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HEARING DATE: MAY 8, 2014

Date: May 1, 2014
Case No.: 2006.1308EMTZ
Project Address: Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock
Zoning: M-1
Proposed Zoning: Visitacion Valley Special Use District
Height/Bulk: 40-X & 55-X
Block/Lot No.’s: AB 5066B/003, 004, 004a, 005, 006, 007, 008, 009; AB 5087/003, 003a, 004, 005; AB 5099/014; AB 5100/002, 003,007,010 AB 5101/006, 007; AB 5102/009, 010; AB 5107/001, 003, 004, 005; AB 6233/048, 055; AB 6248/002, 045; AB 6249/001, 002, 002A, 016, 017, 018, 019, 020, 021, 022, 023, 024, 025, 026, 027, 028, 029, 030, 031, 032, 033, 034, 035, 036; AB 6308/001, 001a, 001d, 002, 002b, 003; AB 6309B/001, 002, 018.

Staff Contact: Claudia Flores – (415) 558-6473 Claudia.Flores@sfgov.org
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Recommendation: Initiate Amendments to the General Plan.

INTRODUCTION

The Planning Department, in collaboration with the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, and several other City agencies, presents the amendments and updates to the Visitacion Valley / Schlage Lock Development Project. This represents the culmination of many years of collaboration with Universal Paragon Corporation, the property owner and project sponsor, as well as with Visitacion Valley residents, business owners, workers and stakeholders, towards a plan for reuse of the long-vacant Schlage Lock site into a vibrant, transit-oriented mixed use development that will be model of sustainability. The plan calls for the creation of 1,679 new residential units, a mid-sized grocery store, and other ground floor neighborhood retail on the Schlage site. Of particular note is that in addition to the 15% affordable housing requirement, all of the market-rate units developed on the site are also expected to be affordable to middle income families based on the prevailing market affordability of the neighborhood. It also includes three new neighborhood parks of different sizes, the extension of the Visitacion Valley street grid throughout the Schlage Lock property, and integrates the commercial backbone of the community, Leland Avenue, into the site.

The draft Resolution and action before the Planning Commission is for initiation of amendments to the General Plan. The Initiation Package is intended to provide the Commission with all the documentation necessary to initiate the necessary amendments to implement the Visitacion Valley / Schlage Lock Development Program. Initiation does not involve a decision on the substance of the amendments; it
merely begins the required notice period, after which the Commission may hold a hearing and take action on the proposed amendments and related actions.

The proposed General Plan Amendments pertaining to this initiation hearing are part of a larger package of changes that will be presented to the Planning Commission for approval at a future public hearing. At such hearing, the Planning Commission will consider the General Plan amendments as well as related Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments, the Development Agreement, the Design for Development, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan as well as an Infrastructure Master Plan and a Transportation Demand Management Plan. The Mayor and Supervisor Cohen introduced the related components to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, April 29, 2014. No initiation action is required for the other actions related to approving the project; any actions related to CEQA will follow at the time of approvals.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTIONS AT THIS HEARING

The following actions are requested from the Commission at this hearing:

1) Approve resolution initiating amendments to the General Plan. By formally initiating the process of making amendments to the General Plan the Commission directs staff to begin a required 20-day notice period and to calendar an approval hearing after the required 20-day period has run. Notice of the approval hearing will be published in the newspaper and mailed to residents and property owners within 300 feet of all exterior boundaries of the planning area, as required by section 306.3 of the Planning Code. Please note that by initiating these amendments today, the Commission does not make any decision regarding the substance of the proposals. It retains full rights to accept, reject or modify any and all parts of the proposed ordinance and the Visitacion Valley / Schlage Lock proposals at such future hearing.

2) Calendar the proposed hearing date for approval and adoption. Staff proposes that the date for final approval and adoption of amendments and related actions be set for June 5, 2014, as a regular calendar item. The project requires presentations at several City Commissions, Committees and Boards and it is critical the project meets this date.

3) Review the requested future commission actions. In order to develop the Schlage Lock site and plan for other improvements to the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, the Planning Commission will be asked to consider a number of actions at the hearing on June 5th. Requested future actions that the Planning Commission must consider are described further at the end of this case report.

PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Schlage Lock Company operated from the 1920’s to 1974 and it was one of the City’s largest employers. The Ingersoll Rand Corporation acquired the Schlage Lock Company in 1974 and operated the plant until 1999, when it closed down the plant and relocated manufacturing operations. The 20 acre site has been vacant since 1999. After Home Depot proposed to develop a retail store on the vacant Schlage site in 2000—a proposal that met with community opposition - the Board of Supervisors imposed interim zoning controls, sponsored by then Supervisor Sophie Maxwell, on the site to encourage the long-term planning of the site. Residents of Visitacion Valley then partnered with City
agencies and the Universal Paragon Corporation to develop a plan for the reuse and revitalization of this critical site in their community. Several years of analysis and an extensive community planning process concluded in 2009 with the adoption of a Redevelopment Plan, zoning changes and a detailed Design for Development to guide change on the site. Since City adoption of the Plan, the former Visitacion Valley Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) had continued to meet to discuss and comment on various aspects of the Plan’s implementation and to provide comments to the project sponsor as it continued to implement the plans for the Schlage Lock site.

However, the demise of Redevelopment Agency in early 2012, and the loss of public funding that accompanied it, required reopening the plans for the site. City staff, along with the project sponsor, re-initiated efforts to move transformation of Schlage forward beginning with a community meeting on October 13th 2012. The Planning Department partnered with the Mayor’s Office of Economic and Workforce Development and the community to evaluate the project’s feasibility, to look at tools which can help move the project forward, and to make the necessary legislative changes to foster the site’s transformation. The proposed amendments to the 2009 documents and the new Development Agreement are the results of that effort.

**Project Location / Present Use**

The Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock site is located in the southeast quadrant of San Francisco, immediately north of the San Francisco / San Mateo County Line and the City of Brisbane in San Mateo County. To the west of the Special Use District, are McLaren Park, the Sunnyvale HOPE-SF site and the Excelsior and Crocker Amazon districts; to the east of the site lie Highway 101, Little Hollywood, Executive Park, Candlestick and Bayview Hunters Point neighborhoods; and the Bayshore Caltrain station lies near the Southeast corner of the site. The 20-acre site is currently zoned M-1 (Industrial) District and 40-X Height and Bulk Districts. Demolition of the Schlage factory buildings has taken place. With the exception of the old office building and plaza at Bayshore Boulevard and Blanken Avenue, the site is currently vacant. Since 2009 the entire site has undergone active groundwater and soil vapor remediation due to its former industrial use.

The Special Use District (SUD) includes two zones: Zone 1, composed of the Schlage Lock industrial site, located at the southern border of San Francisco where Bayshore Boulevard converges with Tunnel Avenue; and Zone 2, composed of the segments of the west side of Bayshore Boulevard and the existing Leland Avenue adjacent to the Schlage Lock site.
PROPOSAL: AMENDMENTS TO THE ADOPTED 2009 PLAN & IMPLEMENTING DOCUMENTS

The proposed Amendments would:

(1) **Amend the Planning Code** (introduced by the Mayor and the Board) to:
   - Update Planning Code Section 249.45 - the “Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, which would:
     - allow for the development of 1,679 housing units and up to 46,700 square feet of new retail;
     - establish key controls that supersede the underlying zoning such as parking, and prohibiting and allowing certain uses;
     - establish that development in the SUD is regulated by the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development document and the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan as adopted and periodically amended by the Planning Commission, except for those controls specifically enumerated in the SUD;
     - establish a process for phase and project design review, approval and the consideration of modifications to the controls of the SUD and the Design for Development Controls and Guidelines, including public notification and hearings; and
     - sunset the 2009 Redevelopment Plan

(2) **Amend the Zoning Maps** (introduced by the Mayor and the Board) as follows:
   - Amend Z10 to designate the new Mixed Use General (MUG) zoning for Zone 2 (the Schlage Lock site) of the project site; and
   - Amend Zoning Map HT10 to reclassify the height limits within the project site according to the proposed project.

(3) **Amend the General Plan** as follows
   - Urban Design Element map - Urban Design Guidelines for Height of Buildings (Map 4) and Urban Design Guidelines for Bulk of Buildings (Map 5) to reference the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District replacing the references to the 2009 Redevelopment Area Plan;
   - Commerce and Industry Element maps - Generalized Commercial and Industrial Land Use Plan (Map 1), Generalized Commercial & Industrial Density Plan (Map 2), Residential Service Areas of Neighborhood Commercial Districts and Uses (Map 4), and Generalized Neighborhood Commercial Land Use and Density Plan (Map 5) to replacing the references to the 2009 Redevelopment Area Plan and instead reference the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District.
   - Transportation Element map - Vehicular Street Map (Map 6) to replace references to the Redevelopment Area Plan and instead reference the Special Use District.

The Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Project also necessitates approval by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors of a Development Agreement, accompanied by and implemented through four additional documents to guide future development at the Schlage site: the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development, the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Infrastructure Plan, and a Transportation Demand Management Plan.
• **The Design for Development** (D4D) provides a design framework for transforming the Schlage Lock site into a walkable neighborhood and for creating strong connections to the existing Visitacion Valley community. It prescribes controls for land use and urban design controls and guidelines for open spaces, streets, blocks and individual buildings. The design guidelines also apply to Zone 2 of the SUD.

• **The Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan** establishes schematic designs for new parks, open space and streets on the Schlage Lock site. It includes material palettes, as well as planting, lighting, stormwater, public art and furnishing plans.

• **The Infrastructure Plan** establishes an outline for anticipated site-wide improvements to all street and public rights-of-way, underground utilities, and grading.

• **The Transportation Demand Management Plan** provides a combination of land use, infrastructure improvements, and supporting programs to increase the likelihood of shifting transportation modes away from driving alone. It includes measures which mitigate environmental impacts and additional measures pursuant to the Development Agreement.

• **The Development Agreement** establishes the terms and responsibilities for the development of the Schlage Lock Site and provision of community benefits.

The project proposes to construct up to 1,679 new residential units, provide new commercial and retail services, provide new open spaces, new infrastructure and within the development site to be built in phases. New buildings on the site would range in height from 57 feet to 86 feet.

As envisioned and planned in the original Plan, neighborhood-serving retail would be constructed as part of the proposed Project and concentrated near the extension of Leland Avenue and close to Bayshore, along which the T-Third rail line runs. Each block surrounds or is within ¼ mile of a planned open space. A new grocery store, new streets, infrastructure and other amenities (e.g. sustainable features, pedestrian improvements.) would also be provided on the Project Site. Infrastructure improvements would include the installation of sustainable features, such storm water management. The project sponsor is required to provide two publicly accessible open spaces. A third park, on an adjacent site owned by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain), is also planned. In addition to these new parks, the Project would provide significant additional open space in the form of private or semi-private open space areas such as outdoor courtyards, roof decks, and balconies.

As noted, the documents before the Commission are not a new Plan or wholesale revisions. The amendments build on the existing 2009 plans to ensure feasibility while maintaining livability to make sure that the 20-acre site is revitalized comprehensively. The site plan and guiding documents have been revised in the following ways:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased heights</td>
<td>From 45’-85’ to 55’-86’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased density</td>
<td>From 1,250 units to 1,679 units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified parks location</td>
<td>See map exhibit 4 – to accommodate a phase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced commercial square footage</td>
<td>Reduced from 105,000 square feet to 46,700 square feet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated design controls and building standards</td>
<td>Amended to account for new location of parks and taller heights on the site, as well refined design controls, such as required ground floor frontages, setbacks and massing breaks to deliver high-quality urban design and livability while ensuring project feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted parking</td>
<td>Increased parking allowance on the grocery use to ensure its success; and flexibility to provide car-share on-street or near key uses such as transit nodes and retail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed new zoning</td>
<td>Proposed to rezone to Mixed Use General zoning from industrial/M-1 to make the zoning consistent with the planned uses for a mixed-use, primarily housing development. Proposed review process for formula retail, including public review, to attract anchor retail tenants; and to support the success of new retail and of the existing Leland neighborhood-commercial corridor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Proposed review processes and ongoing community participation | Proposed process for phase and project design review, approval, and consideration of modifications to the controls of the SUD and the Design for Development Controls and Guidelines including public notification and hearings. Ongoing community input and participation through:  
  • pre- and post-application meetings in Visitacion Valley for phase applications;  
  • pre-application meetings in Visitacion Valley and notification/comment period for building permits;  
  • annual meeting in Visitacion Valley to program impact fees and for project sponsor to deliver progress report.  
  • post-application meeting for design review of two parks, to demonstrate incorporation of community feedback into park designs |
| Completed related documents / actions | General Plan, Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments  
  • Development Agreement  
  • Transportation Demand Management Plan  
  • Final Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan  
  • Final Infrastructure Master Plan  
  • Revised Design for Development document |
Key Terms of the Development Agreement

The Project is being reviewed for approval through a Development Agreement (DA) by and between the City and County of San Francisco and Visitacion Valley LLC. The Development Agreement is a contract between the City and the Developer that provides greater security and flexibility to both the City and Developer, and results in greater public benefits in exchange for certainty. Development Agreements are typically used for large-scale projects with substantial infrastructure investment and multi-phase build outs. The draft Development Agreement is attached and a detailed summary of the DA will be distributed to the Commission under separate cover. A list of key provisions is below:

- 15 year term
- Vested right to develop for the term of the DA
- Requirement to commence Phase 1 within a specified time period
- Requirement that Phase 1 include a full-service grocery store
- Requirement that Developer provide the following key community benefits
  - 15% Inclusionary Housing with most or all on-site (100% of housing on this site, including the market-rate units, is expected to be affordable to middle income families based on the prevailing market affordability of the neighborhood.)
  - Parks
  - New streets and sidewalks designed to a high standard, including pedestrian connectivity from the Visitacion Valley neighborhood to the Bayshore Caltrain station.
  - Complete restoration of the Historic Office Building on the site with at least 25% of space devoted to community-oriented uses
  - Payment of Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee
  - Payment of a “Transportation Fee Obligation” on all uses (notably residential) not currently subject to the Transportation Development Impact Fee (TIDF).
- In recognition of the loss of almost $50 million in tax increment subsidy to the project with the demise of Redevelopment, the DA includes the following forms of public subsidy to the project:
  - $2.9 million in-kind credit on Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee, in recognition that the project is providing open space and restoring the historic Office Building
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- $5.3 million in-kind credit against the Transportation Fee Obligation in recognition that the project is providing a variety of major improvements to the street and pedestrian network
- Acquisition by the Department of Recreation and Parks of one or two of the project’s open spaces (still under negotiation).
- $1.5 million in Transportation support funding subsidy from MTA
- $2 million in Proposition K funds from the Transportation Authority

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The proposed resolution to initiate amendments to the General Plan has been determined not to be a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15378(b)(5) of the CEQA Guidelines.

On December 18, 2008, the Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Project. At that time the Commission adopted CEQA findings and mitigations. As a result of the changes to the site plan, an Addendum was prepared to analyze the potential impacts. The Addendum concludes that, since certification of the FEIR, no changes have occurred in the proposed project or in the circumstances under which the project would be implemented that would cause new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed in the FEIR, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the EIR. The Modified Project would not necessitate implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those identified in the FEIR. All necessary CEQA findings and documents will be available in the Department’s case reports for hearings where action on the project will be taken.

HEARING NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS (FOR PROPOSED APPROVALS HEARING)

On or after June 5th 2014, the Planning Commission will take an action to recommend approval to the Board on the proposed amendments. Below are the notification requirements for such action:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>REQUIRED PERIOD</th>
<th>REQUIRED NOTICE DATE</th>
<th>ACTUAL NOTICE DATE</th>
<th>ACTUAL PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classified News Ad</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>22 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posted Notice</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailed Notice</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>22 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLIC OUTREACH & ENGAGEMENT

The 2014 revisions to the Design for Development are the result of an extensive public engagement process. A series of focused public workshops was held between October 2012 and March 2014. In addition to four public workshops attended by residents, business owners and members of the public,
the process included periodic open meetings with an Advisory Body – a group of former CAC members serving in an advisory role and helping to facilitate the transition in accordance with the original Redevelopment Area vision. Planning Department staff led the public process in collaboration with staff from the Office of Economic Development, and the project sponsor. Other City departments also participated in the public meetings. A list of the topics of the four major public meetings is provided below.

- Meeting 1: Post-Redevelopment Update, Community Priorities, Phase 1 Goals – October 12, 2012
- Meeting 2: Potential Funding Strategies & Site Plan Changes - January 12, 2013
- Meeting 3: Final Site Plan Revisions & Leland Greenway Programming - May 18, 2013
- Meeting 4: Development Agreement Overview - March 22, 2014

It should be noted that public engagement will continue. Implementation of the specific phases of development and public improvements are subject to additional community review, including pre-application and post-application meetings, official notification, annual meetings by the City to program the impact fees collected, and annual progress reports by the developer as specified by the Special Use District and described in the DA and D4D.

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Department believes the Commission should initiate the amendments to the Planning Code, Zoning Maps and General Plan necessary to implement the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Project so that the project may move forward after many years of planning, and so that it may recommend approval or disapproval of the Ordinances to the Board of Supervisors at a future hearing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATION: Approval to Initiate the General Plan Amendments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhibits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 1 - Draft Initiation Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 2 - Draft Ordinance to Amend the General Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 3 - Draft Mayor and Board Resolution Urging the Planning Commission to Initiate and Consider Amendments to the General Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 4 - Revised Park locations map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 5 - Draft Ordinance to Approve Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 6 - Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 7 - Draft Ordinance to Amend the Planning Code and the Zoning Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 8 - Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 9 - Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 10 - Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Infrastructure Plan (forthcoming)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhibit 11 - Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Transportation Demand Management Plan (included as Exhibit J to the Development Agreement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INITIATING AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL PLAN IN ORDER TO AMEND VARIOUS MAPS OF THE COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT, TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT, URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT, AND THE LAND USE INDEX OF THE GENERAL PLAN TO ADD REFERENCES TO THE SCHLAGE LOCK SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.

The Schlage Lock Company operated from the 1920’s to 1974 and it was one of the City’s largest employers. The Ingersoll Rand Corporation acquired the Schlage Lock Company in 1974 and operated the plant until 1999, when it closed down the plant and relocated manufacturing operations. The 20 acre site has been vacant since 1999. After Home Depot proposed to develop a retail store on the vacant Schlage site in 2000— a proposal that met with community opposition— residents of Visitacion Valley partnered with City agencies and the project sponsor and owner, Universal Paragon Corporation (also known as Visitacion Development, LLC), to develop a plan for the reuse and revitalization of this critical site in their community. Several years of analysis and an extensive community planning process concluded in 2009 with the adoption of a Redevelopment Plan, zoning changes and a detailed Design for Development to guide change on the site. Since City adoption of the Plan, the former Visitacion Valley Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) met to discuss and comment on various aspects of the Plan’s implementation and to provide comments to the project sponsor as it continued to implement the plans for the Schlage Lock site.

However, the demise of Redevelopment Agency in early 2012, and the loss of public funding that accompanied it, required reopening the plans for the site. City staff, along with the project sponsor, re-
initiated efforts to move development of the Schlage Lock site forward beginning with a community meeting on October 13th 2012. The Planning Department partnered with the Mayor’s Office of Economic and Workforce Development and the community to evaluate the project’s feasibility; to look at tools to help move the project forward; and to make the necessary legislative changes to facilitate the site’s transformation. The proposed amendments to the 2009 documents and the new Development Agreement are the results of that effort.

The proposed General Plan Amendments pertaining to this Resolution are part of a larger package of changes that will be presented to the Planning Commission for action at a duly noticed public hearing to be scheduled after this Initiation hearing. At such hearing, the Planning Commission will consider approving the General Plan amendments as well as related Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendments, the Development Agreement, the Design for Development, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan as well an Infrastructure Master Plan and a Transportation Demand Management Plan. The Mayor and Supervisor Cohen introduced the related components to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, April 29, 2014.

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, the property encompassing the Schlage Lock Development Project includes approximately 20 acres of privately-owned land at the southeastern corner of San Francisco, generally bounded to the north by Blanken Avenue, to the east by Tunnel Avenue, to the west by Bayshore Boulevard, and to the south by the San Francisco/San Mateo County line, and the city of Brisbane; and

WHEREAS, the Project Sponsor (Visitacion Development, LLC) seeks to transform the existing vacant site of the former Schlage Lock factory into a pedestrian-focused, vibrant mixed-use residential development; and

WHEREAS, the Project Sponsor is seeking to build up to 1,679 dwelling-units, up from 1,250 under the 2009 plan; and up to 46,700 square feet of new retail, which is 58,300 square feet less than under the 2009 plan; and

WHEREAS, the Schlage Lock Development Project seeks to create new neighborhood-serving amenities such as a grocery store, additional retail, new streets, pedestrian improvements and infrastructure; provide new parks/open space; and incorporate sustainable and green features throughout the Site; and

WHEREAS, other key changes to the approved project in 2009 include an increase in heights to accommodate the additional units; a reconfiguration of the location of the parks; a change to the underlying zoning; updates to controls and design guidelines to address site changes; and sunsetting the 2009 Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, the current zoning does not accommodate the site-specific goals of the Schlage Lock Development Project, a master-plan now under single ownership, specifically the changes to permitted heights, and density; and

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance is intended to implement the Schlage Lock Development Project by modifying General Plan maps and the Land Use Index to reflect the amended project; and
WHEREAS, the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Development Project will be considered for approval by Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors through a Development Agreement by and between the City and County of San Francisco and Visitacion Development LLC; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter “Commission”) recommended approval of the 2009 Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Redevelopment Plan, Design for Development and related project documents at a regularly scheduled hearing on December, 2008 to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Commission certified the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Project on December 18, 2008 and adopted CEQA findings, including a statement of overriding considerations, and adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program; and

WHEREAS, the modifications to the proposed project were revised and analyzed in an Addendum to the FEIR prepared by the Planning Department and referred to as the “Modified Project.” The Addendum findings are that since certification of the FEIR, no changes have occurred in the proposed project or in the circumstances under which the project would be implemented that would cause new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed in the FEIR, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the EIR. Therefore, that the Modified Project would not necessitate implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those identified in the FEIR; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter “Commission”) conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider initiating this General Plan amendments ordinance on May 8, 2014; and

WHEREAS, this Resolution to initiate amendments to the General Plan has been determined not to be a project under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378(b)(5); and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to Planning Code Section 302(b), the Planning Commission Adopts a Resolution to Initiate amendments to the General Plan;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.3, the Planning Commission authorizes the Department to provide appropriate notice for a public hearing to consider the above referenced General Plan amendments contained in the draft Ordinance, approved as to form by the
City Attorney in Exhibit 2, and related project actions introduced by the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor, to be considered at a publicly noticed hearing on or after June 4, 2014.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED by the San Francisco Planning Commission on May 8, 2014.

Jonas Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:
[General Plan Amendment - Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco General Plan to amend Maps 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the Commerce and Industry Element, Map 6 of the Transportation Element, Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element, and the Land Use Index to implement the Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, which generally includes the properties bounded by Bayshore, Blanken and Tunnel Avenue to the San Francisco/San Mateo County line to the south, including the properties fronting Bayshore Boulevard from Arleta Avenue to the San Francisco/San Mateo County line to the south and including the properties fronting Leland Avenue from Cora Street to Bayshore Boulevard; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines that:

(a) Environmental Findings. The San Francisco Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency certified a final environmental impact report (“FEIR”) for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Program, Planning Department File No. 2006.1308E, on December 18, 2008. The project analyzed in the EIR was for redevelopment of an
approximately 46-acre project area in San Francisco’s Visitacion Valley neighborhood,
extending on both sides of Bayshore Boulevard roughly between Sunnydale Avenue and
Blanken Avenue and along the Leland Avenue commercial corridor. The project was intended
to facilitate re-use of the vacant Schlage Lock property along the east side of Bayshore
Boulevard (also referred to as “Zone 1”), revitalize other properties along both (east and west)
sides of Bayshore Boulevard, and help revitalize the Leland Avenue commercial corridor.

When California eliminated its Redevelopment Agencies in February, 2012, the City of
San Francisco initiated new efforts to move forward with the development of the Schlage Lock
site (Zone 1) in light of reduced public funding and jurisdictional change. Thus, the proposed
project design was revised with respect to Zone 1, and these modifications were analyzed in
an Addendum to the FEIR prepared by the Planning Department and referred to as the
“Modified Project”. The Modified Project differs from the project analyzed in the FEIR in that,
among other changes, the project sponsor for Zone 1, the former Schlage Lock site, proposes
to increase the number of residential units from 1,250 to 1,679 and reduce the amount of retail
commercial uses from 105,000 to 46,700 square feet. The amount of cultural uses on the site
would not change and is still projected to include 15,000 new square feet. The Addendum
found that the projected growth for the rest of the project site analyzed in the FEIR (referred to
as “Zone 2”) would remain the same as analyzed in the FEIR.

The Board has reviewed the FEIR and the Addendum and hereby finds that since
certification of the FEIR, no changes have occurred in the proposed project or in the
circumstances under which the project would be implemented that would cause new
significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed
in the FEIR, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the
analyses or conclusions set forth in the EIR. The Modified Project would not necessitate
implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those
identified in the FEIR.

   Additionally, the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set
forth herein the environmental findings of the Planning Commission, a copy of which is on file
with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________, including but not limited to the
Planning Commission’s rejection of certain transportation mitigation measures as infeasible
and its finding that no other feasible mitigation measure are available to address certain
identified significant impacts, and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, a copy of
which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________.

(b) Pursuant to San Francisco Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340,
any amendments to the General Plan shall first be considered by the Planning Commission
and thereafter recommended for approval or rejection by the Board of Supervisors. On
___________, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the proposed
General Plan Amendments pursuant to Planning Code Section 340 and, by Resolution No.
___________, adopted the General Plan Amendments, and recommended them for
approval to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of Planning Commission Resolution No.
___________ is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
__________.

(c) The Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed General Plan amendment is in
conformity with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and on balance is
consistent with the General Plan as it is proposed for amendments herein, and hereby adopts
the findings set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____________ and
incorporates such findings herein by reference.

(d) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, the Board finds that the proposed General
Plan amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set
forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____________, which reasons are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the following amendments to: Map 1 (“Generalized Commercial and Industrial Land Use Plan”), Map 2 (“Generalized Commercial and Industrial Density Plan”), Map 4 (“Residential Service Areas of Neighborhood Commercial Districts and Uses”), and Map 5 (“Generalized Neighborhood Commercial Land Use and Density Plan”) of the Commerce and Industry Element; Map 6 (“Vehicular Street Map”) of the Transportation Element; and Map 4 (“Urban Design Guidelines for Height of Buildings”) and Map 5 (“Urban Design Guidelines for Bulk of Buildings”) of the Urban Design Element of the General Plan:

(a) Add a boundary line around the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District as set forth in Sectional Map SU10 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco; and

(b) Add a reference that states “See Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District.”

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the following amendment to the General Plan Land Use Index:

The Land Use Index shall be updated as necessary to reflect the amendments set forth in Section 2, above.

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:
MARLENA G. BYRNE
Deputy City Attorney
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Ordinance amending the Planning Code to amend Section 249.45 to provide for use controls, including controls for formula retail uses, building standards, and procedural requirements, including noticing and community participation procedures, for applications for development, including design review and modifications, among other controls, in Zone 1 of the Schalge Lock/Visitation Valley Special Use District (also referred to as the Schlage Lock site); amending the Zoning Map by amending Sectional Maps ZN10 and HT10 to reflect the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1.

(a) Environmental Findings. The San Francisco Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency certified a final environmental impact report ("FEIR") for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Program, Planning Department File No. 2006.1308E, on December 18, 2008. The project analyzed in the EIR was for redevelopment of an approximately 46-acre project area in San Francisco’s Visitacion Valley neighborhood, extending on both sides of Bayshore Boulevard roughly between Sunnydale Avenue and
Blanken Avenue and along the Leland Avenue commercial corridor. The project was intended to facilitate re-use of the vacant Schlage Lock property along the east side of Bayshore Boulevard (also referred to as “Zone 1”), revitalize other properties along both (east and west) sides of Bayshore Boulevard, and help revitalize the Leland Avenue commercial corridor.

When California eliminated its Redevelopment Agencies in February, 2012, the City of San Francisco initiated new efforts to move forward with the development of the Schlage Lock site (Zone 1) in light of reduced public funding and jurisdictional change. Thus, the proposed project design was revised with respect to Zone 1, and these modifications were analyzed in an Addendum to the FEIR prepared by the Planning Department and referred to as the “Modified Project”. The Modified Project differs from the project analyzed in the FEIR in that, among other changes, the project sponsor for Zone 1, the former Schlage Lock site, proposes to increase the number of residential units from 1,250 to 1,679 and reduce the amount of retail commercial uses from 105,000 to 46,700 square feet. The amount of cultural uses on the site would not change and is still projected to include 15,000 new square feet. The Addendum found that the projected growth for the rest of the project site analyzed in the FEIR (referred to as “Zone 2”) would remain the same as analyzed in the FEIR.

The Board has reviewed the FEIR and the Addendum and hereby finds that since certification of the FEIR, no changes have occurred in the proposed project or in the circumstances under which the project would be implemented that would cause new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed in the FEIR, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the EIR. The Modified Project would not necessitate implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those identified in the FEIR.
Additionally, the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the environmental findings of the Planning Commission, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. ____________, including but not limited to the Planning Commission’s rejection of certain transportation mitigation measures as infeasible and its finding that no other feasible mitigation measure are available to address certain identified significant impacts, and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. ____________.

(b) On __________, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. ______, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City’s General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ________, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(c) On __________, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. __________, adopted findings pursuant to Planning Code Section 302 that the proposed zoning reclassification and map amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare. The Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. ____________, and is incorporated herein by reference.

(d) The Board hereby rescinds Resolution No. 70-09, adopted by the Board on April 28, 2009, which Resolution approved and adopted the Redevelopment Plan for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Project Area (the “Plan”). Accordingly the Plan is no longer in effect.

Section 2. The Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Section 249.45, to read as follows:

SEC. 249.45. VISITACION VALLEY/SCHLAGE LOCK SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
A Special Use District entitled the "Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District" is hereby established for a portion of the Visitacion Valley neighborhood and the Schlage Lock site within the City and County of San Francisco, the boundaries of which are designated on Sectional Map No. 10 SU 10 of the Zoning Maps of the City and County of San Francisco, and which includes properties generally fronting Bayshore Boulevard between Tunnel Avenue in the north and the San Francisco/San Mateo County line in the south, and properties fronting Leland Avenue between Bayshore Boulevard and Cora Street. The following provisions shall apply within the Special Use District:

(a) **Purpose.** The Redevelopment Agency proposes to establish a Redevelopment Project in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, based on the Visitacion Valley Survey Area designated by Resolution No. 424-05 on June 07, 2005, and the Schlage Lock Strategic Concept Plan, endorsed by Resolution No. 425-06 on June 07, 2005. The Redevelopment Plan for the area calls for conversion of the vacant Schlage Lock site into a redevelopment of the long-vacant Schlage Lock site into a true part of its larger neighborhood, as a vibrant, transit-oriented mixed use development which will be a model of sustainability. It also calls and to provide for infill development on vacant and underdeveloped properties along Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue.

The Redevelopment Plan Area Special Use District includes two zones - Zone 1 and Zone 2, as defined below. Within Zone 1, an increase of height and allowable density via form-based development controls will be required in order to achieve sufficient intensities densities to support a transit-oriented development, to support certain neighborhood-commercial uses such as a moderate-sized supermarket, and to achieve the community's goals for a vibrant, well-designed model of sustainability. Within both Zones 1 and 2, in order to achieve a successful program, additional design guidelines will be required.
Therefore, the *Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development* and the *Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan*, both as adopted by the Planning Commission and periodically amended as provided herein, were developed to provide the specific Development Controls and Design Guidelines which, in cooperation with underlying San Francisco Planning Code requirements and the requirements of this *Special Use District*, will regulate development within the Special Use District and guide it towards the goals described above. As provided below, projects in Zone 1 shall be reviewed by all relevant agencies according to both the Development Controls and Design Guidelines as contained within the Design for Development. Projects in Zone 2 shall be reviewed according to only the Design Guidelines.

A Development Agreement, approved by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No.____________, applies to Zone 1 of this *Special Use District*.

(b) **Definitions.**

"*Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Cooperation and Delegation Agreement*" shall mean the Agreement between the Planning Department and the Redevelopment Agency to establish general responsibilities that the Department and the Agency will have for review and approval of specific project development proposals within the Redevelopment Project Area.

"*Development Agreement*" shall mean the Development Agreement *By and Between the City and County of San Francisco and Visitation Development LLC, a Subsidiary of the Universal Paragon Corporation Relative to the Development Known as The Schlage Lock Development Project*, approved by the Board of Supervisors in Ordinance No.____________.

"*Old Office Building*" shall mean the existing historic building at the northern corner of Zone 1 and located at 2201 Bayshore Boulevard.

"*Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan*" shall mean the document adopted by the Planning Commission in Resolution No.____________, approved by the Board of Supervisors as part of this *Special Use District*, and found in Clerk of the Board File No.____________, and as may be
amended from time to time. The Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan is herein incorporated by
reference.

"Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development" or "Design for Development"
shall mean the document adopted by the Planning Commission in Resolution No.
17795____________ , approved by the Board of Supervisors as part of this Special Use District, and
found in Clerk of the Board File No. 090223____________ , and as may be amended from time to
time which contains two parts: Part 1: Urban Design Framework, and Part 2: Development Controls
and Design Guidelines. The Design for Development is herein incorporated by reference.

"Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Plan" shall mean the Plan adopted by the Board of
Supervisors in Ordinance No. 73-09 on May 8, 2009.

"Zone 1" shall have the meaning set forth in the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment
PlanDesign for Development, and shall generally mean the Schlage Lock industrial site, located
at the southern border of San Francisco where Bayshore Boulevard converges with Tunnel
Avenue.

"Zone 2" shall have the meaning set forth in the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment
PlanDesign for Development, and shall generally mean the segments of Bayshore Boulevard
and Leland Avenue adjacent to the Schlage Lock site.

(c) Controls Generally. The following controls shall apply in the Special Use District:
Development in the Special Use District shall be regulated by the controls contained in the Design for
Development, as adopted by the Planning Commission and periodically amended, the controls
specifically enumerated in this Section 249.45, and the Planning Code, to the extent such controls do
not conflict with the Development Agreement. Where not explicitly superseded by definitions or
controls established in the Design for Development or this Section 249.45, the definitions and controls
of the Planning Code shall apply. All procedures and requirements of Article 3 shall apply to this
Special Use District to the extent that they are not in conflict with this Section or the Development Agreement.

The Planning Commission may amend the Design for Development or the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan upon initiation by the Planning Department or upon application by an owner of property within the Special Use District (or his or her authorized agent) to the extent that such amendments are consistent with this Special Use District, the General Plan, and the approved Development Agreement.

(1) Controls in Zone 1. The Redevelopment Agency, in consultation with the Planning Department as specified in the Cooperation and Delegation Agreement, may approve a project within the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District if:

(A) the project is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Redevelopment Plan and conforms to the Land Use Controls of the Redevelopment Plan; and

(B) the project is in conformity with the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development, including the Urban Design Framework, Development Controls and Design Guidelines contained in that document.

(2) Controls in Zone 2. The Planning department, in consultation with the Redevelopment Agency as specified in the Cooperation and Delegation Agreement, may approve a project within the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District if:

(A) the project meets the relevant requirements of the Planning Code; and

(B) the project meets the affordable housing policies set forth in the Redevelopment Plan; and

(C) the project is in general conformity with the Design Guidelines contained within the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development.

(3) To the extent that the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development does not apply or is silent, the provision of the San Francisco Planning Code shall apply.
(d) Controls in Zone 2. Development in Zone 2 of the Special Use District shall be regulated by the relevant requirements of the Planning Code and shall generally conform to the Design Guidelines contained within the Design for Development. The Design Controls of the Design for Development shall not apply to development in Zone 2.

(e) Controls in Zone 1. Development in Zone 1 of the Special Use District shall be regulated by the controls contained in this Section 249.45(e) and the Design for Development. Where not explicitly superseded by definitions and controls established in this Section 249.45(e) or the Design for Development, the definitions and controls in this Planning Code shall apply except where those controls conflict with the Development Agreement. The following shall apply only in Zone 1 of the Special Use District:

(1) Impact Fees. Although the Mixed Use-General District (MUG) zoning designation is used in Zone 1, the Special Use District is located outside of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan Area and therefore the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fees and Public Benefits Fund requirements set forth in Section 423 shall not apply.

(2) Use Requirements.

(A) Permitted and Conditional Uses. Uses are defined as set forth in Article 8 of this Code unless otherwise specified in this Section 249.45. Except as specifically set forth below, all uses principally permitted in the MUG are principally permitted and all uses requiring a conditional use approval in the MUG shall require a conditional use approval.

(B) Formula Retail Uses. Formula retail uses as defined in Section 703.3, except those uses set forth in subsection 249.45(e)(2)(C) below, shall be principally permitted subject to the following requirements:

(i) Within 21 days of the filing of a building permit application for formula retail use and the determination by the Planning Department that the application is complete for the purposes of its review and complies with all relevant Planning Code provisions, including this
Special Use District and the Design for Development, notice shall be mailed to owners and occupants within 300 feet of the subject property, anyone who has requested a block book notation, and the relevant neighborhood group list for Visitacion Valley for a 30-day public review and comment period. This notice shall comply with the noticing requirements of Section 312. During this public review period, members of the public may request a project sponsor-hosted public meeting to be held on or proximate to the proposed project site. Such a meeting is only required if at least two members of the public submit such a request in writing to the Planning Department. If such a meeting is required, it shall take place after the close of the public review period and prior to any decision by the Planning Director, or the Planning Commission if required, to approve such an application. A representative from the Planning Department shall attend any such meeting. Documentation that the meeting took place shall be submitted to the Planning Department consistent with the Department’s pre-application meeting proof-of-meeting requirements and shall be kept with the project file. The Planning Director, or Planning Commission if required, shall not approve a formula retail project prior to any such required meeting.

(ii) The Planning Director shall retain the discretion to disapprove a proposed formula retail use, with the exception of those uses set forth in section (iii) below, based on but not limited to the following considerations: the concentration of formula retail uses in the area; the demand for the proposed goods or services; and the use mix and other uses within 1/4 mile of the proposed use.

(iii) Grocery stores, pharmacies, and financial services, except fringe financial services, shall be exempted from sections (i) and (ii) above.

(C) Prohibited Uses. The following uses shall be prohibited within this Special Use District:

(i) Auto repair services;
(ii) Office, except in existing buildings or as an accessory use to other permitted uses. The floor controls set forth in Section 803.9(h) for the MUG zoning designation shall not apply to office use in the Old Office Building or to the existing building located on Assessor’s Block and Lot No. 5100-007;

(iii) Wholesale sales;

(iv) Motor vehicle repair;

(v) Automobile tow;

(vi) Storage and distribution;

(vii) Surface parking lots;

(viii) Commuter or park-and-ride parking, defined as any automobile parking in a garage or lot that is available for parking for longer than four hours and available for use by individuals who are not residents, workers, or visitors to the uses in the Special Use District or the immediate vicinity; and

(ix) Drive-through establishments.

(D) Temporary Uses. A temporary use may be authorized by the Planning Director for a period not to exceed 4 years if the Director finds that such use: (i) will not impede orderly development within the Special Use District; (ii) is consistent with this Special Use District, the Design for Development, Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and Development Agreement; and (iii) would not pose a nuisance to surrounding residential uses. In addition to those uses set forth in Section 205, such interim uses may include but are not limited to: mobile or temporary retail or food/beverage services; farmers’ markets; arts or concert uses; temporary parking; and rental or sales offices incidental to new development. An authorization granted pursuant to this section shall not exempt the applicant from obtaining any other permit required by law. Additional time for such uses may be authorized only by action upon a new application.
(3) Density of Dwelling Units. Dwelling unit density shall be governed by the controls set forth in the Design for Development. The maximum number of dwelling units within Zone 1 shall be 1,679 units.

(4) Residential Affordable Housing Requirement. The provisions of Section 415 shall apply except as otherwise agreed to in the Development Agreement.

(5) Retail Size Limits. There shall be no retail size limits for grocery stores.

(6) Building Standards.

(A) Vertical Control for Office. Vertical floor controls for office set forth in Section 803.9 shall not apply in existing buildings on the site.

(B) Height. Height of a building or structure shall be defined, measured, and regulated as provided for in Sections 102.12 and 260 where applicable, and as below in the following scenarios:

(i) Where the lot is level with or slopes downward from a street at the centerline of the building or building step, the measurement point shall be taken at the back of sidewalk level on such a street. The plane determined by the vertical distance at such point may be considered the height limit at the opposite (lower) end of the lot, provided the change in grade does not enable an additional story of development at the downhill property line. This takes precedence over Section 102.12(b).

(ii) Where the change in grade does enable an additional floor of development, height must be measured from the opposite (lower) end of the lot, as specified in Section 102.12(c).

(iii) Where there is conflict with Section 102.12 or Section 260 of the Code, the requirements of this Special Use District shall apply.

(iv) In addition to the exceptions listed in Section 260(b), the following shall also be exempt from the height limits:
(aa) Architectural elements related to design of rooftop open space, such as open air roof terraces, which shall not be enclosed, but may include partial perimeter walls if required for safety.

(bb) The corner portion of occupied space on the northeastern corner of Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard may extend up to ten feet above the maximum height, provided: its dimension along each facade is no greater than the distance to the facade’s nearest massing break or facade design feature used to reduce the building’s visual scale on the floor below (see Design for Development, Massing Guideline 2); and it is part of a common, private open space consistent with Design Guideline 4 in the Private Open Space section of the Design for Development or is designed as a solarium per Section 134(f)(4).

(C) Building Bulk. Bulk and mass limitations shall be as follows:

(i) No building wall that fronts a street or other publicly accessible right-of-way may exceed a maximum continuous length of 100 feet without a massing break or change in apparent face. Massing breaks or changes in apparent face may be accomplished through the options set forth in the Design for Development.

(ii) Building facades shall incorporate design features at intervals of 20-30 feet (measured horizontally along the building façade) that reduce the apparent visual scale of a building. Such design features may include but are not limited to window bays, porches/decks, setbacks, changes to façade color, or building material.

(iii) The floor plates of upper floors of building, defined as the top 1-2 floors, shall have setbacks equal to a minimum of 15% of the floor plate size relative to the floor immediately below, except for those parcels designated as 10, 11, and 12 in the Design for Development where the minimum shall be 10%. A minimum of 1/3 of the required setback area shall be a full two stories in height, as set forth in the Design for Development.
(D) Unit Mix. At least 30 percent of the dwelling units in each building with residential uses shall contain at least two bedrooms.

(E) Front Setbacks. Front setbacks are not permitted along Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue. Front setbacks are required along Raymond Avenue, where buildings shall be set back five to eight (5-8) feet. In all other areas, setbacks may range from zero to a maximum of eight (0-8) feet. The setback shall be consistent along major building bays.

(F) Required Ground Floor Commercial Frontages. Ground floor retail uses are required along the western sections of Leland Avenue, as described in the Design for Development, and as set forth in Design for Development Figure 2.2.

(G) Required Ground Floor Residential Entrances. Residential entrances are required to line streets, as described in the Design for Development, and as set forth in Design for Development Figure 2.2.

(H) Usable Open Space for Non-Residential Uses. Non-residential uses are not required to provide usable open space.

(I) Usable Open Space for Dwelling Units. Usable open space meeting the standards of Section 135 shall be provided for each dwelling unit in the following ratios: 60 square feet if private; or 50 square feet if common. Space in a public right-of-way, publicly-accessible pathways (as illustrated in Figure 2.4 of the Design for Development), or public open space required by the Development Agreement, including Leland Park, Visitacion Park, or Blanken Park (each as defined in the Design for Development), shall not be counted toward satisfaction of the requirements of this subsection.

(7) Off-Street Automobile Parking. Off-street accessory parking shall not be required for any use, and may be provided in quantities up to the maximum number of spaces specified in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Off-Street Parking Limits.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use or Activity</th>
<th>Maximum Off-Street Car Parking Permitted as Accessory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>One per dwelling unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery</td>
<td>One parking space per 333 gross square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>With the exception of grocery retail as set forth above, one parking space per 500 occupied square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School, fitness or community center use</td>
<td>One parking space per 1,000 occupied square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other non-residential uses</td>
<td>One parking space per 750 occupied square feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) An individual building may exceed applicable accessory off-street parking ratios by up to 10% without being considered a Major Modification, Minor Modification, or otherwise inconsistent with the Special Use District or the Design for Development so long as the total maximum accessory off-street parking permitted for Zone 1 is not exceeded at full Zone 1 build out.

(B) Collective provision and joint use of required off-street parking. Off-street parking spaces for all uses other than residential shall be located on the same lot as the use served, as an accessory use; or within a distance of no more than 800 feet, consistent with the use provisions applicable to the district in which such parking is located.

(8) Car-Share Parking. Required car-share spaces available to a certified car-share organization meeting the requirements of Section 166 may be provided as follows: on the building site; or at an on-street or off-street location within 800 feet of the building site and clustered near key locations such as transit nodes or retail.

(9) Modifications to Building Standards. Modification of the controls set forth in this Section 249.45(e) and the Design for Development may be approved on a project-by-project basis as follows:
(A) No Modifications or Variances Permitted. No modifications or variances are permitted for the following standards: parking maximums or height limits. Except as explicitly provided in subsections 249.45(e)(9)(B) and (C) below, no other standard set forth in this Special Use District or in the Design for Development may be modified or varied.

(B) Major Modifications. A” Major Modification” is any deviation of more than 10 percent from any quantitative standard in this Special Use District or the Design for Development. A Major Modification may be approved only by the Planning Commission at a public hearing according to the procedures set forth in subsection 249.45(e)(11)(G), and the Planning Commission’s review at such hearing shall be limited to the Major Modification. Without limitation, each modification listed below in Table 2. Major Modifications is a Major Modification.

Table 2. Major Modifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bulk and massing. A deviation of more than 10 percent from any numerical standard set forth in Section 249.45(e)(6)(C) and the Massing Section (Controls 1-3) of the Design for Development.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground Floor Entrances. A deviation of more than 10 percent from any dimensional standard set forth in the Residential Entrances &amp; Retail Entrances controls in the Design for Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Open Space. Modification of any numerical standard forth in Section 249.45(e)(6)(I) and the Private Open Space Section Controls of the Design for Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Sharing. Modification of any car-sharing numerical standard set forth in Section 249.45(e)(8) and in the Off-Street Parking Requirements Section of the Design for Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Realm. A deviation of more than 10 percent from any dimensional standard set forth in the Street and Pathway Design Controls Section and the Public Open Space Controls Section of the Design for Development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section, the Planning Director may refer a proposed Modification, even if not otherwise classified as a Major Modification, to the Planning Commission as a Major Modification if the Planning Director determines that the proposed modification does not meet the intent of the standards set forth in the Design for Development. The Planning Commission may not impose conditions of approval that conflict with the Development Agreement.

(C) Minor Modifications. Any modification to the building standards of this Special Use District and contained in the Design for Development not considered a Major Modification pursuant to subsection (B) above shall be considered a Minor Modification. Except as permitted in accordance with subsection (B) above, a Minor Modification is not subject to review by the Planning Commission and may be approved by the Planning Director according to the procedures described in subsection 249.45(e)(11)(F).

(10) Development Phase Review and Approval. No application for an individual building project shall be approved unless it is consistent with and described in an approved Development Phase Application, as described in the Development Agreement. The Development Phase Approval process, as set forth in greater detail in the Development Agreement, is intended to ensure that all buildings within a phase as well as new infrastructure, utilities, open space and all other improvements promote the purpose of the Special Use District and meet the requirements of the Design for Development, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and the Infrastructure Master Plan. Each Development Phase Application shall include the design and construction of the appropriate adjacent and related street and public realm infrastructure, including implementation of all applicable mitigation measures, consistent with the Development Agreement, Design for Development, Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and any other supporting documents to the Development
Agreement. Implementation of such improvements shall be subject to approval and review by the Planning Department and other relevant City agencies as set forth in the Development Agreement.

(11) Design Review and Approval. The design review process is intended to ensure that all new buildings within Zone 1, the public realm associated with each new building, and any community improvements exhibit high quality architectural design, promote the purpose of the Special Use District, and meet the requirements of the Design for Development and Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan. Design review by the Planning Department is required for the construction, expansion, or major alteration of or additions to all structures within this Special Use District, as well as construction of any parks over 1/2 acres in size.

(A) Pre-application meeting. Prior to filing any site and/or building permit application, the project sponsor shall conduct a minimum of one pre-application meeting. The meeting shall be conducted at, or within a one-mile radius of, the project site, but otherwise subject to the Planning Department’s pre-application meeting procedures, including but not limited to the submittal of required meeting documentation. A Planning Department representative shall attend such meeting.

(B) Staff Consistency Review. All site and/or building permit applications for construction of new buildings or major alterations of or major additions to existing structures ("Applications") within Zone 1 submitted to the Department of Building Inspection shall be forwarded to the Planning Department. The Planning Department shall review the applicable application to ensure consistency with this Special Use District, the Design for Development, and the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and other relevant Planning Code requirements. Department staff’s consistency review shall be completed within sixty (60) days of the Department’s determination that the application is complete, including submission of such documents and materials as are necessary to determine such consistency, including site plans, sections, elevations, renderings, landscape plans and exterior material samples to illustrate the overall concept design of the proposed new buildings (or major alterations or additions) and such other materials as may be necessary or appropriate given the
permit, including any modifications, sought. Any submission must also identify its consistency with, or 
effect on, any phasing or other requirements relating to any Public or Community Improvements.

(C) Notification. After staff review described in section (B) above and no less
than 30 days prior to Planning Director or Planning Commission action on an application, notice will 
be provided according to Section 312.

(D) Post-Application Meeting for Parks and Public Open Space Subject to
Design Review. The following requirement only applies to applications for parks or other public open
space subject to design review and approval. During the 30-day public review period under this
Subsection 249.45(e)(11), members of the public may request a project sponsor-hosted public meeting
to be held on or proximate to the proposed project site. Such a meeting is only required if at least two
members of the public submit such a request in writing to the Planning Department. If such a meeting
is required, it shall take place after the close of the public review period and prior to any decision by
the Planning Director, or Planning Commission if required, to approve such an application. A
representative from the Planning Department shall attend any requested meetings. Documentation that
the meeting took place shall be submitted to the Planning Department consistent with the Department’s
pre-application meeting proof-of-meeting requirements and shall be kept with the project file. The
Planning Director, or Planning Commission if required, shall not approve a such a project prior to any
such required meeting.

(E) Staff Report. Upon completion of staff consistency review, staff will issue a
Staff Report to the Planning Director describing consistency of the proposed project with this Special
Use District, the Design for Development, and the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and other
relevant Planning Code requirements, and stating a recommendation on any modifications, if any,
being sought. Such Staff Report shall be delivered to the applicant no less than 10 days prior to
Planning Director action on any application, including any Modification, and shall be kept on file for
public review.
(F) Director Determination. The Planning Director's approval or disapproval of any such Application, along with any Minor Modification if applicable, shall be limited to a determination of its compliance with this Section, the Design for Development, and the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, as applicable. If the project is consistent with the quantitative standards set forth in the Special Use District, the Design for Development, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and the Infrastructure Plan, the Planning Director's discretion to approve or disapprove the project shall be limited to the project's consistency with the Design for Development and the General Plan. Prior to making a decision, the Planning Director, in his or her sole discretion, may seek comment and guidance from the public and Planning Commission on the design of the project, including the granting of any Minor Modifications, in accordance with the procedures of subsection (G)(ii) below.

(G) Approvals and Public Hearings.

(i) Except for projects seeking a Major Modification, the Planning Director may approve or disapprove the project design and any Minor Modifications based on its compliance with this Special Use District, the Design for Development, and the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan.

(ii) Projects Seeking Major Modifications. The Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing for all projects seeking one or more Major Modifications and for any project seeking one or more Minor Modifications that the Planning Director, in his or her sole discretion, refers to the Commission. The Planning Commission shall consider all comments from the public and the recommendations of the staff report and the Planning Director in making a decision to approve or disapprove the project design, including the granting of any Major or Minor Modifications.

(iii) Notice of Hearings. Notice of hearings required by subsection (ii) above shall be provided as follows: by mail not less than 10 days prior to the date of the hearing to the project applicant, to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the
property that is the subject of the application, using for this purpose the names and addresses as shown
on the citywide assessment roll in the Office of the Tax Collector, and to any person who has requested
such notice; and by posting on the subject property at least 10 days prior to the date of the hearing.

(12) Design Review and Approval of Community Improvements. To ensure that any
Community Improvements (as defined in the Development Agreement) meet the Design for
Development, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, and the Infrastructure Plan requirements
an application for design review shall be submitted to the Planning Department and design review
approval granted by the Planning Director, or the Planning Commission if required, consistent with
the Development Agreement before any separate permits are obtained for the construction of any
Community Improvement within or adjacent to the Special Use District.

(13) Discretionary Review. No requests for discretionary review shall be accepted by
the Planning Department or heard by the Planning Commission for projects within Zone 1.

(14) Appeal and Decision on Appeal. The decision of the Planning Director to grant or
deny any project, including any Minor Modification, or of the Planning Commission to grant or deny
any Major Modification, may be appealed to the Board of Appeals by any person aggrieved within 15
days after the date of the decision by filing a written notice of appeal with that body. A decision of the
Planning Commission with respect to a Conditional Use may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors
in the same manner as set forth in Section 308.1.

Section 3. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending
Sectional Map ZN10 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Property</th>
<th>Use Districts to be Superseded</th>
<th>Use Districts Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessor's Block 5087, Lots</td>
<td>M-1, M-2</td>
<td>MUG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 4. The San Francisco Planning Code is hereby amended by amending Sectional Map HT10 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of Property</th>
<th>Height and Bulk Districts to be Superseded</th>
<th>Height and Bulk Districts Hereby Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessor's Block 5087, Lots 003, 003A, 004, and 005; Assessor's Block 5099, Lot 014; Assessor's Block 5100, Lots 002, 003, and 010; Assessor's Block 5101, Lots 006 and 007; Assessor's Block 5102, Lot 009 and 010; Assessor's Block 5107, Lot 001 and their successor Blocks and Lots.</td>
<td>40-X</td>
<td>See Figure 1, Height and Bulk Districts, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. __________ and incorporated herein by reference, for the configuration of the following new height and bulk districts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lot 001 and their successor Blocks and Lots.

Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:
MARLENA G. BYRNE
Deputy City Attorney
[Schlage Lock Development Agreement]

Ordinance approving a Development Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Visitacion Development, LLC, for certain real property located in Visitacion Valley, bounded approximately to the north and west by McLaren Park and the Excelsior and Crocker Amazon districts, to the east by the Caltrain tracks and to the south by the San Francisco/San Mateo County line and the City of Brisbane; making findings under the California Environmental Quality Act, findings of conformity with the City’s General Plan and with the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1(b); and waiving certain provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 56.

NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman; deletions are strike-through italics Times New Roman.
Board amendment additions are double-underlined; Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Project Findings. The Board of Supervisors makes the following findings:

A. California Government Code Section 65864 et seq. authorizes any city, county, or city and county to enter into an agreement for the development of real property within the jurisdiction of the city, county, or city and county.

B. Chapter 56 of the San Francisco Administrative Code (“Chapter 56”) sets forth certain procedures for the processing and approval of development agreements in the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”).

C. Visitacion Development, LLC, a California limited liability company, a subsidiary of Universal Paragon Corporation, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Developer”) is the owner of that certain real property formerly occupied by the Schlage Lock Company
located in Visitacion Valley (the “Project Site”). The Project Site is generally bounded to the north and west by McLaren Park and the Excelsior and Crocker Amazon districts, to the east by the Caltrain tracks and to the south by the San Francisco/San Mateo County line and the City of Brisbane.

D. Developer filed applications with the City’s Planning Department to (a) amend the City’s Planning Code to create the Schlage Lock Special Use District, (b) amend the City’s General Plan to change applicable height and bulk classifications, and (c) amend applicable zoning maps.

E. The Developer has proposed a long-term, mixed-use development program that includes up to 1,679 dwelling units of new housing, up to 46,700 square feet of new retail, and the rehabilitation of a historic office building located on-site (the “Project”). Through the development of the Project, the Project Site will be transformed into a mixed-use, transit-oriented development with new public streets and new parks, all as further described in the proposed development agreement, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No. _______________ (the “Development Agreement”).

F. Concurrently with this Ordinance, the Board is taking a number of actions in furtherance of the Project, including the approval of amendments to the City’s General Plan (Board File No. __________), Planning Code (Board File No. __________), and Zoning Maps (Board File No. __________) (collectively, together with this Ordinance, the “Project Ordinances”).

G. The City has determined that as a result of the development of the Project Site in accordance with the Development Agreement, clear benefits to the public will accrue that could not be obtained through application of existing City ordinances, regulations, and policies, as more particularly described in the Development Agreement. The Development Agreement will eliminate uncertainty in the City’s land use planning for the Project Site and
secure orderly development of the Project Site consistent with the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District.

Section 2. ENVIRONMENTAL FINDINGS.

A. The San Francisco Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency certified a final environmental impact report (“FEIR”) for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Program, Planning Department File No. 2006.1308E, on December 18, 2008. The project analyzed in the EIR was for redevelopment of an approximately 46-acre project area in San Francisco’s Visitacion Valley neighborhood, extending on both sides of Bayshore Boulevard roughly between Sunnydale Avenue and Blanken Avenue and along the Leland Avenue commercial corridor. The project was intended to facilitate re-use of the vacant Schlage Lock property (The “Project Site”; also referred to as “Zone 1”), revitalize other properties along both (east and west) sides of Bayshore Boulevard, and help revitalize the Leland Avenue commercial corridor (also referred to as “Zone 2”).

B. When California eliminated all redevelopment agencies in the State in February, 2012, the City of San Francisco initiated new efforts to move forward with the development of the Schlage Lock site (Zone 1) in light of reduced public funding and jurisdictional change. Thus, the proposed project was revised with respect to Zone 1, and these modifications were analyzed in an Addendum to the FEIR prepared by the Planning Department and referred to as the “Modified Project”. The Modified Project differs from the project analyzed in the FEIR in that, among other changes, the number of residential units in Zone 1 will increase from 1,250 to 1,679 and the amount of commercial retail space in Zone 1 will decrease from 105,000 to 46,700 square feet. The amount of cultural uses will not change and remains at 15,000 square feet. The projected growth for Zone 2 will remain the same, as set forth in the Addendum.
C. The Board has reviewed the FEIR and the Addendum and hereby finds that since certification of the FEIR and the Addendum, no changes have occurred in the proposed Project or in the circumstances under which the Project would be implemented that would cause new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed in the FEIR and the Addendum, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the FEIR and the Addendum. The Modified Project would not necessitate implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those identified in the FEIR and the Addendum.

Additionally, the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the environmental findings of the Planning Commission, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________, including but not limited to the Planning Commission’s rejection of certain transportation mitigation measures as infeasible and its finding that no other feasible mitigation measure are available to address certain identified significant impacts. The Board further adopts and incorporates by reference the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________, and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________.

Section 3. General Plan and Planning Code Section 101.1(b) Findings.

A. The Board of Supervisors finds that the Development Agreement will serve the public necessity, convenience and general welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _______ and incorporates those reasons herein by reference.

B. The Board of Supervisors finds that the Development Agreement is in conformity with the General Plan, as amended, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _______. The Board
hereby adopts the findings set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____ and incorporates those findings herein by reference.

Section 4. Development Agreement.

A. The Board of Supervisors approves all of the terms and conditions of the Development Agreement, in substantially the form on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. __________, including but not limited to, the non-applicability of certain provisions of the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act (California Civil Code sections 1954.50 et seq.; the “Costa-Hawkins Act”), and Developer’s waiver of any and all rights under the Costa-Hawkins Act and any other laws or regulations so that each below market rent (“BMR”) unit will be subject to the City’s BMR requirements as set forth in Planning Code section 415 and the Affordable Housing Plan as attached to the Development Agreement.

B. The Board of Supervisors also approves the subdivision and condominium map provisions as set forth in Section 3.9 of the Development Agreement.

C. Without limiting the terms of the Development Agreement, the Board of Supervisors expressly finds that the items listed in Sections 4.A and 4.B above are a material and important part of the Development Agreement, and the Board would not be willing to approve the Development Agreement without these provisions.

D. The Board of Supervisors approves and authorizes the execution, delivery and performance by the City of the Development Agreement, subject to the approval of the Development Agreement by the City’s Municipal Transportation Agency and Public Utilities Commission, and any other City agencies as required, each in their sole discretion (the “Subsequent Approvals”) and Developer’s payment of all City costs with respect to the Development Agreement. Upon receipt of the Subsequent Approvals and the payment of City’s costs billed to Developer, (i) the Director of Planning and other listed City officials are
authorized to execute and deliver the Development Agreement, and (ii) the Director of Planning and other applicable City officials are authorized to take all actions reasonably necessary or prudent to perform the City's obligations under the Development Agreement in accordance with the terms of the Development Agreement and Chapter 56, as applicable. The Director of Planning, at his or her discretion and in consultation with the City Attorney, is authorized to enter into any additions, amendments or other modifications to the Development Agreement that the Director of Planning determines are in the best interests of the City and that do not materially increase the obligations or liabilities of the City or decrease the benefits to the City under the Development Agreement, subject to the approval of any affected City agency as more particularly described in the Development Agreement.

Section 5. Chapter 56 Waiver; Ratification.

A. In connection with the Development Agreement, the Board of Supervisors finds that the requirements of Chapter 56 have been substantially complied with, and hereby waives any procedural or other requirements of Chapter 56 if and to the extent that they have not been complied with.

B. All actions taken by City officials in preparing and submitting the Development Agreement to the Board of Supervisors for review and consideration are hereby ratified and confirmed, and the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes all subsequent action to be taken by City officials consistent with this Ordinance.
Section 6. **Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall become effective on the date that all of
the Project Ordinances are effective.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:  
Heidi J. Gewertz  
Deputy City Attorney
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DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT
BY AND BETWEEN
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
AND VISITACION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY, A SUBSIDIARY OF UNIVERSAL PARAGON CORPORATION,
RELATIVE TO THE DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS
THE SCHLAGE LOCK DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) dated for reference purposes only as of this _____ day of ___________, 2014, is by and between the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a political subdivision and municipal corporation of the State of California (the “City”), acting by and through its Planning Department, and VISITACION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company, a subsidiary of Universal Paragon Corporation, a Delaware limited liability company, its permitted successors and assigns (the “Developer”), pursuant to the authority of Section 65864 et seq. of the California Government Code and Chapter 56 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

RECITALS

This Agreement is made with reference to the following facts:

A. The Schlage Lock Company operated an industrial facility in the City's Visitacion Valley neighborhood for over 70 years. After the closure of the facility in 1999, the City initiated efforts to develop long-term planning goals for the property formerly occupied by the Schlage Lock Company, as well as adjacent parcels owned by the Union Pacific Railroad Company and Universal Paragon Corporation ("UPC"), hereafter collectively referred to as "the Project Site." The Project Site is located in the southeast quadrant of San Francisco, commonly referred to as Visitacion Valley, a neighborhood bounded approximately to the north and west by McLaren Park and the Excelsior and Crocker Amazon districts, to the east by the Caltrain tracks and to the south by the San Francisco/San Mateo County line and the City of Brisbane. The Project Site is more particularly described in Exhibit ____.

B. The Visitacion Valley neighborhood struggled economically subsequent to the closure of the Schlage Lock facility. In recent years, limited investment in the maintenance of certain industrial, commercial, and residential properties within and around the Project Site has resulted in the prolonged use of obsolete and inadequate structures, nearly vacant and abandoned commercial and industrial buildings, obsolete public facilities and some privately-owned, deteriorating dwellings.

C. After the closure of the Schlage Lock facility, a Home Depot was proposed for the Project Site but met with significant opposition from community members who expressed concern that "big box" formula retail uses would be incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood. In response, the City and County of San Francisco Board of Supervisors ("Board") imposed interim zoning controls on the Project Site, which changed its industrial ("M-1") zoning to neighborhood commercial ("NC-3"), and also imposed a maximum use size limit of 50,000 square feet. At that
time, the Board indicated the need to establish permanent planning controls that would supplant the interim regulations.

D. Beginning in 2001, the City initiated community engagement efforts in order to spearhead the long-term planning process for the Project Site as well as the Visitacion Valley neighborhood more broadly. During community workshops, neighborhood residents expressed ten primary objectives for future development of the Project Site:

- Ensure a mix of uses, including different types of housing, retail, community facilities, city services and open space;
- Attract a full-service grocery store and provide a variety of retail options;
- Include affordable housing to increase the local supply of well-designed affordable housing for low income and working individuals, families and seniors;
- Create opportunities for local employment;
- Create a family-oriented, mixed-use destination that should include pedestrian walkways and destination points, such as small plazas;
- Incorporate thoughtful design that considers existing architectural styles and character and incorporates local historical and cultural elements;
- Improve the safety, pedestrian orientation and look of Bayshore Boulevard through new stores, traffic calming, and a new community-policing substation;
- Ensure a relationship between new stores on the Schlage Lock site and the existing retail corridor on Leland Avenue, to revitalize the central shopping area;
- Bridge Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley through the creation of new streets and foot and bike paths throughout the site; and
- Convert the old Schlage Lock office building to a civic use and consider new buildings for public, city and community services.

E. The City's community engagement efforts culminated in the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Community Planning Workshop Strategic Concept Plan and Workshop Summary, which included a strategic concept plan to serve as the basis for future planning efforts. The Schlage Lock Strategic Concept Plan (“Concept Plan”), was endorsed by the Board pursuant to Resolution No. 425-05, approved on June 7, 2005. In addition to its adoption of the Concept Plan, the Board designated Visitacion Valley as a Redevelopment Survey Area pursuant to Resolution No. 424-05, approved on June 7, 2005.

F. Between 2006 and 2007, the City conducted preliminary community workshops on the Project Site. The workshops focused on developing alternative framework plans, selecting a preferred urban design framework plan addressing building, streetscape and open space designs,
site sustainability features, and design guidelines for new development. During that same time period, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency ("Redevelopment Agency") established the Visitacion Valley Citizens Advisory Committee ("CAC"), and worked with the Planning Department to craft long-term plans for the redevelopment of the Project Site. These efforts resulted in two documents: the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Plan ("Redevelopment Plan") and the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development ("Design for Development"), both of which incorporate the Concept Plan.

G. The Redevelopment Plan contemplated a mixed-use development comprised of approximately one thousand six hundred (1,600) units of new housing, including at least four hundred (400) affordable rental and for-sale units. One thousand two hundred fifty (1,250) of the proposed housing units would be located on the Project Site. As proposed, the Project Site would have been transformed into a mixed-use, transit-oriented community with new public streets, new parks, and a community center created within the existing Schlage Lock office building. In addition, retail corridors along Leland Avenue would be enhanced by coordinated economic development activities and new retail uses, including a grocery store. The Redevelopment Plan was predicated on a public investment of at least $48 million, to be raised through the Redevelopment Agency’s tax increment financing capability.

H. On December 16, 2008, by Resolution No. XXXXXX, the Redevelopment Agency certified a Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the Redevelopment Plan, which included the proposed changes to the Project Site. On December 18, 2008, by Motion No. 17786 the San Francisco Planning Commission also certified the FEIR. Each body found the document to be accurate and objective and in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq. ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, Title 14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15000 et seq., and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. Each body also adopted CEQA approval findings, by Planning Commission Motion No. XXXXXX and Redevelopment Agency Commission Resolution No. 17790, which included a Statement of Overriding Consideration, and adopted a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program ("MMRP").

I. On April 28, 2009, the Board approved the Redevelopment Plan pursuant to Resolution No. 70-09. In addition, the Board approved amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, and Zoning Map, pursuant to Resolution Nos. 72-09, 73-09, and 71-09, respectively, in order to implement the Redevelopment Plan and the Design for Development. In each of the aforementioned resolutions, the Board adopted the CEQA approval findings of the Planning Commission and/or the Redevelopment Agency Commission and the MMRP.

J. In 2009, the California Department of Toxic Substances ("DTSC") approved a remedial action plan ("RAP") to govern the removal of groundwater and soil contamination at the Project Site caused by the prior industrial use. UPC agreed to pay for the cost of remediation, although it did not acquire ownership of the Project Site until long after the former contamination-causing use had ceased.

K. The Redevelopment Agency was dissolved by legislation adopted in 2011 and effective on February 1, 2012, by order of the California Supreme Court in a decision issued on
December 29, 2011. At this time, the Redevelopment Agency and UPC were in the process of negotiating the Project’s financial terms, which were to be memorialized in an Owner Participation Agreement (“OPA”) between the two parties. Because the legislation and court decision dissolving Redevelopment occurred prior to the completion of OPA negotiations and approvals, the City lost the ability to access the public funds necessary to implement the Redevelopment Plan.

L. After the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency, the Planning Department, the Office of Economic and Workforce Development and UPC reinitiated community participation efforts in order to devise a strategy that would allow the project to proceed despite the loss of funding through the former powers of the Redevelopment Agency; such efforts included convening a Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Advisory Body and holding numerous community workshops.

M. In order to strengthen the public planning process, encourage private participation in comprehensive planning, and reduce the economic risk of development, the Legislature of the State of California adopted Government Code Section 65864 et seq. (the "Development Agreement Statute"), which authorizes the City to enter into a development agreement with any person having a legal or equitable interest in real property related to the development of such property. Pursuant to Government Code Section 65865, the City adopted Chapter 56 [as amended by _____] ("Chapter 56") of the San Francisco Administrative Code establishing procedures and requirements for entering into a development agreement pursuant to the Development Agreement Statute. The Parties are entering into this Agreement in accordance with the Development Agreement Statute and Chapter 56.

N. The project now proposed by the Developer (“Project”), as defined in the Basic Approvals, calls for up to 1,679 dwelling units of new housing, up to 46,700 square feet of new retail, and the rehabilitation of a historic office building located on-site. Through the Agreement, the Project Site will be transformed into a mixed-use, transit-oriented development with new public streets and new parks. The Project is designed to advance the same objectives that have been expressed by community members for the last decade. The City has determined that as a result of the development of the Project in accordance with this Agreement additional, clear benefits to the public will accrue that could not be obtained through application of existing City ordinances, regulations, and policies. Some of the major additional public benefits accruing to the City from the Project are:

- Retention of the existing historic Schlage Lock office building;
- Significant opportunities for local employment, both during the Project's construction phase and afterward due to the new retail uses;
- The creation of a minimum of two new public parks;
- The use of thoughtful design that accounts for existing architectural styles, local historical and cultural elements while simultaneously enhancing environmental sustainability through the use of the Design for Development established by the Visitacion Valley Design Review and Document Approval Procedure ("DRDAP");
• Creation of a mixed-use destination that includes pedestrian walkways and destination points;

• Improved traffic circulation through the implementation of a transportation demand management plan, on-site maximums for parking spaces, and programs to encourage residential occupants to maximize public transit, pedestrian, and bicycle travel; and

• Whereas the Redevelopment Plan would have required a substantial public investment, the Project, by comparison, will rely on a greater proportion of private investment.

O. It is the intent of the Parties that all acts referred to in this Agreement shall be accomplished in a way as to fully comply with CEQA, the CEQA Guidelines, Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, the Development Agreement Statute, Chapter 56 of the Planning Code, the Enacting Ordinance and all other applicable laws as of the Effective Date. This Agreement does not limit the City's obligation to comply with applicable environmental laws, including CEQA, before taking any discretionary action regarding the Project, or Developer's obligation to comply with all applicable laws in connection with the development of the Project.

P. On XXXXXX, the Planning Department issued an Addendum to the FEIR certified by the Redevelopment Agency Commission on December 16, 2008 and the Planning Commission on December 18, 2008. This Addendum, together with an Addendum issued by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, analyze the proposed changes to the Schlage Lock Development Project contemplated in this Agreement. The information in the FEIR and the Addendums has been considered by the City in connection with the approval of this Agreement. The FEIR and the Addendums, as well as all other records related to the environmental review of the Schlage Lock Development Project, are available for public review at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, California.

Q. On __________, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on this Agreement, duly noticed and conducted under the Development Agreement Statute and Chapter 56 and reviewed the Project, the Addendum and the public testimony regarding these matters. Following the public hearing, the Planning Commission adopted CEQA Findings and a revised MMRP and determined that the Project and this Agreement are, as a whole and taken in their entirety, consistent with the objectives, policies, general land uses and programs specified in the General Plan, as amended, and the Planning Principles set forth in Section 101.1 of the Planning Code (together, the "General Plan Consistency Findings").

R. On __________, the Board, having received the Planning Commission's recommendations, held a public hearing on this Agreement pursuant to the Development Agreement Statute and Chapter 56. Following the public hearing, the Board adopted CEQA Findings and the revised MMRP and approved this Agreement, incorporating by reference the General Plan Consistency Findings.
S. On __________, the Board adopted Ordinance No. _______, approving this Agreement [Ordinance No. _______, modifying Chapter 56], Ordinance Nos. _____ [placeholder for zoning ordinance, general plan, street vacations, etc.], and Ordinance No. _______ authorizing the Planning Director to executive this Agreement on behalf of the City ("the Enacting Ordinance"). The Enacting Ordinance took effect on ____, 2013.

Now therefore, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1.1 Incorporation of Preamble, Recitals and Exhibits. The preamble paragraph, Recitals, and Exhibits, and all defined terms contained therein, are hereby incorporated into this Agreement as if set forth in full.

1.2 Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth in the above preamble paragraph, Recitals and elsewhere in this Agreement, the following definitions shall apply to this Agreement [need to revise this section]:

1.2.1 “Administrative Code” shall mean the San Francisco Administrative Code.

1.2.2 “Affiliated Project” shall have the meaning set forth in Exhibit K.

1.2.3 “Affiliate” means an entity or person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by or is under common control with, a Party (or a managing partner or managing member of a Party, as the case may be). For purposes of the foregoing, “control” shall mean the ownership of more than fifty percent (50%) of the equity interest in such entity, the right to dictate major decisions of the entity, or the right to appoint fifty percent (50%) or more of the managers or directors of such entity.

1.2.4 “Agreement” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble paragraph.

1.2.5 “Alternate Community Improvement” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.6.4.

1.2.6 “Assignment and Assumption Agreement” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 11.3.1.
1.2.7 “Basic Approvals” shall mean the following land use approvals, entitlements, and permits relating to the Project that were approved by the Board concurrently with this Agreement: the General Plan amendment (Board of Supervisors Ord. No. ____), the Special Use District, which shall include both the Planning Code text amendment (Board of Supervisors Ord. No. ____) and the Zoning Map amendments (Board of Supervisors Ord. No. ____), and the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, all of which are incorporated by reference into this Agreement.

1.2.8 “BMR Requirement” shall have the meaning set forth in Section______.

1.2.9 “BMR Units” shall mean inclusionary affordable housing units required by the City’s Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program, as set forth in Planning Code section 415 et seq.

1.2.10 “Board of Supervisors” or “Board” shall mean the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

1.2.11 “Building Code” shall mean the San Francisco Building Code.

1.2.12 “CC&Rs” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.5.3.

1.2.13 “CEQA” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital E.

1.2.14 “CEQA Findings” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital Q.

1.2.15 “CFD” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.8.

1.2.16 “Chapter 56” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital M.

1.2.17 “Chapter 83” shall have the meaning set forth in ____.

1.2.18 “City” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble paragraph. Unless the context or text specifically provides otherwise, references to the City shall mean the City acting by and through the Planning Director or, as necessary, the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors. The City’s approval of this Agreement will be evidenced by the signatures of the Planning Director and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Any other City Agency’s approval will be evidenced by its written consent, which will be attached to and be a part of this Agreement, but a City Agency’s failure to consent to this Agreement will not cause this Agreement to be void or voidable. The Parties understand and agree that City Agencies are not separate legal entities, and that the City may dissolve a City Agency and/or transfer jurisdiction or responsibilities from one City Agency to another City Agency. With respect to commitments made by a City Agency under this Agreement, the City shall keep Developer informed of any jurisdictional transfer or change in the City Agency that will be responsible, as the successor agency, for such commitment.
1.2.19 “City Agency” or “City Agencies” shall mean, where appropriate, all City
departments, agencies, boards, commissions, and bureaus that execute or consent to this
Agreement and that have subdivision or other permit, entitlement or approval authority or
jurisdiction over any Development Phase on the Project Site, or any Community Improvement
or Public Improvement located on or off the Project Site, including, but not limited to, the
City Administrator, Planning Department, DBI, MOH, OEW, SFMTA, SFPUC, DPW,
DRP, and SFFD, together with any successor City agency, department, board, or commission.

1.2.20 “City Attorney’s Office” shall mean the Office of the City Attorney of the
City and County of San Francisco.

1.2.21 “City Costs” shall mean the actual and reasonable costs incurred by a City
Agency in performing its obligations under this Agreement, as determined on a time and
materials basis, including any defense costs as set forth in _____, but excluding work and fees
covered by Processing Fees.

1.2.22 “Community Improvements” shall mean any capital improvement or
facility, on-going service provision or monetary payment, or any service required by the Basic
Approvals and this Agreement for the public benefit that is not: (1) a Mitigation Measure for
the Project required by CEQA; (2) a public or private improvement or monetary payment
required by Existing Standards or Uniform Codes (including, for example, utility connections
required by Uniform Codes, the payment of Impact Fees and Exactions, and Planning Code-
required open space); (3) Stormwater Management Improvements; or (4) the privately-owned
residential and commercial buildings constructed on the Project Site, with the exception of the
Historic Office Building, which is a Community Improvement and may be privately-owned.
Furthermore, Community Improvements shall not include any units constructed by Developer
or fee paid by Developer in compliance with the BMR Requirement, which also provide the
City with a negotiated benefit of substantial economic value and are subject to the provisions
of Article__ of this Agreement.

With the exception of Alternate Community Improvements, all Community
Improvements required by the Basic Approvals and this Agreement are shown on the
Phasing Plan. Section_____ of this Agreement sets forth the ownership and maintenance
responsibilities of the City and Developer for the Community Improvements.

Community Improvements include the following types of infrastructure or facilities:

(1) **Public Improvements.** These facilities are listed on Exhibit C
attached hereto. Because these improvements shall be dedicated to and accepted
by the City, they also fall within the definition of Public Improvements. They
may be publicly-maintained or privately-maintained based on the specific terms
of Section____ of this Agreement.

(2) **Privately-Owned Community Improvements.** These are
facilities or services, defined in Section_____ and listed on Exhibit C.
1.2.23 “Complete” and any variation thereof shall mean, as applicable, that (i) a specified scope of work has been substantially completed in accordance with approved plans and specifications, (ii) the City Agencies or Non-City Responsible Agencies with jurisdiction over any required permits have issued all final approvals required for the contemplated use, and (iii) with regard to any Public Improvement, (A) the site has been cleaned and all equipment, tools and other construction materials and debris have been removed, (B) releases have been obtained from all contractors, subcontractors, mechanics and material suppliers or adequate bonds reasonably acceptable to the City posted against the same, (C) copies of all as-built plans and warranties, guaranties, operating manuals, operations and maintenance data, certificates of completed operations or other insurance within Developer’s possession or control, and all other close-out items required under any applicable authorization or approval, as may be needed, have been provided, and (D) the City Agencies, including DPW and SFPUC, as appropriate, or Non-City Responsible Agencies have certified the work as complete, operational according to the approved specifications and requirements, and ready for its intended use, and, if applicable, the City has agreed to initiate acceptance.

1.2.24 “Construction Contract” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.25 “Contractor” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 6.13.

1.2.26 “Continuing Obligation” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.27 “Cost Estimator” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.28 “Costa-Hawkins Act” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital____.

1.2.29 “CPUC” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.6.1.

1.2.30 “DBI” shall mean the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection.

1.2.31 “Design Review Application” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.3.1.

1.2.32 “Design Review Approval” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.3.1.

1.2.33 “Developer” shall have the meaning set forth in the preamble paragraph, and, subject to the provisions of Article 11, any and all Transferees (with respect to the rights and obligations under this Agreement that are Transferred to such Transferee).

1.2.34 “Development Agreement Statute” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital M.

1.2.35 “Development Phase(s)” shall have the meaning set forth in Exhibit F.
1.2.36 “Development Phase Application” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.4.5.

1.2.37 “Development Phase Approval” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.4.5.

1.2.38 “Director” or “Planning Director” shall mean the Director of Planning of the City and County of San Francisco.

1.2.39 “DPW” shall mean the San Francisco Department of Public Works.

1.2.40 “Effective Date” shall have the meaning set forth in Section ___.

1.2.41 “Enacting Ordinance” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital S.

1.2.42 “Event of Default” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 12.3.

1.2.43 “Excusable Delay” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 10.3.2.

1.2.44 “Existing Standards” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2.2.

1.2.45 “Extension Period” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.6.5.

1.2.46 “Federal or State Law Exception” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2.6.1.

1.2.47 “FEIR” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital H.

1.2.48 “First Certificate of Occupancy” shall mean the first certificate of occupancy (such as a temporary certificate of occupancy) issued by DBI for a portion of the building that contains residential units or leasable commercial space. A First Certificate of Occupancy shall not mean a certificate of occupancy issued for a portion of the residential or commercial building dedicated to a sales office or other marketing office for residential units or leasable commercial space.

1.2.49 “First Construction Document” shall mean, with respect to any building, the first building permit issued for such building, or, in the case of a site permit, the first building permit addendum issued or other document that authorizes construction of the development project. Construction document shall not include permits or addenda for demolition, grading, shoring, pile driving, or site preparation work.
1.2.50 “Future Changes to Existing Standards” shall have the meaning set forth in Section ____.

1.2.51 “General Groceries” shall mean, consistent with Section 790.102(a) of the Planning Code, an individual retail food establishment that: (a) offers a diverse variety of unrelated, non-complementary food and non-food commodities, such as beverages, dairy, dry goods, fresh produce and other perishable items, frozen foods, household products, and paper goods; (b) may provide beer, wine, and/or liquor sales for consumption off the premises with a California Alcoholic Beverage Control Board License type 20 (off-sale beer and wine) or type 21 (off-sale general) within the accessory use limits as set forth in Section 703.2(b)(1)(C)(vi) of the Planning Code; (c) Prepares minor amounts or no food on-site for immediate consumption; and (d) markets the majority of its merchandise at retail prices.

1.2.51 “General Plan Consistency Findings” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital Q and Exhibit _.

1.2.52 “Gross Floor Area” shall have the meaning set forth in Planning Code section 102.9.

1.2.53 “Horizontal Obligation” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.54 “Impact Fees and Exactions” shall mean the fees, exactions and impositions charged by the City in connection with the development of the Project under the Existing Standards as of the Effective Date, as more particularly described on Exhibit____ attached hereto, including but not limited to transportation improvement fees, water capacity charges and wastewater capacity charges, child care in-lieu fees, affordable housing fees, dedication or reservation requirements, and obligations for on- or off-site improvements. Impact Fees and Exactions shall not include Mitigation Measures, Processing Fees, permit and application fees, taxes or special assessments, and water connection fees. Water connection fees shall be limited to the type of fee assessed by the SFPUC for installing metered service for each building or units within such building.

1.2.55 “Implementing Approval” shall mean any land use approval, entitlement, or permit (other than the Basic Approvals, a Design Review Approval, or a Development Phase Approval) from the City that are consistent with the Basic Approvals and that are necessary for the implementation of the Project or the Community Improvements, including without limitation, demolition permits, grading permits, site permits, building permits, lot line adjustments, sewer and water connection permits, encroachment permits, street improvement permits, certificates of occupancy, subdivision maps, and re-subdivisions. An Implementing Approval shall also mean any amendment to the foregoing land use approvals, entitlements, or permits, or any amendment to the Basic Approvals that are sought by Developer and
approved by the City in accordance with the standards set forth in this Agreement, and that do not represent a Material Change to the Basic Approvals.

1.2.56 “Indemnify” shall mean to indemnify, defend, reimburse, and hold harmless.

1.2.57 “Infrastructure Plan” shall mean the Schlage Lock Infrastructure Plan, dated as of ________, as amended from time to time.

1.2.58 “Losses” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 6.10.

1.2.59 “Low Income Household” shall mean a household whose combined annual gross income for all members does not exceed fifty-five percent (55%) (for rental housing) and 90% (for for-sale housing) of the median income for the City and County of San Francisco, as calculated by MOHCD using data from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (or, if unavailable, alternative data used by MOHCD for such purposes) and adjusted for household size.

1.2.60 “Market Rate Units” shall mean housing units constructed on the Project Site that are not BMR Units.

1.2.61 “Material Change to the Basic Approvals” shall mean any substantive and material change to the Project, as defined by the Basic Approvals, as reasonably determined by the Planning Director and/or an affected City Agency. Without limiting the foregoing, the following shall each be deemed a Material Change to the Basic Approvals: (i) any change in the permitted uses or building heights contained in the Planning Code text amendment and the Zoning Map amendment; (ii) any increase in the parking ratios above the maximum ratios set forth in the _______ [cite document containing the parking ratios]; (iii) any increase or reduction of more than ten percent (10%) in the size of any park or open space designated as a Community Improvement, unless such change is approved as an Alternate Community Improvement in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

1.2.62 “Meet and Confer Period” shall have the meaning set forth in Section _____.

1.2.63 “Median Income Household” shall mean a household whose combined annual gross income for all members does not exceed one hundred percent (100%) of the median income for the City and County of San Francisco, as calculated by MOHCD using data from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (or, if unavailable, alternative data used by MOHCD for such purposes) and adjusted for household size.
1.2.64 “Mitigation Measures” shall mean the mitigation measures (as defined by CEQA) applicable to the Project by the FEIR or other environmental review document. Mitigation Measures shall include any mitigation measures that are identified and required as part of an Implementing Approval.

1.2.65 “Mitigation Monitoring Program” shall mean that certain mitigation monitoring program applicable to the project by the FEIR or other environmental review document.

1.2.66 “MOHCD” shall mean the San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development.


1.2.68 “Non-City Regulatory Approval” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.69 “Non-City Responsible Agency” or “Non-City Responsible Agencies” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.70 “Notice of Default” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.71 “Objective Requirements” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.72 “OEWD” shall mean the San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce Development.

1.2.73 “Official Records” shall mean the official real estate records of the City and County of San Francisco, as maintained by the City’s Recorder’s Office.

1.2.74 “Party” means, individually or collectively as the context requires, the City and Developer (and, as Developer, any Transferee that is made a Party to this Agreement under the terms of an Assignment and Assumption Agreement). “Parties” shall have a correlative meaning.

1.2.75 “Permitted Change” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

1.2.76 “Phasing Plan” shall mean the Phasing Plan attached hereto as Exhibit____.

1.2.77 “Planning Code” shall mean the San Francisco Planning Code.
1.2.78 “Planning Commission” or “Commission” shall mean the Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco.

1.2.79 “Planning Department” shall mean the Planning Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

1.2.80 “Principal Project” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

1.2.81 “Prior Approvals” shall mean, at any specific time during the Term, the applicable provisions of each of the following: this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, the then-existing Implementing Approvals (including any Development Phase Approval), the Existing Standards and permitted Future Changes to Existing Standards.

1.2.82 “Privately-Owned Community Improvements” shall mean those facilities and services that are privately-owned and privately-maintained for the public benefit, with varying levels of public accessibility, that are not dedicated to the City. The Privately-Owned Community Improvements are listed on Exhibit_____. Privately-Owned Community Improvements will include certain streets, paseos, pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes, storm drainage facilities, community or recreation facilities, and possibly parks and open spaces to be built on land owned and retained by Developer. Exhibit____ sets forth the provisions pertaining to the use, maintenance, and security of the Privately-Owned Community Improvements.

1.2.83 “Processing Fees” shall mean the standard fee imposed by the City upon the submission of an application for a permit or approval, which is not an Impact Fee and Exaction, in accordance with the then-current City practice on a City-wide basis.

1.2.84 “Project” shall mean the development project at the Project Site as described in this Agreement and the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, including the Public Improvements and the Community Improvements, which development project is consistent with the Basic Approvals and the Implementing Approvals.

1.2.85 “Project Site” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital A.

1.2.86 “Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

1.2.87 “Public Health and Safety Exception” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2.6.1.

1.2.88 “Public Improvements” shall mean the facilities, both on- and off-site, to be improved, constructed and dedicated to the City. Public Improvements include streets within the Project Site, sidewalks, Stormwater Management Improvements in the public right-of-way, all public utilities within the streets (such as gas, electricity, water and sewer lines but excluding any non-municipal utilities), bicycle lanes and paths in the public right of way, off-
site intersection improvements (including but not limited to curbs, medians, signaling, traffic controls devices, signage, and striping), SFMTA Infrastructure, and possibly parks. The Public Improvements will be reflected on separate improvement plans and clearly delineated from Privately-Owned Community Improvements, which Privately-Owned Community Improvements include paseos, pedestrian paths within the Project Site, community or recreation facilities, and possibly certain parks and open spaces to be built on land owned and retained by Developer. All Public Improvements shall be built based on the improvement plans approved by the City. Sufficient construction bonds or guarantees, based on the amount required to complete the Public Improvements as determined from the approved public improvement plan must also be submitted as required by the City.

1.2.89 “Recorded Restrictions” shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.10.2.

1.2.90 “Rent Ordinance” shall mean the City’s Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance (Chapters 37 and 37A of the Administrative Code) or any successor ordinance designated by the City.

1.2.91 “Schlage Lock” shall mean the Project Site.

1.2.92 “Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents” shall mean the Schlage Lock Design for Development, the Transportation Demand Management Plan, the Sustainability Evaluation, the Infrastructure Plan, and the Open Space and Streetscape and Master Plan, all dated as of _______, and approved by the Board of Supervisors, as each may be revised or updated in accordance with this Agreement, and the Phasing Plan, as attached hereto as Exhibit ___ and as incorporated herein. A copy of each of the approved Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, including any approved amendments, will be maintained and held by the Planning Department.

1.2.93 “Schlage Lock Special Use District” shall have the meaning set forth in Section _____.

1.2.94 “Section 56.17” shall mean Administrative Code section 56.17 as of the Effective Date.

1.2.95 “SFFD” shall mean the San Francisco Fire Department.

1.2.96 “SFMTA” shall mean the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency.

1.2.97 “SFMTA Infrastructure” shall mean the Public Improvements to be designed and constructed by Developer that the Parties intend the SFMTA to accept, operate, and maintain in accordance with this Agreement.

1.2.98 “SFPUC” shall mean the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission.
1.2.99 “Stormwater Management Improvements” shall mean the facilities, both those privately-owned and those dedicated to the City, that comprise the infrastructure and landscape system that is intended to manage the stormwater runoff, through non-potable reuse, detention, retention, filtration, direct plant uptake, or infiltration, that is associated with the Project, as described in the Infrastructure Plan. Stormwater Management Improvements include but are not limited to: (i) swales and bioswales (including plants and soils), (ii) bio-gutters and grates (including plants and soils), (iii) tree wells, (iv) ponds, wetlands, and constructed streams, (v) stormwater cisterns, (vi) permeable paving systems, (vii) stormwater culverts, (viii) trench drains and grates, (ix) stormwater piping, (x) stormwater collection system, and (xi) other facilities performing a stormwater control function.

1.2.100 “Stormwater Management Ordinance” shall mean Article 4.2 (Sewer System Management) of the San Francisco Public Works Code.

1.2.101 “Subdivision Code” shall mean the San Francisco Subdivision Code, with such additions and revisions as set forth in Section_____.

1.2.102 “Substitute Community Improvement” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

“Sustainability Evaluation” shall mean an evaluation of site-wide energy, water or other on-site infrastructure systems that promote greater levels of sustainability beyond required City requirements and Green Building Codes.

1.2.103 “TDM” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital_____ and as further defined in the Transportation Plan.

1.2.104 “Term” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

1.2.105 “Third-Party Challenge” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

1.2.106 “Transfer” shall mean the transfer all or any portion of Developer’s rights, interests, or obligations under this Agreement, together with the conveyance of the affected real property.

1.2.107 “Transferee” shall mean the developer to whom Developer transfers all or a portion of its obligations under this Agreement under an Assignment and Assumption Agreement. A Transferee shall be deemed “Developer” under this Agreement with respect to all of the rights, interests and obligations assigned to and assumed by Transferee under the applicable Assignment and Assumption Agreement.

1.2.108 “Transferred Property” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.

1.2.109 “Transportation Demand Management Plan” shall mean the Schlage Lock Development Transportation Demand Management Plan, dated as of ______, as amended from time to time.

1.2.110 “Uniform Codes” shall have the meaning set forth in Section_____.
“Vertical Obligation” shall have the meaning set forth in Section____.

“Zoning Map Amendment” shall have the meaning set forth in Recital____.

1.3 Effective Date. Pursuant to Section 56.14(f) of the Administrative Code, this Agreement shall take effect upon the later of (i) the full execution of this Agreement by the Parties, (ii) the execution and delivery of a consent and subordination agreement between the City and the Existing Lender, and (iii) the effective date of the Enacting Ordinance (“Effective Date”). The Effective Date is __________.

1.4 Term. The term of this Agreement shall commence upon the Effective Date and shall continue in full force and effect for fifteen (15) years thereafter so as to accommodate the phased development of the Project, unless extended or earlier terminated as provided herein (“Term”). Following expiration of the Term, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated and of no further force and effect except for any provisions which, by their express terms, survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

2. VESTING AND CITY OBLIGATIONS

2.1 Vested Rights. Developer shall have the vested right, subject to the terms of this Agreement, to develop the Development Phases as set forth in Exhibit F, with the following vested elements (collectively, the “Vested Elements”):

(a) A land use program of up to 1,679 new residential units, up to 46,700 square feet of retail use, renovation of the Schlage Lock Historic Office Building, and associated parking, all as more particularly described in the Basic Approvals;

(b) Construction of buildings on the Project Site up to the maximum heights permissible under the Design for Development document and in a manner consistent with the Zoning Map, the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, and the Design for Development Document, which specify the: (1) locations and numbers of buildings proposed; (2) the land uses and height and bulk limits, including the maximum density and intensity; (3) the permitted uses; (4) the provisions for vehicular access and parking; (5) the reservation or dedication of land for public purposes; and (6) provision for construction of Public Improvements as defined herein.

(c) The Vested Elements are subject to and shall be governed by Applicable Laws as defined in Section 2.2 below. The expiration of any building permit or other approval shall not limit the Vested Elements, and Developer shall have the right to seek and obtain subsequent building permits or approvals, including Implementing Approvals at any time during the Term, any of which shall be governed by Applicable Laws. Each Implementing Approval, once granted, shall be deemed an approval for purposes of this Section 2. The Parties acknowledge that the Development Phases require separate approvals and findings, and nothing
shall prevent or limit the discretion of the City in connection therewith, except for the express limitations in Section 6.2 and in Future Changes to Existing Standards as provided in Section 2.3.

2.2 Existing Standards. The City shall process, consider, and review all Development Phases in accordance with (i) the Basic Approvals, (ii) the San Francisco General Plan, the San Francisco Municipal Code (including the Subdivision Code) and all other applicable City policies, rules and regulations as each of the foregoing is in effect on the Effective Date ("Existing Standards"), as the same may be amended or updated in accordance with permitted Future Changes to Existing Standards as set forth in Section 2.3, and (iii) this Agreement (collectively, "Applicable Laws").

2.3 Future Changes to Existing Standards. All future changes to Existing Standards and any other Laws, plans or policies adopted by the City or adopted by voter initiative after the Effective Date ("Future Changes to Existing Standards") shall apply to the Project and the Development Phases except to the extent they conflict with this Agreement or the terms and conditions of the Basic Approvals. In the event of such a conflict, the terms of this Agreement and the Basic Approvals shall prevail, subject to the terms of Section 2.6 below.

2.3.1 Future Changes to Existing Standards shall be deemed to conflict with the Applicable Laws or Vested Elements if they:

(a) limit or reduce the density or intensity of a Development Phase, or any part thereof, or otherwise require any reduction in the square footage or number of proposed buildings, number of proposed housing units or other improvements from that permitted under this Agreement for the Development Phase, the Existing Standards, or the Basic Approvals;

(b) limit or reduce the height or bulk of a Development Phase, or any part thereof, or otherwise require any reduction in the height or bulk of individual proposed buildings or other improvements that are part of a Development Phase from that permitted under this Agreement, the Existing Standards, or the Basic Approvals;

(c) limit or reduce vehicular access or parking on the Site from that permitted under this Agreement, the Existing Standards, or the Basic Approvals;

(d) change or limit any land uses or height and bulk limits for the Development Phases that are permitted under this Agreement, the Existing Standards, the Basic Approvals or the Existing Uses;

(e) change or limit the Basic Approvals or Existing Uses; except as required by Section 2.6, materially limit or control the rate, timing, phasing, or sequencing of the approval, development, or construction of all or any part of a Development Phase in any manner;

(f) require the issuance of permits or approvals by the City other than those required under the Existing Standards, except as otherwise provided in Section 2.6; limit or control the availability of public utilities, services or facilities or any privileges or rights to
public utilities, services, or facilities for a Development Phase as contemplated by the Basic Approvals;

(g) materially and adversely limit the processing or procuring of applications and approvals of Implementing Approvals that are consistent with Basic Approvals; or,

(h) impose or increase any Impact Fees and Exactions, as they apply to the Project, except as permitted under Section 2.4 of this Agreement.

2.3.2 Developer may elect to have a Future Change to Existing Standards that conflicts with this Agreement and the Basic Approvals applied to the Project or the Development Phases by giving the City notice of its election to have a Future Change to Existing Standards applied, in which case such Future Change to Existing Standards shall be deemed to be an Existing Standard; provided, however, if the application of such Future Change to Existing Standards would be a Material Change to the City's obligations hereunder, the application of such Future Change to Existing Standards shall require the concurrence of any affected City Agencies. Nothing in this Agreement shall preclude the City from applying Future Changes to Existing Standards to the Site for any development project not within the definition of the "Project" under this Agreement. In addition, nothing in this Agreement shall preclude Developer from pursuing any challenge to the application of any Future Changes to Existing Standards to all or part of the Site.

2.3.3 The Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents may be amended with Developer’s consent from time to time without the amendment of this Agreement as follows: a) nonmaterial changes may be agreed to by the Planning Director and the Director of any affected City Agency (as appropriate), each in their reasonable discretion, and (b) material changes may be agreed to by the Planning Commission, the City Administrator and the affected City Agency (either by its Director or, if existing, its applicable Commission), each in their sole discretion, provided that any material change to the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents that requires a change to the SUD or this Agreement shall also be subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Section 10.1. Without limiting the foregoing, the Parties agree that any change to the Transportation Demand Management Plan must be approved by DPW and the SFMTA, any change to the Housing Plan must be approved by MOHCD, and any change to the Infrastructure Plan must be approved by DPW, the SFMTA and the SFPUC.

2.3.4 The Parties acknowledge that, for certain parts of the Project, Developer must submit a variety of applications for Implementing Approvals before commencement of construction, including building permit applications, street improvement permits, and encroachment permits. Developer shall be responsible for obtaining all Implementing Approvals before commencement of construction to the extent required under applicable Law. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, when considering any such application for an Implementing Approval, the City shall apply the applicable provisions, requirements, rules, or regulations that are contained in the California Building Standards Code, as amended by the City, including requirements of the San Francisco Building Code, Public Works Code (which includes the Stormwater Management Ordinance), Subdivision Code,
2.3.4 Developer shall have the right to file subdivision map applications (including phased final map applications) with respect to some or all of the Development Phases, to subdivide, reconfigure or merge the parcels comprising the Development Phases as may be necessary or desirable in order to develop a particular part of the Project. Nothing in this Agreement shall authorize Developer to subdivide or use any of the Site for purposes of sale, lease or financing in any manner that conflicts with the California Subdivision Map Act (California Government Code § 66410 et seq.), or with the Subdivision Code. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the City from enacting or adopting changes in the methods and procedures for processing subdivision and parcel maps so long as such changes do not conflict with the provisions of this Agreement or with the Basic Approvals as set forth in Section ___.

2.4 Fees and Exactions.

2.4.1 Generally. The Project shall only be subject to the Processing Fees and Impact Fees and Exactions as set forth in this Section ____, and the City shall not impose any new Processing Fees or Impact Fees and Exactions on the development of the Project or impose new conditions or requirements for the right to develop the Project (including required contributions of land, public amenities or services) except as set forth in this Agreement. The Parties acknowledge that the provisions contained in this Section 3 are intended to implement the intent of the Parties that Developer has the right to develop the Project pursuant to specified and known criteria and rules at the Effective Date, and that the City receive the benefits which will be conferred as a result of such development without abridging the right of the City to act in accordance with its powers, duties and obligations, except as specifically provided in this Agreement.

2.4.2 Impact Fees and Exactions. Impact Fees and Exactions for the Development Phases (or components thereof) shall be limited to those from time to time in effect, on a City-Wide basis, at the time that Developer applies for or obtains, as applicable, a permit, authorization or approval in connection therewith. After the Effective Date, except as set forth below in this Section ____ and as listed in Exhibit E, no new categories of Impact Fees and Exactions (nor expansion of the application of same due to changes in exceptions or definitions of covered uses thereto) shall apply to the development of the Development Phases. Any substitute Impact Fees and Exactions that amend or replace the Impact Fees and Exactions in effect on the Effective Date shall not be considered new categories of Impact Fees and Exactions except to the extent that they expand the scope of the existing Impact Fees and Exactions. In other words, if the City amends or replaces Impact Fees and Exactions during the Term to both increase the rates and expand the scope of application (i.e., apply the Impact Fees and Exactions to a use that was not previously subject to that Impact Fees and Exactions), then the increase in rates (including the methodology for calculation of those rates) would apply to the Development Phases but not the expanded scope. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary above, Developer shall be responsible for the payment of the following fees and charges, if and to the extent applicable: (i) all Impact Fees and Exactions for future development on the Site, in effect at the
time of assessment as included in Exhibit E, and (ii) the SFPUC Capacity Charges, in effect at the time of assessment.

2.4.3 Processing Fees. For three (3) years following the Effective Date, as may be extended by the number of days in any extension of the Term under Section 10, Processing Fees for the Development Phases shall be limited to the Processing Fees in effect, on a City-Wide basis, as of the Effective Date (provided that to the extent Processing Fees are based on time and materials costs, such fees may be calculated based on the schedule for time and materials costs in effect on the date the work is performed by the City). Thereafter, Processing Fees for the Development Phases shall be limited to the Processing Fees in effect, on a City-Wide basis, at the time that Developer applies for the permit or approval for which such Processing Fee is payable in connection with the applicable portion of the Development Phase.

2.5 Limitation on City's Future Discretion. By approving the Basic Approvals, the City has made a policy decision that the Project is in the best interests of the City and promotes the public health, safety and general welfare. Accordingly, the City in granting the Approvals and, as applicable, vesting the Project through this Agreement is limiting its future discretion with respect to the Development Phases and Implementing Approvals to the extent that they are consistent with the Basic Approvals and this Agreement. For elements included in a request for an Implementing Approval that have not been reviewed or considered by the applicable City Agency previously (including but not limited to additional details or plans for a proposed building), the City Agency shall exercise its discretion consistent with its customary practice but shall not deny issuance of an Implementing Approval based upon findings that are consistent with the Basic Approvals and this Agreement. Consequently, the City shall not use its discretionary authority to change the policy decisions reflected by the Basic Approvals and this Agreement or otherwise to prevent or to delay development of the Development Phases as contemplated in the Basic Approvals and this Agreement. Nothing in the foregoing shall impact or limit the City’s discretion with respect to: (i) proposed Implementing Approvals that seek a Material Change to the Basic Approvals, or (ii) Board of Supervisor approvals of subdivision maps, as required by law, not contemplated by the Basic Approvals.

2.6 Changes in Federal or State Laws.

2.6.1 City's Exceptions. Notwithstanding any provision in this Agreement to the contrary, each City Agency having jurisdiction over the Project shall exercise its discretion under this Agreement in a manner that is consistent with the public health and safety and shall at all times retain its respective authority to take any action that is necessary to protect the physical health and safety of the public (the "Public Health and Safety Exception") or reasonably calculated and narrowly drawn to comply with applicable changes in Federal or State Law affecting the physical environment (the "Federal or State Law Exception"), including the authority to condition or deny an Implementing Approval or to adopt a new Law applicable to the Project so long as such condition or denial or new regulation (i) is limited solely to addressing a specific and identifiable issue in each case required to protect the physical health and safety of the public or (ii) is required to comply with a Federal or State Law and in each case not for independent discretionary policy reasons that are inconsistent with the Basic Approvals or this Agreement and (iii) is applicable on a City-Wide basis to the same or similarly situated
uses and applied in an equitable and non-discriminatory manner. Developer retains the right to dispute any City reliance on this Public Health and Safety Exception or the Federal or State Law Exception.

2.6.2 Changes in Federal or State Laws. If Federal or State Laws issued, enacted, promulgated, adopted, passed, approved, made, implemented, amended, or interpreted after the Effective Date have gone into effect and (i) preclude or prevent compliance with one or more provisions of the Approvals or this Agreement, or (ii) materially and adversely affect Developer's or the City's rights, benefits or obligations, such provisions of this Agreement shall be modified or suspended as may be necessary to comply with such Federal or State Law. In such event, this Agreement shall be modified only to the extent necessary or required to comply with such Law, subject to the provisions of Section 3, as applicable.

2.6.3 Changes to Development Agreement Statute. This Agreement has been entered into in reliance upon the provisions of the Development Agreement Statute. No amendment of or addition to the Development Agreement Statute which would affect the interpretation or enforceability of this Agreement or increase the obligations or diminish the development rights of Developer hereunder, or increase the obligations or diminish the benefits to the City hereunder shall be applicable to this Agreement unless such amendment or addition is specifically required by Law or is mandated by a court of competent jurisdiction. If such amendment or change is permissive rather than mandatory, this Agreement shall not be affected.

2.6.4 Termination of Agreement. If any of the modifications, amendments or additions described in Section 2.3 or any changes in Federal or State Laws described thereunder would materially and adversely affect the construction, development, use, operation or occupancy of the Development Phases as currently contemplated by the Basic Approvals, or any material portion thereof, such that the Development Phases become economically infeasible (a "Law Adverse to Developer"), then Developer shall notify the City and propose amendments or solutions that would maintain the benefit of the bargain (that is this Agreement) for both Parties. If any of the modifications, amendments or additions described in Sections _____ or _____ or any changes in Federal or State Laws described thereunder would materially and adversely affect or limit the public benefits (a "Law Adverse to the City"), then the City shall notify Developer and propose amendments or solutions that would maintain the benefit of the bargain (that is this Agreement) for both Parties. Upon receipt of a notice under this Section, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith for a period of not less than ninety (90) days in an attempt to resolve the issue. If the Parties cannot resolve the issue in ninety (90) days or such longer period as may be agreed to by the Parties, then the Parties shall mutually select a mediator at JAMS in San Francisco for nonbinding mediation for a period of not less than thirty (30) days. If the Parties remain unable to resolve the issue following such mediation, then (i) Developer shall have the right to terminate this Agreement following a Law Adverse to Developer upon not less than thirty (30) days prior notice to the City, and (ii) the City shall have the right to terminate this Agreement following a Law Adverse to the City upon not less than thirty (30) days prior notice to Developer; provided, notwithstanding any such termination, Developer shall be required to complete the applicable Community Improvements which have become obligations of Developer based on the schedule of performance and the Phasing Plan.
2.7 No Action to Impede Basic Approvals. Except and only as required under Section ____, the City shall take no action under this Agreement nor impose any condition on the Project that would conflict with this Agreement, Applicable Laws, or the Vested Elements. An action taken or condition imposed shall be deemed to be in conflict with this Agreement or the Basic Approvals if such actions or conditions result in the occurrence of one or more of the circumstances identified in Section 2.3.1 of this Agreement.

