POTURES, EQUIPMENT, CABINETRY, PLENSSHINGS AND ALL OTHER FREQUENCY THE SAME.

24. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL GUARANTIE ALL MODRAMAGHER AND MATERIALS FOR ONE YEAR, RIGHT THE DATE OF COMPLETION OF THE MADRY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RESPACE OF REPAIR ANY DESIGNS ON FALCTY MATERIALS ENDING THE GUARANTIE. PERIOD.

LL DISTRIAD CONDITIONS NOT NOTED ON THE PLANE IN THE SCOPE OF WORK ARE TO REMAIN AND SHALL BE WIGHTSCITED TRACKING AND LE RESPONSIBLE FOR AND SHALL REPLACE IN HIGH COST ARE TITLED CHANAGED BY CHANAGE OF THE ROSP.

SYMBOLS & LEGEND

FIRE EXTINGUISHER IN SEMI-RECESSED CABINET FIRE EXTINGUISHER W/ BRACKET

⊳ ®

EQUIPMENT CASEWORK WASHROOM EQUIPMENT PLUMBING FIXTURE KEY NOTE

DOOR

ELEVATION

DIMENSION NOTE BREAK LINE

DETAIL

ABBREVIATIONS

DMARCS tudio

1414 fourth at #200b

san ratifast. ca \$4901

to: 415.256.9100

www.dmarcsbudo.com

PLAN REVISION MOV 0.9 2015

1	AND AND THE STANDARD
ACCRECION DE COMMENT. ACT. ACT.	ANGLE AND CHARGE CHARGE CHARGE CHARGE CHARGE CHARGE AND
88 등 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전 전	DANSE DESCRIPTION OF THE
COORDING WITH COMMENT	DENOTE FORTAL COMESTO FOT WATER DIAGENAL ENGRANA ENGRANA DIAGENAL DIAG
사는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면	December of the control of the contr
INTONUME TO CONCRETE AND THE STATE OF THE ST	HIGH GENSTIY OFERANY HARDWOOD FOLLOW HITTAL FOLLOW
### ##################################	MANAGE SECOND
COMERT INTERNAL CONTROLLARS TO CONTR	NOT N CONSTACT NOT N CONSTACT NAMED NAMED CONSTANT NOT NO SCARPICATOR NOT TO SCALE OUTSOLE AND OR OFFINAL OUTSOLE AND OR

MEP ENGINEERS
MHC ENGINEERS
150 Eighth Stee
San Francisco, CA 94103
attm: Eld Oz. P. E. LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: existenting com

08.12.16 50% DD SET 08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET 09.16.16 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707,578,5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER
Murray Engineers Inc.
ATTN: Kristofer T. Korth, P.E.
Phono: 650.589.9980
Email: kris@murrayengineers.com

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

G F design for fine architecture

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER

ithan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Giazer Alvarado Street Francisco, CA 94114

JOB NUMBER: 1613

ABBREVIATIONS AND


EXISTING WALL TO REMAIN NEW WALL 2x4 WD FRAMING NEW WALL 2X6 WD FRAMING

DEMO WALL, DOOR, WINDOW, ETC.

Revisions Project 655 ALVARADO ST

SAN FRANCISCO, CA


GENERAL CONDITIONS,



Revisions Project 655 ALVARADO ST


APPROVE C MAY 0 4 2017

PROPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL PLAN







655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114








PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvariado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114




655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

PROPOSED SECOND

LEVEL PLAN
















08.12.16 50% DD SET 08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET 09.16.16 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA



Revisions Project 655 ALVARADO ST


SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114



EXISTING EAST ELEVATION









6 50% DO SET
50% DD -REY I SET
6 100% DD ARCHTECTURAL SET
6 100% DD ARCHTECTURAL ADDENDUM
ARCHTECTURAL ADDENDUM
7 0201.17
7 PERMIT REVISION SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

MAY 93 201 APPROVED

PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION





HIGH STRENGTH BOLT	HSB	WITH	/W
HORIZONTAL	HORIZ	WELDED WIRE FABRIC	WWF
жоок	픗	WBGHT	TW
HANGER	HGR	WORK POINT	444
HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED	HDG HDG	VERTICAL OF	VERT
GYPSUM BOARD	GYP 8D	UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED	N.O.N
GLULAM	GI.	TYPICAL	TYP
GRADE	G 52.4	TOP OF	0 2
GAGE	GA 90	TONGUE AND GROOVE	12.6
FOOTING	FIG	TOP AND BOTTOM	T & B
FOOT	FI	STANDARD	STD
FAR SIDE	38	STAINLESS STEEL	SS
FRAMING	ENG.	SOLIARE	5 7
FLOOR	5 %	SLAB ON GRADE	S.O.G.
	FIN FLR, F.F.	SIMILAR	MIS
FOUNDATION	FNDN	SHEATHING	SHIC
EXTERIOR	EXT	SEISMIC FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM	SFRS
EXPANSION	EXP	SELECT STRUCTURAL	SEL STRUCT
EACH WAY	EW	SCHEDULE	SCHED
EQUIPMENT	EQUIP	SEE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS	S.A.D.
EMBEDMENT	EMBED	ROUGH OPENING	RO
ELEVATION	p	RETAINING	RET
EACH FACE	q	REQUIRED	REQ'D
EACH	E I	REINFORCING	REINE
DRAWING	DWG	PRESSURE TREATED	Ř
DIMENSION	DIM	POST TENSIONED OR	14
DIAGONAL	DIAG	PARALLEL STRAND LUMBER	PSL
DIAMETER	DIA .	PLYWOOD	PLWD
DOUGLAS FIR	DE DE	PERIMETER	PERIM
DETAIL	DET	PERPENDICULAR	PERP
DOUBLE	DBL	POWER DRIVEN FASTENER	PDF
CENTER	CTR	OPEN WEB STEEL JOISTS	LSWO
COMPLETE PENETRATION	8 8	ORIENTED STRAND BOARD	OSB
CONSTRUCTION	CONSIK	OPPOSITE	OPPO
CONNECTION	CONN	OPPOSITE HAND	2 9
CONCRETE	CONC	ON CENTER	8
COLUMN	COL	OVER	0
CLEAR	CK	NORMAL WEIGHT CONCRETE	NWC
CELING	CIG	NOT TO SCALE	NIS
CAST IN PLACE	Q P	NUMBER	NO.#
BETWEEN	BTWN	NOT IN CONTRACT	NLC.
вопом	ВОП	NOT APPLICABLE	Σ.
BEARING	BRG .	NEW	2
BOTTOM OF	5 3	MANUFACTUREK	NIN SIM
BLOCKING	BLKG	MECHANICAL	MECH
вноск	BLX	MAXIMUM	MAX
BUILDING	BLDG	MATERIAL	MAIL
BELOW	BLW	LIGHT WEIGHT CONCRETE	LWC SE
AT ON CENTER	SWA	LAMINATED STRAND LUMBER	TST.
ARCHITECTURAL	ARCH	LOCATION	LOCA
ALTERNATE	ALT	JOIST	IST
ADHESIVE	P F	JOINT	N 3
ABOVE	ARV	SCHEDING	2
VIACUOK BOTT	20	INSULATION	THOME

AX: (415)512-1302 Op Occorem Plansmeri & amplifició O Optio estradació concerno Plansació promiser in Realizary concrete inema Il Rescisciony para del premission's funcion A Partició estradi inquiente del Optio para del activida del promiser Control para del promiser del lestro Control para del promiser del promiser del HOT Control para del promiser del promiser del HOT wer(Aychilect of Record cordence with Sec. 1701;1703;1704 ing work: otton 1794)
uthar wednig (NDT exception: Fillet web)
offecare state; and () NDT required
preservesting transas City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection ESS 655 ALVARADO STREET Dist Engineer or Plan Chacks SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Tam C. Hul, S.E., Acting Directo

NOTICE

note that the Special inspections shown on the approved plans and checked on the inspections form issued with the permit are required for this project. The ment of special inspectors is the direct responsibility of the owner or the relactified of record acting as the owner's representative.

se special rispocitions are required in addition to the called impactions performed by natment of Building inspection. The name of the opecial inspection shall be farmathed to district building inspector prior to start of work for which special inspection is required.

questions regarding the details or extent of required inspection or tests, please call the n Checker assigned to this project or 415-558-6182. If there are any fulfy problems setting appoid inspection, please call your District Euliding Inspector or 415-558-5570.

elited to aid approved the Speak in Speak or speak intepcides correlations in the speak of the Speak in Speak in Speak in Speak in Speak or Speak

STRUCTURAL OBSERVATION REQUIREMENTS

Structural observation shall be provided as required per Section 1710. The building permit will not be finalized without compliance with the structural observation requirements.

Special Inspection Services Contact Information Telephone: (415) 558-6132 Fax: (415) 558-6474

Email: dbi.specialinspections@stoov.org

Note: We are moving towards a 'papertess' mode of opperation. All special inspection submittals, including final letters, may be emailed (preferred) or faxed. We will also be shifting to a papertees fax receipt mode.

Special Inspection Services
1663 Mission Street - San Francisco CA 94103
1663 Kission Street - San Francisco CA 94103
1663 Kission Street - San Francisco CA 94103

SPECIAL INSPECTION AND STRUCTURAL OBSERVATION A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT SHALL BE KEPT WITH THE APPROVED STRUCTURAL DRAWING SEY

stural observation per Sec. 1718 (2010 3/TRC) for the following: pQ Foundations Converse construction: [] Massery coversation: pQ Wood faming Conv. 18 data handrid in erioding scoromot or manus.
20 Courtes in 11 Marca Morra Marca Ma

DKALLMEYER@GFDSENG.COM Phone (415) 556-

QUESTIONS ABOUT SPECIAL INSPECTION AND STRUCTURAL OBSSERVATION SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO: Special Importion Services (415) 558-6132, or, <u>distanción (positionalization off</u>) or FAX (415) 588-6474.

SHEET LIST

DIES, SPECIAL INSPECTION FORM AND

DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st 2200b
san rafael, ca 94901
tel: 415.258.9100
www.dmarcstudio.com

- SHEET LIST, INSPECTION AND OF ABBREVIATIONS GENERAL NOTES TYPICAL CONCRETE DETAILS TYPICAL WOOD DETAILS
- TYPICAL WOOD SESMIC SHEAR WALL DETAILS TYPICAL WOOD SESMIC SHEAR WALL DETAILS TYPICAL WOOD SESMIC TIEDOWN DETAILS
- BASEMENT PLAN TYPICAL SIMPSON STRONG WALL DETAILS
- THIRD FLOOR FRAMING PLAN
- FIRST FLOOR FRAMING PLAN SECOND FLOOR FRAMING PLAN
- ROOF FRAMING PLAN
 EAST ELEVATION

AST REPAIDON AST REPAIDON SS.1 CONCRETE DEPAIS SS.2 CONCRETE DEPAIS SS.3 STREAM DEPAIS SS.4 MONEY SS.5 MONEY SS.6 MONEY SS.6 MONEY SS.7 MONEY

INSPECTION AND OBSERVATION NOTES

SPECIAL INSPECTION AND TESTING

IN ACCORDANCE WITH CBC 2013, CHAPTER 17 THE OWNER OR OWNERS AGENT SHALL BRAGAGE A SPECIAL RISPECTION TO PROVIDE SPECIAL RISPECTIONS. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIALCALLY INDICATED, GRES BEGINERS SHALL RISP PROVIDE SPECIAL RISPECTION. RISPECTION PROVIDES SPECIAL RISPECTION FROM THE SPECIAL RISPECTION REGISTER AND ASSECT OF COMPRETED FORMS SISTED BY THE BILLIDING OPERATIONS HAVING JURISPECTION OF THE BILLIDING AGENCIES AT DEPARTMENT HAVING JURISCHOOL OVER THE WORK AND SHALL NOTIFY TESTING AGENCIES AT URLEAT 24 HOUSE IN ADVIANCE OF TIME WHEN WORK THAT REQUIRES TESTING OR RISPECTING WILL BE PERFORMED.

STRUCTURAL OBSERVATION

CONSTRUCTION PHASE SITE VISITS GRED BIGGREES WILL PROVINE ETRICITIEAL DISERVANDAN I ACCORDANCE WINT CIEC 2013, CLAHERE TJ. SECTION LYTIO AS NOICLARED ON HER BRAWNINGS. CONTRACTORS SHALL REVINE RESTRUCTURAL OBSERVATION REGUIREMENTS SHOWN ON HE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND NOTIFY CIECU SHOUSEES ALI USAST SHOWNERED. SHOWN ON HE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND STRUCTURAL OBSERVATION WILL SECONMETERD.

GRES PRIGHERS WILL PROVISE CONSTRUCTION SITE VIGIT AND OBSERVE THE PROCRESS AND GUARANT OF STRUCTURAL PORTIONS OF THE WORK. THESE VISITS AND OBSERVATIONS ARE RUN HINDED TO BE AN ETHALISM'S CHECK OR DETAILED ASSECTION OF THE CONFRACTOR'S WORK, BUT ANTHER TO ALLOW GRES BANKERES TO BECOME GENERALLY AMALIAN WITH HOORS IN PROCRESS AND TO DETERMINE IN GENERAL IF THE WORK IS PROCRESINATION OF THE CONFRACTOR ODCUMENTS.

THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE THE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO CORRECT PORTIONS OF THE WORK INDICATED AS BEING NOT IN COMPLANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.





PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwalte Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

150 Eigth Street
San Francisco, CA, 94103
attn: Elki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eiklor@mhcengr.com

SAN FRANCISCO, CA Revisions
Project
655 ALVARADO ST

DEPT, OF BUILDING INSPECTION OF SHAPPING FOR DIGITIZANCE ACCORDING TO THE CAMBURANCE ACCORDING TO THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT RECFIVED MAR 18 2017

SHEET LIST, SPECIAL **ABBREVIATIONS** INSPECTION, &

STRUCTURAL AND MISCELLANEOUS STEEL CONTINUED

HIGH STRENGTH BOLTS (H.S.B.) SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A325 TYPE H.
COMMON BOLTS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A357 USE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFED,
ANCHOR ROOD SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM F 1554 GB. 36.
THREADED ROD SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A36.

ROUGH CARPENTRY

MOISTURE CONTENT AND PROTECTION

MOSTURE CONTENT SHALL MEET THE FOLLOWING LIMITS: "DRIY" FOR YERTICAL FRAMING (19% MAXINJUM), FRINKES SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED OVER DIMENSIONAL LILMBER FRAMING LIMIT, MOSTURE CONTENT IS BELOW 12% MAXIMUM.

naterials shall be properly stored on the job site, materials shall be stored off of the ground, and protected from exposure to the elements.

RESERVATIVE TREATMENT

PRAMING AMBRES SPOCEST TO WEATHER OR IN CONTACT WITH CONCRETE BUT NOT IN CONTACT WITH THE SPRESSIVE TEXTURE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWARD STANDARD UIT, AT IL USE CATEGORY UCS& TEXTURE AND HOLES SHALL BE FELD TREATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AWARD STANDARD UIT, AT IL USE CATEGORY UCS& TEXTURE AND HOLES SHALL BE FELD TREATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AMPRIA MA.

IMENSION LUMBER AND TIMBER

DIMENSIONAL LUMBER AND TIMBER SHALL CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING WICLIB MINIMUM GRADES AND SHALL BE DOUGLAS FIR, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

TOP AND BOTTOM PLATES	STUDS (2X, 3X)	MUD SILLS (3X)	POSTS (4X4)	POSTS (6" THICK, 6"-8" WIDE)	BEAMS (6" THICK, 10" AND WIDER)	HEADERS (4"THICK, 5" AND WIDER)	JOISTS (2"-4", 5" AND WIDER)	
STUD	STUD	NO. 1, PRESSURE TREATED (DO NOT USE HEM-FIR)	NO. 1	NO. 1	NO. I	NO. 1	NO.2	

NO SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL

PARALLAM PSL LUMBER (PSL)

PARALLAM PS. LUMBER SHALL BE 2.0E, CONFORMING TO ICC-ES RESEARCH REPORT NO. ESR-1387, OR EQUAL AND SHALL HAVE DESIGN VALUES EQUAL TO OR EXCEEDING THE FOLLOWING:

HORIZONTAL SHEAR:	MODULUS OF ELASTICITY (E):	COMPRESSION PARALLEL TO THE GRAIN (FC PARALLEL):	BENDING (Fb):
290 PSI	2,000,000 PSI	2900 PSI	154 DOAZ

AICROLLAM LVL LUMBER (LVL)

MICROLAM LYL LUMBER SHALL BE 1,8E, CONFORMING TO ICC-ES RESEARCH REPORT NO. ESR-1397, OR EQUAL AND SHALL HAVE DESIGN VALUES EQUAL TO OR EXCEEDING THE FOLLOWING;

BENDING (Fb):	2600 PSI
COMPRESSION PARALLEL TO THE GRAIN (FC PARALLEL):	2410 PSI
MODULUS OF ELASTICITY (E):	1,800,000 PSI
HORIZONTAL SHEAR:	285 PSI

ASTENERS

FOR SCHEDULE OF MANAMA MANIJAG SEE CALFORMA BULDING COOK (MALE 204.8.), I MAING SHALL BE WITH COMMON WEER HALL BE WITH COMMON WEER COMMON WITH CENTROLITIES DEVELOPED. SOUTH AND FOR ANY PROPOSEDS SISTEMIZED AND MANIFEST WITH COMMON WEER COMMON WEER WAS AND THE STREME THAT AND THE AND COMMON WEER WAS AND THE STREME THAT AND THE AND COMMON WEER WAS AND THE STREME THAT AND THE AND TH

REALTH ER ANANCE ANCHORS SHALL BE MANUFACIUSED BY SIMPSON COMPANY OF EQUAL. JOST HANGERS SHALL BE TY SERIES UNIO, ON DRAWNINGS. BOUTS IN CONNECTIONS SHALL BE RETIGHTENED JUST PRIOR TO CLOSING OF THE WALL AND/OR FLOOR.

PASTENESS FOR INTERIOR APPLICATIONS PENETRATING PRESSURE-TRAINE ULMERS SHALL BEHOT DEPOSI-TANC COLAINS CANAVARED WITH A MANAMAN GLIST LIS OLD SPI COLAINS OR STANLESS STEEL AND RES-BEPOUSD DY WEATHER INCLUDING EDITIONS APPLICATION OF PRESSURE TRAINED LIMINES, SHALL USE STANLESS STEEL AND THE AND THE AND THE PROPOSED TO WEATHER FOR ANCHITECTURAL FEATURES MAY ALSO BE SUCCON-ISCHAZE OR COPPER.

SILLS OR PLATES SHALL BE BOLTED TO CONCRETE WITH 5/8" DIAMETER BOLTS WITH 3X3X1/4" WASHERS, EMBEDDED "MENIMUM AT 4-0" MAXIMUM ON CENTER, U.O.N.

WALL SHEATHING AT SHEAR WALLS. INDICATED ON DRAWINGS WITH APPEOPPAITE SYMBOLS, SHALL CONFORM TO THE SHEAR WALL SCHEDUEL AT EXTERIOR WALLS THAT ARE INOT SHEAR WALLS. SHEATHING SHALL BE 15/22", APA BATED DRYCKURE I, NAUL SHEET EXCES WITH 10/88", AND INTERNEDIATE STUDS WITH 10/88"Z.

WALL SHEATHING

PER ACI 318-2011 [SECTION D.2.2] ACHESVE ANCHORS SHALL BE RISTALLED IN CONCRETE HAVING A MIRINALIM AGE OF 21 DAYS ATTINE OF ANCHOR RISTALLATION, FOR INSTALLATIONS SOONER THAN 21 DAYS CONSULT ADHESVE MANUFACTURER.

PSON STRONG-TIE SET-XP ADHESIVE ANCHOR SYSTEM ING TO IAPMO UES REPORT ER-265.

FOUNDATION DESIGN IS BASED ON REPORT BY:

MURRAY BNGNEES, NC AND IS BRITIED GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION KAPLAN RESIDENCE MIPROYEMBRIS 655 ALYARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CAUFORNA, SPEAD POOTINGS BEAR ON UNDSTURBED SOIL BNGNEEED ALYARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO CAUFORNA, SPEAD POOTINGS BEAR ON UNDSTURBED SOIL BNGNEEED FILL OR PROCE. KOOTING DESIGNE IS ASSED ON A MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING PRESSURE OF 3000 PF DEAD PLUS LIVE, AND 4000 PS FTOTAL LOADS, INCLUDING WIND OR SESANC.

HE BULDING DEPARTMENT WIL REQUIRE THE GEOFIC-HECAL BIGNERER O REVIEW THE STRUCTURAL DRAWNASS AND RROYDLE A LETTER STATING THAT THE DRAWNINGS CONFORM TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THEIR REPORT PROR TO THE SISSING OF A BULDING FERMIT, PROVIDE GROS WITH A COPY OF THE LETTER.

DRILLED PRESS OR HAND-DUG PRIS SHALL EXTEND DOWN TO DEPTHS OR ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THE DRAWNOSS. DRILLED PRES DESCRI 45 SASSO ON A MAXIMUM ALLOWARIE SIGN PRICTION OF 530 PS DEAD FAUS LIVE. AND 67 FS FIDAD FALLOWS.

