# **Executive Summary Conditional Use Authorization**

HEARING DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017 CONTINUED FROM NOVEMBER 30, 2017; CONTINUED FROM NOVEMBER 2, 2017

Date: December 14, 2017
Case No.: 2017-006766CUA

Project Address: 2394 FOLSOM STREET

Zoning: NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) Zoning District

Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District

45-X Height and Bulk District

*Block/Lot:* 3594/011

Project Sponsor: Justin Catalana

644 Old Mason Street San Francisco, CA 94129

Staff Contact: Esmeralda Jardines – (415) 575-9144

esmeralda.jardines@sfgov.org

Recommendation: Approval with Conditions

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project includes a change in use from trade shop to a full-serivce restaurant with accessory brewery (DBA Fort Point) within a portion of the existing building. The new restaurant will occupy a space formerly used as retail and storage for the adajcent trade shop use (DBA Timbuk2). The proposal includes interior tenant improvements with no proposed exterior alterations. The existing commercial space is 2,481 square feet in size; the proposed restaurant is 4,646 square feet, inclusive of a mezzanine.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The project is located on the west side of Folsom Street, on the northwest corner of the intersection with 20<sup>th</sup> Street on Assessor's Block 3594, Lot 011. The property is located within the NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) District, a 45-X Height and Bulk District, and the Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District. The property is developed with a former warehouse currently occupied by a Trade Shop with accessory office, retail and storage (DBA Timbuk 2). The subject property is a corner lot, with approximately 95 feet of frontage on Folsom Street, 245 feet of frontage on 20<sup>th</sup> Street, and 95 feet of frontage along Shotwell Street.

#### SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The project site is located at the intersection of Folsom, Shotwell and 20th Streets. To the west, south and north are residential buildings with ground floor commercial. To the northeast is John O'Connell Technical High School. The Project site is located in an NC-2 Zoning District with a variety of

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 Executive Summary
Hearing Date: December 21, 2017

neighborhood-serving uses. A mixture of food establishments, an art gallery, and residential buildings define the District. The food service establishments are primarily restaurants, with a bar (DBA Shotwell's) across the street. The surrounding properties are located within the RH-3 (Residential House, Three-Family), RM-1 (Residential-Mixed, Low Density), RTO-M (Residential Transit-Oriented, Mission), P (Public) and UMU (Urban Mixed Use).

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption.

#### **HEARING NOTIFICATION**

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Classified News Ad	20 days	October 13, 2017	October 11, 2017	71 days
Posted Notice	20 days	October 13, 2017	October 12, 2017	70 days
Mailed Notice	20 days	October 13, 2017	October 10, 2017	72 days

The proposal requires a Section 312-neighborhood notification, which was conducted in conjunction with the Conditional Use Authorization process.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT/COMMUNITY OUTREACH

- To date, the Department has received public comments inquiring about the project, hearing, as well as expressing opposition and support of the proposal.
- The Department has received one email expressing concern and opposition; two additional inquries about the Planning Commission hearing and the project scope. The Department also received a few phone calls expressing concern about the proposed accessory brewery.
- United to Save the Mission (USM) submitted an email expressing concerns of the limited amount of production that is proposed. USM explained the community would much rather see 2394 Folsom Street to be used for beer manufacturing, rather than for consumption. USM is in opposition to high-end bars and restaurants that do not serve the local community.
- Twelve letters of support have also been submitted. Letters of support have been submitted from: 18 Reasons, 826 Valencia Street, Community Housing Partnership, CUESA, Heath, INFORUM, SF FILM, Southern Exposure, Tipping Point, the San Francisco Arts Institute, and Timbuk2.
- All correspondence submitted in writing has been included in the Planning Commission Packets.

3

### Executive Summary Hearing Date: December 21, 2017

#### ISSUES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- *Use.* The proposed use is an independent use and is locally owned. The proposed use is not a Formula Retail use. Trash will be picked up from the 20<sup>th</sup> Street frontage seven days a week. A total of approximately four cans are stored in the maintenance room (one for recycling, two for compost, and one for trash) and will be rolled out for pick up to the 20<sup>th</sup> Street curb and then immediately brought back.
- *Traffic: Loading and Deliveries.* The Project Sponsor, after corresponding with SF MTA, has a submitted a loading plan including one new white curb (pick-up and drop-off) and two new yellow curb zones (commercial loading) along 20<sup>th</sup> Street. The delivery window is from 10AM to 2 PM, Monday-Friday; with a maximum of two trucks a day.
- General Plan. The Commerce and Industry Element of the General Plan contains Guidelines that discourage the overconcentration of eating and drinking establishments within NCDs. While the proposed use is an eating establishment, the percent of commercial frontage in the subject zoning district within 300′ of the project site that would be devoted to restaurant and limited-restaurant uses would increase from 16.6% to 22.3%, remaining below the 25% maximum that Planning Code Section 303 (o) states should be maintained.
- Accessory Brewery. Planning Code Section 703 specifies that no use will be considered accessory to a permitted Principal or Conditional Use that involves or requires the use of more than 1/3 of the total floor area occupied by such use and the Principal or Conditional Use to which it is accessory to. The proposed accessory brewery measures approximately 475 square feet, which is 10% of the total 4,646 square feet for the restaurant. Further, the accessory brewery will be limited in production to no more than a maximum of 5,000 BBLs per year. However, the project sponsor does not aniticpate producing more than 1,000 BBLs per year at 2394 Folsom Street. Lastly, the restaurant's accessory storage measures 569 square feet, which is 12% of the total 4,646 square feet for the restaurant.

Given the nature of the proposed operation, the proposed Type 23 ABC License may be considered as a light manufacturing use only under the following condition: the size of the proposed brewing system (including area devoted to brewing) and its production capacity cannot be increased beyond that allowed of 5,000 BBLs per year nor increased beyond what is deemed accessory per Planning Code Section 703. This condition ensures that the proposed brewpub operation is not converted into a brewery, which is prohibited in an NC-2 Zoning District.

#### **MISSION ACTION PLAN 2020**

The project site falls within the area of the ongoing Mission Action Plan 2020 (MAP2020). MAP 2020 is a community initiated collaboration between community organizations and the City of San Francisco. The goals are to create and preserve affordable housing and retain and attract low to moderate income residents and community-serving businesses, artists, and nonprofits in order to strengthen and preserve the socioeconomic and cultural diversity of the Mission neighborhood.

CASE NO. 2017-006766CUA 2394 Folsom Street

Executive Summary
Hearing Date: December 21, 2017

One of the chief concerns of the community is the loss of neighborhood-serving retail establishments to destination venues, and in particular those serving alcohol. Community advocates have indicated their desire for regulations and incentives that retain and favor community serving retail and cultural institutions and prevent the overconcentration of restaurants and other alcohol dispensing establishments.

These community concerns gave rise to the Mission Interim Zoning Controls, adopted by the Planning Commission on January 14, 2016. The Mission Interim Controls provide the Commission with additional information to consider in its deliberation related to a project's contribution to the goals of neighborhood stabilization and whether they are addressing any potential negative effects such as direct displacement of residents or businesses. The Mission Interim Controls can be found here:

#### http://sfplanninggis.org/docs/PlanningProvisions/MissionIntCont2017MGB FINAL.pdf

The Mission Interim Controls also afford the City, working with community stakeholders, time to craft permanent land use regulations and neighborhood stabilization strategies. Staff, in conjunction with the community, is in the process of exploring the following:

- Controls for restaurants and other alcohol dispensing uses related to concentration of establishments and other space (e.g. size) and operating characteristics (e.g. hours of operation);
- Retention and attraction strategies for community serving retail establishments;
- Production, Distribution and Repair (PDR) and Arts Activities retention strategies;

While these strategies are explored, the Mission Interim Controls help inform the Commission's decision-making process. More information on the neighborhood trends, the MAP2020 process and the Mission Action Plan endorsed by the Commission on March 2017 can be found at:

http://sf-planning.org/mission-action-plan-2020

#### MISSION 2016 INTERIM ZONING CONTROLS

Planning Commission Resolution No. 19865 requires any restaurant to obtain a Conditional Use Authorization from the Planning Commission per Planning Code Section 303.

Planning Code Section 711 principally permits a Restaurant on the first story within the NC-2 (Small Scale Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District.

Per the Mission 2016 Interim Zoning Controls currently in effect through January 2018, Conditional Use Authorization is required for any project which proposes a change of use to Restaurant within the Interim Control Area.

#### REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

In order for the project to proceed, the Commission must grant Conditional Use Authorization to allow the change of use from trade shop to restaurant with accessory brewery and to permit a non-residential Executive Summary
Hearing Date: December 21, 2017

use size larger than 4,000 square feet, pursuant to the Mission 2016 Interim Zoning Contols (Planning Commission Resolution No. 19865) and Planning Code Sections 121.2, 249.60, 303 and 711.

#### BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- The Project promotes locally-owned small business.
- The District is well served by transit; therefore, customers should not impact traffic.
- The Projects meets all applicable requirements of the Planning Code and General Plan.
- The Project is consistent with the intent of the NC-2 Zoning District.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

#### **Approval with Conditions**

#### **Attachments:**

**Draft Motion** 

Parcel Map

Sanborn Map

Zoning Map

Height and Bulk District Map

Aerial Photographs

Site Photographs

**Environmental Determination** 

**Project Plans** 

Eating/Drinking Frontage Concentration Map

Public Correspondence: Inquiries, Opposition and Support

Fort Point Beer Co. Menu

Executive Summary Hearing Date: December 21, 2017

#### CASE NO. 2017-006766CUA 2394 Folsom Street

Attachment Checklist

	Executive Summary		Project sponsor submittal
	Draft Motion		Drawings: Existing Conditions
	Environmental Determination		Check for legibility
	Zoning District Map		Drawings: <u>Proposed Project</u>
	Height & Bulk Map		Check for legibility
	Parcel Map		3-D Renderings (new construction o significant addition)
	Sanborn Map		Check for legibility
	Aerial Photo		Wireless Telecommunications Materials
	Context Photos		Health Dept. review of RF levels
	Site Photos		RF Report
			Community Meeting Notice
			Housing Documents
			Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program: Affidavit for Compliance
]	Exhibits above marked with an "X" are in	clude	d in this packet <u>EI</u>
			Planner's Initials

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

☐ Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)

☐ Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)

☐ Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)

☐ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)

☐ Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)

■ Other

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: **415.558.6409** 

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

### **Planning Commission Draft Motion**

**HEARING DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2017** 

Case No.: **2017-006766CUA** 

Project Address: 2394 FOLSOM STREET

Zoning: NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) Zoning District

Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District

45-X Height and Bulk District

*Block/Lot:* 3594/011

Project Sponsor: Justin Catalana

644 Old Mason Street San Francisco, CA 94129

Staff Contact: Esmeralda Jardines – (415) 575-9144

esmeralda.jardines@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 121.2, 249.60, 303 AND 711 OF THE PLANNING CODE AND THE MISSION 2016 INTERIM ZONING CONTROLS (PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 19865) TO PERMIT A CHANGE IN USE FROM TRADE SHOP (DBA TIMBUK2) TO A FULL-SERVICE RESTAURANT WITH ACCESSORY BREWERY (DBA FORT POINT BEER CO.) AND TO ALLOW A NON-RESIDENTIAL USE LARGER THAN 4,000 SQUARE FEET WITHIN THE NC-2 (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL, SMALL SCALE) ZONING DISTRICT, A 45-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT, AND THE MISSION ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SPECIAL USE DISTRICT AND ADOPTING FINDINGS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

#### **PREAMBLE**

On May 31, 2017, Justin Catalana (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed an application with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Code Sections 121.2, 249.60, 303 and 711 of the Planning Code and the Mission 2016 Interim Zoning Controls (Planning Commission Resolution No. 19865), to permit the change of use from a trade shop (DBA Timbuk2) to a full-service restaurant with accessory brewery (DBA Fort Point Beer Co.) and to allow a non-residential use larger than 4,000 square feet within the NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) District, a 45-X Height and Bulk District, and the Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District.

On November 2, 2017, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Application No. 2017-

006766CUA. At this hearing, the Planning Commission continued the item to November 30, 2017. On November 30, 2017, the Planning Commission continued the item to December 21, 2017.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 categorical exemption.

The Planning Department Commission Secretary is the custodian of records, located in the File for Case No. 2017-006766CUA at 1650 Mission Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

**MOVED,** that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use requested in Application No. 2017-006766CUA, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

#### **FINDINGS**

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. **Site Description and Present Use.** The project is located on the west side of Folsom Street, on the northwest corner of the intersection with 20<sup>th</sup> Street on Assessor's Block 3594, Lot 011. The property is located within the NC-2 (Neighborhood Commercial, Small Scale) District, a 45-X Height and Bulk District, and the Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District. The property is developed with a former warehouse currently occupied by a Trade Shop with accessory office, retail and storage (DBA Timbuk 2). The subject property is a corner lot, with approximately 95 feet of frontage on Folsom Street, 245 feet of frontage on 20<sup>th</sup> Street, and 95 feet of frontage along Shotwell Street.
- 3. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood. The project site is located at the intersection of Folsom, Shotwell and 20th Streets. To the west, south and north are residential buildings with ground floor commercial. To the northeast is John O'Connell Technical High School. The Project site is located in an NC-2 Zoning District with a variety of neighborhood-serving uses. A mixture of food establishments, an art gallery, and residential buildings define the District. The food service establishments are primarily restaurants, with a bar (DBA Shotwell's) across the street. The surrounding properties are located within the RH-3 (Residential House, Three-Family), RM-1 (Residential-Mixed, Low Density), RTO-M (Residential Transit-Oriented, Mission), P (Public) and UMU (Urban Mixed Use).
- 4. **Project Description.** The proposed project includes a change in use from trade shop to a full-serivce restaurant with accessory brewery (DBA Fort Point) within a portion of the existing building. The new restaurant will occupy a space formerly used as retail and storage for the adajcent trade shop use (DBA Timbuk2). The proposal includes interior tenant improvements

with no proposed exterior alterations. The existing commercial space is 2,481 square feet in size; the proposed restaurant is 4,646 square feet, inclusive of a mezzanine.

5. **Public Comment/Community Outreach**. To date, the Department has received public comments inquiring about the project, hearing, as well as expressing opposition and support of the proposal. The Department has received one email expressing concern and opposition; two additional inquries about the Planning Commission hearing and the project scope. The Department also received a few phone calls expressing concern about the proposed accessory brewery. USM submitted an email expressing concerns of the limited amount of production that is proposed. USM explained the community would much rather see 2394 Folsom Street to be used for beer manufacturing, rather than for consumption. USM is in opposition to high-end bars and restaurants that do not serve the local community.

Eleven letters of support have also been submitted. Letters of support have been submitted from: 18 Reasons, 826 Valencia Street, Community Housing Partnership, CUESA, Heath, INFORUM, SF FILM, Southern Exposure, Tipping Point and the San Francisco Arts Institute.

All correspondence submitted in writing has been included in the Planning Commission Packets.

- 6. **Planning Code Compliance:** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
  - A. **Restaurant Use.** Planning Code Section 711 principally permits a restaurant on the first story within the (NC-2) Small Scale Neighborhood Commercial District. Per the Mission 2016 Interim Zoning Controls, Conditional Use Authorization is required for any project which proposes a change of use to restaurant within the Interim Control Area.

The Project Sponsor seeks to change the use of a portion of the existing trade shop to restaurant with accessory brewery and is seeking Conditional Use Authorization for the project.

B. **Use Size.** Planning Code Section 121.2 requires non-residential uses to be limited to a maximum of 4,000 square feet in size within the NC-2 Zoning District. Modifications to this requirement may be granted through the Conditional Use Authorization process.

The project proposes to establish a restaurant with accessory brewery measuring 4,646 square feet, inclusive of a mezzanine floor. Thus, the project exceeds the use size requirement for the NC-2 Zoning District. Therefore, the Project is seeking a Conditional Use Authorization for exceeding the use size limit.

C. Accessory Use Size. Planning Code Section 703 specifies that no use will be considered accessory to a permitted Principal or Conditional Use that involves or requires the use of more than 1/3 of the total floor area occupied by such use and the Principal or Conditional Use to which it is accessory to.

The proposed accessory brewery measures approximately 475 square feet, which is 10% of the total 4,646 square feet for the restaurant. Further, the accessory brewery will be limited in production to no

more than a maximum of 5,000 BBLs per year. However, the project sponsor does not anticipate producing more than 1,000 BBLs per year at 2394 Folsom Street. Further, the restaurant's accessory storage measures 569 square feet, which is 12% of the total 4,646 square feet for the restaurant.

D. **Hours of Operation.** Planning Code Section 711 states that a Conditional Use Authorization is required for maintaining hours of operation from 2AM to 6AM.

The proposed hours of operation for the restaurant with accessory brewery are 11 AM to 12 AM, Monday through Sunday. The proposed restaurant with accessory brewery will operate within the principally permitted hours of operation.