2.8 Criteria for Approving Implementing Approvals. The City shall not disapprove applications for Implementing Approvals based upon any item or element that is consistent with this Agreement, Applicable Laws, and the Vested Elements, and shall consider all such applications in accordance with its customary practices subject to the requirements of this Agreement, including Section 3.8. The City may subject an Implementing Approval to any condition that is necessary to bring the Implementing Approval into compliance with Applicable Laws and this Agreement. The City shall in no event be obligated to approve an application for an Implementing Approval that would effect a Material Change. If the City denies any application for an Implementing Approval that implements a Development Phase as contemplated by the Basic Approvals, the City must specify in writing the reasons for such denial, which reasons may include how the application for an Implementing Approval is inconsistent with this Agreement and the Basic Approvals (if such inconsistencies are determined to exist), and the City shall suggest modifications required for approval of the application. Any such specified modifications shall be consistent with Applicable Laws and City staff shall approve the application if it is subsequently resubmitted for City review and corrects or mitigates, to the City's satisfaction, the stated reasons for the earlier denial in a manner that is consistent and compliant with Applicable Laws, and does not include new or additional information or materials that give the City a reason to object to the application under the standards set forth in this Agreement. The City agrees to rely on the FEIR, to the greatest extent possible, as more particularly described in Section ____. With respect to any Implementing Approval that includes a proposed change to a Development Phase, the City agrees to rely on the General Plan Consistency Findings to the greatest extent possible in accordance with Applicable Laws; provided, however, that nothing shall prevent or limit the discretion of the City in connection with any Implementing Approvals that, as a result of amendments to the Basic Approvals, require new or revised General Plan consistency findings. The Parties acknowledge that the Development Phases may require separate approvals and findings, and nothing shall prevent or limit the discretion of the City in connection therewith, except as otherwise provided in Section 3.3.

2.9 Construction of Public Improvements. The City's or Developer’s construction of the Public Improvements shall be governed by the provisions of the public improvement plan.

2.11 Taxes. Nothing in this Agreement limits the City's ability to impose new or increased taxes or special assessments, or any equivalent or substitute tax or assessment, provided (i) the City shall not institute on its own initiative proceedings for any new or increased special tax or special assessment for a land-secured financing district (including the special taxes under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982 (Government Code §§ 53311 et seq.) but not including business improvement districts or community benefit districts formed by a vote.
of the affected property owners) that includes the Site unless the new district is City-Wide or Developer gives its prior written consent to such proceedings, and (ii) no such tax or assessment shall be targeted or directed at the Project, including, without limitation, any tax or assessment targeted solely at any or all of the Development Phases. Nothing in the foregoing prevents the City from imposing any tax or assessment against the Site, or any portion thereof, that is enacted in accordance with Law and applies to all similarly-situated property on a City-Wide basis.

3. DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT SITE

3.1 Development Rights. Developer shall have the vested right to develop the Project Site in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Agreement as set forth in Section 2.1, the Basic Approvals, and any Implementing Approvals, and the City shall process all Implementing Approvals related to development of the Project Site in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Agreement. Developer agrees that all improvements it constructs on the Project Site shall be done in accordance with this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, and any Implementing Approvals, and in accordance with all applicable laws.

3.2 Compliance with CEQA. The Parties acknowledge that the FEIR prepared for the Schlage Lock Development Project ("Project") with the accompanying Addenda complies with CEQA. The Parties further acknowledge that (i) the FEIR and CEQA Findings contain a thorough analysis of the Project and possible alternatives to the Project, (ii) the Mitigation Measures have been adopted to eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level certain adverse environmental impacts of the Project, and (iii) the Board of Supervisors adopted a statement of overriding considerations in connection with the Project Approvals, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15093, for those significant impacts that could not be mitigated to a less than significant level. An EIR Addendum and related findings were prepared and administratively approved for the amendments to the site design and development program. For these reasons, the City does not intend to conduct any further environmental review or mitigation under CEQA for any aspect of the Project vested by this Agreement, as more particularly described by the Basic Approvals, except as may be required by applicable law in taking future discretionary actions relating to the Project.

3.3 Vested Rights; Permitted Uses and Density; Building Envelope. By approving the Basic Approvals, the City has made a policy decision that the Project, as currently described and defined in the Basic Approvals, is in the best interest of the City and promotes the public health, safety and general welfare. Accordingly, the City in granting the Basic Approvals and vesting them through this Agreement is limiting its future discretion with respect to Project approvals that are consistent with the Basic Approvals. Consequently, the City shall not use its discretionary authority in considering any application for an Implementing Approval to change the policy decisions reflected by the Basic Approvals or otherwise to prevent or to delay development of the Project as set forth in the Basic Approvals. Instead, Implementing Approvals that substantially conform to or implement the Basic Approvals, subsequent Development Phase Approvals, and subsequent Design Review Approvals shall be issued by the City so long as they substantially comply with and conform to this Agreement (including the requirements and limitations set forth in Article __ and Section ___), the Basic Approvals, the Design for
Development, the Open Space Streetscape Master Plan (“OSSMP”) and the Infrastructure Plan, if applicable. Nothing in the foregoing shall impact or limit the City's discretion with respect to (i) Implementing Approvals that seek a Material Change to the Basic Approvals, (ii) Board of Supervisor approvals of subdivision maps, as required by law, or (iii) requests for approval that may materially impair, alter, or decrease the scope and economic benefit of the Community Improvements described in the Plan Documents related to the Schlage Lock Development Project and this Agreement.

3.3.1 **Design Review Approvals.** The Basic Approvals include a Planning Code text amendment that creates a special use district and incorporates a Design for Development document and an Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan for the Project Site (the "Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District"). The Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development, and the Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan were created and adopted to ensure that the urban, architectural, and landscape design of the buildings, public realm, and Community Improvements at Schlage Lock will be of high quality and appropriate scale, include sufficient open space, and promote the public health, safety, and general welfare. To ensure that all new buildings, the new public realm, and any Community Improvements related to implementation of the Project meet the Design for Development Standards and OSSMP applicable to the Schlage Lock Development Project, Developer must submit a design review application (a "Design Review Application") and obtain design review approval (a "Design Review Approval") before obtaining separate permits consistent with Section ___ of this Agreement to commence construction of any proposed building or Community Improvement within or adjacent to the Project Site (as more particularly described in the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District). Design Review Applications for any and all parcels and community improvements within a Phase may be filed concurrently with or subsequent to a Phase Application. The Planning Director or his or her designee shall review and approve, disapprove, or approve with recommended modifications each Design Review Application in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, the Schlage Lock Development Project Documents, the applicable Phase Application, and the procedures specified in the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District section of the Planning Code, as the same may be amended from time to time. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the City may exercise its reasonable discretion in approving the aspects of a Design Review Application that relate to the qualitative or subjective requirements of the applicable Design for Development, including the choice of building materials and fenestration. Also notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, in considering a Design Review Application for those aspects of a proposed building or Community Improvement that meet the quantitative or objective requirements of the Schlage Lock Development Project Design for Development and the other Schlage Lock Development Plan Development Project Documents (the "Objective Requirements"), including without limitation,
the building’s proposed height, bulk, setbacks, location of uses and size of such uses, and amount of open space and parking, the City acknowledges and agrees that (i) it has exercised its discretion in approving the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, the Schlage Lock Development Project Design for Development, and the other Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, and (ii) any proposed Design Review Application that meets the Objective Requirements shall not be rejected by the City based on elements that conform to or are consistent with the Objective Requirements, so long as the proposed building or Community Improvement meets the Uniform Codes and the Design for Development as required by Section ____ above. If the Planning Director determines that a Design Review Application includes a Material Change to the Basic Approvals, the Developer must obtain Planning Commission approval of that change. The Planning Director may, at his or her discretion, consult with any other City agency, and shall determine if any other City Agency’s approval is required before a particular Material Change to the Basic Approvals can be brought before the Planning Commission.

3.3.2 Each Basic Approval or Implementing Approval shall remain in effect during the Term of this Agreement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary above, each street improvement, building, grading, demolition or similar permit shall expire at the time specified in the permit or the applicable public improvement agreement approved under the City's Subdivision Code, with extensions as normally allowed under the Uniform Codes or as set forth in such public improvement agreement.

3.4 Commencement of Construction; Development Phases; Development Timing.

3.4.1 Development Phases. The Project shall be built in phases ("Development Phases") in the manner described in Exhibit F. The Parties currently anticipate that the Project will be constructed in Development Phases over approximately fifteen (15) years. Notwithstanding the schedule for implementation of Phase 1 as included in the Phasing Plan attached hereto as Exhibit F, the Parties acknowledge that for all subsequent phases, the Developer cannot guarantee the exact timing in which Development Phases will be constructed, whether certain development will be constructed at all, or the characteristics of each Development Phase (including without limitation the number of units constructed during each Development Phase and the parcels included within each Development Phase). Such decisions depend on numerous factors that are not within the control of Developer or the City, such as market absorption and demand, interest rates, availability of project financing, competition, and other similar factors. To the extent permitted by this Agreement, including those restrictions on the initiation of the First Phase of the Development Phases as such restrictions are provided in the Phasing Plan, Developer shall have the right to develop the Project in Development Phases in such order and time, and with such characteristics (subject to the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirements of this Agreement), as Developer requests, as determined by Developer in the exercise of its subjective business judgment, but subject to the City's approval of each Development Phase, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned, or delayed.
3.4.2 Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement. Because (i) the Project will be built over a long time period, and future portions of the Project may not, in fact, be developed after Developer completes a Development Phase, and (ii) Developer has requested and the City has agreed to allow Developer flexibility in the order and timing of the proposed development included in the Project, the City must approve each Development Phase Application to ensure that (A) the BMR dwelling units and Community Improvements for each Development Phase are within the cumulative minimums described in this Agreement to ensure the orderly development of the Project and permit the cumulative amount of market rate private development to occur in that Development Phase; (B) the Community Improvements are implemented in order of public policy priority as set forth in the Phasing Plan; (C) that such Community Improvements are selected with reference to geographic proximity to the proposed Development Phase, if required by the Phasing Plan; and (D) the timing and phasing of the Community Improvements are consistent with the operational needs and plans of the affected City Agencies, (the "Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement"). With regard to those Public Improvements that must be completed as determined by City review to obtain First Certificates of Occupancy for a building, the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement shall be deemed to be satisfied by virtue of the requirement that, pursuant to existing Municipal Code, all such improvements must be substantially complete before issuance of a First Certificate of Occupancy for each and every building within the Development Phase. With regard to any proposed Community Improvements not associated with any individual building permit application, the City must review and approve such permit applications to ensure that the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement is satisfied. The foregoing notwithstanding, nothing in this Section or other provisions of this Agreement shall affect the Mitigation Measures, which must be completed as and when required based upon the trigger dates established with respect to each applicable Mitigation Measure.

3.4.3 Phasing Plan. The Community Improvements and certain Public Improvements to be constructed by Developer are listed in the Phasing Plan and shall be approved with the Basic Approvals, attached hereto as Exhibit __. The Phasing Plan reflects the Parties' mutual acknowledgement that (i) the approximate minimum number of residential units and the minimum area suitable for retail in Development Phase 1 are generally described in the Phasing Plan but may be subject to change, (ii) the content and boundaries of each subsequent Development Phase, the exact number of residential units and the exact amount of retail area in each subsequent Development Phase will be proposed by the Developer at the time of each Phase Application, and (iii) the need for certain Community Improvements and certain Public Improvements is related to the location of the development as proposed by each Development Phase combined with the cumulative amount of residential units and retail floor area Completed to date. The Affordable Housing Plan, as provided in Attachment ____, defines certain minimum requirements for the production of below market rate dwelling units to aid in determining satisfaction of the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement described in Section __. The Parties agree that the requirements of the Phasing Plan are generally representative of the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement but are not determinative such that the City must reasonably review and approve each Development Phase Application for consistency with the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement pursuant to Section __. The Parties acknowledge and agree that (i) the minimum requirements
for the production of below market rate dwelling units specified for each Development Phase of the Phasing Plan must be satisfied at or before each stage of development, including during and within each Development Phase and (ii) the City cannot disproportionately burden a Development Phase in violation of the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement. The Parties acknowledge that certain infrastructure or utility improvements may be required at an early stage of development in accordance with operational or system needs and the City may reasonably request Developer to advance certain Community Improvements at such earlier stage in order for efficiency and cost effectiveness. The Parties shall cooperate in good faith to amend the Developer's originally proposed Development Phase Application to advance such improvements and to delay other improvements while maintaining the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement.

3.4.4 Development Phase Applications, Design Review and Approvals. Prior to the commencement of each Development Phase, Developer shall submit to the Planning Department an application (a "Development Phase Application") in substantial conformance with the sample attached hereto as Exhibit__. In addition to any necessary permits the Application shall include, at a minimum: (i) an overall summary of the proposed Development Phase; (ii) a site plan that clearly indicates the parcels subject to the proposed Development Phase; (iii) the amount of residential units and retail and commercial square footage in the proposed Development Phase; (iv) the number of BMR Units to be Completed during the proposed Development Phase and the method of delivering those BMR units (e.g., inclusionary, land-dedication, and/or off-site); (v) a description and approximate square footage of any land to be dedicated to the City in the proposed Development Phase; (vi) a brief description of each proposed Community Improvement and Mitigation Measure to be Completed during the proposed Development Phase; (vii) a description of the proposed infrastructure improvements, at a level of detail as required by DPW, that are consistent with the Infrastructure Plan; (viii) a general description of the proposed order of construction of the private development and Community Improvements within the proposed Development Phase; and (ix) a statement describing any requested modification or deviation from any applicable Plan Document, if any such modifications or deviations are requested. If Developer submits a Development Phase Application before the completion of a previous Development Phase, then the Development Phase Application shall include a proposed order of development for the future Development Phases in its response to item (viii) above. The Planning Director and affected City Agencies shall have the right to request additional information from Developer as may be needed to understand the proposed Development Phase Application and to ensure compliance with this Agreement, including but not limited to the applicable Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents and the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement. If the Planning Director or any affected City Agency objects to the proposed Development Phase Application, it shall do so in writing, stating with specificity the reasons for the objection and any items that it or they believe may or should be included in the Application in order to bring the application into compliance with the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement and this Agreement. The Planning Director and affected City Agencies agree to act reasonably in making determinations with respect to each Application, including the determination as to whether the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement has been satisfied. The Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to discuss and resolve any differences in the scope or requirements of an Application. If there are no objections, or upon resolution of any differences, the Planning
Director shall issue to Developer in writing an approval of the Development Phase Application with such revisions, conditions or requirements as may be permitted in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (each a "Development Phase Approval"). The Development Phase Approval notice shall be posted for at least 14 days as follows: (i) the Planning Department shall post notice of the Application on the Planning Department's website for the project, which is accessible to the public via the "Complete List of Plans and Projects" webpage, or an equivalent webpage accessible to the public and dedicated to similar public disclosure purposes; (ii) Developer shall post notice at that area of the Project Site that is the subject of the given Development Phase Approval; and (iii) the Planning Department shall provide direct mail notice to surrounding neighborhood associations.

(a) Pre-Application Meeting. Prior to submitting any Phase Application to the Planning Department for review, the Developer shall conduct a minimum of one pre-application meeting. The meeting shall be conducted at, or within a one-mile radius of, the Project site, but otherwise subject to the Planning Department’s pre-application meeting procedures. A Planning Department representative shall attend such meeting.

(b) Noticing. After Planning Department staff review of the Phase Application and no less than [time period TBD] prior to Planning Director, or Planning Commission, action on an application, notice will be mailed to occupants within 300 feet of the subject property, anyone who has requested a block book notation, and relevant Visitacion Valley neighborhood groups for a public review period [length of time TBD], and shall be kept on file.

(c) Post-Application Meeting. After receiving the notice [time period TBD], members of the public may request a City-hosted public meeting to be held on or proximate to the proposed project site [time period TBD]. A representative of the Developer’s organization shall attend any requested meetings. Such a meeting is only required if at least one member of the public submits such a request in writing to the Planning Department. Documentation that the meeting took place shall be submitted to the Planning Department consistent with any documentation requirements established by the Department’s and shall be kept with the project file.

The City will review the proposed improvements against the requirements of the Development Agreement and accompanying design controls. All of a phase’s horizontal improvements and community benefits must receive Design Review Approval as part of the Phase Application process. Design Review Approval for vertical development may be sought concurrently with or subsequent to the applicable phase’s Phase Application process.

3.4.5 Commencement of Development Phase. Upon receipt of a Development Phase Approval, Developer shall submit a tentative subdivision map application (if not already submitted) covering all of the real property within the Development Phase. Following submittal of the tentative subdivision map application, Developer shall have the right to submit any individual Design Review Applications and associated permits required to commence the scope of development described in each Development Phase Approval; provided, however, that the City is not required to approve such Design Review Applications until Development Phase
Approval and approval of the tentative subdivision map. Each Development Phase shall be deemed to have commenced if (i) site or building permits have been issued by the City for all or a portion of the buildings located in that Development Phase and (ii) some identifiable construction, such as grading, of all or a portion of that Development Phase has been initiated. Upon commencement of work in a Development Phase, Developer shall continue the work at a commercially reasonable pace in light of market conditions to Completion of that Development Phase, including all Community Improvements, Stormwater Management Improvements and Public Improvements within the Development Phase in accordance with applicable permits and requirements under this Agreement to ensure that there are no material gaps between the start and Completion of all work within that Development Phase, subject to any Excusable Delay or amendment of the Development Phase Approval as permitted by Section 3.4.6.

3.4.6 Amendment of a Development Phase Approval. At any time after receipt of a Development Phase Approval, Developer may request an amendment to the Development Phase Approval. Such amendment may include but is not limited to changes to the number and location of units proposed during that Development Phase, the substitution of a Community Improvement for another Community Improvement, or the elimination of a Community Improvement from the Development Phase due to a proposed reduction of new private development proposed for that Development Phase. Any such requested amendment shall be subject to the review and approval process and the standards (including the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirements) set forth above in Section __. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary above, Developer shall not have the right to eliminate any Community Improvement or Public Improvement for which construction or service has already commenced in that Development Phase.

3.4.7 Without limiting the foregoing, it is the desire of the Parties to avoid the result in Pardee Construction Co. v. City of Camarillo, 37 Cal.3d 465 (1984), in which the California Supreme Court held that because the parties had failed to consider and expressly provide for the timing of development, a later-adopted initiative restricting the timing of development prevailed over the parties' agreement. Accordingly, the Parties hereto expressly acknowledge that except for the construction phasing required by this Section __, a Development Phase Approval, the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, the Phasing Plan, the Mitigation Measures, Section __, and any express construction dates set forth in an Implementing Approval, Developer shall have the right to develop the Project in such order and at such rate and at such times as Developer deems appropriate within the exercise of its subjective business judgment.

3.5 Community Improvements, Stormwater Management Improvements and/or Public Improvements.

3.5.1 Developer Responsibilities. Developer shall undertake the design, development and installation of the Public Improvements and Community Improvements. Public Improvements shall be designed and constructed, and shall contain those improvements and facilities, as reasonably required by the applicable City Agency that is to accept, and in some cases operate and maintain, the Public Improvement in keeping with the then-current Citywide standards and requirements of the City Agency as if it were to design and construct the Public
Improvement on its own at that time, including the requirements of any Non-Responsible City Agency with jurisdiction. Without limiting the foregoing, any Community Improvement, whether a Public Improvement or a Privately-Owned Community Improvement, shall obtain a Design Review Approval from the Planning Department as set forth in Section___ of this Agreement before obtaining all necessary permits and approvals (including review of all design and construction plans) from any responsible agencies having jurisdiction over the proposed Community Improvement pursuant to Section___ of this Agreement. Without limiting the foregoing, (i) the SFPUC must approve all of the plans and specifications for the Stormwater Management Improvements and all water, street light and sewer facilities, and (ii) DPW must approve all of the plans and specifications for all Public Improvements unless the DPW Director waives this requirement. Construction of Community Improvements must be Completed by Developer on or before issuance of the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy for any building containing residential units or commercial gross floor area permitted by the Phasing Plan in exchange for construction of such Community Improvement (or as otherwise described in a Development Phase Approval), subject to Excusable Delay. If Developer fails to complete the Community Improvement within such time frame, the City may decline to grant First Certificate of Occupancy to those residential units and commercial spaces, cease issuing any further Project approvals, not accept any additional applications for the Project, and include in any estoppel certificate language reflecting Developer's failure to complete such Community Improvements. In addition, failure to continue to diligently prosecute such Community Improvement to Completion shall, following notice and cure as set forth in Article___, be an Event of Default.

3.5.2 Dedication of Public Improvements. Upon Completion of each Public Improvement in accordance with this Agreement, Developer shall dedicate and the City shall accept the Public Improvements, as agreed to by the parties.

3.5.3 Maintenance and Operation of Community Improvements by Developer and Successors. The Parties agree that Developer, or its successors or assignees shall, in perpetuity, own, operate and maintain in good and workmanlike condition, and otherwise in accordance with all applicable laws and any applicable permits, all Community Improvements and Public Improvements that are not accepted by the City for maintenance. A map of the Project Site identifying all Community Improvements and Public Improvements subject to this on-going service, maintenance and operations obligation, and the respective land area of each sub-category of space (including, for example, the park and open space system, sidewalk and streetscape areas, etc.) is attached hereto as Exhibit___ and incorporated herein. The provisions of this Section___ shall survive the expiration of this Agreement. In order to ensure that the Community Improvements owned by Developer are maintained in a clean, good and workmanlike condition, Developer shall record a declaration of covenants, conditions, and restrictions ("CC&Rs") against the portion of the Project Site on which the Community Improvement will be located, but excluding any property owned by the City as and when acquired by the City, that include a requirement that a homeowner's association or community facility district provide all necessary and ongoing maintenance and repairs to the Community Improvements and Public Improvements not accepted by the City for maintenance, at no cost to the City, with appropriate homeowners' dues and/or assessments to provide for such maintenance and services. Developer shall make commercially reasonable efforts to enforce the maintenance and repair obligations of the homeowner's association and/or the community facility district.
The CC&Rs and/or regulations of the community facility district identified herein shall be subject to reasonable review and approval by the City Attorney, OEWD, and the Planning Department prior to the issuance of the First Certificate of Occupancy for the first building constructed on the Project Site and shall expressly provide the City with a third-party right to enforce the maintenance and repair provisions of the responsible entities. On or before the recordation of the documents, OEWD and the Planning Department shall reasonably approve the proposed budget for the on-going maintenance and operations of the Community Improvements, based on a third-party consultant study (to be paid for by the Developer) verifying the commercial reasonableness of an initial and 10 year "build-out" budget. [may add language re agreement between RPD and Developer and successors if RPD acquires the Park(s)]

(a) Maintenance of Stormwater Management Improvements. Pursuant to the requirements of the Public Works Code, the SFPUC must approve a Stormwater Control Plan that describes the activities required by Developer to appropriately design, install, and maintain the Stormwater Management Improvements within each Development Phase as further described in the Phasing Plan in Exhibit ____. The Stormwater Management Improvements installed by Developer must be maintained in the manner described in the Stormwater Control Plan and Developer shall record restrictive covenants that include a requirement that the appropriate entities provide ongoing maintenance and repairs to the Stormwater Management Improvements in the manner required by the Stormwater Control Plan, at no cost to the City, with appropriate dues and or assessments to provide for such maintenance. As set forth above, Developer shall make commercially reasonable efforts to enforce the maintenance and repair obligations of the responsible entities during the Term of this Agreement.

3.5.4 Permits to Enter City Property. Subject to the rights of any third-party and the City's reasonable agreement with respect to the scope of the proposed work and insurance or security requirements, and provided Developer is not then in default under this Agreement, each City Agency with jurisdiction shall grant permits to enter City-owned property on the City's standard form permit and otherwise on commercially reasonable terms in order to permit Developer to enter City-owned property as needed to perform investigatory work, construct Public Improvements and Stormwater Management Improvements, and complete the Mitigation Measures as contemplated by each Development Phase Approval. Such permits may include release, indemnification and security provisions in keeping with the City's standard practices.

3.6 Non-City Regulatory Approvals for Public Improvements.

3.6.1 Cooperation to Obtain Permits. The Parties acknowledge that certain Public Improvements, may require the approval of federal, state, and local governmental agencies that are independent of the City and not a Party to this Agreement ("Non-City Responsible Agencies"), including but not limited to the California State Department of Transportation ("Caltrans"), the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC"), and the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board ("JPB"). The Non-City Responsible Agencies may, at their sole discretion, disapprove installation of such Public Improvements, making such installation impossible. The City will cooperate with reasonable requests by Developer to obtain permits, agreements, or entitlements from Non-City Responsible Agencies for each such improvement, and as may be necessary or desirable to effectuate and implement development of
the Project in accordance with the Basic Approvals (each, a "Non-City Regulatory Approval"). The City's commitment to Developer under this Section is subject to the following conditions:

(a) Throughout the permit process for any Non-City Regulatory Approval, Developer shall consult and coordinate with each affected City Agency in Developer's efforts to obtain the Non-City Regulatory Approval, and each such City Agency shall cooperate reasonably with Developer in Developer's efforts to obtain the Non-City Regulatory Approval; and

(b) Developer shall not agree to conditions or restrictions in any Non-City Regulatory Approval that could create: (1) any obligations on the part of any City Agency, unless the City Agency agrees to assume such obligations at the time of acceptance of the Public Improvements; or (2) any restrictions on City-owned property (or property to be owned by City under this Agreement), unless in each instance the City, including each affected City Agency, has previously approved the conditions or restrictions in writing, which approval may be given or withheld in its sole discretion.

3.6.2 Costs. Developer shall bear all costs associated with applying for and obtaining any necessary Non-City Regulatory Approval. Developer, at no cost to the City (excepting any City Cost approved by the City), shall be solely responsible for complying with any Non-City Regulatory Approval and any and all conditions or restrictions imposed as part of a Non-City Regulatory Approval, whether the conditions apply to the Project Site or outside of the Project Site. Developer shall have the right to appeal or contest any condition in any manner permitted by law imposed under any Non-City Regulatory Approval, but only with the prior consent of the affected City Agency if the City is a co-applicant or co-permittee or the appeal impacts the rights, obligations or potential liabilities of the City. If Developer demonstrates to the City's satisfaction that an appeal would not affect the City's rights, obligations or potential liabilities, the City shall not unreasonably withhold or delay its consent. In all other cases, the affected City Agencies shall have the right to give or withhold their consent in their sole discretion. Developer must pay or otherwise discharge any fines, penalties, or corrective actions imposed as a result of Developer's failure to comply with any Non-City Regulatory Approval, and Developer shall Indemnify the City for any and all Losses relating to Developer's failure to comply with any Non-City Regulatory Approval.

3.6.3 Continuing City Obligations. Certain Non-City Regulatory Approvals may include conditions that entail special maintenance or other obligations that continue after the City accepts the dedication of Completed Public Improvements (each, a "Continuing Obligation"). Standard maintenance of Public Improvements, in keeping with City's existing practices, shall not be deemed a Continuing Obligation. Developer must notify all affected City Agencies in writing and include a clear description of any Continuing Obligation, and each affected City Agency must approve the Continuing Obligation in writing in its sole discretion before Developer agrees to the Non-City Regulatory Approval and the Continuing Obligation. Upon the City's acceptance of any Public Improvements that has a Continuing Obligation that was approved by the City as set forth above, the City will assume the Continuing Obligation and notify the Non-City Responsible Agency that gave the applicable Non-City Regulatory Approval of this fact.
3.6.4 Notice to City. In the event that Developer has not obtained, despite its good faith diligent efforts, a necessary Non-City Regulatory Approval for a particular Community Improvement within three (3) years of Developer's or the City's application for the same, Developer, after consultation with the City regarding the most preferable approach, shall provide written notice to the City of its intention to (i) continue to seek the required Non-City Regulatory Approval from the Non-City Responsible Agency, (ii) substitute the requirement that Developer construct such Community Improvement with a requirement that Developer construct another Community Improvement listed on the Phasing Plan (a "Substitute Community Improvement") or (iii) substitute the requirement that Developer construct the Community Improvement with a requirement that Developer construct a new Community Improvement not listed on the Phasing Plan (an "Alternate Community Improvement").

3.6.5 Extensions and Negotiations for Substitute or Alternate Community Improvements. If Developer provides notice to the City of its intention to continue to seek Non-City Regulatory Approval of the Community Improvement, as permitted by Section 3.6.4, the Parties shall continue to make good faith and commercially reasonable efforts to obtain the required Non-City Regulatory Approval for a reasonable period agreed to by the Parties (the "Extension Period"). The Parties shall meet and confer in good faith to determine what work within the Development Phase can continue during the Extension Period in light of the failure to obtain the Non-City Regulatory Approval, subject to the Mitigation Measures and the Proportionality, Priority and Proximity Requirement. If, after the expiration of the Extension Period, Developer has not yet obtained the required Non-City Regulatory Approval for the Community Improvement, Developer, after consultation with the City regarding the most preferable approach, shall provide written notice to the City of its intention to (i) pursue a Substitute Community Improvement, or (ii) pursue an Alternate Public Improvement. The Parties, by mutual consent, may also agree in writing to an extension of the Extension Period to obtain required approvals for any Community Improvement, Substitute Community Improvement or Alternate Community Improvement, which shall not require an amendment to this Agreement.

3.6.6 Substitute Community Improvement. If Developer provides notice of its intention to pursue a Substitute Community Improvement pursuant to Section 3.6.5, the City shall review the proposed Substitute Community Improvement as set forth in an amendment to the Development Phase Approval (which amendment process is set forth in Section 3.6.5 of this Agreement). Upon approval of such amended Development Phase Application, Developer shall continue to file Design Review Applications and obtain Design Review Approvals and any associated permits necessary to construct and complete the amended Development Phase in which the original Community Improvement would have been required in accordance with the amended Development Phase Approval. The time permitted for Developer to complete construction of the Substitute Community Improvement shall be established in writing (without the need for an amendment to this Agreement), and the City shall allow a commercially reasonable time for Developer to Complete the Substitute Community Improvement without delaying or preventing, or denying approvals for, any other development set forth in the amended Development Phase Approval.
3.6.7 **Alternate Community Improvement.** If Developer provides notice of its intention to pursue an Alternate Community Improvement pursuant to Section ____, the Parties shall make reasonable and good faith efforts to identify such Alternate Community Improvement in a timely manner. The Parties shall negotiate in good faith to reach agreement on the Alternate Community Improvement. The Parties acknowledge and agree that any Alternate Community Improvement should be designed so as to replicate the anticipated public benefits from the Community Improvement to be eliminated to the greatest possible extent but without increasing the cost to Developer of the original Community Improvement, thus maintaining the benefit of the bargain for both Parties. The estimated cost to Developer shall be determined by the methodology set forth in Section ____. In addition, any proposed Alternate Community Improvement should minimize disruptions or alterations to the Phasing Plan and Project design. The Planning Department shall review the proposed Alternate Community Improvement pursuant to the Development Phase Approval amendment process set forth in Section ____ of this Agreement. Upon City approval of such Alternate Community Improvement, Developer may file Design Review Applications and obtain Design Review Approvals and any associated permits necessary to construct and complete the amended Development Phase in which the original Community Improvement would have been required. The time permitted for Developer to complete construction of the Alternate Community Improvement shall be established in writing (without need for an amendment to this Agreement), and the City shall allow a commercially reasonable time for Developer to Complete the Alternate Community Improvement without delaying, preventing or denying approvals for any other development set forth in the amended Development Phase Approval. The Parties understand and agree that any Alternate Community Improvement may require additional environmental review under CEQA, and Developer shall be responsible for any and all costs associated with such CEQA review. So long as the Parties continue to diligently work together to negotiate proposed adjustments relating to an Alternate Community Improvement, any delay caused thereby shall be deemed to be an Excusable Delay. In the event that the Parties are not able to agree upon an Alternate Community Improvement within a reasonable amount of time, the Developer shall pay to City the estimated cost to complete the original Community Improvement as determined by the methodology set forth in Section ____ below. The City shall use such payments to fund the design and construction of improvements or the provision of services that are proximate to the Project Site and that, as reasonably determined by the City, replicate the public benefits of the original Community Improvement to the extent possible.

3.6.8 **Methodology for Determining the Estimated Cost to Complete the Original Community Improvement.** In the event a Community Improvement is replaced with an Alternate Community Improvement or payment of an in lieu payment is required as set forth in Section ____, an economic value must be assigned to the original Community Improvement so that the benefit of the bargain of this Agreement may be preserved for both the City and Developer. Accordingly, Developer shall select one construction manager, contractor or professional construction cost estimator (the "Cost Estimator"), who shall develop an estimate of the total costs remaining to complete the original Community Improvement as of the date of the cost estimate. The Cost Estimator shall be qualified to prepare cost estimates for the applicable Community Improvement (e.g., transportation engineer, landscape architect, etc.). The Cost Estimator shall be provided with plans, designs, and construction specifications for the original Community Improvement to the extent completed as of such date. The cost estimate shall
include both hard construction costs and soft costs, with as much cost detail for individual cost line items as possible. After the Cost Estimator completes the cost estimate, the City shall have forty-five (45) days to review and consider the cost estimate. If the City rejects the cost estimate in its reasonable discretion, the City shall select a Cost Estimator with the qualifications required by this Section. After completion of the City's cost estimate, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to reach agreement on the cost. If the Parties are not able to reach such agreement within twenty (20) days, then the two Cost Estimators shall select a third Cost Estimator who shall decide which of the two original cost estimates shall be used as the cost. The determination of the third Cost Estimator shall be binding and final. When an in lieu payment is required, the cost that results from the process detailed in this Section shall represent the value of the in lieu payment.

3.7 Financing of Any Public Improvements. At Developer’s request, Developer and the City agree to use good faith efforts to pursue the creation of a Community Facilities District (“CFD”) under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982 (California Government Code § 53311 et seq.) within the Project Site only to finance the capital costs for Public Improvements and maintenance and other costs for specified Community Improvements, including maintenance of the parks and open spaces in the Project Site and any ongoing commitments made by Developer. Any and all costs incurred by the City in negotiating and forming a CFD shall be reimbursed to the City by the Developer. The terms and conditions of any CFD must be agreed to by both Parties, each in their sole discretion. Upon agreement on the terms and conditions for a CFD, and subject to market conditions and fiscal prudence, Developer agrees to vote in favor of the formation of the CFD and the City shall use reasonable efforts to issue or cause issuance of bonds for the formed CFD in keeping with standard City practices. Failure to form a CFD or to issue CFD bonds or other debt shall not relieve Developer of its obligations under this Agreement, including but not limited to the obligation to Complete Public Improvements or Public Improvements as and when required.

3.8 Cooperation.

3.8.1 Agreement to Cooperate. The Parties agree to cooperate with one another to expeditiously implement the Project in accordance with the Basic Approvals, Development Phase Approvals, Design Review Approvals, Implementing Approvals and this Agreement, and to undertake and complete all actions or proceedings reasonably necessary or appropriate to ensure that the objectives of the Basic Approvals are fulfilled during the Term. Except as specifically provided in this Agreement, the City, has no additional obligation to spend any sums of money or incur any costs other than City Costs that Developer must reimburse under this Agreement or costs that Developer must reimburse through the payment of Processing Fees. Nothing in this Agreement obligates the Developer to proceed with the Project, including without limitation filing Development Phase Applications, unless it chooses to do so in its sole discretion. The Parties may agree to establish a task force, similar to the Mission Bay Task Force, to create efficiencies and coordinate the roles of various City departments in implementing this Agreement.

(a) New Market Tax Credits. The Parties agree that should New Market Tax Credits ("NMTC") be available for the Project, the City shall cooperate with the Developer in
their efforts to obtain NMTC for the Project; provided, however, that the City will not be
obligated to grant NMTC to the Project and such cooperation does not include an agreement to
ensure prioritization over any other project seeking NMTC.

(b) Historic Tax Credits. The Parties agree that should Historic Tax Credits be
available for the Project, the City shall cooperate with the Developer in their efforts to obtain
historic tax credits for the Project; provided, however, that the City will not be obligated to grant
Historic Tax Credits to the Project and such cooperation does not include an agreement to ensure
prioritization over any other project seeking Historic Tax Credits.

(c) Mello Roos Community Facilities District ("CFD"). The Parties agree that the
City shall cooperate with the Developer to set up one or more CFD’s to fund capital
improvements and/or ongoing maintenance as permitted by State law.

(d) Other Grants and Subsidies. The Parties agree that the Project includes
a number of costs that may be eligible for various grant and subsidy programs administered by
various City, State or Federal agencies, including costs associated with the development of parks,
transportation infrastructure, and other facilities that will serve the greater Visitacion Valley
community. Should such subsidies be available for the Project, the City shall cooperate with the
Developer in their efforts to obtain those subsidies; provided, however that nothing in this
section creates any obligation to award such grants or subsidies to the Developer or the Project,
and any such grant or subsidy will require the provision of identified public benefits as
applicable.

(e) Priority Application Processing. The Parties agree that, in consideration for the
fact that all of the Project's non-income restricted housing will be affordable to middle income
households based on market factors, all Project elements seeking Planning Department approval
will be deemed Priority Projects under Planning Director Bulletin No. 2, Planning Department
Priority Application Processing Guidelines, as revised in February 2014, and as may be amended
from time to time. The various Project elements’ priority levels will be as follows: Type 1 for (i)
any Phase Application in which all residential units within the phase will be income restricted
subject to the City’s inclusionary housing requirements (i.e. a single-building phase where that
single building contains only affordable housing) or (ii) a Design Review Application for a
single building in which all residential units will be income restricted subject to the City’s
inclusionary housing requirements; Type 1A for any Phase Application or Design Review
Application (for a given building or buildings) in which the cumulative total of affordable
housing (consistent with Exhibit K) within the Project is equivalent to or in excess of twenty
percent (20%) of the combined total of housing that is currently either built or under construction
including that which is proposed for the relevant Development Phase; and Type 2 for all other
Phase Applications and Design Review Applications.

To the extent that any other City Agency or department, including but not limited
to the Department of Building Inspection, decides to utilize the guidelines in Planning Director
Bulletin No. 2 to govern its own review and/or approval processes, the City agrees to apply these
same tiers of processing priority to the Project.
3.8.2 Role of Planning Department. The Parties agree that the Planning Department, or its designee, will act as the City’s lead agency to facilitate coordinated City review of applications for Development Phase Approvals, Design Review Approvals, and Implementing Approvals. As such, Planning Department staff will: (i) work with Developer to ensure that all such applications are technically sufficient and constitute complete applications and (ii) interface with City Agency staff responsible for reviewing any application under this Agreement to ensure that City Agency review of such applications are concurrent and that the approval process is efficient and orderly and avoids redundancies.

3.8.3 City Agency Review of Individual Permit Applications. Following issuance of Design Review Approval as set forth in this Agreement, the Parties agree to prepare and consider applications for Implementing Approvals in the following manner:

(a) City Agencies. Developer will submit each application for Implementing Approvals, including applications for the design and construction of Community Improvements and Mitigation Measures, to the applicable City Agencies. Each City Agency will review submittals made to it for consistency with the Prior Approvals, and will use good faith efforts to provide comments and make recommendations to the Developer within thirty (30) days of the City Agency’s receipt of such application. The City Agencies will not impose requirements or conditions that are inconsistent with the Prior Approvals, and will not disapprove the application based on items that are consistent with the Prior Approvals, including but not limited to denying approval of Community Improvements based upon items that are consistent with the Prior Approvals. Any City Agency denial of an application for an Implementing Approval shall include a statement of the reasons for such denial. Developer will work collaboratively with the City Agencies to ensure that such application for an Implementing Approval is discussed as early in the review process as possible and that Developer and the City Agencies act in concert with respect to these matters.

(b) SFMTA. Upon submittal of an application that includes any SFMTA Infrastructure or any transportation-related Mitigation Measure within the SFMTA’s jurisdiction, the SFMTA will review each such application, or applicable portions thereof, and use good faith efforts to provide comments to Developer within thirty (30) days of the SFMTA’s receipt of such application.

(c) SFPUC. Upon submittal of an application that includes any Stormwater Management Improvements or Public Improvements that fall under the jurisdiction of SFPUC or any public utility-related Mitigation Measure within the SFPUC’s jurisdiction, the SFPUC will review each such application, or applicable portions thereof, and use good faith efforts to provide comments to Developer within thirty (30) days of the SFPUC’s receipt of such application. The SFPUC shall also review and approve the Infrastructure Plan to ensure that all proposed public water and wastewater infrastructure shall meet all requirements and standards of the SFPUC. The SFPUC shall also review and approve each Development Phase Application as set forth in Exhibit Q.
(d) SFFD. Upon submittal of an application that includes any Community Improvements that fall under the jurisdiction of SFFD or any fire suppression-related Mitigation Measure within the SFFD’s jurisdiction, the SFFD will review each such application, or applicable portions thereof, and use good faith efforts to provide comments to Developer within thirty (30) days of the SFFD’s receipt of such application.

(e) DPW. Upon submittal of an application that includes any Community Improvements that fall under the jurisdiction of DPW or any Mitigation Measure within the DPW’s jurisdiction, DPW will review each such application, or applicable portions thereof, and use good faith efforts to provide comments to Developer within thirty (30) days of DPW’s receipt of such application.

(f) MOHCD. Upon submittal of an application that includes any BMR Units, MOHCD will review each such application, or applicable portions thereof, and use good faith efforts to provide comments to Developer within thirty (30) days of MOHCD’s receipt of such application.

(g) REC AND PARK. [need to add language re scope of Rec and Park’s review, especially if accepting the Park(s)]

3.8.4 Specific Actions by the City. City actions and proceedings subject to this Agreement shall be processed through the Planning Department, as well as affected City Agencies (and when required by applicable law, the Board of Supervisors), and shall include:

(a) Street Vacation, Dedication, Acceptance, and Other Street Related Actions. Instituting and completing proceedings for opening, closing, vacating, widening, modifying, or changing the grades of streets, alleys, sidewalks, and other public rights-of-way and for other necessary modifications of the streets, the street layout, and other public rights-of-way in the Project Site, including any requirement to abandon, remove, and relocate public utilities (and, when applicable, city utilities) within the public rights-of-way as specifically identified and approved in a Development Phase Approval, and as may be necessary to carry out the Basic Approvals and the Implementing Approvals.

(b) Acquisition. Acquiring land and Public Improvements from Developer, by accepting Developer’s dedication of land and Public Improvements that have been completed in accordance with this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, Implementing Approvals and approved plans and specifications.

(c) Release of Security. Releasing security as and when required under the Subdivision Code in accordance with any public improvement agreement.

(d) Environmental Review. Complying with and implementing Mitigation Measures for which the City is responsible, reviewing feasibility studies for Mitigation Measures, or completing any subsequent environmental review at Developer’s sole cost.
3.9 **Subdivision Maps.**

3.9.1 Developer shall have the right, from time to time and at any time, to file subdivision map applications (including phased final map applications) with respect to some or all of the Project Site, to subdivide or reconfigure the parcels comprising the Project Site as may be necessary or desirable in order to develop a particular Development Phase or Sub-Phase of the Project or to lease, mortgage or sell all or some portion of the Project Site, consistent with the density, block and parcel sizes set forth in the Schlage Lock Design for Development. The City acknowledges that Developer intends to create and sell condominiums on the Project Site, and that such intent is reflected in the Basic Approvals and Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents.

3.9.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall authorize Developer to subdivide or use any of the Project Site for purposes of sale, lease or financing in any manner that conflicts with the California Subdivision Map Act (California Government Code § 66410 *et seq.*), or with the Subdivision Code.

3.9.3 Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent the City from enacting or adopting changes in the methods and procedures for processing subdivision and parcel maps so long as such changes do not conflict with the provisions of this Agreement or with the Basic Approvals or any Implementing Approvals as set forth in Section 2.2.

3.9.4 Pursuant to Section 65867.5(c) of the Development Agreement Statute, any tentative map prepared for the Project shall comply with the provisions of California Government Code section 66473.7 concerning the availability of a sufficient water supply.

3.10 **Interim Uses.** Developer may install interim or temporary uses on the Site, which uses must be consistent with those uses allowed under the Project’s zoning and the Schlage Lock Special Use District. Temporary and interim users may lease property at the Project Site for an initial term of one year, with three one-year renewal options.

3.11 **Public Power.** SFPUC will work to meet the requirements of Section 99.2 (B) of Chapter 99 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Developer will cooperate with SFPUC in SFPUC's preparation of an assessment of the feasibility of the City providing electric service to the Project (the "Feasibility Study"). The costs of the Feasibility Study will be paid by SFPUC. SFPUC’s failure to complete the Feasibility Study shall not be an event of default, but SFPUC shall not have the right to provide power except following completion of the Feasibility Study as set forth above. Should the City elect to provide electric service to the Project such service shall be provided by the City on terms and conditions generally comparable to, or better than, the electric service otherwise available to the project.

4. **PUBLIC BENEFITS MEETING AND EXCEEDING THOSE REQUIRED BY EXISTING ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS, AND POLICIES RELATED TO HOUSING AND OTHER PUBLIC BENEFITS**
4.1 Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act.

4.1.1 Non-Applicability of Costa-Hawkins Act. Chapter 4.3 of the California Government Code directs public agencies to grant concessions and incentives to private developers for the production of housing for lower income households. The Costa-Hawkins Act provides for no limitations on the establishment of the initial and all subsequent rental rates for a dwelling unit with a certificate of occupancy issued after February 1, 1995, with exceptions, including an exception for dwelling units constructed pursuant to a contract with a public agency in consideration for a direct financial contribution or any other form of assistance specified in Chapter 4.3 of the California Government Code (section 1954.52(b)). Based upon the language of the Costa-Hawkins Act and the terms of this Agreement, the Parties understand and agree that Section 1954.52(a) of the Costa-Hawkins Act does not and in no way shall limit or otherwise affect the restriction of rental charges for the BMR Units. This Agreement falls within the express exception to the Costa-Hawkins Act because this Agreement is a contract with a public entity in consideration for contributions and other forms of assistance specified in Chapter 4.3 (commencing with Section 65919 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the California Government Code). The City contributions and other forms of assistance include but are not limited to the following:

[City Attorney to add a list]

The City and Developer would not be willing to enter into this Agreement without the understanding and agreement that Costa-Hawkins Act provisions set forth in California Civil Code section 1954.52(a) do not apply to the BMR Units as a result of the exemption set forth in California Civil Code section 1954.52(b) or for the reasons set forth in Section _____ and Section______.

4.1.2 General Waiver. Developer, on behalf of itself and all of its successors and assigns of all or any part of the Project Site, agrees not to challenge and expressly waives, now and forever, any and all rights to challenge the requirements of this Agreement related to the establishment of the initial and all subsequent rental rates for the BMR Units under the Costa-Hawkins Act, and the right to evict tenants under the Ellis Act (as the Costa-Hawkins Act and Ellis Act may be amended or supplanted from time to time). If and to the extent such general covenants and waivers are not enforceable under law, the Parties acknowledge that they are important elements of the consideration for this Agreement and the Parties should not have the benefits of this Agreement without the burdens of this Agreement. Accordingly if any Developer breaches such general covenants (by, for example and without limitation, suing to challenge the Rent Ordinance, setting higher rents than permitted under this Agreement, or invoking the Ellis Act to evict tenants at the Project Site), then such breach will be an Event of Default and City shall have the right to terminate this Agreement as to that Developer and its Affiliates as set forth in Article 12.

4.1.3 Inclusion in All Assignment and Assumption Agreements and Recorded Restrictions. Developer shall include the provisions of this Section 4.1 in any and all Assignment and Assumption Agreements, any and all Recorded Restrictions and in any real property conveyance agreements for property that includes or will include BMR Units.
4.2 **Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program.**

The Developer and the City, acting through MOHCD, have agreed on an Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program as more specifically described in Exhibit ___ attached to this Agreement.

4.3 **Transportation Fee Obligation.**

Developer will make a contribution to off-site transportation improvements (the “Transportation Obligations”). Each building’s Transportation Obligation will be calculated according to the fee schedule in Exhibit ___, less 28 percent of that building’s baseline Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee obligation prior to the application of any waivers. This 28 percent reduction reflects the fact that a portion of the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee, which is also applicable to the Project, is automatically earmarked for local transportation improvements. The first $3 million of Transportation Obligation will be waived in consideration of the following in-kind transportation improvements that will be provided by the Project in its initial years: (1) intersection mitigations identified through the CEQA process and as detailed in Exhibit I to this Agreement and (2) a portion of the on-site improvements that support pedestrian safety and transit accessibility (together, the “Transportation Improvements”).

4.3.1 **Cost Verification.** To verify the eligible costs related to the construction of the Transportation Improvements in order to determine whether such costs meet or exceed the sum of City subsidy and credits intended for these types of improvements (as provided for in this Section 4.3 and Section 7.5 of this Agreement; together, the “City Transportation Subsidies”), the City will require the following process:

Upon Developer’s submittal to the City of the costs for the Transportation Improvements (the “Cost Estimate”), the City shall have forty-five (45) days to review and consider the Cost Estimate. If the City rejects the Cost Estimate, in its reasonable discretion, the City shall select a cost estimator to conduct a second Cost Estimate. After completion of the City’s Cost Estimate, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to reach agreement on the cost. If the Parties are not able to reach such agreement within twenty (20) days, then the two cost estimators shall select a third cost estimator who shall decide which of the two original Cost Estimates shall be used as the cost. The determination of the third cost estimator shall be binding and final.

If the agreed-upon estimate is greater than the sum of the City Subsidies, SFMTA will inform the Planning Director to apply the fee credit against the subsequent amount of fees owed, up to a total cumulative amount of $3 million in credits and will reimburse for the Additional Transportation Improvements costs up to the total amount provided for in Section 7.5 of this Agreement. If the total estimate is less than the sum of City Subsidies, the City and the Developer shall negotiate a reduced fee credit amount within 30 days of determining the final cost estimate, such that the resulting sum of City Subsidies is less than the total development cost estimate for the Transportation Improvements.
4.3.2 Transportation Obligation Fee Uses and Rate. The Transportation Obligation funds will be paid to SFMTA and are to be used for transportation improvements that support transit service to Visitacion Valley.

As described more particularly in Exhibit __, the Transportation Obligation fee rate will be equivalent to the Transportation Impact Development Fee ("TIDF") rate for all product types covered by the TIDF. Residential development which is not covered by the TIDF will be subject to the fee rate specified in Exhibit __. For product types subject to the TIDF, the fee rate at any given time will be the standard TIDF fee schedule in effect City-wide at that time. Notwithstanding Section 2.4, for residential development not covered by the TIDF, the rates shown in the fee schedule in Exhibit ___ will remain unchanged throughout the term of this Development Agreement, such that this portion of the Developer’s Transportation Obligation may not be increased regardless of the final terms that may be adopted by the City upon its approval of the TSP ordinance. This Transportation Obligation is considered to be in lieu of any other transportation impact fee that the City may subsequently adopt, including, but not limited to, a fee derived from the Bi-County Transportation Study.

4.4 Workforce.

4.4.1 First Source Hiring Program. Developer agrees to participate in the City’s First Source Hiring Program, pursuant to Chapter 83 of the Administrative Code and as outlined in Section ___ of this Agreement for all construction jobs and for end use commercial jobs.

4.4.2 Prevailing Wage. Developer agrees to pay prevailing wages in connection with the infrastructure and any public improvement work as outlined in Section ? of this Agreement.

4.5 Transportation-Related Improvements. Developer agrees: (1) not to impede the construction or operation of transportation-related improvements on adjacent parcels, including but not limited to the Union Pacific Railroad Parcel and the Joint Powers Board Parcel; (2) to allow access through the Site for: (a) construction vehicles serving transportation-related improvement projects on adjacent parcels (unless the Site already contains public right of ways that will allow for such access) and (b) pedestrians accessing transportation facilities on adjacent parcels (unless the Site already contains public right of ways that will allow for such access); and (3) to lease, at market rate, any vacant land for staging as required for adjacent transportation improvements within an area of up to __________ and bounded by __________, so long as these actions would not impede or delay development of the Project Site as may be reasonably determined by Developer.

4.6 Historic Office Building Rehabilitation.

Developer will be required to rehabilitate, to a level acceptable for use by a long-term occupant, the Historic Office Building located at 2201 Bayshore Boulevard (Assessor Parcel Number 5087/003) in conjunction with the development of Parcels 11 and 12, as described in the Phasing Plan. When rehabilitated, the Historic Office Building is expected to house Community Uses (which may include, but are not limited to, health clinics, classrooms, childcare, non-profit offices, and community meeting rooms): a combination of Community
Uses and any other uses allowable under applicable zoning and the SUD. At least 25 percent of the Historic Office Building’s net leasable floor area must be restricted to Community Uses for a minimum of fifteen (15) years (the “Community Use Restriction”). The Parties agree to record a Notice of Special Restrictions to apply the Community Use Restriction to the Site in the form attached as Exhibit X to this Agreement. Developer will also be required to secure and stabilize the historic building, as well as undertake minor exterior aesthetic improvements, in conjunction with the Project Improvements and Community Improvements for Phase 1, as described in the Phasing Plan, attached as Exhibit ______.

This rehabilitation obligation and the ongoing operation of and maintenance of the Historic Office Building will be the Developer’s responsibility until the Developer assigns it to another party. Developer, or its transferee, will be entitled to all revenue generated from the lease or sale of this property.

4.7 **Impact Fee.** The Project will be subject to the Visitacion Valley Fee based on the formula in the corresponding fee ordinance. An amount equal to 33 percent of the Project’s Visitacion Valley Fee obligation will be waived in consideration of in-kind community benefits provided by the Project’s obligation to build new parks, rehabilitate the Historic Office Building, and provide certain publicly-accessible transportation improvements. All eligible development will pay 67% of the Visitacion Valley fee, per Section 420.1(d) of the Planning Code, 28% of Visitacion Valley Fee revenue collected by the Planning Department and then transferred to the applicable implementing City Agency (e.g., SFMTA and/or DPW), according to the standard practices of IPIC (the Interagency Plan Coordination Committee) and will be used to fund local transportation improvements. This proportion of the Schlage Lock Project’s total Visitacion Valley Fee obligation (calculated before any reductions in consideration for in-kind benefits) will be used to fund transportation improvements identified as priorities in the Bi-County Study (e.g., the Geneva Avenue bus rapid transit system and pedestrian safety projects). To maximize flexibility, as the funds are received, SFMTA, and SFCTA will jointly determine which Bi-County priorities will be funded.

