



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary

Condominium Conversion Subdivision

HEARING DATE: JANUARY 11, 2018

Date: January 4, 2018
Case No.: **2017-013609CND**
Project Address: **668-678 PAGE STREET**
Zoning: RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) District
 40-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 0843 / 015
Project Sponsor: Rosemarie MacGuinness
 388 Market Street, Suite 1300
 San Francisco, CA 94111
Staff Contact: David Weissglass – (415) 575-9177
david.weissglass@sfgov.org
Recommendation: **Approval**

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project proposes to convert a three-story-over-garage, six-unit building into residential condominiums. No alterations to the building are proposed other than those that result from the Department of Building Inspection’s Physical Inspection Report. The Subdivision Code requires that the Planning Commission hold a public hearing to review condominium conversion subdivisions containing five to six residential units for consistency with the General Plan.

Residential Unit Description

Unit No.	Square-Feet	No. of Bedrooms
1 (668 Page Street)	1,300	2
2 (670 Page Street)	1,300	2
3 (672 Page Street)	1,300	2
4 (674 Page Street)	1,300	2
5 (676 Page Street)	1,300	2
6 (678 Page Street)	1,300	2

Rental History:

- All six dwelling units are owner-occupied.
- There are no households with persons that are aged 62 or older or permanently disabled.

Five-Year Rental History

Unit #	Duration	Occupant	Rent	Reason for Leaving	Purchaser
1	9/2008 – Present	Geoffrey Pierce	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
2	11/2012 – 1/2017	Vacant	N/A	N/A	
2	2/2017 – Present	Peter M. Owens, Carolyn A. Radisch	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
3	3/20119 – 8/2013	Spencer K. Jones	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
3	4/2011 – 8/2013	Adam Barbina	\$1,200	Voluntary Move	No
3	9/2013 – 12/2015	Helen Ma	\$2,125	Voluntary Move	No
3	9/2013 – 12/2015	Stephanie Cheung	\$2,125	Voluntary Move	No
3	9/2015 – 10/2016	Min Si	\$2,125	Voluntary Move	No
3	1/2016 – 7/2017	Carmen Sutter	\$1,700	Voluntary Move	No
3	11/2016 – 7/2017	Ameesha Isaac	\$1,750	Voluntary Move	No
3	8/2017 – Present	Spencer K. Jones	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
4	10/2008 – Present	Christopher Beahn, Christine Han Beahn	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
5	5/2010 – Present	Alexander E. Apke, Anna M. Munoz	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes
6	6/2003 – Present	Michel Bechirian, Niloo Tehranchi	N/A, owner	N/A, owner	Yes

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The project site is located at 668-678 Page Street on the north side of Page Street between Steiner and Fillmore Streets on Assessor’s Block 0843, Lot 015. The project site is located within a RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) Zoning District, 40-X Height and Bulk District, and is approximately 4,033 square feet in size (approximately 37 feet 6 inches wide by 107 feet 6 inches deep). The three-story-over-garage building is occupied by six dwelling units and was constructed circa 1907.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The project site is located in the Western Addition neighborhood. The surrounding development consists mainly of multi-unit residential buildings, and the scale of development predominantly consists of two- to four-story buildings. The block and surrounding area is predominantly residential, but the project site is located one block north of the NC-2 Zoning District with commercial activity on Haight Street.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS

The project was determined not to be a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c) and 15378 because there is no direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

HEARING NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

TYPE	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Posted Notice	10 days	January 1, 2018	January 1, 2018	10 days
Mailed Notice	10 days	January 1, 2018	January 1, 2018	10 days

PUBLIC COMMENT

- To date, the Department has received one letter of opposition from the Housing Rights Committee of San Francisco and one email of opposition from Senior & Disability Action, both requesting that the case be pulled from the consent calendar and placed on the regular calendar. Both the letter and the email are included in the exhibits for your consideration.

ISSUES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- The Subdivision Code requires that the Planning Commission hold a public hearing to review condominium conversion subdivisions containing five to six units, where at least one unit is residential, for consistency with the General Plan. Similar projects with four or fewer units are approved administratively. This provision requiring Planning Commission review for larger projects may be a residual of former rent control regulations.
- In the RH-3 Zoning District, a maximum density of three dwelling units per lot can be considered legal and conforming to the Planning Code. One unit per 1,000 square feet of lot area can be permitted in the Zoning District with Conditional Use Authorization. The Report of Residential Building Record (3-R) shows that the legal use of the subject building is a six-family dwelling. As the property was never granted Conditional Use Authorization to allow a density above three dwelling units per lot, the subject property is considered legally nonconforming with respect to the density limits of Planning Code Section 209.1

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

The proposed project requires Planning Commission approval under Section 3.527 of the Charter and Sections 1332 and 1381 of the Subdivision Code to allow the condominium conversion subdivision of five to six residential unit buildings. Findings must be made that the proposal is consistent with the General Plan and the Subdivision Code.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- The proposed project is consistent with the Housing Element of the General Plan in that the existing supply of housing will be maintained, the condominium subdivision application is subject to the restrictions of the Subdivision Code, and the subdivision will allow for home ownership opportunities for San Francisco residents.
- The eight priority planning policies set forth by City Planning Code Section 101.1 are met.
- The project meets the requirements for condominium conversions under the California State Map Act and the San Francisco Subdivision Code.

