

### SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### Discretionary Review Abbreviated Analysis

HEARING DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 2018

Date:	February 15, 2018
Case No.:	2017-003039DRP
Project Address:	53 FOREST SIDE AVENUE
Permit Application:	201703010436
Zoning:	RH-1(D) (Residential-House, One Family-Detached) District
	40-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot:	2920/029
Project Sponsor:	C. Steve Swason
	12800 Shenandoah Road
	Plymouth, CA 95669
Staff Contact:	Seema Adina – (415) 575-8722
	seema.adina@sfgov.org
Recommendation:	Do not take DR and approve the project as proposed

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposal ("Project") includes the extension of the first story rear deck to 12'6" in width and 12' in depth, set in 3'6" in from the southern property line. The Project also includes the construction of a second floor rear deck on the northern side that would measure approximately 12'6" in width and 12' in depth, set in 3'6" from the northern property line and a connecting stair between the first and second floor decks.

### SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The Project is on the west side of Forest Side Avenue, between Taraval Street and Ulloa Street, Block 2920, Lot 029 and located within the RH-1(D) (Residential House, One Family-Detached) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. The 3,332 sqaure foot lot has 33.33 feet of frontage, a depth of 100 feet and is developed with an existing two-story, single-family residence.

### SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The project site is located in the West of Twin Peaks neighborhood, District 7, and within the RH-1(D) Zoning District. Parcels within the immediate vicinity consist of residential two and three-story single family dwellings of varied design and construction dates.

### BUILDING PERMIT NOTIFICATION

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	DR FILE DATE	DR HEARING DATE	FILING TO HEARING TIME
311 Notice	30 days	September 20, 2017 - October 20, 2017	October 19, 2017	February 22, 2018	126 days

### HEARING NOTIFICATION

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Posted Notice	10 days	February 12, 2018	February 12, 2018	10 days
Mailed Notice	10 days	February 12, 2018	February 12, 2018	10 days

### PUBLIC COMMENT

	SUPPORT	OPPOSED	NO POSITION
Adjacent neighbors	-	1 (DR requestor)	-
Neighbors on the block or directly across the street	-	-	-
Other neighbors	-	-	-

### DR REQUESTOR

The DR Requester is Rainbow Ho, the owner of the adjacent property at 57 Forest Side Avenue.

### DR REQUESTOR'S CONCERNS AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES

See attached Discretionary Review Application, dated October 19, 2017

### PROJECT SPONSOR'S RESPONSE TO DR APPLICATION

See attached *Response to Discretionary Review*, dated November 9, 2017.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15301 (Class One - Minor Alteration of Existing Facility, (e) Additions to existing structures provided that the addition will not result in an increase of more than 10,000 square feet).

#### **RESIDENTIAL DESIGN TEAM REVIEW**

The Residential Design Advisory Team (RDAT) reviewed the project following the submittal of the Request for Discretionary Review and found that the proposed project does not demonstrate exceptional or extraordinary circumstances and meets the standards of the Residential Design Guidelines (RDGs).

Under the Commission's pending DR Reform Legislation, this Project would not be referred to the Commission as this project does not contain or create any exception or extraordinary circumstances.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Do not take DR and approve project as proposed

Attachments: Block Book Map Sanborn Map Zoning Map Aerial Photographs Context Photographs Section 311 Notice DR Application Response to DR Application dated November 9, 2017 Reduced Plans

## **Block Book Map**



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## Sanborn Map\*



### SUBJECT PROPERTY

\*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



## Zoning Map



SAN FRANCISCO

## **Aerial Photograph**



SUBJECT PROPERTY



## **Environmental Determination**



### SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### **CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination**

### PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)		
Case No.	Permit No.	Plans Dated		
Addition/	Demolition	New	Project Modification	
Alteration	(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)	
Project description for Planning Department approval.				

### STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

*Note:	*Note: If neither class applies, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.*		
	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.		
	<b>Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures.</b> Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions.; .; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU. Change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.		
	Class		

### STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

If any box is checked below, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.