4.8 **Transportation Demand Management Plan.** As required through the Project’s Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, Developer has prepared a Transportation Demand Management Plan (“TDM Plan”) (Attachment XX). Developer and its successors will implement all programs described in the TDM Plan and be subject to any monitoring, enforcement, and penalty programs run by SFMTA or any other City agency, including monitoring, enforcement, and penalty programs adopted up to 5 years after the Effective Date.

4.9 **Grocery and Retail.** The Project will include a General Grocery, which will be completed in conjunction with Phase 1, as described in the Phasing Plan. The General Grocery store must total at least 15,000 gross square feet. Phase 1 must include a total of 20,000 gross square feet of retail, including the General Grocery. As described in the Phasing Plan, Exhibit ____ , no Phase other than Phase 1 may commence until (a) all of Phase 1’s residential units have been granted Temporary Certificate of Occupancy (“TCO”) and (b) the grocery store planned for Parcel 1 has either (i) begun operation or (ii) completed all core and shell and submitted applications for building permits for tenant improvements. If all parcels in Phase 1 have received TCO, the Project may seek to amend this retail obligation, subject to Planning Commission approval and provided, however, that such amendments will only be considered if
the core and shell for the General Grocery portion have been completed. To receive Planning Commission approval, the Developer must provide documentation of its reasonable efforts to obtain a grocery store tenant. The Design for Development indicates the location, parking, and other design features of the Project’s retail space, including the General Grocery.

5. DEVELOPER REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS

5.1 Interest of Developer; Due Organization and Standing. Developer represents that it is the legal owner of the Project Site, and that all other persons with an ownership or security interest in the Project Site have consented to this Agreement. Developer is a California limited liability company. Developer has all requisite power to own its property and authority to conduct its business as presently conducted. Developer has made all required state filings required to conduct business in the State of California and is in good standing in the State of California.

5.2 Priority of Development Agreement. Developer warrants and represents that there is no prior lien or encumbrance (other than mechanics or materialmen’s liens, or liens for taxes or assessments, that are not yet due) against the Project Site that, upon foreclosure, would be free and clear of the obligations set forth in this Agreement and that, as of the date of execution of this Agreement, the only beneficiary under an existing deed of trust encumbering the Project Site is Existing Lender. On or before the Effective Date of this Agreement, the Developer shall provide title insurance in form and substance satisfactory to the Planning Director and the City Attorney confirming the absence of any such liens or encumbrances. If there are any such liens or encumbrance, then Developer shall obtain written instruments from the beneficiaries of any such liens or encumbrances, in the form approved by the Planning Director and the City Attorney (and for mortgages or deeds of trust, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit U), subordinating their interest in the Project Site to this Agreement.

5.3 No Conflict With Other Agreements; No Further Approvals; No Suits. Developer warrants and represents that it is not a party to any other agreement that would conflict with Developer’s obligations under this Agreement. Neither Developer’s articles of organization, bylaws, or operating agreement, as applicable, nor any other agreement or law in any way prohibits, limits or otherwise affects the right or power of Developer to enter into and perform all of the terms and covenants of this Agreement. No consent, authorization or approval of, or other action by, and no notice to or filing with, any governmental authority, regulatory body or any other person is required for the due execution, delivery and performance by Developer of this Agreement or any of the terms and covenants contained in this Agreement. To Developer’s knowledge, there are no pending or threatened suits or proceedings or undischarged judgments affecting Developer or any of its members before any court, governmental agency, or arbitrator which might materially adversely affect Developer’s business, operations, or assets or Developer’s ability to perform under this Agreement.

5.4 No Inability to Perform; Valid Execution. Developer warrants and represents that it has no knowledge of any inability to perform its obligations under this Agreement. The execution and delivery of this Agreement and the agreements contemplated hereby by Developer have been duly and validly authorized by all necessary action. This Agreement will be a legal,
valid and binding obligation of Developer, enforceable against Developer in accordance with its
terms.

5.5 Conflict of Interest. Through its execution of this Agreement, Developer acknowledges that it is familiar with the provisions of Section 15.103 of the City’s Charter, Article III, Chapter 2 of the City’s Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, and Section 87100 et seq. and Section 1090 et seq. of the California Government Code, and certifies that it does not know of any facts which constitute a violation of said provisions and agrees that it will immediately notify the City if it becomes aware of any such fact during the Term.

5.6 Notification of Limitations on Contributions. Through execution of this Agreement, Developer acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1.126 of City’s Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, which prohibits any person who contracts with the City, whenever such transaction would require approval by a City elective officer or the board on which that City elective officer serves, from making any campaign contribution to the officer at any time from the commencement of negotiations for a contract as defined under Section 1.126 of the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code until six (6) months after the date the contract is approved by the City elective officer or the board on which that City elective officer serves. San Francisco Ethics Commission Regulation 1.126-1 provides that negotiations are commenced when a prospective contractor first communicates with a City officer or employee about the possibility of obtaining a specific contract. This communication may occur in person, by telephone or in writing, and may be initiated by the prospective contractor or a City officer or employee. Negotiations are completed when a contract is finalized and signed by the City and the contractor. Negotiations are terminated when the City and/or the prospective contractor end the negotiation process before a final decision is made to award the contract.

5.7 Other Documents. No document furnished or to be furnished by Developer to the City in connection with this Agreement contains or will contain to Developer’s knowledge any untrue statement of material fact or omits or will omit a material fact necessary to make the statements contained therein not misleading under the circumstances under which any such statement shall have been made.

5.8 No Suspension or Debarment. Neither Developer, nor any of its officers, have been suspended, disciplined or debarred by, or prohibited from contracting with, the U.S. General Services Administration or any federal, state or local governmental agency.

5.9 No Bankruptcy. Developer represents and warrants to City that Developer has neither filed nor is the subject of any filing of a petition under the federal bankruptcy law or any federal or state insolvency laws or laws for composition of indebtedness or for the reorganization of debtors, and, to the best of Developer’s knowledge, no such filing is threatened.

5.10 Taxes. Without waiving any of its rights to seek administrative or judicial relief from such charges and levies, Developer shall pay and discharge all taxes, assessments and governmental charges or levies imposed on it or on its income or profits or on any of its property before the date on which penalties attach thereto, and all lawful claims which, if unpaid, would become a lien upon the Project Site.
5.11 Notification. Developer shall promptly notify City in writing of the occurrence of any event which might materially and adversely affect Developer or Developer’s business, or that would make any of the representations and warranties herein untrue, or that would, with the giving of notice or passage of time over the Term, constitute a default under this Agreement.

6. OBLIGATIONS OF DEVELOPER

6.1 Completion of Project. Upon commencement, Developer shall diligently prosecute to Completion all construction on the Project Site in accordance with the Basic Approvals and any Implementing Approvals. The foregoing notwithstanding, expiration of any building permit or other Project Approval shall not limit Developer’s vested rights as set forth in this Agreement, and Developer shall have the right to seek and obtain subsequent building permits or approvals consistent with this Agreement at any time during the Term. Developer shall pay for all costs relating to the Project, including the Community Improvements, at no cost to the City, except as indicated in this Development Agreement.

6.2 Compliance with Conditions and CEQA Mitigation Measures. Developer shall comply with all applicable conditions of the Basic Approvals and any Implementing Approvals, and shall comply with all required Mitigation Measures as included in Exhibit___ to this Agreement and as modified by [CEQA letter currently being composed by City Attorney and SFMTA staff].

6.2.1 The Parties expressly acknowledge that the FEIR and the associated Mitigation Monitoring Program are intended to be used in connection with each of the Basic Approvals and the Implementing Approvals to the extent appropriate and permitted under applicable law. Consistent with the CEQA policies and requirements applicable to the FEIR, the City agrees to rely upon the FEIR in connection with the processing of any Implementing Approval to the extent the Implementing Approval does not change the Basic Approvals and to the extent allowed by law.

6.2.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the ability of the City to impose conditions on any new, discretionary permit resulting from Material Changes to the Basic Approvals as such conditions are determined by the City to be necessary to mitigate adverse environmental impacts identified through the CEQA process and associated with the granting of such permit or otherwise to address significant environmental impacts as defined by CEQA created by the approval of such permit; provided, however, any such conditions must be in accordance with applicable law.

6.2.3 The parties acknowledge that the FEIR’s Mitigation Measure schedule refers to the Project’s development phases in a manner that is inconsistent with the current Phasing Plan (Attachment ____ ) [City will recommend an updated mitigation schedule]

6.3 Progress Reports. Developer shall make reports of the progress of construction of the Project in such detail and at such time as the Planning Director reasonably requests.
6.4 **Community Participation in Allocation of Impact Fees.** The Planning Department and the SFMTA shall conduct a minimum of one public meeting per year in Visitacion Valley to inform and consult with the public in the prioritization of the community improvement projects to be funded by the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and the Transportation Fee Obligation. At this meeting, the Developer shall present a progress report on the Project, including but not limited to the status of parks and Community Improvements, number of units built, BMR units, and status of the Historic Office building. Such progress report may use information from, or be the same as, the Annual Review as required by __________.

6.5 **Sustainability Evaluation.** To achieve an even greater level of sustainability through reduction of energy and water consumption, and enhancement of community-scale energy resources, the Project shall examine the potential for implementation of site-wide sustainable infrastructure systems. Prior to the commencement of each Development Phase, Developer shall submit to the Planning Department the results of a site-wide Sustainability Evaluation that examines which strategies, if any, achieve greater levels of sustainability beyond City requirements; are most cost-effective relative to the benefits they provide; and are being implemented with a development phase. This examination shall include, at a minimum: (i) Inclusion of supporting infrastructure (including roof load calculations, roof space and orientation design, penetrations and waterproofing for panel ‘stand-off’ supports, mechanical room space, and electrical wiring and plumbing) for future photovoltaic systems or solar thermal water heating systems; (ii) Installation of active solar thermal energy systems on new construction and retrofitting existing structures for space heating and hot water supply systems; (iii) Incorporation of district-level renewable energy generation technologies. Methods may include:

- Wind turbine systems and associated equipment.
- Photovoltaic roof panels.
- Recovery of waste energy from exhaust air, recycled (gray) water, and other systems.

(iv) Use of rainwater, and recycled (gray) water for landscape irrigation and other uses, as permitted by Health and Building Codes, rather than a potable water source.

6.6 **Cooperation By Developer.**

6.6.1 Developer shall, in a timely manner, provide the City and each City Agency with all documents, applications, plans and other information reasonably necessary for the City to comply with its obligations under this Agreement.

6.6.2 Developer shall timely comply with all reasonable requests by the Planning Director and each City Agency for production of documents or other information evidencing compliance with this Agreement.

6.6.3 The analysis required by this section is for research purposes only, and the implementation of any strategy, recommendation, or mitigation identified by such analysis shall be solely at Developer's discretion.
6.7 Nondiscrimination.

6.7.1 Developer Shall Not Discriminate. In the performance of this Agreement, Developer agrees not to discriminate against any employee, City and County employee working with Developer’s contractor or subcontractor, applicant for employment with such contractor or subcontractor, or against any person seeking accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, services, or membership in all business, social, or other establishments or organizations, on the basis of the fact or perception of a person’s race, color, creed, religion, national origin, ancestry, age, height, weight, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, domestic partner status, marital status, disability or Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome or HIV status (AIDS/HIV status), or association with members of such protected classes, or in retaliation for opposition to discrimination against such classes.

6.8 First Source Hiring Program.

6.8.1 Incorporation of Administrative Code Provisions by Reference. The provisions of Chapter 83 of the Administrative Code (“Chapter 83”) are incorporated in this Section by reference and made a part of this Agreement as though fully set forth herein. Developer shall comply fully with, and be bound by, all of the provisions that apply to this Agreement under Chapter 83, including but not limited to the remedies provided therein. Capitalized terms used in this Section and not defined in this Agreement shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in Chapter 83. On or before each Development Phase Approval, Developer shall have entered into a First Source Hiring Agreement with respect to such Development Phase substantially in a form that is mutually acceptable. The requirements of Chapter 83 shall apply to all construction jobs and all end use commercial jobs. Without limiting the foregoing, each First Source Hiring Agreement shall:

(a) Set appropriate hiring and retention goals for entry level positions. All covered Employers shall agree to achieve these hiring and retention goals, or, if unable to achieve these goals, to establish good faith efforts as to its attempts to do so, as set forth in the agreement. The agreement shall take into consideration the Employer’s participation in existing job training, referral and/or brokerage programs. Within the discretion of the FSHA, subject to appropriate modifications, participation in such programs may be certified as meeting the requirements of this Chapter. Failure either to achieve the specified goal, or to establish good faith efforts will constitute noncompliance and will subject the Employer to the provisions of Section 83.10 of the Administrative Code;

(b) Set first source interviewing, recruitment and hiring requirements, which will provide the San Francisco Workforce Development System with the first opportunity to provide qualified economically disadvantaged individuals for consideration for employment for entry level positions. Employers shall consider all applications of qualified economically disadvantaged individuals referred by the System for employment; provided, however, if the Employer utilizes nondiscriminatory screening criteria, the Employer shall have the sole discretion
to interview and/or hire individuals referred or certified by the San Francisco Workforce Development System as being qualified economically disadvantaged individuals. The duration of the first source interviewing requirement shall be determined by the FSHA and shall be set forth in each agreement, but shall not exceed ten (10) days. During that period, the Employer may publicize the entry level positions in accordance with the agreement. A need for urgent or temporary hires must be evaluated, and appropriate provisions for such a situation must be made in the agreement;

(c) Set appropriate requirements for providing notification of available entry level positions to the San Francisco Workforce Development System so that the System may train and refer an adequate pool of qualified economically disadvantaged individuals to participating Employers. Notification should include such information as employment needs by occupational title, skills, and/or experience required, the hours required, wage scale and duration of employment, identification of entry level and training positions, identification of English language proficiency requirements, or absence thereof, and the projected schedule and procedures for hiring for each occupation. Employers should provide both long-term job need projections and notice before initiating the interviewing and hiring process. These notification requirements will take into consideration any need to protect the Employer’s proprietary information;

(d) Set appropriate record keeping and monitoring requirements. The FSHA shall develop easy-to-use forms and record keeping requirements for documenting compliance with the agreement. To the greatest extent possible, these requirements shall utilize the Employer’s existing record keeping systems, be nonduplicative, and facilitate a coordinated flow of information and referrals;

(e) Establish guidelines for Employer good faith efforts to comply with the first source hiring requirements of Chapter 83. The FSHA will work with City departments to develop Employer good faith effort requirements appropriate to the types of contracts and property contracts handled by each department. Employers shall appoint a liaison for dealing with the development and implementation of the Employer’s agreement. In the event that the FSHA finds that the Employer under a City contract or property contract has taken actions primarily for the purpose of circumventing the requirements of Chapter 83, that Employer shall be subject to the sanctions set forth in Section 83.10 of Chapter 83;

(f) Set the term of the agreement;

(g) Set appropriate enforcement and sanctioning standards consistent with Chapter 83;
(h) Set forth the City’s obligations to develop training programs, job applicant referrals, technical assistance, and information systems that assist the Employer in complying with this Chapter; and

(i) Require the Employer to include notice of the requirements of this Chapter in leases, subleases, and other occupancy contracts.

6.8.2 Miscellaneous. Developer or its contractor, as applicable, shall make the final determination of whether an economically disadvantaged individual referred by the System is “qualified” for the position. Upon application by an Employer, the First Source Hiring Administration may grant an exception to any or all of the requirements of Chapter 83 in any situation where it concludes that compliance with Chapter 83 would cause economic hardship. In the event Developer breaches the requirements of this Section 6.6, Developer shall be liable to the City for liquidated damages as set forth in Chapter 83. As set forth in the First Source Hiring Agreement, any contract or subcontract entered into by Developer shall require the contractor or subcontractor to comply with the requirements of Chapter 83 and shall contain contractual obligations substantially the same as those set forth in this Section 6.6.

6.9 Prevailing Wages. During the Term, Developer agrees that all work performed pursuant to this Agreement will be done in a manner consistent with City and State Prevailing Wage Law and specifically that any person performing labor in the construction of Public Improvements, Stormwater Management Improvements or Community Improvements on the Project Site shall be paid not less than the highest prevailing rate of wages under Section 6.22(E) of the Administrative Code, shall be subject to the same hours and working conditions, and shall receive the same benefits as in each case are provided for similar work performed in San Francisco, California, as required by governing law. Developer shall include in any contract for such construction a requirement that all persons performing labor under such contract shall be paid not less than the highest prevailing rate of wages for the labor so performed. Developer shall require any contractor to provide, and shall deliver to City upon request, certified payroll reports with respect to all persons performing labor in the construction of Public Improvements or Community Improvements.

6.10 Payment of Fees and Costs.

6.10.1 Developer shall timely pay to the City all Impact Fees and Exactions applicable to the Project or the Project Site as set forth in Section 2.4 and Exhibit ____ of this Agreement.

6.10.2 Developer shall timely pay to the City all Processing Fees applicable to the processing or review of applications for the Basic Approvals or the Implementing Approvals under the Municipal Code. Prior to engaging the services of any consultant or authorizing the expenditure of any funds for such consultant to assist the City, the City shall consult with Developer in an effort to mutually agree to terms regarding (i) the scope of work to be
performed, (ii) the projected costs associated with the work, and (iii) the particular consultant that would be engaged to perform the work.

6.10.3 Developer shall pay to the City all City Costs during the Term within thirty (30) days following receipt of a written invoice from the City. Each City Agency shall submit to OEWD or another City agency as designated by OEWD monthly or quarterly invoices for all City Costs incurred by the City Agency for reimbursement under this Agreement, and OEWD or its designee shall gather all such invoices so as to submit one City bill to Developer each month or quarter. To the extent that a City Agency fails to submit such invoices, then OEWD or its designee shall request and gather such billing information, and any City Cost that is not invoiced to Developer within twelve (12) months from the date the City Cost was incurred shall not be recoverable.

6.10.4 The City shall not be required to process any requests for approval or take other actions under this Agreement during any period in which payments from Developer are past due. If such failure to make payment continues for a period of more than sixty (60) days following notice, it shall be a Default for which the City shall have all rights and remedies as set forth in Section 12.5.

6.11 Nexus/Reasonable Relationship Waiver. Developer consents to, and waives any rights it may have now or in the future, to challenge with respect to the Project or the Basic Approvals, the legal validity of, the conditions, requirements, policies, or programs required by this Agreement or the Existing Standards, including, without limitation, any claim that they constitute an abuse of police power, violate substantive due process, deny equal protection of the laws, effect a taking of property without payment of just compensation, or impose an unlawful tax. In the event Developer challenges any Future Change to an Existing Standard, or any increased or new fee permitted under Section 2.3, then the City shall have the right to withhold additional development approvals or permits until the matter is resolved; provided, however, Developer shall have the right to make payment or performance under protest, and thereby receive the additional approval or permit while the matter is in dispute.

6.12 Taxes. Nothing in this Agreement limits the City’s ability to impose new or increased taxes or special assessments, or any equivalent or substitute tax or assessment, provided (i) the City shall not institute on its own initiative proceedings for any new or increased special tax or special assessment for a land-secured financing district (including the special taxes under the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act of 1982 (California Government Code § 53311 et seq.)) that includes the Project Site unless the new district is City-wide or Developer gives its prior written consent to such proceedings, and (ii) no such tax or assessment shall be targeted or directed at the Project, including, without limitation, any tax or assessment targeted solely at the Project Site. Nothing in the foregoing prevents the City from imposing any tax or assessment against the Project Site, or any space therein, that is enacted in accordance with law and applies to similarly-situated property on a City-wide basis.

6.13 Indemnification of City. Developer shall Indemnify the City and its officers, agents and employees from and, if requested, shall defend them against any and all loss, cost, damage, injury, liability, and claims (“Losses”) arising or resulting directly or indirectly from
this Agreement and Developer’s performance (or nonperformance) of this Agreement, regardless of the negligence of and regardless of whether liability without fault is imposed or sought to be imposed on the City, except to the extent that such Indemnity is void or otherwise unenforceable under applicable law, and except to the extent such Loss is the result of the active negligence or willful misconduct of City. The foregoing Indemnity shall include, without limitation, reasonable fees of attorneys, consultants and experts and related costs, and the City’s cost of investigating any claims against the City. All Indemnifications set forth in this Agreement shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

6.14 Contracting for Public Improvements. In connection with all of the Public Improvements, Developer shall engage a contractor that is duly licensed in California and qualified to complete the work (the “Contractor”). The Contractor shall contract directly with Developer pursuant to an agreement to be entered into by Developer and Contractor (the “Construction Contract”), which shall: (i) be a guaranteed maximum price contract; (ii) require the Contractor or Developer to obtain and maintain bonds for one-hundred percent (100%) of the cost of construction for performance and fifty percent (50%) of payment for labor and materials (and include the City and Developer as dual obliges under the bonds), or provide a letter of credit or other security satisfactory to the City, in accordance with the requirements of the Subdivision Code; (iii) require the Contractor to obtain and maintain customary insurance, including workers compensation in statutory amounts, Employer’s liability, general liability, and builders all-risk; (iv) release the City from any and all claims relating to the construction, including but not limited to mechanics liens and stop notices; (v) subject to the rights of any Mortgagee that forecloses on the property, include the City as a third party beneficiary, with all rights to rely on the work, receive the benefit of all warranties, and prospectively assume Developer’s obligations and enforce the terms and conditions of the Construction Contract as if the City were an original party thereto; and (vi) require that the City be included as a third party beneficiary, with all rights to rely on the work product, receive the benefit of all warranties and covenants, and prospectively assume Contractor’s rights in the event of any termination of the Construction Contract, relative to all work performed by the Project’s architect and engineer.

7. OBLIGATIONS OF CITY

7.1 No Action to Impede Basic Approvals. Subject to City’s express rights under this Agreement (including under Section 2.5 and Section 6.2), City shall take no action under this Agreement nor impose any condition on the Project that would conflict with this Agreement or the Basic Approvals. An action taken or condition imposed shall be deemed to be “in conflict with” this Agreement or the Basic Approvals if such actions or conditions result in the occurrence of one or more of the circumstances identified in Section 2.2.2 of this Agreement.

7.2 Processing During Third Party Litigation. The filing of any third-party lawsuit(s) against the City or Developer relating to this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, the Implementing Approvals, or other development issues affecting the Project or the Project Site, shall not delay or stop the development, processing or construction of the Project or the issuance of Implementing Approvals unless the third-party obtains a court order preventing the activity.
7.3 Criteria for Approving Implementing Approvals. The City may approve an application for an Implementing Approval subject to any conditions necessary to bring the Implementing Approval into compliance with this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, any Implementing Approvals that have been previously granted, the Existing Standards, or Future Changes to Existing Standards (except to the extent such Future Changes to Existing Standards are in conflict with this Agreement or the terms and conditions of the Basic Approvals). If the City denies any application for an Implementing Approval that implements the Project as contemplated by the Basic Approvals (as opposed to requests for Implementing Approvals that effect a Material Change to the Basic Approvals), the City must specify in writing the reasons for such denial, which reasons may include how the application for the Implementing Approval is inconsistent with this Agreement and/or the Basic Approvals (if such inconsistencies are determined to exist), and the City shall suggest modifications required for approval of the application. Any such specified modifications shall be consistent with this Agreement (including the consistency with the Uniform Codes or the Agency Design Guidelines, as provided in Section 2.4), the Basic Approvals, the Implementing Approvals that have been previously granted, and the Existing Standards or Future Changes to Existing Standards and City staff shall approve the application if it is subsequently resubmitted for City review and corrects or mitigates, to the City’s satisfaction, the stated reasons for the earlier denial in a manner that is consistent and compliant with this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, any Implementing Approvals that have been granted, the Existing Standards, Future Changes to Existing Standards (if any) and Applicable law.

7.4 Coordination of Offsite Improvements. The City shall use reasonable efforts to assist Developer in coordinating construction of offsite improvements specified in a Development Phase Approval in a timely manner; provided, however, the City shall not be required to incur any costs in connection therewith, other than incidental administrative costs, such as staff time.

7.5 Commitment of Transportation Funds.

7.5.1 The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (“SFCTA”) will program $2 million of Proposition K funds to the Project through its 2014 Strategic Plan and 5-Year Prioritization Program process, anticipated to conclude by June 30, 2014. This $2 million in Proposition K funds will be programmed for transportation improvements located within and directly adjacent to the Project Site but intended to serve the larger community through improved pedestrian safety and pedestrian access to the Bayshore Caltrain Station. The Proposition K funds will subsidize the design and/or construction of the Project’s Phase 1 pedestrian network, which will provide complete pedestrian connectivity between Bayshore Boulevard and the Bayshore Caltrain Station through a combination of permanent sidewalks and temporary pathways, as described in __________ (“Funding Contingency Work”). Eligible improvements include sidewalks, temporary pedestrian pathways, signage, and other traffic calming measures that facilitate pedestrian safety. All portions of this pedestrian network must be consistent with the Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan, attached as Exhibit ____.
The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency ("SFMTA") has agreed to serve as the fiscal sponsor for the Project’s Proposition K allocation request(s). SFMTA will be the recipient of the Proposition K funds and will transfer the funds to the Developer on a reimbursement basis. For the Project to obtain all or any portion of this $2 million, SFMTA, on behalf of the Project, must request the funds by completing SFCTA’s standard Proposition K request form and proceed through the SFCTA Board’s Proposition K allocation approval process; provided that the request is complete and accurate, and consistent with Proposition K policies, it will not be denied. Proposition K funds are provided on a reimbursement basis, meaning that an allocation request must be approved prior to expenditure and that SFMTA, on behalf of the project, will be reimbursed for expenditures upon the submission of eligible expenses to SFCTA. SFMTA will subsequently reimburse eligible Developer costs according to project milestone completion and receipt of support documentation for all costs incurred. Once the SFMTA certifies the applicable milestone has been completed and is acceptable and that all support documents are sufficient, SFMTA will reimburse eligible costs to the Developer within thirty (30) days. Provided that the request is complete and accurate, it will not be denied. Milestones for reimbursement are as follows:

1. At the time when the City approves the applicable improvement or improvements’ Design Review Application, ensuring that improvement is designed to conform with Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan, SFMTA will reimburse all design-related eligible expenses.

2. At the time when construction of applicable improvement(s) is substantially complete, SFMTA will reimburse all eligible construction expenses to date.

3. At the time when the City deems that all public benefits and Community Improvements within the applicable phase are complete, such that the first residential unit within the phase may receive First Certificate of Occupancy, SFMTA will provide final reimbursement for any expenses occurring after substantial completion milestone.

4. Developer will be required to provide quarterly progress reports on any Proposition K-funded design and/or development work to SFMTA within 30 days of the end of each quarter. SFMTA will subsequently submit these reports to SFCTA.

5. Additionally, documentation of compliance with City payment procedures and policies must be provided for all reimbursable expenses. (See Controller’s office website for details: http://www.sfcontroller.org/)

SFMTA, on behalf of the Developer, may request the Proposition K funds for a particular phase of design and/or construction work, either as a single application for $2 million or in multiple increments adding up to $2 million, provided that no allocation request may exceed the anticipated eligible costs of the improvement(s) for which reimbursement is being sought at that time. If a particular improvement or set of improvements requires less funding than initially anticipated, any remaining funds will be de-obligated and returned to the SFCTA. Any such return of funds will not compromise the Developer’s eligibility to utilize a cumulative total of $2 million in Proposition K funds.
7.5.2 SFMTA agrees to dedicate additional funds to be spent on transportation improvements located within and directly adjacent to the Project Site but intended to serve the larger community through improved pedestrian safety and pedestrian access to the Bayshore Caltrain Station and along Bayshore Boulevard in the vicinity of the Project. These funds will be used to reimburse Developer’s expenditures for eligible transportation improvements that have not been funded by another City source (e.g. Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee, Proposition K dollars, or other transportation impact fees). Upon the earlier of (a) MTA designating a specific source for these funds or (b) 2 years after the Effective Date, the Project may request up to $1.5 million to reimburse Developer for the cost of eligible transportation improvements that have not been funded by another City source. Developer must request these funds at least 120 days prior to the date when they wish to be reimbursed, and SFMTA must evaluate the request within 60 days of receiving it. This funding to the Project is contingent upon Developer completing the Funding Contingency Work as defined in Section 7.5.1 above. SFMTA will transfer funds to Developer on a reimbursement basis. Reimbursement is contingent upon both receipt of sufficient support documentation and completion of the following key Project milestones:

a. At the time when the City approves the applicable improvement or improvements’ Design Review Application, ensuring that improvement is designed to conform with Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan, SFMTA will reimburse all design-related eligible expenses.

b. At the time when construction of applicable improvement(s) is substantially complete, SFMTA will reimburse all eligible construction expenses to date.

c. At the time when the City deems that all public benefits and Community Improvements within the applicable phase are complete, such that the first residential unit within the phase may receive First Certificate of Occupancy, SFMTA will provide final reimbursement for any expenses occurring after substantial completion milestone.

d. Additionally, documentation of compliance with City payment procedures and policies must be provided for all reimbursable expenses. See Controller’s office website for details: http://www.sfcontroller.org/

Developer may request these funds in a single application or in multiple increments, up to a cumulative total of $1.5 million, provided that no allocation request may exceed the anticipated eligible costs of the improvement(s) for which reimbursement is being sought at that time. If a particular improvement or set of improvements requires less funding than initially anticipated, any remaining funds will be de-obligated and returned to the SFMTA. Any such return of funds will not compromise the Developer’s eligibility to utilize a cumulative total of $1.5 million.
7.6 **Park Subsidy/Acquisition.**

[Language to be added following appraisal and negotiations with Rec and Park department]

7.7 **On-Street Parking Management.** The City will manage the Project Site’s on-street parking to maximize access to the Project and support the City’s broader transportation goals. To preserve flexibility as parking demands and traffic conditions change over time, the City will periodically evaluate the efficacy of the on-street parking management strategies being employed at the Project Site and make appropriate adjustments based on SFMTA’s Policies for On-Street Parking Management or subsequently adopted guidelines. These evaluation and adjustment processes will utilize mode split and other transportation data collected as required by the Transportation Demand Management Plan and solicit input from occupants and property owners at the Project Site, as well as stakeholders in the Visitacion Valley community. In particular, the City agrees to manage the Project Site’s on-street parking in such a way that does not prioritize daytime commuter parking (e.g. for Caltrain riders) over the access needs of the Project Site’s occupants and visitors.

8. **MUTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

8.1 **Notice of Completion or Revocation.** Upon the Parties’ completion of performance or revocation of this Agreement, a written statement acknowledging such completion or revocation, signed by the appropriate agents of City and Developer, shall be recorded in the Official Records.

8.2 **Estoppel Certificate.** Developer may, at any time, and from time to time, deliver written notice to the Planning Director requesting that the Planning Director certify in writing that to the best of his or her knowledge: (i) this Agreement is in full force and effect and a binding obligation of the Parties; (ii) this Agreement has not been amended or modified either orally or in writing, and if so amended or modified, identifying the amendments or modifications and stating their date and nature; (iii) Developer is not in default in the performance of its obligations under this Agreement, or if in default, describing therein the nature and amount of any such defaults; and (iv) the findings of the City with respect to the most recent annual review performed pursuant to Section 9.2 below. The Planning Director shall execute and return such certificate within forty-five (45) days following receipt of the request. Each Party acknowledges that any mortgagee with a mortgage on all or part of the Project Site, acting in good faith, may rely upon such a certificate. A certificate provided by the City establishing the status of this Agreement with respect to any lot or parcel shall be in recordable form and may be recorded with respect to the affected lot or parcel at the expense of the recording party.

8.3 **Cooperation in the Event of Third-Party Challenge.**

8.3.1 In the event any legal action or proceeding is instituted challenging the validity of any provision of this Agreement, the Project, the Basic Approvals or Implementing Approvals, the adoption of the Addenda to the FEIR, other actions taken pursuant to CEQA, or other approvals under state or City codes, statutes, codes, regulations,
or requirements, and any combination thereof relating to the Project or any portion thereof (each, a “Third-Party Challenge”), the Parties shall cooperate in defending against such challenge. The City shall promptly notify Developer of any Third-Party Challenge instituted against the City.

8.3.2 Developer shall assist and cooperate with the City at its own expense in connection with any Third-Party Challenge. The City Attorney’s Office may use its own legal staff or outside counsel in connection with defense of the Third-Party Challenge, at the City Attorney’s sole discretion. Developer shall reimburse the City for its actual costs in defense of the action or proceeding, including but not limited to the time and expenses of the City Attorney’s Office and any consultants; provided, however, (i) Developer shall have the right to receive monthly invoices for all such costs, and (ii) Developer may elect to terminate this Agreement, and upon any such termination, Developer’s and City’s obligations to defend the Third-Party Challenge shall cease and Developer shall have no responsibility to reimburse any City defense costs incurred after such termination date. Developer shall Indemnify the City from any other liability incurred by the City, its officers, and its employees as the result of any Third-Party Challenge, including any award to opposing counsel of attorneys’ fees or costs, except where such award is the result of the willful misconduct of the City or its officers or employees. This section shall survive any judgment invalidating all or any part of this Agreement.

8.4 Good Faith and Fair Dealing. The Parties shall cooperate with each other and act in good faith in complying with the provisions of this Agreement and implementing the Basic Approvals and any Implementing Approvals. In their course of performance under this Agreement, the Parties shall cooperate and shall undertake such actions as may be reasonably necessary to implement the Project as contemplated by this Agreement.

8.5 Other Necessary Acts. Each Party shall use good faith efforts to take such further actions as may be reasonably necessary to carry out this Agreement, the Basic Approvals, Development Phase Approvals, Design Review Approvals, and the Implementing Approvals, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (and subject to all applicable laws) in order to provide and secure to each Party the full and complete enjoyment of its rights and privileges hereunder.

9. PERIODIC REVIEW OF DEVELOPER’S COMPLIANCE

9.1 Annual Review. Pursuant to Section 65865.1 of the Development Agreement Statute and Section 56.17 of the Administrative Code as of the Effective Date (“Section 56.17”), attached hereto as Exhibit N, at the beginning of the second week of each January following final adoption of this Agreement and for so long as the Agreement is in effect (the “Annual Review Date”), the Planning Director shall commence a review to ascertain whether Developer has, in good faith, complied with the Agreement. The failure to commence such review in January shall not waive the Planning Director’s right to do so later in the calendar year; provided, however, that such review shall be deferred to the following January if not commenced on or before May 31st. The Planning Director may elect to forego an annual review if no significant construction
work occurred on the Project Site during that year, or if such review is otherwise not deemed necessary.

9.2 **Review Procedure.** In conducting the required initial and annual reviews of Developer’s compliance with this Agreement, the Planning Director shall follow the process set forth in this Section.

9.2.1 **Required Information from Developer.** Upon request by the Planning Director but not more than sixty (60) days and not less than forty-five (45) days before the Annual Review Date, Developer shall provide a letter to the Planning Director containing evidence to show compliance with this Agreement, including, but not limited to, compliance with the requirements regarding the following: the Community Improvements, Public Improvements and Stormwater Management Improvements constructed or under construction by Developer as required by the Phasing Plan, and the manner in which the BMR Requirements have been met. The burden of proof, by substantial evidence, of compliance is upon Developer.

9.2.2 **City Report.** Within forty-five (45) days after Developer submits such letter, the Planning Director shall review the information submitted by Developer and all other available evidence regarding Developer’s compliance with this Agreement. All such available evidence including final staff reports shall, upon receipt by the City, be made available as soon as possible to Developer. The Planning Director shall notify Developer in writing whether Developer has complied with the terms of this Agreement. If the Planning Director finds Developer in compliance, then the Planning Director shall proceed in the manner provided in Section 56.17. If the Planning Director finds Developer is not in compliance with this Agreement, the Planning Director shall issue a Certificate of Non-Compliance as procedures set forth in Section 56.17. The City’s failure to timely complete the annual review is not deemed to be a waiver of the right to do so at a later date within a given year, so long as the annual review is commenced on or before May 31st, as contemplated in Section 9.1. All costs incurred by the City under this Section shall be included in the City Costs.

9.2.3 **Effect on Transferees.** If Developer has effected a transfer so that its interest in the Project Site has been divided between Developer and/or Transferees, then the annual review hereunder shall be conducted separately with respect to Developer and each Transferee that is not Affiliated with Developer, and if appealed, the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors shall make its determinations and take its actions separately with respect to Developer and each such Non-Affiliate Transferee, as applicable, pursuant to Administrative Code Chapter 56. If the Board of Supervisors terminates, modifies or takes such other actions as may be specified in Administrative Code Chapter 56 and this Agreement in connection with a determination that Developer or a Transferee has not complied with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, such action by the Planning Director, Planning Commission, or Board of Supervisors shall be effective only as to the Party (and its Affiliates) to whom the determination is made and the portions of the Project Site in which such Party (and its Affiliates) has an interest.
9.2.4 Default. The rights and powers of the City under this Section 9 are in addition to, and shall not limit, the rights of the City to terminate or take other action under this Agreement on account of the commission by Developer of an Event of Default.

10. AMENDMENT; TERMINATION; EXTENSION OF TERM

10.1 Amendment or Termination. Except as provided in Section 2.5 (Changes in State and Federal Rules and Regulations) and Section 12.5 (Remedies), this Agreement may only be amended or terminated with the mutual written consent of the Parties. Except as provided in this Agreement to the contrary, the amendment or termination, and any required notice thereof, shall be accomplished in the manner provided in the Development Agreement Statute and Section 56.18.

10.1.1 Amendment Exemptions. No amendment of a Basic Approval or Implementing Approval, or the approval of an Implementing Approval, shall require an amendment to this Agreement. Upon approval, any such matter shall be deemed to be incorporated automatically into the Project and vested under this Agreement (subject to any conditions set forth in the amendment or Implementing Approval). Notwithstanding the foregoing, if there is any conflict between the terms of this Agreement and an Implementing Approval, or between this Agreement and any amendment to a Basic Approval or Implementing Approval which is not consistent with the terms of this Agreement, then the Parties shall concurrently amend this Agreement (subject to all necessary approvals in accordance with this Agreement) in order to ensure the terms of this Agreement are consistent with the proposed Implementing Approval or the proposed amendment to a Basic Approval or Implementing Approval. If the Parties fail to amend this Agreement as set forth above, then the terms of this Agreement shall prevail over any Implementing Approval or any amendment to a Basic Approval or Implementing Approval that conflicts with this Agreement.

10.2 Termination and Vesting. Any termination under this Agreement shall concurrently effect a termination of the Basic Approvals, except as to each Basic Approval for a building project that has been commenced in reliance thereon.

10.3 Extension Due to Legal Action, Referendum, or Excusable Delay.

10.3.1 If any litigation is filed challenging this Agreement (including but not limited to any CEQA determinations) or the validity of this Agreement or any of its provisions, or if this Agreement is suspended pending the outcome of an electoral vote on a referendum, then the Term shall be extended for the number of days equal to the period starting from the commencement of the litigation or the suspension to the end of such litigation or suspension. The Parties shall document the start and end of this delay in writing within thirty (30) days from the applicable dates.

10.3.2 In the event of changes in state or federal laws or regulations, inclement weather, delays due to strikes, inability to obtain materials, civil commotion, war, acts of terrorism, fire, acts of God, litigation, lack of availability of commercially-reasonable project
financing (as a general matter and not specifically tied to Developer), or other circumstances beyond the control of Developer and not proximately caused by the acts or omissions of Developer that substantially interfere with carrying out the Project or any portion thereof or with the ability of Developer to perform its obligations under this Agreement ("Excusable Delay"), the Parties agree to extend the time periods for performance, as such time periods have been agreed to by Developer, of Developer’s obligations impacted by the Excusable Delay. In the event that an Excusable Delay occurs, Developer shall notify the City in writing of such occurrence and the manner in which such occurrence substantially interferes with carrying out the Project or the ability of Developer to perform under this Agreement. In the event of the occurrence of any such Excusable Delay, the time or times for performance of the obligations of Developer, including the completion of any required Community Improvements within a given Development Phase, will be extended for the period of the Excusable Delay if Developer cannot, through commercially reasonable and diligent efforts, make up for the Excusable Delay within the time period remaining before the applicable completion date; provided, however, within thirty (30) days after the beginning of any such Excusable Delay, Developer shall have first notified City of the cause or causes of such Excusable Delay and claimed an extension for the reasonably estimated period of the Excusable Delay. In the event that Developer stops any work as a result of an Excusable Delay, Developer must take commercially reasonable measures to ensure that the affected real property is returned to a safe condition and remains in a safe condition for the duration of the Excusable Delay.

10.3.3 The foregoing Section 10.2.2 notwithstanding, Developer may not seek to delay the Completion of a Community Improvement or other public benefit required under a Development Phase Approval (including any required implementation trigger contained in the Phasing Plan or in an Implementing Approval) as a result of an Excusable Delay related to the lack of availability of commercially reasonable project financing. Furthermore, Developer may not rely on Excusable Delay to delay the Completion of a Community Improvement or other public benefit while commensurate work (to that which is sought to be delayed) is being performed on the market-rate development in the Project Site.

11. TRANSFER OR ASSIGNMENT; RELEASE; RIGHTS OF MORTGAGEES; CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE

11.1 Permitted Transfer of this Agreement.

11.1.1 No City Consent. Developer shall have the right to Transfer its rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement, without the City’s consent, as follows:

(1) Developer may convey the entirety of its right, title, and interest in and to the Project Site together with a Transfer of all rights, interests and obligations of this Agreement without the City’s consent;

(2) From and after the recordation of a final subdivision map for all real property within an Development Phase Approval and Developer’s
Completion of the Community Improvements and Transportation Mitigation Measures in that approved Development Phase or Sub-Phase, Developer shall have the right to Transfer all of its interest, rights or obligations under this Agreement with respect to that Development Phase to a Transfereee acquiring a fee or long-term ground lease interest in all or a portion of the real property within that Development Phase without the City’s consent;

(3) Following the Completion of infrastructure as needed to create developable lots, Developer shall have the right to convey developable lots or parcels within the Project Site for vertical development not requiring the construction of Community Improvements and Transportation Mitigation Measures but requiring the construction of on-site Public Improvements or Stormwater Management Improvements required by the Planning Code or other City code or regulation (including adjoining streetscape improvements required by a street improvement permit), and Transfer all rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement with respect to the conveyed lots or parcels, without the City’s consent (subject to the requirements of Section 4.2 with respect to the Completion of BMR Units or payment of an in lieu fee); and

(4) Developer shall have the right to convey a portion of the Project Site, together with a Transfer of its rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement with respect to the conveyed real property, to Affiliates without the City’s consent (but subject to the cross-default provisions between Developer and Affiliates as set forth in Section 12.3 below); and

(5) Developer shall have the right to convey all or a portion of the Project Site, together with a Transfer of all its rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement with respect to the conveyed real property, to a Mortgagee as set forth in Section 11.9 below without the City’s consent. Following any foreclosure, deed in lieu or other transfer to a Mortgagee, such Mortgagee shall have the right to transfer its interest in the Project Site together with a Transfer of all rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement without the City’s consent.

Any Transfer of rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement shall be by an Assignment and Assumption Agreement in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit Q, and notwithstanding the fact that the City cannot object to Transfers described in this Section 11.1.1 above, the City shall have the right to object to an Assignment and Assumption Agreement if and to the extent such agreement does not meet the requirements of Section 11.3.3. No Transfer under this Section shall terminate or modify the rights or obligations of the Parties under this Agreement including but not limited to the BMR Requirements.

11.1.2 City Consent Requirement. Developer shall have the right, at any time, to convey a portion of its right, title and interest in and to the Project Site, as well as Transfer the rights, interests and obligations under this Agreement with respect to such real property
(including the obligation to construct Community Improvements and Transportation Mitigation Measures required to be constructed in the applicable Development Phase Approval) subject to the prior written consent of the Planning Director, which consent will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. In determining the reasonableness of any consent or failure to consent, the Planning Director shall consider whether the proposed Transferee has sufficient development experience and creditworthiness to perform the obligations to be transferred. With regard to any proposed Transfer under this Section 11.1.2, Developer shall provide to the City information to demonstrate the Transferee’s development experience, together with any additional information reasonably requested by the City.

11.2 Transferee Obligations. The Parties understand and agree that rights and obligations under this Agreement run with the land, and each Transferee must satisfy the obligations of this Agreement with respect to the land owned by it (including but not limited to completion of any BMR Units); provided, however, notwithstanding the foregoing, if an owner of a portion of the Project Site (other than a mortgagee, including any mortgagee who obtains title to the Project Site or any portion thereof as a result of foreclosure proceedings or conveyance or other action in lieu thereof, or other remedial action) does not enter into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement approved by the Planning Director, then it shall have no rights, interests or obligations under this Agreement and the City shall have such remedies as may be available for violation of this Article 11.

11.3 Notice and Approval of Transfers.

11.3.1 With regard to any proposed Transfer under this Article 11, Developer shall provide not less than thirty (30) days written notice to City before any proposed Transfer of its interests, rights and obligations under this Agreement. Developer shall provide, with such notice, a copy of an assignment and assumption agreement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit O, that Developer proposes to enter into, with a detailed description of what obligations are to be assigned to the Transferee and what obligations will be retained by Developer, and a description of the real property proposed for conveyance to the Transferee (an “Assignment and Assumption Agreement”). The City shall execute and return the Assignment and Assumption Agreement, or provide any written objections, within thirty (30) days following receipt of the Assignment and Assumption Agreement from Developer.

11.3.2 Each Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be in recordable form, substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit O, and include: (i) an agreement and covenant by the Transferee not to challenge the enforceability of any of the provisions or requirements of this Agreement, including but not limited to the Costa-Hawkins Act provisions and waivers; (ii) a description of the obligations under this Agreement (including but not limited to obligations to construct Community Improvements and Mitigation Measures) that will be assumed by the assignee and from which assignor will be released; (iii) confirmation of all of the Indemnifications and releases set forth in this Agreement; (iv) a covenant not to sue the City, and an Indemnification to the City, for any and all disputes between the assignee and assignor; (v) a covenant not to sue the City, and an Indemnification
to the City, for any failure to complete all or any part of the Project by any party, and for any harm resulting from the City’s refusal to issue further permits or approvals to a defaulting party under the terms of this Agreement; (vi) a transfer of any existing bonds or security required under this Agreement, or the Assignee will provide new bonds or security to replace the bonds or security that had been provided by Assignor, and (vii) such other matters as are deemed appropriate by the assignee and assignor and are approved by the City. Each Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall become effective when it is duly executed by the Parties, the Planning Director has executed the consent, and it is recorded in the Official Records.

11.3.3 With regard to any proposed Transfer under this Article 11 not requiring the City’s consent, each Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Director and the Planning Director shall only disapprove the Assignment and Assumption Agreement if such Assignment and Assumption Agreement does not include the items (i) to (vi) of Section 11.3.2 above, or the description of the obligations that will be assigned and assumed are unclear or inconsistent with this Agreement, the Phasing Plan or any applicable Development Phase Approval. With regard to any proposed Transfer under this Article 11 requiring the City’s consent, each Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Director, which shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. The Planning Director may withhold such approval (a) if the proposed Assignment and Assumption Agreement does not include the items (i) to (vi) of Section 11.3.2 above, or the description of the obligations that will be assigned and assumed are unclear or inconsistent with this Agreement, the Phasing Plan or any applicable Development Phase Approval, (b) the Planning Director reasonably objects to the qualifications of the proposed Transferee, as set forth in Section 11.1.2 above, or (c) the proposed Assignment and Assumption Agreement disproportionally burdens particular parcels or Transferees with obligations and Developer or Transferee does not provide reasonable evidence that such obligations can or will be completed.

11.4 City Review of Proposed Transfers. The City shall use good faith efforts to promptly review and respond to all approval requests under this Article 11. The City shall explain its reasons for any denial, and the parties agree to meet and confer in good faith to resolve any differences or correct any problems in the proposed documentation or transaction. If the City grants its consent, the consent shall include a fully executed, properly acknowledged release of assignor for the prospective obligations that have been assigned, in recordable form, and shall be recorded together with the approved Assignment and Assumption Agreement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Agreement, the City shall not be required to consider any request for consent to any Transfer while Developer is in unsecured breach of any of its obligations under this Agreement. Any sale or conveyance of all or part of the Project Site during the Term without an Assignment and Assumption Agreement as required by this Article 11 assigning the applicable portions of this Agreement, if any, (except for conveyances to Mortgagees and conveyances of completed lots with completed vertical development for which there are no continuing rights or obligations under this Agreement, and for which the Parties have therefore released the encumbrance of this Agreement) shall be an Event of Default. Any Transfer in violation of this Article 11 shall be an Event of Default. If Developer fails to cure such Event of Default by voiding or reversing the unpermitted Transfer
within ninety (90) days following the City’s delivery of the Notice of Default, the City shall have the rights afforded to it under Article 12.

11.5 Permitted Change; Permitted Contracts. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth above, the following shall not be deemed a Transfer requiring City consent under this Agreement: (i) any sale, pledge, assignment or other transfer of the entire Project Site to an Affiliate of Developer and (ii) any change in corporate form of Developer or its Affiliates, such as a transfer from a limited liability company to a corporation or partnership, that does not affect or change beneficial ownership of the Project Site (each, a “Permitted Change”); provided, however, Developer shall provide to City written notice of any such Permitted Change, together with such backup materials or information reasonably requested by City, within thirty (30) days following the date of such Permitted Change or City’s request for backup information, as applicable. In addition, Developer has the right to enter into contracts with third parties, including but not limited to construction and service contracts, to perform work required by Developer under this Agreement. No such contract shall be deemed a Transfer under this Agreement and Developer shall remain responsible to City for the Completion of the work in accordance with this Agreement, subject to Excusable Delay.

11.6 Release of Liability. Upon City’s consent to a Transfer (other than to an Affiliate of Developer), Developer shall be released (subject to Section 12.3) from any prospective liability or obligation under this Agreement that has been Transferred to the Transferee as specified in the Assignment and Assumption Agreement, and the Transferee shall be deemed to be the “Developer” under this Agreement with all rights and obligations related thereto with respect to the real property conveyed to such Transferee. As further described in Section 12.3, if a Transferee defaults under this Agreement, such default shall not constitute a default by Developer or its Affiliates (or other Transferees not Affiliated with the defaulting Transferee) and shall not entitle City to Terminate or modify this Agreement with respect to such non-defaulting Parties. The foregoing notwithstanding, the Parties acknowledge and agree that a failure to Complete a Mitigation Measure, Community Improvement, or Public Improvement that must be Completed by a specific Party (as an implementation trigger in the Phasing Plan or applicable Development Phase Approval) may, if not Completed, delay or prevent a different Party’s ability to start or Complete a specific building or improvement under this Agreement, and Developer and all Transferees assume this risk. Accordingly, City may withhold Development Phase Approvals, Design Review Approvals, or Implementing Approvals based upon the acts or omissions of a different Party.

11.7 Rights of Developer. The provisions in this Article 11 shall not be deemed to prohibit or otherwise restrict Developer from (i) granting easements or licenses to facilitate development of the Project Site, (ii) encumbering the Project Site or any portion of the improvements thereon by any mortgage, deed of trust, or other device securing financing with respect to the Project Site or Project, (iii) granting a leasehold interest in portions of the Project Site in which persons or entities so granted will reside or will operate, (iv) entering into a joint venture agreement or similar partnership agreement to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement, provided that Developer retains control of such joint venture or partnership and provided none of the foregoing will affect or limit Developer’s obligations or liabilities under this Agreement, (v) upon completion of a building, selling a fee interest in a condominium unit,
or (vi) transferring all or a portion of the Project Site pursuant to a foreclosure, conveyance in lieu of foreclosure, or other remedial action in connection with a mortgage; provided, however, with respect to items (i) through (iii) above, Developer shall not grant any such easements or licenses, allow encumbrances, or grant leasehold interests over real property intended for conveyance to the City in accordance with the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents without the City’s prior written consent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld unless such interests or encumbrances can be and in fact are terminated by Developer before conveyance to the City. None of the terms, covenants, conditions, or restrictions of this Agreement or the Basic Approvals or Implementing Approvals shall be deemed waived by City by reason of the rights given to Developer pursuant to this Section 11.7.

11.8 Developer’s Responsibility for Performance. It is the intent of the Parties that as the Project is developed all applicable requirements of this Agreement and the Basic Approvals and Implementing Approvals shall be met. If Developer Transfers all or any portion of this Agreement, Developer shall continue to be responsible for performing the obligations under this Agreement until such time as there is delivered to the City a legally binding Assignment and Assumption Agreement that has been approved by the City in accordance with this Article 11. The City is entitled to enforce each and every such obligation assumed by each Transferee directly against the Transferee as if the Transferee were an original signatory to this Agreement with respect to such obligation. Accordingly, in any action by the City against a Transferee to enforce an obligation assumed by the Transferee, the Transferee shall not assert as a defense against the City’s enforcement of performance of such obligation that such obligation (i) is attributable to Developer’s breach of any duty or obligation to the Transferee arising out of the transfer or assignment, the Assignment and Assumption Agreement, the purchase and sale agreement, or any other agreement or transaction between Developer and the Transferee, or (ii) relates to the period before the Transfer. Developer shall Indemnify the City from and against all Losses arising out of or connected with contracts or agreements entered into by Developer in connection with its performance under this Agreement, including any Assignment and Assumption Agreement and any dispute between parties relating to which such party is responsible for performing certain obligations under this Agreement.