SUCEPT WHERE CHIFEWINES SHOWN, EXCLANTIONS, SHALL BE MADE AS NEAR AS POSSIBLE TO THE REAL TURS SIZE-CORMS WHERE CHIFE AND SHAPE OF THE STRUCTURE. ALL FOUNDATIONS SHALL BE POURED WITH OUT THE USE OF SIZE-CORMS WHERE YET POSSIBLE. IF THE TRENCHES CLAN NOT PILLY STANDS, PILLY FORM, SIZES TO DIMENSIONS

WATERPROOFING

WHERE STRUCTURAL DETAILS INDICATE ANY WATERPROOPING OR VENTLATION ITEMS, THEY ARE SCHEMATIC ONLY AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF ASSISTING IN SHOWING A COMPLETE STRUCTURAL DETAIL. REFER ONLY TO ACCHIECTURAL PLANS, AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF ALL REQUIRED WATERPROOPING AND VENTLATION SYSTEMS.

COLOCEREE SHALL BE KROMAN, WEIGHT AND SHALL BE ERRYGENCE/DD WALES, OTHERWIZE KOTED, COCKEREE WORK SHALL CORNER'S MICH BETWEENE SHALL BETWEENE SHALL SHALL SHALL BETWEENE SHALL SHALL A SHALL SHALL SHALL A SHALL SHALL SHALL SHALL SHALL SHALL A SHALL S

SHOTCRETE MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR CONCRETE IF APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER, OR WHERE INDICATED ON THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS.

DETAILS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL CONFORM TO ACI 506.2.

NOZIEJARU SHALI JE CERTEED NI ACCOEDANCE WITH ACI SACJE AND SHALI DEMONSTRAIT EPROJUSI DEPERANCE IN THE APPLICATION OF SHOPLOFERED WAI LEAST TWO PROJUCTS OF COMPANALE NATURE. PRECONSTRUCTION QUALIFICATION UTILIZING MOCKUPS MAY BE WANDED BY THE ARCHITECT IF THE SHOTCRETE COMPRACTION PROVINCES LIFECHE RESUMES AND DOCUMENTATION OF SMULAR PROJECTS WITH SIMILARI I, CHALLEROING A PROVINCES LIFECHE REPORTOSED NOZIZIEMEN AND PROCEDURES.

SHOTCRETE SHALL ATTAIN A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (Fc) OF 4000 PSI AT 28 DAYS.

LAP SPICES SHALL BENDACOMECT WITH AT EAST TWO INCHES CIEAR BETWEEN BASS UNLESS OHERWISE.
APPROVED BY THE AGAINETCL MICHAWACAL CONNECTIONS OR WELDED SPICES, PROVED BY THE AGAINETCH TO
DEPELOP THE TENDON AND COMPRESSION STRENGTHS OF THE BASS SHALL BE USED FOR NO, & AND LANGER BASS.

FINISH SHOTCRETE ACCORDING TO DESCRIPTIONS IN ACT 5088. LEAVE TRASHED SHOTCRETE AS GUN FINISH UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

REINFORCING STEEL

REINFORCING STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A-615, GRADE 60.

REINFORCING BARS NOTED OR SHOWN AS COMTINUOUS SHALL RUIN IN AS LONG LENGTHS AS PRACTICAL. IN SLAB AND BEAUS LOCALETOP MAR SPUCES MOWAY BETWEEN SUPPORTS, BOTTON BARS SPUCES AT SUPPORTS, SPUCE LOCATIONS SMALE BEINMITTED FOR REPREM, SPUCE LENGTHS PER DETAIL XX(SIZ APPLY UNLESS OTHERWISE DETAILED OR NOTED IN THE STRUCTURAL DRAWNINGS.

UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM CONCRETE COVER SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR REINFORCEMENT:

STRUCTURAL AND MISCELLANEOUS STEEL

CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING:
WIDE FLANCE SAME AND EACH MEMBERS: ASTM A36.
CONNECTION FLANCE WIDE FLANCE MEMBERS: ASTM A36.
MICCELLANGUS CHANNES, ANGISS, AND FALTE ASTM A36.
RECELLANGUS CHANNES, ANGISS, AND FALTE ASTM A500, GRADE B.
RECHANGULAR AND DUNNED MES SECTIONS.

STEEL NOT RECEIVING FREPROOFING OR STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL HAVE ONE COAT OF SHOP PRIMER STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED OR OTHER APPROVED PROTECTIVE COATING.

DETAILS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST AISC STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS.

WELDING SMALL SCALA COMENDAM O ANS DLI STRECUIREAL WELDING CODE BIONG EDIZIONE, WELDIS THAT ARE PART OF THE RESUMCI LOAD RESISTING STERRING HELDS SMALL SADDIRION, COMPONI TO ANSO DLI STRECH THAT ARE PART OF THE RESUMCI LOAD RESISTING STERRING HAS DEL SADDIRION, COMPONI TO ANSO DLI STRECH THIROL THE OFFICE AND AND THE AND THAT AND THE AND THE COMPONING A THE AND THE AND THE COMPONING A THE AND THE COMPONING AND AND

GENERAL NOTES

DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com

THE SCOPE OF WORK INCLUDES HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL ADDITIONS TO A SINGLE FAMILY HOME AT 655 ALVARADO STREET.

BEHALS SHOWN ARE TYPICAL, SMALAR DETALK APPLY TO SMALAR CONDIDIONS, QUESTIONS OF DERIFFICATION OF APPLICABLE DEMA, OF STRUCTURAL MODBLES SHALL BEHOUGHT TO THE ARCHITECT FOR RECOULDING SEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK, CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPARE STRUCTURAL DEAWNINGS WITH ARCHITECTURAL MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL DEAWNINGS AY TO LAVOUL DETALLS, DIVERSIONS AND ELEVATIONS. ALL QUESTIONS, DISCREPANCIES AND CONFLICTS SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ARCHITECT FOR ADJUSTMENT BEFORE DISCREDING WITH WORK.

CONTRACTOR MEANS AND METHODS

GPDS ENCONEERS SHALL NOT SUPERVISE. DIRECT OR HAVE ANY CONIROL OVER THE CONTRACTORS WOOSK NOR HAVE ANY REPONSIBILITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES OR PROCEDURES SECTION OF REPONSIBILITY FOR THE CONTRACTIONES SAFETY PRECAUTIONS OF REPONSIBILITIES ARE SOCIETY THOSE OF THE CONTRACTION.

CONNECTION WITH THE WORK. THESE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE SOLIETY THOSE OF THE CONTRACTION.

FRAMING, ROOFING, HEAVY FINISHES, ETC.) IN PARTITIONS DUE TO DEAD LOAD DEFLECTIONS. ALISS OHERWISE APROVED BY THE ARCHRECT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RISDAL DOORS, WINDOWS, ARTIMONS AND PRUSHES AFER THE MAJORITY OF THE DEAD LOADS HAVE BEEN RISDALED (I.E. STRICTURAL YAMING, ROCHRIG, HEAVY PRISHES, BICL) IN ORDER TO LIMIT DAMAGE TO PRISHES, WINDOWS, DOORS AND YAMING, ROCHRIG, HEAVY PRISHES, BICL) IN ORDER TO LIMIT DAMAGE TO PRISHES, WINDOWS, DOORS AND

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER

G F design for fine architecture*

GFDS RECOMMENDS THAT THE CONTRACTOR CONDUCT A PHYSICAL AND PHOTOGRAPHIC SURVEY OF THE NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.

GEDS RECOMMENDS THAT A MONITORING PROGRAM, CONDUCTED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR, BE ESTABLISHED TO MONITOR VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL GROUND MOVEMENTS OF ADJACENT STRUCTURES DURING CONSTRUCTION.

INE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERBY ALL DIAMSKONS AND DEBANNE ALL EXEMIC CONDITIONS THAT MAY AFFECT ITS WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VARINY THE REVALENCE HIGH DIAMS OF ANY INCEPENACIES, AMERICIANS OR REPORTS AND THE DECOURTE OF EXEMITIONS WICH THOSE WHICH MAY BE PLACEDUS TO HUMAN ESTAT, HAZARDOS IN OF HUMAN RESTAND, HAZARDOS AND HUMAN BE PLACEDUS TO HUMAN RESTAND, HAZARDOS AND HUMAN RESTAND AND REPORTS OF BROOKEN FRAMING MEMBERS.

CODE AND STANDARDS

OCCUPANCY CATEGORY II

LIVE LOADS

ROOF LIVE 20 PSF 40 PSF

ANALYSIS PROCEDURE EG	000	REDUNDANCY FACTOR 1.0	ANALYSIS PROCEDURE EG	30	20	Cs 0.163	SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY D	\$d) 0,634	Sds 1,061	SITE CLASS C		Ss 1,592	1,0	SEISMIC FORCE-RESISTING SYSTEM:	EARTHQUAKE DESIGN DATA	LEOCK DAE NO LOE
EQUIVALENT LATERAL FORCE	36		JIVALENT LATERAL FORCE	3.5 [STEEL ORDINARY MOMENT FRAMES]	5.5 [WOOD SHEAR WALLS SHEATHED WITH WOOD STRUCTURAL PANEL			34	MALIBERT SETTING CACO	36.09.3102	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	NOV 3 0 20%	E C +	Data Supposed	

WIND DESIGN DATA

REDUNDANCY FACTOR

5

SITE PERMIT ADDENDUM FOR CONSTRUCTION OF:

ADDENDUM #

OCT 1 7 20%

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Project 655 ALVARADO ST

EXPOSURE	W	BASIC WIND SPEED, V
9	1.0 (CATEGORY	110 MPH

0	
OMPONENTS A	
CLADDING	

ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

ASCE 7-10 CHAPTER 30



DO NOT ALLOW WANTER TO SHAND IN TRENCHES, IF BOTTOMS OF TRENCHES BECOMES SOFTEMED DIE TO SAIN OR OTHER WATER BEFORE CONCERTE IS CAST, EXCAVATE SOFTEMED MATERIAL AND REPLACE WITH PROPERLY COMPACIED BACKFILL OR CONCRETE.

CONTRACTOR PROPOSED CHANGES IN PRODUCTS, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION FROM THOSE SPECIFIED ON THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS. THE FOLLOWING SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO GFDS ENGINEERS, WITH COPY TO THE ARCHITECT, FOR REVIEW

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Compensaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

CONCRETE RENIFORCING STEEL PLACEMENT DRAWINGS CONCRETE MIX DESIGN AND DETAIL DRAWINGS STRUCTURAL STEEL ERECTION AND DETAIL DRAWINGS

DESIGN IS BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE, 2013 EDITION AND THE SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING CODE. 2013 EDITION, CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE SECTIONS OF THIS CODE.

150 Eigth Street
San Francisco, CA, 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: elkior@mhcengr.com

50% DD SET 50% DD - REV 1 SET 90% DD SET PERMIT SET

REFERENCE STANDARDS SHALL BE THE EDITION NOTED IN THE CODE, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

DESIGN LIVE LOADS PER CBC TABLE 1607.1 AND AS FOLLOWS, LIVE LOADS MAY BE REDUCED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CBC SECTION 1607.9.


MAIN WIND-FORCE RESISTING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

ASCE 7-10 CHAPTER 27, DIRECTIONAL PROCEDURE



GENERAL NOTES

JOB NUMBER:



DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com










SON, DO SET OF DUCK OF SET OF SON, DO SET OF SON, D

Revisions Project 655 ALVARADO ST

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

TYPICAL SHEAR WALLS


DETAILS





DMARCstudio

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114


BASEMENT PLAN







SAN FRANCISCO, CA Project 655 ALVARADO ST




PROPERTY OWNER
Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Kaplan-Glazer
655 Alvarado Street
San Francisco, CA 94114


ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com


655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

ASSHOWN SHEET EAST ELEVATION




EAST ELEVATION







WEST ELEVATION



Good Morning Commissioners

My name is Mary Ferretti-Breidinger.

I own 651 alvarado St.,

This graphic indicates the amount gained by 655's Violation of Code 3307.1, and 3 over the counter permits taken out within a 3 month span, while the planning department thought the building was still there.

I am requesting the Building Department give heavy consideration for my request to protect my air light and privacy and to impose a reduction in project size equivalent to or greater to the amount gained by the violation. I am requesting at set back off my propertyline and the elimination of the top floor and parapet.

655 owner, Jonathan Kaplan, action,s have demonstrated his wishes to keep me out of the loop from the very beginning.

Here are a few examples of deceptions:

In November 2009. My brother and I were never notified of a neighborhood pre-application meeting. At the meeting, when my neighbor, John Flynn, asked why brother John and I were not there, he was told we were ok with the project and we were going to do the same. That was not true.

The 311 Notice states the cross st as Beaver / castro, that was not true, that location is a mile away

September 2011, At the DR Hearing the architect was not present to answer any questions, making the plans very vague

August 2015, my brother and I attended a neighborhood pre application meeting. We were given a set of architectual drawings. Beleiving they were the same plans as introduced at the 2011 DR Hearing, which showed "unexcavated", that proved to be untrue

We were told the project would take 9 months to complete, that proved to be untrue it took over a year to complete the foundation and retaining walls.

September 2016 Plans were approved, 3 months later the building was gone without a demolition permit.

March 2017, they violated Building Code 3307.1, excavating adjacent to my building without notifying me and compromising my foundation. I've been told it would take 3 months to repair my foundation.

August 2017 My propertyline fence was removed without me or my tenant's knowledge. At present, leaving a gap, and creating a safety hazard.

Also in August, 2017 the constuction site superintendent told my team Mr. Kaplan did not did not want the adjacent properties to benefit from his construction.


At the planning hearing Judith Thompson said she removed the eastern propertyline wall due to a fire. This is not true. The fire occurred prior to the 1989 major remodel when the wall was installed.


I recently learned that Jonathan Kaplan told my neighbor and others that I requested \$100K from him. He also told Judith thompson I received money from him. This is not true. I have never requested or received any money from Jonathan Kaplan or anyone affiliated with 655 Alvarado St.

I am aware that building in SF is not an easy process but this this abuse for the process makes it a nightmare for eveyone involved.


I'd like this nightmare to be over. I am not benefitting from any of this. At this point my main focus is to protect my air light and privacy.


Thank you for your time.



































AMOUNT GAINED BY THE VIOLATION OF BUILDING CODE 3307.1 CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE 832


68




SET BACK OFF THE PROPERTY LINE




SET BACK OFF THE PROPERTY LINE








REMOVE PROPOSED ROOF AND PARAPET LEVELS


SET BACK PROPOSED 2ND AND 3RD LEVELS OFF THE PROPERTY LINE









































Executive SummaryConditional Use / Residential Demolition

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2018

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Date: February 15, 2018

Case No.: 2017-014841CUA
Project Address: 655 Alvarado Street

Zoning: RH-2 (Residential-House, Two-Family)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 2803/028C Project Sponsor: John Kevlin

> Reuben, Junius & Rose LLP One Bush Street, Suite 60 San Francisco, CA 94104

Staff Contact: Jeff Horn – (415) 575-6925

<u>Jeffrey.Horn@sfgov.org</u>

Recommendation: Approval with modification and conditions

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project proposes to legalize the tantamount to demolition of an existing 2,737 gross square foot, two-story-over-basement single-family home and the permit an expanded 5,096 gross square foot, three-story-over-two-basement-levels single-family home within a Residential House - Two-Family (RH-2) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

The project requires Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code Section 303 and 317 for the de facto demolition of a residential unit. Pursuant to Planning Code 317 (c), "where an application for a permit that would result in the loss of one or more Residential Units is required to obtain Conditional Use Authorization by other sections of this Code, the application for a replacement building or alteration permit shall also be subject to Conditional Use requirements."

EXISTING CONDITIONS		PROPOSED CONDITIONS		
Number Of Existing Units	1	Number Of New Units	0 Proposed, 1 (with Staff Modifications)	
Parking Spaces	1	Parking Spaces	1	
Number Of Bedrooms	3	Number Of Bedrooms	3	
Building Area	± 2,737 Sq. Ft.	New Building Area	±5,096 Sq. Ft.	

Executive Summary Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The property at 655 Alvarado Street is located midblock between Diamond and Castro Streets within the Noe Valley neighborhood. The subject property is 114 feet in depth and slopes downward (in excess of 20%) from the Alvarado Street frontage. The subject property is developed with an almost completely demolished two-story single-family dwelling of approximately 2,737 square feet, built in 1925. Large amounts of excavation have occurred at the site and new foundations and retaining walls for the proposed project have been constructed. The parcel totals approximately 2,850 square feet in size and is in a RH-2 (Residential House, Two-Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

In December 2009, the Project Sponsor submitted a Building Permit Application (2009.12.11.3061) to construct a two-story over basement-level horizontal rear extension, and add a new third story with a setback of 15 feet. The project was publically noticed in December 2010 and in January 2011, the adjacent neighbor to the east, 651 Alvarado Street, filed a Discretionary Review of the Building Permit. The Planning Commission conducted a Discretionary Review Hearing on September 8, 2011 and the Commission did not take Discretionary Review and approved the project but also "urged the Project Sponsor to have dialog with the DR Requestor and concerned neighbors on outstanding issues." (Attached DRA-0225). The Project Sponsor did not move forward with Planning approval of the Site Permit at this time

On July 11, 2015, the Project Sponsor contacted the Planning Department to resume processing of the Building Permit Application. Due to the three (3) gap in time since the Planning Commission's decision, the Zoning Administrator determined the project would need complete a new Section 311 public notification. The Sponsor decided to increase the scope of the project, including reducing the proposed third floor's front setback to less than 15 feet, which resulted in the need for a Historic Resource Determination (2015-008472ENV). The revised project was publically noticed in January 2016 and the Building Permit was Issued by the Department of Building Inspection in September 2016. In March 2017, the property was issued a Building Permit (201703161580) to increase the floor area of the basement level (through additional excavation) by 200 square feet.

In August of 2017, after construction of the Project began, a violation was issued by DBI (Complaint No. 201799932) stating that construction and excavation work had undermined the adjacent building's foundation (651 Alvarado Street). Upon review of the project plans, it was determined by Planning that the total amount of horizontal and vertical building elements removed on the existing structure had exceeded the totals described and permitted on the Project's approved permits and plans and the percentages allowed with Planning Code Section 317, therefore several violations were issued by DBI (Complaint No. 201710001, 201709991, 201710531 and 201837511) for work being done beyond the scope of permit, and all permits were Suspended. On October 2, 2017, a Planning Enforcement case was opened for demolition of a structure without Approval per Planning Code Section 317. (2017-012680ENF).

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The site is in the RH-2 Zoning District, which permits the development of one- and two-dwelling unit structures. The neighborhood is characterized as a mix of one- and two-family houses that are two- to three-stories in height and larger multi-family structures that are three- to four-stories in height. The

Executive Summary Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

structure is designed to be compatible in height and façade design with the character of the block face. The architecture is varied mixed-character along Alvarado Street.

REPLACEMENT STRUCTURE

The demolished one-family residence will be replaced by a one-family residence that proposes to be three-stories in height at the block-face. The structure would be a 5,096 square-foot one-family dwelling, constructed within the lot's buildable area, to a depth up to the required rear 45% setback line. However, a 12'-deep two-story pop out (permitted obstruction per Planning Code Section 136), with 5-foot setbacks from both property lines will encroach into the required rear yard. The structure reaches a height of 5stories at the rear building, but the floors at the rear are terraced and step downwards to follow the downward slope of the lot. The proposed design, proportions and materials are consistent with the existing structures on the block.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Sections 15301(e)(2).

HEARING NOTIFICATION

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Classified News Ad	20 days	February 2, 2018	February 2, 2018	20 days
Posted Notice	20 days	February 2, 2018	February 2, 2018	20 days
Mailed Notice	20 days	February 2, 2018	February 2, 2018	20 days

PUBLIC COMMENT

As of February 15, 2018, the Department received one packages of materials in opposition to the project from the adjacent neighbor at 651 Alvarado Street.

	SUPPORT	OPPOSED	NO POSITION
Adjacent neighbor(s)	0	1	0
Other neighbors	0	0	0
Neighborhood groups	0	0	0

ISSUES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Department of Building Inspection (DBI) has reviewed this project and determined it is not an "Unlawful Demolition" per City Administrative Code Section 103A.3.1, Demolition without a Permit. Because the property has an issues permit, DBI considers the Violations to be categorized as "Work Exceeding Scope of Permit."

