Thursday, June 4, 2015
12:00 p.m.
Regular Meeting

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Fong, Wu, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards

THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY PRESIDENT FONG AT 12:10 P.M.

STAFF IN ATTENDANCE: John Rahaim – Planning Director, Sharon Lai, Kate Conner, Doug Vu, Kevin Guy, Tina Chang, and Jonas P. Ionin – Commission Secretary

SPEAKER KEY:
+ indicates a speaker in support of an item;
- indicates a speaker in opposition to an item; and
= indicates a neutral speaker or a speaker who did not indicate support or opposition

A. COMMISSION MATTERS

1. Consideration of Adoption:
   - Draft Minutes for May 21, 2015 - Joint Hearing with Rec/Park Commission
   - Draft Minutes for May 21, 2015

   SPEAKERS: None
   ACTION: Adopted
   AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Johnson, Moore, Richards
   ABSENT: Hillis
2. Commission Comments/Questions
   - **Inquiries/Announcements.** Without discussion, at this time Commissioners may make announcements or inquiries of staff regarding various matters of interest to the Commissioner(s).
   - **Future Meetings/Agendas.** At this time, the Commission may discuss and take action to set the date of a Special Meeting and/or determine those items that could be placed on the agenda of the next meeting and other future meetings of the Planning Commission.

**Commissioner Antonini:**
A few things, first of all, I was part of a panel discussion on Tuesday morning at the Practising Law Institute and I was asked to comment a little bit on informational comments on the Prop M Office Space Allocation, but it was a very good panel, one of the most interesting presentations came from Tracey Grose, who is the head of the Bay Area Council Economic Institute and she talked a lot about growth and we've heard some of this already in other reports, but she said that San Francisco's job growth was 16 percent, I think it was last year as supposed to the Bay Area at 12 and California at nine, and the other thing that is quite interesting is that she said in 2014 there was a huge jump in venture capital, the most in 12 years and the four largest venture capital firms that had money invested in them were all in San Francisco: Airbnb, Lift, Uber and Pinterest. So, it bodes well for, there is definitely an interest in the companies that are growing and that may a reason why the employment growth is so great and so anyway, that was interesting for anybody who is interested, the Commission Secretary has a synopsis of my remarks to that group and those are available if anybody cares to get them. On a less positive note an article in the Sunday's Chronicle which I thought was very well written by Carl Nolte and it is entitled, "San Francisco Just Don't Measure Up To Real Big Cities", and he said, many of the things I've noticed in the trips I take to other places, take too much time looking at in the mirror and saying how beautiful we are, but then we you get up close it doesn't look so good and he contrasted with New York and London and how noticeably clean those cities were and he saw, it seemed to be fewer people with social problems on the streets and generally kind out of control as that he sees in San Francisco and went on to a lot of the instances there are problems here, that he didn't see in other cities. And the other thing that was kind of troubling is for a long time the San Francisco Museum and Historical Society had the -- was taking care of The Mint and I guess they lost their lease. I'm not sure of the details on that, but it seems like it is kind of falling apart and it is becoming a camp out for homeless people and another in there, and it is a big mess, was not the case last year, so that is certainly something to take a look at and find out why it isn't been maintained. He also alluded to how bad Manhattan is being about 30 years ago and I can speak to that, it was much worse, that we ever been, but they certainly did a good job of improving the situation there. He just asks for someone to take the leadership and start to do some things here. I would say the same thing. I mean, I think everyone has ideas, and everybody things they're doing but is not all working together with the common thing in particular when you look at some of the rules that exist and they don't get enforced and I think that's one of the big problems we have and thank you very much.

3. **Commission Rules & Regulations** - Consideration of Amendments and Adoption.
   Preliminary Recommendation: Adopt
SPEAKERS: None
ACTION: Continued to July 2, 2015
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Johnson, Moore, Richards
ABSENT: Hillis

B. DEPARTMENT MATTERS

4. Director’s Announcements

Director Rahaim:
Good afternoon, Commissioners, just to announce, and I am sure you’ve heard, that the Mayor announced his proposed budget this week of $8.9 something billion dollars. The Department’s budget obviously part of that, is largely intact from what you approved with a few changes that we are still sifting through and we’ll prepare a short memo for you to talk about the changes. I don’t exactly what they are at this point, but there were a few changes from what the Commission approved and we will be happy to get those to you in the next week or two.