11.9 Rights of Mortgagees; Not Obligated to Construct; Right to Cure Default.

11.9.1 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement (including without limitation those provisions that are or are intended to be covenants running with the land), a mortgagee, including any mortgagee who obtains title to the Project Site or any portion thereof as a result of foreclosure proceedings or conveyance or other action in lieu thereof, or other remedial action (“Mortgagee”), shall not be obligated under this Agreement to construct or complete improvements required by the Basic Approvals, Implementing Approvals or this Agreement or to guarantee their construction or completion solely because the Mortgagee holds a mortgage or other interest in the Project Site or this Agreement. The foregoing provisions shall not be applicable to any other party who, after such foreclosure, conveyance or other action in lieu thereof, or other remedial action, obtains title to the Project Site or a portion thereof from or through the Mortgagee, or any other purchaser at a foreclosure sale other than the Mortgagee itself. A breach of any obligation secured by any mortgage or other lien against the mortgaged interest or a foreclosure under
any mortgage or other lien shall not by itself defeat, diminish, render invalid or unenforceable, or otherwise impair the obligations or rights of Developer under this Agreement.

11.9.2 Subject to the provisions of the first sentence of Section 11.9.1, any person, including a Mortgagee, who acquires title to all or any portion of the Project Site by foreclosure, trustee’s sale, deed in lieu of foreclosure, or other remedial action shall succeed to all of the rights and obligations of Developer under this Agreement and shall take title subject to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed or construed to permit or authorize any such holder to devote any portion of the Project Site to any uses, or to construct any improvements, other than the uses and improvements provided for or authorized by the Basic Approvals, Implementing Approvals and this Agreement.

11.9.3 If the City receives a written notice from a Mortgagee or from Developer requesting a copy of any Notice of Default delivered to Developer and specifying the address for service thereof, then the City shall deliver to such Mortgagee at such Mortgagee’s cost (or Developer’s cost), concurrently with service thereon to Developer, any Notice of Default delivered to Developer under this Agreement. In accordance with Section 2924 of the California Civil Code, the City hereby requests that a copy of any notice of default and a copy of any notice of sale under any mortgage or deed of trust be mailed to the City at the address shown on the first page of this Agreement for recording.

11.9.4 A Mortgagee shall have the right, at its option, to cure any default or breach by Developer under this Agreement within the same time period as Developer has to remedy or cause to be remedied any default or breach, plus an additional period of (i) ninety (90) calendar days to cure a default or breach arising from Developer failure to pay any sum of money required to be paid hereunder and (ii) one hundred and eighty (180) days to cure or commence to cure a non-monetary default or breach and thereafter to pursue such cure diligently to completion, or such additional time as necessary for the Mortgagee to obtain physical possession of the Project Site or the part thereof to which the lien of such Mortgagee relates through judicial foreclosure or other means. Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent a Mortgagee from adding the cost of such cure to the indebtedness or other obligation evidenced by its mortgage, provided that if the breach or default is with respect to the construction of the improvements on the Project Site, nothing contained in this Section 11.9 or elsewhere in this Agreement shall be deemed to permit or authorize such Mortgagee, either before or after foreclosure or action in lieu thereof or other remedial measure, to undertake or continue the construction or completion of the improvements (beyond the extent necessary to conserve or protect improvements or construction already made) without first having expressly assumed the obligation, by written agreement reasonably satisfactory to the City, to complete in the manner provided in this Agreement the improvements on the Project Site or the part thereof to which the lien or title of such Mortgagee relates.

11.10 Constructive Notice. Every person or entity who now or hereafter owns or acquires any right, title or interest in or to any portion of the Project or the Project Site is, and shall be, constructively deemed to have consented to every provision contained herein, whether
or not any reference to this Agreement is contained in the instrument by which such person acquired an interest in the Project or the Project Site. Every person or entity who now or hereafter owns or acquires any right, title or interest in or to any portion of the Project or the Project Site and either (i) undertakes any development activities at the Project Site, or (ii) owns the BMR Units or other development permitted under this Agreement, is, and shall be, constructively deemed to have consented and agreed to, and is obligated by all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, whether or not any reference to this Agreement is contained in the instrument by which such person acquired an interest in the Project or the Project Site.

12. ENFORCEMENT OF AGREEMENT; REMEDIES FOR DEFAULT; DISPUTE RESOLUTION

12.1 Enforcement. The only Parties to this Agreement are the City and Developer (including any Transferee). This Agreement is not intended, and shall not be construed, to benefit or be enforceable by any other person or entity whatsoever, except for a Mortgagee as set forth in Section 11.9 and any other provision that is for the express benefit of Mortgagees.

12.2 Default. For purposes of this Agreement, the following shall constitute an event of default (an “Event of Default”) under this Agreement: (i) except as otherwise specified in this Agreement, the failure to make any payment within ninety (90) calendar days of when due; and (ii) the failure to perform or fulfill any other material term, provision, obligation, or covenant hereunder and the continuation of such failure for a period of thirty (30) calendar days following a written notice of default and demand for compliance (a “Notice of Default”); provided, however, if a cure cannot reasonably be completed within thirty (30) days, then it shall not be considered a default if a cure is commenced within said 30-day period and diligently prosecuted to completion thereafter. An Event of Default by Developer or an Affiliate of Developer shall be, at the City’s option, an Event of Default by Developer and its Affiliates with all available remedies under Section 12.5; provided, however, (a) no Event of Default by Developer or an Affiliate of Developer in its capacity as a developer of vertical improvements (defined as improvements that are not Community Improvements, Public Improvements, Stormwater Management Improvements, or any other horizontal development) (each, a “Vertical Obligation”, and the Affiliate, an “Affiliated Vertical Developer”) shall be an Event of Default by other Affiliated Vertical Developers, (b) no Event of Default by Developer or an Affiliate of Developer with respect to the obligations of this Agreement regarding the construction, maintenance, or operation of Community Improvements, Public Improvements, Transportation Mitigation Measures, Stormwater Management Improvements, or any other horizontal development (each, a “Horizontal Obligation”) shall be deemed to be an Event of Default by an Affiliated Vertical Developer, and (c) notwithstanding anything to the contrary in clause (a) above, an Event of Default by an Affiliated Vertical Developer with respect to the BMR Unit requirements shall, at the City’s option, be deemed an Event of Default by Developer and all of its Affiliates for all purposes under this Agreement (including all Vertical Obligations or Horizontal Obligations). Notwithstanding the inability to cross-default certain obligations as set forth in (a) through (c) above, Developer and each Transferee assume the risk that another Party’s failure to Complete a Mitigation Measure, Community Improvement or Public Improvement may delay or interfere with its development rights as set forth in Section 11.6. [need to review and revise as necessary]
12.3 Notice of Default. Prior to the initiation of any action for relief specified in Section 12.5 below, the Party claiming default shall deliver to the other Party a Notice of Default. The Notice of Default shall specify the reasons for the allegation of default with reasonable specificity. If the alleged defaulting Party disputes the allegations in the Notice of Default, then that Party, within twenty-one (21) calendar days of receipt of the Notice of Default, shall deliver to the other Party a notice of non-default which sets forth with specificity the reasons that an default has not occurred. The Parties shall meet to discuss resolution of the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days of the delivery of the notice of non-default. If, after good faith negotiation, the Parties fail to resolve the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days, then the Party alleging a default may (i) institute legal proceedings pursuant to Section 12.5 to enforce the terms of this Agreement or (ii) send a written notice to terminate this Agreement pursuant to Section 12.5. The Parties may mutually agree in writing to extend the time periods set forth in this Section.

12.4 Remedies.

12.4.1 Specific Performance; Termination. In the event of an Event of Default under this Agreement, the remedies available to a Party shall include specific performance of the Agreement in addition to any other remedy available at law or in equity (subject to the limitation on damages set forth in Section 12.5.2 below). The City’s specific performance remedy shall include the right to require that Developer Complete any Public Improvement that Developer has commenced (through exercise of rights under payment and performance bonds or otherwise), and to require dedication of the Public Improvement to the City upon Completion together with the conveyance of real property as contemplated by this Agreement. Developer's right to specific performance shall include, but not be limited to, review and approval, consistent with the terms of this Agreement, of Development Phase Applications, Design Review Approvals, and Implementing Approvals, as described in this Agreement. In addition, in the event of an Event of Default under this Agreement, and following a public hearing at the Board of Supervisors regarding such Event of Default and proposed termination, the non-defaulting Party may terminate this Agreement by sending a notice of termination to the other Party setting forth the basis for the termination. The Party alleging a material breach shall provide a notice of termination to the breaching Party, which notice of termination shall state the material breach. The Agreement will be considered terminated effective upon the date set forth in the notice of termination, which shall in no event be earlier than ninety (90) days following delivery of the notice. The Party receiving the notice of termination may take legal action available at law or in equity if it believes the other Party’s decision to terminate was not legally supportable.

12.4.2 Limited Damages. The Parties have determined that, except as set forth in this Section 12.5.2, (i) monetary damages are generally inappropriate and in no event shall the City be liable for any damages whatsoever for any breach of this Agreement, (ii) it would be extremely difficult and impractical to fix or determine the actual damages suffered by a Party as a result of a breach hereunder and (iii) equitable remedies and remedies at law not including damages but including termination are particularly appropriate remedies for enforcement of this Agreement. Consequently, Developer agrees that the City shall not be
liable to Developer for damages under this Agreement, and the City agrees that Developer shall not be liable to the City for damages under this Agreement, and each covenants not to sue the other for or claim any damages under this Agreement and expressly waives its right to recover damages under this Agreement, except as follows: (1) the City shall have the right to recover actual damages only (and not consequential, punitive or special damages, each of which is hereby expressly waived) for (a) Developer’s failure to pay sums to the City as and when due under this Agreement, but subject to any express conditions for such payment set forth in this Agreement, and (b) Developer’s failure to make payment due under any Indemnity in this Agreement, (2) the City shall have the right to recover any and all damages relating to Developer’s failure to construct Public Improvements in accordance with the City approved plans and specifications and in accordance with all applicable laws (but only to the extent that the City first collects against any security, including but not limited to bonds, for such Public Improvements), and (3) either Party shall have the right to recover attorneys’ fees and costs as set forth in Section 12.8, when awarded by an arbitrator or a court with jurisdiction. For purposes of the foregoing, “actual damages” shall mean the actual amount of the sum due and owing under this Agreement, with interest as provided by law, together with such judgment collection activities as may be ordered by the judgment, and no additional sums.

12.5 Dispute Resolution. The Parties recognize that disputes may arise from time to time regarding application to the Project and the Project Site of the Existing Standards or Future Changes to the Existing Standards. Accordingly, in addition and not by way of limitation to all other remedies available to the Parties under the terms of this Agreement, including legal action, the Parties agree to follow the dispute resolution procedure in Section 12.6 that is designed to expedite the resolution of such disputes. If, from time to time, a dispute arises between the Parties relating to application to the Project or the Project Site of Existing Standards or Future Changes to the Existing Standards, the dispute shall initially be presented by Planning Department staff to the Planning Director, by DPW staff to the Director of DPW, or to DBI staff to the Director of DBI, whichever is appropriate, for resolution. If the Planning Director, Director of DPW, or Director of DBI, as applicable, decides the dispute to Developer’s satisfaction, such decision shall be deemed to have resolved the matter. Nothing in this section shall limit the rights of the Parties to seek judicial relief in the event that they cannot resolve disputes through the above process.

12.6 Dispute Resolution Related to Changes in State and Federal Rules and Regulations. The Parties agree to the follow the dispute resolution procedure in this Section 12.6.2 for disputes regarding the effect of changes to State and federal rules and regulations to the Project pursuant to Section 2.6.2.

12.6.1 Good Faith Meet and Confer Requirement. The Parties shall make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute before non-binding arbitration. Within five (5) business days after a request to confer regarding an identified matter, representatives of the Parties who are vested with decision-making authority shall meet to resolve the dispute. If the Parties are unable to resolve the dispute at the meeting, the matter shall immediately be submitted to the arbitration process set forth in Section 12.7.2.
12.6.2 **Non-Binding Arbitration.** The Parties shall mutually agree on the selection of an arbiter at JAMS in San Francisco or other mutually agreed to Arbiter to serve for the purposes of this dispute. The arbiter appointed must meet the Arbiters’ Qualifications. The “Arbiters’ Qualifications” shall be defined as at least ten (10) years of experience in a real property professional capacity, such as a real estate appraiser, broker, real estate economist, or attorney, in the Bay Area. The disputing Party(ies) shall, within ten (10) business days after submittal of the dispute to non-binding arbitration, submit a brief with all supporting evidence to the arbiter with copies to all Parties. Evidence may include, but is not limited to, expert or consultant opinions, any form of graphic evidence, including photos, maps or graphs and any other evidence the Parties may choose to submit in their discretion to assist the arbiter in resolving the dispute. In either case, any interested Party may submit an additional brief within ten (10) business days after distribution of the initial brief. The arbiter thereafter shall hold a telephonic hearing and issue a decision in the matter promptly, but in any event within five (5) business days after the submittal of the last brief, unless the arbiter determines that further briefing is necessary, in which case the additional brief(s) addressing only those items or issues identified by the arbiter shall be submitted to the arbiter (with copies to all Parties) within five (5) business days after the arbiter’s request, and thereafter the arbiter shall hold a telephonic hearing and issue a decision promptly but in any event not sooner than two (2) business days after submission of such additional briefs, and no later than thirty-two (32) business days after initiation of the non-binding arbitration. Each Party will give due consideration to the arbiter’s decision before pursuing further legal action, which decision to pursue further legal action shall be made in each Party’s sole and absolute discretion.

12.7 **Attorneys’ Fees.** Should legal action be brought by either Party against the other for an Event of Default under this Agreement or to enforce any provision herein, the prevailing party in such action shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs. For purposes of this Agreement, “reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs” shall mean the fees and expenses of counsel to the Party, which may include printing, duplicating and other expenses, air freight charges, hiring of experts, and fees billed for law clerks, paralegals, librarians and others not admitted to the bar but performing services under the supervision of an attorney. The term “reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs” shall also include, without limitation, all such fees and expenses incurred with respect to appeals, mediation, arbitrations, and bankruptcy proceedings, and whether or not any action is brought with respect to the matter for which such fees and costs were incurred. For the purposes of this Agreement, the reasonable fees of attorneys of City Attorney’s Office shall be based on the fees regularly charged by private attorneys with the equivalent number of years of experience in the subject matter area of the law for which the City Attorney’s Office’s services were rendered who practice in the City of San Francisco in law firms with approximately the same number of attorneys as employed by the City Attorney’s Office.

12.8 **No Waiver.** Failure or delay in giving a Notice of Default shall not constitute a waiver of such Event of Default, nor shall it change the time of such Event of Default. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, any failure or delay by a Party in asserting any of its rights or remedies as to any Event of Default shall not operate as a waiver of any Event of Default or of any such rights or remedies, nor shall it deprive any such Party of its right to
institute and maintain any actions or proceedings that it may deem necessary to protect, assert, or enforce any such rights or remedies.

12.9 **Future Changes to Existing Standards.** Pursuant to Section 65865.4 of the Development Agreement Statute, unless this Agreement is terminated by mutual agreement of the Parties or terminated for default as set forth in Section 12.5, either Party may enforce this Agreement notwithstanding any change in any applicable general or specific plan, zoning, subdivision, or building regulation adopted by the City or the voters by initiative or referendum (excluding any initiative or referendum that successfully defeats the enforceability or effectiveness of this Agreement itself), including any Future Changes to Existing Standards, subject to the terms of Section 2.5.

12.10 **Joint and Several Liability.** If Developer consists of more than one person or entity with respect to any real property within the Project Site or any obligation under this Agreement, then the obligations of each such person and/or entity shall be joint and several.

13. **MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

13.1 **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement, including the preamble paragraph, Recitals and Exhibits, constitute the entire understanding and agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter contained herein.

13.2 **Binding Covenants; Run With the Land.** Pursuant to Section 65868 of the Development Agreement Statute, from and after recordation of this Agreement, all of the provisions, agreements, rights, powers, standards, terms, covenants and obligations contained in this Agreement shall be binding upon the Parties and, subject to Article 11 above, their respective heirs, successors (by merger, consolidation, or otherwise) and assigns, and all persons or entities acquiring the Project Site, any lot, parcel or any portion thereof, or any interest therein, whether by sale, operation of law, or in any manner whatsoever, and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective heirs, successors (by merger, consolidation or otherwise) and assigns. Subject to the limitations on Transfers set forth in Article 11 above, all provisions of this Agreement shall be enforceable during the Term as equitable servitudes and constitute covenants and benefits running with the land pursuant to applicable law, including but not limited to California Civil Code section 1468.

13.3 **Applicable Law and Venue.** This Agreement has been executed and delivered in and shall be interpreted, construed, and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California. All rights and obligations of the Parties under this Agreement are to be performed in the City and County of San Francisco, and such City and County shall be the venue for any legal action or proceeding that may be brought, or arise out of, in connection with or by reason of this Agreement.

13.4 **Construction of Agreement.** The Parties have mutually negotiated the terms and conditions of this Agreement and its terms and provisions have been reviewed and revised by legal counsel for both the City and Developer. Accordingly, no presumption or rule that ambiguities shall be construed against the drafting Party shall apply to the interpretation or
enforcement of this Agreement. Language in this Agreement shall be construed as a whole and in accordance with its true meaning. The captions of the paragraphs and subparagraphs of this Agreement are for convenience only and shall not be considered or referred to in resolving questions of construction. Each reference in this Agreement to this Agreement or any of the Basic Approvals or Implementing Approvals shall be deemed to refer to the Agreement or the Basic Approvals or Implementing Approvals as amended from time to time pursuant to the provisions of the Agreement, whether or not the particular reference refers to such possible amendment.

13.5 Project Is a Private Undertaking; No Joint Venture or Partnership.

13.5.1 The development proposed to be undertaken by Developer on the Project Site is a private development and no portion shall be deemed a public work. The City has no interest in, responsibility for, or duty to third persons concerning any of the improvements on the Project Site. Unless and until portions of the Project Site are dedicated to the City, Developer shall exercise full dominion and control over the Project Site, subject only to the limitations and obligations of Developer contained in this Agreement.

13.5.2 Nothing contained in this Agreement, or in any document executed in connection with this Agreement, shall be construed as creating a joint venture or partnership between the City and Developer. Neither Party is acting as the agent of the other Party in any respect hereunder. Developer is not a state or governmental actor with respect to any activity conducted by Developer hereunder.

13.6 Recordation. Pursuant to Section 65868.5 of the Development Agreement Statute and Section 56.16 of the Administrative Code, the clerk of the Board shall cause a copy of this Agreement or any amendment thereto to be recorded in the Official Records within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date of this Agreement or any amendment thereto, as applicable, with costs to be borne by Developer.

13.7 Obligations Not Dischargeable in Bankruptcy. Developer’s obligations under this Agreement are not dischargeable in bankruptcy.

13.8 Signature in Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in duplicate counterpart originals, each of which is deemed to be an original, and all of which when taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

13.9 Time of the Essence. Time is of the essence in the performance of each and every covenant and obligation to be performed by the Parties under this Agreement.

13.10 Notices. Any notice or communication required or authorized by this Agreement shall be in writing and may be delivered personally or by registered mail, return receipt requested. Notice, whether given by personal delivery or registered mail, shall be deemed to have been given and received upon the actual receipt by any of the addressees designated below as the person to whom notices are to be sent. Either Party to this Agreement may at any time, upon written notice to the other Party, designate any other person or address in substitution of the
person and address to which such notice or communication shall be given. Such notices or communications shall be given to the Parties at their addresses set forth below:

To City:

John Rahaim  
Director of Planning  
San Francisco Planning Department  
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400  
San Francisco, California 94102

with a copy to:

Dennis J. Herrera, Esq.  
City Attorney  
City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, California 94102

To Developer:

Jonathan Scharfman  
General Manager/Development Director  
Universal Paragon Corporation  
150 Executive Park Blvd., Suite 1180  
San Francisco, CA 94134

with a copy to:

David P. Cincotta  
Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP  
2 Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor  
San Francisco, California, 94111
13.11 **Limitations on Actions.** Pursuant to Section 56.19 of the Administrative Code, any decision of the Board of Supervisors made pursuant to Chapter 56 shall be final. Any court action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul any final decision or determination by the Board shall be commenced within ninety (90) days after such decision or determination is final and effective. Any court action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void or annul any final decision by (i) the Planning Director made pursuant to Administrative Code Section 56.15(d)(3) or (ii) the Planning Commission pursuant to Administrative Code Section 56.17(e) shall be commenced within ninety (90) days after said decision is final.

13.12 **Severability.** If any term, provision, covenant, or condition of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, or if any such term, provision, covenant, or condition does not become effective until the approval of any Non-City Responsible Agency, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect unless enforcement of the remaining portions of the Agreement would be unreasonable or grossly inequitable under all the circumstances or would frustrate the purposes of this Agreement.

13.13 **MacBride Principles.** The City urges companies doing business in Northern Ireland to move toward resolving employment inequities and encourages them to abide by the MacBride Principles as expressed in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 12F.1 *et seq.* The City also urges San Francisco companies to do business with corporations that abide by the MacBride Principles. Developer acknowledges that it has read and understands the above statement of the City concerning doing business in Northern Ireland.

13.14 **Tropical Hardwood and Virgin Redwood.** The City urges companies not to import, purchase, obtain or use for any purpose, any tropical hardwood, tropical hardwood wood product, virgin redwood, or virgin redwood wood product, except as expressly permitted by the application of Sections 802(b) and 803(b) of the San Francisco Environment Code.

13.15 **Sunshine.** Developer understands and agrees that under the City’s Sunshine Ordinance (Administrative Code, Chapter 67) and the California Public Records Act (California Government Code section 6250 *et seq.*), this Agreement and any and all records, information, and materials submitted to the City hereunder are public records subject to public disclosure. To the extent that Developer in good faith believes that any financial materials reasonably requested by the City constitutes a trade secret or confidential proprietary information protected from disclosure under the Sunshine Ordinance and other applicable laws, Developer shall mark any such materials as such. When a City official or employee receives a request for information that has been so marked or designated, the City may request further evidence or explanation from Developer. If the City determines that the information does not constitute a trade secret or proprietary information protected from disclosure, the City shall notify Developer of that conclusion and that the information will be released by a specified date in order to provide Developer an opportunity to obtain a court order prohibiting disclosure.
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

CITY

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation

Approved as to form:
Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney

By: ________________________________
John Rahaim
Director of Planning

By: ________________________________
Heidi J. Gewertz
Deputy City Attorney

Approved on ______
Board of Supervisors Ordinance No. _____

Approved:

By: ________________________________
, _______, City Administrator

By: ________________________________
, Director of Public Works

By: ________________________________
Joanne Hayes-White, SFFD Fire Chief

By: ________________________________

Olson Lee, Director Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development

San Francisco Public Utility Commission Resolution No. _______, Approved _________
[SFPUC Consent]
DEVELOPER

By: ____________________________
Name: ____________________________
Title: ____________________________

By: ____________________________
Name: ____________________________
Title: ____________________________

DRAFT FOR NEGOTIATION PURPOSES ONLY – SUBJECT TO CHANGE

San Francisco Public Utility Commission Resolution No. _________, Approved __________
[SFPUC Consent]
CONSENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

The Municipal Transportation Agency of the City and County of San Francisco ("SFMTA") has reviewed the Development Agreement between the City and VISITACION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company (the "Development Agreement"), relating to the proposed Schlage Lock development project to which this Consent to Development Agreement (this "SFMTA Consent") is attached and incorporated. Except as otherwise defined in this SFMTA Consent, initially capitalized terms have the meanings given in the Development Agreement.

By executing this SFMTA Consent, the undersigned confirms that the SFMTA Board of Directors, after considering at a duly noticed public hearing the Infrastructure Plan, the Transportation Plan, and the CEQA Findings, including the Statement of Overriding Considerations and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program contained or referenced therein, consented to the Development Agreement as it relates to matters under SFMTA jurisdiction, including the SFMTA Infrastructure and the transportation-related Mitigation Measures.

By executing this SFMTA Consent, the SFMTA does not intend to in any way limit, waive or delegate the exclusive authority of the SFMTA as set forth in Article VIIIB of the City’s Charter.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
a municipal corporation, acting by and through the SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

By: _____________________________
    Executive Director

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: _____________________________
    Deputy City Attorney
CONSENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

The Public Utilities Commission of the City and County of San Francisco (the “SFPUC”) has reviewed the Development Agreement to which this Consent to Development Agreement (this “SFPUC Consent”) is attached and incorporated. Except as otherwise defined in this SFPUC Consent, initially capitalized terms have the meanings given in the Development Agreement.

By executing this SFPUC Consent, the undersigned confirms that the SFPUC, after considering the Development Agreement, the Schlage Lock Development Plan Documents, and utility-related Mitigation Measures at a duly noticed public hearing, consented to:

1. The Development Agreement as it relates to matters under SFPUC jurisdiction, including, but not limited to, the Stormwater Management Improvements and the SFPUC-related Mitigation Measures;

2. Subject to Developer satisfying the SFPUC’s requirements for construction, operation, and maintenance that are consistent with the Existing Standards, Future Changes to Existing Standards permitted by Section 2.2 of the Development Agreement, the Uniform Codes, the Agency Design Standards, and applicable State and federal law, and the plans and specifications approved by the SFPUC under the terms of the Development Agreement, and meeting the SFPUC-related Mitigation Measures, the SFPUC’s accepting and then, subject to appropriation, operating and maintaining SFPUC-related infrastructure; and

3. Delegating to the SFPUC General Manager or his or her designee any future approvals of the SFPUC under the Development Agreement, including approvals of Development Phase Applications, subject to applicable law including the City’s Charter.

By authorizing this SFPUC Consent, the SFPUC does not intend to in any way limit the exclusive authority of the SFPUC as set forth in Article XIIIB of the City’s Charter.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
a municipal corporation, acting by and through the SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

By: _____________________________
    EDWARD HARRINGTON,
    General Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: _____________________________
    Deputy City Attorney

San Francisco Public Utility Commission Resolution No. __________, Approved __________
[SFPUC Consent]
Exhibit A
Project Site Diagram
Exhibit B

Legal Description

Real property in the City of San Francisco, County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1:

LOTS 3 AND 3-A, AS SAID LOTS ARE SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 11, PAGE 23, FILED JUNE 26, 1979, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

PARCEL 2:

LOT 14, AS SAID LOT IS SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 11, PAGE 23, FILED JUNE 26, 1979, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.


PARCEL 3:

PART OF LOTS 8 AND 9, VISITACION VALLEY HOMESTEAD ASSOCIATION, AS PER MAP THEREOF FILED SEPTEMBER 22, 1868, IN BOOK "C" AND "D" OF MAPS, PAGE 119, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE SOUTHEASTERLY CURVED LINE OF BAY SHORE BOULEVARD AND THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 9; RUNNING THENCE SOUTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF BAY SHORE BOULEVARD 76.161 FEET TO A POINT WHICH IS PERPENDICULARLY DISTANT 200 FEET NORTHEASTERLY FROM THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY PARALLEL WITH SAID LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE 270.088 FEET TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 8; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE LAST MENTIONED LINE 76 FEET LINE OF SAID LOT 8; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE IN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE LAST MENTIONED LINE 76 FEET TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF LOTS 8 AND 9, A DISTANCE OF 265.236 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
PARCEL 4:

THOSE PORTIONS OF LOTS NOS. 8 AND 9 OF VISITACION VALLEY HOMESTEAD ASSOCIATION, ACCORDING TO MAP THEREOF FILED SEPTEMBER 22, 1868, IN MAP BOOK "C" AND "D", PAGE 119, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE POINT OF INTERSECTION OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE AND THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE; RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE 200 FEET; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHEASTERLY 272 FEET; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHWESTERLY 200 FEET TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE NORTHWESTERLY ALONG LAST MENTIONED LINE 272 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, HOWEVER, THAT PORTION HERETOFORE CONVEYED BY DEED FROM W. WIGHTMAN NORTON, A SINGLE MAN; AND MAX SCHWARTZ AND PAULA C. SCHWARTZ, HIS WIFE, TO CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, DATED NOVEMBER 3, 1931, RECORDED DECEMBER 3, 1931, IN TRACT BOOK 189-74, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE, DISTANT THEREON 114.249 FEET NORTHEASTERLY FROM NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE; RUNNING THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE 85.751 FEET TO THE SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE PROPERTY CONVEYED TO A. PENZINER, BY DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 2044 PAGE 223, OFFICIAL RECORDS; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID SOUTHWESTERLY LINE OF THE PROPERTY SO CONVEYED 1.912 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF A CURVE TO THE LEFT, TANGENT TO A LINE DEFLECTED 92° 32' 12" TO THE RIGHT FROM THE PRECEDING COURSE, RADIUS 1937.50 FEET, CENTRAL ANGLE 2° 32' 12", A DISTANCE OF 85.779 FEET TO ITS POINT OF TANGENCY WITH THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAN BRUNO AVENUE AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

BEING A PORTION OF LOT 9, VISITACION VALLEY HOMESTEAD ASSOCIATION, AS PER MAP THEREOF RECORDED IN MAP BOOK "C" AND "D", PAGE 119, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

PARCEL 5:

LOT 10, AS SAID LOT IS SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 11, PAGE 23, FILED JUNE 26, 1979, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, THE TITLE AND EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO ALL OF THE MINERALS AND MINERAL ORES OF EVERY KIND AND CHARACTER NOW KNOWN TO EXIST OR HEREAFTER DISCOVERED UPON, WITHIN OR UNDERLYING SAID PARCEL OF LAND OR THAT MAY PRODUCED THEREFROM, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITING THE GENERALITY OF THE FOREGOING, ALL PETROLEUM, OIL, NATURAL GAS AND OTHER HYDROCARBON SUBSTANCES AND PRODUCTS DERIVED THEREFROM, TOGETHER WITH THE EXCLUSIVE AND PERPETUAL RIGHT OF SAID GRANTOR, ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, OF INGRESS AND EGRESS BENEATH THE SURFACE OF SAID LAND TO EXPLORE FOR, EXTRACT, MINE AND REMOVE THE SAME, AND TO MAKE SUCH USE OF THE SAID LAND BENEATH THE SURFACE AS IS NECESSARY OR USEFUL IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, WHICH USE MAY INCLUDE LATERAL OR SLAT DRILLING,

PARCEL 6:

LOT 7, AS SAID LOT IS SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 16, PAGE 40, FILED JULY 16, 1980, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, THAT PORTION THEREOF LYING BELOW A DEPTH OF 500 FEET, MEASURED VERTICALLY, FROM THE CONTOUR OF THE SURFACE OF SAID PROPERTY; HOWEVER, GRANT OR ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS SHALL NOT HAVE THE RIGHT FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER TO ENTER UPON, INTO OR THROUGH THE SURFACE OF SAID PROPERTY OR ANY PART THEREOF LYING BETWEEN SAID SURFACE AND 500 FEET BELOW SAID SURFACE, AS RESERVED IN THE DEED FROM SOUTHERLY PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, A DELAWARE CORPORATION, TO PACIFIC LITHOGRAPH COMPANY, A CORPORATION, RECORDED SEPTEMBER 4, 1980, BOOK D-55, PAGE 527.

PARCEL 7:

LOT 6, AS SAID LOT IS SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 16, PAGE 40, FILED JULY 16, 1980, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

PARCEL 8:

LOT 8, AS SAID LOT IS SHOWN ON THE MAP OF PARCEL MAP BOOK 16, PAGE 40, FILED JULY 16, 1980, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION OF SAID LAND DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT IN THAT CERTAIN COURSE HAVING A LENGTH OF 596.75 FEET AS SHOWN IN THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 8, DISTANT THEREON SOUTH 1° 16' 55" WEST 190.00 FEET FROM THE NORTHERLY TERMINUS OF SAID COURSE; THENCE CONTINUING ALONG SAID COURSE SOUTH 1° 16' 55" WEST 408.52 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY TERMINUS OF SAID COURSE; THENCE LEAVING SAID COURSE, NORTH 28° 08' 04" WEST 70.13 FEET TO A POINT IN A LINE THAT IS CONCENTRIC WITH AND DISTANT 18 FEET EASTERLY, MEASURED RADIAL FROM THE EXISTING CENTER LINE OF SOUTHERLY PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY’S SPUR TRACK AND THE EXISTING CENTER LINE OF SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY’S WESTBOUND MAIN TRACK (SAN FRANCISCO-SAN LUIS OBISPO): THENCE ALONG SAID CONCENTRIC LINE AS FOLLOWS: NORTHERLY ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 600.00 FEET, A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 18° 29' 24" (TANGENT TO SAID CURVE AT LAST MENTIONED POINT BEARS NORTH 13° 35' 41" WEST), AN ARC DISTANCE OF 193.63 FEET TO A POINT OF COMPOUND CURVE, AND NORTHERLY ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 5,691.69 FEET, A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 1° 34' 15", AN ARC DISTANCE OF 156.05 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID CONCENTRIC LINE, SOUTH 88° 43' 05" EAST 41.39 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL 9:
BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE, DISTANT THEREON 105 FEET AND 8 INCHES EASTERLY FROM THE EASTERLY LINE OF MILLKEN STREET; RUNNING THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE SAID SOUTHERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE 138 FEET AND 8 INCHES; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE SOUTHERLY 224 FEET AND 5 INCHES; THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE WESTERLY 138 FEET AND 8 INCHES; AND THENCE AT A RIGHT ANGLE NORTHERLY 224 FEET AND 5 INCHES TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION LYING WITHIN SAN MATEO COUNTY.

PARCEL 10:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE (FORMERLY TOBIN STREET), DISTANT THEREON 244 FEET 4 INCHES EASTERLY FROM THE EASTERLY LINE OF MILLIKEN STREET, RUNNING THENCE SOUTH 71-½° EAST ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SUNNYDALE AVENUE 277 FEET 4 INCHES; THENCE SOUTH 18-½° WEST 224 FEET 5 INCHES; THENCE NORTH 71-½° WEST 277 FEET 4 INCHES; THENCE NORTH 18-½° EAST 224 FEET 5 INCHES TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM THAT PORTION LYING WITHIN SAN MATEO COUNTY.

APN: Lot 003; Block 5087 (Affects: Lot 3 of Parcel 1)
Lot 003A; Block 5087 (Affects: Lot 3A of Parcel 1)
Lot 014; Block 5099 (Affects: Parcel 2)
Lot 002; Block 5100 (Affects: Parcel 3)
Lot 003; Block 5100 (Affects: Parcel 4)
Lot 010; Block 5100 (Affects: Parcel 5)
Lot 006; Block 5101 (Affects: Parcel 6)
Lot 007; Block 5101 (Affects: Parcel 7)
Lot 009; Block 5102 (Affects: Parcel 8)
Lot 001; Block 5107 (Affects: Parcels 9 and 10)
EXHIBIT D

REGULATIONS REGARDING ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE OF PRIVATELY-OWNED COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS

These Regulations Regarding Access and Maintenance of Privately-Owned Community Improvements ("Regulations") shall govern the use, maintenance and operation of those certain Privately-Owned Community Improvements that are designated as Full Public Access (each, a "Full Public Access Improvement" and collectively, the "Full Public Access Improvements"). The Full Public Access Improvements are the Parks (as defined in Section 5 of this Exhibit), and those sidewalks, bike paths, and pedestrian paths within the Project Site (as defined in the Schlage Lock Design Standards and Guidelines) not dedicated to the City.

1. Public Use. Developer or successor Master HOA shall offer the Full Public Access Improvements for the use, enjoyment and benefit of the public for open space and recreation purposes only including, without limitation, leisure, social activities, picnics and barbecues, playgrounds, sports, and authorized special events; provided, however, that Developer may use the Full Public Access Improvements for temporary construction staging related to adjacent development (during which time the subject Full Public Access Improvement shall not be used by the public) to the extent that such construction is in accordance with the Development Agreement, the Basic Approvals, and any Implementing Approvals.

2. No Discrimination. Developer shall not discriminate against, or segregate, any person, or group of persons, on account of race, color, religion, creed, national origin, gender, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation, age, disability, medical condition, marital status, or acquired immune deficiency syndrome, acquired or perceived, in the use, occupancy, tenure or enjoyment of the Full Public Access Improvements.

3. Maintenance Standard. The Full Public Access Improvements shall be operated, managed and maintained in a clean and safe condition in accordance with the anticipated and foreseeable use thereof.

4. Temporary Closure. Developer shall have the right, without obtaining the prior consent of the City or any other person or entity, to temporarily close any or all of the Full Public Access Improvements to the public from time to time for one of the following two reasons. In each instance, such temporary closure shall continue for as long as Developer reasonably deems necessary to address the circumstances described below:

   a. Emergency. In the event of an emergency or danger to the public health or safety created from whatever cause (including flood, storm, fire, earthquake, explosion, accident, criminal activity, riot, civil disturbances, civil unrest or unlawful assembly), Developer may temporarily close the Full Public Access Improvements (or affected portions thereof) in any manner deemed necessary or desirable to promote public safety, security and the protection of persons and property; or
b. **Maintenance and Repairs.** Developer may temporarily close the Full Public Access Improvements (or affected portions thereof) in order to make any repairs or perform any maintenance as Developer, in its reasonable discretion, deems necessary or desirable to repair, maintain or operate the Full Public Access Repairs.

5. **Operation of the Parks.** Operation of the Parks (defined below) shall be subject to the additional requirements of this Paragraph. For the purposes of these Regulations, the “**Parks**” shall mean each of the following Full Public Access Improvements: [insert list here] Each of the Parks is described in more detail in the *Schlage Lock Design Standards + Guidelines*.

a. **Hours of Operation.** The Parks shall be open and accessible to the public for a minimum of seven (7) days per week during daylight hours, unless reduced hours are approved in writing by the City, otherwise expressly provided for in this Agreement (including, without limitation, Paragraphs 4 and 5(b) of these Regulations), or reasonably imposed by Developer, with the City’s reasonable consent, to address security concerns. No person shall enter, remain, stay or loiter in the Parks when the Parks are closed to the public, except persons authorized in conjunction with a Special Event or other temporary closure, or authorized service and maintenance personnel.

b. **Special Events.** Developer shall have the right to close temporarily to the public all or portions a Park for a period of up to seventy-two (72) consecutive hours in connection with the use of the subject Park for a private special event such as a wedding, meeting, reception, seminar, lecture, concert, art display, exhibit, convention, parade, gathering or assembly (each, a “**Special Event**” and collectively, “**Special Events**”). Prior to closing any Park for a Special Event, a notice of the closure shall be posted at all major entrances to the subject Park for a period of seventy-two (72) hours prior to the Special Event. Developer may require payment of a permit fee or other charge for use of the Parks for Special Events. Developer shall not schedule more than an average of two (2) Full Closure Special Events per Park per month throughout the year, if such Special Event requires closure of more than forty (40) percent the entire Park. Developer shall not schedule more than an average of five (5) Partial Closure Special Events per Park per month throughout the year, if such Partial Closure Special Event requires the closure of up to forty (40) percent of the area of the Park or less. In no event can any one Park be closed for Special Events for more than five (5) consecutive days or more than ten (10) days total in any given month.

c. **Public Events.** The public shall have the right to request the use of the Parks for privately- or publicly-sponsored special events, including meetings, receptions, seminars, lectures, concerts, art displays, exhibits, demonstrations, marches, conventions, parades, gatherings and
assemblies, that do not require the closure of the Parks to the public (collectively, “Public Events”). All Public Events must be approved in advance by Developer. Developer may require payment in the form of a permit fee or other charge for use of the Parks for Public Events, so long as the permit fee and/or use charge do not exceed the reasonable costs for administration, maintenance, security, liability and repairs associated with such event. Developer shall post via on the web a clear explanation of the application process and criteria for review and approval of such Public Events and send copies of such criteria and application forms to the Planning Director and the Director of the San Francisco Department of Recreation and Parks for the purpose of each Department publishing such criteria and application forms if they so choose.

d. **Signs.** Developer shall post signs at the major public entrances to the Parks, setting forth the applicable regulations imposed by these Regulations, hours of operation, and a telephone number to call regarding security, management or other inquiries.

6. **Permissive Use.** Developer may post at each entrance to the Full Public Access Improvements, or at intervals of not more than 200 feet along the boundary, signs reading substantially as follows: “Right to pass by permission, and subject to control, of owner: Section 1008, Civil Code.” Notwithstanding the posting of any such sign, no use by the public nor any person of any portion of the Full Public Access Improvements for any purpose or period of time shall be construed, interpreted or deemed to create any rights or interests to or in the Full Public Access Improvements other than the rights and interests expressly granted in this Agreement. The right of the public or any Person to make any use whatsoever of the Full Public Access Improvements or any portion thereof is not meant to be an implied dedication for the benefit of, or to create any rights or interests in, any third parties. Developer expressly reserves the right to control the manner, extent and duration of any such use.

7. **Arrest or Removal of Persons.** Developer shall have the right (but not the obligation) to use lawful means to effect the arrest or removal of any person or persons who creates a public nuisance, who otherwise violates the applicable rules and regulations, or who commits any crime including, without limitation, infractions or misdemeanors in or around the Full Public Access Improvements.

8. **Project Security During Periods of Non-Access.** Developer shall have the right to block entrances to, to install and operate security devices, and to maintain security personnel in and around the Full Public Access Improvements to prevent the entry of persons or vehicles during the time periods when public access to the Full Public Access Improvements or any portion thereof is restricted or not permitted pursuant to this Agreement. Developer’s proposal to install permanent architectural features that serve as security devices such as gates and fences shall be subject to Design Review Approval as detailed in the Development Agreement.

9. **Removal of Obstructions.** Developer shall have the right to remove and dispose of, in any lawful manner it deems appropriate, any object or thing left or deposited on the Full Public Access Improvements deemed to be an obstruction, interference or restriction of use of
the Full Public Access Improvements for the purposes set forth in this Agreement, including, but not limited to, personal belongings or equipment abandoned in the Full Public Access Improvements during hours when public access is not allowed pursuant to this Agreement.

10. **Temporary Structures.** No trailer, tent, shack, or other outbuilding, or structure of a temporary character, shall be used on any portion of the Full Public Access Improvements at any time, either temporarily or permanently; *provided, however,* that Developer may approve the use of temporary tents, booths and other structures in connection with Public Events or Special Events.
Exhibit E
Impact Fees and Exactions

**Standard Fees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs-Housing Linkage Fee</td>
<td>S.F. Admin Code §§ 34.8, 38.3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee</td>
<td>S.F. Plan. Code §420; Section ____ of this Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Impact Development Fee</td>
<td>S.F. Plan. Code §411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care Fee</td>
<td>S.F. Plan. Code §314.4(b)(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Capacity Charge</td>
<td>Cal. Health &amp; Safety Code §5471; SFPUC Resolution No. 07-0100 (Adopted June 12, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Capacity Charge</td>
<td>SFPUC Resolution No. 07-0099 (Adopted June 12, 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project-Specific Fee**

As described in Section ____ of this Development Agreement, the Schlage Lock development project (the “Project”) will be subject to a transportation impact fee. As indicated in the **Standard Fees** section above, the Transportation Impact Development Fee (“TIDF”) shall apply to all land uses covered in the TIDF fee schedule. In addition, the Project’s residential development shall also be subject to a transportation impact fee of $5.53 per gross square foot\(^1\). Together, the TIDF fee and the additional residential fee shall constitute the Project’s transportation obligation (the “Transportation Obligation”). The proceeds from the Transportation Obligation will fund projects to improve transit service benefitting the local area surrounding the Project.

This fee schedule represents baseline fee amounts. Fees collected may be lower than those listed on this schedule, in consideration for in-kind transportation benefits provided by the Project, as described in Section ____ of this Development Agreement.

For each of the Project’s buildings, the Transportation Obligation fee shall be paid prior to issuance of the first construction document. The fees will be collected by the Planning Department and deposited into the SFMTA’s TIDF fund to be invested into specific public improvements.

The TIDF portion of the Transportation Obligation shall be subject to standard Citywide TIDF fee escalation. The Transportation Obligation for residential development shall not escalate.

---

\(^1\) The fee rate is supported by the nexus study prepared for the City and County of San Francisco by Cambridge Systematics, Inc. in February, 2011, entitled “The San Francisco Transit Impact Development Fee Update.” The fee rate is consistent with the Transportation Sustainability Fee program currently proposed as a replacement for the Transportation Impact Development Fee (“TIDF”).
EXHIBIT F

Phasing Plan

A. Parcel Development

Each of the Project’s eleven (11) development parcels may be developed either by Developer or its transferee, subject to the design controls in the Design for Development (“D4D”). The required order of parcel development is as follows:

1. Phase 1 will consist of Parcels 1 and 2, as well as up to two additional parcels of the Developer’s choice (with Parcels 3 and 4 together and Parcels 5 and 6 together each counting as a single parcel for purposes of defining Phase 1). For Phase 1, Developer shall:
   (a) Submit the Phase Application within 18 months after the execution of the DA;
   (b) Submit to SFDPW the 95% construction drawings for all Public Benefits and Community Benefits requiring DPW permit review within 12 months of receiving Phase Application approval; and
   (c) Procure all required permits within 30 days of receiving all approvals required to obtain those permits.

2. All remaining parcels (“Subsequent Parcels”) may be grouped into development phases (“Subsequent Phases”) at Developer’s election. No Subsequent Phase will be granted Phase Approval nor will any Subsequent Parcel be granted any building permits before (a) all of Phase 1’s residential units have been granted Temporary Certificate of Occupancy and (b) the grocery store on Parcel 1 has either (i) begun operation or (ii) completed all core and shell construction and pulled all building permits for tenant improvements. If all residential units in Phase 1 have received TCO, Developer may seek to amend this grocery store obligation, subject to Planning Commission approval as defined in Section 3 of this Agreement. There are no outside dates before which the Subsequent Phases must commence or be completed, so long as all development is completed within the term of this Development Agreement.

B. Community Improvements, Public Improvements, and CEQA Mitigation Measures

Each improvement and mitigation measure listed in this Phasing Plan must be implemented in accordance with the guidelines set forth below. Detailed descriptions of each improvement or mitigation measures are available in the following documents as indicated: (i) Schlage Lock Design for Development (“D4D”); (ii) Schlage Lock Open Space and Streetscape Masterplan (“OSSMP”); (iii) Schlage Lock Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”); (iv) Schlage Lock Conceptual Infrastructure Plan (“CIP”).

1. Transportation and Infrastructure
a. New rights of way, including all of the water, combined sewer, and power infrastructure beneath them, must be constructed in tandem with, or in advance of, the parcel(s) that those road/utility segments serve, regardless of how those parcels may be grouped into phases. For each of the road segments listed below, Developer must construct all applicable improvements described in the OSSMP, EIR, and CIP, in compliance with all applicable City laws, codes, and regulations in effect as of the date any application is submitted, including water and combined sewer system; power conveyance; road grading and surfacing; sidewalk construction, including the installation of furnishing and landscaping; stormwater management improvements; traffic and pedestrian signs and signals; traffic calming improvements; and the intersections connecting any two constructed segments. These improvements must be determined Complete (as such term is defined in the Development Agreement) and functionally connected to adjacent infrastructure systems before any buildings on the corresponding development parcels may receive any Temporary Certificates of Occupancy. The Developer is responsible for providing temporary infrastructure that is necessary to provide functional service to any phase of development prior to full build out. The City is not obligated to accept as complete or operate temporary infrastructure. The right of way segments and infrastructure improvements required for each parcel or set of parcels are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel(s)</th>
<th>Infrastructure and Right of Way Improvements to be Completed Before Corresponding Parcels May Receive First Certificates of Occupancy (See Attachment 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 + 2     | • Extension of Leland Ave (“Leland”)  
            • Extension of Visitacion Ave (“V-1” and “V-2”)  
            • Adjacent segment of Street A (“A-3”)  
            • “Pedestrian Pathway” between Parcel 1 and Parcel 2  
            • Pedestrian Network between Bayshore Boulevard and the Bayshore Caltrain station, as such term is defined in Section 7.5.1 as a pedestrian network, which will provide pedestrian connectivity between Bayshore Boulevard and the Bayshore Caltrain Station through a combination of permanent sidewalks and temporary pathways.  
            • Adjacent Bayshore Boulevard sidewalk (“BB-2”) |
| 3 + 4     | • Extension of Leland Ave (“Leland”)  
            • Extension of Raymond Ave (“Raymond”)  
            • Adjacent segment of Street A (“A-4”)  
            • “Pedestrian Pathway” between Parcel 3 and Parcel 4 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>Adjoining Bayshore Boulevard sidewalk (“BB-3”)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 + 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extension of Raymond Ave (“Raymond”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Old Office Building Plaza”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Street A (“Parcel E”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjoining Bayshore Boulevard sidewalk (“BB-4”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Visitacion Ave (“V-1”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Lane B (“B-2”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Pedestrian Pathway” bounded by Parcel 7, Parcel 8, Lane B, and Bayshore Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjoining Bayshore Boulevard sidewalk (portion of “BB-1” north of Pedestrian Pathway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Sunnydale Ave (“S-1”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Lane B (“B-2”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Pedestrian Pathway” bounded by Parcel 7, Parcel 8, Lane B, and Bayshore Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjoining Bayshore Boulevard sidewalk (portion of “BB-1” south of Pedestrian Pathway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete Sunnydale Ave extension (“S-1 and S-2”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Lane B (“B-1”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Lane A (“A-1”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Pedestrian Pathway” bounded by Parcel 9, Visitacion Park, Lane A, and Lane B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 + 11</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segments of Lane A (“A-2” and “A-3”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right of way segment at parcels’ southern border (“Parcel F”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Either Leland Ave extension (“Leland”), Visitacion Ave extension (“V-1” and “V-2”), or Sunnydale Ave extension (“Sunnydale”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extension of Sunnydale Ave (“S-1” and “S-2”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjacent segment of Street A (“A-1”)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b. The CEQA transportation mitigations must be delivered in accordance with the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (“MMRP”) and any subsequent findings or amendments, as modified through this Development Agreement.

c. Transportation Demand Management Plan, Attachment __, includes timing requirements for certain improvements, programs, and milestones. The Project must meet or exceed these timing requirements.

2. **Parks** The Project’s parks must be completed as follows: either Leland Park or Central Park, along with all supporting rights of way and infrastructure as defined in Table 1, must be completed before the 600th housing unit may receive its First Certificate of Occupancy. The remaining park, along with all supporting rights of way and infrastructure as defined in Table 1, must be completed before the 975th housing unit can receive its First Certificate of Occupancy. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary above, Leland Park must be delivered before or concurrently with the development of Parcels 3 and 4, even if those parcels do not include the 600th or 975th housing unit. Planning Department and/or Recreation and Parks Department staff will review each park’s design, as well as the design of supporting rights of way and infrastructure, as part of the Phase Approval process for the development phase that includes that park.

3. **Historic Preservation** In conjunction with the Project’s Phase 1 Public Improvements and Community Improvements, the Historic Office Building located at 2201 Bayshore Boulevard (Assessor Parcel Number 5087/003) shall be stabilized and secured and undergo minor exterior improvements as follows: This obligation shall include a mothballing program that provides temporary protection and vandalism and adheres to the following sets of guidelines from National Park Service Preservation Brief No.
31-Mothballing Historic Buildings: Documentation, Stabilization, and Mothballing. This mothballing program shall be undertaken by a qualified professional with demonstrated experience in historic architecture and undertaken by contractors with demonstrated sensitivity to historic buildings. In addition, the Developer shall complete basic exterior improvements including landscaping, planting, and exterior painting. The Developer shall also implement security measures to protect the building from theft, vandalism, and trespassing and shall ensure that these security measures remain in effect until the Historic Office Building’s full historic rehabilitation is complete, as described below.

The Historic Office Building must be fully rehabilitated, as described in Sections 3.6 of this Development Agreement, in conjunction with the development of Parcels 11 and 12. As described in the Project’s certified EIR, Mitigation Measure 10.1, the Historic Office Building must be rehabilitated in accordance with Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for Preserving, Rehabilitating, Restoring, and Reconstructing Historic Buildings or the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings. No development on Parcel 11 or Parcel 12 may receive First Certificates of Occupancy until the Historic Office Building has received all permits and begun construction. Once Parcels 11 and 12 receive First Certificates of Occupancy, no additional parcels may receive First Certificates of Occupancy until after the Historic Office Building receives First Certificates of Occupancy.

4. **Stormwater Management Improvements** At all phases of development, the Developer must provide functioning and adequate stormwater management in compliance with the SFPUC’s post-construction Stormwater management requirements and the Stormwater Design Guidelines. The Developer must complete the construction of the Stormwater Management Improvements required for each development phase prior receiving a temporary certificate of occupancy for that development phase. If a future park will include Stormwater Controls necessary for a particular phase of development or parcel to meet the stormwater management requirements of the SFPUC, that park must be developed in conjunction with that development phase and be complete prior to temporary certificate of occupancy for any project parcel within that phase.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan includes a series of strategies and implementation measures intended to reduce single vehicle occupant travel while enhancing alternate modes of transit in conjunction with the Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock Development Project (Project).

By promoting walking, bicycling, mass transit, and alternative modes of transportation, the TDM Plan seeks to limit single occupancy vehicle trips to no more than 70 percent of total vehicle trips. Several of the Project's land use features, including its mixed-use design, relatively high density, and proximity to existing transit centers will aid in achieving this goal. The Project will emphasize walking and bicycling through streetscape improvements, improved safety, wayfinding, and transit center interconnection. Onsite parking will be disincentivized both due to its limited nature and because parking spaces will sold or rented separately from for sale or rental units, respectively. Moreover, the TDM Plan encourages the use of car and van pooling.

In addition to the features listed above, the TDM Plan incorporates the following key transportation demand management enhancements which go beyond any obligations imposed under existing law (e.g., the Planning Code) and the Project's mitigation monitoring and reporting program.

1. **TDM Coordinator:** The Project will employ a dedicated TDM Coordinator, who will be responsible for undertaking promotional activities, distribution of information, trip planning, inter-agency coordination and monitoring in order to achieve the TDM Plan's goals.

2. **Mode Split Monitoring:** The TDM Coordinator and the Developer will be responsible for monitoring the success of the TDM Plan. Monitoring will include extensive resident, employee and visitor travel surveying. If the periodic surveys indicate that the Project has not met the desired mode split goal (no more than 70 percent single occupancy vehicle trips), the Developer will be required to meet and confer with the City, and may ultimately be required to pay a $30,000 fee to the San Francisco Municipal Transit Agency (SFMTA) for traffic demand management and transportation improvements in the Project area any time a required survey indicates that the mode split goal is not being attained.