Executive Summary
Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

STAFF RECCOMENDATION

Staff recommends that the project incorporate a second unit into the proposed building volume to maximize the density allowed with the RH-2 Zoning District.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

In order for the project to proceed, the Commission must grant Conditional Use Authorization and approve the project with modifications to legalize the tantamount to demolition of an single-family residence and to create a five-story, 2-unit building within an RH-2 (Residential-House, Two Family), pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 317.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- The Project will result in no net loss of dwelling-units on the property.
- The project is owner-occupied. No tenants will be displaced as a result of this Project.
- The Project, with modifications, will increase number of bedrooms on the property.
- Given the scale of the project, there will be no significant impact on the existing capacity of the local street system or MUNI.
- The Project, with modifications, will increase number of units on the property from one (1) to two (2), the maximum density allowed in the RH-2 Zoning District.
- The RH-2 Zoning District allows a maximum of two dwelling-units on this lot. This surrounding neighborhood is a mix of single and multi-family homes; therefore, the density and scale of the development is in-keeping with the neighborhood pattern.
- Although the structure was more than 50-years old, a Historic Resource Evaluation resulted in a determination that the existing buildings are not historic resources.
- The project is residential and has no impact on neighborhood-serving retail uses.
- The proposed project meets all applicable requirements of the Planning Code

RECOMMENDATION: Approval with Modifications and Conditions

Attachments:

- 1. Draft Motion
- 2. Block Book Map
- 3. Sanborn Map
- 4. Zoning Map
- 5. Aerial Photographs
- 6. Context Photos
- 7. Residential Demolition Application
- 8. Prop M findings
- 9. Neighbor Submitted Materials

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Executive Summary Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

- 10. Environmental Evaluation / Historic Resources Information
- 11. 2010 311 Notice and Plans
- 12. Discretionary Review Action Memo-0225
- 13. 2015 311 Notice and Plans
- 14. Reduced Plans

Executive Summary Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Attachment Checklist

	Executive Summary		Project sponsor submittal
	Draft Motion		Drawings: Existing Conditions
	Environmental Determination		Check for legibility
	Zoning District Map		Drawings: <u>Proposed Project</u>
	Height & Bulk Map		Check for legibility
	Context Photos		3-D Renderings (new construction or significant addition)
	Site Photos		Check for legibility
	Parcel Map		Health Dept. review of RF levels
	Sanborn Map		RF Report
	Aerial Photo		Community Meeting Notice
]	Exhibits above marked with an "X" are inc	clude	d in this packet <u>IH</u>
			Planner's Initials

JH: I:\Cases\2017\2017-014841CUA - 655 Alvarado Street\655 Alvarado Street Executive Summary.docx



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

ubject to: (Select only if applicable)	
Affordable Hausing (Con. 415)	☐ First Course Hiri

□ Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)
 □ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
 □ Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)
 X Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)

□ Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412) □ Othe

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Planning Commission Draft Motion

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2018

Date: February 15, 2018
Case No.: 2017-014841CUA
Project Address: 655 Alvarado Street

Zoning: RH-2 (Residential-House, Two Family)

40-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot: 2803/028C Project Sponsor: John Kevlin

> Reuben, Junius & Rose LLP One Bush Street, Suite 60 San Francisco, CA 94104

Staff Contact: Jeff Horn – (415) 575-6925

<u>Jeffrey.Horn@sfgov.org</u>

415.558.6409Planning

Fax.

Planning Information: **415.558.6377**

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 303 AND 317 REQUIRING CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE LEGALIZATION OF TANTAMOUNT TO DEMOLITION OF AN EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE.

PREAMBLE

On November 17, 2017, John Kevlin (Project Sponsor) filed an application with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for a Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 303 and 317 to legalize the demolition of an existing 2,737 gross square foot, two-story-over-basement single-family home and the permit a new 5,096 gross square foot, three-story-over-two-basement-levels single-family home. (hereinafter "Project"), within an RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

On February 22, 2018, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Application No. 2017-014841CUA.

On December 14, 2015, the Project was determined by the Department to be categorically exempt from environmental review under Case No. 2015-008472ENV.

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use requested in Application No. 2017-014841CUA, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. Site Description and Present Use. The property at 655 Alvarado Street is located midblock between Diamond and Castro Streets within the Noe Valley neighborhood. The subject property is 114 feet in depth and slopes downward (in excess of 20%) from the Alvarado Street frontage. The subject property is developed with an almost completely demolished two-story single-family dwelling of approximately 2,737 square feet built in 1925. Large amounts of excavation has occurred at the site and the new foundations and retaining walls for the proposed project have been constructed. The parcel total approximately 2,850 square feet in size and is in a RH-2 (Residential House, Two-Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.
- 3. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The use and size of the proposed Project is compatible with the immediate neighborhood. The site is in the RH-2 Zoning District, which permits the development of two dwelling units on the lot. The neighborhood is developed with a mix of one- and two-family houses that are two- to three-stories in height and larger multi-family structures that are three- to four-stories in height. The Project, with modifications, would include the legalization of tantamount to demolition of the existing one-family home and approve its replacement with a two-family home. The structure is designed to be compatible in height and façade design with the character of the block face. The architecture is varied mixed-character along Alvarado Street.
- 4. **Project Description**. The project proposes to legalize tantamount to demolition of on an of an existing 2,737 gross square foot, two-story-over-basement single-family home and the permit an expanded 5,096 gross square foot, three-story-over-two-basement-levels single-family home within a Residential House - Two-Family (RH-2) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

Staff recommends that the project be modified to include a second unit. This may result in design changes to ensure both units meet minimum code requirements for usable open space, exposure and all other Code Sections.

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

- 5. **Public Comment/Community Outreach.** As of February 22, 2018, the Department received one packages of materials in opposition to the project from the adjacent neighbor at 651 Alvarado Street.
- 6. **Planning Code Compliance:** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
 - A. **Residential Demolition Section 317:** Pursuant to Planning Code Section 317, Conditional Use Authorization is required for applications proposing to remove one or more residential units. This Code Section establishes a checklist of criteria that delineate the relevant General Plan Policies and Objectives.

As the project requires Conditional Use Authorization per the requirements of the Section 317, the additional criteria specified under Section 317 for residential demolition and merger have been incorporated as findings a part of this Motion. See Item 7, "Additional Findings pursuant to Section 317," below.

B. **Rear Yard Requirement.** Planning Code Section 134 requires, in RH-2 Districts, a rear yard measuring 45 percent of the total depth.

The Project proposes an approximately 51-foot and 4-inch-deep rear yard for the replacement structure on the 114-foot deep lot. The project also proposes a 12-foot deep obstruction permitted under Planning Code Section 136. The rear yard, excluding the obstruction is equal to 45 percent of the lot depth.

C. **Height.** Planning Code Section 260 requires that all structures be no taller than the height prescribed in the subject height and bulk district. The proposed Project is located in a 40-X Height and Bulk District, with a 40-foot height limit. Planning Code Section 261 further restricts height in RH-2 Districts to 30-feet at the front lot line, then at such setback, height shall increase at an angle of 45° toward the rear lot line until the prescribed 40-foot height limit is reached.

The Project proposes an additional floor and total height of 30 feet, 3 inches. The height at the front of the building is 18 feet, 5 ¾ inches.

D. **Open Space**. Planning Code Section 135 requires the project to provide 125 square feet of useable open space per unit if privately accessible (including minimum dimensions), and 166 square feet of useable open space per unit if commonly accessible (including minimum dimensions).

The project provides a rear yard equal to the required 45% and two roof decks at the rear. The modified project is required to provide at least 125 square feet of private open space per unit or 166 square feet if common open space per unit per Section 209.1.

E. Parking. Planning Code Section 151 requires one parking space for each dwelling unit.

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

The Project proposes a new garage with a parking space for the existing dwelling unit. The modified project would need to provide two (2) vehicle parking spaces. As stated in Planning Code Section 150(e), off-street parking spaces may be reduced and replaced by bicycle parking spaces based on standards provided in Section 155.1(d).

F. **Bicycle Parking.** Planning Code Section 155.2 requires at least one Class 1 bicycle parking space for each dwelling unit and one Class 2 bicycle parking space for every 20 dwelling units.

The project provides space for one (1) Class 1 bicycle parking space. The modified project would be required to provide two (2) Class 1 bicycle parking spaces and no Class 2 bicycle parking spaces.

- 7. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the Project complies with said criteria in that:
 - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The use and size of the proposed Project is compatible with the immediate neighborhood. The site is in the RH-2 Zoning District, which permits the development of two dwelling units on the lot. The neighborhood is developed with a mix of one- and two-family houses that are two- to three-stories in height and larger multi-family structures that are three- to four-stories in height. The Project, with modifications, would include the legalization of tantamount to demolition of the existing one-family home and approve its replacement with a two-family home. The structure is designed to be compatible in height and façade design with the character of the block face.

- B. The proposed Project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
 - Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project is designed to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood; the replacement building is three stories in height at the street face and similar in massing. At the rear the building is 5-stories in height, but terraced to follow the contours of the descending slope of the subject property. The replacement buildings would maintain a 45% rear yard, thus contributing to the mid-block open space and preserving the amount of open space on the site.

ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

Planning Code requires one off-street parking space per dwelling unit. The Project proposes to retain the existing garage with a parking space for one dwelling unit. The modified project would need to provide two (2) vehicle parking spaces. As stated in Planning Code Section 150(e) off-street parking spaces may be reduced and replaced by bicycle parking spaces based on standards provided in Section 155.1(d). parking.

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The Project is residential in nature, which is a use that typically is not considered to have the potential to produce noxious or offensive emissions.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The Project proposes landscape in the front setback and generally maintains the existing configuration of open space on the site. The driveways and garage doors have been minimized in width and are visually subordinate to the pedestrian entries to the residences.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project substantially complies with relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code as detailed above and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable RH-2 District.

The proposed Project is consistent with the stated purpose of the RH-2 Districts to provide one-family and two-family houses. The Project creates two one-family houses on a single lot.

- 8. **Additional Findings pursuant to Section 317** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications to demolish residential buildings and to merge dwelling units.
 - a. **Residential Demolition Criteria.** On balance, the Project complies with said criteria in that:
 - i. Whether the property is free of a history of serious, continuing code violations;

A review of the Department of Building Inspection and the Planning Department databases showed that the property is not free of serious, continuous code violations. In August of 2017, after construction of the Project began, a violation was issued by DBI (Complaint No. 201799932) stating that construction and excavation work undermined the adjacent building's foundation (651 Alvarado Street). Upon review of the project plans, it was determined that the total amount of horizontal and vertical building elements removed that

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

had occurred on the existing structure exceeded the totals described and permitted on the Project's approved permits and plans, therefore several violations were issued by DBI (Complaint No. 201710001, 201709991, 201710531 and 201837511) for work being done beyond the scope of permit and all permits were Suspended. On October 2, 2017 a Planning Enforcement case was opened for demolition of a structure without Approval per Planning Code Section 317. (2017-012680ENF).

Approval of this Conditional Use would allow the Violations and Enforcement Case to be abated.

ii. Whether the housing has been maintained in a decent, safe, and sanitary condition;

The structure appeared to have been in decent condition, with no deficiencies documented prior to the demolition.

iii. Whether the property is an "historic resource" under CEQA;

Although the existing structures are more than 50 years old, a review of the supplemental information resulted in a determination that the property is not a historical resource.

iv. Whether the removal of the resource will have a substantial adverse impact under CEQA;

The structure is not historical resource.

v. Whether the Project converts rental housing to other forms of tenure or occupancy;

The existing single-family building was owner occupied and not subject to the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance. There are no restrictions on whether the two new one-family units will be rental or ownership.

vi. Whether the Project removes rental units subject to the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance;

The project would remove no rent controlled units.

vii. Whether the Project conserves existing housing to preserve cultural and economic neighborhood diversity;

Although the Project proposes to legalize the tantamount to demolition of a single-family building, the number of units would increase at the project site with modifications per staff recommendations. The Project, with modifications, could provide two dwelling units of comparable size to the existing single-family home.

Motion No. CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA Hearing Date: February 22, 2018 655 Alvarado Street

viii. Whether the Project conserves neighborhood character to preserve neighborhood cultural and economic diversity;

The project, with modifications, would be consistent with the density and development pattern as it would provide a two-family building on a single lot in a neighborhood that is a mix of one- and two-family buildings. The Project would increase the existing number of dwelling units, and the two units would serve a variety of household sizes and needs.

ix. Whether the Project protects the relative affordability of existing housing;

The Project does not protect the relative affordability of existing housing, as the Project proposes to legalize the tantamount to demolition and the alteration and enlargement of the existing single-family home, which is generally considered be less affordable. However, if two units are provided per Staff's recommendation, each would individually maintain affordability relative to the original building

x. Whether the Project increases the number of permanently affordable units as governed by Section 415;

The Project is not subject to the provisions of Planning Code Section 415, as the Project proposes less than ten units.

xi. Whether the Project locates in-fill housing on appropriate sites in established neighborhoods;

The Noe Valley neighborhood is an established residential neighborhood. The Project has been designed to be in-keeping with the scale and development pattern of the established neighborhood character.

xii. Whether the Project increases the number of family-sized units on-site;

The project, with modifications, would create a new unit. Although no proposed design has been submitted or reviewed by staff, the two equitable units would be of comparable size to the existing structures square footage.

xiii. Whether the Project creates new supportive housing;

The Project does not create supportive housing.

xiv. Whether the Project is of superb architectural and urban design, meeting all relevant design guidelines, to enhance existing neighborhood character;

The overall scale, design, and materials of the proposed buildings are consistent with the block-face on Alvarado Street, respectively, and compliment the neighborhood character with a contextual design.

xv. Whether the Project increases the number of on-site dwelling units;

The Project, with modifications, would increase the number of on-site units to two (2).

xvi. Whether the Project increases the number of on-site bedrooms;

The structure proposes three bedrooms, the same amount as the original structure.

xvii. Whether or not the replacement project would maximize density on the subject lot; and;

The Project, with modifications per Staff's recommendation would provide two (2) units on the subject lot, which maximizes the principally permitted density allowed within the RH-2 District.

xviii. if replacing a building not subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, whether the new project replaces all of the existing units with new Dwelling Units of a similar size and with the same number of bedrooms.

The existing building being replaced is not subject to the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance because it is a single-family residence, constructed in 1925. Two units within the proposed 5,098 square foot building could provide units comparable to the original 2,737square foot home.

9. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2:

RETAIN EXISTING HOUSING UNITS, AND PROMOTE SAFETY AND MAINTENANCE STANDARDS, WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING AFFORDABILITY.

Policy 2.1:

Discourage the demolition of sound existing housing, unless the demolition results in a net increase in affordable housing.

The existing building (prior to construction activities) appeared to be structurally sound, but has been almost completely demolished. Newer units tend to be less affordable than older units of similar size. The Project, with modifications, does more to protect the relative affordability of existing housing, if two units are provided per Staff's recommendation, each would individually maintain an affordability similar to the natural affordability of the original building.

OBJECTIVE 3

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

PROTECT THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE EXISTING HOUSING STOCK, ESPECIALLY RENTAL UNITS.

Policy 3.1:

Preserve rental units, especially rent controlled units, to meet the City's affordable housing needs.

Policy 3.4:

Preserve "naturally affordable" housing types, such as smaller and older ownership units.

The Project, with modifications, does protect the relative affordability of existing housing. The Project proposes the tantamount to demolition and the alteration and enlargement of the existing single-family home, which is generally considered be less affordable. However, if two units are provided per Staff's recommendation, each would individually maintain an affordability similar to the natural affordability of the original building.

URBAN DESIGN

OBJECTIVE 1:

EMPHASIS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC PATTERN WHICH GIVES TO THE CITY AND ITS NEIGHBORHOODS AN IMAGE, A SENSE OF PURPOSE, AND A MEANS OF ORIENTATION.

Policy 1.2:

Recognize, protect and reinforce the existing street pattern, especially as it is related to topography.

Policy 1.3:

Recognize that buildings, when seen together, produce a total effect that characterizes the city and its districts.

The proposed replacement buildings reflect the existing neighborhood character and development pattern, by proposing buildings of similar mass, width and height as the existing adjacent structures along the block-face on Alvarado Street.

OBJECTIVE 2:

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES WHICH PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

Policy 2.6:

Respect the character of older development nearby in the design of new buildings.

The massing of the proposed alteration has been designed to be compatible with the prevailing proportions of the adjacent buildings and the original structure

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

10. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Project complies with said policies in that:

A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

Existing neighborhood-serving retail uses would not be displaced or otherwise adversely affected by the proposal, as the existing buildings do not contain commercial uses/spaces. Ownership of neighborhood-serving retail businesses would not be affected by the Project, and the Project maintains the existing number of dwelling units on the site, which will preserve the customer base for local retail businesses.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The tantamount demolition of the existing one-unit building, and the alteration and addition to create a much larger single-family residence when two comparatively sized units could be accommodated, would not conserve the neighborhood character and would not protect existing housing, which could jeopardize the economic diversity of the neighborhood.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

The former, older dwelling or two more modestly sized newer units would generally be considered to be more naturally affordable when compared with an expanded single-family dwelling-unit.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project would not impede MUNI transit service of significantly affect automobile traffic congestion or create parking problems in the neighborhood. The modified project would provide one vehicle and two bicycle parking spaces, consistent with the parking standards for the RH-2 Zoning District.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project Site is located in an RH-2 District and is a residential development; therefore, the Project would not affect industrial or service sector uses or related employment opportunities. Ownership of industrial or service sector businesses would not be affected by the Project.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Motion No. CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA Hearing Date: February 22, 2018 655 Alvarado Street

> The replacement structure would be built in compliance with San Francisco's current Building Code Standards and would meet all earthquake safety requirements.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The Project Site does not contain Landmark or historic buildings.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project will not negatively impact any existing parks and open spaces because the proposed structure does not exceed the 40-foot height limit, and maintains the open rear yard space across the street from the park. The Project is not subject to the requirements of Planning Code Section 295 – Height Restrictions on Structures Shadowing Property under the Jurisdiction of the Recreation and Park Commission. The Project would not adversely affect impact any existing parks and open spaces, nor their access to sunlight and vistas

- 11. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 12. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization as modified would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

11

Motion No. CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA Hearing Date: February 22, 2018 655 Alvarado Street

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. 2017-014841CUA** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. 17820. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94012.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on February 22, 2018.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary
•
AYES:
NAYS:
ABSENT:
ADOPTED:

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a conditional use to tantamount to demolish and add an addition and alteration and second unit to the subject building located at 655 Alvarado Street, Block 2803 and Lot 028C, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 317 within the RH-2 (Residential-House, Two Family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated November 16, 2017, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Case No. 2017-014841CUA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on February 22, 2018 under Motion No XXXXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on February 22, 2018 under Motion No. XXXXXX.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. XXXXXX shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting

PERFORMANCE

1. **Validity.** The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

2. **Expiration and Renewal.** Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

3. Diligent pursuit. Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

4. **Extension.** All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street

Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

DESIGN

6. Landscaping. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 132, the Project Sponsor shall submit a site plan to the Planning Department prior to Planning approval of the building permit application indicating that 50% of the front setback areas shall be surfaced in permeable materials and further, that 20% of the front setback areas shall be landscaped with approved plant species. The size and specie of plant materials and the nature of the permeable surface shall be as approved by the Department of Public Works.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

7. **Bicycle Parking.** The Project shall provide no fewer than **2** Class 1 bicycle parking spaces as required by Planning Code Sections 155.1 and 155.5.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

PROVISIONS

8. **Child Care Fee - Residential.** The Project is subject to the Residential Child Care Fee, as applicable, pursuant to Planning Code Section 414A.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

MONITORING

- 9. **Enforcement.** Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 10. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

OPERATION

CASE NO. 2017-014841CUA 655 Alvarado Street


Motion No. Hearing Date: February 22, 2018

11. **Sidewalk Maintenance.** The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards. *For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works,* 415-695-2017, http://sfdpw.org/

12. **Garbage, composting and recycling storage.** Space for the collection and storage of garbage, composting, and recycling shall be provided within enclosed areas on the property and clearly labeled and illustrated on the architectural addenda. Space for the collection and storage of recyclable and compostable materials that meets the size, location, accessibility and other standards specified by the San Francisco Recycling Program shall be provided at the ground level of the buildings.


For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

Parcel Map






Sanborn Map*





^{*}The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.


Zoning Map





Aerial Photo


SUBJECT PROPERTY






Aerial Photo

SUBJECT PROPERTY







Site Photo Prior to Demolition



Existing Site Photo



Existing Rear Conditions Site Photo



APPLICATION FOR Conditional Use Authorization

1 Owner/Applicant Information

i. Owner/Applicant init	omalion					
PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME:						
Jonathan Kaplan & Marci	Glazer					
PROPERTY OWNER'S ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:		
			()			
655 Alvarado Street			EMAIL:			
San Francisco, CA 94114	,		kaplan@fishsixrc.com			
				<u> </u>		
APPLICANT'S NAME:						
John Kevlin						Same as Above
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:		
Reuben, Junius & Rose, Ll	LP			(415) 567-9	9000	
One Bush Street, Suite 60	00			EMAIL:		
San Francisco, CA 94104				jkevlin@reub	enlaw.com	
CONTACT FOR PROJECT INFORMATI	ION:					
						Same as Above X
ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:		
)		
				EMAIL:		
COMMUNITY LIAISON FOR PROJECT	(PLEASE REPORT CHA	ANGES TO THE ZONIN	G ADMINISTRATOR)	:		
						Same as Above 🔲
ADDRESS:				TELEPHONE:		
				()		
				EMAIL:		
2. Location and Classif	ication					
2. Location and Classii	Icalion					
STREET ADDRESS OF PROJECT:						ZIP CODE:
655 Alvarado Street						94114
CROSS STREETS:						
Diamond & Castro Street	S					
ACCECCODE DI OOVII OT	LOT DIMENSIONS	LOT ADEA (OO ET	ZONING DIOTOIO	F.	LIEIOLET/DLY LY	DISTRICT
ASSESSORS BLOCK/LOT:	LOT DIMENSIONS:	, ,		1:	HEIGHT/BULK	DISTRICT:
2803 / 028C	114 X 25	2,850	RH-2		40-X	

3. Project Description

(Diagon shoot sell that apply)	ADDITIONS TO BUILDING:	PRESENT OR PREVIOUS USE:		
(Please check all that apply) Change of Use	Rear	3 story single family home		
☐ Change of Hours	☐ Front	PROPOSED USE:		
☐ New Construction	☐ Height	3 story above grade, 2 story below grad	de single family home	
X Alterations	☐ Side Yard	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
☐ Demolition		BUILDING APPLICATION PERMIT NO.:	DATE FILED:	
Other Please clarify:		Multiple		

4. Project Summary Table

If you are not sure of the eventual size of the project, provide the maximum estimates.