5	
	<b>Air Quality:</b> Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone?
_	Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel
	generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? <i>Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents</i>
	documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and
	the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP_ArcMap >
	CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)
	Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing
	hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy
	manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards
	or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be
	checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I
	Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of
	enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the

	Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).		
	<b>Transportation:</b> Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	<b>Archeological Resources:</b> Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Archeological Sensitive Area</i> )		
	<b>Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment:</b> Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Topography</i> )		
	<b>Slope = or &gt; 20%:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Topography</i> ) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.</b>		
	<b>Seismic: Landslide Zone:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Seismic Hazard Zones</i> ) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.</b>		
	<b>Seismic: Liquefaction Zone:</b> Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? ( <i>refer to EP_ArcMap &gt; CEQA Catex Determination Layers &gt; Seismic Hazard Zones</i> ) <b>If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.</b>		
	are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. <u>If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental</i> Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.</u>		
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.		
Comments a	Comments and Planner Signature (optional):		

### STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

<b>PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:</b> (refer to Parcel Information Map)		
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.	
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.	
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.	

### STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Che	ck all that apply to the project.
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> that meets the Department's <i>Window Replacement Standards</i> . Does not include storefront window alterations.
	4. <b>Garage work.</b> A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts,</i> and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.
	6. <b>Mechanical equipment installation</b> that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of- way.
	7. <b>Dormer installation</b> that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .
	8. <b>Addition(s)</b> that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.
Note	e: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.
	Project <b>does not conform</b> to the scopes of work. <b>GO TO STEP 5</b> .
	Project involves <b>four or more</b> work descriptions. <b>GO TO STEP 5</b> .
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.

## STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Check a	ll that apply to the project.
	1. Project involves a <b>known historical resource (CEQA Category A)</b> as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.
	3. <b>Window replacement</b> of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	5. <b>Raising the building</b> in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	6. <b>Restoration</b> based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.
	7. <b>Addition(s)</b> , including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> .
	8. <b>Other work consistent</b> with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):

9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):			
(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)			
10. <b>Reclassification of property status</b> . ( <i>Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator</i> )			
a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER)			
b. Other ( <i>specify</i> ):			
Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.			
<b>Further environmental review required.</b> Based on the information provided, the project requires an			
<i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. <b>GO TO STEP 6.</b>			
<b>Project can proceed with categorical exemption review</b> . The project has been reviewed by the			
Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. <b>GO TO STEP 6</b> .			
Comments (optional):			
Preservation Planner Signature:			
STEP 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER			
<b>Further environmental review required.</b> Proposed project does not meet scopes of work in either ( <i>check</i>			
all that apply):			
Step 2 – CEQA Impacts			
Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review			
STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application.			
No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA.			
Signature			

Planner Name:	Signature:
Project Approval Action:	
If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project.	
Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categori of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Cod within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.	

## **311 Notification**



### SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103

### NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On **March 1, 2017**, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. **2017.03.01.0436** with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROJ	ECT INFORMATION	APPL	ICANT INFORMATION
Project Address:	53 Forest Side Ave	Applicant:	C. Steve Swason
Cross Street(s):	Ulloa and Taraval Streets	Address:	12800 Shenandoah Road
Block/Lot No.:	2920 / 029	City, State:	Plymouth, CA 95669
Zoning District(s):	RH-1(D) / 40-X	Telephone:	(415) 297-1946
Record No.:	2017-003039PRJ	Email:	steve@swason4.com

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
Demolition	New Construction	Alteration
Change of Use	Façade Alteration(s)	Front Addition
Rear Addition	□ Side Addition	Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Residential	No Change
Building Depth	55 feet	No Change
Rear Yard	34 feet	No Change
Building Height	24 feet	No Change
Number of Dwelling Units	1	No Change
PROJECT DESCRIPTION		

The proposal is to extend the first story deck to 12'6" in width and 12' in depth and to construct a second floor deck on the northern side that would measure approximately 12'6" in width and 12' in depth. There are no other proposed alterations.

The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

#### For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:	Seema Adina
Telephone:	(415) 575-8722
E-mail:	Seema.Adina@sfgov.org

Notice Date:	9/20/17
Expiration Date:	10/20/17

### **GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES**

Reduced copies of the proposed project plans have been included in this mailing for your information. If you have questions about the plans, please contact the project Applicant listed on the front of this notice. You may wish to discuss the plans with your neighbors or neighborhood association, as they may already be aware of the project. If you have general questions about the Planning Department's review process, please contact the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor (415/558-6377) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday. If you have specific questions about the proposed project, you should contact the planner listed on the front of this notice.