3. **Car Share Subsidies:** The TDM Plan encourages the use of car sharing by Project residents. Therefore, each household that moves to the Project site will receive a one-year membership to an on-site car share vendor for all new households within the Project as well as three hours of driving credit with that vendor. Individual rental units and for-sale units may receive maximum cumulative car sharing benefits totaling $250 and $100, respectively.

4. **Transit Pass Subsidies:** Each household will receive $30 per month in Clipper Card credit that can be spent on any transit system that accepts Clipper. This subsidy will be provided continuously for 15 years for each dwelling unit.

5. **Increased Bicycle Parking:** Article 1.5 of the Planning Code, the Project would be imposes standard bicycle parking requirements for various uses. The TDM Plan requires the Developer to provide 150% of the amount of bicycle parking spaces required by the Code.
1.0 INTRODUCTION

Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock Development Project (Project) proposes to build a mixed-use transit-oriented community in a 20-acre portion of the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development Area: Zone 1 (Schlage Lock Site) owned by Visitacion Development LLC or its Assignees (Developer) that is being redeveloped pursuant to a Development Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco.

The Schlage Lock site consists of the former Schlage Lock factory located east of Bayshore Boulevard bounded on the east by Tunnel Avenue and on the south by the county line and properties fronting Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue.

Schlage Lock Project Site and Surrounding Neighborhood

The Project includes up to 1,679 new residential units. There will also be approximately 46,700 square feet of neighborhood serving commercial development and approximately 15,000 square feet of community-serving, cultural, institutional and educational spaces. The Project will construct pedestrian-friendly streets and foster sidewalk activity by providing multiple street level entrances to new residential and retail buildings and improving pedestrian safety along Bayshore Boulevard through pedestrian-oriented intersections.

The Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and the subsequent Addendum estimated that the implementation of the Project would generate approximately 11,318 vehicle trips on a weekday daily basis, including 1,505 vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 1,606 vehicle trips during the PM peak hour. These vehicle trips reflect assumption that 70 percent of the project-related person trips would be made by automobiles.

As part of the efforts to reduce the project-generated vehicle trips, this document – the Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan – presents various strategies that would reduce single occupant vehicle (SOV) travel and increase the use of rideshare, transit, bicycle and walk trips to and from the Project Area. Typically TDM programs include both “carrot” and “stick” elements. Incentives are much more effective when accompanied by disincentives and, vice versa, disincentives are most effective when viable alternatives to driving SOVs are provided. As such, there are two distinct approaches to implementing the proposed TDM programs. The first approach involves land use factors and various sustainable and smart street design features that encourage alternative modes of travel, and the second approach involves efforts to reduce reliance on SOV use. To this end, the Schlage Lock TDM Plan commits the Developer to certain notable program improvements above those required under applicable code provisions to encourage new modes of travel.

The following sections present the elements of the proposed TDM programs, the estimated costs of strategies and proposed funding sources to cover these costs.
2.0 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM) OVERVIEW

The overarching goal of the TDM Plan is to promote walking, bicycling, transit and other alternative modes of transportation to driving alone. In order to achieve this goal, the TDM Plan recommends a combination of land use and infrastructure improvements and supporting programs to increase the likelihood of shifting transportation mode split away from SOV trips. This section summarizes these strategies.

2.1 Design Strategies

2.1.1 Land Use Factors

- **Mixed-Use Development** – The Project will provide proper mixes of multiple land uses in the project site including residential, commercial, and community-serving uses. Providing different types of land uses close together affects people’s travel patterns. For example, locating a grocery store and a variety of retail options within a close proximity to residential development would reduce travel distances and allow more walking and cycling trips for the on-site residents and for neighbors in the wider Visitacion Valley.

- **Higher Density Development** – The Project proposes to provide infill housing and commercial developments along the Bayshore and Leland commercial corridors. Increasing the land use density would likely decrease travel distances and travel speed by providing a more compact, mixed, and walkable environment. It will also increase the likelihood of having potential destinations within proximity of one’s residence, reducing travel distances and the need for automobile travel.

- **Proximity to Transit Center** – The majority of the Schlage Lock site is located within a reasonable walking distance of ¼ mile from the Bayshore Caltrain Station or the light rail transit stops on Bayshore Boulevard. The Project promotes the use of transit by building well-lit, pedestrian-friendly connections to transit stops from adjacent parcels and by enhancing the attractiveness, safety and functionality of transit stop locations adjacent to the Schlage Lock site.
Site Land Use Plan
2.1.2 Street Designs

People walk more when destinations are within close proximity and are accessible through safe and interesting areas with storefronts, street trees, street furniture and other pedestrian-oriented amenities. The Project will include the following streetscape improvements that promote such travel behaviors in and near the Schlage Lock site.

- Streetscape Improvements – Carry out streetscape improvements for Leland Avenue extension, Bayshore Boulevard, Sunnydale Avenue and Tunnel Avenue which include enhanced landscaping, lighting, signage and traffic calming where needed.

- Pedestrian-Oriented Streetscape – Provide new streets and pedestrian pathways to serve new development parcels in the Schlage Lock site. Implement the pedestrian-oriented streetscape plans that have been designed for all new roadways, and facilitate improvements to existing street intersections.

- Improve Pedestrian Safety – Assist City departments in implementing pedestrian and bicycle safety programs, including street and sidewalk improvements, traffic calming projects and expansion or improvement of the local bicycle network. Improve the safety, pedestrian-orientation and look of Bayshore Boulevard through traffic calming and enhanced sidewalks. Install “bulb-outs” at certain street corners to improve pedestrian safety and create space for sidewalk amenities such as trees/plants, bicycle racks, and public art.

- Wayfinding – A comprehensive wayfinding signage program will support the network of walkways and shared-use paths, encouraging pedestrian and bicycle trips.

- Pedestrian-friendly Destinations – Develop family-oriented, pedestrian-friendly destinations for leisure and shopping, such as picnic tables and playground areas.

- Connection to Transit Centers – All streets within the Schlage Lock site leading to the Caltrain Station and future bus rapid transit (BRT) stops will have sidewalks, crosswalks, and lighting.
These design strategies are expected to affect people’s travel behaviors and complement the various TDM strategies listed in Section 2.2.
2.2 Transportation Demand Management Programs

2.2.1 Designate a TDM Coordinator

The Property Owner(s) (i.e., landowners, building owners, homeowners’ associations, or apartment operators, etc) would improve the management of the TDM program by appointing a dedicated part-time TDM Program Coordinator (Coordinator) responsible for the oversight of the program. The Coordinator will be in charge of the following activities:

- **Promotional Activities** – Promote and manage implementation of the TDM program by participating in various activities listed in Section 2.2.3.

- **Information Distribution** – Develop information package of transportation services on project site including transit routes and schedules and connections to bicycle routes. Distribute the information package to new homeowners, tenants, and employees. The Coordinator will also maintain a website which provides transportation-related data and real-time transit information.

- **Monitoring Progress** – Monitor the progress of TDM programs by conducting travel surveys as outlined in Section 2.2.2.

- **Trip Planning** – From the day that the first family moves in, a plan will be in place to help people discover alternatives to driving alone in a car. The Coordinator will provide information package of transportation services to new homeowners, tenants and employees and help them plan trips using alternative mode of transportation.

- **Coordination** – Work with transportation agencies, and others to promote transit, vanpooling, carpooling and carsharing, bicycling and walking in and around the Schlage Lock site.

2.2.2 Monitor Progress of TDM Programs

The Coordinator will conduct resident, employee and visitor travel surveys in order to monitor and improve the effectiveness of TDM Programs.

**Mode Split Monitoring.** The Coordinator, with the assistance of the Developer, will design, conduct and submit a written report on that status of implementing all TDM measures required by this TDM plan. The report will also contain the results of a survey of residents, employees’, and visitors’ travel behavior. The survey shall include (a) car and bike parking occupancy, (b) driveway ins/outs, and (c) an assessment of single occupancy vehicle travel to assess whether the project is meeting its project’s target mode split of 70% single occupancy vehicle trips and 30% all other modes of travel, consistent with its EIR. The first survey will be conducted when the first 400 residential units are constructed and occupied. Additional surveys will be conducted every two years thereafter.

Each study will either provide evidence that the Project has achieved a goal of a maximum single occupancy vehicle trips of 70% or less or state that the Project has not achieved this goal and provide an explanation of how and why the goal has not been reached and a proposal for additional measures that will be adopted in the coming two years to attain the TDM goal. If any study indicates that the Project has not reached the mode split goal, the Developer and SFMTA shall meet and confer to determine a reasonably achievable program of additional measures for attaining the TDM goal. If SFMTA and the Developer are unable to reach agreement on a program of additional measures within 90 days of the completion of the study, or within a longer period of time if agreed to by both parties, the Developer will pay SFMTA $30,000 (in FY 2014
dollars adjusted by CPI) within 60 days following the end of the meet and confer period. These funds will be used by SFMTA solely for transportation demand management or transportation improvements related to the Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock traffic area as determined by SFMTA. The format of the survey and study will be prepared in consultation with the SFTMA.

The Coordinator will monitor and update, as appropriate, the TDM Program once every two years based on the results of the surveys, even if the surveys indicate that the mode split targets have been achieved.

### 2.2.3 Promote TDM Program

The TDM Coordinator will enhance the effectiveness of the TDM program by implementing the following additional promotional activities:

- **Host Transportation Day Fair** – Organize and conduct a Transportation Day Fair annually. The Coordinator shall invite representatives from local and regional transportation agencies, the Bicycle Coalition, 511 Rideshare, and carshare companies to attend the fair and provide information about transit, ridesharing, bicycling, car sharing, etc.

- **Encourage Participation** – The fair should be accessible to the general public and include incentives, such as free food and drinks and drawings for transit passes, bicycles, or other prizes, that would attract employees and residents to attend the fair.

- **Information distribution** – Publish a newsletter or an e-mail newsletter with annual updates on transit and travel issues within the Schlage Lock site, highlights of TDM program elements and benefits, and contact information for Coordinator and useful resources.
- **Designated Website** – Create a dedicated intranet/web site/page containing relevant information on transit, paratransit, taxi, airport shuttle, bicycle, and parking, as well as related links.

- **Commuter Benefits Program** – Work with major employers/businesses to consider participating in the Commuter Benefits program for tax-free paycheck deductions of transit and bicycle commuter expenses.

### 2.2.4 Parking Strategies

Property Owner(s) will increase financial incentives to alternative modes of travel and disincentives for SOV usage by enforcing the following parking policies:

- **Maximum Permitted Parking** – Construct no more than one off-street parking space per residential unit, as required by the project’s design controls.

- **Unbundled Residential Parking** – Sell or lease “unbundled” residential parking separately from units, as required by City code. Unbundling parking makes the cost of parking visible to households, and may encourage some residents to save money by opting for a single off-street space or no dedicated parking. Unbundled parking would also serve as a “self selection” incentive for residents who prefer to live in car-free or car-reduced neighborhoods.

- **On-Street Parking Management** – Cooperate with the SFMTA’s parking management strategy, which may result in the installation of time restriction signage, residential permit parking areas, and/or on-street metered/paid parking, where appropriate on public streets.

- **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will identify and report potential parking management improvements to Property Owners.
2.2.5 Promote Carpool/Vanpool

- **Coordinate with 511 Rideshare** – The Coordinator will work with 511 Rideshare, a web-based rideshare matching program which helps single occupancy vehicle (SOV) drivers to find a potential partner to carpool or vanpool with, to establish a rideshare matching program.

- **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will promote 511 Rideshare via written material, website, and at the Transportation Fair.
2.2.6 Promote CarSharing

Property Owner(s) will promote car sharing by providing the following car sharing amenities:

- **Dedicated Car Share Parking** – Provide a sufficient number of dedicated “car sharing” for lease to vendors (e.g., City CarShare, ZipCar, or similar businesses and organizations) that will meet the required car sharing requirements set forth in the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District.

- **Introductory Car Share Membership** – Each household, through its building owner or homeowners association, will receive a one-year membership to a car share vendor that makes vehicles available on the Schlage Lock site, including the payment of any costs associated with application processing. Each household will also receive enough driving credit to cover at least three hours of driving in the least expensive vehicle available on-site from that vendor.

The commitment to provide such benefits shall be for a maximum cost of $250 for rental units and $100 for for-sale units. Each new household to rent an apartment or purchase a condominium at the Project site will receive the car share benefits described in the previous paragraph, even if that household is not the initial purchaser or lessee, unless the cumulative car share expenditure associated with that household’s particular unit has met or exceeded the maximum cost for its tenure type as described in this paragraph. Once the maximum cost has been incurred for a particular unit, that unit’s homeowners association or landlord may elect to exempt all subsequent purchasers or lessees of that unit from the car share membership requirement. The difference in maximum costs between rental and for-sale units reflects the expectation that rental units will turn over more frequently, so each rental unit will be required to provide this car share benefit to more households during the term of the Development Agreement.

Each building owner or homeowners association may elect whether to break out this car share cost as a distinct line item on a unit’s sale or lease price.

If at any point in the future the City establishes a bulk car share membership program, the Developer or any Schlage Lock building owner may request that SFMTA replace this Schlage Lock-specific car share membership requirement with the City’s program or a variation thereof. So long as the City’s program or proposed variation thereof would provide an equivalent or superior car share benefit to Schlage Lock’s residents, this request shall not unreasonably be denied.
• **Site-License Program** – Investigate and implement, where feasible, “site license” arrangement with a car share vendor that would allow reduced cost memberships to the onsite employees and residents who are not participating in the Introductory Car Share Membership program described above.

• **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will promote car sharing via written material, website, and at the Transportation Day Fair.
2.2.7 Promote Bicycling

Property Owner(s) will promote bicycle usage by providing the following:

- **Enhanced Bicycle Facilities** – All new streets and intersections have been designed with consideration for the convenient and the safety of pedestrians and bicycles and with connections to any Class I, II, and III bicycle routes adjacent to the site.

- **Bicycle Support Facilities** – Install bicycle parking spaces in each building and provide various bicycle support facilities, in accordance with the Design for Development and Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, to encourage bicycling, including outdoor bike racks, bike-sharing stations, and indoor bike storage. The Property Owner will include a number of bicycle parking spaces that is 1.5 times the amount of parking spaces required under the provisions of Article 1.5 of the Planning Code. The property owner will also provide shower(s) and/or changing space, as described in the Planning Code, in individual commercial units greater than 10,000 square feet.

- **Bicycle Sales or Rental** – Market onsite retail spaces to bicycle sales or rental vendors.

- **Bicycle Wayfinding** – Provide a comprehensive wayfinding signage program that would support the network of walkways and shared-use paths, encouraging pedestrian and bicycle trips.

- **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will work with the cities of San Francisco, Brisbane, Daly City, and other organizations to investigate the feasibility of providing a Shared Bicycle Program. The Coordinator will also promote bicycling through “Bike to Work Day” and other bike safety events.
2.2.8 Promote Transit Usage

Property Owner(s) will promote transit usage by providing the following:

- **On-Site Transit Pass Sales** – Provide on-site sale of transit passes in the grocery store.

- **Enhanced Transit Service** – Work with SamTrans, Caltrain JPB, and SFMTA to provide transit shelters at the bus stops located within or adjacent to the Schlage Lock site and to install “Next Bus” or similar technology at a prominent location to provide transit users with real-time transit and shuttle bus arrival time information.

  **Resident Transit Pass** – Require that all households, through their building owners or homeowners associations, receive $30 per month in Clipper Card credit that can be spent on any transit system that accepts Clipper. For each housing unit, the transit pass contribution requirement will continue for fifteen years from that unit’s date of initial occupancy. Each building owner or homeowners association may elect whether to break out this car share cost as a distinct line item on a unit’s sale or lease price.

- **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will work with transit operators to obtain group discount for transit pass costs and will promote transit use via written material, website, and at the Transportation Day Fair.
2.2.9 Encourage Walking

Property Owner(s) will encourage walking onsite by providing the following pedestrian amenities:

- **Enhanced Pedestrian Facilities** – All new streets and intersections have been designed with consideration for the convenient and the safety of pedestrians and bicycles.

- **Pedestrian Connection to Transit** – Provide sidewalks, crosswalks, and lighting on all streets within the Schlage Lock site leading to the Caltrain Multi-modal Transit Center and BRT stops.

- **Pedestrian Wayfinding** – Provide a comprehensive wayfinding signage program that would support the network of walkways and shared-use paths, encouraging pedestrian and bicycle trips.

- **On-going Efforts (Post-Buildout)** – The Coordinator will promote walking through “Walk to School Day” and other pedestrian safety events.
EXHIBIT K

Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program

1. Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program ("Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement"). Except as expressly modified by this Agreement, the Project shall satisfy the requirements of Planning Code Section 415 as of the Effective Date for all of the residential units constructed on the Project Site from and after the Effective Date (the “Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement”). The Parties shall calculate numerical amounts needed to implement the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement (including but not limited to household income eligibility requirements, permitted rental and sales prices, and Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee amounts) using the formulas or methodologies provided by Planning Code Section 415 as of the Effective Date but with then-current data (such as then-current household income data and fee amounts).

Not less than two-thirds (2/3) of the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement shall be satisfied with On-site Below Market Rate (“BMR”) Units. For each Principal Project meeting the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement by delivering On-Site BMR Units, those On-Site BMR units must be constructed on the Project Site at a rate that equals 15% of the total units in the Principal Project. The number of completed On-site BMR units across the Project Site shall equal at least 10% of total completed Principal Project units at any given time. For the purpose of this agreement, the developer can meet its On-site requirement by (i) constructing BMR Units in a Principal Project within the Project Site; (ii) constructing BMR Units in no more than one building with more than 20% BMR units that is built by the Developer within the Project Site; or, (iii) through the dedication of land to the City within the Project Site. The exact number and location of BMR Units per building in each Development Phase, and the number of Inclusionary Affordable Housing Fee payments (if any), shall be identified in each Development Phase Approval.

Developer may construct or cause to be constructed (through land dedication) a greater number of On-site BMR Units than is required to meet a Principal Project’s Inclusionary Housing Program On-site requirement as long as no mixed-income development parcel contains more than 15% BMR Units if not utilizing California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) bonds with 4% Low-Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) and no more than 20% BMR units if utilizing CDLAC with 4% LIHTC. Should the Developer construct On-site BMR Units using CDLAC with 4% LIHTC, the On-site requirement remains 15% rather than any higher percentage required in the Planning Code Section 415. The income target of any BMR Units funded with CDLAC with 4% LIHTC shall be no higher than 50% of AMI under the income table used by MOHCD and not that used by the State.

Any BMR Units provided in addition to the requirement of the 15% On-site requirement shall be counted against the total number of On-site BMR Units required for the next development parcel, whether or not that next development parcel is in the same Development Phase. Except in the case of a land dedication, any On-site BMR Units must have received their First Certificate of Occupancy before or concurrently with the issuance of the corresponding Principal Project’s First Certificate of Occupancy.
To satisfy the On-site requirement, Developer has the option to construct or cause to be constructed (through land dedication) an Affiliated Project. An "Affiliated Project" can be either one building with more than 20% affordable units that is constructed by Developer or one parcel of land dedicated by Developer to the City according to certain restrictions set forth in this agreement. Developer is limited to only one Affiliated Project across all Development Phases.

Any Affiliated Project that is developer-built must be built on either Parcel 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Any Affiliated Project that is developer-built may utilize government financing in the form of CDLAC with 4% LIHTC, but no other form of government financing without the approval of MOHCD. There is no minimum parking requirement for such project, but any use of the podium space shall be programmed in consultation with MOHCD and subject to the approval of MOHCD. Any affordable units in such project will adhere to the rules and requirements of Section 415 and the Procedures Manual.

In the case of an Affiliated Project that is developer-built that becomes a 100% rental project, the parcel where the 100%-affordable Affiliated Project is located, or that parcel’s air rights if the parcel’s podium is shared with that of an adjacent parcel, shall be owned by the City. In the case of a rental project, Developer shall select a developer that has experience with low-income tax credit programs, tax exempt bonds, and the development and asset management of affordable housing in San Francisco. Such developer choice shall be subject to the approval of MOHCD, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

In the case of an Affiliated Project that is developer-built that becomes an ownership project, the land or any air rights will not be owned by the City.

In the case of an Affiliated Project that is developer-built that is less than 100% affordable, the land or any air rights will not be owned by the City in either case of an ownership or rental project.

For any Affiliated Project built by the Developer, the City reserves the right to place households within the units within the Affiliated Project. If the City opts to place a household in an Affiliated Project and that household does not meet the income threshold specified for the Inclusionary Housing Program and/or requires additional financial assistance and/or services, the City will pay any difference in such costs to the owner of the Affiliated Project. The bedroom count within the Affiliated Project must average at least two bedrooms per unit. Any Affiliated Project must adhere to the Quality Standards for Off-site BMR Units as set forth in the City and County of San Francisco Inclusionary Affordable Housing Program Monitoring and Procedures Manual (“Procedures Manual”), as amended from time to time. Such rules are not required for an Affiliated Project that is a land dedication.

In the case of any land dedication, the dedicated site must be sized based on either a Modified Type III or Type V over I construction type.

2. Permitted Updates; No Conflicts. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties shall implement the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement in accordance with the provisions of Planning Code Section 415 and the Procedures Manual, as published by MOHCD and as updated from time to time, except for any updates or changes that conflict with the requirements of this
Agreement as set forth in Section 2.2.2. In addition, the following changes shall be deemed to conflict with this Agreement and therefore shall not apply to the Project Site: (i) any increase in the required number or percentage of BMR Units; (ii) any change in the minimum or maximum area median income (AMI) percentage levels for the BMR Units pricing or income eligibility; (iii) any change in the permitted On-site to Off-site or Affordable Housing Fee ratio as set forth in this Agreement and (iv) any change that conflicts with the express provisions of this Section 4.2. The income levels used for pricing and selling any BMR units shall be based on the unadjusted median income levels derived from the Department of Housing and Urban Development on an annual basis for the San Francisco area, adjusted solely for household size, but not high housing cost area.

3. **Satisfaction of Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement.** The Parties acknowledge that the satisfaction of the Inclusionary Housing Requirement for any Principal Project must occur before or concurrently with the construction of new Principal Project Market Rate Units. To ensure the foregoing policy goal is met, Developer shall submit a written intent to the San Francisco Planning Department and MOHCD before each phase approval indicating the manner in which the Inclusionary Housing Requirement will be satisfied with respect to each Principal Project within each phase, which may include (i) construction of BMR Units within the Principal Project; (ii) construction of BMR Units within up to one building with more than 20% BMR units within that Development Phase; (iii) attribution of excess units in a building that was completed in a previous phase; (iv) payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, but only for a limited portion of the Inclusionary Housing Program requirement, such that the number of On-site affordable housing units is no less than 10% of total completed Principal Project units at any given time; (v) construction of BMR Units outside of the boundaries of the Project Site through the Off-site option as set forth in Planning Code Section 417.7 and the Procedures Manual, such that the number of On-site affordable housing units is no less than 10% of total completed Principal Project units at any given time; and, (vi) dedication to the City of a development-ready parcel, with utilities and all other site preparation complete and entitled for housing that is equivalent in size and quality to the Principal Project(s) seeking approvals within the same development phase, following the rules and requirements set forth in the Procedures Manual but for rules regarding the amount of land to be dedicated. Any land dedication proposal is subject to approval from MOHCD.

BMR units delivered through options (i), (ii), or (iii) may satisfy a Principal Projects’ Inclusionary Housing Program requirement by providing BMR units On-site at a rate that equals 15% of the total units in the Principal Project. Option (iv) may satisfy a Principal Project’s Inclusionary Housing Program requirement at a rate that equals 20% of the Principal Project’s units and option (v) may satisfy a Principal Project’s Inclusionary Housing Program requirement at a rate that equals 23% of the Principal Project’s units. Under option (vi), three (3) units of Development Capacity will be considered equivalent to one (1) complete BMR unit as delivered through option (i), (ii), or (iii), where Development Capacity is defined as the total number housing units entitled under the Site’s current zoning and design controls, provided that the average entitled unit size is equivalent to that of the Principal Project(s) seeking approvals within the same development phase.
The location and the minimum and maximum number of BMR Units in each Principal Project and Affiliated Project (or the satisfaction of the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement through payment of the Affordable Housing Fee as permitted by this Agreement) shall be subject to the review and approval of the San Francisco Planning Department and the Director of MOHCD, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld but shall be consistent with the practices and policies of the San Francisco Planning Department and MOHCD in other areas of the City and consistent with the terms of this Development Agreement; provided, however, that no more than fifteen percent (15%) of the units within a building other than the Affiliated Project may be BMR Units, unless the building is utilizing CDLAC and 4% LIHTC, in which case no more than twenty percent (20%) of the units may be BMR units.

If the approved manner of satisfying the Inclusionary Housing Program requirement for a Principal Project is to construct On-site Units in buildings other than the Affiliated Project, those units must have received their First Certificate of Occupancy before or concurrently with issuance of the First Certificate of Occupancy for the corresponding Principal Project.

If the approved manner of satisfying the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement for a Principal Project includes the construction of BMR units in an Affiliated Project, such units in the Affiliated Project must have received their First Certificate of Occupancy before or concurrently with issuance of the First Certificate of Occupancy for the corresponding Principal Project unless the Developer has delivered to the City a security instrument guaranteeing the completion of the BMR units within 12 months of the receipt of the First Certificates of Occupancy. This security instrument shall be a letter of credit or an equivalent security instrument to the satisfaction of MOHCD.

If the approved manner of satisfying the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement for a Principal Project includes the dedication of land to the City within the Project Site, any dedicated land must be conveyed before the issuance of the First Construction Document for the corresponding Principal Project. If the approved manner of satisfying the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement for a Principal Project includes the payment of the Affordable Housing Fee, then the payment of such Affordable Housing Fee must be made before the issuance of the First Construction Document for the Principal Project. If the approved manner of satisfying the Inclusionary Housing Program Requirement for a Principal Project includes construction of BMR Units outside of the boundaries of the Project Site, those units must have received their First Certificate of Occupancy before or concurrently with issuance of the First Certificate of Occupancy for the corresponding Principal Project.
Exhibit N
Subdivision Requirements

Initially capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Agreement to which these Subdivision Requirements are attached.

Subdivision Requirements. Notwithstanding the City's Subdivision Code, the following provisions shall apply to subdivision within the area covered under this Agreement. In the case of a conflict between these provisions and this Agreement, this Agreement shall prevail. For purposes of this Section, DPW Director shall also mean City Engineer and County Surveyor, unless provided otherwise.

1. Public Improvements.
   (a) General. Public Improvements listed in this Section shall (where provided) meet the design and construction standards in the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.
   (b) Streets.
      (1) Dedicated Public Streets. A subdivision and each lot, parcel, and unit thereon shall have direct access to a public right-of-way. Title to a new or widened public right-of-way shall be conveyed to the City by proper deed at the time provided for in this Agreement.
      (2) Private Streets. Easements for government facilities in private streets and other private areas shall meet the requirements of Section 5 of these Subdivision Requirements.
   (c) Frontage Improvements. The frontage of each lot shall be improved to the geometric section specified by the DPW Director in accordance with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards and the street structural section, curbs, sidewalks, planting areas, driveway approaches and transitions in accordance with the Subdivision Regulations.
   (d) Pedestrian Ways. Pedestrian ways shall be required in accordance with Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.
   (e) Sanitary and Drainage Facilities. The Subdivider shall provide sanitary and drainage facilities consistent with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards unless this Agreement specifically provides otherwise. When connected to City facilities, such facilities will serve adequately all lots, dedicated areas and all other areas comprising the subdivision.
   (f) Fire Protection. The Subdivider shall provide for the installation of fire hydrants and other appurtenances and facilities needed for adequate fire protection consistent with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.
(g) Street Lighting. The Subdivider shall provide street lighting facilities along all streets, alleys and pedestrian ways consistent with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.

(h) Fencing. An approved fence may be required on parcels or lots within the subdivision adequate to prevent unauthorized access between the subdivided property and adjacent properties.

(i) Transportation Infrastructure. The Subdivider shall provide all transportation infrastructure consistent with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards unless this Agreement specifically provides otherwise.

(j) Other Improvements. Other improvements may be required including, but not limited to, grading, dry utilities, open space parcel improvements, temporary fencing, signs, street lines and markings, street trees and shrubs, street furniture, landscaping, monuments, bicycle facilities, and smoke detectors, or fees in lieu of any of the foregoing, shall also be required as determined by the DPW Director in consultation with the Planning Director, but only to the extent consistent with Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and the General Plan.

2. Utilities.

The Subdivider shall provide or cause to be provided a water system, connected to the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's water distribution system as well as all other required public facilities as set forth in the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement. The Subdivider shall also provide electric, gas and communication services connected to the appropriate public utility's distribution system.


(a) Undergrounding of Utilities. All new utility lines shall be undergrounded as specified in Article 18 of the Public Works Code.

(b) Street Trees and Landscaping. Trees planted along a public street, within the right-of-way, and all landscaping within said right-of-way shall conform to the requirements of the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement. In the case of all newly constructed subdivisions, the Subdivider shall provide street trees and landscaping conforming to the policies of the General Plan, Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement. Provisions shall be made for maintenance of said trees.

(c) Open Areas on Private Property. When required pursuant to the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement, the Subdivider shall provide for the landscaping of open areas on private property and provision shall be made for the maintenance thereof. Such open areas shall be restricted to such use in accordance with the Basic Approvals and this Agreement.
4. **Parkland Dedication.**

Park and open space improvements and dedications shall be provided as required by the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement, and in conformance with the standards set forth therein and subject to the approval of the DPW Director and other affected City agencies.

5. **Easements.**

Easements for City utilities and City facilities, such as sanitary and drainage facilities, fire protection facilities and City-owned street lighting facilities shall be for the use of such governmental facilities, with the right of immediate access to the utilities and facilities by the City.

6. **Monuments.**

The location and installation of survey monuments shall conform to the standards in the Subdivision Regulations. When such monuments are "tied" to the City or State monuments, for which coordinates of the California Coordinate System are available, the corresponding coordinates for such monuments shall be determined and recorded. The location of survey monuments shall be shown on the Final Map. In the event all survey monuments are not installed prior to filing of the Final Map or Parcel Map a monument bond shall be filed at that time.

7. **General Improvement Requirements.**

(a) The Subdivider shall provide for the construction and installation of all Public Improvements in the subdivision in accordance with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement.

(b) Notwithstanding any provision of the Public Works Code to the contrary, a Subdivider or applicant may request from the DPW Director a street improvement permit to initiate the construction of Public Improvements independent of or as part of the approval of a Final Map or Parcel Map. Said permit shall comply with the applicable provisions of the Subdivision Code and any additional provisions set forth in this Agreement. In addition, all such permits shall comply with the provisions of Public Works Code Sections 2.3.1 et seq., if such provisions are applicable to the work contemplated under the permit. Fees for said permits shall be according to the Public Works Code Sections 2.1 et seq. unless modified by the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.

8. **Improvement Plans.**

(a) Following approval of the Tentative Map and prior to filing of the Final Map, the Subdivider's engineer shall submit grading and construction plans for any required Public Improvements to the DPW Director for approval.

(b) Improvement plans including grading plans and an erosion control plan, as appropriate, shall be prepared under the direction of a qualified and duly licensed professional civil engineer registered in the State of California.
(c) Improvement plans shall conform to the Subdivision Regulations regarding format, size and contents.

(d) Any specifications supplementing DPW's Standard Specifications shall be considered a part of the improvement plans.

(e) The improvement plans shall reflect the Public Improvement required in accordance with this Agreement or any amendments thereto.

(f) The DPW Director shall act upon and review improvement plans within the time periods specified in Section 66456.2 of the Subdivision Map Act. This time limit may be extended by mutual agreement. The DPW Director shall send a copy of the improvement plans to all affected City agencies for their review and approval. The DPW Director's review of the improvement plans shall conform with the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards.


(a) No construction of Public Improvements shall commence until improvement plans have been approved by the DPW Director and affected City agencies, and appropriate City permits have been issued. Prior to issuance of any such permits, the Subdivider shall provide easements or obtain easements from third parties to allow for the City to complete construction of Public Improvements on private property should the Subdivider fail to do so and to allow for public use, if necessary, prior to City acceptance of such Public Improvements. Also, prior to issuance of any such permits, the Subdivider shall provide an irrevocable offer of dedication of private property in fee title, including grant deeds, or obtain an irrevocable offer of dedication of private property in fee title from third parties where said property is designated for use as future public right-of-way in accordance with this Agreement and the Basic Approvals. The Subdivider, at the City's option, shall provide an irrevocable offer of dedication for private property in fee title, including grant deeds, or obtain an irrevocable offer of dedication for private property in fee title from third parties where Public Improvements will be constructed on said property. In addition, Subdivider also shall provide an irrevocable offer of dedication of any Public Improvements constructed pursuant to this Agreement and the Basic Approvals.

(b) Notwithstanding Administrative Code Chapter 23, the Director of Property is authorized to enter into easements for a term of five (5) years or less for purposes of Subsection (a) above or other purposes associated with construction and use of Public Improvements as set forth in this Agreement.

(c) Construction of Public Improvements that are to be accepted by the City as Public Improvements or for public maintenance and liability purposes shall be subject to inspection by the DPW Director and the City agency that will assume jurisdiction over the Public Improvement. The Subdivider is responsible for paying the applicable engineering inspection fee as specified in the Public Works Code.

(d) Any work done by the Subdivider prior to issuance of appropriate City permits or approval of improvement plans, including changes thereto, or without the inspection and testing
required by the DPW Director is subject to rejection. Such work shall be deemed to have been done at the risk and peril of the Subdivider.

(e) The design and layout of all required improvements, both on-site and off-site, private and public, shall conform to the Basic Approvals, the Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and Tentative Map conditions consistent therewith.

(f) Installation of Underground Facilities. All underground facilities including sanitary and drainage facilities, and duct banks, and excepting survey monuments installed in streets, alleys, or pedestrian ways shall be constructed, by the Subdivider and inspected and approved by the DPW Director, prior to the surfacing of such street, alley or pedestrian way. Service connections for all underground utilities and sewers shall be laid to such length as will in the DPW Director's opinion obviate disturbing the street, alley, or pedestrian way improvements when service connections are completed to properties in the subdivision.

10. Failure To Complete Improvements Within Agreed Time.

The improvement agreement shall include provisions consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement regarding extensions of time and remedies when improvements are not completed within the agreed time.

11. Revision To Approved Plans.

Requests by the Subdivider for revisions to the approved improvement plans shall be submitted in writing to the DPW Director and shall be accompanied by drawings showing the proposed revision. If the revision is acceptable to the DPW Director and any affected City agency and consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, this Agreement, and the Tentative Map, the DPW Director shall initial the revised plans. Construction of any proposed revision shall not commence until revised plans have been received and approved by the DPW Director and any affected City agency.

12. Improvement Agreement.

(a) General. This Section shall apply only to Public Improvements that have not been completed or conditions that have not been fulfilled prior to filing a Parcel or Final Map. An agreement (the "improvement agreement ") shall be approved by the DPW Director, approved as to form by the City Attorney, and executed by the DPW Director on behalf of the City. The improvement agreement shall be consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, this Agreement, and the Tentative Map and shall provide for:

(1) Construction of all Public Improvements required pursuant to the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, this Agreement, and conditions imposed on the Tentative Map or Parcel Map consistent therewith, including any required off-site improvements, within the time specified by Section 13;
(2) Satisfaction of conditions precedent to the transfer of title to the City of all land and improvements required to be dedicated to or acquired by the City, if the City elects to defer transfer of title until after the Public Improvements have been completed consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and this Agreement, including any approved title exceptions as defined therein, which are or shall be specified in this Agreement;

(3) Payment of inspection fees in accordance with applicable City regulations, consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards;

(4) Improvement security as required by Section 15;

(5) Maintenance and repair of any defects or failures of the required Public Improvements, and to the extent feasible, removing their causes, prior to acceptance of the Public Improvements by the City;

(6) Release and indemnification of the City from all liability incurred in connection with the construction and design of Public Improvements and payment of all reasonable attorneys' fees that the City may incur because of any legal action or other proceeding arising from the construction, except release and indemnification disallowed under the Subdivision Map Act or any other State or federal law pursuant to the procedures provided in the Subdivision Map Act;

(7) Payment by Subdivider of all costs and reasonable expenses and fees, including attorneys' fees, incurred in enforcing the obligations of the improvement agreement;

(8) Any other deposits, reimbursements, fees or conditions as required by City regulations consistent with Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, and as may be required by the Director;

(9) Any other provisions required by the City as reasonably necessary to effectuate the purposes and provisions of the Subdivision Map Act, the Basic Approvals, and Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, in accordance with this Agreement.

(b) Any improvement agreement, contract or act required or authorized by the Subdivision Map Act or this Agreement for which security is required, shall be secured in accordance with Section 66499 et seq. of the Subdivision Map Act and this Agreement.

13. Completion Of Improvements.

(a) The Public Improvements for subdivisions of five or more parcels which are not otherwise required to be completed prior to recordation of a Final Map, shall be completed by the Subdivider within the time specified in an improvement agreement which is consistent with the Basic Approvals, Existing Standards and any non-conflicting Future Changes to Existing Standards, this Agreement, and the Tentative Map.
(b) The completion of Public Improvements for subdivisions of four or fewer parcels which are not otherwise required to be completed prior to recordation of a Parcel Map or Final Map may be deferred until a permit or other grant of approval for the development of any parcel within the subdivision is applied for, unless the completion of the Public Improvements is found to be necessary pursuant to this Agreement, for public health or safety, or for the orderly development of the surrounding area, in which case the improvement agreement shall specify a time for completion. If any required Public Improvements are not completed at the time of recordation of a Parcel Map or Final Map for four or fewer parcels, an improvement agreement is required pursuant to this Agreement. This finding shall be made by the DPW Director, after consultation with appropriate City agencies. The specified date for completion of the Public Improvements, when required, shall be stated in the improvement agreement. Public Improvements shall be completed in accordance with the improvement agreement.

(c) Completion dates may be extended by the DPW Director according to the following procedures:

(1) The Subdivider must request an extension in writing, stating adequate evidence to justify the extension, by letter to the DPW Director. The request shall be made not less than 30 days prior to expiration of the improvement agreement. The Director may grant such extensions, subject to the terms of the improvement agreement.

(2) The DPW Director may condition approval of an extension agreement upon the following:

(i) Revised improvement construction estimates to reflect current improvement costs as approved by the DPW Director;

(ii) Increase of improvement securities in accordance with revised construction estimates;

(iii) Inspection fees may be increased to reflect current construction costs but shall not be subject to any decrease or refund; and,

(iv) Conditions that the DPW Director deems necessary to assure the timely completion of Public Improvements.

(3) If authorized by the DPW Director, the Subdivider shall enter into an improvement agreement extension ("extension agreement") with the City. The extension agreement shall be approved by the DPW Director and the City Attorney, and executed by the Director and the Subdivider.

(4) The costs incurred by the City in reviewing and processing the extension agreement shall be paid by the Subdivider at actual cost.

(d) Should the Subdivider fail to complete the Public Improvements within the specified time, or correct all deficiencies within the time specified for completion, the City may, by resolution of the Board of Supervisors and at its option, cause any or all uncompleted Public Improvements to be completed and all uncorrected deficiencies to be corrected, and the
Subdivider and parties executing the security or securities shall be firmly bound for the payment of all necessary costs.

(e) As-Built Plans. Upon completion of the Public Improvements, the Subdivider shall submit to the DPW Director a reproducible set of as-built improvement plans in the format the DPW requests.


(a) General. With respect to all subdivisions, when any deficiencies in the required Public Improvements have been corrected, as-built improvement plans submitted, and the City Engineer, upon written request from the Subdivider, issues a Notice of Completion, the completed Public Improvements shall be considered by the Director for acceptance.

(b) Acceptance. If the Public Improvements have been completed to the satisfaction of the DPW Director and the affected City agencies, and are ready for their intended use, the Director shall provide the Board of Supervisors with a written certificate to that effect, and the Public Improvements shall be accepted by the Board of Supervisors, by ordinance, subject to the provisions of San Francisco Administrative Code Section 1.52. Acceptance of the improvements shall imply only that the improvements have been completed satisfactorily, are ready for their intended use, and that Public Improvements have been accepted for public use. Acceptance of any Public Improvement shall not effect a waiver of any rights the City may have as to warranties and construction defects.

(c) Warranty Periods.

(1) Pump Station and Stormwater Management System Warranty. The Subdivider shall warranty each pump station and the stormwater management system for three years after the City Engineer issues its Notice of Completion for said pump station. The General Manager of the SFPUC also shall approve any Notice of Completion issued under this Subsection.

(2) Warranty for all other Public Improvements. Other than as provided in (c)(1) above and in Section 3.7.7 of this Agreement, the Subdivider shall warranty all Public Improvements for two years after the City Engineer issues its Notice of Completion for said Infrastructure unless the City agency with jurisdiction over the Public Improvement authorizes a shorter warranty period. To the extent the Public Improvement is within SFMTA jurisdiction, the appropriate SFMTA official also shall approve any Notice of Completion issued under this Subsection.

(3) Subdivider's liability pursuant to the warranties in Subsections (c)(1) and (2) above shall cover latent defects and defective material or workmanship, and shall not extend to ordinary wear and tear or harm or damage from improper maintenance or operation of the Public Improvement by a City agency or the City agency's agent.

15. Security For Improvements.

(a) The requirements of this Section apply to all improvement agreements.
(b) No Final Map or Parcel Map shall be signed by the DPW Director or recorded until all improvement securities required by this Article in the form prescribed by the City pursuant to Government Code Section 66499 et seq., have been received and approved.

(c) A performance bond or other acceptable security as provided in Section 66499 of the Government Code in the amount of 100 percent of the estimated cost of completion of the construction or installation of all Public Improvements, as determined by the DPW Director, shall be required of all subdivisions to secure satisfactory performance of those obligations. As a guarantee of payment for the labor, materials, equipment and services required, a payment bond or other acceptable security shall be required for 50 percent of the estimated cost of completion of the Public Improvements as determined by the DPW Director. For purposes of the preceding sentences, the "estimated cost of completion" shall include all costs of remediating any hazardous materials as necessary to permit completion of the required Public Improvements, unless those costs are otherwise secured as provided in this Agreement.

(d) The security shall be released or reduced upon completion of construction as follows:

(1) The security shall be reduced to no less than 10 percent of the original amount for the purpose of guaranteeing repair of any defect in the improvements which occurs within one year of when: (i) the Public Improvements have been deemed complete to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and DPW Director; and (ii) the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors certifies that no claims by any contractor, subcontractor or person furnishing labor, materials or equipment for the required Public Improvements have been filed against the City prior to or within a 100-day period following completion of the Public Improvements.

(2) If any claims by any contractor, subcontractor or person furnishing labor, materials or equipment to the Subdivider have been filed against the City, then the performance security shall only be reduced to an amount equal to the amount of all such claims filed or to 10 percent of the original amount, whichever is greater.

(3) The security may be reduced in conjunction with completion of a portion of the Public Improvements to the satisfaction of the DPW Director, to an amount determined by the Director; however, in no event shall the amount of the security be reduced below the greater of (i) the amount required to guarantee the completion of the remaining portion of Public Improvements and any other obligation imposed by the Subdivision Map Act, this Code or the improvement agreement; or (ii) below 10 percent of the original amount of the security.

(4) The security shall be released when all of the following have occurred:

(i) One year has passed since the date of acceptance by the Board of Supervisors, or one year has passed since the date that all deficiencies that the DPW Director identifies in the required Public Improvements have been corrected or waived in writing; and

(ii) If any claims identified in Subsection (d)(1)(ii) have been filed against the City, all such claims have been satisfied or withdrawn, or otherwise secured.

As a guarantee of good faith to furnish and install the required survey monuments and to pay the Subdivider's engineer or surveyor for said work, the Subdivider shall furnish a corporate surety bond or other acceptable security for an amount equal to 100 percent of the estimated cost of such work. Such work shall consist of satisfactorily furnishing and installing the said survey monuments and of accurately fixing exact survey points thereon.

17. Payment Of Taxes And Liens.

Prior to recordation of a Final Map or Parcel Map, the Subdivider shall comply with all applicable provisions governing taxes and assessments as set forth in Sections 66492, 66493 and 66494 of the Subdivision Map Act and any amendments thereto.

18. Term of Tentative Maps.

Upon approval of any Tentative Map at the Project Site, the term of such Tentative Map shall be extended until the expiration or termination of the Development Agreement notwithstanding any other City law, provided that approvals obtained in the last 5 years of the term of the Development Agreement shall extend for the greater of (a) the term of the Development Agreement or (b) the maximum applicable time provided for under City law. Notwithstanding anything in Section 66474.2 of the Subdivision Map Act or the City’s Subdivision Code to the contrary, it shall be a condition to the approval of any Tentative Map, that the ordinances, policies and standards applicable to the Tentative Map shall be the Existing Standards and any applicable Future Changes to Existing Standards permitted under the Development Agreement.
SEC. 56.17. PERIODIC REVIEW.

(a) **Time for and Initiation of Review.** The Director shall conduct a review in order to ascertain whether the applicant/developer has in good faith complied with the development agreement. The review process shall commence at the beginning of the second week of January following final adoption of a development agreement, and at the same time each year thereafter for as long as the agreement is in effect. The applicant/developer shall provide the Director with such information as is necessary for purposes of the compliance review.

Prior to commencing review, the Director shall provide written notification to any party to a collateral agreement which the Director is aware of pursuant to Sections 56.11(a) and (d), above. Said notice shall summarize the periodic review process, advising recipients of the opportunity to provide information regarding compliance with the development agreement. Upon request, the Director shall make reasonable attempts to consult with any party to a collateral agreement if specified terms and conditions of said agreement have been incorporated into the development agreement. Any report submitted to the Director by any party to a collateral agreement, if the terms or conditions of said collateral agreement have been incorporated into the development agreement, shall be transmitted to the Commission and/or Board of Supervisors.

(b) **Finding of Compliance by Director.** If the Director finds on the basis of substantial evidence, that the applicant/developer has complied in good faith with the terms and conditions of the agreement, the Director shall notify the Commission and the Board of Supervisors of such determination, and shall at the same time cause notice of the determination to be published in the official newspaper and included on the Commission calendar. If no member of the Commission or the Board of Supervisors requests a public hearing to review the Director's determination within 14 days of receipt of the Director's notice, the Director's determination shall be final. In such event, the Director shall issue a certificate of compliance, which shall be in recordable form and may be recorded by the developer in the official records. The issuance of a certificate of compliance by the Director shall conclude the review for the applicable period.

(c) **Public Hearing Required.** If the Director determines on the basis of substantial evidence that the applicant/developer has not complied in good faith with the terms and conditions of the development agreement, or otherwise determines that the public interest would be served by further review, or if a member of the Commission or Board of Supervisors requests further review pursuant to Subsection (b) above, the Director shall make a report to the Commission which shall conduct a public hearing on the matter. Any such public hearing must be held no sooner than 30 days, and no later than 60 days, after the Commission has received the Director's report. The Director shall provide to the applicant/developer (1) written notice of the public hearing scheduled before the Commission at least 30 days prior to the date of the hearing, and (2) a copy of the Director's report to the Commission on the date the report is issued.
(d) **Findings Upon Public Hearing.** At the public hearing, the applicant/developer must demonstrate good faith compliance with the terms of the development agreement. The Commission shall determine upon the basis of substantial evidence whether the applicant/developer has complied in good faith with the terms of the development agreement.

(e) **Finding of Compliance by Commission.** If the Commission, after a hearing, determines on the basis of substantial evidence that the applicant/developer has complied in good faith with the terms and conditions of the agreement during the period under review, the Commission shall instruct the Director to issue a certificate of compliance, which shall be in recordable form, may be recorded by the applicant/developer in the official records, and which shall conclude the review for that period; provided that the certificate shall not be issued until after the time has run for the Board to review the determination. Such determination shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors. Notice of such determination shall be transmitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors within three days following the determination. The Board may adopt a motion by majority vote to review the decision of the Planning Commission within 10 days of the date after the transmittal. A public hearing shall be held within 30 days after the date that the motion was adopted by the Board. The Board shall review all evidence and testimony presented to the Planning Commission, as well as any new evidence and testimony presented at or before the public hearing. If the Board votes to overrule the determination of the Planning Commission, and refuses to approve issuance of a certificate of compliance, the Board shall adopt written findings in support of its determination within 10 days following the date of such determination. If the Board agrees with the determination of the Planning Commission, the Board shall notify the Planning Director to issue the certificate of compliance.

(f) **Finding of Failure of Compliance.** If the Commission after a public hearing determines on the basis of substantial evidence that the applicant/developer has not complied in good faith with the terms and conditions of the agreement during the period under review, the Commission shall either (1) extend the time for compliance upon a showing of good cause; or (2) shall initiate proceedings to modify or terminate the agreement pursuant to Section 56.18.

**SEC. 56.18. MODIFICATION OR TERMINATION.**

(a) If the Commission, upon a finding pursuant to Subdivision (f) of Section 56.17, determines that modification of the agreement is appropriate or that the agreement should be terminated, the Commission shall notify the applicant/developer in writing 30 days prior to any public hearing by the Board of Supervisors on the Commission's recommendations.

(b) **Modification or Termination.** If the Commission, upon a finding pursuant to Subdivision (f) of Section 56.17, approves and recommends a modification or termination of the agreement, the Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing to consider and determine whether to adopt the Commission recommendation. The procedures governing Board action shall be the same as those applicable to the initial adoption of a development agreement; provided, however, that consent of the applicant/developer is not required for termination under this section.
SEC. 56.3. DEFINITIONS.

...

(d) "Commission" shall mean the City Planning Commission.
ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT

RELATIVE TO
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

THIS ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT (hereinafter, the “Assignment”) is entered into this ____ day of _______________, ______, by and between VISITACION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company (“Assignor”), and ________________________, a ____________________ (“Assignee”).

RECITALS

A. On ____________, _____, Assignor and the City and County of San Francisco, a political subdivision and municipal corporation of the State of California (the “City”), entered into that certain Development Agreement by and between the City and County of San Francisco and Visitacion Development, LLC, a California limited liability company relative to the development known as the Schlage Lock Development Project (the “Development Agreement”) with respect to certain real property owned by Assignor, as such property is more particularly described in the Development Agreement (the “Subject Property”). The Development Agreement was recorded in the Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco on __________ as Document No. ________.

B. Assignor intends to convey certain real property as more particularly identified and described on Exhibit A attached hereto (hereafter the “Assigned Parcel”) to Assignee. The Assigned Parcel is subject to the Development Agreement.
C. Assignor desires to assign and Assignee desires to assume Assignor’s right, title, interest, burdens and obligations under the Development Agreement with respect to and as related to the Assigned Parcel, as more particularly described below.

ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION

NOW, THEREFORE, Assignor and Assignee hereby agree as follows:

1. Initially capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Development Agreement.

2. Assignor hereby assigns to Assignee, effective as of Assignor’s conveyance of the Assigned Parcel to Assignee, all of the rights, title, interest, burdens and obligations of Assignor under the Development Agreement with respect to the Assigned Parcel, including the following obligations:
   a. [____________________]
   b. [____________________]

Assignor retains all the rights, title, interest, burdens and obligations under the Development Agreement with respect to all other portions of the Subject Property owned by Assignor.

3. Assignee hereby assumes, effective as of Assignor’s conveyance of the Assigned Parcel to Assignee, all of the rights, title, interest, burdens and obligations of Assignor under the Development Agreement with respect to the Assigned Parcel and agrees to observe and fully perform all the duties and obligations of Assignor under the Development Agreement with respect to the Assigned Parcel (including but not limited to those set forth in paragraph 2 above), and to be subject to all the terms and conditions thereof with respect to the Assigned Parcel. The parties intend that, upon the execution of this Agreement and conveyance of the Assigned Parcel to Assignee, Assignee shall become substituted for Assignor as the “Developer” under the Development Agreement with respect to the Assigned Parcel.

4. Assignee hereby consents to, makes, and expressly reaffirms any and all Indemnifications and releases of the City set forth in the Development Agreement including without limitation Section 6.10 of the Development Agreement.

5. Assignee hereby covenants and agrees that:
   a. Assignee agrees to all of the provisions of Article 4 of the Development Agreement, including but not limited to the nonapplicability of the Costa-Hawkins Act. Assignee shall not challenge the enforceability of any provision or requirement of the Development Agreement, including but not limited to the provisions and waivers set forth in Article 4 of the Development Agreement with respect to the Costa-Hawkins Act (California Civil Code section 1954.50 et seq.);
   b. Assignee shall not sue the City in connection with (i) any and all disputes between Assignor and Assignee arising from this Assignment or the Development Agreement,
(ii) any failure to complete all or any part of the Project by any party, or (iii) any harm resulting from the City’s refusal to issue further permits or approvals to a defaulting party under the terms of the Development Agreement;

c. Assignee shall Indemnify the City and its officers, agents and employees from, and if requested, shall defend them against any and all Losses resulting directly or indirectly from (i) any dispute between Assignor and Assignee arising from this Assignment or the Development Agreement, (ii) any failure to complete all or any part of the Project by any party, or (iii) any harm resulting from the City’s refusal to issue further permits or approvals to a defaulting party under the terms of the Development Agreement.

6. All of the covenants, terms and conditions set forth herein shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective heirs, successors and assigns.

7. The notice address for Assignee under Section 13.11 of the Development Agreement shall be:

_______________________  
_______________________  
Attn:  __________________  
Tel:  ___________________  
Fax:  ___________________

With copy to:

_______________________  
_______________________  
Attn:  __________________  
Tel:  ___________________  
Fax:  ___________________

8. This Assignment may be executed in as many counterparts as may be deemed necessary and convenient, and by the different parties hereto on separate counterparts, each of which, when so executed, shall be deemed an original, but all such counterparts shall constitute one and the same instrument.