	EXISTING USES:	EXISTING USES TO BE RETAINED:	NET NEW CONSTRUCTION AND/OR ADDITION:	PROJECT TOTALS
		PROJECT FEATURES		
Dwelling Units	1	1	0	1
Hotel Rooms	0	0	0	0
Parking Spaces	1	1	0	1
Loading Spaces	0	0	0	0
Number of Buildings	1	1	0	1
Height of Building(s)	19'2.5"	19'2.5"	14'4"	33'6.5"
Number of Stories	3	3	1 story above grade, 1 story below grade	3 above grade, 2 below grade
Bicycle Spaces	0	0	0	0
	GR	OSS SQUARE FOOTAGE (G	SF)	
Residential	2277	2277	2359	4636
Retail	0	0	0	0
Office	0	0	0	0
Industrial/PDR Production, Distribution, & Repair	0	0	0	0
Parking	460	460	0	460
Other (Specify Use)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL GSF	2737	2737	2359	5096

Please describe any additional project features that are not included in this table: (Attach a separate sheet if more space is needed)

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

5. Action(s) Requested (Include Planning Code Section which authorizes action)
Dwelling unit demolition approval pursuant to Section 317 and conditional use authorization pursuant to Section 30.
is necessary because the demolition threshold was surpassed during construction.
Conditional Use Findings
Pursuant to Planning Code Section 303(c), before approving a conditional use authorization, the Planning Commission needs to find that the facts presented are such to establish the findings stated below. In the space below and on separate paper, if necessary, please present facts sufficient to establish each finding.
1. That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community; and
2. That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare
of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, with respect to aspects including but not limited to the following:
(a) The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;
(b) The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;
(c) The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;
(d) Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs; and
3. That such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the Master Plan.
See attachment.

Priority General Plan Policies Findings

Proposition M was adopted by the voters on November 4, 1986. It requires that the City shall find that proposed projects and demolitions are consistent with eight priority policies set forth in Section 101.1 of the City Planning Code. These eight policies are listed below. Please state how the project is consistent or inconsistent with each policy. Each statement should refer to specific circumstances or conditions applicable to the property. Each policy must have a response. IF A GIVEN POLICY DOES NOT APPLY TO YOUR PROJECT, EXPLAIN WHY IT DOES NOT.

employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;
See attachment.
 That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods; See attachment.
 That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced; See attachment.
 That commuter traffic not impede Muni transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking; See attachment.

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

J.	due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;
Se	e attachment.
6.	That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;
Se	e attachment.
	That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved; and e attachment.
	That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.
J(

Estimated Construction Costs

TYPE OF APPLICATION:	
Conditional Use	
OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION:	
R	
BUILDING TYPE:	
V-B	
TOTAL GROSS SQUARE FEET OF CONSTRUCTION:	BY PROPOSED USES:
	Residential
2359 square feet	
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST:	
\$700,000	
ESTIMATE PREPARED BY:	
FEE ESTABLISHED:	
\$6,475.20	

Applicant's Affidavit

Under penalty of perjury the following declarations are made:

- a: The undersigned is the owner or authorized agent of the owner of this property.
- b: The information presented is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
- c: The other information or applications may be required.

11/16/17

Application Submittal Checklist

Applications listed below submitted to the Planning Department must be accompanied by this checklist and all required materials. The checklist is to be completed and **signed by the applicant or authorized agent and a department staff person.**

APPLICATION MATERIALS	CHECKLIST	
Application, with all blanks completed	X	
300-foot radius map, if applicable		
Address labels (original), if applicable		
Address labels (copy of the above), if applicable		
Site Plan	X	
Floor Plan	X	
Elevations		
Section 303 Requirements	X	
Prop. M Findings	X	
Historic photographs (if possible), and current photographs	X	NOTES:
Check payable to Planning Dept.	X	☐ Required Material. Write "N/A" if you believe the item is not applicable, (e.g. letter of authorization is not required if application is
Original Application signed by owner or agent		signed by property owner.)
Letter of authorization for agent	X	Typically would not apply. Nevertheless, in a specific case, staff may require the item.
Other: Section Plan, Detail drawings (ie. windows, door entries, trim), Specifications (for cleaning, repair, etc.) and/or Product cut sheets for new elements (ie. windows, doors)		 Two sets of original labels and one copy of addresses of adjacent property owners and owners of property across street.

After your case is assigned to a planner, you will be contacted and asked to provide an electronic version of this application including associated photos and drawings.

Some applications will require additional materials not listed above. The above checklist does not include material needed for Planning review of a building permit. The "Application Packet" for Building Permit Applications lists those materials.

No application will be accepted by the Department unless the appropriate column on this form is completed. Receipt of this checklist, the accompanying application, and required materials by the Department serves to open a Planning file for the proposed project. After the file is established it will be assigned to a planner. At that time, the planner assigned will review the application to determine whether it is complete or whether additional information is required in order for the Department to make a decision on the proposal.

For Department Use Only Application received by Planning Department:	
Ву:	

ATTACHMENT TO CU APPLICATION 655 Alvarado Street

A. SECTION 303 CONDITIONAL USE FINDINGS

Under Planning Code Section 303(c), the Planning Commission shall approve the application and authorize a Conditional Use if the facts presented establish the following:

1. That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The project is necessary and desirable because it will increase the size of a small single family home by adding a family room and storage space. These additions will make for a more livable home in an area where family-sized housing is strongly encouraged by City Planning and Zoning policies. From the street view, the project will only increase the height of this modestly-sized two story home by one story, thus keeping it compatible with the neighborhood character.

The requested conditional use is also necessary as the project is already under construction and requires Planning Commission approval now since the dwelling unit demolition thresholds have been exceeded while construction was ongoing. The project will be in a partially-constructed state until conditional use authorization is granted.

- 2. That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injuries to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, with respect to aspects including but not limited to the following:
 - (a) The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of the structure.

The proposed home is sensitively designed to minimize impacts on the adjacent residences. In addition, it is of a size, shape, and arrangement similar to other single-family homes in this neighborhood. For these reasons, the project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood.

(b) The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading.

The project will not add any units or bedrooms to the existing building and will retain the same amount of off-street parking that was provided in the existing building. Therefore, the project will not impact traffic patterns in the neighborhood. Furthermore, the property is well-served by public transit. The 24, 35, 48 Muni bus lines are all within a few blocks of the Property, and the Castro Muni station is less than a mile from the Property. As a result, the project will not have a detrimental effect on accessibility and traffic patterns.

(c) The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor.

ATTACHMENT TO CU APPLICATION 655 Alvarado Street

The proposed single family home will not produce noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor. Any noise or dust that may result from construction activities is codecompliant and temporary.

(d) Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs.

The property will be thoughtfully landscaped and provide usable open space as required by the Planning Code in a variety of forms, including rear yard, balconies, and roof decks. The project will retain the off-street parking space that is currently provided in the existing building.

3. That such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

Planning Code Section 303(c)(3) requires that facts be established that demonstrate "that such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this code and will not adversely affect the master plan." The Project will affirmatively promote, is consistent with, and will not adversely affect the General Plan, specifically the Housing Element as follows:

Housing Element

- OBJECTIVE 4 FOSTER A HOUSING STOCK THAT MEETS THE NEEDS OF ALL RESIDENTS ACROSS LIFECYCLES.
- POLICY 4.1 Develop new housing, and encourage the remodeling of existing housing, for families with children.
- OBJECTIVE 11 SUPPORT AND RESPECT THE DIVERSE AND DISTINCT CHARACTER OF SAN FRANCISCO'S NEIGHBORHOODS.
- POLICY 11.1 Promote the construction and rehabilitation of well-designed housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.
- POLICY 11.3 Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely impacting existing residential neighborhood character.

The project provides a well-designed family-sized home that will help diversify the housing stock while enhancing existing neighborhood character, consistent with these policies.

B. PRIORITY MASTER PLAN POLICIES FINDINGS

Planning Code Section 101.1 establishes the following eight priority planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. The project is consistent with each of these policies as follows:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses

ATTACHMENT TO CU APPLICATION 655 Alvarado Street

enhanced.

The project is expanding an existing single-family home, and therefore will have no impact on existing neighborhood-serving retail uses.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

From the street view, the proposed project will only increase the height of the existing twostory home by one story. Given that there are other three story single-family homes on this block and in this neighborhood generally, the proposed project is compatible with the neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The project will have no effect on affordable housing, as it is expanding an owner occupied single-family home.

4. That commuter traffic not impede Muni transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The project does not expand the number of units or bedrooms in the existing single-family home, and therefore will not create any additional commuter traffic. Additionally, the property is well-served by public transit. The 24, 35, and 48 Muni bus lines, and the Castro MUNI station, all serve the Property.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The project is not proposing a commercial office development and will not displace any industrial or service uses.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The project will conform to the requirements of the San Francisco Building Code, and thus will protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.


The project is not located in a landmark or historic building, or in a Conservation District or Historic District. The project will have no effect on any historic resource.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

ATTACHMENT TO CU APPLICATION 655 Alvarado Street

	The project is not lo	cated near any j	public parks o	r open space a	and will therefore	have no effec
on sucl	h resources.					





1-31-11



1-31-11



Delvin Washington delvin, washington a stgn

org

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On December 11, 2009, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. 2009.12.11.3061 (Alteration) with the City and County of San Francisco.

	CONTACT INFORMATION	PROJECT	SITE INFORMATION
Applicant: Address: City, State: Telephone:	Ken Linsteadt 3407 Sacramento Street San Francisco, CA 94118 415.351.1018	Project Address: Cross Streets: Assessor's Block /Lot #: Zoning District: Height-Bulk District:	655 Alvarado Street Beaver & Castro Streets 2803/028C RH-2 40-X

Under San Francisco Planning Code Section 311, you, as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of this proposed project, are being notified of this Building Permit Application. You are not obligated to take any action. For more information regarding the proposed work, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If your concerns are unresolved, you can request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

[] DEMOLITION and/or	[] NEW CONSTRUCTION or	[X] ALTERATION
[X] VERTICAL EXTENSION	[] CHANGE # OF DWELLING UNITS	[X] FACADE ALTERATION(S)
[] HORIZ. EXTENSION (FRONT)	[] HORIZ. EXTENSION (SIDE)	[X] HORIZ. EXTENSION (REAR)
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING CONDITION	PROPOSED CONDITION
BUILDING DEPTH	±48 feet, 3 inches	±74 feet. 3 inches
REAR YARD	±65 feet, 9 inches	±39 feet, 9 inches
	±23 feet	
NUMBER OF STORIES	2 over basement level	3 over basement level
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS ON P	ROPERTY1	No Change
NUMBER OF OFF-STREET PARKING	SPACES At least 1	No Change

The subject property is a steeply down sloping lot that contains two-story, single-family dwelling with a basement level below. The project is to construct a two-story over basement level horizontal rear extension, and add a new third story that is setback 15 feet from the existing front building wall. Please see attached plans.

PLANNER'S NAME:

Adrian C. Putra

PHONE NUMBER:

(415) 575-9079

DATE OF THIS NOTICE:


12-12-10


EMAIL:


adrian.putra@sfgov.org

EXPIRATION DATE:

11-61-1









City and County of San Francisco

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 08, 2011

>> [Roll call]

commissioners, the first category on the calendar -- items proposed for continuance.

Item

one, proposed for continuance to November 10, 2011.

items 2 a and b proposed for

continuance to September 15, 2011.

Item three.

2011.0523t proposed

for continuance to October 20, 2011.

Item four, kansas 2011.

0533z, zoning map amendments for the washington-broadway special

use district s proposed for

continuance to October 20, 2011.

Commissioners, I am not aware of any other item on calendar being proposed for continuance.

>> is your microphone on?

>> it is, but I was not speaking into it. Thank you.

Commissioner olague: is there any public comment on items proposed for continuance?

Seeing none, public comment is closed. Commissioners?

Commissioner miguel?

Commissioner miguel: on the items on the continuance, - I move the items on the continuance calendar to the dates specified. >> second.

>> thank you, the commissioners.

the motion before you is to move continuance of all items on the

calendar proposed for continuance to the dates as we have discussed.

Commissioner antonini: aye.

Commissioner fong: aye.

Commissioner moore: aye.

Commissioner sugaya: aye.

Commissioner olague: aye.

thank you, commissioners.

Those items have been continued, as discussed.

The next calendar is your consent calendar category.

The items listed on this category constitute the consent calendar. They are considered to be routine and will be acted upon

by a single roll call vote of the commission. There will be no separate

discussion of these items unless a member of this commission, the public, or staff so request. In that event, the matter will

be removed to the calendar and

considered as a special item - separate item at this or a future hearing.

Again, the items are 5a and b.

sanfrancisco.granicus.com/TranscriptViewer.php?view_id=20&clip_id=13054

or additional screening and

protection for the residents.

vice President Miguel: 50% of the employees are from the

community.

I think that is something

positive about what they are doing. I do not just want to be negative or critical in my tone.

>> the motion as we have stated

with those conditions is before you.

Commissioner antonini: aye.

Commissioner fong: aye.

Commissioner moore: no.

Commissioner sugaya: aye.

Vice President Miguel: aye.

President Olague: aye.

We' re taking a 10-minute recess.

>> a request for discretionary review.

>> good afternoon.

This is an abbreviated discretionary review request.

it is a three story proposal for a rare extension.

It will be a rear addition to an

existing -- can you hear? I am sorry.



The proposal is to construct a three story horizontal rear extension and a one story vertical extension.

it will be an extension to an existing first floor and

basement level, creating a new basement under the extension.

The rear extension will be set

back 5 feet from the east property line.

The vertical extension will create a new third story that

will be set back 15 feet from the front building wall and 9 feet from the rear building wall.

The will be a steep down slope

and a lateral up slope from the west to east.

It contains a two-story over basement single-family building.

The property is in the nunnelee

valley -- noe valley area.

The majority of parcels measure

114 feet by 25 feet and buildings range between two and four stories.

The residential design team has

reviewed and suggests that a

five-foot side set back along the east side of the rear

addition at the two lowest levels. The project was reviewed by senior management and they

agreed with the design recommendations for the top level.

However, the recommended having

a five-foot side set back on the east property line was only required on the second level of

the newer addition, and the

the loveliness that we have in our block.

Many people look at the front of

the building and frankly, we

have no idea the lovely enjoyment that we have in the

backyard and how much it as to us living in the what other neighborhoods would be

considered or other cities would be considered small spaces.

We have daughters that are

raising families and spaces that are 1800 square feet.

They'

re raising two kids or three kids.

Part of that is because we have access to backyards and space.

we want to be able to keep the

trees, have gardens, and joy

what we have, and we want to

work with this neighbor and get

along, trying to figure out a compromise.

Without doing what seems to be harm to their adjacent neighbors and their block.

Thank you for the time and consideration.



>> my name is john, I work for the city and county.

John Ferrelli - marrier Gill - and

I element the address along with my sister.

i am not opposed to accommodate

their growing families, but this project is extreme.

I feel like we were purposefully left out of the loot.

We did not have the opportunity to attend a meeting.

their meetings were conveniently held during the busy holiday

seasons and there is the bogus

application where people attended.

They wanted to push this through with minimal opposition.



I am concerned about the

excavation for a second baseman level for their garden room.

Our homes have only one basement or garage.

The homes in our block are three stories.

I believe they want to build a hot tub on the fifth level.

It makes their extension even worse.

all of their levels will be way above my property line fence.

At the end of the building,

I feel sorry for my neighbor.

She is a senior citizen and is not here because she does not

want to cause any problems he is like my mother in 1989.

She feels like she participated by supplying copies of the plan she received because she knew that we were not aware of what was going on.

A total disregard dense of the neighbors, and how well

negatively -- and how it will negatively impact them.

The only care about their own house.

This fails to maintain like to

adjacent properties by providing adequate setbacks.

Minimizing impact of privacy and the like.

we have sent it open for open space.

To address the immediate

contents, to design a scale of

the building to be compatible with the surrounding buildings at the open space.

Preserve the neighborhood

character, preserve the

community and consider that it can leave residents feeling box

dan or cut off from open space.

If the proposal were to go

through, it means the largest house would be next to the smallest house.

This is not only massive, it fails to comply with the planning code section.

Commissioner

miguel: are there additional speakers in support?

If not, project sponsor?

>> the evening, commissioners.

This project has been through quite of that of reveal at the planning department.

the initial application was close to two years ago.

We have gone through several iterations or we have worked

with planning savate if it steps

down the hill, it is set back so that the appearance of the

building at the front is not altered from the sidewalk.

It is an upper addition that is back from the rear wall of the house.

a 14 foot extension, guidelines.

Thank you for your time.

>> speakers in favor of the project sponsor?

>> my name is jonathan, I am an entrepreneur in san francisco.

I was not the owner of the building when the 1989

renovation took place, that was

of setting for her the neighbor to my left.

That is the house that I ended up buying.

there are a couple points that I wanted to make.

Why am I doing this renovation?

t can buy a house in san francisco except for the \$100 million house.

We love of ballet and we love all of our neighbors. Where they upset with me?

It's because she doesn't live in the house, she has renters better there.

The nine years that I have lived

there, for the first five years, the house was completely and

totally vacant when her mom passed away which was terrible for all of us.

Jona . or Fapire - order to All and S

I was friends with the family at the time.

the house became wrapped invested.

Finally, the fighting that went on between the family subsided and decided to rent the house.

They still do not live in the house and they are not my neighbors.

The neighbors are my friends.

The people opposed to the

project just moved in and have

not lived in the neighborhood and want notified because this

project has been ongoing for over two years.

The reason I want to do this is because my neighbor to the right

of me. I understand the neighbor on the left has the smallest house on the block, the neighbor to the right

extends a defeat past my house and if you stand on the deck of

my neighbor, chris, you can see into my bedroom.

After I get out of bed, I wave to my neighbors.

I wanted to come up with a plan that did not ask for any

exceptions, did not ask for

anything other than to extend my house back enough so that my

neighbor can no longer look into my window.



There is nothing big in to gigantic or massive about this project.

The house is a 3000 square foot house.

Currently it is a small house and it only has two bedrooms and a master suite.

I have to leave my bedroom to get into the bathroom.

My challenge is that I want my neighbors to be happy.

I am always available. I know the neighbors very well.

The fact that someone hasn't been able to talk to me about this project is ridiculous.

i have been asked by several neighbors, and that of his crazy

for me to say, maybe if I helped them with some of their rent or if I could help their renters or help with some other things, maybe they wouldn't go through this discretionary review.

I think that is ludicrous.

It is why I entered the entire

day off of work today even

though I am one in -

President Olague: the three minutes are up.

Are there additional speakers in support of the project sponsor?

Seeing none, and discretionary review, you have two minutes over a bottle.

i think it is pretty easy to see that. Anyway, thank you.

President Olague: project sponsor, you have two minutes.

>> thank you.

I would like to put of the same

section elevation.

of the

building and is set back from the rear building.

president olague: the public hearing is closed.

Commissioner antonini: I have a

question, a couple of comments first.

A number of issues have been raised.

some of the issues concerned the second baseman that is being added and the concern about

underground streams or shoring

at the met, but these are

generally felt to be - I will ask is that the question.

These are issues that will be

taken up and have to be dealt

with in the approval process for

permitting and other things.

There was also a privacy issue raised.

Given the setbacks, the distance

between the structures, the

detached homes is fairly significant.

The one thing that I am

concerned about if I am judging

from the map that was given,

maybe I can ask the staff about the yard averaging situation.

the comment was made that they don't have to average of the

adjacent properties because it is within the area that is

allowed and is compliant, it is not asking for a variance.

>> that is correct.

Beyond that line is when the averaging is done to determine

the allowable encroachment beyond that up to 25%.

In this case, the proposed project is not exceeding that

amount of the than the el al

will bond that can be done on the lowest level.

the house is code compliance with the rear yard.

I think what the filings are

referring to is just an average of visual based on the overall death of the house.

The logic of that is based on

the existing footprint, and in this case, the residence is

relatively shallow in comparison to the other residences on this block.

And the overall death.

>> I think this visual is accurate, but we are dealing

with the second basement which

is the part that is going down past the additional amount.

But you are saying that it is not significant enough to be

counted against the the rear yard?