If you believe that the impact on you from the proposed project is significant and you wish to seek to change the project, there are several procedures you may use. **We strongly urge that steps 1 and 2 be taken.** 

- 1. Request a meeting with the project Applicant to get more information and to explain the project's impact on you.
- 2. Contact the nonprofit organization Community Boards at (415) 920-3820, or online at <u>www.communityboards.org</u> for a facilitated discussion in a safe and collaborative environment. Community Boards acts as a neutral third party and has, on many occasions, helped reach mutually agreeable solutions.
- 3. Where you have attempted, through the use of the above steps or other means, to address potential problems without success, please contact the planner listed on the front of this notice to discuss your concerns.

If, after exhausting the procedures outlined above, you still believe that exceptional and extraordinary circumstances exist, you have the option to request that the Planning Commission exercise its discretionary powers to review the project. These powers are reserved for use in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances for projects which generally conflict with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of the Planning Code; therefore the Commission exercises its discretion with utmost restraint. This procedure is called Discretionary Review. If you believe the project warrants Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission, **you must file a Discretionary Review application prior to the Expiration Date shown on the front of this notice.** Discretionary Review applications are available at the Planning Information Center (PIC), 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, or online at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>). You must submit the application in person at the Planning Information Center (PIC) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday, with all required materials and a check payable to the Planning Department. To determine the fee for a Discretionary Review, please refer to the Planning Department Fee Schedule available at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>. If the project includes multiple building permits, i.e. demolition and new construction, a <u>separate request</u> for Discretionary Review must be submitted, with all required materials and fee, for <u>each</u> permit that you feel will have an impact on you. Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

If no Discretionary Review Applications have been filed within the Notification Period, the Planning Department will approve the application and forward it to the Department of Building Inspection for its review.

### **BOARD OF APPEALS**

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision on a Discretionary Review case may be made to the **Board of Appeals within 15 calendar days after the building permit is issued** (or denied) by the Department of Building Inspection. Appeals must be submitted in person at the Board's office at 1650 Mission Street, 3rd Floor, Room 304. For further information about appeals to the Board of Appeals, including current fees, contact the Board of Appeals at (415) 575-6880.

### ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Planning Department or other City board, commission or department at, or prior to, such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

## **Discretionary Review Application**

	Application for <b>Discretionary F</b>
	For Statt Use only 2017-003030
PPLICATION FOR	RECEIVE
Discretionary Review	OCT 1 9 201
Owner/Applicant Information	CITY & COUNTY (
R APPLICANT'S NAME:	PLANNING DEPARTME P I C
HO RHINBOW	IP CODE: TELEPHONE:
57 Forest Side AVE (A	194127 (415) 531-149
ROPERTY OWNER WHO IS DOING THE PROJECT ON WHICH YOU ARE REQUESTING DISCRETIONARY R HEATHER COWAR TRUST	REVIEW NAME:
DDRESS:	IP CODE: I TELEPHONE:
53 Forest Side ALE C	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
DDRESS: ZII	IP CODE: TELEPHONE:
MAIL ADDRESS:	( )
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·
Location and Classification	
TREET ADDRESS OF PROJECT:	
ADDESS STREETS: 53 FOVEST Side IXVE	, <u>~["] ] ]</u>
Ullou	
SSESSORS BLOCK/LOT: LOT DIMENSIONS: LOT AREA (SQ FT): ZONING DISTRICT:	$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{V}$
erne Annual ar er er en en en er en en en en en er en en er er en er	a fariante dana de la construcción
Project Description	
ise check all that apply iange of Use 🗌 Change of Hours 📿 New Construction 🗌 Altera	rations 🗌 Demolition 🗋 Other 🗌
	rd 🗌
l <b>ditions to Building:</b> Rear 📈 Front 🗆 Height 🗆 Side Yar	

#### 4. Actions Prior to a Discretionary Review Request

Prior Action	YES	NO
Have you discussed this project with the permit applicant?		Þ
Did you discuss the project with the Planning Department permit review planner?		
Did you participate in outside mediation on this case?		Ø

### 5. Changes Made to the Project as a Result of Mediation

If you have discussed the project with the applicant, planning staff or gone through mediation, please summarize the result, including any changes there were made to the proposed project.



### **Discretionary Review Request**

In the space below and on separate paper, if necessary, please present facts sufficient to answer each question.

 What are the reasons for requesting Discretionary Review? The project meets the minimum standards of the Planning Code. What are the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances that justify Discretionary Review of the project? How does the project conflict with the City's General Plan or the Planning Code's Priority Policies or Residential Design Guidelines? Please be specific and site specific sections of the Residential Design Guidelines.