RECOMMENDATION: Approval

Attachments:

Block Book Map
Sanborn Map
Zoning Map
Aerial Photograph
Site Photograph
Public Correspondence

Exhibit Checklist

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive Summary | <input type="checkbox"/> Project sponsor submittal |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Draft Motion | Drawings: <u>Existing Conditions</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Determination | <input type="checkbox"/> Check for legibility |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Zoning District Map | Drawings: <u>Proposed Project</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Height & Bulk Map | <input type="checkbox"/> Check for legibility |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Block Book Map | <input type="checkbox"/> Health Dept. review of RF levels |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sanborn Map | <input type="checkbox"/> RF Report |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aerial Photos | <input type="checkbox"/> Community Meeting Notice |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Context Photo | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Correspondence |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Photo | |

Exhibits above marked with an "X" are included in this packet

DNW
Planner's Initials

This page intentionally left blank.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

- Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)
- Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)
- Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)
- First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
- Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)
- Other

1650 Mission St.
Suite 400
San Francisco,
CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
Information:
415.558.6377

Planning Commission Draft Motion

HEARING DATE: JANUARY 11, 2018

Date: January 1, 2018
Case No.: **2017-013609CND**
Project Address: **668-678 PAGE STREET**
Zoning: RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) District
 40-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 0843 / 015
Project Sponsor: Rosemarie MacGuinness
 388 Market Street, Suite 1300
 San Francisco, CA 94111
Staff Contact: David Weissglass – (415) 575-9177
david.weissglass@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE REVIEW FOR CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN TO ALLOW A CONDOMINIUM CONVERSION SUBDIVISION OF A THREE-STORY-OVER-GARAGE, SIX-UNIT BUILDING INTO RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUMS WITHIN A RH-3 (RESIDENTIAL-HOUSE, THREE FAMILY) ZONING DISTRICT AND A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On September 25, 2017, Rosemarie MacGuinness (hereinafter “Project Sponsor”) filed an application with the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Use and Mapping for Planning Department review to allow the Condominium Conversion Subdivision of a three-story-over-garage, six-unit building into residential condominiums within a RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) Zoning District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. The subject building is considered a legal use as the Report of Residential Building Record indicates that the legal authorized occupancy and use is a six-unit dwelling.

On January 11, 2018, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter “Commission”) conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Condominium Conversion Subdivision Application No. 2017-013609CND.

Section 1396, Article 9 of the Subdivision Code of the City and County of San Francisco sets forth the following rules and regulations for condominium conversions:

- A. Units may be converted to condominiums so long as they meet the requirements of the Expedited Conversion Program per the Subdivision Code. An exception is provided for two-unit buildings where both units are owner-occupied for one year.
- B. The following categories of buildings may be converted to condominiums:
 - i. Buildings consisting of four units or less in which at least one of the units has been occupied continuously by one of the owners of record for five years prior to the date of application for conversion.
 - ii. Buildings consisting of six units or less in which at least three of the units have been occupied continuously by three of the owners of record for five years prior to the date of application for conversion.

The Subdivision Code requires that the Planning Commission hold a public hearing to review condominium conversion subdivisions containing five to six units for consistency with the General Plan where at least one unit is residential. The Code calls for a sales program which promotes affirmative action in housing, a non-transferable tenant right of first-refusal to purchase the unit occupied by the tenant and various relocation requirements, including the right to a \$1,000 relocation payment.

The Subdivision Code further provides for a lifetime lease for all tenants aged 62 years or older and/or are permanently disabled, and requires that no less than 40 percent of the tenants either have signed Intent to Purchase forms or be in a position of accepting such a lifetime lease. The Code prohibits any increase in rents while the conversion application is pending before the City.

The project was determined not to be a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15060(c) and 15378 because there is no direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby approves the Condominium Conversion Subdivision requested in Application No. 2017-013609CND based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.