>> it was what they used when they were in evaluating this house.

They felt that because it is a

down sloping parcel, there was

such a differential that this is actually below the primary

living space.

>> I realize the open space, as you're saying, they are within compliance.

This appears that it gets out a lot farther than anything else.

>> I think there would be a stronger impact.

if they were the same elevation

because it is down sloping and

is also a lateral slow on the block as well.

>> theoretically, you could put something green above the top of

that last lovell and it would look like the rear yard.

Of a, I will see with the other commissioners have this day.

Commissioner moore: it is interesting because what he is

addressing is hard to

understand what the exact brady and his.

It doesn't really fully reveal how the great falls away.

what is missing is the materiality of this building.

There was no suggestion of its

color for its landscape on any of the (S, etc..

It is very difficult understand.

i believe there is an omission,

the exact kind of detailed

openings create the facades that are compatible.

this project reminds

me of the

heated discussions we had where

the only names

- you probably remember from a few weeks ago, we did not understand what was going on.

I am not opposed to this

project, but there is something in the presentation that is

missing for me to be really fair to the thing.

The disclosure that I would be

more inclined, it is quite massive.

I am kind of hedging what the other commissioners have to say.

Commissioner antonini: I have to look at rendering of what the appearance of the building will be on the north elevation.

i see a picture here.

It is hard to understand what we are going to see.

It looks as though there is an additional level, a tile roof that will match.

it would be nice if we had a

better image so we could really see.

There are windows, I guess, up on top.

I think the material should

contain something that is a

Commissioner moore: we are very

concerned for large glass railings facing public open

spaces, because we are concerned

that birds have a tendency to

confuse glass as being an open space beyond.

Are you thinking of giving any

kind of indication peck'

s -- indication? I am not sure where the code

is, but I want to remind us that

we are using quite a bit of glass over the five stories of your building.

>> I have not thought about that, but we certainly can.

commissioner moore: with the department discussed this, if we move ahead?

>> I think one of the things the

commission could do is ask the project sponsor to comply with the new code.

The do not think it is in place yet, but they could treat the glass.

commissioner moore: this is a

lovely study to understand the study. This lets people take a different look at it.

You might appreciate reading

what they did to find a way of being creative.

I would discourage you to move ahead.

i think I am getting more comfortable, even if I would like to see more drawings.

That would be a department task to ask for more.

President Olague: would we have

to take dr to include that? >> I can clarify the applicability of the guidelines.

Because the commission adopted the policy, we are implementing it.

It has not gone to the board of supervisors, so changing to the planning code does not happen.

It would apply to the glass railings. We can talk to the project sponsor.

president olague: if we did one that considered and someone makes a motion, there would have

to make a motion to take dr?

Or would it be automatic? Great.

Commissioner sugaya: sorry.

It was not important.

is it online?

President Olague: it should be.

40-x the project sponsor -

commissioner sugaya: the project sponsor might want to download. It is interesting.

vice President Miguel: I would

move to not take dr and approve the project as submitted.

Commissioner

antonini: I will second with a friendly amendment that project sponsor work with

dr requestors wherever possible to address their concerns and

see if we can ameliorate some of their concerns were they do with planning issues.

Commissioner moore: I would also like to ask that the department continues to work with the

applicant about disclosure on

color, but this building falls

within the general tonality of adjoining buildings and becomes

a light building, because it is

massive, and does something which reflects the collective

feeling of the street is on.

>> the motion before you is to

approve the project as proposed

with the project sponsor urged

to continue dialogue with the dr

reugestors and to work with

stuff on color -- staff on color.

Commissioner moore: and on the bird ordinance.

>> staff already proposes that. >> stuff will make sure the

project sponsor - staff will

make sure the project sponsor is

compliant with the policy on bird mitigation.

President Olague: it makes me

wonder how it is being applied by staff.

Commissioner moore: but if the architect is unaware of it and it has not been brought up in

any discussion, I think it needs to be put into the record in order to be accepted by the architect as part of the discussion.

>> on that motion --

commissioner antonini: aye.

Commissioner fong: aye.

Commissioner moore: aye.

Commissioner sugaya: aye.

Vice President Miguel: aye.

president olague: aye.

>> you are not on an 1541456 --

you are now on item

1456 for

chestnut street.

>> the subject property is

located between franklin and gough.

The proposal is to demolish an

existing building and construction a three story building.

There are concerns about the

demolition of the building and proposed changes to open space.

the department recognizes the

proposed building is larger than what exists, but the scale is within character of the neighborhood and does not

disrupt the mid blocked open space.

Further, the proposal fully

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311/312)

On December 11, 2009, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. 2009.12.11.3061 with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROP	PROPERTY INFORMATION APPLICANT INFORMATION		ICANT INFORMATION
Project Address:	655 Alvarado Street	Applicant: Address: City, State: Telephone:	Holey Associates
Cross Street(s):	Beaver & Castro Streets		5715 Claremont Avenue
Block/Lot No.:	2803/028C		Oakland, CA 94618
Zoning District(s):	RH-2 / 40-X		(415) 509-7979

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
☐ Demolition	☐ New Construction	☐ Alteration
☐ Change of Use	√ Façade Alteration(s)	✓ Front Addition
✓ Rear Addition	☐ Side Addition	✓ Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Residential	Residential
Front Setback	±3 feet, 5 inches	No Change
Building Depth	±48 feet, 3 inches	±74 feet, 3 inches
Rear Yard	±65 feet, 9 inches	±39 feet, 9 inches
Building Height	29'-6"	40'-0"
Number of Stories	2 over basement	4 over basement
Number of Dwelling Units	1	No Change
Number of Parking Spaces	1	No Change
······································	PROJECT DESCRIPT	ION

The proposal is for a one-story vertical addition, three-story horizontal addition and a two-story permitted rear yard obstruction (Per Planning Code Section 136(c)(25)) to an existing two-story-over-basement single-family residence. The project also includes infill of the front porch and interior remodelling. This permit was originally noticed in accordance with Planning Code Section 311 from 12/13/2010 to 1/12/2011.

The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:

Jeff Horn

Telephone:

(415) 575-6925

E-mail:

Jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org

Notice Date:

1/6/16


Expiration Date: 2/5/16

中文詢問請電: (415) 575-9010

Para información en Español llamar al: (415) 575-9010

SEUSD SEUSD	•
SEA 28 MARCH TO BE SEA 28 MARCH	7
OBUILDING INC. S. 1800 II OF THE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE S	4
OSSORITION OF THE PROPERTY OF	•
APPLICATION FOR RILLI DING PERMIT CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	1
ADDITIONS, ALTERATIONS OR REPAIRS DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION APPLICATION IS HEREBY MADE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF	
FORM 3 OTHER AGENCIES REVIEW REQUIRED BUILDING INSPECTION OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR PERMISSION TO BUILD IN PECCEPTOR IN THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS CUBMITTED HEREWITH AND	
FORM 8 OVER-THE COUNTER ISSUANCE AND SPECIFICATION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH.	
FORM 8 OVER-THE COUNTER ISSUANCE AND SPECIFICATIONS CLIMIT TECH PEREVITH AND ACCORDING TO THE DESCRIPTION AND FOR THE PURPOSE HEREINAFTER SET FORTH. NUMBER OF PLAN SETS **DO NOT WHITE ABOVE THIS LINE ** DATE FILED PLNG* PLN	
SERVIT NO. (ISSUED (2A) ESTIMATED COST OF JOB (2B) REVISED CUSI:	
140 5 20 6 SEP 29 2016 SEP 200 114 700,000 FULL SHUME 27.14	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING BUILDING (AA) 170 PRESENT USE: (AB)	
STORIES OF 2 BASELIONS SINGLE FAMILY DIABLATION (24)	
HA) TYPE GECONSTR. (S) NO. OF STORES OF GOODPANCY: 4 AND CELLUS: (D) PROPOSED USE (LEEPAL USE) OCCUPANCY: 4 AND CELLUS: (S) NO. OF SASSMETTE: (S) NO. OF	,
(10) IS AUTO RUINNAY TO BE CONSTRUCTED OR ALTERED? NO CONSTRUCTED	
O'WHERE FOR CONTACT BY DEPT.)	
KARLAN GLAZZELTES (GS ALVARANO ST. 94114 (011-35-7) (11171X)	
ADDITION OF STORY ABOVE EXISTING & ADDITION OF STORY BOLOW BY STORY EXTENSION OF GOISTING LOWER FLOORS	1
KBPAIR OF LANDSPAPING AREAS AFFECTED BY PROPOSED ADDITION	1
	1
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (17) DOES THIS ALTERATION (17) DOES THIS ALTERATION (18) DOES THIS ALTERATION (18) DOES THIS ALTERATION (18) DOES THIS ALTERATION (19) DOES THIS ALTERATIO	
OR STORY TO BULLOWS? IN U (21) WALL SIDEMALK OMER (22) WALL BULLOWS (23) WAN DIRECT STRING BLUG. (24) WALL SIDEMALK SPACE BE (25) DAY DIRECT STRING BLUG. (24) DAY DIRECT STRING BLUG. (25) DAY DIRECT STRING BLUG. (26) DOES THES ALTERATION (27) WALL BULLOWS (28) DAY DIRECT STRING BLUG. (29) DOES THES ALTERATION (20) DOES THES ALTERAT	}
100 APPLIED OF ENGINEER (DESIGNED CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTI	1
HARDEN ON V 240 MARCEN QUE NOTICE TO APPLICANT	j
IMPORTANT NOTICES No change sitted be made in the character of the occupancy or use without first obtaining a Building of the permit authorities of the permit agreement of the permit agreement of the permit agreement and against amount and against and account of San Francisco the City and Country of San Francisco against all the city and Country of San Francisco against all city and ci	đ
No portion of building or structure or accellating used during construction, to be closer from EV to such claims, demands or accions, such claims, such claims, accions, such claims, accio	
Grade These as ghown on drawings accompanying this application are assumed to be correct. If appropriate method of compliance basiner, appropriate method of compliance basiner.	
and fills together with complete details of retail and was all was about the department for retailing was all the about the department for eager or its asset to self-insure bot workers component to self-insure bot workers component to self-insure bot workers component to submitted to the department of the department of the self-insure bot workers component to submitted by Section 3700 of the Labor Code, for the performance the work for which this permit is issued. ANY STEPLATION REQUIRED HEREIN OR BY CODE MAY BE APPEALED.	he
ON THE BUILDING OR PERMIT OF OCCUPANCY GRANTED, WHEN REQUIRED. Labor Code, for the performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your performance of the performance of the work for which the permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed in your permit is sealed. In your pe	- 1
MUST SE COTAMED. SEPARATE PERMITS ARE RELIBED & MISWER IS THE STORY OF POSICY Number ABOVE QUESTIONS (10) (11) (12) (13) (22) OR (24). THIS IS NOT A BUILDING PERMIT, NO WORK SHALL BE STARTED UNTIL A BUILDING PERMIT IS () III. The cost of the work to be done is \$100 or less.	- !
In dwellings all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all electrical wires or equipment. In dwellings all insulating materials must have a clearance of not less than two inches from all california. If further activatedge that understand that in the event less it should become adjusted to the vorkers' compensation provisions of the Labor Code of California and all to the vorkers' compensation provisions of the Labor Code of California and all to the vorkers' compensation provisions of Section 3800 of the Labor Code in the permit.	1
OWNER DARCHTECT herein applied for shall be deemed revious.	
APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION I MEMBERY CERTIFY AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BIL SQUED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION PROPRESED IN THIS ARPH INSTRUM, ALL THE PROVISIONS OF THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL LUMS APPLICANT AND AGREE THAT # A PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT AND ALL THE PERMIT BILL THE PERMIT BI	
AND ORDINANCES THERETO WILL BE COMPLIED WITH. 9003-03 (REV. 1/02) Onto	†

SAN FRA	MCIS	CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS	
5 . : 17		- 17	
5 7 17	REFER	APPROVED: W Paris, DBI AND ONION ONIONO O	DATE
		Matthew Ralls, DBI	REASON:
DEPARTA		a lents	
S BUILDING	NSPEC	AUG 23 ZOW	المرور في المناسل
₹		But DING WESECTOR FED OF BURG WSP	NOTIFIED MR.
F		Conduction and Ecological Conduction	
7		APPROVED: Varhad & hunzantal addition agrand per	DATE: \$
		Plant and avelocation.	REASON:
		Plans and application. Approved Planning Dept. Jeff Horn	
		Approved Planning Dept. Jeff Hom	
		DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:	
			DATE:
		Consult design professional for sprinkler Amy Eng Occupancy	REASON:
		requirement to determine adequate Alife 23 70%	
		section according for anginides demand	_
		Water supply for sprinkler demand	NOTIFIED MR.
	-	APPROVED:	NOTIFIED MR. DATE: REASON:
		^	REASON:
		· ·	ÖN
			ī Z
			ОТЕ
		MECHANICAL ENGINEER, DEPT OF BLDG. INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:	DATE:
			REASON:
Ì			2
,		*/	- 4
			is o
		CIVIL ENGINEER, DEPT. OF BLDG INSPECTION	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:	DATE:
		SFPW/BSM SIGN OFF ON JOB CARD	REASON:
J -		REQUIRED PRIOR TO DBI FINAL) Se
		CAIL (419) 334-7149 TO SCHEDULE By Rassendy Dennis, SFPW/B	N O
		Rassengy Dennis, STT 4472	NOTIFIED MR.
		APPROVED:	
I		AFFROVED.	
		1	REASON:
			PAC PAC
) CE
		DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC NEALTH	REASON: REASON
		APPROVED:	DATE:
		· Notes in the	REASON:
	 1].	
1			•
		REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY	NOTIFIED MR.
į		APPROVED:	DATE:
!		1/1/1/	REASON:
	$\overline{}$	SFPUC Capacity Charges	
		See attachen SFPUC Capacity Charge Invoice	for total
		amount due. DBI will coffect charges.	
		HOUSING INSPECTION DIVISION	NOTIFIED MR.
		I agree to comply with all conditions or stipulations of the various bureaus or department noted on this application, and attached	
		statements of conditions or stipulations, which are hereby made a part of this application.	
}			
		Number of attechments OWNERS ACTIONIZED AGENT	
		$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	



12-30-16

Fri, Jan 13, 2017 at 11:56 AM

Gmail
Σ

Noise @ 655 Alvarado

Hello

My name is Max Blakely. I'm the jobsite superintendent, for Thompson Brooks INC, running the project at 655 Alvarado St.

It has been brought to my attention that a complaint was received about the jobsite noise. I am very sorry about this, but regretfully, I have to say that the heavy noise, i.e. jackhammers and heavy equipment, will be continuing for the next couple of months. Once demo and excavation are complete the noise will be reduced significantly. Again, I'm very sorry for the noise, but I would ask that you please bear with us as this is a fairly major construction project.

Thank you,

Max

Max Blakely

Superintendent

Thompson Brooks INC

Direct 415-238-5345

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
Cc. john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

Fri, Jan 13, 2017 at 12:54 PM

Fri, Jan 13, 2017 at 1:04 PM

Hi Adrian, Did you receive the email also? Thanks, Mary

[Quoted text hidden]

Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>

To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

Yeah I did, I'll probably respond to him a little later. My one concern really is only that they start at around 720in the morning. If they could wait like 30 minutes it would be fantastic.

Thanks

Adrian G

Sent from my iPhone, I apologize for any spelling or grammar errors. [Quoted text hidden]

Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 14, 2017 at 2:19 PM

Thanks for reaching out. We know it's a big job. I think the main thing that affects us as of now is the work noise starts about 0720 every morning. I know it's winter with less daylight but of course if there is anything that could be done to start work a little later would be fantastic. I think the noise during the day is fine for us.

Thank you,

Adrian Granucci

[Quoted text hidden]

Bcc: Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2017 22:19:09 +0000 Subject: Re: Noise @ 655 Alvarado

Thanks for reaching out. We know it's a big job. I think the main thing that affects us as of now is the work noise starts about 0720 every morning. I know it's winter with less daylight but of course if there is anything that could be done to start work a little later would be fantastic. I think the noise during the day is fine for us.

Thank you,

Adrian Granucci

On Jan 13, 2017, at 11:56 AM, Max Blakely <max@thompsonbrooks.com> wrote:

My name is Max Blakely. I'm the jobsite superintendent, for Thompson Brooks INC, running the project at 655 Alvarado St.

It has been brought to my attention that a complaint was received about the jobste noise. I am very sorry about this, but regretfully, I have to say that the heavy noise, i.e. jackhammers and heavy equipment, will be continuing for the next couple of months. Once demo and excavation are complete the noise will be reduced significantly. Again, I'm very sorry for the noise, but I would ask that you please bear with us as this is a fairly major construction project.

Thank you,

Max

Max Blakely

Superintendent

Thompson Brooks INC

Direct 415-238-5345

) noname.eml

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>, Brian Kataoka <byk5000@gmail.com>

FYI-1 am forwarding you a copy of the communication I had with the contractors regarding the issues you had with the construction next door.

- Forwarded message

From: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3.40 PM Subject: Construction at 655 Alvarado St. To patrick@thompsonbrooks com Cc. John ferretts <.JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
Cc: Brian Kataoka <byk5000@gmail.com>

Thu, Apr 6, 2017 at 8:36 PM

Mon, Apr 3, 2017 at 3:48 PM

We wanted to know that we just noticed the weather stripping on the bathroom window has basically blown away and it's allowed water or a little leakage in when it rains.

Brian cleaned it up but I am noticing it dripping again so it seems when it rains there is a chance for water to leak on the sill and onto the floor of the bathroom.

Thanks

Adrian G

Sent from my iPhone [Quoted text hidden]

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>

Thanks for letting me know. We will look into it.

Mon, Apr 10, 2017 at 9:18 AM



Application No. 2009.12.11.3061 655 Alvarado St. DISAPPROVAL OF PROPERTY LINE WINDOW 5 messages

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: Jeffery.horn@sfgov.org
Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

Sun, Jan 17, 2016 at 12:43 PM

January 17, 2016

Jeff Horn Jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org

Planning Department

RE: 655 Alvarado St

Application No. 2009.12.11.3061

DISAPPROVAL OF PROPERTY LINE WINDOW

Dear Mr. Horn,

My brother, John Ferretti, and I are the owners of 651 Alvarado St., the property adjacent to the proposed project at 655 Alvarado St. On August 17, 2015, we attended pre-application meeting held at 655 Alvarado St. headed by the architect, Mr. Holey. After reviewing the full set of plans, we voiced our disapproval of the property line window. We had the architect sign a letter (a copy attached), at the meeting, in which we stated our disapproval of the property line window in writing. Last week my brother received a Notice of Building Permit Application, unfortunately, I did not receive a copy, in spite of the fact that Mr. Holey has my San Francisco address. Last week I left a message for Mr. Holey in which requested a of a full set of plans to compare with the plans he presented at the pre-application meeting. On Friday Jan 15, I spoke with Mr. Holey and again requested a full set of plans. I expressed my disapproval of the property line window and hoped that it was addressed in the proposed plans. I hope to receive the plans by Tuesday of next week.

At this point in time, should there be a "property line" window in the proposal. I am requesting, that you, representing the Planning Department, follow the correct procedure. I believe the project needs to obtain clearance from the adjacent property owner that it is ok to build a property line window, before it is built. We do not approve of the property line window. The architect and the project owner need to be aware that the propery line window can be completely blocked by a wall, losing their light, if the adjacent property choose to remodel in the future. If the property line window, projected in the proposed plans of 655 Alvarado St. is that important to the project then we recommend the plans be revised to include a 4 foot setback in order to accommodate property line window.

I appreciate your assistance in this matter. I look forward to your response.

Thank you,

Mary Ferretti



alvarado st project property line window.jpg 101K





Permit Details Report

Report Date:

9/14/2017 8:05:13 PM

Application Number:

201702068651

Form Number: Address(es):

2803/028C/0 655

ST

Description:

ALVARADO HANDDUG PITS, SOIL SHORING TIEBACKS. REF APP#2009-1211-

3061 💉 🗡 \$127,000.00

Cost: Occupancy Code:

R-3

Building Use:

27 - 1 FAMILY DWELLING

Disposition / Stage:

Action Date	Stage	Comments
2/6/2017	TRIAGE	
2/6/2017	FILING	
2/6/2017	FILED	
3/1/2017	APPROVED	
3/1/2017	ISSUED	

Contact Details:

Contractor Details:

License Number:

315245

Name:

JOHN BARCEWSKI LARS LARSEN

Company Name:

BARCEWSKI INC DBA SUNSHINE CONSTRUCTION 4136 REDWOOD HWY, STE 13 * SAN RAFAEL CA

Address:

94903

Phone:

4795566

Addenda Details:

Description:

Step	Station	Arrive	STOPT	In Hold	Out Hold	Finish	Checked By	Hold Description
1	INTAKE	2/6/17	2/6/17			2/6/17	SHAWL HAREGGEWAIN	
2	СРВ	2/15/17	2/15/17			2/15/17	SECONDEZ GRACE	
3	BLDG	2/15/17	2/24/17			2/24/17	RALLS MATTHEW	
A	DDW_		2/28/17			2/28/17	CHOY CLINTON	Approved. 2/28/17: No alteration or reconstruction of City Right-of-Way under this permitCC
5	PPC	2/28/17	2/28/17			2/28/17	CHEUNG DEREK	
6 į	СРВ	2/28/17	3/1/17			3/1/17		SAFETY PERMIT REQ; 8 PAGES. APPROV BY AMARIS.