5. Review of Past Events at the Board of Supervisors, Board of Appeals and Historic Preservation Commission

LAND USE COMMITTEE:
No Planning Department items

FULL BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:
• 150017 Require CU For Certain Uses In Castro, 24 St/Noe, and Upper Market NCDs. Sponsor: Wiener. Staff: D. Sanchez. PASSED Second Read
• 150148 Designation of 149-155 9th Street (Western Manufacturing). Sponsor: Planning. Staff: Lammers. PASSED Second Read
• 150523 Hearing - Zoning - Interim Moratorium on Certain New Residential Uses and Elimination of Production, Distribution, and Repair Uses in a Portion of the Mission Area Plan of the General Plan. Sponsor: Campos, Mar, Kim, Avalos, and Yee. Staff: Rodgers, Rahaim, Flores. On Tuesday the Full Board of Supervisors considered the Mission Moratorium. This “urgency ordinance” would prevent the issuance of any permits to demolish, convert, or construct housing projects with 5 or more residential units. While the main focus of the ordinance was housing, it would also prevent the loss of PDR. The only exception would be 100% affordable housing projects, which could be approved during the 45 days. State law establishes that moratoriums may only be enacted for a maximum of 45 days. If adopted, the Board could extend the moratorium for a maximum of 2 years. To ensure that “urgency ordinances” are not established lightly, state law also requires a 4/5 majority for adoption. The intent is to limit such regulation to critical issues that are of immediate threat to public health, safety, or welfare. Instead of scheduling this item before a Committee of the Board: the Board President, Supervisor Breed, determined that the issue was of such import that it should be heard by the Full Board. At the hearing, the primary sponsor, Supervisor Campos described the housing crisis as having a disproportional impact on the Mission and the Latino community. He said the moratorium was needed to “take a break” from standard development review so that the City may have time to develop some of the few, remaining softsites in the Mission for affordable housing. Supervisor Campos was joined in his sponsorship of the ordinance by Supervisors
Mar, Kim, Avalos, and Yee. Leading opposition to the Moratorium were Supervisors Wiener and Farrell. Supervisor Farrell said that the moratorium would "only cause housing prices to rise". As the hearing started, Board President Breed strongly encouraged Board members to hold their comments so that the public could be heard. Supervisor Christensen called for a plan to build affordable housing and noted that the moratorium is neither a plan nor a funding mechanism.

The hearing started at 3pm and lasted until midnight. During the hearing, the public expressed the full weight of the housing crisis in vivid personal detail. Many stated the effects on the Latino community harken back to a similar exodus of African-Americans from the City. Several times, the room erupted into chants or singing as the Board struggled to control the hearing. Two individuals were removed from chambers, but not arrested. After public comment Supervisor Cohen asked staff for key data points such as the number of housing projects that would be captured in the moratorium, 33, and the number of potentially affected units 1574. Of these total units, developers would either construct or pay fees to provide a minimum of 12% or 189 affordable units. And while the fiscal impact would vary depending upon how long the Board would ultimately keep the moratorium in place, there are an estimated $17 million worth of Eastern Neighborhood impact fees and $125 million inclusionary dollars associated with these pipeline projects. As for planning efforts to address the issue, staff described the work of the Mayor’s Office, MEDA and our department on the Mission 2020 Plan. This plan should produce a draft in 45 days and would be finalized by the fall. The goal of the plan is to keep 65% of the mission affordable to low and moderate-income residents. We believe these targets can be met through preservation of existing housing, new construction, acquisition and other programmatic and policy changes. When the final vote was called, the moratorium was rejected by 7-4, with Supervisors Christensen, Farrell, Tang and Wiener in opposition. Supporters have pledged to pursue a similar ballot initiative for voters to consider this fall.