E. Street Frontage in Neighborhood Commercial Districts. Section 145.1 of the Planning Code requires that within NC Districts space for active uses shall be provided within the first 25 feet of building depth on the ground floor and 15 feet on floors above from any facade facing a street at least 30 feet in width. In addition, the floors of street-fronting interior spaces housing non-residential active uses and lobbies shall be as close as possible to the level of the adjacent sidewalk at the principal entrance to these spaces. Frontages with active uses that must be fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways for no less than 60 percent of the street frontage at the ground level and allow visibility to the inside of the building. The use of dark or mirrored glass shall not count towards the required transparent area. Any decorative railings or grillwork, other than wire mesh, which is placed in front of or behind ground floor windows, shall be at least 75 percent open to perpendicular view. Rolling or sliding security gates shall consist of open grillwork rather than solid material, so as to provide visual interest to pedestrians when the gates are closed, and to permit light to pass through mostly unobstructed. Gates, when both open and folded or rolled as well as the gate mechanism, shall be recessed within, or laid flush with, the building facade.

The subject commercial space has approximately 47 feet of frontage on Shotwell Street and 86 feet of frontage on 20<sup>th</sup> Street with approximately 100 feet devoted to either the restaurant entrance or window space. Thus, the project demonstrates compliance as 100 feet of 133 feet provide transparency of at least 75%. There are no changes proposed to the commercial frontage or any exterior alterations.

F. Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District (SUD). This SUD, which has been in effect since 1987, was established to prohibit new establishments or expansion of existing establishments, selling alcoholic beverages within the area (generally bounded by Guerrero Street, San Jose Avenue, Randall Street, Mission Street, Cesar Chavez Street, Potrero Avenue and Fourteenth Street) and to prohibit the transfer of liquor licenses. However, certain alcohol-related uses are allowed within the SUD, including a Restaurant Use. Per Sections 781.80 and 249.60 of the Planning Code, a Restaurant Use, as defined in Section 102, operating as a Bona Fide Eating Place, as defined in Section 102, shall be permitted to serve alcoholic beverages in this SUD.

The restaurant use is proposed to operate as a bona fide eating place as defined by Planning Code Section 102. Thus, the project is permitted by the SUD to serve alcohol in conjunction with a bona fide eating place. The restaurant intends on applying for a Type 23 ABC license. Per the State of California's Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control's website, a Type 23 is a small beer

manufacturer license (brew pub or micro-brewery). A brew pub is typically a very small brewery with a restaurant. A mirco-brewery is a small-scale brewery operation that typically is dedicated solely to the production of specialsty beers, although some do have a restaurant or pub on their manufacturing plant. As proposed, 2394 Folsom Street is proposing to utilize their Type 23 as a brewpub, not a micro-brewery. The latter would not be permitted to operate as such within an NC-2 Zoning District nor within the Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District.

- G. Eating and Drinking Uses. Planning Code Section 202.2 outlines the location and operating conditions for eating and drinking uses. Eating and drinking establishments include bars, sit-down restaurants, fast food restaurants, self-service restaurants, and take-out food. Associated uses, which can serve similar functions and create similar land use impacts, include ice cream stores, bakeries and cookie stores. Guidelines for eating and drinking establishments are needed to achieve the following purposes:
  - Regulate the distribution and proliferation of eating and drinking establishments, especially in districts experiencing increased commercial activity;
  - Control nuisances associated with their proliferation;
  - Preserve storefronts for other types of local-serving businesses; and
  - Maintain a balanced mix of commercial goods and services.
  - The regulation of eating and drinking establishments should consider the following:
  - Balance of retail sales and services;
  - Current inventory and composition of eating and drinking establishments;
  - Total occupied commercial linear frontage, relative to the total district frontage;
  - Uses on surrounding properties;
  - Available parking facilities, both existing and proposed;
  - Existing traffic and parking congestion; and
  - Potential impacts on the surrounding community.

As stated in the Conditions of Approval, the proposed restaurant use will be required to comply with the conditions outlined in Section 202.2, as it relates to keeping the main entrance and surrounding streets and sidewalks clear of trash and debris; ensuring that the space is properly insulated to prevent noise in excess of the noise levels specified in the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance; installation of proper odor control equipment to prevent any noxious of offensive odors from escaping the premises; and the proper storage and disposal of garbage, recycling and composting.

H. **Signage**. Article 6 of the Planning Code outlines the requirements for exterior signage.

Currently, there is not a proposed sign program on file with the Planning Department. Any proposed signage will be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department.

- 7. **Conditional Use Authorization.** Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
  - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The proposed restaurant with accessory brewery use is approximately 4,646 square feet in size and is appropriately sized for the block face, which is currently solely occupied by the remaining trade shop (DBA Timbuk2). The restaurant use will provide services which are compatible with the neighborhood and desirable for the surrounding community. The restaurant use will enhance the viability of the trade shop and retail uses on the site.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
  - i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The proposed restaurant use will operate within the existing building. The project does not propose exterior expansion of the building envelope. The new restaurant use will occupy a former retail space, as well as a former storage space for the adjacent trade shop use.

ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The Planning Code does not require parking in the NC-2 District if occupied floor area is less than 5,000 square feet. Loading requirements apply to uses that are 10,000 gross square feet or more. The new restaurant will measure approximately 4,646 square feet; therefore, off-street parking and loading is not required. The subject site is well-served by public transit, thus minimizing the need for private vehicle trips.

Further, the Project Sponsor, after corresponding with SF MTA, has a submitted a loading plan including one new white curb (pick-up and drop-off) and two new yellow curb zones (commercial loading) along 20<sup>th</sup> Street. The delivery window is from 10AM to 2 PM, Monday-Friday; with a maximum of two trucks a day.

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The proposed use is subject to the standard conditions of approval for a restaurant use as outlined in Exhibit A. These conditions specifically obligate the Project Sponsor to mitigate odor and noise generated by the restaurant use.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The Planning Code does not require any off-street parking or loading for the proposed project. The Project will not expand the existing building as part of the Conditional Use Authorization. Upon submittal, the signage program will comply with all requirements.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Neighborhood Commercial District.

As stated in Planning Code Section 711, the NC-2 Zoning District is described as:

The NC-2 District is intended to serve as the City's Small-Scale Neighborhood Commercial District. These districts are linear shopping streets which provide convenience goods and services to the surrounding neighborhoods as well as limited comparison shopping goods for a wider market. The range of comparison goods and services offered is varied and often includes specialty retail stores, restaurants, and neighborhood-serving offices. NC-2 Districts are commonly located along both collector and arterial streets which have transit routes.

The proposed restaurant use is consistent with the stated purposed of the NC-2 Zoning District in that the intended use is located at the ground floor, will provide a compatible eating and drinking establishment for the immediately surrounding neighborhoods and is in character with the unique mix of specialty commercial uses found within the Zoning District.

8. Additional Conditional Use Criteria for Eating and Drinking Uses. Planning Code Section 303(o) establishes criteria with regard to a Conditional Use Authorization application for a Restaurant use in a Neighborhood Commercial District. Section 303(o) requires the Planning Commission to consider, in addition to the criteria set forth in Code Section 303(c), the existing concentration of eating and drinking uses in the area. Such concentration should not exceed 25% of the total commercial frontage as measured in linear feet within the immediate area of the subject site. For the purposes of Code Section 303(o), the immediate area shall be defined as all properties located within 300 feet of the subject property and also located within the same zoning district.

Based on a land use survey completed by the project sponsor, the total commercial frontage dedicated to eating and drinking establishments located within 300 feet of the Project Site is approximately 16.6% of the total commercial frontage as measured in linear feet. With the subject change of use from a trade shop use to a restaurant with accessory brewery use, the total aforementioned commercial frontage dedicated to eating and drinking establishments will increase by 5.7%, for a total of 22.3%. Therefore, the proposal would be in compliance with the requirements of Code Section 303(o).

- 8. Planning Code Section 121.2 establishes additional criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for non-residential use size larger than 4,000 sq ft within the NC-2 Zoning District. On balance, the project does comply with said criteria in that:
  - (1) The intensity of activity in the district is not such that allowing the larger use will be likely to foreclose the location of other needed neighborhood-serving uses in the area.

The Project will not foreclose the location of other uses, since the existing tenant, Timbuk2's retail store, will remain on site. The restaurant will occupy a space directly adjacent to the trade shop use. As discussed above, the surrounding area does not currently contain an over-concentration of restaurants. This mix of uses aligns with the stated goal of the NC-2 Zoning District, which provides for a mix of use and a variety of building types and scales.

(2) The proposed use will serve the neighborhood, in whole or in significant part, and the nature of the use requires a larger size in order to function.