This permit has been issued. For information pertaining to this permit, please call 415-558-6096.

Appointments:

Appointment Date Appointment AM/PM Appointment Code Appointment Type Description Time Slots

Inspections:

Activity Date Inspector Inspection Description Inspection Status

Special Inspections:

Addenda No.	Completed Date	Inspected By	Inspection Code	Description	Remarks
0			1	CONCRETE (PLACEMENT & SAMPLING)	
			12	SHOTCRETE	
)			24F	OTHERS	geotech engr & shoring engr
)			21B	UNDERPINNING	NOT affecting adjacent property
)			23	OTHERS:AS RECOMMENDED BY PROFESSIONAL OF RECORD	tie back testing
			21A	SHORING	

For information, or to schedule an inspection, call 558-6570 between 8:30 am and 3:00 pm.

Permit Details Report

Report Date:

9/14/2017 8:02:04 PM

Application Number:

201703161580

Form Number:

Address(es):

2803 / 028C/ 0

ALVARADO

Description:

× REVISION TO 200912113061 INCREASE SIZE OF BASEMENT BY 200 SF. MODIFICATION TO WINDOW/DOOR MANUFACTURE (U:SHGC) AND MINOR IMPROVEMENTS TO WINDOW SIZE AND OPERATION. MINOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THERMAL ENVELOPE AND MECHANICAL EQUIP.

Cost:

\$33,000.00

Occupancy Code:

R-3

Building Use:

27 - 1 FAMILY DWELLING

Disposition / Stage:

Action Date	Stage	Comments
3/16/2017	TRIAGE	
3/16/2017	FILING	
3/16/2017	FILED	
5/4/2017	APPROVED)
	ISSUED	

Contact Details:

Contractor Details:

License Number:

483119

Name:

PATRICK DAVIS

Company Name:

THOMPSON BROOKS INC. 151 VERMONT ST * SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103-

Address:

0000

Phone:

5812600

Addenda Details:

Description:

	Station	Arrive	Start	In Hold	Out Hold	Finish	Checked By	Hold Description
1	INTAKE	3/16/17	3/16/17			3/16/17	CHAPMAN MARLA	
	CP-ZOC	-				3/21/17	FLORES VERONICA	Revision to 2009.12.11.3061. Excavate to increase basement area. Window/door changes on east and west elevations. Interior renovations. Approved OTC 03/21/17 VAF
3	BLDG	3/27/17	3/27/17			3/27/17	RALLS MATTHEW	
4		T	5/3/17			5/3/17	ORTEGA REYNALDO	Approved, OTC
5	СРВ	5/4/17	5/4/17			101 11 -1	YU ZHANG REN	

This permit has been issued. For information pertaining to this permit, please call 415-558-6096.

Appointments:

Appointment Date		Appointment Code	Appointment Type	Description	Tim Slot
6/15/2017	AM	vs	IVR Scheduled	REINFORCING STEEL	1
5/31/2017	AM	vs	IVR Scheduled	REINFORCING STEEL	1

Inspections:

Activity Date	Inspector	Inspection Description	Inspection Status
6/15/2017	Darlene Hartley	REINFORCING STEEL	OK TO POUR
5/31/2017	Chester Chiu	REINFORCING STEEL	REINFORCING STEEL

Special Inspections:

Addenda No.	Completed Date	Inspected By	Inspection Code	Description	Remarks
0			IB ₅₃	CF2R-ADD-02-E - PRESCRIPTIVE RESIDENTIAL ADDITIONS THAT DO NOT REQUIRE HERS FIELD VERIFICATION	
				CF2R-ENV-01-E -	

5-12-1011



Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3:40 PM

Construction at 655 Alvarado St.

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: patrick@thompsonbrooks.com
Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

Hi Patrick

This email is to follow up our conversation we just had 2 minutes ago. I am the owner to 651 Alvarado St. My renters at 651 Alvarado St. are inquiring about your work hours/noise caused by your construction at 655 Alvarado st. Apparently someone from your company told them it os ok for you to start work at 7AM but can not make noise until 8AM. Is this correct? Also, the renters would like to know if you will be working 6 to 8 days a week? Could you please get back to me with specifics so I can let my renters know. I contacted your company on Jan. 13 and provided you with information to contact my renters, which you did. However I do not know what you told them. So in order to ensure we are all on the same page, I would like you to address their concerns to me and I will forward them a copy of your email response.
I appreciate your attention to this matter.

Mary Ferretti Thank you,

CC: John Ferretti

Wed, Mar 29, 2017 at 3:55 PM

Hi Mary

First, I want to correct something I said to you. After our conversation I spoke with Max Blakeley, the Site Superintendent, and he informed me that our subtild work last Saturday. However, it was to prepare for a pour that took place yesterday and is not something that will happen very often. Our normal hours of operation are

The City allows construction work to take place from 7am - 8pm, every day, including holidays. Please see the weblink below

http://sfdbi.org/what-to-expect-during-construction

am attaching the email chain from back in January that you were copied on. Work at this job site starts at 7am, and although we do try to keep the noise down for the first 15-30 minutes, we cannot guarantee there will be no noise before a specific time

Hope this helps.

Patrick

Patrick Davis

Executive Vice President

Phone: 415.581.2618

Fax: 415.581.2601

THOMPSON BROOKS, INC

www.thompsonbrooks.com

http://www.houzz.com/pro/thompsonbrooksinc


To: Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com> Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 3:40 PM From: Mary Ferretti-breidinger [mailto:mferrettisf@gmail.com]

Subject: Construction at 655 Alvarado St. Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

From: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
To. Max Blakely <max@thompsonbrooks.com>
Co: "inferrettisf@gmail.com" <inferrettisf@gmail.com", Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>, Judith Thompson < JAT@thompsonbrooks.com>, Greg Hall <greg@thompsonbrooks.com>
Co: "inferrettisf@gmail.com" <inferrettisf@gmail.com", Greg Hall <greg@thompsonbrooks.com>

Forwarded message -



4-10-17

Phone: 415.581.2618

Fax: 415.581.2601

THOMPSON BROOKS, INC

www.thompsonbrooks.com

http://www.houzz.com/pro/thompsonbrooksinc

From: Mary Ferretti-breidinger [mailto:mferrettisf@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 12:54 PM

To: Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>
Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>
Subject: 655 Alvarado St Construction

Hi Patrick,

John and I were at our property (651 Alvarado St) yesterday. We have 2 concerns:

- 1. Our building's foundation is cracked at the property line (a piece of ply wood is setting against it. (I have attached a picture).
- 2. Water is getting into our basement. Apparently the water issue was noted since you have a piece of plastic placed between the properties. This was an issue when 655 Alvarado St went through a major remodel in 1989 and the brick stairs were added. The builders took care of the problem an repaired the damages. (I have included pictures).

Will you be taking care of these issues?

I have pictures of the interior. I would appreciate it if you would not disturb our tenants.

Hook forward to hearing from you.

Mary







Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>

Date: April 12, 2017 at 1:34:44 PM PDT

To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>, Judith Thompson <JAT@thompsonbrooks.com>, Max Blakely <max@thompsonbrooks.com>, Zac Maddry <zac@dmarcstudio.com>, Jon Kaplan <kaplan@fishsixrc.com>

Subject: RE: 655 Alvarado St Construction

Hi Mary,

We noticed the crack at your foundation during our demo phase. We assumed you were aware of it too since it is clearly an existing crack that was patched in the past. The picture you provided shows the two colors of concrete, with the lighter of the two being the patch. The plywood we have in place is to isolate your foundation from our work.

Regarding the water intruding into your basement, we have no knowledge of this. The plastic that we have hanging up is to prevent water entering the existing Garage of our client's property. But, we would be happy to meet you on site to review this further and take a look at your basement to see where the water is entering.

Please let us know if you would like to set up a time to meet on site.

Patrick

Patrick Davis

Executive Vice President

To: Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Cc: Brian Kataoka <byk5000@gmail.com> Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
To: Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com> To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com> **Adrian Granucci** <afgranuc@gmail.com> To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com> Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
To: Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com> Sent from my iPad [Quoted text hidden] I honestly think its due to a shift in the area with the house next door but maybe that is me jumping to conclusions, I will try to set up time for the cable guy soon, so maybe John can check it out at the same time I wanted to you let you know that due to the construction work (I think) the door leading to the backyard has shifted lower and is incredibly hard to close, sometimes it takes me like 2 minutes with all my strength to fit it back in to lock the door. This problem never really happened before but you will notice when you look at the door everything looks fine but it is separated from the opening side enough to make it very difficult to close with lock(like its lower than it should be). For the cable I went ahead and cancelled the appointment for today, I left a message with John and haven't heard from him. If I don't hear from him this week I will go ahead and schedule something before noon sometime and let him know I guess On Jul 24, 2017, at 11:32 AM, Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com> wrote: Sent from my iPhone Ok they can do Wednesday 2nd, from 9-11am Sent from my iPhone [Quoted text hidden] Ok I can set something up then during the week before noon hopefully for next week. [Quoted text hidden] Thanks, He works evening now so you can probably catch him about 9:30 am., before noon anyways. [Quoted text hidden] I will drop off the rent after work today [Quoted text hidden] Adrian G Let me know if they works - it scheduled for now but I can change it. I'll let you guys know. Adrian G Adrian G [Quoted text hidden] I checked with John. It is ok with him. Mon, Jul 24, 2017 at 11:39 AM Tue, Jul 25, 2017 at 12:40 PM Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:28 PM Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 1:58 PM Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 8:23 AM Fri, Aug 4, 2017 at 9:35 AM 3

X

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: Adrian Granucci <afgranuc@gmail.com>
Co: Brian Kataoka <byk5000@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 4, 2017 at 1:58 PM

Thanks for letting me know. I will have John look at it while he is there (during the cable set up and follow up with the contractor.



Mary [Quoted text hidden]

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>, john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

At our meeting on April 17, 2017, with you, Max and my husband (to discuss the front right side of the foundation which was getting wet due to gaps created between the buildings) you went at lengths to describe what you were doing and you would keep me posted on what was going on. Since our meeting there has been extensive work beyond what we discussed. This is a little concerning since that is not how we discussed things would proceed.

Now it has been brought to my attention that you removed my property line fence without my or my renters being notified.

I appreciate your attention to this matter.

cc John Ferretti [Quoted text hidden]

John Ferretti <johnfredsf@yahoo.com>
To: Mary <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message

From: Mary Ferrett-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
Date: August 23, 2017 at 1:27:28 PM PDT
To: Patrick Davis cput
To: Patrick Davis cput
Construction at 655 Alvarado St.

(Quoted text hidden)

Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>
To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>, john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>
Co: Max Blakely <max@thompsonbrooks.com>

I am not sure what you are specifically referring to. What TBI committed to during the meeting was to reinstall flashing between to the two buildings to help prevent water from traveling into your Garage. The commitment was that this work would take place during the duration of the project. We have not forgotten about this scope and still plan to do it. But, it will be performed in sequence with the rest of the work. No other commitments were discussed or made to you.

In terms of the perimeter fence, it was our understanding that the fence belonged to our client. The permitted work that will be done along the property line will be on his side of the property line, and is being done at his expense. We will be installing a temporary fence between the two properties until the final fencing material is installed. The temp fence will be installed on Monday. TO DATE NO FORE REINSTALLED

Patrick Davis

General Manager

Phone: 415.581.2618

Fax: 415.581.2601

THOMPSON BROOKS, INC

www.thompsonbrooks.com

http://www.houzz.com/pro/thompsonbrooksinc

From: Mary Ferrett-breidinger [mailio:mferrettisf@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2017 1:27 PM
To: Patrick Davis spatrick@thompsonbrooks.com>, john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Construction at 655 Alvarado St.

Hi Patrick


[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]

29

Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 2:08 PM

Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 1:27 PM

Wed, Aug 23, 2017 at 2:24 PM





651 Alvarado

7 messages

Judith Thompson <JAT@thompsonbrooks.com>

Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 11:11 AM

To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Cc: Jon Kaplan kaplan@fishsixrc.com, Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com</p>

Zac Maddry <zac@dmarcstudio.com>, Max Blakely <max@thompsonbrooks.com>

Dear Mary.

We are aware of the foundation issues at your property at 651 Alvarado. Attached are photos we took last December before we started concrete work at 655 Alvarado. In April, after we removed the structure at 655 Alvarado, we met with you and pointed out the condition of your foundation and the previously burned siding on your West wall. As you can clearly see in the photos, your foundation is crumbling at the Southwest Corner and there have been previous unsuccessful attempts to repair it.

We have followed all permitted plans and have passed all building inspections. Our work has been monitored by the San Francisco Building Inspector, the Geotechnical Engineer, our Structural Engineer, Special Inspectors, and the Architect. Your engineer's suggestion that your foundation should have been underpinned as a part of our work is not feasible, as a failed foundation cannot be underpinned. I can only assume that your engineer is suggesting that we replace your foundation, which failed long before we started any work. With a foundation cracked all the way through and crumbling, even the mildest vibrations or a natural event, such as an earthquake, could cause movement of the structure. We have no issues with the property foundation on the west side of our construction, where the house has an intact foundation that can withstand earthquakes and the mild vibration of construction.

At any rate, we are meeting with the San Francisco Building Department to address the violation notice. You will be notified of the outcome of the meeting by the Building Department.

Sincerely,

Judith Thompson, CEO THOMPSON BROOKS INC.

http://www.thompsonbrooks.com http://www.thompsonbrooks.com/ http://www.houzz.com/pro/thompsonbrooksinc



20161229_111147.jpg

Prodes dans in the work with the same of t



<mark>20161229_111152.jpg</mark> รวยดห

Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net> Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 1:11 PM To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>, Johnbreidinger@gmail.com, john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

The foundation of 651 Alvarado Street was aged but functional before the work at 655 Alvarado Street started. These photographs demonstrate the condition of the foundation was known by the Owner and General Contractor of 655 Alvarado Street. Despite the best efforts of a credible design, construction and ownership team the condition of 651 Alvarado Street's foundation has deteriorated as a result of the ongoing excavation at 655 Alvarado Street. The tenants were happy, the rear door was operable, the City of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection had not issued any violations. None of that is true now.

From: Mary Ferretti-breidinger [mailto:mferrettisf@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 12:16 PM

To: Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>; Johnbreidinger@gmail.com; john ferretti

<JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fwd: 651 Alvarado

[Quoted text hidden]

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>
To: Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>

Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 1:26 PM

Diarmuid,

Thank you for the response. Your response was sent to me, John B and John F. Should I forward it to Judith and Jonathan (owner of 655 Alvarado) from me or from you. Thanks, Mary

[Quoted text hidden]

Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net> To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 1:29 PM

I would say yes

From: Mary Ferretti-breidinger [mailto:mferrettisf@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 1:27 PM

To: Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>

Subject: Re: 651 Alvarado

[Quoted text hidden]

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 1:58 PM

To: JAT@thompsonbrooks.com

Cc: john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>, Kaplan@fishsixrc.com, Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>

Dear Judith,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

The foundation of 651 Alvarado Street was aged but functional before the work at 655 Alvarado Street started. These photographs demonstrate the condition of the foundation was known by the Owner and General Contractor of 655 Alvarado Street. Despite the best efforts of a credible design, construction and ownership team the condition of 651 Alvarado Street's foundation has deteriorated as a result of the ongoing excavation at 655 Alvarado Street. The tenants were happy, the rear door was operable, the City of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection had not issued any violations. None of that is true now.


Mary

[Quoted text hidden]

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 5, 2018 at 5:31 PM

3 attachments



20161229_111409.jpg 3318K



20161229_111147.jpg 1881K




20161229_111152.jpg 3289K

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Thu, Aug 31, 2017 at 12:16 PM To: Diarmuid MacNeill <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>, "Johnbreidinger@gmail.com" <Johnbreidinger@gmail.com>, john ferretti <JOHNFREDSF@yahoo.com>

Response from Judith [Quoted text hidden]

3 attachments



20161229_111409.jpg 3318K

To: diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net

Hi Diarmuid,

FYI....I am not sure if you have these photos. Judith forwarded these to me in August following your initial letter to her.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Diarmuid MacNeill" <diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net>

Date: August 31, 2017 at 1:29:40 PM PDT

To: "Mary Ferretti-breidinger" <mferrettisf@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: 651 Alvarado

[Quoted text hidden]

Permit Details Report

Report Date:

9/14/2017 8:20:52 PM

Application Number:

201708043907

Form Number:

Address(es):

2803 / 028C/0 655 ALVARADO

ST

Description:

REVISION TO PA #201703161580 (ORIG PA #200912113061) -ADDING LANDSCAPING RETAINING WALLS @ REAR YARD.

Cost:

\$100,000.00

Occupancy Code:

R-3

Building Use:

27 - 1 FAMILY DWELLING

Disposition / Stage:

Action Date	Stage	Comments
8/4/2017	TRIAGE	
8/4/2017	FILING	
8/4/2017	FILED	
8/4/2017	APPROVED	
8/4/2017	ISSUED	

Contact Details:

Contractor Details:

License Number:

483119

Name:

PATRICK DAVIS

Company Name:

THOMPSON BROOKS INC.

Address:

151 VERMONT ST * SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103-

0000

Phone:

5812600

Addenda Details:

Description:

Step	Station	Arrive	Start	In Hold	Out Hold	Finish	Checked By	Hold Description
1	INTAKE	8/4/17	8/4/17			8/4/17	YIP JANET	
2	CP-ZOC	8/4/17	8/4/17			8/4/17		NA BY CP COUNTER STAFF
			8/4/17			8/4/17	ZEE HOWARD	approved OTC.
4	СРВ	8/4/17	8/4/17			8/4/17	PASION MAY	

This permit has been issued. For information pertaining to this permit, please call 415-558-6096.

Appointments:

Appointment Date	Appointment AM/PM	Appointment Code	Appointment Type	Description	Tim Slot
9/12/2017	PM	VS	IVR Scheduled	REINFORCING STEEL	1
9/5/2017	PM	VS	IVR Scheduled	REINFORCING STEEL	1
8/15/2017	PM	vs	IVR Scheduled	REINFORCING STEEL	11

Inspections:

Activity Date	Inspector	Inspection Description	Inspection Status
9/12/2017	Michael (Yuet) Chan	REINFORCING STEEL	OK TO POUR
9/5/2017	Joseph Duffy	REINFORCING STEEL	REINFORCING STEEL
8/15/2017	Steve Hajnal	REINFORCING STEEL	OK TO POUR, PARTIAL

Special Inspections:

Addenda No. Completed Date Inspected By Inspection Code Description Remarks

For information, or to schedule an inspection, call 558-6570 between 8:30 am and 3:00 pm.

Station Code Descriptions and Phone Numbers

Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

Technical Support for Online Services

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

111,190,05. (Not. 5.86)

of the San Francisco Municipal Codes Regarding Unsafe, Substandard or Noncomplying Structure or Land or Occupancy

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTIO	ZFIRST NOTICE	90iki -	Harry Minders	
City and County of San Francisco 1980 Mission St. • San Francisco, CA 34103 - 2414	N □ SECOND NOTICE □ OTHER:	201	799932	
ADDRESS 65) alvarado st.		0.4° = 8/	29117	
OCCUPANCY / OSE F-3			<u> 203 - </u>	0284
CINST. TYPE 5 [Jif checked, this information is based upon site observation only. Further re-		STYNERS	Texa	January I. n.
OWNER / AGENT			187 - Martin Call Association and Association of Astronomy 1 to 1 miles part of the con-	
WALLING ADDRESS				
PERSON CONTACTED © SITE				
Local fithe Frank (Seb. 1811) Demphe Frank (Seb. 1814) Demphe Frank (Seb. 1814) Demphe Burder (Seb. 1814) Local frank (Seb. 1814)	DESCRIPTO MICHAL WOFK PERS LEO PERMIT (SERCIO)		00.007/88	-
excauation along neighbors east propound undermined your west proporty line	sundation accomaine	OUST.) MAN	390 /.	1
by Dolemen dated 2/27/17.	indication has another	aro Taborr		
	According to the control of the Company of the Comp	Market Committee		
			·	

Diff Eurithy Ade MG - Reveley Onds PO - 1	Plumbing Ocds - RO - Electrical (Dada Hidewier	sichidel Geris	
	TWE ACTION.			
The state of the s		Po en logar yan watasa	over the second of the second	
	Van 22 a 3) - 20 a 3 a 4			
	Shan Filling.			
				- 2
file a building parmit with plan by cl	are of business friday	9/1/17 -		
	* / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	and the second s			
	, भी प्रकार होते हैं जिल्ला है। अही से प्रकार होत			
	oe Mindo Erusadio, Sarpa o Proj spaction Fasik Litti Litti Litti Fil		an in Spirit park from the	a dana
and the second of the second o			\$ 10	0D
chestar chiu		Vertifing in	pedian Bakha	
displacts	. Mams:	Li Pobsing Ind	330 Mission Su Qaetion Santosu	i di Nata i san
45-558-6252	. 1994 - Alemander († 1866)	Elsoldeal in	Sagurasion or speadon fili Islam	
	and the state of t	Siri Flacin I	560 missier, St. Ispection Division	350-4.7
	State (1) Same (1) - F. T.	affi Habbi i	specion division 330 mission St. 330 mission St.	
		Carlo Marchia	PPR PRAMIUM OF	554 - 5

This site is out of date and no longer maintained. Please refer to official sources for the up to date legal code.