2. The applicant requests Planning Department review of a Condominium Conversion Subdivision Application to allow for the conversion of the multi-unit building.
3. As required by Section 1396 of the San Francisco Subdivision Code, at least three of the units have been owner occupied continuously by one or more of the owners of record for five years prior to the date of application for conversion.
4. Tenants in the subject building were notified of their right of first-refusal to purchase the unit they occupy, as required by the Subdivision Code, and of other rights to which they are entitled under provisions of the same Code.
5. A search of the Rent Board database did not show any tenant petitions or eviction notices filed with the Rent Board in the last 5 years.
6. On balance, the Project is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan, as follows:

HOUSING ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 2:

RETAIN EXISTING HOUSING UNITS, AND PROMOTE SAFETY AND MAINTENANCE STANDARDS, WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING AFFORDABILITY.

Policy 2.4:

Promote improvements and continued maintenance to existing units to ensure long term habitation and safety.

Property owners are required to correct outstanding code violations identified in a Physical Inspection Report issued by the Department of Building Inspection (DBI). All work must be completed and a DBI Certificate of Final Completion must be issued prior to DPW approval.

OBJECTIVE 3:

PROTECT THE AFFORDABILITY OF THE EXISTING HOUSING STOCK, ESPECIALLY RENTAL UNITS.

Policy 3.3:

Maintain balance in affordability of existing housing stock by supporting affordable moderate ownership opportunities.

Conversions of rental stock to condominiums help achieve affordable homeownership, providing a category of housing stock for moderate income housing needs. Through the Expedited Conversion Program, properties are eligible to convert from rental units to ownership status so long as owner-occupancy requirements are met.

7. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:

- A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The proposal would have no adverse effect upon existing neighborhood-serving retail uses as it is a change in form of residential tenure.

- B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The proposal is a change in form of residential tenure and would not alter the existing housing and neighborhood character of the vicinity.

- C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

No housing would be removed for this project.

- D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The proposal is a change in form of residential tenure and would not affect public transit or neighborhood parking.

- E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The proposal is a change in form of residential tenure and would not involve the industrial or service sectors of the City.

- F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The proposal is subject to inspection by the Department of Building Inspection and will be required to make any code required repairs, including those related to life safety issues, prior to the recordation of the final condominium subdivision map.

- G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The proposal is a change in form of residential tenure and would not affect landmarks or historic buildings.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The proposal is a change in form of residential tenure and would not affect public parks or open space.

8. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
9. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Condominium Conversion Subdivision would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, Department staff and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Condominium Conversion Subdivision Application No. 2017-013609CND.**

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on January 11, 2018.

Jonas Ionin
Commission Secretary


AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: January 11, 2018


Block Book Map



SUBJECT PROPERTY




Zoning Map



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Height and Bulk Map



SUBJECT PROPERTY



Aerial Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY

**SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT**



Conditional Use Hearing
Case Number 2017-013609CND
668-678 Page Street
Block 0843 Lot 015

Aerial Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT



Conditional Use Hearing
Case Number 2017-013609CND
668-678 Page Street
Block 0843 Lot 015

Site Photo



SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT



Conditional Use Hearing
Case Number 2017-013609CND
668-678 Page Street
Block 0843 Lot 015



David Weissglass
Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
SF, CA 94103

December 18, 2017

Dear Mr. Weissglass:

I am writing to express the opposition of Housing Rights Committee to the 668-678 Page St. condo conversion item being on the Planning Commission's January 11, 2018 consent calendar. We believe it should be on the regular calendar with standard deliberation. We feel there are important issues to be discussed regarding this conversion, such as the eviction of 100-year-old Iris Canada, who lived in apartment 2 and died shortly after her eviction. These issues should be addressed at a hearing on the regular calendar.

I know that our reason for opposing this project is not to be argued at this point, so this is simply a request for the item to be pulled from the consent calendar on January 11, 2018.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Tommi Avicolti Mecca
Housing Rights Committee
(415) 703-8634

From: Theresa Flandrich
To: [Weissglass, David \(CPC\)](#)
Subject: January 11, 2018 Case No.Continuanace(s)Planner 2017-013609CND668-678 Page Street
Date: Monday, December 18, 2017 2:59:56 PM

December 18, 2017

Mr Weissglass,

Regarding

January 11, 2018

Case No. Continuanace(s) Planner

2017-013609CND 668-678 Page Street CONSENT Weissglass
condo conversion

Dear Mr Weissglass,

I am writing on behalf of Senior & Disability Action to state that we are in opposition to the 668-678 Page Street condo conversion item scheduled for the Planning Commission's January 11, 2018 consent calendar hearing. This item should be on the regular calendar with standard deliberation. We believe that there are issues that need to be discussed regarding this building and conversion and ask that this item be pulled from the consent calendar on January 11th, 2018. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Theresa Flandrich

Senior and Disability Action 1360 Mission Street Suite 400, San Francisco CA 94109

Telephone: 415/546- 1333

--

Theresa Flandrich
theresa@sdaction.org