Per the NC-2 Zoning controls, eating and drinking uses are confined to the ground story. The Project will provide additional eating and drinking options in an area that has been determined to not be overly concentrated with the aforementioned. Due to the accessory brewery and storage, the restaurant exceeds the principally permitted use size by 646 square feet. Currently, this Zoning District has a non-residential use size limit of 4,000 sq ft.

(3) The building in which the use is to be located is designed in discrete elements which respect the scale of development in the district.

The Project is proposed in the southwest corner of a fully developed lot containing a warehouse which is currently occupied by Timbuk2's trade shop, accessory retail and office. The proposed restaurant with accessory brewery will align with the industrial character of the building and will permit another local manufacturer to stay in San Francisco.

9. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

#### **NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCE**

#### **Objectives and Policies**

#### **OBJECTIVE 1:**

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKINIG ENVIRONMENT.

#### Policy 1.1:

Encourage development which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes undesirable consequences. Discourage development that has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

#### Policy 1.2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

#### Policy 1.3:

Locate commercial and industrial activities according to a generalized commercial and industrial land use plan.

The proposed development will provide desirable goods and services to the neighborhood and will provide employment opportunities to those in the community. Further, the Project Site is located within a Neighborhood Commercial District and is thus consistent with activities in the commercial land use plan.

#### **OBJECTIVE 2:**

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

#### Policy 2.1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the City.

The proposed Project would establish a restaurant use within a trade shop retail tenant space. The existing business (DBA Timbuk 2) would not be displaced by the conversion, as it would relocate its existing retail store to a vacant storage area in the immediate vicinity. The use would complement the existing business and enhance its viability by providing an additional revenue stream and would permit a synergistic relationship between local San Francisco manufacturers.

#### **OBJECTIVE 6:**

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

#### Policy 6.1:

Ensure and encourage the retention and provision of neighborhood-serving goods and services in the city's neighborhood commercial districts, while recognizing and encouraging diversity among the districts.

No commercial tenant would be displaced and the project would not prevent the district from achieving optimal diversity in the types of goods and services available in the neighborhood.

The following guidelines, in addition to others in this objective for neighborhood commercial districts, should be employed in the development of overall district zoning controls as well as in the review of individual permit applications, which require case-by-case review and City Planning Commission approval. Pertinent guidelines may be applied as conditions of approval of individual permit applications. In general, uses should be encouraged which meet the guidelines; conversely, uses should be discouraged which do not.

#### **Eating and Drinking Establishments**

Eating and drinking establishments include bars, sit-down restaurants, fast food restaurants, self-service restaurants, and take-out food. Associated uses, which can serve similar functions and create similar land use impacts, include ice cream stores, bakeries and cookie stores. Guidelines for eating and drinking establishments are needed to achieve the following purposes:

- Regulate the distribution and proliferation of eating and drinking establishments, especially in districts experiencing increased commercial activity;
- Control nuisances associated with their proliferation;

- Preserve storefronts for other types of local-serving businesses; and
- Maintain a balanced mix of commercial goods and services.
- The regulation of eating and drinking establishments should consider the following:
- Balance of retail sales and services;
- Current inventory and composition of eating and drinking establishments;
- Total occupied commercial linear frontage, relative to the total district frontage;
- Uses on surrounding properties;
- Available parking facilities, both existing and proposed;
- Existing traffic and parking congestion; and
- Potential impacts on the surrounding community.

There is a concern with the potential over-concentration of food-service establishments within certain neighborhoods. The Commerce and Industry Element of the General Plan contains Guidelines for Specific Uses. For eating and drinking establishments, the Guidelines state, "the balance of commercial uses may be threatened when eating and drinking establishments occupy an overconcentration of commercial frontage. Proposals for eating and drinking establishments which would increase the proportion of total occupied commercial frontage above what is prescribed in the Planning Code should be reviewed to ensure that they would not reduce the variety of neighborhood-serving uses; nor create substantial noise, traffic, parking problems, or other nuisances in the district or surrounding neighborhood." The Project Sponsor has performed a site survey of the NC-2 District, where the proposed project is located. Currently, approximately 16.6% of the commercial frontage of the NC-2 District within 300 feet of the project site is attributed to eating and drinking establishments and will increase 5.7% with the addition of the Project, to a total of 22.3%. As per Planning Code Section 303(o), the concentration should not exceed 25% as measured in linear feet within the immediate area of the subject site; therefore, the proposed change of use from trade shop to restaurant with accessory brewery will be within the prescribed 25% linear feet as per the Planning Code.

#### Policy 6.2:

Promote economically vital neighborhood commercial districts which foster small business enterprises and entrepreneurship and which are responsive to the economic and technological innovation in the marketplace and society.

An independent entrepreneur is sponsoring the proposal. This is not a Formula Retail use.

#### MISSION AREA PLAN

#### Objectives and Policies

#### **OBJECTIVE 1.8:**

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN THE MISSION'S COMMERCIAL AREAS.

#### Policy 1.8:

Ensure that the Mission's neighborhood commercial districts continue to serve the needs of residents, including immigrant and low-income households.

The proposed project will permit an eating and drinking establishment to serve the needs of the residents of the Mission and San Francisco as a whole.

- 10. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project does comply with said policies in that:
  - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The proposal would enhance the district by providing a restaurant in an area that is not over concentrated by restaurants. The business would be locally owned and it creates more employment opportunities for the community. The proposed interior alterations are within the existing building footprint; no exterior alterations are proposed.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

The existing units in the surrounding neighborhood would not be adversely affected. The proposal proposes to utilize an existing storefront and commercial space which has existed at the subject location for many years. No residential units will be lost and no exterior modifications to the building will be made.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

The existing building does not contain any residential units.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project is located at the intersection of Folsom, Shotwell, and 20<sup>th</sup> Streets and is well-served by transit. It is highly likely that both employees and customers of the proposed project will either walk or use transit to arrive at the subject location as several bus lines operate within a few blocks of the site. MUNI Lines: 12, 14, 14-R and 49 are wthin a ½ mile from 2394 Folsom Street. Additionally, the 16<sup>th</sup> Street BART Station is .6 miles away; the 24th Street BART station is located approximately .7 miles from the site.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project will not displace any service or industry establishment for commercial office development. The Project does not involve new commercial office development. The existing Timbuk2 trade shop invited Fort Point Beer Co. to share their existing tenant space. Timbuk2 will remain within the other portions of the existing building.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

The Project is designed and will be constructed to conform to the structural and seismic safety requirements of the City Building Code. This proposal will not impact the property's ability to withstand an earthquake.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

A landmark building does not occupy the Project site. 2394 Folsom Street is a historic resource; however, the Project does not proposed any exterior alterations.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The project will have no negative impact on existing parks and open spaces. The Project does not have an impact on any open space.

- 11. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 12. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

#### DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. 2017-006766CUA** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated October 25, 2017, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. XXXXX. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

**Protest of Fee or Exaction:** You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on December 21, 2017.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission S	ecretary
AYES:	
NAYS:	
ABSENT:	
ADOPTED:	December 21, 2017

### **EXHIBIT A**

#### **AUTHORIZATION**

This authorization is for a conditional use to allow a restaurant with accessory brewery and to allow a non-residential use larger than 4,000 square feet (DBA Fort Point Beer Co.) located at 2394 Folsom Street, Block 3594, and Lot 011 pursuant to Planning Code Section(s) 121.2, 249.60, 303, 711, and 781.80 and the Mission 2016 Interim Zoning Controls (Planning Commission Resolution No. 19865) within the NC-2 Zoning District, Mission Alcoholic Beverage Special Use District and a 45-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated October 25, 2017, and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Case No. 2017-006766CUA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on December 21, 2017 under Motion No XXXXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

#### RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on December 21, 2017 under Motion No **XXXXXXX**.

#### PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. **XXXXXX** shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

#### **SEVERABILITY**

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

#### CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

#### Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting

#### **PERFORMANCE**

1. **Validity.** The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

2. **Expiration and Renewal.** Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

3. **Diligent Pursuit.** Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

4. **Extension.** All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

#### **DESIGN**

1. **Final Materials.** The Project Sponsor shall continue to work with Planning Department on the building design. Final materials, glazing, color, texture, landscaping, and detailing shall be

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

15

subject to Department staff review and approval. The architectural addenda shall be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to issuance.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

2. Garbage, Composting and Recycling Storage. Space for the collection and storage of garbage, composting, and recycling shall be provided within enclosed areas on the property and clearly labeled and illustrated on the building permit plans. Space for the collection and storage of recyclable and compostable materials that meets the size, location, accessibility and other standards specified by the San Francisco Recycling Program shall be provided at the ground level of the buildings.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