San Francisco

building Building Code

33 SAFEGUARDS DURING CONSTRUCTION

§ 3307 PROTECTION OF ADJOINING PROPERTY

§ 3307

PROTECTION OF ADJOINING PROPERTY

3307.1 Insert a note at the end of this section as follows:

3307.1 Protection required. Adjoining public and private property shall be protected from damage during construction, remodeling and demolition work. Protection must be provided for footings, foundations, party walls, chimneys, skylights, and roofs. Provisions shall be made to control water runoff and erosion during construction or demolition activities. The person making or causing an excavation to be made shall provide written notice to the owners of adjoining buildings advising them that the excavation is to be made and that the adjoining buildings should be protected. Said notification shall be delivered not less than 10 days prior to the scheduled starting date of the excavation.

Note: Other requirements for protection of adjacent property of adjacent and depth to which protection is requested are defined by California Civil Code Section 832, and is reprinted herein for convenience.

Section 832. Each coterminous owner is entitled to the lateral and subjacent support which his land receives from the adjoining land, subject to the right of the owner of the adjoining land to make proper and usual excavations on the same for purposes of construction or improvement, under the following conditions:

- 1. Any owner of land or his lessee intending to make or to permit an excavation shall give reasonable notice to the owner or owners of adjoining lands and of buildings or other structures, stating the depth to which such excavation is intended to be made, and when the excavating will begin.
- 2. In making any excavation, ordinary care and skill shall be used, and reasonable precautions taken to sustain the adjoining land as such, without regard to any building or other structure which may be thereon, and there shall be no liability for damage done to any such building or other structure by reason of the excavation, except as otherwise provided or allowed by law.
- 3. If at any time it appears that the excavation is to be of a greater depth than are the walls or foundations of any adjoining building or other structure, and is to be so close as to endanger the building or other structure in any way, then the owner of the building or other structure must be allowed at least 30 days, if he so desires, in which to take measures to protect the same from any damage, or in which to extend the foundations thereof, and he must be given for the same purposes reasonable license to enter on the land on which the excavation is to be or is being made.
- 4. If the excavation is intended to be or is deeper than the standard depth of foundations, which depth is defined to be a depth of nine feet below the adjacent curb level, at the point where the joint property line intersects the curb and if on the land of the coterminous owner there is any building or other structure the wall or foundation of which goes to standard depth or deeper then the owner of the land on which the excavation is being made shall, if given the necessary license to enter on the adjoining land, protect the said adjoining land and any such building or other structure thereon without cost to the owner thereof, from any damage by reason of the excavation, and shall be liable to the owner of such property for any such damage, excepting only for minor settlement cracks in buildings or other structures.

History

Download

(/building/33/3307.txt) (/building/33/3307.json)
(/building/33/3307.xml)

Comments

DOLMEN

CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC.

August 27, 2017

Attention: Mary Ferretti 444 Day Street San Francisco CA 94131

Re:

651 Alvarado St., San Francisco

Dear Mary:

I visited your property at 651 Alvarado Street in San Francisco with John Breidinger at 2pm on 08/21. Some settlement of the building had been reported by tenants. John walked me through the basement and showed me a door that appeared to have moved recently. It had reportedly been closing and now was significantly far from closing. The rear (South) foundation appears to be rotating and displacing, primarily at the West side. Some newer cracks appear to be opening up in your slab.

Your neighbor at 655 Alvarado Street has excavated a significant hole directly adjacent to your property. Typically when this occurs the neighbor underpins the adjacent properties. Underpinning is the only way to avoid movement of your foundation once subjacent support is removed. It would also allow damage resulting from any minor settlement to be fixed without the concern that it would recur.

John and I met with Max Blakeley, the Project Superintendent with Thompson Brooks, General Contractors for the remodel and additions to 655 Alvarado Street. Max described the earth retention system that was engineered and constructed. While the work appeared to have been performed professionally there is simply no way that the system can retain the earth under your foundation without movement. The likelihood is that this settlement will continue during the course of construction but diminish as the project is completed. Some photographs of the conditions are added on the second page of this letter.


Sincerely,

Diarmuid Mac Neill President





View of 651 Alvarado West Elevation showing neighbor's excavation



Large gap at basement door of 651 Alvarado Street

DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION



Inspection Services
City and County of San Francisco
1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94103-2414
(415) 558-6570 Website: www.sfdbi.org

DATE: 09/07/2017

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 651 ALVARADO ST

BLOCK: 2803 LOT: 028A

Building Complaint #: 201702562

FERRETTI JR JOHN C FERRETTI JR JOHN C 444 DAY ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94131

NOTICE OF VIOLATION FINAL WARNING

Dear Property Owner(s):

NOTICE OF VIOLATION OUTSTANDING:

On 08/29/2017 your property was inspected and/or a Notice of Violation was issued informing you of required code abatement, and warnings for failure to comply. The time period to correct all cited code violations indicated in this Notice has passed, and the Department records indicate that the required code abatement work remains outstanding. Your case has been referred to the Code Enforcement Division for enforcement.

ASSESSMENT OF COSTS NOW IMPOSED:

Therefore, pursuant to Section 102A.3 of the San Francisco Building Code you will be assessed costs arising from department time accrued pertaining but not limited to: (1) site inspections and reinspections, (2) case management, update, and data entry, (3) case inquiries (meetings, office visits, phone calls, emails, response to correspondence etc), (4) permit history research, (5) notice/hearing preparation, (6) staff appearances/reports at hearings, (7) case referrals, and (8) monthly violation monitoring.

AVOID FURTHER ASSESSMENT:

To keep the assessment of costs at a minimum, and avoid the accrual of further time spent on the actions above such as administrative hearing preparation, and monthly violation monitoring, etc., please complete all work within thirty (30) days. Contact the Code Enforcement Division at (415) 558-6454 if you have questions concerning the referenced Notice of Violation.

IF PERMITS ARE REQUIRED:

Please note that you must also obtain all necessary building, plumbing, and/or electrical permits. Obtain final sign-off from the Building Inspector on the building job card and sign-offs from the Plumbing or Electrical Inspectors for the plumbing permit or for the electrical permit. Otherwise, the work will be deemed incomplete.

CASE WILL BE CLOSED WHEN ALL WORK & ASSESSMENT OF COSTS PAID:

This case will not be closed and assessment of costs will continue to accrue until (1) all required work is completed as verified by site inspections, (2) final sign-offs are obtained for all required permits, and (3) all assessment of costs are paid.

YOUR PROMPT ACTION IS REQUESTED & APPRECIATED!

472

SANFRANCISCO

RECORD REQUEST RECEIPT RR20170918778 Property Information BUIL Alidres 515655 ALVARADO Block/Lot: 2803/028C New Construction Date: 1925 Building Occupancy: D Other possible addresses for this location: **Applicant Information** 651 ALVARADO Address First Name MARY ***INTAKE*** Address2 Last Name FERRETTI SAN FRANCISCO City Company CA Ext State Phone 4154019438 94114 Zip Code Alt. Phone Ext Origin E-mail

Request Information Until Date: 10/30/2017 Processed By: JPURDY Ready Date: 10/16/2017 Date Received: 09/18/2017 Turnaround Time: 11 Completed date: 10/03/2017 Voided Date: Final Date: **Duplication for Plans** Owner: Duplication: OYes or ONo Mailed date: \$0.00 Design Prof: \$0.00 Pvision Plans: 35MM: View Only Pvision Plans: 0 DivApps: 0 Pvision Permits: 0 Rolls: 0 Diazo Cards: 0 Aperture Cards: 0 **Printed Copies** \$0.00 \$0.00 Certification: \$0.00 16MM: \$0.00 **Pvision Permits:** Aperture Cards: **Additional Comments** Spoke with customer, she requests all permits from 2009-present. All records printed, she will pick up. 10/3 -JP **Payment Amount** Customer Completed Date: 10/03/2017 0.00 0.00 Balance due: Deposit or adjustment: Total: **Total Printed Copy Paid Units Pvision Plans** 35MM 16MM Certified **Pvision Permits** Aperture Cards 0 0 0 30 0 Payments Applied Issued **Payment** Amount Address Payor Receipt Ву date 651 ALVARADO SAN FRANCISCO CA MARY ***INTAKE*** JKWONG \$3.00 10/3/2017 RR030531 **FERRETTI** 94114

م. 17 ف لينزير

10/0/0017

September 23, 2017

Dear Judith and Jonathan,

In Judith's last email (response to my request to have a meeting with owner(s) of Thompson and Brooks, the Project owner and engineers) Judith stated you would be meeting with the San Francisco Building Department to address the Violation Notice and would hear back. It has been 3 weeks and I have not received further contact from you.

I was hoping to have the following questions addressed at the meeting, but since that did not come to be, I am addressing them to you.

- 1. Where have you excavated and are there any plans to further excate?
- 2. Did you excavate bedrock?
- 3. What measures have you done and are planning to do to protect my building?
- 4. What is the projected time of completion?

In order to move forward, I went to the San Francisco Building Department to view all Building Permit Application No. 2009-12-11-3061 Permits and Drawings. The drawings I have, provided by your Archichitect (s) and the San Francisco Building Department do not relect what you are doing. It is very confusing to me to interpret via their computer screen. The San Francisco Building Department provided me with a Affidavit of Owner form for the owner of building/project to complete and notarize so the Building Department can release copies of the plans of the project to me. I have attached a copy of the form. I would appreciate it if you would please complete it and return to address 444 Day St. S.F. CA 94131.

I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary Ferretti

No Fax, Email, or Copies Accepted



Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Tom C. Hui, S.E., C.B.O., Director


AFFIDAVIT OF OWNER

I hereby certify that Mary Ferrett. APPLICANT'S NAME (Make sure to indice	has my authorization to obtain copies of
APPLICANT'S NAME (Make sure to indic	cate an individual's name, NOT a company name)
plans of my building located at PROPERTY ADDRESS	rado St. S.F. CA 94114
Jonathan Kaplan	601-3549 (Marci)
*PRINT PROPERTY OWNER'S NAME	PROPERTY OWNER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER
*IF THE OWNER IS NOT AN INDIVIDUAL (HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION, CORPORATION, LLC, TRUST, ETC.), PLEASE INCLUDE A SEPARATE LETTER EXPLAINING THE AUTHORITY OF THE PERSON WHO SIGNS THIS AFFIDAVIT ON BEHALF OF THE GROUP.	DATE AUTHORIZATION GRANTED NOTE: This authorization is for a one-time use; only for the above address, for this request, and valid for 30 days from the date granted.
PROPERTY OWNER	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
A notary public or other officer completing this certificate is attached that document.	cate verifies only the identity of the individual who ched, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity
State of California County of)	
On before me,(insert nam	e and title of the officer)
personally appeared	capacity(les), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the
I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the Sta	te of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
WITNESS my hand and official seal.	
Signature (Seal)	
V	
NODERTY ONALERIC Wet Circulus Only	RR#
PROPERTY OWNER'S Wet Signature Only	OFFICE USE ONLY

Records Management Division 1660 Mission Street- San Francisco CA 94103 Office (415) 558-6080 - FAX (415) 575-6875 - www.sfdbi.org

FiCIAL C	BECORDS RECORDS IM DEPARTIMENT 1660 Mission Phone: (415) Property Info Address: 65 Building Occ Other addres	EQUEST ANAGET St. 4tt 558-60 ormatic 5 ALVA
	Applicant li	
	First Name	MARY
	Last Name	FERR
	Company	
	Phone	415-41

RECORDS REQUEST FORM RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION 1660 Mission St. 4th Floor, San Francis	co, CA 94103	Monday	7 10/13/2017 until	ours 7:30 Al	M - 4:00 PM		
Phone: (415) 558-6080 FAX (415) 575-69 Property Information Address: 655 ALVARADO ST Building Occupancy: D	Block/Lot: 2803/028 New Construction Da	С	unavailable two wee Confirmation: R	R201709157	47		
Other addresses for this location:							
Applicant Information First Name MARY ***INTAKE*** Last Name FERRETTI	A	ddress2	1 ALVARADO				
Company Phone 415-401-9438 Ext Alt. Phone Ext	S ¹ Zi	tate C/ ip Code 94					
E-mail							
Building Records							
- All Control of the	Oprint? All jobs \$100. and over require deposi	01-\$199.99 re it prior to printi	quire prior authorizati ng. Once the deposit	ion to printing is received,	g. Initial if we will call		
Original Building Permit (New Construction)	Front & Back All Sheets	☐ ALL E	lectrical Permits				
ALL Building Permit Applications Front			☐ ALL Plumbing/Mechanical Permits				
☐ ALL Building Permit Job Cards		☐ ALL Advertisement Sign Permit Applications					
Certificate of Final Completion and Occu	Dancy ALL NC	Notice of	Violations/Complaints	s 🗌 All 🔲 Ac	tive Inact		
Latest			<u> </u>				
Other: Specific documents by Permit Applica	tion						
Permit Application 201708043907 Building	Front & Back OF VALID	All Sheets	☐ Job Card	☐ CFC	✓ Plans		
Permit Application 201706199663 Building	Front & Back OF VALID	All Sheets	☐ Job Card	☐ CFC	✓ Plans		
Permit Application	Front & Back Of	All Sheets	☐ Job Card	☐ CFC	☐ Plans		
Specific documents by Date Range		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>				
From Date: Year:	To Date: Ye	ear:					
Building Permit Front & Back Or A	⊪ Sheets ☐ Electrical	☐ Plumbir	ng 🔲 Job Card	☐ CFC	Plans		
Building Plans					<u> </u>		
ALL BUILDING PLAN REQUESTS ARE and design professional. Do you own the Duplication of Plans may be requested.	FOR VIEW FIRST ON requested property?	ILY. Duplicatio	n requires permission Once plans are vie	n from the pro	operty owner office,		
☐ Original Building Plans (New Const.)	☐ ALL Building Plans		☐ Architectural Pla	ans			
☐ Structural Plans	☐ Elevation Plans		☐ Mechanical Pla	ns			
☐ ALL Plumbing Plans				☐ Life Safety			
☐ Fire Sprinkler	☐ ALL Advertisement :	Sign Plans					
Additional Comments							
pls email vendor, pls call customer when	ready to view						





FW: Neighbors struts

3 messages

Judith Thompson < JAT@thompsonbrooks.com>

Wed, Nov 15, 2017 at 12:07 PM

To: Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Cc: Patrick Davis <patrick@thompsonbrooks.com>

Mary. Fyi here are some photos of the struts, which were installed today. As you know, Jack from Sunshine will obtain a permit for the further work suggested by Diarmuid. I'm glad we were able to come to some resolution on this matter. We will be in touch once we have the drawings required for the permit.

Sincerely,

Judith Thompson, CEO

THOMPSON BROOKS INC.


http://www.thompsonbrooks.com

http://www.houzz.com/pro/thompsonbrooksinc


415-581-2600


From: miguel jarvis <mjarvisdc1313@yahoo.com>
Date: Wednesday, November 15, 2017 at 11:24 AM
To: Miguel Jarvis <miguel@thompsonbrooks.com>


Subject: Neighbors struts



















Sent from my iPhone

Mary Ferretti-breidinger <mferrettisf@gmail.com> Wed, Nov 15, 2017 at 2:19 PM To: diarmuid@dolmen-engineers.net, John Breidinger <johnbreidinger@gmail.com>, Johnny Ferretti <johnfredsf@yahoo.com>

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Judith Thompson <JAT@thompsonbrooks.com>

Date: November 15, 2017 at 12:07:40 PM PST

You selected:

Address: 655 ALVARADO ST

Block/Lot: 2803 / 028C

Please select among the following links, the type of permit for which to view address information: $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb$

Electrical Permits Plumbing Permits Building Permits Complaints

(Building permits matching the selected address.)

	Permit #	Block	Lot	Street #	Street Name	Unit	Current Stage	Stage Date
0	201708043907	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	08/04/2017
O	201706199663 -	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	06/19/2017
	201706068538	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	06/07/2017
	M756167	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	06/02/2017
-	201705197112 🗡	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	05/23/2017
	201703161580	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	05/04/2017
	201702068651 🗵	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	03/01/2017
	M743567	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	12/05/2016
7.	200912113061	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		ISSUED	09/29/2016
	200302077019	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	02/28/2003
	200011014654	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	01/31/2003
	200210219506	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	01/23/2003
	9305430	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	10/21/1993
	9310595	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	10/21/1993
	8917262	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	10/10/1990
	8920500	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	10/10/1990
	8916806	2803	028C	655	ALVARADO ST		COMPLETE	11/14/1989

Online Permit and Complaint Tracking home page.

Technical Support for Online Services

If you need help or have a question about this service, please visit our FAQ area.

Contact SFGov Accessibility Policies
City and County of San Francisco © 2017



San Francisco, California, United States October 2, 2017, 4:35:59 PM · 7,835 of 9,368





Monday, October 02, 2017

Diarmuid Mac Neill, President Dolmen Consulting Engineers, Inc. 2595 Mission St., Ste. 200 San Francisco, CA 94110

Re: 651/655 Alvarado Street

Dear Diarmuid:

Thompson Brooks is the General Contractor for the project taking place at 655 Alvarado St. We are in receipt of your report dated August 27, 2017 regarding the reported settlement of the property located at 651 Alvarado St. due to our construction activities. We do not agree that our activities have contributed to any alleged settlement taking place at 651 Alvarado St.

To give you some background on the project:

- Thompson Brooks first discovered the crumbling foundation at the rental property at 651 Alvarado St. in late December 2016. Attached are some dated photos showing the existing crumbling foundation.
- In January 2017, we contacted Luk & Associates to install markers and take a baseline survey reading of the neighbor's house before we began any concrete demo.
- In April 2017, per the neighbor's request, our site Superintendent and myself met with the Breidingers to review alleged water infiltration in their Garage. It was discussed that the minor water intrusion was most likely due to the poor condition of their siding, and that flashing between the two properties would be reinstalled as part of the project at 655 Alvarado St. It was also confirmed at that time by the Breidingers that the foundation cracks were existing and that an attempt at repairs had been made at some point in time.
- In August 2017, we received the NOV from the SFDBI and a copy of your report.

Upon receipt of the NOV, we contacted Luk & Associates to conduct another site survey to determine if the adjoining property had moved. The results, which are attached for your use, show that no movement has occurred to 651 Alvarado between January and August 2017.

Our understanding from the SFDBI is that two NOVs were issued, one for 655 Alvarado (201799932) and one for 651 Alvarado (201702562). Due to the NOV issued for 655 Alvarado, the structural portion of the project at 655 Alvarado cannot start again as the SFDBI has stated no work can occur at the property line shared by the two structures. We have attached two letters, one from the Shoring Engineer, DJ Engineers & Associates, and the second from The Structural Engineer of Record, GFDS Engineers. Both letters state the need to continue the structural portion of the project in a timely fashion. The reasons





SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On **December 11, 2009**, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application **No. 2009.12.11.3061 (Alteration)** with the City and County of San Francisco.

	CONTACT INFORMATION	PROJECT	SITE INFORMATION	
Applicant:	Ken Linsteadt	Project Address:	655 Alvarado Street	
Address:	3407 Sacramento Street	Cross Streets:	Beaver & Castro Streets	
City, State:	San Francisco, CA 94118	Assessor's Block /Lot #:	2803/028C	
Telephone:	415.351.1018	Zoning District:	RH-2	
		Height-Bulk District:	40-X	

Under San Francisco Planning Code Section 311, you, as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of this proposed project, are being notified of this Building Permit Application. You are not obligated to take any action. For more information regarding the proposed work, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If your concerns are unresolved, you can request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
[] DEMOLITION and/or	[] NEW CONSTRUCTION or	[X] ALTERATION
[X] VERTICAL EXTENSION	[] CHANGE # OF DWELLING UNITS	[X] FACADE ALTERATION(S)
[] HORIZ. EXTENSION (FRONT)	[] HORIZ. EXTENSION (SIDE)	[X] HORIZ. EXTENSION (REAR)
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING CONDITION	PROPOSED CONDITION
BUILDING DEPTH	±48 feet, 3 inches	±74 feet, 3 inches
REAR YARD	±65 feet, 9 inches	±39 feet, 9 inches
	±23 feet	
•	2 over basement level	` ' ' ' ' '
NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS ON PRO	OPERTY 1	No Change
	PACES At least 1	
1		
	DRO LECT DESCRIPTION	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The subject property is a steeply down sloping lot that contains two-story, single-family dwelling with a basement level below. The project is to construct a two-story over basement level horizontal rear extension, and add a new third story that is setback 15 feet from the existing front building wall. Please see attached plans.