9. This Assignment and the legal relations of the parties hereto shall be governed by and construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to its principles of conflicts of law.

10. [add transfer of bonds or security, if applicable]
11. Nothing in this Agreement changes the terms of the Development Agreement. In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Agreement and the terms of the Development Agreement, the terms of the Development Agreement shall control.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK;
SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]
IN WITNESS HEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Assignment as of the day and year first above written.

ASSIGNOR: VISITACION DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a California limited liability company
By:

ASSIGNEE: ___________________________,
a __________________________
By: ____________________________
Its: _____________________________

CONSENT:
City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation
By: ____________________________
   Planning Director

[All Signatures must be Acknowledged]
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SS.

COUNTY OF

On ______________ before me, _____________________, personally appeared _________________________________ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal Signature

My commission expires

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SS.

COUNTY OF

On ______________ before me, _____________________, personally appeared _________________________________ who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal Signature

My commission expires
[Urging the Planning Commission to Initiate and Consider Amendments to the General Plan Concerning the Schlage Lock/Visitation Valley Special Use District]

Resolution urging the Planning Commission to initiate and consider amendments to Maps 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the Commerce and Industry Element, Map 6 of the Transportation Element, Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element, and the Land Use Index of the San Francisco General Plan to implement the Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District.

WHEREAS, On April 28, 2009, this Board approved the Visitation Valley Redevelopment Plan pursuant to Resolution No. 70-09. In addition, the Board approved amendments to the General Plan, Planning Code, and Zoning Map, pursuant to Resolution Nos. 72-09, 73-09, and 71-09, respectively, in order to implement the Redevelopment Plan; and,

WHEREAS, Visitacion Development, LLC, a California limited liability company, a subsidiary of Universal Paragon Corporation, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Project Sponsor”) is the owner of that certain real property formerly occupied by the Schlage Lock Company, also referred to as “Zone 1” of the Redevelopment Plan Area (the “Project Site”). The Project Site generally consists of approximately 20 acres located east of Bayshore Boulevard, bounded on the east by Tunnel Avenue and on the south by the City/County line, and encompassing the vacant Schlage Lock property, adjacent former Southern Pacific property, and other underutilized industrial properties. The remaining portion of the Redevelopment Plan Area primarily on the west side of Bayshore Boulevard is referred to as “Zone 2”, totaling approximately 26 acres, and is comprised primarily of general commercial, light industrial, residential, and mixed use parcels fronting on Bayshore Boulevard, and
neighboring commercial, residential, and mixed-use parcels fronting on both sides of Leland Avenue extending generally to Rutland Avenue; and,

WHEREAS, The former Redevelopment Agency was dissolved by legislation adopted in 2011 and effective on February 1, 2012, by order of the California Supreme Court in a decision issued on December 29, 2011. Because the legislation and court decision dissolving redevelopment occurred prior to the approval of an Owner Participation Agreement between the former Redevelopment Agency and the Project Sponsor, the City lost the ability to access the public funds necessary to implement the Redevelopment Plan; and,

WHEREAS, The Project Sponsor has applied to the City for a development agreement relating to the Project Site, to amend the Planning Code to amend the Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, to amend the General Plan to change applicable height and bulk classifications, and to amend applicable zoning maps; and,

WHEREAS, The Project Sponsor has proposed a long-term, mixed-use development program that includes up to 1,679 dwelling units of new housing, up to 46,700 square feet of new retail, and the rehabilitation of a historic office building located on-site (the “Schlage Lock Development Project” or “Project”). Through the development of the Project, the Project Site will be transformed into a mixed-use, transit-oriented development with new public streets and new parks; and,

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency certified a final environmental impact report (“FEIR”) for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Program, Planning Department File No. 2006.1308E, on December 18, 2008. The project analyzed in the FEIR was the Redevelopment Program for the approximately 46-acre Redevelopment Plan Area; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Department is currently preparing an Addendum to the FEIR to analyze modifications proposed by the Project Sponsor to develop the Schlage Lock site
(Zone 1), which modifications are referred to in the Addendum as the “Modified Project.” The Modified Project differs from the Project analyzed in the FEIR with respect to Zone 1 only, by, among other things, increasing the number of residential units from 1,250 to 1,679 and reducing the amount of retail commercial uses from 105,000 to 46,700 square feet. The amount of cultural uses would not be changed and is still projected to include 15,000 new square feet; and

WHEREAS, Maps 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the Commerce and Industry Element, Map 6 of the Transportation Element, and Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element include the geographic area the Schlage Lock/Visitation Valley Special Use District; and,

WHEREAS, These Maps are proposed to be amended as part of the Proposed Project to add reference to the Schlage Lock/Visitation Valley Special Use District; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Planning Commission to initiate and consider those proposed amendments to Maps 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the Commerce and Industry Element, Map 6 of the Transportation Element, and Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element of the General Plan that are included in the attached ordinance, consistent with the proposed Schlage Lock Development Project.
[General Plan Amendment - Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District]

Ordinance amending the San Francisco General Plan to amend Maps 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the Commerce and Industry Element, Map 6 of the Transportation Element, Maps 4 and 5 of the Urban Design Element, and the Land Use Index to implement the Visitation Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District, which generally includes the properties bounded by Bayshore, Blanken and Tunnel Avenue to the San Francisco/San Mateo County line to the south, including the properties fronting Bayshore Boulevard from Arleta Avenue to the San Francisco/San Mateo County line to the south and including the properties fronting Leland Avenue from Cora Street to Bayshore Boulevard; and making environmental findings and findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1.

NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. Additions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and determines that:

(a) Environmental Findings. The San Francisco Planning Commission and the former San Francisco Redevelopment Agency certified a final environmental impact report (“FEIR”) for the Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Program, Planning Department File No. 2006.1308E, on December 18, 2008. The project analyzed in the EIR was for redevelopment of an
approximately 46-acre project area in San Francisco’s Visitacion Valley neighborhood, extending on both sides of Bayshore Boulevard roughly between Sunnydale Avenue and Blanken Avenue and along the Leland Avenue commercial corridor. The project was intended to facilitate re-use of the vacant Schlage Lock property along the east side of Bayshore Boulevard (also referred to as “Zone 1”), revitalize other properties along both (east and west) sides of Bayshore Boulevard, and help revitalize the Leland Avenue commercial corridor.

When California eliminated its Redevelopment Agencies in February, 2012, the City of San Francisco initiated new efforts to move forward with the development of the Schlage Lock site (Zone 1) in light of reduced public funding and jurisdictional change. Thus, the proposed project design was revised with respect to Zone 1, and these modifications were analyzed in an Addendum to the FEIR prepared by the Planning Department and referred to as the “Modified Project”. The Modified Project differs from the project analyzed in the FEIR in that, among other changes, the project sponsor for Zone 1, the former Schlage Lock site, proposes to increase the number of residential units from 1,250 to 1,679 and reduce the amount of retail commercial uses from 105,000 to 46,700 square feet. The amount of cultural uses on the site would not change and is still projected to include 15,000 new square feet. The Addendum found that the projected growth for the rest of the project site analyzed in the FEIR (referred to as “Zone 2”) would remain the same as analyzed in the FEIR.

The Board has reviewed the FEIR and the Addendum and hereby finds that since certification of the FEIR, no changes have occurred in the proposed project or in the circumstances under which the project would be implemented that would cause new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of impacts identified and analyzed in the FEIR, and that no new information has emerged that would materially change the analyses or conclusions set forth in the EIR. The Modified Project would not necessitate
implementation of additional or considerably different mitigation measures than those identified in the FEIR.

Additionally, the Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the environmental findings of the Planning Commission, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________, including but not limited to the Planning Commission’s rejection of certain transportation mitigation measures as infeasible and its finding that no other feasible mitigation measure are available to address certain identified significant impacts, and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, a copy of which is on file with the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________.

(b) Pursuant to San Francisco Charter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340, any amendments to the General Plan shall first be considered by the Planning Commission and thereafter recommended for approval or rejection by the Board of Supervisors. On _____________, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on the proposed General Plan Amendments pursuant to Planning Code Section 340 and, by Resolution No. _____________, adopted the General Plan Amendments, and recommended them for approval to the Board of Supervisors. A copy of Planning Commission Resolution No. _____________ is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____________.

(c) The Board of Supervisors finds that the proposed General Plan amendment is in conformity with the priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and on balance is consistent with the General Plan as it is proposed for amendments herein, and hereby adopts the findings set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____________ and incorporates such findings herein by reference.

(d) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, the Board finds that the proposed General Plan amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience and welfare for the reasons set
forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____________, which reasons are
incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the following amendments to:
Map 1 (“Generalized Commercial and Industrial Land Use Plan”), Map 2 (“Generalized
Commercial and Industrial Density Plan”), Map 4 (“Residential Service Areas of Neighborhood
Commercial Districts and Uses”), and Map 5 (“Generalized Neighborhood Commercial Land
Use and Density Plan”) of the Commerce and Industry Element; Map 6 (“Vehicular Street
Map”) of the Transportation Element; and Map 4 (“Urban Design Guidelines for Height of
Buildings”) and Map 5 (“Urban Design Guidelines for Bulk of Buildings”) of the Urban Design
Element of the General Plan:

(a) Add a boundary line around the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District
as set forth in Sectional Map SU10 of the Zoning Map of the City and County of San
Francisco; and

(b) Add a reference that states “See Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use
District.”

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the following amendment to the
General Plan Land Use Index:

The Land Use Index shall be updated as necessary to reflect the amendments set forth
in Section 2, above.

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By:
MARLENA G. BYRNE
Deputy City Attorney
The Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Design for Development (D4D) document provides a design framework for transforming the Schlage Lock site into a walkable neighborhood and for creating strong connections to the existing Visitacion Valley community. This document includes design controls for development on the Schlage Lock Site, as well as design guidelines for the Schlage Lock site and nearby segments of Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard.

The D4D document works in concert with several related implementation documents and requirements, including the following:

Development Agreement between the City of San Francisco and Visitacion Valley Development, LLC, establishes the terms and responsibilities for the development of the Schlage Lock Site and provision of community benefits.

Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan establishes schematic designs for new parks, open space and streets on the Schlage Lock site. It includes material palettes, as well as planting, lighting, stormwater, public art and furnishing plans.

Infrastructure Master Plan defines the infrastructure improvements required to construct the Schlage Lock Site, including environmental remediation, grading, water and sewer systems, stormwater management, and street improvements.

Transportation Demand Management Plan provides a combination of land use, infrastructure improvements, and supporting programs to increase the likelihood of shifting transportation modes away from driving alone. It includes measures which mitigate environmental impacts and additional measures pursuant to the Development Agreement.

Special Use District in the Planning Code includes additional building standards and development procedures to those included in the D4D.
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INTRODUCTION & PROJECT BACKGROUND

Project Background

Community interest in redeveloping the long-dormant Schlage Lock site has been growing since the factory’s closure in 1999. Active efforts for change began in earnest in 2000, catalyzed by a proposal for a Home Depot on the site. The proposal met with community opposition. The Board of Supervisors imposed interim zoning controls on the site to prevent construction of a large retail use and to encourage the long-term planning of the site. Supervisor Sophie Maxwell sponsored several workshops in 2001 to begin a conversation about the future of the site, including clean-up of contamination remaining from its industrial past. In partnership, the Planning Department, San Francisco Planning and Urban Research (SPUR) and the Visitacion Valley Planning Alliance applied for a Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s Transportation for Livable Cities grant to hold a second series of workshops to establish a vision for the Schlage Lock site. The result was the “Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Community Planning Workshop, a Strategic Concept Plan and Workshop Summary,” (Strategic Concept Plan) published in July 2002, which called for site redevelopment that protects community health, creates housing opportunities, and provides neighborhood-serving retail, community services and open space.
In 2005, Supervisor Maxwell, the Planning Department, and the Office of Economic and Workforce Development began a new community design process to refine the site plans for the Schlage Lock site, develop permanent land use and development controls, and to initiate a Redevelopment Survey Area for Visitacion Valley. The Board of Supervisors designated Visitacion Valley as a Redevelopment Survey Area by Resolution No. 424-05 on June 07, 2005. Building upon the 2001 workshops, the Strategic Concept Plan and the 2004 public workshop series related to streetscape improvements on Leland Avenue raised awareness of the natural and built environment of Visitacion Valley and its watershed. What began as a project with the fundamental goal of protecting people’s health evolved into the broader objective of revitalizing one of the City’s historically overlooked neighborhoods into a model of sustainable design and redevelopment.

Based on input from members of the public and the Visitacion Valley Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) made up of volunteers representing homeowners, residents, businesses and local organizations, the City effort culminated in the 2009 Visitacion Valley Redevelopment Plan. An earlier draft of this Design for Development (D4D) document was a companion to the Redevelopment Plan.

When California eliminated its Redevelopment Agencies in February 2012, the City of San Francisco initiated new efforts to achieve the Redevelopment Plan’s goals in the face of reduced public funding. The Planning Department, Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure (the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency), and Office of Economic and Workforce Development partnered with the owner/project sponsor Universal Paragon Corporation (UPC) and the community to transform the Schlage Lock site. The partnership evaluated the Project’s feasibil-
ity and additional tools to improve the site without the Redevelopment Agency’s funding mechanisms.

After two years, four community workshops, and several meetings and resolutions of the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Advisory Body (made up of members of the former CAC), the renewed effort culminated in a Development Agreement (DA) with the project sponsor, a new Special Use District in the Planning Code, an Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan (OSSMP), and this Design for Development document to guide building design and urban form.

**Project Area**

The Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District (herein referred to as the “Special Use District”) includes the vacant, former Schlage Lock industrial site, adjacent vacant parcels formerly used for Southern Pacific railroad operations, and existing properties fronting on Bayshore Boulevard and the Visitacion Valley neighborhood’s commercial corridor of Leland Avenue.

The Special Use District (SUD) area shown in Figure 1-1, includes two Development Districts designated as Zone 1 and Zone 2. Zone 1 (the “Site”) has been environmentally mitigated and will be significantly redeveloped. It includes the Schlage Lock and former Southern Pacific Railroad sites. Zone 2 contains the properties along Bayshore Boulevard west of the Schlage site and properties along Leland Avenue from the Schlage Lock Site in the east to the Visitacion Valley Library and Rutland Street in the west.
How to use the Design for Development document

This Design for Development (D4D) document, together with the SUD, Section 249.45 of the Planning Code, guides, controls and regulates growth and development in the SUD area. The D4D builds on the Schlage Lock Strategic Concept Plan published in 2002, the former Redevelopment Plan, and input from the CAC and members of the community.

Other documents also set the terms for developing the Schlage Lock site. These include the Development Agreement (DA), the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan (OSSMP), the Infrastructure Master Plan, and the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan. Outlined at the beginning of this D4D, they work in concert to define, guide and regulate City and developer responsibilities, improvements and the design framework for the site.

This 2014 document will replace the Design for Development document adopted in 2009.

Part I of the Design for Development provides background information on the SUD area and relevant changes in and near Visitacion Valley. It describes the planning process to date, outlines community goals for the area, and provides the urban design framework for redeveloping the Schlage Site.

Part II of the Design for Development contains Development Controls to direct future development in Zone 1 and Design Guidelines to guide development in the entire SUD (Zones 1 and 2). The Development Controls and Design Guidelines, in tandem with the SUD and underlying San Francisco Planning Code requirements, regulate development within the Project Area. Both the Development Controls and Design Guidelines in the D4D supersede the Planning Code unless otherwise noted in this document or stated in the SUD.

Within Zone 1, the former Schlage Lock site, the Development Controls and Design Guidelines specify the location and basic dimensions for new streets and sidewalks, the location and amounts of publicly accessible open spaces, landscaping and other infrastructure improvements. They also regulate and guide land use, new construction, including residential and commercial building design elements, building massing, parking controls and the relationship of buildings to the public realm. Where the D4D is silent, the underlying Planning Code will regulate development.

Within Zone 2, new development on private and publicly-owned property is subject only to the Design Guidelines component of the D4D. The Design Guidelines are the main criteria behind design review and approval of individual projects in Zone 2, therefore projects should be consistent with the Design Guidelines. Changes in use, demolitions, reconstruction and additions to existing structures shall also be subject to these Design Guidelines. In this Zone, the Planning Code will regulate development.
the mandatory aspects of development such as land use, height, massing, etc., and the Development Controls shall not apply.

In addition to being required to follow the Development Controls, the Design Guidelines and the regulations of the Planning Code, development within the Project Area will be subject to a design review procedure. The procedure is established in the SUD in the Planning Code, and a broad outline of the design review process is provided in Appendix F. Public infrastructure such as streets and park design will also be subject to review by appropriate City Departments as spelled out by the SUD and the DA.

Implementation of the Design for Development for the Schlage Lock site and the terms of the Development Agreement will be shared between the project sponsor and the City. The DA requires compliance with the land use plan, design controls and guidelines, as well as the provision of opportunities for community participation and a suite of community benefits.

**Design for Development Amendment**

If it becomes necessary and appropriate to amend the D4D document, amendments shall be approved by the San Francisco Planning Commission after a public hearing to receive public comment on the proposed amendment. The Planning Department will pursue amendments to the D4D as needed to adapt to future changes in the Planning Code. Amendments to the Design for Development must be consistent with the San Francisco General Plan and are subject to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Substantive changes may require accompanying amendments to the San Francisco General Plan and Planning Code, both of which require approval of ordinances by the Planning Commission, Board of Supervisors and Mayor.
PART I: Vision, Goals and Framework

Public Process

The original Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock Design for Development that accompanied the Redevelopment Plan was the product of a series of focused public planning sessions that took place between September 2006 and August 2007. The process included monthly Community Advisory Committee (CAC) meetings and five public workshops attended by neighborhood residents, business owners, and members of the public. San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and Planning Department staff organized the meetings. Staff from other City Departments also participated in CAC meetings and public workshops. A list of the public workshop topics is provided below.

- Workshop 1: Toward a Framework Plan – August 28, 2006
- Workshop 2: Preliminary Urban Design – October 14, 2006
- Workshop 5: Building Form and Design Character – August 4, 2007

The 2014 revisions to the Design For Development resulted from a series of focused public workshops between October 2012 and March 2014. In addition to four public workshops attended by residents, business owners and members of the public, the process included periodic open meetings with an Advisory Body – a group of former CAC members serving in an advisory role and helping to facilitate the transition in accordance with the original Redevelopment Area vision. Planning Department staff led the public process with staff from the Office of Economic Development, and other City Departments also participated in the public meetings. A list of the public workshop topics is provided below.

- Community Meeting 1: Post-Redevelopment Update, Community Priorities, Phase 1 Goals – October 12, 2012
- Community Meeting 2: Potential Funding Strategies & Site Plan Changes – January 12, 2013
- Community Meeting 3: Final Site Plan Revisions & Leland Greenway Programming – May 18, 2013
- Community Meeting 4: Development Agreement Overview - March 22, 2014

Descriptions of both workshops series are contained in Appendix B.

It should be noted that public engagement will continue. Implementation of the specific phases of development and public improvements are subject to additional community review, including a pre-application meeting and an official notification as specified by the Special Use District and described in Appendix F.

1 The dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency entailed the dissolution of the CAC, which was created by the Agency.
GOALS FOR THE SCHLAGE LOCK SITE

Early in the Site’s planning history, the Visitation Valley community made clear a number of primary objectives for change in their community, relating to health, safety, and economic development. Community members called for toxic issues on the Site to be remedied through redevelopment; for diverse housing opportunities; for pedestrian and personal safety to be increased through careful street, intersection and project design; and for economic stimulus, including new jobs and new retail including a grocery store, to jump-start the existing neighborhood retail corridors on Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard and provide retail and services for the surrounding community.

As visioning for the Site progressed, the community members began articulating goals that went beyond those limited to the Schlage Lock site to address Citywide and even regional issues including brownfield remediation, economic development, affordable housing, comprehensive open space planning, leading to the identification of watershed-based problems tied to environmental, economic and social networks that reaches far beyond the San Francisco county line. This understanding broadened into an underlying infrastructure of regional planning and responsibility and ultimately led to a primary site objective to create a development that could serve as a model for sustainable urban design for Visitacion Valley and the region.

The goals for the Schlage Lock site lead toward the kind of growth that will improve the overall quality of the community and the region – economic growth, transit-oriented growth, and improvements in quality of life. The community articulated goals to create a livable, mixed use urban community with a pedestrian-oriented environment; create a site design that encourages walking; and encourages the use of transit: a network of well-designed open spaces, public resources and amenities. Community members articulated the fundamental goals of providing new housing to address community and Citywide housing needs; and of utilizing economic development to instigate revitalization of the Leland Avenue corridor. The community goals, assembled and drafted by the CAC and included as full text in Appendix C, were intended to lead to a demonstration project for sustainable growth that will be looked at as a model across the City and the region.

When the City initiated new efforts to move forward the transformation of the Schlage Lock site, community participants were asked to rank in order of their priority, the goals and objectives that were generated in the 2009 Redevelopment Plan and Design for Development. The community’s top priorities were a neighborhood grocery store, and new open spaces. Also important to participants were area circulation improvements, retail and affordable housing.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

Project Area Context

The Special Use District contains the former Schlage Lock Company industrial site; the segment of Bayshore Boulevard adjoining the Schlage site, a major North-South thoroughfare that historically accommodated a streetcar system and light industrial uses; and Leland Avenue, the commercial center of the neighborhood.

Visitacion Valley is located in the southeast quadrant of San Francisco. Visitacion Valley is bounded to the west and north by McLaren Park, to the east by Highway 101 and to the south by the San Francisco / San Mateo County line. It contains mostly two to three story buildings with a variety of architectural styles. The area also includes considerable public open space, including McLaren Park, the second largest park in the City (317 acres) and the Visitacion Valley Greenway, a linear system of open space lots connecting to Leland Avenue. Just east of the Schlage Lock site is the Little Hollywood neighborhood. Little Hollywood is comprised predominantly of California bungalow-style architecture and Mediterranean style architecture constructed in the 1920’s and 1930’s.

The Schlage Lock Site, a 20 acre-brownfield, is located between Visitacion Valley and Little Hollywood. The Site is bounded on the East by the Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way and Tunnel Avenue and on the west by Bayshore Boulevard. Figure 1-2 shows the Site and its context.
History of Visitacion Valley

The northern portion of the San Francisco Peninsula was home to the Yelama Tribe of the Ohlone Indians. A distinct village group of the Yelamu traveled between two settlements in the Visitacion Valley area. European settlement of Visitacion Valley began in the 1850’s, when people began to establish farms and plant nurseries. Initially the area was primarily rural and agricultural, but by the early 1900’s, some farmland was subdivided into residential lots. The agrarian character of Visitacion Valley began to shift in the early 20th century, when streetcar lines were extended to the area providing convenient access to downtown San Francisco, supporting more intensive land uses.

Additional infrastructure development supported further growth in Visitacion Valley. The Southern Pacific Railroad Company freight line, constructed in the early 20th century, helped spur industrial development in the area when it constructed a freight station in Visitacion Valley, providing convenient access to materials as well as to local and national markets. The Schlage Lock Company located its manufacturing facility in Visitacion Valley in part because of its proximity to the Southern Pacific Railroad freight station, as well as the availability of labor. As Visitacion Valley grew from a rural agricultural settlement to a mixed-use neighborhood with residential and industrial uses, Bayshore Boulevard became a major north/south road providing access between San Francisco, Brisbane and San Bruno to the south. As the neighborhood grew, Leland Avenue became its commercial center.

The Project site was long home to manufacturing and industrial uses. The site was formerly occupied by two major companies: the Schlage Lock Company (the western part of the site) and the Southern Pacific Railroad Company (on the east side of the site). The property along Tunnel Avenue was owned by the Southern Pacific Rail-
road Company since the turn of the twentieth century. The tracks are now used by Caltrain, which provides passenger rail service between San Francisco and San Jose.

In the early part of the 20th century, Bodinson Manufacturing Machinery purchased undeveloped land at the western portion of the site along what is currently Bayshore Boulevard. Construction of the company’s factory on the site was the first step toward the development of Visitacion Valley as a neighborhood of commerce linked by transportation to downtown San Francisco.

The Schlage Lock Company purchased the property from Bodinson Manufacturing Machinery and opened its office and manufacturing facilities on June 25th 1926. Its property was bordered on the east side by the Southern Pacific Railroad tracks and on the west side by Bayshore Boulevard, an historic main North-South connector. The presence of the Southern Pacific Railroad presumably influenced Walter Schlage’s decision to locate his company’s headquarters in the area.

In 1974, Ingersoll Rand, a diversified industrial company, purchased the Schlage Lock Company, and continued manufacturing products under the Schlage Lock Company name. In 1999, Ingersoll Rand decided to end business activity at the Schlage Lock Visitacion Valley factory and to move production to another location. The buildings on the Schlage Lock site have been closed and vacant since that time.

**Geography and Topography**

The Project Area is located in the southeast quadrant of San Francisco, immediately north of the San Francisco / San Mateo county line. San Mateo County and the Cities of Brisbane and Daly City lie to the south. The Visitacion Valley watershed slopes from northwest to southeast toward the San Francisco Bay. The highest elevation on the Schlage site is located at Bayshore Boulevard and Blanken Street; the lowest elevation is located on the southeast corner of the site along the Sunnydale Avenue alignment.

**Infrastructure/ Utilities**

The area is served by the City’s Combined Sewer System (CSS), which collects stormwater and wastewater in a single sewage system and conveys it to the Southeast Water Pollution Control Plant, at 750 Phelps Street in the Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood. Almost all of the combined stormwater and wastewater is discharged to the Bay only after treatment and disinfection, but during large storms that generate high volumes of stormwater, the treatment and storage capacity of the CSS is exceeded. During these events, large volumes of stormwater combined with small volumes of un-disinfected wastewater are released to the Bay as combined sewer discharges. To help manage stormwater, the City enacted the Stormwater Management Ordinance, and Stormwater Design Guidelines, which require this project to decrease the rate and volume of stormwater from the site through the implementation of green infrastructure.
Transit

Visitacion Valley is located adjacent to an important transit node in the southern portion of the city. The T-Third Muni Metro-line, has two stops along Bayshore Boulevard, and the Caltrain Bayshore stop, located east of Sunnydale Avenue at Tunnel Avenue, all of which serve the neighborhood. Potential future improvements to the T-Third Muni Metro line include extending its terminus, currently situated near Sunnydale Avenue, to connect as a direct inter-modal link with Caltrain’s Bayshore Station, although specific project plans have not yet been approved. In addition, several cross-town and express Muni bus routes serve the area, with stops along Bayshore Boulevard. Because of all of these transit connections, the Project Site is considered an intensive transit-oriented development (TOD) area.

A number of transit improvements have recently been constructed or are planned in the Plan vicinity. The Muni Metro T-Third Street light rail line along Bayshore Boulevard was a major improvement to the future of the neighborhood that will support new development in the area. SFMTA’s Transit Effectiveness Project proposes future improvements to the area’s Muni network, which simplify routes in the Bayview, Hunters Point and Visitacion Valley to provide shorter trips and more frequent service between Downtown/Chinatown and Visitacion Valley on the 8X-Bayshore Express.


**Circulation and Access**

Visitacion Valley can be accessed from Highway 101 via Bayshore Boulevard for regional north and south travel and Geneva Avenue, a major arterial, for cross town travel toward western San Francisco. Bayshore Boulevard links the neighborhood to other points in San Francisco and south to Brisbane and supports transit service to downtown San Francisco via Muni’s T-Third Street light rail line. Vehicular access to the Schlage Lock site from the north is limited and pedestrian access to the site is difficult. The local street networks east-west streets, Leland Avenue, Arleta, Raymond, and Visitacion Avenue, all terminate at Bayshore Boulevard and do not continue into the site. Blanken Avenue provides access to Little Hollywood east of Bayshore Boulevard, as well as to the Caltrain station.

No public rights-of-way extend east across the Schlage Lock site to the Caltrain Bayshore station. Vehicular and pedestrian access to the Caltrain station is limited due to land ownership patterns and the lack of a complete street grid in this area. Blanken Avenue provides access to Little Hollywood and the Caltrain Station. Currently, Visitacion Valley residents access the Caltrain station by car via Blanken Avenue to the north. Others have created their own access point at the southern edge of the site by walking along the constructed portion of Sunnydale Avenue and then continuing along unimproved, privately-owned property.
Pedestrian access to the site is constrained as well. Bayshore Boulevard’s lack of crossings, extreme width, and high traffic, particularly during rush hour, make east-west crossings difficult and unsafe. They also increase the gulf between the existing Visitacion Valley neighborhood and the Schlage Lock site and Little Hollywood neighborhood.

Initial efforts to address these crossings were begun with the streetscape and signalization changes that accompanied the Muni T-Third line, including reducing vehicle travel lanes, installing countdown pedestrian signals, creating a pedestrian refuge, and adding bike lanes to Bayshore Boulevard. Activities to improve the neighborhood’s pedestrian environment continued with the redesign of Leland Avenue to revitalize the street as a commercial district, increase the economic viability of businesses, enhance pedestrian safety, and create better connections to the Third Street Light Rail. Specific design improvements include corner bulb-outs and other traffic calming strategies, paving and crosswalk improvements, new street trees and landscaping, street furniture and pedestrian-scale lighting.

Planning for additional traffic improvements is also underway in the area. The Bi-County Transportation Study, led by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority in partnership with the Cities of Brisbane and Daly City and the County of San Mateo, evaluated potential transportation improvements needed to address this anticipated land use growth. Projected land use changes surrounding Visitacion Valley, including development on the Schlage Lock site and expected development at Executive Park, Candlestick Point, Hunter’s Point, and Brisbane Baylands (described further on p 16) are expected to create impacts on the regional transportation network.

### Hazardous Materials and Site Contamination

The Schlage Lock site is considered a brownfield site. The soil and groundwater on the site was contaminated with materials used by the manufacturing and rail yard uses formerly on the property. Contaminated soils and groundwater remain in the south portion of the site. The property owner is responsible for remediating toxic soil and groundwater, according to the standards established by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), a state agency, responsible for regulating toxic substances that may affect public health. The site is also currently subject to long term groundwater monitoring by DTSC.

A Remedial Action Plan, including a funding program for hazardous material remediation, was approved by DTSC in 2009. Since then, the entire site has undergone active groundwater and soil vapor remediation. Contaminated soil will be relocated onsite and capped prior to site development. Active groundwater remediation has been completed. The part of the site north of the Visitacion Avenue alignment was remediated and approved for development by the DTSC. The area with the more contaminated soils and groundwater, located in the south portion of the site, is being reviewed by DTSC. In addition, clean fill will be used to as cap to separate
contaminated soils from human contact. Completion of active remediation and approval from DTSC will be required before development of the southern portion of the site can proceed.

**Land Use Controls**

Part of the impetus for the D4D document is to update the zoning and provide appropriate controls for the site. Accompanying the SUD and this document is a change of zoning from M-1 (Light Industrial) and M-2 (Heavy Industrial) to Mixed-Use General (MUG). The MUG District (Planning Code sec. 840) is designed to maintain and facilitate the growth of neighborhood-serving retail, personal service activities, small-scale light industrial and arts activities while protecting and encouraging the development of housing. Housing is encouraged over ground floor commercial and production, distribution, and repair uses. Hotels, nighttime entertainment, movie theaters, adult entertainment and heavy industrial uses are not permitted. Office is restricted to the upper floors of multiple story buildings.

In addition to the MUG district zoning, the SUD contains extra controls which allow a closer approximation of the Redevelopment Plan. The additional controls include changes which enable a mid-size grocery store, provide more affordable housing, prohibit surface parking lots, and other changes that support the urban design framework and sustainability goals.
Zone 2 of the SUD area is zoned Neighborhood Commercial (NC). The property that lies north of the Schlage site, a triangle-shaped block bounded by Blanken Avenue, Bayshore Boulevard and Tunnel Avenue, is zoned NC-1 (Neighborhood Commercial Cluster District). NC-1 Districts are intended to serve as local neighborhood shopping districts, providing convenience retail goods and services for the immediately surrounding neighborhoods primarily during daytime hours. The property fronting Leland Avenue is classified as an NC-2 (Small-Scale Neighborhood Commercial) District, with heights permitted up to 40 feet. NC-2 districts are designated to provide convenience goods and services, primarily to the surrounding neighborhood and also provide for limited comparison shopping goods to a wider market. The NC-2 District extends about four blocks along Leland Avenue, from Bayshore Boulevard to Cora Street. The district controls provide for mixed-use buildings, with commercial development permitted in the first and second stories. Neighborhood-serving businesses are encouraged. Limits on late-night activity, drive-up facilities, and other automobile uses protect the livability of the area and promote continuous retail frontage. Housing development in new buildings is encouraged above the ground floor. Existing residential units are protected by limitations on demolition and upper-story conversions. NC-2 Districts are further described in Planning Code § 711.

Property on the west side of Bayshore Boulevard from Arleta Avenue south to the County line is classified as an NC-3 (Moderate Scale Neighborhood Commercial) Use District, with heights permitted to 40 feet. NC-3 zoning permits commercial uses and services to an area greater than the immediate neighborhood, NC-3 districts are distinguished from NC-2 districts by larger lots and buildings and broader streets. 
A wider variety of uses are permitted than in NC-2 Districts, including entertainment, financial service and some auto uses. NC-3 Districts are further described in Planning Code § 712.

**Historic Resources**

A Historic Resources Technical Report reviewing the historic resources in the Project Area was prepared in 2007. The report finds that the Schlage site is a potential historic site at the local and national levels because of its significance as the headquarters of the nationally known Schlage Lock Factory and its role in the operations of the Southern Pacific Railroad. It also finds significance in the site’s association with inventor Walter Schlage, as well as prominent twentieth-century San Francisco architects William P. Day, Alfred F. Roller, and the partnership of Hertzka & Knowles, all of whom designed buildings on the site. It identified seven of the eight buildings that were on the site as appearing eligible as contributory resources. The report notes the particular historic and architectural importance of the Old Office Building and the former Plant 1 Building (distinctive for its sawtooth roof) as contributing resources to the site. Both buildings were constructed circa 1926. It identified the Schlage Lock Factory machinery remnants that were located in Plant 1 and Plant 2 as resources because of their ability to yield information important about the industrial history of the area. However, retention of all of these potential resources was not compatible with the community goals of reuse and activation of the site. As such, the Plant 1 Building was demolished, along with other non-contributing buildings on the site, in 2010. However, this building, as well as the factory remnants located in Plants 1 and 2, has been documented for future commemoration, as noted in subsequent sections. In addition, salvaged materials and objects will be incorporated into new construction, streetscape and park designs, and off-site locations.
**Other Planning Efforts**

The Schlage Lock development will also be influenced by a number of significant projects in the area that are scheduled to be developed in a similar time frame. They include:

- **Leland Streetscape Plan and Green Connections Project**: In 2005, the City completed a plan to improve the Leland Avenue Streetscape, the neighborhood ‘main street’ of Visitacion Valley. The specific design improvements were completed in 2010 and include corner bulb-outs and traffic calming strategies, paving and crosswalk improvements, new street trees and landscaping, street furniture and pedestrian scale lighting. In 2011, the City began a Citywide effort to increase access to parks, open space and the waterfront, by re-envisioning City streets as ‘green connectors’, with a focus on portions of Leland Avenue not improved through the Leland Streetscape Plan.

- **Leland/Bayshore Commercial District Revitalization Plan and Invest in Neighborhoods Program**: This is an economic revitalization program to establish an identity and vision for this commercial district. The action plan lays out specific improvements and strategies necessary for the realization of the community’s vision. Invest in Neighborhoods aims to strengthen and revitalize neighborhood commercial districts around the City, including Leland Avenue, through resources such as the Small Business Revolving Loan Fund, a vacancy tracking system, the Jobs Squad, and a neighborhood improvement grant program.

- **Executive Park**: This Sub-area Plan of the General Plan creates a new vision for the unrealized office park east of U. S. 101, transforming it into a residential neighborhood that will add approximately 2,800 residential units to the area.
• **Candlestick Point/Hunters Point Shipyard**: Development approved for Candlestick Point includes 7,850 dwelling units, over 100 acres of new parks, and 1.14 million square feet of commercial space - mostly oriented around a “green” science and technology campus. Development approved for Hunters Point Shipyard includes 2,650 dwelling units, over 2.5 million square feet of research and development space, as well as neighborhood retail, artist housing and work space.

• **Brisbane Baylands**: South of the Schlage Lock site in San Mateo County is Universal-Paragon Corporation’s proposed Brisbane Baylands development. The Brisbane Baylands development is a 660 acre mixed-use project with a large open space component. The project will incorporate sustainable development features including directing surface drainage flows to the Brisbane lagoon to the south of the site.

• **San Francisco HOPE SF Program**: This proposal to redevelop the Sunnydale-Velasco Public Housing Developments is a part of the City’s program to revitalize distressed public housing developments. The program proposes to rebuild every housing unit, provide homes for current residents, and add new housing at different income levels. HOPE SF plans to redesign these communities with new buildings, streets, parks, and landscaping. Constructed in 1941 and 1963, respectively, the Sunnydale-Velasco Public Housing Developments together comprise the largest public housing community in San Francisco. The current housing at the project site consists of 785 dwelling units in 94 buildings. Under the HOPE SF proposal, 785 replacement units would remain affordable housing. An additional 915 units would comprise 24 percent affordable housing and 76 percent market-rate housing.
**Recology Site Master Plan:** Recology owns and operates a waste transfer and recycling facility east and of the Schlage Lock site, across the Caltrain right-of-way. The 45-acre site straddles the San Mateo-San Francisco County line, and forms the northeast corner of the Baylands, although it is not included in the project sponsor-sponsored Baylands proposal. The proposal would replace outdated buildings and utilities with a green, LEED-certified resource recovery and maintenance facilities, administrative offices and supporting operations buildings. Recycling and waste transfer facilities would be located further South and Southeast of their current location.

**San Francisco-San Mateo Bi-County Study:** The Bi-County Transportation Study is a multi-agency effort that identifies priority projects and funding for the southeastern corner of San Francisco County and northeastern corner of San Mateo County. The growth in this area will transform what are now mainly industrial or under-utilized lands into mixed-use developments that could exceed 15,000 additional housing units and 14 million square feet of new employment uses, including the Schlage and some of the aforementioned projects. Recommendations include re-configurations of the US101 interchange and Bayshore Caltrain, as well as a BRT line, T-Third light rail extension and bicycle-pedestrian connections.

**Visitacion Valley Green Nodes – Green Infrastructure Project:** The SFPUC is in process of developing eight major green infrastructure projects in San Francisco, one in each of the city’s watersheds, as part of Phase I of the City’s Sewer System Improvement Program. These projects will demonstrate on-site stormwater management technologies and provide additional community benefits. Feasibility analyses on streets in the larger Sunnydale watershed are underway, with a number of promising corridors from a stormwater management perspective - including the possibility of a green street project on the lower part of Sunnydale Boulevard or the upper part of Leland Avenue.

**8X Transit Effectiveness Project Improvements:** SFMTA’s Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP), which aims to improve transit reliability, travel times, and customer experience, has identified Muni’s 8X Bayshore Express bus line as part of its proposed Rapid Network. The 8X Bayshore Express route carries more than 23,000 daily customers on an average weekday.

### URBAN DESIGN FRAMEWORK

The overall vision for the redevelopment of the Project Area is for a vibrant, mixed-use community including retail, residential uses, and open space. New mixed use development will continue Leland Avenue’s retail energy into the Schlage site, and a range of housing opportunities will bring new residents to the neighborhood, increasing safety and street activity. Visitacion Valley’s east/west streets will be extended across Bayshore Boulevard into the Schlage Lock site and integrate the site with the larger Visitacion Valley neighborhood.
New development in both zones will help connect the Schlage Lock site with the Visitacion Valley neighborhood. Streetscape and open space improvements will provide better vehicular and pedestrian connections between the Schlage site and the Visitacion Valley neighborhood. Sunnydale Avenue, Visitacion Avenue, Raymond Avenue and Leland Avenue, the commercial backbone of the community, will be extended east to the Schlage Lock site. Blanken Avenue will be redesigned to provide a safer pedestrian connection to Little Hollywood and Executive Park. Two new parks will be created on the south side of Blanken Avenue west of Tunnel Avenue that will also improve the linkages from the site to Little Hollywood.

Figure 1-6 illustrates the urban design framework for the Project Area. The sections that follow provide an overview of the major concepts guiding the overall urban design of the Project Area, including key concepts related to land use, circulation, open space and sustainability. Please note that future improvements and individual buildings provided through Site development will depend on project feasibility, design review and project approval.

**Land Use**

The revitalization and regeneration of the Visitacion Valley neighborhood requires an active mix made up of commercial uses to support the community’s needs and stimulate economic development; an influx of new residential activity to provide “eyes on the street” and bring new life to the area; and a range of open spaces and community places to bring the entire community together. Specifically, development within the Schlage Lock site (Zone 1) will contain a mid-sized grocery store, ground floor retail at specific locations, and up to 1679 dwelling units of various sizes and affordability levels throughout the site (see concept plan in Figure 1-6.)

Land uses along Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue (Zone 2) will generally be ground floor commercial, including retail and small business service uses, with residential uses above the first story, consistent with the current development pattern in Zone 2. In order to be consistent with new development on the east side of Bayshore Boulevard in Zone 1 and accommodate 12 and (preferably) 15 foot-tall ground floor commercial uses, the 2009 plan made a change to the City’s Zoning Map to increase the permitted height on parcels fronting the west side of Bayshore Boulevard from 40 feet to 55 feet. This will allow for more flexibility in the ground floor retail spaces without diminishing the amount of housing above.

The primary land uses and their general locations within the two zones are described below:

1. **Residential Use**: Residential units will be located above ground floor commercial development along most of the extension of Leland Avenue, and portions of Sunnydale Avenue in Zone 1, as well as above ground floor commercial along Bayshore and Leland Avenue in Zone 2. Within Zone 1, residential
units will also be constructed on the Schlage Lock property along Raymond Avenue, Visitacion Avenue, Sunnydale Avenue, and on the remaining properties fronting Blanken Park, Leland Greenway and the Schlage Greenway.

2. **Retail: Neighborhood Commercial Businesses and Personal Services:** The plan calls for a mid-sized (15,000 – 30,000 sq. ft.) grocery store to be developed on the Schlage Lock site, as part of a mixed-use development on the southeast side of the Leland and Bayshore intersection, as shown in Block 1 on Figure 1-6. Ground floor commercial uses, including retail and neighborhood-serving office uses will also be included as part of mixed use development along Leland Avenue in both Zone 1 and 2. Within Zone 1, also along Leland Avenue, flexibly designed spaces (referred to as “flex space”, and further defined in Appendix A, Glossary of Terms) will allow for retail, small business and office-service uses, or for small-scale workplaces uses such as artisan, design or small industry with quasi-retail sales. The flex spaces will be designed to be appropriate for retail, nonresidential and residential uses. Flex space will offer the opportunity for connections with living units above, to offer the potential of true live-work activity.

3. **Institutional:** The Old Office Building will be renovated and re-adapted to office, institutional, and/or community uses that benefit the neighborhood.

4. **Public Open Spaces – Parks, Streets and Pathways:** New open spaces, including two to three parks will be created on the Schlage Lock site and possibly on an adjacent parcel. The new parks will be developed to be a part of the already existing open space network that includes the Visitacion Valley Greenway, the Visitacion Valley Community Center, Visitacion Valley Playground, Little Hollywood Park, and other parks located some distance away, including Kelloch-Velasco Minipark, Herz Playground and McLaren Park. These parks and plazas shall be designed in concert with a network of street and pathways, including the revitalized Leland Avenue and its extension into the Schlage Lock site, to create pleasant pedestrian connections between all open space components.

5. **Parking and other Accessory Uses:** Development at the site will support the City’s Transit First Policy. Surface parking lots are prohibited. Accessory off-street parking, particularly visitor parking, will be allowed but limited to encourage transit use and walking. Such accessory off-street parking shall be located below grade or screened in buildings so that it is not visible from the street. As described in the Development Agreement, the City shall establish a parking management program which controls street parking throughout the site and to discourages parking by off-site users for long periods of time.
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FIGURE 1-7
Urban Design Concept Plan
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The Site’s mixed-use development will contain both retail/residential buildings, and stand-alone residential. Housing on the Site will be primarily low- and mid-rise multifamily podium construction, with grand multi-unit entrances marking major thoroughfares, and ground-floor walk-up, townhome-style units lining key residential street frontages. Podium buildings constructed on long north/south blocks will have frequent breaks, variation and articulation in their facades to reduce the apparent building mass and bulk. All buildings will contribute to an active public realm with engaging architecture, doors and windows on all street facades. A variety of design features will shape the urban form of buildings on the site, including building setbacks and setbacks; window bays, building recesses, and special corner treatments; and varied roof lines to provide visual interest, consistent with building forms in other San Francisco neighborhoods.

One of the core recommendations from the community was that the architecture and the massing of the buildings be articulated – that building heights setback over the Site to provide visual interest and provide opportunities to create one or more visual landmarks that will act as reference points for the neighborhood. To achieve this, as well as to establish densities consistent with a transit village, the Design for Develop-
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The Old Office Building, located at the northern tip of the site on Bayshore and Blanken, has been identified by the Historic Resource Evaluation as a contributing historic resource. It will be rehabilitated and at least 25% of it will be dedicated to community use.

Several other buildings, including Plant 1 (the Sawtooth Building), were identified by the community and the Historic Resource Evaluation as important resources that contribute to the district. But DTSC informed the City that the operations and conditions of the buildings involved such a significant use of hazardous material that a thorough soil investigation and excavation under the buildings would be necessary. In order to find all the sources of contamination and remove them prior to development or inhabitation, DTSC stated that the investigation would require
demolition of all other buildings to complete the remedial action process, and make the site safe for human habitation. Accordingly, those buildings have been demolished and environmental remediation has proceeded.

The Historic Resource Evaluation identified several mitigation measures, which were built upon and augmented by the Visitacion Valley CAC Historic Resources Sub-Committee as well as through input by the Historic Preservation Commission (formerly the Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board). Mitigation measures have been completed, including the commemoration of the former factory and railroad buildings on the Site in architectural drawings, photographs, written history, and recorded interviews with employees and neighbors. The records are compiled in the Schlage Lock Factory & Southern Pacific Railroad Buildings Historic American Building Survey (HABS) Documentation prepared in 2009. Significant historic features, such as building components or machinery, were also reclaimed. The salvaged materials and objects will be incorporated into new construction, streetscape and park designs where possible. The salvaged historic features can also be used off-site at locations such as the Roundhouse in Brisbane or the Caltrain/future multi-modal station.

Commemoration of the Site will occur in a number of ways: through a physical history collection, using items from former workers (such as salvaged signage); via an
edueational component, including the use of oral history created from interviews with employees and neighbors and creation of a history web site; and, using historic features in exhibits or public displays through new items commissioned by artists as commemorative work.

**Transportation and Circulation**

The aim of the plan is to seamlessly connect the Schlage site to the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, and to encourage walking and use of public transit as the primary travel modes for neighborhood residents and visitors. The Design for Development establishes a new street grid on the Schlage Lock site, connecting the site to the existing Visitacion Valley neighborhood to the West and the future Brisbane Baylands Development to the South. The project will extend Leland Avenue, as the primary entrance and retail spine of the development, across Bayshore Boulevard. Raymond, Visitacion and Sunnydale Avenues will also continue east across Bayshore Boulevard to the project site. The street grid system will be designed and constructed to safely encourage walking, cycling and use of public transit for neighborhood residents and visitors, while meeting the needs for vehicular access to retail and housing. Pedestrian paths will be required through large development blocks providing shorter paths of travel and breaking up the massing of new building. The new streets and pedestrian paths will incorporate a variety of streetscape design elements, including consistent planting of street trees and other landscape material, pedestrian-scale lighting and street furniture similar to Leland Avenue west of Bayshore.

**FIGURE 1-9**  
Pedestrian Connections

Short-term and a long term pedestrian connections will link the T-Third Muni line to the Caltrain station.
Careful consideration will be given to the design of streets where they terminate at the Caltrain railroad right-of-way on the Eastern edge of the Schlage Site. They will provide open space and overlooks to Little Hollywood and beyond. Where the terminus is marked by buildings, the building design should provide a strong visual termination and provide a visual landmark. Should vehicular connections be required to provide access to underground parking or to provide necessary turnarounds, adequate space will be provided for vehicular turning movements where the street terminates; the street will not end abruptly at the property line shared with the railroad.

Over the course of plan buildout, the project sponsor will be required to implement and/or contribute to identified local and regional transportation improvements necessary to mitigate project impacts and adequately serve the area. Specific mitigations required in the EIR include:

- Modifications to intersections along Bayshore Boulevard in order to improve vehicular access and pedestrian safety in the neighborhood without negatively impacting the Muni T-Third Street light rail line operations.

- Transportation Demand Management plan to reduce the amount of auto use and auto ownership rates, and thereby reduce traffic impacts.

The Development Agreement and the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan include additional streetscape requirements within and adjacent to the site. They include:

- Traffic calming strategies, such as sidewalk bulb extensions at the major east-west crossings along Bayshore Boulevard, to slow traffic from the US 101 off-ramp and improve safety of pedestrians when crossing Bayshore Boulevard.

- In the Project’s first phase, a complete pedestrian connection between Bayshore Boulevard and the Caltrain Bayshore station.

Transportation improvements will be completed before occupancy of certain development phases to stay on pace with demand created by new development.

In addition, the Planning Department will continue to participate, in partnership with the Office of Economic and Workforce Development, the San Francisco Transportation Authority and several other jurisdictions on both sides of the San Francisco/San Mateo county line in the implementation of the Bi-County Transportation Study or an equivalent successor plan. The Study addresses project priorities, schedules, and funding strategies to accommodate anticipated cumulative developments in the southeast San Francisco/Brisbane/Daly City area. These inter-jurisdictional improvement priorities include the Geneva-Harney BRT, the Geneva Avenue extension, the planned Geneva-Candlestick U. S. 101 interchange reconfiguration, and additional improvements to the Bayshore Intermodal Station and station area.
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FIGURE 1-10
Open Space Plan
Public Open Space

The OSSMP establishes an open space system on the Schlage Lock site that will augment the resources available to Visitacion Valley residents and visitors. The neighborhood’s existing open space resources include the Visitacion Valley Greenway and a number of small neighborhood-serving open spaces in the immediate vicinity, McLaren Park located to the west and the Brisbane Baylands in San Mateo County to the south.

The project will include a minimum of two neighborhood parks: a linear park along the Leland Avenue extension (“Leland Greenway”); and a neighborhood park at the southern portion of the site, (“Visitacion Park”). The Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan also includes design for a possible third community open space on adjacent parcels owned by Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB) and Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) at the northernmost point of the Site (for the purposes of this document, referred to as “Blanken Park”, approximately 1/2 acre). In addition,
the open space network will include pedestrian-friendly landscaped streets and new pedestrian pathways, greenways and mews to connect the new open spaces through the site to the surrounding neighborhood.

The design and programming of the open spaces should be inclusive to allow for maximum flexibility to serve the largest number of users. The parks will include a variety of open space design features, including active and passive landscape spaces, water features, and a variety of recreational program elements. Parks will incorporate sustainable design features, such as pervious paving, bioswales, trees and other vegetation used to assist in slowing and filter stormwater to reduce rainfall runoff. The new parks will be open to all members of the public, similar to other public parks in the City.

Community members gave significant feedback about park design and facilities for each park site at community workshops, CAC meetings and Advisory Body meetings. That feedback was used as a starting point for park design, and was built upon during a required public design and community involvement process to draft the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan for the site. Specific park designs and proposed park improvements will follow this plan, in conjunction with the design review process specified in the Visitacion Valley-Schlage Lock Special Use District and the Development Agreement with the City.

- **Leland Greenway**: Leland Greenway, 0.73 acres in size, is located to the north of the extension of Leland Avenue. It will include a paved seating area, with a focal public art element, and street furnishings that may be enjoyed by shoppers from the nearby retail anchor, shops or cafe. The central portion of the park includes steps and ramps that slope down from Blocks 3 and 4 toward Leland Avenue and can serve as an urban plaza connected to the retail activity of Leland Avenue or a venue for public gathering and events. The park will also feature a row of trees, topography and art elements designed to protect users from westerly winds. The eastern end of the Leland Greenway will include a play area for children and an adjacent seating area sheltered by a trellis. The trellis is proposed as highly perforated metal panels planted with vines to protect from the wind while allowing views within and through the park.

The ground floor uses around Leland Greenway change from retail in the west to the residential to the east. The specific amenities recommended for the Greenway include a wind sculptural element, trees, a plaza, terraced stairs, a play area, trellis with seating area, and a barbell-shaped multi-use lawn area with picnic tables and benches.

- **Visitacion Park**: This neighborhood park is located in the southeast portion of the Site, bordered by residential streets and an east/west pedestrian pathway on its south boundary. The park site is just over one acre in size; it includes both softscapes and hardscapes. The park may include a BBQ area, picnic tables, a tot lot and seating areas for caregivers. Other features may include
flower gardens, public art, a rain garden and a multi-use lawn. Monthly or weekly events, such as an open-air farmer’s market, may also help to activate the park and encourage park use. Street closure could be permitted for special neighborhood celebrations, street fairs and similar events.

- **Blanken Park:** “Blanken Park,” is designed around the historic office building at the northernmost part of the site. The park grounds will be at the highest point of the development, offering views to the Baylands to the south, the San Bruno Mountains, and the surrounding neighborhoods. The park may offer community gardens – “Little Hollywood Gardens” – with a sustainable agriculture component, as an expansion of the Visitacion Valley Greenway Community Garden and/or other community recreation opportunities. The park will provide pedestrian connections between Little Hollywood and Visitacion Valley, as well as to new streets within the Schlage site; and at a minimum a pedestrian connection shall extend above the railroad tunnel. As this land is partially owned by JPB and UPRR, park development will rely on subsequent negotiations with that entity.

### Site Sustainability

The Site already meets the basic criteria for a sustainable urban development: it is adjacent to a lively neighborhood commercial street and provides needed community housing in a walkable, dense, yet livable setting well-served by public transit. Contaminated soils and groundwater have been remediated as required by the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), per the Remedial Action Plan.