6. **Signage.** The Project Sponsor shall develop a signage program for the Project which shall be subject to review and approval by Planning Department staff before submitting any building permits for construction of the Project. All subsequent sign permits shall conform to the approved signage program. Once approved by the Department, the signage program/plan information shall be submitted and approved as part of the site permit for the Project. All exterior signage shall be designed to compliment, not compete with, the existing architectural character and architectural features of the building.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

7. Odor Control Unit. In order to ensure any significant noxious or offensive odors are prevented from escaping the premises once the project is operational, the building permit application to implement the project shall include air cleaning or odor control equipment details and manufacturer specifications on the plans. Odor control ducting shall not be applied to the primary façade of the building.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

#### **PARKING AND TRAFFIC**

8. **Bicycle Parking.** Pursuant to Planning Code Sections 155.1 and 155.4, the Project shall provide no fewer than two Class 1 and six Class 2 bicycle parking spaces. SFMTA has final authority on the type, placement and number of Class 2 bicycle racks within the public ROW. Prior to issuance of first architectural addenda, the project sponsor shall contact the SFMTA Bike Parking Program at <a href="mailto:bikeparking@sfmta.com">bikeparking@sfmta.com</a> to coordinate the installation of on-street bicycle racks and ensure that the proposed bicycle racks meet the SFMTA's bicycle parking guidelines. Depending on local site conditions and anticipated demand, SFMTA may request the project sponsor pay an in-lieu fee for Class II bike racks required by the Planning Code.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

#### **PROVISIONS**

9. **Eastern Neighborhoods Infrastructure Impact Fee.** The Project is subject to the Eastern Neighborhoods Infrastructure Impact Fee, as applicable, pursuant to Planning Code Section 423. For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

#### MONITORING

- 10. **Enforcement.** Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org
- 11. **Monitoring.** The Project requires monitoring of the conditions of approval in this Motion. The Project Sponsor or the subsequent responsible parties for the Project shall pay fees as established under Planning Code Section 351(e) (1) and work with the Planning Department for information about compliance.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- 12. **Revocation due to Violation of Conditions.** Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>

#### **OPERATION**

- 13. Accessory Brewery. Given the nature of the proposed operation, the proposed Type 23 ABC License may be considered as a light manufacturing use only under the following condition: the size of the proposed brewing system (including area devoted to brewing) and its production capacity cannot be increased beyond that allowed of 5,000 BBLs per year nor increased beyond what is deemed accessory per Planning Code Section 703. This condition ensures that the proposed brewpub operation is not converted into a brewery, which is prohibited in an NC-2. For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- 14. **Eating and Drinking Uses**. As defined in Planning Code Section 202.2, Eating and Drinking Uses, as defined in Section 102, shall be subject to the following conditions:

- A. The business operator shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Street and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards. In addition, the operator shall be responsible for daily monitoring of the sidewalk within a one-block radius of the subject business to maintain the sidewalk free of paper or other litter associated with the business during business hours, in accordance with Article 1, Section 34 of the San Francisco Police Code.
  - For information about compliance, contact the Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works at 415-554-.5810, http://sfdpw.org.
- B. When located within an enclosed space, the premises shall be adequately soundproofed or insulated for noise and operated so that incidental noise shall not be audible beyond the premises or in other sections of the building, and fixed-source equipment noise shall not exceed the decibel levels specified in the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance.

  For information about compliance of fixed mechanical objects such as rooftop air conditioning, restaurant ventilation systems, and motors and compressors with acceptable noise levels, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, www.sfdph.org.


For information about compliance with construction noise requirements, contact the Department of Building Inspection at 415-558-6570, <u>www.sfdbi.org</u>.

For information about compliance with the requirements for amplified sound, including music and television, contact the Police Department at 415-553-0123, <u>www.sf-police.org</u>.

- C. While it is inevitable that some low level of odor may be detectable to nearby residents and passersby, appropriate odor control equipment shall be installed in conformance with the approved plans and maintained to prevent any significant noxious or offensive odors from escaping the premises.
  - For information about compliance with odor or other chemical air pollutants standards, contact the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, (BAAQMD), 1-800-334-ODOR (6367), <a href="www.baaqmd.gov">www.baaqmd.gov</a> and Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- D. Garbage, recycling, and compost containers shall be kept within the premises and hidden from public view, and placed outside only when being serviced by the disposal company. Trash shall be contained and disposed of pursuant to garbage and recycling receptacles guidelines set forth by the Department of Public Works.
  - For information about compliance, contact the Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works at 415-554-.5810, <a href="http://sfdpw.org">http://sfdpw.org</a>.
- 15. **Sidewalk Maintenance.** The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards.
  - For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works, 415-695-2017, http://sfdpw.org


- 16. **Community Liaison.** Prior to issuance of a building permit to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator with written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org">www.sf-planning.org</a>
- 17. **Hours of Operation.** The subject establishment is limited to the following hours of operation: Monday through Sunday from 6 AM to 2 AM per Planning Code Section 711.
  - For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

### **Parcel Map**






## Sanborn Map\*



<sup>\*</sup>The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



## **Zoning Map**





### Height & Bulk Map





## SUBJECT PROPERTY Site Photographs








## **Aerial Photographs**

SUBJECT PROPERTY





SUBJECT PROPERTY

### **Environmental Determination**



# SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)		
2394 Folsom Street		3594011		
Case No.		Permit No.	Plans Dated	
2017-006766CUA		201708043948	October 25, 2017	
✓ Addition/		Demolition	New	Project Modification
Alteration		(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)
Project description for Planning Department approval.				
Change of use from a trade shop to a full-service restaurant with accessory brewery; interior improvements, no exterior alterations.				
STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
*Note: If no		applies, an Environmental Evaluation App		
	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.			
<b>V</b>	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.; .; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.			
	Class			
STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER				
If any box i	s checked l	pelow, an Environmental Evaluation Appli	cation is required.	
	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone?  Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP _ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)			
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Erancisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH majorer from the			

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Revised: 6/21/17

	Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).			
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?			
	<b>Archeological Resources:</b> Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)			
	<b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography)			
	<b>Slope = or &gt; 20%:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.</b>			
	<b>Seismic:</b> Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.			
	<b>Seismic:</b> Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.			
	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental Application</i> is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.			
<b>✓</b>	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.			
Though wi	thin the Maher Area, no excavation or soil disturbance is proposed. Further, this is a muse from trade shop (PDR) to a restaurant with accessory brewery; thus, this is not of use from industrial to residential and therefore, an EEA/Phase 1 ESA is not			
STED 3. PD	OPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE			
TO BE COM	MPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER  (IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)			
LINOTENTI	15 OTHE OF TITE POLLOWITHS. (TEJET TO FUTCET INJUTITATION INTUP)			

Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.

Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.

Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.

 $\overline{}$ 

## STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che				
	eck all that apply to the project.			
lacksquare	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.			
	4. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
	5. <b>Deck, terrace construction, or fences</b> not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	6. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-ofway.			
	7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .			
	8. <b>Addition(s)</b> that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
Not	e: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.			
	Project is not listed. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>			
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves <b>four or more</b> work descriptions. <b>GO TO STEP 5.</b>			
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.			
STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER				
<b>C1</b>	DE COMPLETED DI PRESERVATION PLANNER			
Che	eck all that apply to the project.			
Che □	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.			
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.			
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.  2. <b>Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces</b> .  3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with			
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.  2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.  3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.			
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.  2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.  3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.  4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.  5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining			
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.  2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.  3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.  4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.  5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.  6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic			

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):			
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)			
	10. <b>Reclassification of property status</b> . (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation			
ш	Coordinator)  Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C			
	Reclassify to Category A Reclassify to Category C  a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER)			
	a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER)  b. Other (specify):			
	1 337			
Note	te: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Pla	anner MUST check one box below.		
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>			
<b>✓</b>	<b>Project can proceed with categorical exemption review</b> . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>			
Com	nments (optional):			
TI as	ssociated with change of use. No exterior alterations	S.		
Prese	servation Planner Signature: Natalia Kwiatkowska	zizida Kaksińowska w, do-dopplanning, au-CupPanning, au-Current Planning, cn-házlatia Askad Arrodowska Hollanning au-Current Planning, cn-házlatia		
	P 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION			
TO B	BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER	less was made a source of supplying sith on (alrealy		
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project does not meet scopes of work in either (check all that apply):			
	Step 2 – CEQA Impacts			
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review			
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application			
	.,			
$  \checkmark  $	No further environmental review is required. The project i			
	Trainier Tvanie.	ignature:  ☐ Digitally signed by Esmeralda		
	Project Approval Action:	LSMERA Jardines DN: dc=org, dc=sfgov,		
		oc=cityplanning, ou=CityPlanning, ou=Current Planning, cn=Esmeralda Jardines,		
	If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	Jardines ov.org Date: 2017.10.25 16:12:12 -07'00'		
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.  In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.			

### STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

#### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)
Case No.	Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.
Plans Dated	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action
Modified Project Description:		
DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CO	ONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIF	ICATION
Compared to the approved pro	ject, would the modified project:	
Result in expansion of	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;	
Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code		
Sections 311 or 312;		
Result in demolition	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?	
Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known		
at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project ma		e originally approved project may
no longer qualify for the exemption?  If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required. ATEX FOR		
If at least one of the above box	tes is checked, further environme	intal leview is required.
DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANT	TAL MODIFICATION	
The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.		
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning		
Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.		
Planner Name:	Signature or Stamp:	

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Revised: 6/21/17

# Project Plans/Eating & Drinking Concentration Survey

### PROJECT DIRECTORY

Fort Point Beer Company 644 Old Mason Street San Francisco, 94129 CLIENT:

> CONTACT Justin Catalana justin@fortpointbeer.com 415.336.3696

**ARCHITECTURAL** Oddmark


1211 Folsom Street, 4th floor SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

CONTACT Matt Coelho | Dina Dobkin


EMAIL matt.coelho@gmail.com | dina@oddmark.co

TEL. 732.331.5368 | 415.608.1103


### **VICINITY MAP**



### **GRAPHIC LEGEND**



### WALL TYPES LEGEND



### PROJECT DATA

PROJECT NAME:	Fort Point Shotwell
PROJECT ADDRESS:	2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110
BUILDING OWNER:	JOSEPH IMBELLONI
BLOCK / LOT #:	3594/011
ZONING:	NC-2
DISTRICT:	45-X
LOT AREA:	23278 SF GROSS
BUILDING TYPE:	TYPE III-A, SINGLE STORY MASONRY BUILDING
BUILDING FOOTPRINT:	23278 SF GROSS
PROJECT AREA:	4,646 SF GROSS
# OF STORIES:	1 + MEZZANINE
# OF EXITS:	2 (PROPOSED)
OCCUPANCY:	F-1
OCCP. PROPOSED:	A-2
FIRE PROTECTION:	FULLY SPRINKLERED
STRUCTURAL SYSTEM:	MASONRY WALLS WITH WOOD FRAMED ROOF.

### **DRAWING INDEX**

	She	et List		
Sheet Number	Sheet Name	Sheet Issue Date	Current Revision	Current Revisior Date
ARCHITECT	URAL			
A0.1	Project Information	10/23/17		
A0.3	Plot Plan	10/23/17		
A0.6	(E) Photographs	08/02/17		
A0.7	Eating + Drinking Survey	10/23/17		
A0.8	Full Building Tenant Plans	10/23/17		
A1.1	Existing Plans	10/23/17		
A2.1a	Proposed Plan - Level 1	10/23/17		
A2.1b	Proposed Plan - Mezzanine	10/23/17		
A3.0	Existing Elevations	08/02/17		

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

**HOURS OF OPERATION:** 

APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF USE FROM TRADE SHOP TO RESTAURANT AND ACCESSORY RETAIL. WORK IS LIMITED TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE BUILDING. ADJACENT TENANT SPACES NIC.

VOLUNTARY SEISMIC UPGRADE PERFORMED

UNDER P.A. #9926910

MON-SUN 11AM-12AM

### **CODE INFORMATION**

#### **APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES:**

2016 California Building Code, including all San Francisco Amendments 2016 California Mechanical Code, including all San Francisco Amendments 2016 California Energy Code, including all San Francisco Amendments 2016 California Plumbing Code, including all San Francisco Amendments

## 

1211 Folsom, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 T 415.608.1103 E dina@oddmark.co

### Fort Point Shotwell


2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110


	Issues/ Revisions	
Issue #	Description	Date
	Conditional Use	05/31/1
	Site Permit	08/01/1
1	Planning Commission	10/23/1
Print Date	e: 10/25/2017 4	:21:02 PM
Drawn By	<b>/</b> :	DD
Checked I	Ву:	МС


All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not be duplicated, used or disclosed without written consent of the

As indicated

**Project** Information







2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

Issues/ Revisions			
ssue #	Description	Date	
	Conditional Use	05/31/17	
	Site Permit	08/01/17	
Pla	anning Commission	10/23/17	
Print Date:	10/25/2017	4:24:46 PM	
Drawn By:		DD	
Checked By	<b>'</b> :	МС	
Scale:	,	As indicated	
original and unp	d written material appearing oublished work of the Design sed or disclosed without writi	er and may not	

Plot Plan

40.3









EAST ELEVATION

Looking west on Shotwell Street







Looking north on 20th street





WEST ELEVATION

Looking East on Folsom Street

1211 Folsom, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 T 415.608.1103 E dina@oddmark.co

### Fort Point Shotwell


2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

	Issues/ Revisio
sue#	Description

Planning Commission 10/23/17 Print Date: 10/25/2017 4:24:01 PM Drawn By: Checked By: Scale: 1" = 20'-0"


All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not be duplicated used or disclosed without written consent of the Designer. (E) Photographs

**A0.6** 





1211 Folsom, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 T 415.608.1103 E dina@oddmark.co



### Fort Point Shotwell

2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110


	Issues/ Revisions	
Issue #	Description	Date
	Conditional Use	05/31/17
	Planning Commission	10/23/17
Print Da	ate: 11/1/2017 5	:50:03 PM
Drawn	By:	DD


All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not be duplicated,used or disclosed without written consent of the


1" = 40'-0"

Eating + Drinking Survey

A0.7 R1









1211 Folsom, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 T 415.608.1103 E dina@oddmark.co

WALL TYPES LEGEND		
	PROPOSED PARTIAL HEIGHT V	
	PROPOSED FULL HEIGHT WAL	

PROPOSED 1- HOUR RATED WALL

EXISTING BUILDING WALL TO REMAIN

[ ELEMENTS SHOWN DASHED TO BE REMOVED

ADJACENT TENANT SPACE, NIC

### Fort Point Shotwell

2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

	Issues/ Revisions	
Issue #	Description	Date
	Conditional Use	05/31/17
	Site Permit	08/01/17
	Planning Commission	10/23/17
Print Da	nte: 10/25/2017 4:	:28:36 PM
Drawn B	Зу:	DD
Checked	d By:	МС


All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute

original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not be duplicated, used or disclosed without written consent of the Designer.


As indicated

**Existing Plans** 

**41.1** 







2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

Issues/ Revisions			
lssue#	Description	Date	
	Conditional Use	05/31/17	
	Site Permit	08/01/17	
	Planning Commission	10/23/17	
	40/07/0047		
Print Dat	te: 10/25/2017	4:41:13 PM	
Drawn By:		DD	
Checked By:		MC	
Scale:		1/4" = 1'-0"	


All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute

original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not


be duplicated, used or disclosed without written consent of the

Proposed Plan -Level 1

A2.1a







2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110


Issues/ Revisions			
Issue #	Description	Date	
	Conditional Use	05/31/17	
	Site Permit	08/01/17	
	Planning Commission	10/23/17	
Print Da	te: 10/25/2017	4:31:07 PM	
Drawn E	Sy:	DD	
Checked	Ву:	MC	
Scale:		1/4" = 1'-0"	
All drawings and written material annearing herein constitute			


original and unpublished work of the Designer and may not

be duplicated, used or disclosed without written consent of the

Proposed Plan -Mezzanine

A2.1b







2394 FOLSOM STREET / 587 SHOTWELL STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

	Issues/ Revisions	
Issue #	Description	Date
	Conditional Use	05/31/17
	Site Permit	08/01/17
F	Planning Commission	10/23/17
Print Date	: 10/25/2017 4	4:32:31 PM
Drawn By	:	DD
Checked E	Зу:	МС
Scale:		<u> 1/4" = 1'-0"</u>
•	and written material appearing h Inpublished work of the Designe	

be duplicated, used or disclosed without written consent of the

# Existing Elevations

43.0

### **Fort Point Charitable Support**

#### Fort Point Charitable Support - San Francisco

Fort Point has a history of contributing to local non-profits and organizations which benefit our communities. Our charitable donations in the past 12 months amount to over \$86,000. This is a list of all of the community partners Fort Point has worked with in the last year.

\*Partners highlighted in yellow are based in the Mission District.

#### **High-Level Partners (15)**

Support through ongoing event collaborations and in-kind product donations.