INTRODUCTIONS:
• 150587 Building and Planning Codes - Notice to Tenants of Dwelling Unit Merger or Demolition. Sponsor: Wiener. Staff: TBD. Ordinance amending the Building and Planning Codes to require written and posted notice to all tenants of a building in which demolition or merger of a dwelling unit is proposed; and requiring an affidavit and notice if kitchens, stoves or bathrooms would be removed.

• 150586 Planning Code - Rezoning a Portion of Daggett Street to Public Use/Open Space Zoning. Sponsor: Cohen. Staff: Wertheim. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to rezone a portion of Daggett Street between 16th Street and 7th Street from Urban Mixed Use/68-X Height and Bulk District to Public Use/Open Space as part of the establishment of the Daggett Park.

• 150585 Planning, Administrative Codes - Construction of Accessory Dwelling Units. Sponsor: Christensen. Staff: Hadadan. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow the construction of Accessory Dwelling Units within the boundaries of Board of Supervisors District 3; and amending the Administrative Code to correct section references.

• 150584 Zoning - Interim Prohibition on Commercial Mergers in the Proposed Calle 24 Special Use District. Sponsor: Mayor, Campos. Staff: D. Sanchez. Urgency Ordinance approving an interim prohibition on commercial storefront mergers of greater than 799 gross square feet in the proposed Calle 24 Special Use District for 45 days in accordance with California Government Code, Sections 65858 et seq. (Area generally includes all lots
bounded by 22nd Street, Potrero Avenue, Cesar Chavez Street, Capp Street, and both sides of 24th Street from Capp Street to Bartlett Street, as well as certain additional adjacent lots)

• 150571 Planning, Building Codes - Fee Waiver for Legalization of Secondary Dwelling Units. Sponsor: Mayor. Staff: TBD. Ordinance amending the Planning and Building Codes to waive fees related to granting legal status to existing dwelling units constructed without required permits.

• 150568 Administrative, Planning, Subdivision Codes - Citywide Affordable Housing Fund, Mayor’s Housing Programs Fees Fund. Sponsor: Mayor. Staff: TBD. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to add the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund as a Category Eight self-appropriating fund; amending the Planning and Subdivision Codes to make corresponding changes to affordable housing fee provisions related to the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund; and revising the Mayor’s Housing Programs Fees Fund to change it to a Category Eight self-appropriating fund.

• 150365 REINTRODUCTION Planning, Administrative Codes - Construction of Accessory Dwelling Units. Sponsor: Wiener. Staff: Hadadan. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow the construction of Accessory Dwelling Units within the boundaries of Board of Supervisors District 8; amending the Administrative Code to correct section references.

• 150271 REINTRODUCTION Planning Code, Zoning Map - Rezoning Properties on Ocean Avenue. Sponsor: Yee. Staff: Sanchez. Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Zoning Map to rezone properties facing Ocean Avenue,

BOARD OF APPEALS:
Commissioners, if there’s nothing further the Zoning Administrator requested that I submit to you his brief. The Board of Appeals met last night and there was an item on the calendar of interest to the Planning Commission. They heard an appeal of the building permit for 2809 Polk Street, which proposed to expand an existing two unit building through vertical horizontal addition by finishing the garage and basement levels to include habitable space. The Planning Commission review this project at a DR hearing, on October 23, 2014 and voted unanimously to not take DR and approve the project as proposed. The Board of Appeals also voted unanimously to deny the appeal and uphold the issuance of the building permit application.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION:
No Report

C. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT – 15 MINUTES

At this time, members of the public may address the Commission on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission except agenda items. With respect to agenda items, your opportunity to address the Commission will be afforded when the item is reached in the meeting. Each member of the public may address the Commission for up to three minutes.