The Project is to build a high deck that will affect on my privatey. My trouse is People that sit out of the new deck w. [Plook in to my bedroon. because my Bedroom is extended out allthe ... (0) a lower than ther 53 Forestside AUE house is (ヹ) loped down. S O littler she build the deck My deet **h**:11

2. The Residential Design Guidelines assume some impacts to be reasonable and expected as part of construction will Have Please explain how this project would cause unreasonable impacts. If you believe your property, the property of others or the neighborhood would be adversely affected, please state who would be affected, and how:

People will have party outside the deck it WILL COURSE ĥ

3. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project, beyond the changes (if any) already made would respond to the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances and reduce the adverse effects noted above in question #1?

ett over eigratte will more throw into property

### Applicant's Affidavit

Under penalty of perjury the following declarations are made:

- a: The undersigned is the owner or authorized agent of the owner of this property.
- b: The information presented is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.c: The other information or applications may be required.

Signature:

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ [0/14/17\_\_\_

Print name, and indicate whether owner, or authorized agent:

61

RAIN BOW HO \_\_\_\_\_

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

### **Discretionary Review Application** Submittal Checklist

Applications submitted to the Planning Department must be accompanied by this checklist and all required materials. The checklist is to be completed and signed by the applicant or authorized agent.

	REQUIRED MATERIALS (please check correct column)	DR APPLICATION
	Application, with all blanks completed	
¥	Address labels (original), if applicable	0
×	Address labels (copy of the above), if applicable	0
	Photocopy of this completed application	
	Photographs that illustrate your concerns	
	Convenant or Deed Restrictions	
	Check payable to Planning Dept.	
6	Letter of authorization for agent	
	Other: Section Plan, Detail drawings (i.e. windows, door entries, trim), Specifications (for cleaning, repair, etc.) and/or Product cut sheets for new elements (i.e. windows, doors)	

NOTES:

Ж

Required Material.
 Optional Material.
 Optional Material.
 Optional Material.
 Official labels and one copy of addresses of adjacent property owners and owners of property across street.

For Department Use Only Application received by Planning Department:

By: Jonathan Disg <u>مر</u>

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: 10/19/17

# Project Sponsor Response to Discretionary Review

## RESPONSE TO DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (DRP)



# Planning

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1630 MISSION STREET, SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103-3679 MAIN: [435] 558-6376 SPPLANNING ORG

#### **Project Information**

Property Address: 53 Forest Side Avenue

Building Permit Application(s): 2017.0301.0436

Record Number: 2017-003039DRP

Assigned Planner: Seema Adina

#### **Project Sponsor**

Name: C, STEVEN SWASON

Phone: 415-297-1946

Zip Code: 94127

Email: STEVE & SWASONA, COM

#### **Required Questions**

 Given the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties, why do you feel your proposed project should be approved? (If you are not aware of the issues of concern to the DR requester, please meet the DR requester in addition to reviewing the attached DR application.)

> THE DE REQUESTER HAS MADE HO CONCERNS TO EMIER ME OR THE AVNER. THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED MEETSALL CODES AND IS REEPING WITH THE LOCAL ARCHITECTURE

2. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project are you willing to make in order to address the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties? If you have already changed the project to meet neighborhood concerns, please explain those changes and indicate whether they were made before or after filing your application with the City.

WE ARE NOT WILLING TO SHANGE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

If you are not willing to change the proposed project or pursue other alternatives, please state why you feel
that your project would not have any adverse effect on the surrounding properties. Include an explaination
of your needs for space or other personal requirements that prevent you from making the changes
requested by the DR requester.

THE DR REQUESTER HAS NOT MADE ANY REQUESTS. THIS DECK ALLOWS EXTERIOR SPACE AT LEVEL TWO PLUS ACCESS TO THE REAR YARD

#### **Project Features**

Please provide the following information about the project for both the existing and proposed features. Please attach an additional sheet with project features that are not included in this table.

	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Dwelling Units (only one kitchen per unit - additional kitchens count as additional units)	1	
Occupied Stories (all levels with habitable rooms)	2	2
Basement Levels (may include garage or windowless storage rooms)	0	0
Parking Spaces (Off-Street)	1	1
Bedrooms	5	5
Height	24'±	24 1
Building Depth	52'+	521
Rental Value (monthly)	AH I	AM
Property Value	2	3

I attest that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:	Date: 11.9.17
	Property Owner Authorized Agent
Printed Name: C, SWASOH	Jes, Authorized Agent

If you have any additional information that is not covered by this application, please feel free to attach additional sheets to this form.

## **Reduced Plans**