#### 18 Reasons

#### 826 Valencia

California Academy of Sciences

California College of the Arts

**CUESA** 

Giants Community Fund

Golden Gate National Parks Conservancy

San Francisco Art Institute

San Francisco Bicycle Coalition

San Francisco Botanical Garden

SF LGBT Center

#### Southern Exposure

San Francisco Film Society

San Francisco Parks Alliance

The Exploratorium

#### **General Support (70)**

• Support through in-kind product donations.

#### 500 Capp Street Foundation

AIDS Walk San Francisco Foundation

AIGA

ArtSpan

Bay Area Musicals

Bay Area Ridge Trail

#### **Bay Area Video Coalition**

**Best Buddies International** 

Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Greater Bay Area

California Historical Society

Children's Day School

Chinatown Community Development Center

City College of San Francisco

City Of Hope

City Surf Project

Community Grows

Community Housing Partnership

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

Fine Arts Museums of San Francisco

#### First Graduate

For-Site Foundation

Fort Mason Community Garden

Friends of Potrero Hill Preschool

Friends of the San Francisco Public Library

Full Circle Fund

#### **GirlVentures**

Good Ol' Girls

Greater Farallones Association

Hope Mohr Dance Studio

International Rescue Committee Inc

Junior League of San Francisco

Kids Enjoy Exercise Now Foundation

Latino Community Foundation

Laurel Hill Nursery School

Leukemia and Lymphoma Society

Maitri Compassionate Care

Make-A-Wish Foundation

Malcolm X Elementary School

Music In Schools Today

NESsT

Not For Sale

#### ODC

Pacific Primary

Ploughshares Fund

Presidio Graduate School

Project Open Hand

**Project Wreckless** 

Public Glass

Real Food Real Stories

Real Options for City Kids

Rebuilding Together

Richmond District Neighborhood Center

Rooftop Elementary PTA

Sacred Heart Schools

Saints Peter and Paul School

Salesian Boys & Girls Club

San Francisco Arts Commission

San Francisco Community Radio

San Francisco Opera

San Francisco State University

San Francisco Women Against Rape

SF Camerawork

SF-Marin Food Bank

Share Our Strength

Society of California Pioneers

SPUR

St. Ignatius College Preparatory

Sunset Cooperative Nursery School

Surfrider Foundation

Telegraph Hill Cooperative Nursery School

The Bay School

The California Artisan Cheese Guild

The Commonwealth Club

The Guardsmen

The Hamilton Family Center

The Little School

**Tipping Point Community** 

University of San Francisco

Upwardly Global

Wikimedia Foundation

YMCA of San Francisco

Young Minds Advocacy

# Public Correspondence: Inquiries, Opposition, Support

#### Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

**From:** spike <spikekahn@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 25, 2017 12:20 PM

To: Claudia Flores, (CPC); Sucre, Richard (CPC); Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC); usm-

strategy@googlegroups.com; marta sanchez (martysanchez2@yahoo.com)

Cc: Richards, Dennis (CPC); Kathrin Moore; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney

Fong; Joel Koppel; Melgar, Myrna (CPC)

**Subject:** NCT Shotwell/20th Timbuktu conversion to destination, brew pub.

USM just met with Justin from the brewery/bar trying to go into 20th/Shotwell.

Of concern to USM is the 150 seat + standing patron occupancy planned as a destination bar on a quiet residential corner of 20th/Shotwell. Justin said that although he would love to have a larger percentage of the space be dedicated to the actual manufacturing of his beer, he said that the Planning Dept said that he could only have 25% of the space be used to brew the beer, and the remaining 75% had to be a restaurant/bar. How is that possible? This is the Timbuktu factory outlet, and has always been PDR use. Whereas manufacturing provides blue collar jobs to a working class neighborhood, destination brew pubs/bars do not. The community would much rather see this space be used for beer manufacturing, rather than consumption.

We've already seen what happened a few blocks away on 20th/Florida with Trick Dog, another destination bar which is on a list of 50 best bars in the world, which further exacerbate the traffic congestion, noise and party atmosphere on 20th street. Add to that Flour+Water's high-end clientele, Central Kitchen high-end clientele, Schmidts high-end clientele (the owners have just ellis act-evicted the upstairs tenant this weekend) and Salumaria's high-end clientele and 20th street between S Van Ness and Bryant St, which once had local butchers, corner Latino groceries, Mi Rancho market, auto repair shop, a tattoo parlour... and our working class neighborhood has become a little Valencia St.

This extra congestion has made it unsafe for local residents. I ride my bike home from Mission street along 20th st. It's safe and quiet until Flour+Water, and then becomes more and more dangerous as lyft/uber drivers double park in the middle of the small street, their passengers flinging open their doors into traffic, putting me and other bike riders at risk. These high-end bars and restaurants do not serve the local community: most of my neighbors can't afford \$70 dinners, or \$20-\$30 lunches. Putting in destination bars that, as planned, will be open from 11am - midnight 7 days a week to 150 customers each night is too much for this little residential block. Shotwell's bar is across the street, and already adds noise and drunkery to the block. We can't support yet another hipster bar with 150 more drinking buddies arriving/departing each night by car services, or worse, fighting for parking on a small residential street.

Please help us, those who live here (I've been on 20th St since 1984), and halt this project until community concerns are addressed. We would welcome a blue collar workspace, and a family oriented, community-serving business. But this project, as it now stands, cannot be supported by USM.

peace

Spike Kahn, Director and Founder Pacific Felt Factory Arts Space <a href="https://www.pacificfeltfactory.com">www.pacificfeltfactory.com</a> 415-935-3641 (voice/text)

#### spikekahn@gmail.com

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the originator of this e-mail and destroy the original message and all copies.

#### Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

From: Shelley <shelleyr@sonic.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2017 7:36 PM

**To:** Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC) **Subject:** Project address 2394 Folsom

Hi Esmeralda,

Thank you for speaking with me today regarding the proposed brewery at 20th and Shotwell/Folsom. I understood that you would email the plans and proposal to me but it is not in my inbox. If you sent it then it seems as though it did not come through. Could you try to resend? If it is more than one document then could you try sending each in a different message, in case the size was too big for mail program? Alternately, I could come by the planning office and pick up a copy tomorrow during the day, if that is a possibility. Unfortunately I do not have access to email during the day while at work.

thank you,

Shelley

415-902-7485

#### Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

From: Angélica Pérez <angelica\_perez@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Monday, October 23, 2017 11:54 AM

**To:** Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

**Subject:** Fort point Brewery; Permit 2017-08043948

Hello Ms. Jardines,

I've called you twice but your voice mail is full. I am a resident across the street from this proposed venture. I would like to know at what time exactly the hearing will be held. The posted information says "not before 1 p.m." What does that mean exactly?

Please reply at your first convenience, I need to know at what time so I can take time off from work to voice my opinion. Thank you.

Angélica Pérez

Rich Hillis
San Francisco Planning Commission
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company.

As the Events Director of **Tipping Point Community**, our mission is to find, fund and partner with the most-promising organizations helping Bay Area individuals and families break the cycle of poverty and achieve economic self-sufficiency.

Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our community events. They have gone above and beyond the call of duty, and become a true partner of the **Tipping Point Community**.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, **Tipping Point Community** feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth and their commitment to forging stronger community ties.

Sincerely,

(Signature)

Sim Hugo

(Print Name)

Events Pirector

(Title)

(Date)

#### www.soex.org

3030 20th STREET (at Alabama) SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

t - 415 863 2141 f - 415 863 1841

October 19, 2017



Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Associate Director of Southern Exposure, our mission is to experiment, collaborate, and further educate while providing an extraordinary resource center and forum for Bay Area and national artists and youth. Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations, both in product and through community events.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, Southern Exposure feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth within San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to our continued work with Fort Point.

Sincerely,

ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR (Title) 10/20/17 (Date

Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Director of Strategic Partnerships of **SFFILM**, our mission is to champion the world's finest films and filmmakers through programs anchored in and inspired by the spirit and values of the San Francisco Bay Area.

Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our community events. They have gone above and beyond the call of duty, and become a true partner of the **SFFILM**.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, **SFFILM** feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth and their commitment to forging stronger community ties in the Mission.

Sincerely,			
Neim	(Signature)	Joshua Reiman	(Print Name)
Director of Strategic Part	nerships (Title)	June 1, 2017	(Date)

#### October 11, 2017

Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Director of INFORUM, our mission is to create meaningful conversations around the things that matter. We aim to engage debate on civic issues and bring inspiring ideas and powerful stories to our community. Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our events, and has helped us build community through the social parts of our programs.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, INFORUM feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco, and knows that any neighborhood would benefit from having their brand and team nearby.

We support their growth within San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to our continued work with Fort Point.