SPEAKERS: Sue Hestor – 530-548 Brannan Street and 208 Pennsylvania Street
D. REGULAR CALENDAR

The Commission Hearing Procedures provide for presentations by staff; followed by the project sponsor team; followed by public comment for and against the proposal. Please be advised that the project sponsor team includes: the sponsor(s) or their designee, lawyers, architects, engineers, expediters, and/or other advisors.

6. 2013.1407C (S. LAI: (415) 575-9087)
2501 CALIFORNIA STREET - southwest corner of California and Steiner Streets; Lots 001,002, and 034 in Assessor’s Block 0655 - Request for Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code Sections 102, 121.1, 121.2, 186.1, 303, 303.1, 703.3, 718.11, and 718.21 for the demolition of the convenience store, the non-conforming automotive service use, and the non-conforming automotive gas station (d.b.a. Shell); and to allow the lot merger and reconstruction of the non-conforming automotive gas station (d.b.a. Shell), and the establishment of a formula retail convenience store (d.b.a. Loop), within the Upper Fillmore Street Neighborhood Commercial District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

(Continued from Regular Meeting of May 28, 2015)

Preliminary Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

On April 30, 2015 after Hearing and Closing Public Comment; the Commission continued the matter to May 28, 2015 by a vote of +7 -0.
On May 28, 2015, without hearing, the matter was continued to June 4, 2015 by a vote of + 5 -0 (Johnson, Wu absent).

SPEAKERS:

+ Sonny Boyle – Project Sponsor presentation
- Harold Stoddard – Loss of service repair facility
- Susan McBride – Not necessarily (convenience store)
- (M) Speaker – Loss of service repair
- Darle Fryar – Opposed to loop
- Chelsea Botty – Keep automotive repair on the property
- Douglass Verdell – Would like to continue operating service station
- (F) Speaker – Keep the service shop
- Paul Wermer – Wrong design for the wrong place
- Carol Brownson – Opposed, not neighborhood serving
+ Dave Sahagan – Needs upgrade and modernized
- Irene Seante – Don’t need a convenience store, great service station
- Rose Hillson – Traffic, noise
- Marlene Morgan – Broader neighborhood, loss of gas stations, keep local serving businesses
- Alfia Hondrajen – Vote no
- (M) Speaker – Fat man wants another rib eye

ACTION: Approved the demolition and reconstruction of a Gas and Service Station with a retail component limited to the as-of-right use size of 2,499 square feet, with Conditions as amended to include:

1. The Project Sponsor, continue working with staff on traffic calming measures.

Adding a finding, for necessity and desirability of a neighborhood serving service station component.
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards
MOTION: 19383

7a. **2012.1531CX**

(K. CONNER: (415) 575-6914)

361 TURK STREET - south side between Leavenworth and Hyde Streets; Lot 017 in Assessor’s Block 034 - The overall project includes the construction of two eight-story group housing buildings with a total of 238 group housing rooms on two properties (361 Turk Street and 145 Leavenworth Street) previously developed with surface parking lots. Request for **Conditional Use Authorization**, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 253 to allow construction of a building over 40 feet in height on a property previously used as a surface parking lot. The proposed project is to construct an eight-story group housing building, containing 140 group housing rooms, and approximately 3,854 gross square feet of ground floor retail space. The project site is located within the RC-4 (Residential- Commercial, High Density) Zoning District, the North of Market Residential Special Use District 1, Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District and 80-T Height and Bulk District. A companion project at 145 Leavenworth Street is seeking a Downtown Project Authorization with an exception to the requirements for Reduction of Ground-Level Wind Currents in C-3 Districts. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Preliminary Recommendation: Approve with Conditions (Continued from Regular Meeting of April 30, 2015)