PLANNER'S NAME:

Adrian C. Putra

PHONE NUMBER:

(415) 575-9079

DATE OF THIS NOTICE:

12-13-10

EMAIL:

adrian.putra@sfgov.org

EXPIRATION DATE:

11-61-1

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES

Reduced copies of the site plan and elevations (exterior walls), and floor plans (where applicable) of the proposed project, including the position of any adjacent buildings, exterior dimensions, and finishes, and a graphic reference scale, have been included in this mailing for your information. Please discuss any questions with the project Applicant listed on the reverse. You may wish to discuss the plans with your neighbors and neighborhood association or improvement club, as they may already be aware of the project. Immediate neighbors to the project, in particular, are likely to be familiar with it.

Any general questions concerning this application review process may be answered by the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor (415/558-6377) between 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Please phone the Planner listed on the reverse of this sheet with questions specific to this project.

If you determine that the impact on you from this proposed development is significant and you wish to seek to change the proposed project, there are several procedures you may use. We strongly urge that steps 1 and 2 be taken.

- 1. Seek a meeting with the project sponsor and the architect to get more information, and to explain the project's impact on you and to seek changes in the plans.
- 2. **Call the nonprofit organization Community Boards at (415) 920-3820.** They are specialists in conflict resolution through mediation and can often help resolve substantial disagreement in the permitting process so that no further action is necessary.
- 3. Where you have attempted, through the use of the above steps, or other means, to address potential problems without success, call the assigned project planner whose name and phone number are shown at the lower left corner on the reverse side of this notice, to review your concerns.

If, after exhausting the procedures outlined above, you still believe that exceptional and extraordinary circumstances exist, you have the option to request that the Planning Commission exercise its discretionary powers to review the project. These powers are reserved for use in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances for projects, which generally conflict with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of the Planning Code; therefore the Commission exercises its discretion with utmost restraint. This procedure is called Discretionary Review. If you believe the project warrants Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission over the permit application, you must make such request within 30 days of this notice, prior to the Expiration Date shown on the reverse side, by completing an application (available at the Planning Department, 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, or on-line at www.sfplanning.org). You must submit the application to the Planning Information Center (PIC) during the hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., with all required materials, and a check, for each Discretionary Review request payable to the Planning Department. To determine the fee for a Discretionary Review, please refer to the Planning Department Fee Schedule available at www.sfplanning.org or at the PIC located at 1660 Mission Street, First Floor, San Francisco. For questions related to the Fee Schedule, please call the PIC at (415) 558-6377. If the project includes multi building permits, i.e. demolition and new construction, a separate request for Discretionary Review must be submitted, with all required materials and fee, for each permit that you feel will have an impact on you. Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

If no Discretionary Review Applications have been filed within the Notification Period, the Planning Department will approve the application and forward it to the Department of Building Inspection for its review.

BOARD OF APPEALS


An appeal of the approval (or denial) of the permit application by the Planning Department or Planning Commission may be made to the **Board of Appeals within 15 days** after the permit is issued (or denied) by the Superintendent of the Department of Building Inspection. Submit an application form in person at the **Board's office at 1650 Mission Street, 3rd Floor, Room 304.** For further information about appeals to the Board of Appeals, including their current fees, **contact the Board of Appeals** at **(415) 575-6880**.
















SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MEMO

1650 Mission St.

CA 94103-2479

415.558.6409

Suite 400 San Francisco,

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax:

DATE: September 12, 2011

TO: Interested Parties

FROM: Linda D. Avery

Planning Commission Secretary

RE: Planning Commission Action – No. DRA – 0225

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

Property Address: 655 Alvarado Street
Building Permit Application No.: 2009.12.11.3061
Discretionary Review Case No.: 2011.0082D

On September 8, 2011, the Planning Commission conducted a Discretionary Review hearing to consider the following project:

655 ALVARADO STREET – south side between Diamond and Castro Streets; Lot 028C in Assessor's Block 2803 – Request for Discretionary Review of Building Permit Application No. 2009.12.11.3061, proposing is to construct a three-story horizontal rear extension that involves adding a rear addition at the existing first floor and basement level, creating a new second basement level (under the proposed basement extension), and constructing a one-story vertical extension to a two-story over basement, single-family dwelling within the RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District.

ACTION

The Commission did not take Discretionary Review and approved the project but also urged the Project Sponsor to have dialog with the DR Requestor and concerned neighbors on outstanding issues and with Department staff concerning building color and bird-safety glass

FINDINGS

The reasons the Commission took the action described above include:

There are no extraordinary or exceptional circumstances in the case. The proposal complies with the Planning Code, the General Plan, and conforms to the Residential Design Guidelines. However, the Commission wants to ensure that the Project Sponsor works with staff on building color and complying with bird-safety controls. Additionally, the Commission wants to ensure that the Project Sponsor has continued dialog with DR Requestor and concerned neighbors.

Speakers at the hearing included:

In support of the project	In support of the DR request
Babac Doan	Mary Ferretti

Jonathan Kaplan	Bill Yenne
	Nathaniel Weiner
	Carol Yenne
	John Ferretti

Ayes: Commissioners Olague, Miguel, Antonini, Moore, Sugaya, and Fong.

Nayes: None

Absent: Commissioner Borden

Case Planner: Adrian C. Putra, (415) 575-9079

You can appeal the Commission's action to the Board of Appeals by appealing the issuance of the permit. Please contact the Board of Appeals at (415) 575-6880 for further information regarding the appeals process.

cc: Linda D. Avery

G:\DOCUMENTS\DRs\655 Alvarado Street - 2011.0082D\2011.0082D - 655 Alvarado Street DR Action Memo.doc

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311/312)

On **December 11, 2009**, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. **2009.12.11.3061** with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROPERTY INFORMATION		APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Project Address:	655 Alvarado Street	Applicant:	Holey Associates
Cross Street(s):	Beaver & Castro Streets	Address:	5715 Claremont Avenue
Block/Lot No.:	2803/028C	City, State:	Oakland, CA 94618
Zoning District(s):	RH-2 / 40-X	Telephone:	(415) 509-7979

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

PROJECT SCOPE				
☐ Demolition	□ New Construction	☐ Alteration		
☐ Change of Use	√ Façade Alteration(s)	✓ Front Addition		
✓ Rear Addition	☐ Side Addition	✓ Vertical Addition		
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED		
Building Use	Residential	Residential		
Front Setback	±3 feet, 5 inches	No Change		
Building Depth	±48 feet, 3 inches	±74 feet, 3 inches		
Rear Yard	±65 feet, 9 inches	±39 feet, 9 inches		
Building Height	29'-6"	40'-0"		
Number of Stories	2 over basement	4 over basement		
Number of Dwelling Units	1	No Change		
Number of Parking Spaces	1	No Change		
	PROJECT DESCRIPT	ION		

The proposal is for a one-story vertical addition, three-story horizontal addition and a two-story permitted rear yard obstruction (Per Planning Code Section 136(c)(25)) to an existing two-story-over-basement single-family residence. The project also includes infill of the front porch and interior remodelling. This permit was originally noticed in accordance with Planning Code Section 311 from 12/13/2010 to 1/12/2011.

The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner: Jeff Horn

Telephone: (415) 575-6925 Notice Date: 1/6/16
E-mail: Jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org Expiration Date: 2/5/16

中文詢問請電: (415) 575-9010

Para información en Español llamar al: (415) 575-9010

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES

Reduced copies of the proposed project plans have been included in this mailing for your information. If you have questions about the plans, please contact the project Applicant listed on the front of this notice. You may wish to discuss the plans with your neighbors or neighborhood association, as they may already be aware of the project. If you have general questions about the Planning Department's review process, please contact the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor (415/558-6377) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday. If you have specific questions about the proposed project, you should contact the planner listed on the front of this notice.

If you believe that the impact on you from the proposed project is significant and you wish to seek to change the project, there are several procedures you may use. We strongly urge that steps 1 and 2 be taken.

- 1. Request a meeting with the project Applicant to get more information and to explain the project's impact on you.
- 2. Contact the nonprofit organization Community Boards at (415) 920-3820, or online at www.communityboards.org for a facilitated discussion in a safe and collaborative environment. Community Boards acts as a neutral third party and has, on many occasions, helped reach mutually agreeable solutions.
- 3. Where you have attempted, through the use of the above steps or other means, to address potential problems without success, please contact the planner listed on the front of this notice to discuss your concerns.

If, after exhausting the procedures outlined above, you still believe that exceptional and extraordinary circumstances exist, you have the option to request that the Planning Commission exercise its discretionary powers to review the project. These powers are reserved for use in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances for projects which generally conflict with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of the Planning Code; therefore the Commission exercises its discretion with utmost restraint. This procedure is called Discretionary Review. If you believe the project warrants Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission, you must file a Discretionary Review application prior to the Expiration Date shown on the front of this notice. Discretionary Review applications are available at the Planning Information Center (PIC), 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, or online at www.sfplanning.org). You must submit the application in person at the Planning Information Center (PIC) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday, with all required materials and a check payable to the Planning Department. To determine the fee for a Discretionary Review, please refer to the Planning Department Fee Schedule available at www.sfplanning.org. If the project includes multiple building permits, i.e. demolition and new construction, a separate request for Discretionary Review must be submitted, with all required materials and fee, for each permit that you feel will have an impact on you. Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

If no Discretionary Review Applications have been filed within the Notification Period, the Planning Department will approve the application and forward it to the Department of Building Inspection for its review.


BOARD OF APPEALS

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision on a Discretionary Review case may be made to the **Board of Appeals within 15 calendar days after the building permit is issued** (or denied) by the Department of Building Inspection. Appeals must be submitted in person at the Board's office at 1650 Mission Street, 3rd Floor, Room 304. For further information about appeals to the Board of Appeals, including current fees, contact the Board of Appeals at (415) 575-6880.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW


This project has undergone preliminary review pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). If, as part of this process, the Department's Environmental Review Officer has deemed this project to be exempt from further environmental review, an exemption determination has been prepared and can be obtained through the Exemption Map, on-line, at www.sfplanning.org. An appeal of the decision to exempt the proposed project from CEQA may be made to the Board of Supervisors within 30 calendar days after the project approval action identified on the determination. The procedures for filing an appeal of an exemption determination are available from the Clerk of the Board at City Hall, Room 244, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Planning Department or other City board, commission or department at, or prior to, such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.




AS NOTED
Scale
EXISTING & PROPOSED
SITE PLAN W/
ADJACENT LOTS
Drawing Title

Architecture + Interior Architecture 5715 Claremont Ave. Oakland, CA 94618 Tel: 510.922.9098 Fax: 510.280.2978



ALVARADO RESIDENCE 655 ALVARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114




PROPOSED THIRD FLOOR PLAN TERRACE BELOW EXISTING THIRD FLOOR PLAN 0 Scale
EXISTING & PROPOSED
THIRD FLOOR PLAN
Drawing Title SPL/JY Drawn By 07.30.15 Date ₽

Checked By

ALVARADO RESIDENCE 655 ALVARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114


Architecture
+ Interior
Architecture
5715 Claremont Ave.
Oakland, CA 94618
Tel: 510.922.9098
Fax: 510.280.2978



ALVARADO RESIDENCE 655 ALVARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

Architecture + Interior Architecture 5715 Claremont Ave. Oakland, CA 9461.8 Tel: 510.922.9098 Fax: 510.280.2978







ALVARADO RESIDENCE 655 ALVARADO STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

Architecture
+ Interior
Architecture
5715 Claremont Ave.
Oakland, CA 94618
Tel: 510.922.9098
Fax: 510.280.2978







STREET PHOTO

2013 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE

2013 CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC CODE

2013 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE 2013 GREEN BUILDING CODE 2013 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE

2013 SAN FRANCISCO CODE AMENDMENTS

ORDINANCES

2013 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE

2013 SAN FRANCISCO HOUSING CODE

2013 SAN FRANCISCO BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS

2013 SAN FRANCISCO ELECTRICAL CODE AMENDMENTS

2013 SAN FRANCISCO MECHANICAL CODE AMENDMENTS 2013 SAN FRANCISCO PLUMBING CODE AMENDMENTS

2013 SAN FRANCISCO GREEN BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS

ALL APPLICABLE LOCAL CITY OF SAN RANCISCO ZONING REGULATIONS & LOCAL

CONSTRUCTION PLAN LEGEND PROJECT DATA INDEX OF DRAWINGS VICINITY MAP EXISTING WALL TO REMAIN A0.1 COVER SHEET, SITE PLAN PROJECT LOCATION: 655 ALVARADO STREET A0.2 EXISTING SITE PHOTOS A0.3 SF ZONING CODE BUILDING SETBACK DIAGRAM BLOCK / LOT NUMBER: 2803 / 028C A0.4 SF ZONING CODE HEIGHT BULK DIAGRAM NEW WALL 2x4 WD FRAMING **EQUIPMENT FIXTURE TAG** ZONING DISTRICT: RH-2 RESIDENTIAL A2.0 PROPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL PLAN A2.1 EXISTING FIRST LEVEL PLAN ALVARADO ST. LOT DIMENSION: 25'-0" X 114'-0" A2.2 PROPOSED FIRST LEVEL PLAN NEW WALL 2X6 WD FRAMING (A) WALL TYPE A2.3 EXISTING SECOND LEVEL PLAN LOT AREA: 2850 SQ. FT. A2.4 PROPOSED SECOND LEVEL PLAN A2.5 EXISTING THIRD LEVEL PLAN FRONT SETBACK: BASED UPON AVERAGE OF ADJACENT BUILDINGS A2.6 PROPOSED THIRD LEVEL PLAN $\Box = \Box = \Box$ DEMO WALL, DOOR, WINDOW, ETC. UP TO 15 FT. OR 15% OF LOT DEPTH A2.7 EXISTING ROOF PLAN A2.8 PROPOSED FOURTH FLOOR PLAN REAR YARD REQUIREMENT: 45% OF LOT DEPTH A2.9 PROPOSED ROOF PLAN USE DISTRICT HEIGHT LIMIT: 40'/35' MAXIMUM HEIGHT A3.1 EXISTING NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS 30'-0" MAXIMUM HEIGHT AT FRONT OF PROPERTY A3.2 PROPOSED NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS DOOR SWING A3.3 EXISTING EAST ELEVATION (1) OFF STREET PARKING SPACE PARKING: A3.4 PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION NO CHANGE A3.5 EXISTING WEST ELEVATION CONSTRUCTION TYPE: V-B A3.6 PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION **ELEVATION REFERENCE** A4.1 LONGITUDINAL BUILDING SECTION A4.2 TRANSVERSE BUILDING SECTION A5.1 3D RENDERINGS PROJECT SITE ALIGN SURFACES AREA CALCULATIONS **BUILDING CODE DATA** PROJECT DESCRIPTION THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS TO CONSTRUCT A THREE-LEVEL HORIZONTAL REAR THE WORK MUST CONFORM TO THE FOLLOWING CODES: EXTENSION, A ONE-LEVEL FRONT HORIZONTAL EXTENSION AND A ONE-STORY PROPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL: 1151 SQ. FT. 2013 CALIFORNIA CODE VERTICAL EXTENSION. THE PROPOSED REAR EXTENSION INVOLVES ADDING A

PROPOSED FIRST LEVEL: 961 SQ. FT.

PROPOSED SECOND LEVEL: 1405 SQ. FT.

PROPOSED THIRD LEVEL: 1068 SQ. FT.

PROPOSED FOURTH LEVEL: 511 SQ. FT.

PROPOSED TOTAL: 5096 SQ. FT.

UNIT 1 (LOWER UNIT) GROSS FLOOR AREA: 3557 SQ.FT.

UNIT 2 (UPPER UNIT) GROSS FLOOR AREA: 1539 SQ.FT.

EXISTING FIRST LEVEL: 613 SQ. FT.

EXISTING THIRD LEVEL: 999 SQ. FT.

EXISTING TOTAL: 2737 SQ. FT.

EXISTING SECOND LEVEL: 1125 SQ. FT

DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER **MHC ENGINEERS** 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

ISSUANCES

Revisions

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: NTS

REAR HORIZONTAL EXTENSION AT THE EXISTING FIRST FLOOR AND BASEMENT

WILL BE SETBACK FROM THE EAST PROPERTY LINE BY FIVE FEET.

PROJECT DIRECTORY

ARCHITECT / APPLICANT

SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901

ATTN: ZAC MADDRY

PHONE: 415.258.9100

1414 FOURTH STREET, SUITE 200B

EMAIL: ZAC@DMARCSTUDIO.COM

DMARC STUDIO

LEVELS; AND CREATING A NEW SECOND BASEMENT LEVEL UNDER THE PROPOSED

BASEMENT EXTENSION. THE REAR HORIZONTAL EXTENSION AT THE FIRST FLOOR

JONATHAN KAPLAN & MARCI KAPLAN-GLAZER

655 ALVARADO STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SHEET

COVER SHEET, SITE PLAN & PROJECT INFO

DATE: 2018.02.20 JOB NUMBER: 1613

EXISTING STREET PHOTOS







655 ALVARADO STREET
"SUBJECT PROPERTY"



- 655 ALVARADO STREET "SUBJECT PROPERTY"





















DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 ENGINEERS 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER
MHC ENGINEERS
150 Eighth Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eikior@mhcengr.com


Revision

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: NTS

EXISTING SITE PHOTOS

A0.2







1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture [™]
543 Howard Street, First Floor

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER
Rockridge Geotechnical
ATTN: Craig Shields
Phone: 510.420.5738
Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT
Rick's Energy Solutions
ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite
Phone: 707.578.5380
Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER
MHC ENGINEERS
150 Eighth Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eikior@mhcengr.com
ISSUANCES


Revisions


655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: NTS


SHE

SF ZONING CODE
BUILDING SETBACK
DIAGRAM
AO.3





1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

> 08.12.16 50% DD SET 50% DD - REV 1 SET

100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM △ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS

△ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET △ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM


05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED BASEMENT LEVEL PLAN

DATE: 2018.02.20 JOB NUMBER: 1613



DMARCstudio 1414 fourth st #200b

san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com


655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXISTING FIRST LEVEL PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED FIRST LEVEL PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com


655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXISTING SECOND LEVEL PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114


SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED SECOND LEVEL PLAN



DMARCstudio 1414 fourth st #200b

san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

08.12.16 50% DD SET

08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM


△ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS △ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET

△ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM 05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXISTING THIRD LEVEL PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100

www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eikior@mhcengr.com


Revisions

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED THIRD LEVEL PLAN

DATE: 2018.02.20 JOB NUMBER: 1613



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120

08.12.16 50% DD SET

08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM

△ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS △ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET


△ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM

05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

EXISTING ROOF PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com

PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 ENGINEERS 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

> 08.12.16 50% DD SET 50% DD - REV 1 SET 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET


ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM △ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS △ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET

△ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM 05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED FOURTH FLOOR PLAN



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

> 08.12.16 50% DD SET 08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET

100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM △ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS

△ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET △ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM

05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED ROOF PLAN



EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION



DMARCstudio

1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 **ENGINEERS** 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

ISSUANCES

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

EXISTING NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS

DATE: 2017.11.16 JOB NUMBER: 1613

2 EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™ 543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields

Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED NORTH AND SOUTH ELEVATIONS



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 ENGINEERS 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eikior@mhcengr.com

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

EXISTING EAST ELEVATION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



Structural design for fine architecture ™

Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com


MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com

Revisions

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103
attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P.
T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19
F: 415.512.7120
E: eikior@mhcengr.com

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

EXISTING WEST ELEVATION



DMARCstudio 1414 fourth st #200b

san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™ 543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105

ATTN: Randy Braun

Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com


Revisions

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

SHEET

PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 **ENGINEERS** 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com


ENERGY CONSULTANT Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120 E: eikior@mhcengr.com


655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

LONGITUDINAL SECTION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 ENGINEERS 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120

Revisions

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: 3/16" = 1'-0"

TRANSVERSE SECTION



1414 fourth st #200b san rafael, ca 94901 tel: 415.258.9100 www.dmarcstudio.com



PROPERTY OWNER

Jonathan Kaplan & Marci Glazer 655 Alvarado Street San Francisco, CA 94114

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER



design for fine architecture ™

543 Howard Street, First Floor San Francisco, California 94105 ENGINEERS 415 512 1301

ATTN: Randy Braun Email: rbraun@gfdseng.com

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

Rockridge Geotechnical ATTN: Craig Shields Phone: 510.420.5738 Email: csshields@rockridgegeo.com

ENERGY CONSULTANT

Rick's Energy Solutions ATTN: Rick Cowperwaite Phone: 707.578.5380 Email: rick@rc-networks.com

MEP ENGINEER MHC ENGINEERS 150 Eighth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 attn: Eiki Or, P.E., LEED A.P. T: 415.512.7141 ext. 19 F: 415.512.7120

E: eikior@mhcengr.com

ISSUANCES 08.12.16 50% DD SET 08.24.16 50% DD - REV 1 SET

09.16.16 100% DD ARCHITECTURAL SET 09.27.16 ARCHITECTURAL ADDENDUM

△ 11.08.16 PLAN CHECK COMMENTS 02.01.17 02.01.17 △ 03.15.17 PERMIT REVISION SET

△ 05.02.17 TITLE 24 ADDENDUM 05.10.17 MILESTONE SET

655 ALVARADO ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114

SCALE: NTS

SHEET

3D RENDERINGS