Sincerely,

(Title)

(Date)

Director

Common wealth Club



May 30, 2017

Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Dear Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Co-Owner and Managing Director of **Heath Ceramics**, our mission is to thoughtfully make, gather, and sell enduring objects that enhance the way people eat, live, and connect. We live this ethos daily at our Mission District (18th and Florida Streets) factory and retail space, a center for creativity, design and community. PDR zoning is what's allowed us to create a richer community experience through manufacturing here in the San Francisco.

Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our community events. They have gone above and beyond the call of duty, and become a true partner of **Heath**.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, **Heath** feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco, particularly as a fellow manufacturing business that, like us, has chosen to make it happen right here in this city we call home.

We support their growth and their commitment to forging stronger community ties in the Mission.

Best Regards,

Robin Petravic, Managing Director

# CUESA CULTIVATING A HEALTHY FOOD SYSTEM

One Ferry Building, Suite 50

San Francisco, CA 94111 T/ 415.291.3276

F/ 415.291.3275

info@cuesa.org

www.cuesa.org

May 17, 2017

Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Dear Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Executive Director of The Center for Urban Education About Sustainable Agriculture (CUESA), our mission is to cultivate a sustainable food system through the operation of farmers markets and educational programs in San Francisco. Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our fundraising events. They have gone above and beyond the call of duty for our organization, and become a true partner, and good neighbor, of CUESA.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, CUESA feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth within San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to our continued work with Fort Point.

Marcy Coburn

Sincerely

**Executive Director, CUESA** 

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS** 

President Sonya Dreizler

Vice President Markus Hartmann

Treasurer Lee Koffler

Secretary Steve Sullivan

Erin Archuleta

Hans Baldauf

Jonathan Bass

Daniel Capra

John Carlon

Cathy Curtis

Jessica Goldman Foung

Andrew Freeman

Saeeda Hafiz

Shakirah Simley

Minh Tsai

Executive Director Marcy Coburn

May 30, 2017



Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

Community Housing Partnership is a local nonprofit that has been helping homeless people secure housing and become self-sufficient in San Francisco since 1990. Over the years, Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our fundraising events. On behalf of all of us at Community Housing Partnership, I am honored to have Fort Point Beer Company as our partner.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, **Community Housing Partnership** feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth in San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to continuing our partnership with Fort Point.

Sincerely,

Gail Gilman, Chief Executive Officer (May 30, 2017)

October 19, 2017

Rich Hillis
San Francisco Planning Commission
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

At 826 Valencia, our mission is to support under-resourced students ages six to eighteen with their creative and expository writing skills, and to help teachers inspire their students to write. Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations in the form of product for adult-only fundraising and community events.

While we are not involved in the details of their next project, 826 Valencia feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco.

We support their growth within San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to our continued work with Fort Point.

Sincerely,

Molly Parent, Communications Manager

on behalf of 826 Valencia

October 19, 2017



October 3, 2017

Rich Hillis San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Re: Support for Fort Point Beer Company - 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street Project

Mr. Hillis,

I am writing to show my support for Fort Point Beer Company and their proposed project at 2394 Folsom Street/587 Shotwell Street.

As the Executive Director of 18 Reasons, our mission is to empower our community with the confidence and creativity to buy, cook, and eat good food every day. Fort Point Beer Company has been supportive of our mission through ongoing charitable donations, both in product and in volunteer hours at our fundraising events.

While we are not intimately involved in the details of their next project, 18 Reasons feels strongly about the integrity of the Fort Point business and the community that they have created within San Francisco. We are excited to support the growth of a local business that shares our commitment to a strong regional food economy, while building community in the Mission, where we are located.

We support their growth within San Francisco and their commitment to forging stronger community ties through their delicious product.

We are excited about this next step as a small business and look forward to our continued work with Fort Point.

Sincerely,

Sarah Nelson Executive Director

10/3/17

#### Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

**From:** Veronica Bell <veronica@lh-pa.com> **Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 12:58 PM

To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson,

Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

**Cc:** Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Sucre, Richard (CPC)

**Subject:** Benefits of Fort Point Beer's Application

#### Commissioners,

Thank you for taking the time to review Fort Point Beer's application to open a small brewery in Timbuk2's headquarters on Folsom Street. This item was continued from early November and will be before you this Thursday.

Timbuk2 previously shared the space with an office user, but they wanted to utilize this space to grow the manufacturing sector in San Francisco. If the proposed manufacturing use isn't approved, the space will be rented out to another office user rather than a manufacturing company.

#### **Benefits of this application:**

- No displacement of current business this application allows Fort Point to utilize space that is currently not being utilized within Tumbuk2's building.
- 3-5 New manufacturing jobs created and 12-15 entry-level service jobs. All hires will be made through local organizations such as MEDA, Arriba Juntos, Mission Hiring Hall (via SF Made)
- Co-location of manufacturing companies is a great way to ensure financial viability of the manufacturing sector in San Francisco
- Twelve nonprofits and businesses have sent letters of support, including local Mission based nonprofits: (Sent under separate cover)
  - CUESA
  - o 826 Valencia
  - o 18 Reasons
- Ongoing conversations with Arriba Juntos and La Cocina about partnerships (shared kitchen space, jobs fairs, etc.)
- Fort Point pays their employees a living wage, allowing their employees to live in San Francisco should they choose to. Currently, 90% of their staff resides in SF.

Fort Point Beer would appreciate your support on Thursday. If you have any questions regarding outreach or other details of this application, please don't hesitate to call or email me anytime.

Veronica Bell | Partner | LIGHTHOUSE PUBLIC AFFAIRS MOBILE (415) 694-8507

#### Jardines, Esmeralda (CPC)

From: Tony Meneghetti <tonym@timbuk2.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:17 PM

**To:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson,

Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC);

Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

**Subject:** Timbuk2 Support Letter for Fort Point

President Hillis and Commissioners,

TIMBUK2 has been designing and manufacturing bags in the Mission District since its founding in 1989. The company currently occupies space at 2394 Folsom street, where Timbuk2's bags are designed, produced, distributed, and sold. Timbuk2's headquarters have been in this building since 2010, and 90 full-time employees work out of this location.

Since our former tenant, an architectural firm, moved out in 2014 a section of the building has been underutilized. This section represents approximately 10% of the total building square footage. We could lease the space to a different tenant without a change in use, but finding a local manufacturing company to share the space aligns with Timbuk2's mission and history, as well as with the history of the building which for its first 70 years was utilized as a manufacturing facility. We are confident that Fort Point will activate the street frontage and provide an opportunity for better community engagement in the space, in a way that another tenant won't do.

After an extensive search for a like-minded local manufacturing business, we chose Fort Point Beer. The partnership is mutually beneficial, allowing both companies to share the cost of the location and business expenses while keeping manufacturing jobs in the City.

Timbuk2 and the community will benefit from this partnership in a myriad of ways. We have always believed that Timbuk2's physical footprint should be open and welcoming to passersbys. By co-locating with Fort Point Beer, we are taking the opportunity to redesign our manufacturing space so that it is visible, open and accessible to the neighborhood. Our production center will be glassed in, allowing the local community to see the production in real-time. The partnership also helps to curtail the cost of manufacturing in the city. As the 2nd largest manufacturer in San Francisco, we regularly look at ways to keep our costs down to keep jobs in the City where Timbuk2 was started and to ensure Timbuk2 remains financially viable.

Please provide your support to allow two manufacturing businesses to co-locate, allowing for financial viability for both companies.

Thank you,

Tony Meneghetti

COO, Timbuk2

tonym@timbuk2.com

**Tony Meneghetti** | Timbuk2 | 415-994-8331 | tonym@timbuk2.com

### Fort Point Beer Co. Menu

#### Fort Point Shotwell Location

Proposed Menu With Pricing



#### **Hot Dogs & Sausages**

\*Served with Golden Gate Meat 100% beef hot dog on Acme Bun

\*Sausage options (+\$1): Bratwurst / Weisswurst / Kielbasa / Vegan

#1 Traditional	\$5–
#2 Special Onions & Yellow Mustard	\$7-
#3 Pickle Pickle & Mayo	\$7-
#4 Sauerkraut + Whole Grain Mustard	\$7-
#5 Pickled Jalapeńos, Carrots + Mayo	\$7-
#6 Currywurst	\$7-

#### Sides

French Fries	\$4-
Garlic Fries	\$5-
Cahhage Slaw	\$4_

#### **Beverages**

Small House Beers	\$4- to \$6-	*Depending on Style
Regular House Beers	\$5- to \$8-	*Depending on Style
House Made Root beer	\$3-	*Non- Alcoholic
House Made Ginger beer	\$3-	*Non- Alcoholic
Assorted Coffee & Tea	\$3-	*Non- Alcoholic