SPEAKERS: + David Cincotta – Project presentation
+ Architect – Design presentation
+ Arlan – Engineering solution
+ Steve Johnson – Swinerton Builders
+ Adrian Simi – Union support
- Michael Nolte – Opposed to 145 Leavenworth
= Kim – Continuance
= Katherine Wolf – Quality of life for who?
- Alan Love – Opposed, adequate affordable housing
+ Jebron Farah – Aquacel, water savings
- (F) Speaker – Opposed
- James Joyce – Meetings never held
+ Doandld Dustep – Provides housing, no displacement
- Curtis Bradfor – Loophole in the law
- Martin Gaywiler – Light and air
- Scott Embledge – Setbacks
- Debra Hully – Light and air
- Sin Jun – Residents of TNDC
- Diane Evans – Sunshine, our quality of life
- John Nolte – Community liaison?
- Ashley Bingham – Loss of light and air
- David Konago – Curry Community loss of light and air
+ Robert Poole – Support
- Stephen Adu – Community outreach, setbacks
+ Alex Zooker – Alternative parking solutions
- Tom Reviw – Opposed
+ Brett Miller – Support
+ (F) Speaker – More housing
- (M) Speaker – Not permitted
- (F) Speaker – Opposed, affordable housing
- Rosaria Tavare – Opposed, affordable housing
- Eddy Huspin – Rent rates
- (M) Speaker – Provide affordable housing
- (M) Speaker – Provide affordable housing and negative impacts
- Ryan Thayer – For what? and for whom?
+ Bill Worthington – YMCA – Support
- Jessie Johnson – Unnecessary monstrosity
- Theresa De La Cruz – Need affordable units
- (F) Speaker – Continuance, demo dust
+ Annie Fryman - Support
- Gaya – Opposed
- Sebastian Gray – Windows, tree, continuance
- Joe Wilson – Avalos amendment
- J.M. Hub – Larger setbacks
- (M) Speaker – Changes impact to those who can’t afford it
- Rebecca Nichols – Light and air
= Don Faulk – Equitable development
- (F) Speaker –
+ Tim Colen – Asset to the neighborhood
- Sam Dennison – More time
- Larry – More time
- Michael McCarthy
- Christina Lewis – Light
- Dean Tomahira – Light
+ Tom Swerle – Approve as is, we need housing
- Sue Hestor – Continuance, __, housing for male adults

ACTION: After Hearing and Closing Public Comment; Continued to July 9, 2015
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards

7b. 2012.1531CX
145 LEAVENWORTH STREET - west side of Leavenworth between Turk and Golden Gate Avenue, Lot 002 in Assessor’s Block 0345 – The overall project includes the construction of two eight-story group housing buildings with a total of 238 group housing rooms on two properties (361 Turk Street and 145 Leavenworth Street) previously developed with surface parking lots, Request for Downtown Project Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Section 309 with exceptions to the requirements for Reduction of Ground-Level Wind Currents in C-3 Districts pursuant to Planning Code Section 148. The proposed project is to construct an eight-story group housing building, containing of 98 group housing rooms and approximately 2,725 gross square feet of ground floor retail space on a property previously used as a surface parking lot. The project site is located within a C-3-G (Downtown General Commercial) Zoning District and 80-X Height and Bulk District. A companion Project at 361 Turk Street is seeking a Conditional Use Authorization to allow construction of a building over 40 feet in height. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.
Preliminary Recommendation: Approve with Conditions  
(Continued from Regular Meeting of April 30, 2015)

SPEAKERS: Same as Item 7a.  
ACTION: After Hearing and Closing Public Comment; Continued to July 9, 2015  
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards

8. 2013.0485X  
750 HARRISON STREET - north side between 3rd and 4th Streets – Lot 029 in Assessor’s Block 3751 – Request for Large Project Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Section 329 including exceptions for 1) permitted obstructions over the street, setback, yard or usable open space, and 2) exposure for the proposed construction of a new eight-story, 85-foot tall building consisting of approximately 2,800 square feet of commercial space at the ground floor and up to 77 single room occupancy (SRO) dwelling units totaling 27,237 gross square feet on the second through eighth floors. The subject property is located within the MUO (Mixed Use Office) District and 85-X Height and Bulk Designation. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

Preliminary Recommendation: Approve with Conditions  
(Continued from Regular Meeting of May 21, 2015)

SPEAKERS: + Will Millar – Project presentation  
+ Mike Pittler – Design presentation  
- Sue Hestor – What is the target income?

ACTION: Approved with Conditions  
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards  
MOTION: 19384

9a. 2014.0835CT  
NORTH BEACH SPECIAL USE DISTRICT - Request for a Planning Code Text Amendment to Section 780.3 (North Beach Special Use District) to allow a Restaurant or Bar use to occupy a vacant retail space that was last occupied by a Basic Neighborhood Sale or Service Use, subject to Conditional Use Authorization and based on certain proposed criteria.

Preliminary Recommendation: Adopt a Recommendation for Approval

SPEAKERS: + Vince Sanchez – Project presentation  
+ (F) Speaker – Great addition to the neighborhood  
- Nan Roth – Vehemently opposed  
+ Willy Marry – Support  
+ Ron Lee – Support  
+ Betty Lim – Support  
+ (M) Speaker – Support  
+ (F) Sandy Sew – Support  
+ Jeremy Blattis – Support

ACTION: Adopted a Recommendation to Approve  
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards  
RESOLUTION: 19385
9b. **2014.0835CT**  
(K. GUY: (415) 558-6163)  
627 VALLEJO STREET - south side between Columbus Avenue and Stockton Street, Lots 017-021 of Assessor's Block 0146 - Request for **Conditional Use Authorization** to allow a non-residential use exceeding 2,000 sq. ft. (Planning Code Section 121.2), and to allow a Restaurant use (Planning Code Section 722.44). The project proposes to establish a restaurant (dba “Mama’s”) measuring approximately 2,200 sq. ft., as well as a special grocery storage (dba “Lil’ Mama’s”), measuring approximately 2,100 sq. ft., along with common kitchen and utility areas measuring approximately 1,600 sq. ft, within the North Beach Neighborhood Commercial District, a 40-X Height and Bulk District, and the North Beach Special Use District. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Preliminary Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

SPEAKERS: Same as Item 9a.
ACTION: Approved with Conditions
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards
MOTION: 19386

E. **DISCRETIONARY REVIEW CALENDAR**

The Commission Discretionary Review Hearing Procedures provide for presentations by staff; followed by the DR requestor team; followed by public comment opposed to the project; followed by the project sponsor team; followed by public comment in support of the project. Please be advised that the DR requestor and project sponsor teams include: the DR requestor and sponsor or their designee, lawyers, architects, engineers, expediters, and/or other advisors.

10. **2013.1207DRP**  
(T. CHANG: (415) 575-9197)  
180 MANGELS AVENUE - North side of Mangels Avenue; Lot 015C in Assessor’s Block 6763 - Request for **Discretionary Review** of Building Permit Application 2013.1224.5006 proposing a vertical addition to an existing one-story-over-garage single-family-home, replacing a pitched roof with a full 2nd floor that extends approximately 10’-6” beyond the existing first story. No expansion of the building is proposed at the garage or 1st story levels. The project is located within a RH-1 (Residential, Home, One-Family) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. This action constitutes the Approval Action for the project for purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Preliminary recommendation: Take DR and Approve with Modifications

SPEAKERS: - Property Owner – Improve plans  
- (F) Speaker - Clarity
ACTION: Continued to July 9, 2015
AYES: Wu, Fong, Antonini, Hillis, Johnson, Moore, Richards

F. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

At this time, members of the public may address the Commission on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission except agenda items. With respect to agenda items, your opportunity to address the Commission will be afforded when the item is reached in the meeting with one exception. When the agenda item has already been
reviewed in a public hearing at which members of the public were allowed to testify and the Commission has closed the public hearing, your opportunity to address the Commission must be exercised during the Public Comment portion of the Calendar. Each member of the public may address the Commission for up to three minutes.

The Brown Act forbids a commission from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at public comment. In response to public comment, the commission is limited to:

(1) responding to statements made or questions posed by members of the public; or
(2) requesting staff to report back on a matter at a subsequent meeting; or
(3) directing staff to place the item on a future agenda. (Government Code Section 54954.2(a))

ADJOURNMENT – 5:33 P.M.