The community made sustainability a primary goal of the site and neighborhood redevelopment. They have recognized the inherent opportunities in planning at the site scale to create an eco-friendly model of green urban development. Sustainable development practices will be required through the San Francisco Building Code and other City environmental legislation. The project will utilize reclaimed material throughout the site where feasible. Other sustainable elements include:

- The parks and streetscape elements will be designed to collect, treat, and utilize rainwater for irrigation if appropriate, thereby reducing demands for fresh water use, recharging groundwater and reducing stormwater flows to City sewers. Excess (clean) rainwater may flow by gravity to the larger, sustainable watershed system of the Brisbane Baylands, and ultimately to the Baylands lagoon and wetlands south of the site where feasible.

- Where feasible, new building roofs will be used creatively for open spaces, as “green roofs” that can assist in energy efficiency and stormwater management, and for the installation of photovoltaic solar cells and other technologies.

- A stormwater management plan will be established to retain and use rainfall on-site, reducing demand for potable water and reducing the need for water runoff treatment, as well as creating wildlife habitat, providing open space, and contributing to the character of a “green” built environment.
• Stormwater management strategies will extend beyond the Site to create a continuous, watershed-base flow route. A restored river corridor is envisioned for Visitacion Creek, a long-term goal which will require an inter-jurisdictional relationship between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Brisbane in San Mateo County.

To achieve an even greater level of sustainability, the project sponsor will conduct an assessment of potential site-wide sustainable strategies in energy, water and other on-site infrastructure systems.

Community Health

The Eastern Neighborhoods Community Health Impact Assessment (ENCHIA) was initiated in 2004 by the San Francisco Department of Public Health in response to land use planning underway in the Eastern Neighborhoods, with the goals of advancing the consideration of health in land use planning and identifying ways that development could promote health. It created a “health impact assessment” process for assessing new developments, including criteria such as sufficient housing; public transit, schools, parks, and public spaces; safe routes for pedestrians and bicyclists; meaningful and productive employment; unpolluted air, soil, and water; and cooperation, trust, and civic participation. Many aspects of this D4D document and the site plan are influenced by health impact assessments.

The Design for Development document promotes community health in a number of ways. Site clean-up is critical to the community’s health, thus toxic issues have already been remedied on the Schlage site. Pedestrian safety will be increased through careful street, intersection and project design; personal safety will be enhanced by the positive economic climate; and revitalization will incite greater retail activity and new jobs, more engagement of the community, and more eyes on the street. Other elements of the plan contributing to community health include:

• a pedestrian-oriented environment that encourages walking;
• development that supports alternative modes of transportation;
• a significant amount of new affordable, as well as market-rate, housing;
• a range of housing affordable to low-income households;
• easy access to public resources such as parks,
• transit and neighborhood-serving retail;
• sustainable building practices in buildings and ecological infrastructure design
• attraction of new businesses and the provision of assistance to the private sector,

The implementing agencies of the plan will continue efforts with the Department of Public Health to assess the impacts of the development as it occurs and to promote health at the neighborhood level.
INTRODUCTION

The Development Controls and Design Guidelines guide development within the SUD area toward the vision developed at the public workshops and Advisory Body (AB) meetings. Projects in Zone 1 (the Schlage Site) shall be reviewed according to both the Development Controls and Design Guidelines by all relevant agencies. Projects in Zone 2 shall be reviewed only according to the Design Guidelines. Design submittals for development in Zone 1 shall also be subject to the Design Review procedure outlined in Appendix F and contained in the SUD.

- **Development Controls** address those aspects of development that are essential to achieve the project goals and objectives. Development controls are clearly measurable and adherence to them is mandatory for projects in Zone 1. Planning Code requirements shall be used to govern all aspects of development not addressed in the Development Controls.

2 Some development controls are also included in the SUD. Amendments to such provisions must be approved by both the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.
• **Design Guidelines** direct building and site design to be consistent with the community’s vision. Guidelines are not optional. Individual project proposals must demonstrate an effort to comply with all relevant Design Guidelines. They differ from controls in that guidelines can be subjective and variation from them does not require a formal modification. Design Guidelines are also a driving criterion behind community input, City review and approval of individual projects in both Zones 1 and 2.
**LAND USE**

Land uses within Zone 1, the Schlage Lock site, shall be controlled by the underlying zoning with certain exceptions as outlined below.

**Development Controls**

1. Land uses shall be controlled by the underlying zoning and SUD.
2. The Old Office Building in the northernmost part of the site must be retained and reused, as per the Development Agreement.
3. Active ground floor frontages are required as described below and in Figure 2-2:
   - **Retail frontage required:** Ground floor retail is required as shown on Figure 2-2 (20 feet of frontage for residential lobbies are permitted, provided these spaces are designed to activate the street.)
   - **Flex frontage required:** Flexibly designed frontage that can allow for retail, but also be used for small business, office, artisan, and design workplaces. If not feasible, active residential frontage is required, as shown on Figure 2-2.
   - **Stoop/Individual residential frontage required:** Walk-up residential units with individual entrances, elaborated with stoops, exterior stairs and landings that project beyond façades to provide access to ground floor units, are required along the public right-of-way as shown on Figure 2-2. Where the change in grade requires elevation of ground floor units more than 5 feet above street level, individual entrances are not required, but other design strategies should be used to accomplish active frontage.
   - **Multi-unit residential frontage required:** Multi-unit residential entries or other entrances to other ground floor uses are required every 100 feet along the public right-of-way as shown on Figure 2-2.
   - **Green wall frontage required:** Green façades and living walls shall be required as shown on Figure 2-2. Such frontage must include living vegetation that grows directly from the wall, from adjacent support structures, or attached container systems; and may also include integrated sculpture or other artistic features. Green wall frontage must cover the ground floor at a minimum, and may extend beyond that point based on façade design.

**Design Guidelines**

1. The project sponsor should make a good faith effort to attract locally owned and small businesses. All new retail development along the north side of Leland Avenue should be 5,000 square feet or less in size. Formula retail uses, with the exception of grocery stores, pharmacies and financial services, shall only be permitted subject to the process in SUD Section 249.45(e)(2)(B).
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FIGURE 2-2
Required Ground Floor Frontages

- Required Retail Frontages
- Retail/Flex Frontage Encouraged
  (Otherwise, active residential required)
- Multi-unit Residential Frontage
- Stoops/Individual Residential Entries
- Green Wall
- Primary Streets - No Curb Cuts
2. Required retail frontages should be designed to typical retail depth of 30-60 feet. Flex frontages should be designed to a minimum depth of 20 feet.

**BUILDING FORM**

**Building Height**

Height (of a building or a structure) shall be defined, measured and regulated as provided in the Planning Code Sections 102.12 and 260 where applicable, and as below in the following scenarios:

- Where the lot is level with or slopes downward from a street at the centerline of the building or building step, the measurement point shall be taken at the back of sidewalk level on such a street. The plane determined by the vertical distance at such point may be considered the height limit at the opposite (lower) end of the lot, provided the change in grade does not enable an additional story of development at the downhill property line. This takes precedence over Planning Code Section 102.12(b).

- Where the change in grade does enable an additional floor of development, height must be measured from the opposite (lower) end of the lot, as specified in Planning Code Section 102.12(c).

Where there is conflict with Section 102.12 or Section 260 of the Code, the Special Use District measurement method applies.
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FIGURE 2-3
Height Map

- 5 Stories | 57FT
- 6 Stories | 68FT
- 7 Stories | 76FT
- 8 Stories | 86FT
Development Controls

1. Maximum building heights for the Schlage Lock site are established in the Height Zone Diagram, shown in Fig. 2-3.

2. Ground floor spaces shall have a minimum floor-to-floor height of 15 feet for commercial spaces and 12 feet for residential spaces, as measured from grade. Upper stories shall have a minimum floor-to-floor height of 10 feet.

3. In addition to exceptions listed in the Planning Code section 260(b), the following shall also be exempt from the height limits established in this document:
   - Architectural elements related to design of rooftop open space, such as open air roof terraces, which shall not be enclosed, may include partial perimeter walls if required for safety.
   - The corner portion of occupied space on the northeastern corner of Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard may extend up to ten feet above the maximum height, provided
     - its dimension along each facade is no greater than the distance to the facade’s nearest massing break or facade design feature used to reduce the building’s visual scale on the floor below (see Massing Guideline 2)
     - is part of a common, private open space consistent with Design Guideline 4 in the Private Open Space section below or is designed as a solarium per section 134(f)(4) of the Planning Code.

Design Guidelines

1. Building heights and roof lines should be varied within the same height district and across blocks through setbacks (see Setback section below) and other design features.

Density

The Plan removes density control limits on a building, parcel or block basis. Rather, building density will be controlled by building mass and building height and other development controls and design guidelines described in this document. The maximum dwelling unit count for the Schlage Site will be 1,679 units.

Massing

Development Controls

1. No building wall may exceed a maximum continuous length of 100 feet without a massing break or change in apparent face. Massing breaks or changes in apparent face can be accomplished through the following options:
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**FIGURE 2-4**
Heights, Concept View from South

- **HEIGHT LEGEND**
  - 5 Stories | 57FT
  - 6 Stories | 68FT
  - 6 Stories | 76FT
  - 8 Stories | 86FT
A. A minimum 10 foot wide at-grade passageway through the building that extends from the ground plane for a minimum 25 feet above grade or to the ground floor of the third story, in combination with a recess or notch (minimum 8 foot deep by 10 foot wide) that extends up to the sky; or

B. A minimum 8 foot deep by 10 foot wide notch that starts at grade and extends up to the sky, in combination with a major change in fenestration, pattern, color and/or material; or

C. A minimum 10 foot deep by 12 foot wide notch that extends up to the sky from a level not higher than 25 feet above grade or the floor plane of the third story, whichever is lower; in combination with a major change in fenestration, pattern, color and/or material.

2. Building facades shall incorporate design features at intervals of 20-30 feet (measured horizontally along building façade) that reduce the apparent visual scale of a building. Such features may include but are not limited to window bays, porches/decks, setbacks, changes to façade color and building material, etc.

3. The floor plate of upper floors of buildings (1 or 2 stories as designated in Figure 2-4, Required Setbacks) shall have setbacks equal to a minimum of 15% of the area of the floor plate immediately below, except for Parcels 10, 11, and 12 where the minimum shall be 10%. At least one-third (1/3) of the required setback area shall be a full two stories in height. In addition:

- The minimum depth of setbacks shall be 8 feet. The minimum width of setbacks shall be 12 feet.
- Setbacks shall be arranged in a manner that addresses the massing and articulation guidelines set forth in Figure 02-4, Required Setbacks.
FIGURE 2-5
Concept Sketch, View from South
• In absence of other guidelines, setbacks shall be arranged to reinforce the stepping of the building mass with the prevailing slope consistent with the pattern of hillside development in San Francisco.

• Setback controls apply at upper floors regardless of the total number of stories proposed. A 6 story building in a zone that allows buildings up to 8 stories would still be subject to setback controls at the upper floors (see Setback map to determine if one or two floors).

**Design Guidelines**

1. Residential building facades over 50 feet in length should provide roof line modulations of at least 2 feet to provide a human scale rhythm to the buildings.

2. Building mass should be sculpted to define important public spaces, key intersections and corners, such as Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard. Buildings at the intersection of Sunnydale Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard should also create a visual gateway to the neighborhood.

3. Building massing should reinforce the visual interest and variation of frontages along Leland and Bayshore.

4. Each building within the project should have a unique architectural expression.

5. Building massing should step with the slope of the site to reflect the underlying topography, establishing a regular interval for façade features and roof lines.
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FIGURE 2-6
Required Setbacks

- 1-Story Setback
- 2-Story Setback
Setbacks

Development Controls

1. Buildings shall line all required streets and pedestrian ways (see Figure 2-2).

2. Buildings shall be built to the property line (back of sidewalk) along Bayshore Boulevard and along the commercial frontages of Leland Avenue.

3. Ground floors shall be set back five to eight (5-8) feet along the extension of Raymond Avenue.

4. In all other areas, setbacks may range from zero to eight (0-8) feet. The setback shall be consistent along major building bays.

5. Projections or obstructions into the setback are allowed per Section 136 and 136.2 of the Planning Code.

6. Ground floor front setback areas shall include a minimum of 40% softscape (landscape or plantings), which can contribute to the 50% requirement of permeable surfaces, as per San Francisco Planning Code Section 132. See the Planning Department’s Guide to the San Francisco Green Landscaping Ordinance for additional requirements and guidelines.

Design Guidelines

1. All setback areas along residential buildings should provide elements that enhance the interface of the building with the public realm, including front porches, stoops, terraces and/or landscaping for ground floor units, as per the Planning Department’s Ground Floor Residential Design Guidelines.

2. Setback areas should allow for visual access between the street and entrance and establish a transition from public to private space.

3. Setbacks may also be used to enhance retail and corner entries.

Retail Entrances

Development Controls

1. Main entrances to retail buildings shall be located on Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard (See Required Frontages Map, Fig 2-2). All retail and flex uses within the Schlage Lock site fronting Leland Avenue or Bayshore Boulevard must have at least one primary entrance and at least one entrance per 60 feet of frontage on those streets, with the exception of a full-service grocery store over 12,000 square feet on Leland Avenue and Bayshore. Entries to the grocery store shall be located at both building corners on Leland Avenue.
2. Storefronts shall be articulated at regular increments of 20-30 feet to express a consistent vertical rhythm along the street. Large retail tenants, such as a grocery store, may occupy more than one bay but shall have multiple entryways.

3. All retail entries must be as near as feasible to sidewalk level given slope, and must be well marked and prominent. At sloping conditions, retail entries may be no more than 2 feet above grade, provided they are served by a ramp or other accessible route no less than 5 feet in width.
Design Guidelines

1. Large retail stores (over 10,000 square feet or with street frontage over 80 feet) should have a primary entrance at corners. Multiple entries are recommended for large retail.

2. Retail entries should be designed to create transparency and create a transition between public and private space.

3. Awnings, canopies and similar features should be used to accentuate retail entries, subject to regulations described in the Planning Code Sec. 136.

4. Elements or features generating activity on the street, such as seating ledges, outdoor seating, outdoor displays of wares, and attractive signage are encouraged for all mixed-use buildings.

5. Commercial and storefront entrances should be easily identifiable and distinguishable from residential entrances through the use of recessed doorways, awnings, transparencies, changes in colors and materials, and alternative paving outside of the public right-of-way.

Residential Entrances

Development Controls

1. Multi-unit residential entrances and individual-entry units should be accessible directly from the public right-of-way (see Figure 2.2).

2. Flex-space and stoops/individual-residential frontages (see Figure 2-2) shall have an average of one entrance on the street or public right-of-way for every 25 feet of building façade to match the traditional San Francisco residential lot pattern.

3. At multi-unit residential podium buildings, there shall be a minimum of one entry per 100 linear feet of street frontage (see Required Frontages Map, Fig 37).

4. Where provided, stoops and stairs shall have a minimum width of 4 feet.

5. The floor elevation of ground floor units shall be located three to five (3-5) feet above street level to provide privacy within ground-level residential units. Specific elevations will vary according to grade.

6. Subgrade entries are prohibited.

Design Guidelines

1. All residential buildings should follow the Planning Department’s Ground Floor Residential Design Guidelines.
2. Residential units in podium buildings should connect to a lobby entry that opens directly onto the public right-of-way at grade level or via ramp or other accessibility device.

3. Multiple entries into interior courtyards are encouraged to provide physical and visual access.

**Façade Design**

**Development Controls**

1. Blank and blind walls – i.e. those that do not have windows and doors - are not permitted to exceed 30’ in length along any required frontages illustrated in Figure 2-2. Along blocks where there are no frontage requirements, treatment of blank walls shall include architectural features and details to add visual interest to the façade.

2. Physically intimidating security measures such as window grills or spiked gates are not permitted; security concerns shall be addressed by creating well-lit, well-used and active frontages that encourage “eyes on the street.”

3. Utilities, storage, and refuse collection shall not be located on Leland Ave and shall be integrated into the overall articulation and fenestration of the building façade.

**Design Guidelines**

1. Building design should reflect the whimsical character that has developed in Visitacion Valley and its surrounding neighborhoods, with elements that catch the eye such as wrought iron detail, individualized artwork and hanging planters.
Details such as ornamentation, cornices, railings, balconies and other expressions of craftsmanship should be used to create a fine-grained scale.

2. Required massing breaks should be used to differentiate the building’s architecture. Each building bay created through massing breaks or changes in face should be designed with unique characteristics.

3. Architectural concepts and designers should vary between buildings. Buildings may share common architectural materials and elements across portions of their facades, but their overall combination of components, form and material should vary. Due to their unique configuration, Blocks 5 and 6 may share concepts and designers.

4. Facades should be articulated with a strong rhythm of vertical elements and three-dimensional detailing to cast shadow and create visual interest.

5. Limit blank walls without fenestration. Provide visual interest to blank walls by using landscaping, texture to provide shade and shadow, and treatments that establish horizontal and vertical scale.

6. Non-residential ground-floor uses should be distinguished from the building’s upper-floors uses through varied detailing, materials and through the use of awnings or other architectural elements.

7. High-quality, authentic, durable materials should be used on all visible wall facades. Vinyl siding and synthetic stucco (EIFS) should not be used.

8. High-quality, durable materials should be used on windows.

9. Residential windows along Bayshore Avenue facades should generally have a vertical orientation. They should be recessed at least 2 inches from the façade to create shadow and three-dimensional detailing.

10. Variation in window sizes and shapes is encouraged to provide visual variety.

11. Encourage the use of exterior shading devices above podium levels at proper orientations to augment passive solar design and to provide solar control.

12. Bays and other projections should have a cap on the upper termination so they become an integral part of the structure and do not appear superficially affixed to the façade.

13. Parking, loading and garage entries should be recessed a minimum of 5 feet to minimized prominence on the public realm. They should be integrated with the building design.
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14. Utilities, storage, and refuse collection should be located away from required street frontages to the greatest degree possible. Where service elements must be located on the required street frontages, they should be minimized in size and screened and/or integrated into the overall design to minimize the impact on the street frontage.

**Roof Design**

**Development Controls**

1. A variety of expressive and interesting roof forms shall be used to contribute to the overall character of the development.

**Design Guidelines**

1. Roof design should attractively incorporate and integrate green roofing technologies (renewable energy opportunities, plantings and the collection and storage of stormwater runoff).

2. Sloping and pitched roof forms, such as sawtooth, gable, hip, mansard, pyramidal and other roofs are encouraged to be used as accents to create interest atop prominent or special buildings.

3. Shaped parapets, cornice treatments and roof overhangs are encouraged to add depth, shadow and visual interest.

4. Strategies to achieve an interesting roofscape include vertical accents at corners, varied parapets, roof gardens and trellises.

5. The use of architectural features that provide visual interest to building facades, including, but not limited to, corner towers, gables, and “turrets” are encouraged.

**Private Open Space**

**Development Controls**

1. A minimum of sixty (60) square feet of usable open space per residential unit shall be required if provided as private usable open space; or a minimum of fifty (50) square feet of usable open space per residential unit if provided as common usable open space that is completed at the same time as the residential units.

2. Private open space shall be provided in the form of private patios, yards, terraces or balconies. Private open space shall have a minimum dimension of 5 feet in each horizontal dimension if it is located on a deck, balcony, porch or roof and shall have a minimum horizontal dimension of 10 feet and a minimum area of 100 square feet if located on open ground, a terrace, or the surface of an inner or outer court.
3. Common open space shall be provided through common gardens, building courtyards, or rooftop terrace spaces. Common open space shall be open to the sky, shall be at least 15 feet in every horizontal dimension and shall have a minimum area of 300 square feet. Common open space must be accessible to all residents.

4. Community multi-purpose rooms and recreation rooms with direct access to other common open space, may be provided to fulfill a portion (to a maximum of 33%) of the common open space requirement, if approved by staff based on the criteria below:
   - Be of adequate size and location to be usable;
   - Be situated in such locations and provide such ingress and egress as will make the area easily accessible;
   - Be well-designed;
   - Have adequate access to sunlight if sunlight access if appropriate.

5. Projections permitted into (over) required private and/or common open space are limited to balconies, bay windows and decorative building facade features allowed in usable open space described in the Planning Code.

6. Required public open spaces illustrated in Figure 2-6 and required public pathways in Figure 2-7 shall not count towards private open space requirements.

7. Space devoted to sidewalks or other rights-of-way required to access residential and/or other development shall not be counted towards private open space requirements.

8. Plants listed on the Invasive Plant Inventory by the California Invasive Plant Council shall not be used for any landscaping.

9. The break between blocks 5 and 6 shall be designed as a visual connection, providing a view from Raymond Avenue to the Old Office Building. This connection must have a minimum sustained width of 20 feet. If designed to be enclosed by adjacent buildings, this break should be visually open and transparent for the first two-stories. If designed as an open passageway, it should be at least 60% open to the sky, with a minimum clearance of at least 25 feet. (For reference, see Planning Code Section 270.2 (e)(6))

**Design Guidelines**

1. Common open space at ground level should be designed to be visible from the street, using views into the site, tree-lined walkways, or a sequence of design elements to allow visual access into the space.
FIGURE 2-7
Required Open Space

- Required Public Open Space
- Publicly Accessible, Privately-Owned Pedestrian Way
- Private open space with public access during daylight hours
2. Common open space should be usable, containing both soft and hardscape areas. Where possible, common outdoor areas should be more than 50% green, garden or softscape.

3. Where common open space is provided, each unit should have access to the open space directly from the building. Residents should not have to exit a building and travel on the public sidewalk to reach common open space.

4. Underground parking structures may be built beneath the street level of private open space parcels (see OSSMP) if adequate soil depth (minimum 3 feet for shrubs and minimum 4 feet for trees) is provided for landscaping at the street level.

5. The design of private and common open space should follow “Bay Friendly Landscaping Guidelines” (by StopWaste.org) and use primarily native and/or drought-tolerant plants.

6. Private and common open space maintenance should reduce water usage by incorporating water retention features, smart (weather-based) irrigation controllers, and drip irrigation, bubblers or low-flow sprinklers for all non-turf landscape areas.

7. Where appropriate, private and common open space areas should collect and utilize rainwater for irrigation. All open spaces should reduce runoff from storm events.

**Lighting**

Nighttime lighting affiliated with the project shall be limited to avoid adverse effects on nighttime views of and within the Project Area.

**Development Controls**

1. Fixtures shall direct light downward, using the following methods:
   - “Full Cut Off” or “Fully Shielded” fixtures (fixtures do not allow any light to be emitted above the fixture) shall be used in all exterior project lighting.
   - Project lighting shall use “shut off” controls such as sensors, timers, motion detectors, etc., so lights are turned off when not needed for the safe passage of pedestrians. Parking lighting shall be shut off after business hours.

2. Pedestrian-scale lighting shall adequately light all sidewalks, pedestrian ways, mews, paths and parks on the Site.

**Design Guidelines**

1. Where possible, install light features within building elements or architectural features to achieve indirect illumination.
2. Outward oriented glazing should be used at upper story windows to reduce the nighttime visual impacts of internal lighting.

3. Unnecessary glare should be avoided by using non reflective materials on buildings and hardscapes.

**Signage**

Signage shall conform to Planning Code Article 6, as well as those Standards and Guidelines below.

**Development Controls**

1. Freestanding commercial signs and roof signs are not permitted.

2. Signage shall be affixed to buildings and incorporated into building design

**Design Guidelines**

1. Business signs – including wall signs, projecting or fin signs, (especially small signs at eye level), and window signs should be oriented to the pedestrian.

2. Signs design respect a building’s design and architectural elements. Signs should not cover or impede architectural elements such as transom windows, vertical piers, or spandrel panels.

3. Tenant improvements to storefronts should preserve facade transparency. Curtains, posters or other opaque signs should not obstruct visibility of the interior from the sidewalk. This guideline does not restrict the use of temporary translucent sun screens to shade café and restaurant patrons.

**Visual Screens and Sound Buffers**

Efforts should be made to reduce transmission of transportation noise and screen views of the railroad tracks which extend along the site’s eastern property line. Several methods should be considered to screen views and diminish noise generated by commuter rail service.

**Development Controls**

1. For proposed buildings within 110 feet of the centerline of the railroad tracks, or within 55 feet of light rail tracks, a site-specific study is required to analyze and identify appropriate noise-reduction measures to reduce vibration exposure to new residents, employees, and visitors. The study shall demonstrate with reasonable certainty that California State Building Code Title 24 standards (i.e., 45 dBA Ldn for interior noise levels), where applicable, can be met. Should heightened concerns about noise levels be present, the Department may require the completion of a detailed noise assessment by person(s) qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering prior to the first project approval action, in order
to demonstrate that acceptable interior noise levels consistent with those in the Title 24 standards can be attained.

2. Incorporate sound insulation and windows to ensure acceptable levels of noise to building interiors in residential units along the site’s eastern property line.

3. Enhance the eastern edge of the Schlage Lock site. Methods may include:
   - Broad-leaf evergreen plantings;
   - Masonry, green or living walls;
   - Public or environmental art to frame eastward views.

**SUSTAINABLE SITE DEVELOPMENT**

**Development Controls**

The development of the Schlage Lock site, and of adjacent properties in the surrounding Project Area, is intended to be a model of urban sustainable design. In addition to compliance with existing green building and energy efficiency standards, the project shall conduct an assessment of potential site-wide sustainable systems, including the following:

- Infrastructure to support future photovoltaic systems or solar thermal water heating systems (including roof load calculations, roof space and orientation design, penetrations and waterproofing for panel ‘stand-off’ supports, mechanical room space, and electrical wiring and plumbing).

- Installation of active solar thermal energy systems on new construction and retrofitting existing structures for space heating and hot water supply systems.

- Incorporation of district-level renewable energy generation technologies. Methods may include:
  - Wind turbine systems and associated equipment.
  - Photovoltaic roof panels.
  - Recovery of waste energy from exhaust air, recycled (gray) water, and other systems.
  - Use of rainwater, and recycled (gray) water for landscape irrigation, toilets and other non-potable uses, as permitted by Health and Building Codes, rather than a potable water source.
TRANSPORTATION, PARKING & LOADING

Transportation Demand Management

Development Controls

Required transportation measures designed to increase transit ridership, ridesharing, cycling and walking are itemized in the companion Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan. The TDM plan includes the land use and design strategies in this document, as well as several programs related to parking, carsharing, and public outreach. A TDM coordinator, the MTA and the Planning Department will monitor the programs and performance measures in the TDM plan.

Off-Street Parking Requirements

Development Controls

The number of off-street parking spaces shall be as prescribed in the table below and as per SUD section 249.45(e)(7).

1. Off-street, unenclosed surface parking shall not be permitted.

2. New residential buildings with more than fifty (50) units shall provide parking spaces to car share programs. This requirement may be satisfied with some on-street parking spaces, as per the SUD, TDM plan and Planning Code regulations.

Design Guidelines

1. New developments are encouraged to reduce provision of off-street parking spaces to a minimum.

2. Space efficient parking, where vehicles are stored and accessed by valet, mechanical stackers or lift, via tandem spaces, or other means, is encouraged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USE OR ACTIVITY</th>
<th>MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF OFF-STREET PARKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>One parking space per dwelling unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grocery</td>
<td>One parking space per 333 gross square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>With the exception of grocery retail as set forth above, one parking space per 500 occupied square feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School, fitness or community center use</td>
<td>One parking space per 1,000 square feet of occupied space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other non-residential uses</td>
<td>One parking space per 750 square feet of occupied space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Bike parking should be in an easily accessible and safe location to minimize conflicts between bicycles, pedestrians and drivers. See Planning Code Sections 155.1-155.4 for standards and guidelines.

**Off-Street Loading**

**Development Controls**

1. New retail commercial uses above 10,000 square feet in size shall provide off-street loading facilities consistent with Planning Code requirements.

**Curb Cuts / Driveways and Garage Doors**

**Development Controls**

1. Curb cuts shall not be located on Leland Avenue or Bayshore Boulevard, except for the Bayshore frontage of Block 3.

2. Off-street parking serving an individual residential unit (such as live/work units), the maximum curb cut, driveway and garage door width shall be limited to eight (8) feet wide (one lane) per unit.

3. For off-street parking at commercial buildings and multi-unit residential buildings, curb cuts and driveways shall not be more than twenty (20) feet wide (one lane of egress and one lane of ingress per building). For large plate retail (over 10,000 square feet or with street frontage over 80 feet), there may be a twenty-five (25) foot wide curb cut for two lanes.

4. Off-street parking shall be located below grade where possible, or wrapped by active ground floor frontages as required by Figure 2-5. Along blocks where there are no frontage requirements, above-grade structured parking is limited to the ground floor, and must be either screened with green façades and living walls, or integrated within the design of the building, with architectural features and details to add visual interest to the façade.

**Design Guidelines**

1. Curb cuts and parking throughout the project area should be designed to prevent transit, bicycle, and pedestrian conflicts.

2. Service and delivery for commercial development should occur in the rear of the building and should always be placed in the area with the least visual and physical interference with regular pedestrian circulation.

3. Loading, service and access to building utilities should be provided using the same access points as parking garages.

4. During peak travel periods, deliveries for commercial development should be limited.
5. For off-street parking at single-family dwellings, townhouse entries and garages serving an individual residential unit, garages should be accessed from an alley or residential street rather than a primary street.

PUBLIC REALM - STREETS, BLOCKS & OPEN SPACE

A system of streets, sidewalks, and pathways shall provide vehicular and pedestrian access to all property on newly established blocks in Zone 1 and shall be aligned with streets in Zone 2 and the surrounding area. The location of streets and blocks will be aligned with and extend Raymond, Leland, Visitacion and Sunnydale Avenues into the Schlage Lock site, and shall generally adhere to the Circulation Map (Fig 2-4). The actual siting of streets shall be approved through the adoption of a companion Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan.

It should be noted that regional improvements studied by the required transportation study will not be implemented solely by the project sponsor, or by the City and County of San Francisco. Regional transit improvements will therefore be addressed through a separate process, the Bi-County Transportation Study, and the City will work collaboratively during the transportation study process with transit officials in Daly City, Brisbane and San Mateo County to ensure connections occur.

Street Grid / Block Layout

DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS

1. Streets shall be provided at locations specified in Figure 2-7. All required streets must be through-streets. Cul-de-sacs are not permitted. Private drives or parking entries may not be substituted for required streets.

2. Pathways shall be provided at locations as specified in Figure 2-7, in order to provide views and pedestrian access to public open space.

3. Required streets, alleys, mews and pathways shall be publicly accessible at all times, except where otherwise noted. Where streets, alleys, mews or pathways are not publicly owned, they must be designed to “read” as public streets. Installation of gates that restrict access to streets, alleys, mews or pedestrian pathways are not permitted.

4. Where streets terminate at the Caltrain right-of-way, ensure that the right-of-way:
   • provides a visual focal point announcing the street termination; or
   • provides a landscaped overlook with views to Little Hollywood and the east.
Street and Pathway Design

Street design, including street widths and other specifications, shall be established in the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan and confirmed with the City during the appropriate development phase. Required streets and public pathways are shown in the Circulation Map, Figure 2-7. Leland Avenue and Street A play unique roles within the Site.

Leland Avenue

The Leland Avenue extension plays a central role in the proposed plan as a pedestrian-friendly neighborhood commercial street and as a main connection between the Visitacion Valley neighborhood and the new development on the Schlage Lock site (Zone 1). The Leland Avenue extension design complements and incorporates many of the recent improvements on Leland Avenue, west of Bayshore Boulevard. With the Leland Greenway, the extension will be part of the citywide Green Connections network.

Street A

Street A is intended to provide a pedestrian friendly, green connection from the site’s northernmost point to its southern edge, and connect the site’s major open spaces. This street, and all other exclusively residential streets, are designed for slow vehicular traffic and, where possible, best practice designs for stormwater management.

Development Controls

1. Street design shall adhere to the standards contained in the Better Streets Plan.

2. Required pedestrian ways shall have a minimum sustained width, from building wall to building wall, of 20 feet. They shall be sited at grade, or within 3 feet of grade, connected by generous stairs and accessible ramps.

3. Required pathways shall be constructed at-grade, or within 3 feet of grade wherever topography allows. The entire length of pathways shall be visible from connecting streets to provide a measure of security.

4. Street trees shall be planted approximately every 20-30 feet along public streets and publicly ways, mews, and alleys.

5. Major intersections, including all intersections at Leland Avenue, shall be designed with corner bulb-outs.

6. Corner bulbs and sidewalk bulb-outs shall be consistent with DPW and other City specifications to accommodate use of mechanical street sweepers.

7. Pedestrian-scale streetlights shall be installed along all streets consistently.
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FIGURE 2-8
Circulation Map

- **Required Public Streets**
- **Required Pedestrian Way**
- **Public Access During Daylight Hours**
These natural tree wells are an example of how natural stormwater treatment can be incorporated into the street design.
8. Special streetlights shall be installed along the Leland Avenue extension at the Schlage Lock site matching the streetlights installed on Leland Avenue west of Bayshore Boulevard.

9. All utilities on new streets shall be located underground.

10. Utility boxes, backflow devices, and other mechanical equipment shall be placed in unobtrusive locations. They may not be placed within the public right-of-way unless there are no other locations, and shall be screened from view.

11. Paved pathways and sidewalks shall be a minimum of six (6) feet wide.

12. Projections such as bay windows and cornices from adjacent residential, commercial or institutional uses shall not be permitted over pathways less than 20 feet wide.

**Design Guidelines**

1. New public streets should be designed according to the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan. Streets should support all modes of circulation, including walking, bicycling, transit, vehicular, while encouraging alternatives to driving alone.

2. Bulb-outs should be planted with native and/or drought-tolerant plants, offer seating areas and create opportunities for public art.

3. Pedestrian oriented features such as tree plantings and signage should be installed in alleys and narrow streets.

4. Beacon lights or in-pavement crosswalk lights should be installed at key, non-signalized intersections to aid in pedestrian crossings.

5. New public streets should be designed to include appropriate street furniture, including pedestrian-scaled lighting, street trees and other landscaping, refuse bins, wayfinding signage and other pedestrian-amenities.

6. New public streets should utilize consistent sidewalk design (color, pattern, etc.), well-designed street furniture including seating, waste receptacles and pedestrian-scaled street lights.

7. Streetlights should use low voltage fixtures and energy efficient bulbs.

8. Street furniture should be consistent with improvements on Leland Avenue and other open space design elements throughout site. Use paving material with a Solar Reflectance Index (SRI) of at least 29.

9. Tree species should be varied throughout the neighborhood. Tree species may be varied by street to provide a different visual character on individual streets,
but in most cases generally be consistent along each street.

10. Streetscape design should incorporate pervious surfaces for tree planting wherever possible and permitted by the DTSC-required remediation program. To reduce or minimize water consumption, trees, sidewalk plantings and plant material should be native and drought-tolerant wherever possible.

11. Streetscape design at intersections should incorporate retention cisterns or other sustainable stormwater management systems below bulb-out areas, to facilitate water retention or infiltration where appropriate.

12. Pathways should separate bicycle and pedestrian access and include adjacent landscaping.

**Public Open Space**

The Schlage Lock site shall be designed and developed to be a part of the existing open space network that includes the Visitacion Valley Greenway, neighborhood open spaces, McLaren Park, and the development pending along the Brisbane Baylands. Development of the Schlage Lock site must include two project sponsor-provided open spaces connected to this network, as detailed below; and will support development of a third open space as future agreements with JPB and UPRR allow. The open spaces shall generally be located and provided as described below, and as shown on the Open Space Plan, Figure 2-12. The descriptions below provide a starting point for development based on community input through the workshop process; and these designs are further described in the companion Open Space and Streetscape
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Master Plan. The actual dimensions, design and facilities provided at each open space will ultimately be determined through the design review process specified in the Visitacion Valley-Schlage Lock Special Use District.

**Development Controls**

The Schlage Lock site development must provide two required open spaces, as follows:
- “Leland Greenway” (0.73 acres)
- “Visitacion Park” (approximately 1 acre )

Please note that the park names are included for purposes of description in the plan; actual naming will occur as part of the community planning process.

1. All parks and plazas will be open to the public and fully accessible during daylight hours at a minimum.

2. All parks shall include both hardscape, in the form of paths, courts and play areas, and softscape elements, such as open grassy areas, groundcover, shrubs, flowering plants and trees. The three neighborhood parks specified above shall collectively constitute a minimum 60% softscape, unless determined otherwise through the design review process.

3. Required open spaces shall be constructed at-grade and or within 3 feet of grade, providing sufficient depth for planting (at least 3 feet for shrubs and 4 feet for small trees) and for stormwater management solutions.

4. Required open spaces should connect to streets by stairs and ramps. The interior of an open space should be visible from the street.

**Design Guidelines**

1. All parks, plazas, streets and pathways should be designed and considered as a part of an open space network, with pleasant pedestrian connections required between all open space components.

2. Provide ample seating for public users, such as low walls, benches, and/or stairs.

3. Reduce use of potable water for irrigation by installing smart (weather-based) irrigation controllers, and by using drip, bubblers or low-flow sprinklers for all non-turf landscape areas.

4. Incorporate sustainable stormwater management features to reduce rainfall runoff. These may include but are not limited to use of vegetated swales, vegetated infiltration basins, flow through and infiltration planters, pervious pavement, and other methods, consistent with the approved DTSC Remedial Action Plan.
5. Where possible, design parks with the capability to collect and store stormwater to irrigate parks and public open space. The plan’s open spaces may be an appropriate site to collect, filter/clean and store rainwater underground, so this rainwater can be used to irrigate the public open spaces.

6. Incorporate integrated pest management, and non-toxic fertilization techniques to manage open spaces whenever possible.

7. Incorporate artists into the park design development process. Public art may incorporate whimsical elements desired by neighborhood residents, similar to installations in the Visitacion Valley Greenway.
APPENDIX A. DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS APPLY TO CERTAIN TERMS USED IN THESE DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS AND DESIGN GUIDELINES.

A

ACCESSORY PARKING
Parking facilities located on the premises and dependent upon the principal land use of a site.

ACTIVE FRONTAGE
Frontage on rights-of-way that consists of individual commercial or residential units, with entries ideally every 25 feet or less, but no more than 50 feet apart, and no significant blank or blind walls at the ground-floor or above.

ADJACENT STREET FRONTAGE
Any linear frontage along a street directly abutting any side of a building, including only the nearer side of the street.

AGENCY COMMISSION
The governing body of the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco.

ALLEY
A secondary right-of-way providing secondary circulation for cars, bicycles and pedestrians, as well as parking, loading and service access. Alleys may have a single shared surface for auto and pedestrian use, have minimal or no parking on the roadway, and are generally less than 25 feet wide.

ALTERNATIVE PAVING MATERIALS
Paving materials that are not traditional asphalt or concrete, including interlocking concrete pavers, pervious concrete mixes, pervious paving stones, or other materials.

ARTICULATION
Minor variations in the massing, setback, height, fenestration, or entrances to a building, which express a change across the elevation or facades of a building. Articulation may be expressed, among other things, as bay windows, porches, building modules, entrances, or eaves.

AT-GRADE
At the level of an adjacent publicly accessible right-of-way. For sloping sites, at-grade for any given point is the midway vertical point between the line that connects the front and back lot lines, and the line that connects the two side lot lines.

AWNING
A lightweight structure attached to and supported by a building, projecting over the sidewalk, designed to provide weather protection for entryways and display windows.

B

BIO-SWALE
A planted unpaved ground depression designed to collect, filter and drain stormwater prior to its entry into the wider stormwater system. Includes grassy swales and vegetated swales.

BLOCK
The area encompassed by any closed set of publicly accessible rights-of-way, also including the rail rights-of-way.

BLOCK DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVE
A variation to the parcel configuration to be exercised under certain prescribed conditions.

BLOCK FACE
Any one side of a block.

BUILDING
Above-ground, detached structure with a roof supported by columns or walls, that may or may not share below-ground programming.

BUILDING ENVELOPE
The exterior dimensions—dictating the maximum dimensions of width, depth, height and bulk—within which a building may exist on a given site.

BULB-OUT
Sidewalk extension into parking or driving lanes, most commonly used at corners to narrow intersection widths or crossings.

CAR-SHARING PROGRAM
A program that offers the common use of a car or other vehicle by individual members, enabling people or households to use a car for some trips while not owning, or owning fewer, cars.

CISTERN
A sustainable rainwater management device used to capture and store clean water. They may be installed on building roofs, above ground, or underground.

CURB CUT
A break in the street curb to provide vehicular access from the street surface to private or public property across a continuous sidewalk.

DESIGN GUIDELINES
Suggestions for building features or qualities to be considered in project designs, often requiring subjective analysis.

DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS
Mandatory and measurable design specifications applicable to all new construction.

FAÇADE
The exterior surface of a building that is visible from publicly accessible rights-of-way.

FAÇADE ARTICULATION
A major horizontal or vertical planal shift in a building's façade.

FAÇADE PROJECTION
A façade feature that extends forward from the main façade plane,
such as a bay, column, cornice, or window molding.

**Fenestration**
Area of a building facade occupied by windows and doors.

**Fin Sign**
A sign projecting from the building wall over the sidewalk, visible from the street, also known as blade sign, that directs attention to a business, service or retail activity.

**Fine-grain**
Site and building design that incorporates small blocks, narrow lots, frequent street-facing residential and commercial entrances, and a rhythmic architecture that breaks building façades into narrow modules on the order of 25 feet.

**Flex Space**
A building space such as live-work, designed to provide occupants use flexibility, with a configuration that may allow retail, production, office or showroom space in combination with other uses.

**Freestanding Sign**
A sign in no part supported by a building.

**Green Roofs**
A lightweight vegetated roof systems installed in place of conventional roofs installed to reduce runoff, and reduce a building's heating and cooling costs. Extensive green roofs include several layers, including a waterproof membrane, drainage material, a lightweight layer of soil, and plants selected for their ability to thrive at the rooftop location. Green roofs may be unoccupiable, or designed intensively, with a stronger support system, for recreational use.

**Greenway**
A linear park useable for non-auto circulation, that also provides landscaped areas, recreational opportunities, open space and seating. A greenway may be in the form of a wide (at least 12 feet sustained), useable road median.

**Hardscape**
The coverage of ground surfaces with constructed materials such as paving, walls, steps, decks, or furnishings.

**Human Scale**
Building, site, street and open space design of a size and character that relate to a pedestrian at ground level, as opposed to an individual in a fast-moving vehicle. Also: Pedestrian Scale.

**Impervious Surfaces**
An impermeable material, which prevents moisture percolation into the ground, and therefore sheds rainwater and residues onto streets and into stormwater sewers.

**Infiltration Basin**
A vegetated infiltration basin (often referred to as a rain garden) is a landscaped depression that has been excavated or created with bermed side slopes or other features to store water until it infiltrates into the ground. Plants used must withstand periods of standing water.

**Liner Retail**
Small retail spaces located along the perimeter of large retail areas.

**Lot Frontage**
The dimension of a lot along a primary street.

**Modulation**
Major variation in the massing, height, or setback of a building.

**Parcel**
An area of land designated to contain a specific building type or land use within a development block.

**Pathway**
A pedestrian and bicycle circulation element that prohibits cars, which may also provide access to residential or commercial uses.

**Pedestrian Mews**
A small-scaled, pedestrian oriented thoroughfare within a block that includes front doors and landscaping. A mew may or may not provide vehicular circulation.

**Pedestrian Scale**
See Human Scale.

**Pervious Surface**
Landscaping materials that allow a percentage of rainwater to percolate into the ground rather than run off into the stormwater system

**Pervious Pavement/Pavers**
Pervious pavements provide air spaces in the material that allow water to pass through the pavement to the crushed aggregate base, then infiltrate into the ground below. Pervious pavers are installed on a sand bed, allowing water to pass through and between the pavers to the underlying subgrade and infiltrate into the ground.

**Plaza**
An intimate, primarily hardscape open space element fronted by development and the street, that provides places to sit, eat, or casually gather.

**Podium Development**
Style of development in which upper-floor units share one or more common lobbies, and units are linked by common corridors and a common parking garage. Podium development may also have individual townhome units at ground level.

**Public Open Space**
Public open space includes neighborhood parks, plazas and greenways suitable for active and passive recreation. Sidewalk extensions and bulb-outs with seating, play and landscaped areas...
could also be considered public open space, if the extended area is a minimum of 12 feet wide, and is usable for active or passive recreation.

**Publicly Accessible**
Open to the public at all times (unless otherwise noted), and not closed off by gates, guards, or other security measures. Publicly accessible also means that there are not overly burdensome rules for acceptable and not acceptable behavior, nor design cues that make the open space seem unwelcoming.

**Rain Barrel**
A rain barrel is a sustainable stormwater management treatment used to “harvest” clean rainwater falling on a building roof. One or more rain barrels may be installed close to a roof downspout to collect water falling on a building roof. Water stored in rain barrels may be used to irrigate exterior landscapes, or for interior use, if approved.

**Roadway**
The width covered by asphalt from curb-to-curb. For roadways divided by a planted median, the roadway does not include the width of the median.

**Roof Sign**
A sign, or portion thereof, erected or painted on or over the roof of a building.

**Roofscape**
The visual character of the roofs as viewed from above, such as from neighboring hills.

**Setback**
Open space provided between the property line and the primary built structure creating an expanded area along the sidewalk providing a transition between the street and private uses on the property. Setbacks may be required to be dedicated for public use or remain as private space between the public right-of-way and the building mass.

**Stepback (Upper-story)**
The horizontal distance between the streetwall and additional building height, lessening shadow impacts and the appearance of height at ground level.

**Stoop**
An outdoor entryway into residential units raised above the sidewalk level. Stoops may include steps leading to a small porch or landing at the level of the first floor of the unit.

**Storefront**
The facade of a retail space between the street grade and the ceiling of the first floor.

**Street**
A primary right-of-way through the site, providing circulation for cars, bicycles and pedestrians. Sidewalks and the roadway are separated by a curb, and there are separate lanes for parking and driving.

**Streetscape and Public Open Space Plan**
A set of standards and specifications for new public streets, alleys, rights-of-way, sidewalks, intersections, parks, plazas, playgrounds and other public improvements in the Project Area.

**Street Wall**
A continuous facade of a building and/or buildings facing a street frontage at the property line or required setback. Height above stepbacks is generally not considered part of the streetwall.

**Softscape**
Landscaped areas dedicated to planted materials such as ground cover, annuals, perennials, shrubs and trees.

**Sustainable Design**
A multi-disciplinary design approach to balance environmental responsiveness, resource efficiency, and community context.

**Swale**
Swales are gently sloping depressions planted with dense vegetation or grass. As the runoff flows along the length of the swale, the vegetation slows and filters rainwater allowing sediment and pollutants to settle out and rainwater to infiltrate into the ground.

**Townhouse**
Style of development in which attached ground floor residential units are individually accessed from a publicly accessible right-of-way, and not connected by interior corridors or connected parking garages.

**Transparency**
A characteristic of clear facade materials, such as glass, that provide an unhindered visual connection between the sidewalk and internal areas of the building. In general, approximately 70% or more of storefronts’ street-facing elevations shall be transparent, i.e., comprised of windows and/or entrances.

**Wall Sign**
A sign painted directly on the wall or fixed flat against a facade of a building, parallel to the building wall and not projecting out from the facade more than the thickness of the sign cabinet.
APPENDIX B. PUBLIC PROCESS

The Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock Design For Development is the product of a series of focused public planning sessions that took place between September 2006 and August 2007 and was amended between October 2012 and May 2014 due to the loss of the Redevelopment Agency. The core of the process developed around monthly Community Advisory Committee (CAC) meetings and five public workshops regularly attended by neighborhood residents, business owners, and interested members of the public. San Francisco Redevelopment Agency and San Francisco City Planning Department staff organized and provided support at the meetings. In addition, staff from other City agencies attended and participated CAC meetings and public workshops. Descriptions of the workshops are provided below.

WORKSHOP 1: TOWARD A FRAMEWORK PLAN

On August 28th, 2006, the Planning Department held the first workshop for the Visitacion Valley / Schlage Lock Design For Development. The goal of the workshop was to establish an optimal framework for the neighborhood with the Schlage Lock site at its center. After a presentation and analysis of site opportunities and challenges attendee break-out groups discussed the best strategy to successfully translate the previously developed Concept Plan into a working framework plan for the Site. This workshop resulted in refining framework plan concepts.

WORKSHOP 2: PRELIMINARY URBAN DESIGN

At the second workshop on October 14th, 2006, two alternate framework plans were described and the community attendees chose between alternate framework plans and selected a preferred framework plan. The issues discussed included an overview of the type and distribution of land uses on the site (residential, commercial, open space, etc.), potential building types, building height, and a discussion about the number of residential units that could be comfortably accommodated on the site, supported by necessary public infrastructure. In addition, a variety of urban design issues were presented and discussed. These community discussions helped to formulate a preliminary urban design plan.

WORKSHOP 3: URBAN DESIGN

Based on comments received at the first two workshops, a preferred plan was presented at the third public workshop, on January 6, 2007. The preferred plan concept included three neighborhood parks, a central neighborhood park (referred to as Leland Greenway), a park along Blanken Avenue connecting the Schlage site and Visitation Valley neighborhood with Little Hollywood to the east (Blanken Park) and a narrow linear park surrounded by residential development, (the Residential Greenway) at the southern part of the site. The preferred plan also included preservation of the Schlage Lock administrative office building on Blanken Street, as well as the 1930’s buildings at Visitacion Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard per the community’s recommendations. Break-out working groups also provided comments on and preferences for the programming and design of the three proposed open spaces.
WORKSHOP 4: SUSTAINABLE SITE DESIGN AND BUILDINGS

On May 5th, 2007, the Planning Department held the fourth public workshop. This workshop focused on a sustainability strategy and framework to establish site as a green, sustainable development. Sustainable design features proposed to be applied to the site included: remediation of toxic soils and groundwater on site; reducing stormwater runoff by using pervious pavement and employing bioswales at parks to direct rainwater flow; provisions to reduce generation of solid waste by reusing materials on-site; less reliance on use of private automobiles. In addition, sustainability features include mechanisms to reduce energy demand on site by siting buildings to take advantage of passive solar energy, designing buildings to maximize daylighting, insulating new construction, using low heat gain/loss windows, and other available measures and technologies. In addition to discussions about sustainable design, height distribution across the site was reviewed and discussed in an open forum discussion.

WORKSHOP 5: BUILDING FORM AND DESIGN CHARACTER

On August 4th, 2007, the fifth and final workshop was held on the design plan and new zoning for the Schlage Lock site. Workshop content and break-out group sessions focused on the proposed design character of the site elements. It included descriptions and discussion of architectural design elements, such as building facades & fenestration, setbacks, roof forms, and materials that can be used to create a well-designed collection of neighborhood buildings. In addition, a set of artist’s renderings, illustrating possible build-out of the site incorporating design characteristics and design elements discussed at previous workshops, were presented to the community for discussion. Workshop break out groups discussed preferences for retail facades (window displays, consistent repetition of building bays to establish a comfortable pedestrian scale for retail development) and designs for retail entrances that would provide pleasing connections between retail uses and the public realm and provide the kind of neighborhood spaces that foster social interaction.

Descriptions of the subsequent community meetings that took place between October 2012 and March 2014 are provided below.

COMMUNITY MEETING 1: POST-REDEVELOPMENT UPDATE & COMMUNITY PRIORITIES & GOALS

On October 12, 2012, the Planning Department held the first post-Redevelopment community meeting for the Visitacion Valley / Schlage Lock project. The goal of was to inform the community what the funding loss due to the elimination of the Redevelopment Agency meant for the project. After an overview of the original package of community benefits Redevelopment funding would have helped to achieve, attendee break-out groups discussed their community benefit priorities for the Site under the new financial reality. This meeting resulted in a ranking of the community benefits.
COMMUNITY MEETING 2: POTENTIAL FUNDING STRATEGIES & SITE PLAN CHANGES

At the second community meeting on January 12, 2013, participants heard an overview of potential funding sources, and looked at revised open space and height options on the site. Two alternate Leland Greenway alternatives were described with community attendees discussing the pros and cons of each alternative. These community discussions helped shape height and open space changes and other considerations to ensure good design and livability.

COMMUNITY MEETING 3: FINAL SITE PLAN REVISIONS & LELAND GREENWAY PROGRAMMING

Based on comments received at the first two meetings, final site changes, strategies for addressing potential concerns with the changes, and a preferred Leland Greenway configuration was presented at the third public meeting, on May 18, 2013. Break-out working groups also provided comments for the programming and design of the Leland Greenway.

COMMUNITY MEETING 4: DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT OVERVIEW

On March 22nd, 2014, the fourth and final public meeting was held. Community participants heard summaries of the site plan, open space and streetscape plan, remediation efforts, design controls and the development agreement between the city and the developer. The latter included an overview of all the community benefits in the development agreement. The community heard about and provided additional comment on the planning process for future phases and development on the site.
**COMMUNITY GOALS FOR THE PROJECT**


**Preamble:** The redevelopment of the property on which the former Schlage Lock industrial facilities are located (the “Schlage Site”) and the revitalization of Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue pursuant to this Redevelopment Plan shall balance the goals of sustainable development, traditional neighborhood design and transit-oriented development.

The following goals were established in conjunction with the CAC and in meetings with members of the public at large. Together with the other related Plan Documents, these goals and objectives will direct the revitalization of the community and guide the direction of all future development within the Project Area. The goals and objectives for the Project Area are as follows:

**GOAL 1: CREATE A LIVABLE, MIXED USE URBAN COMMUNITY THAT SERVES THE DIVERSE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY AND INCLUDES ACCESS TO PUBLIC RESOURCES AND AMENITIES.**

**Objectives:**

- Attract a grocery store and provide a variety of retail options to serve multi-cultural, multi-generational community at a range of incomes.
- Provide for the expansion of local public services such as a new library, police sub-station, and fire department facilities.
- Provide high quality public infrastructure that serves as a model of sustainable design.
- Create opportunities for the old Schlage Office Building to serve in the project area as a landmark that can be used for a variety of civic purposes.
- Attract educational facilities including job training, English as a Second Language classes, City College extension, arts programs and multi-cultural resources.
- Promote neighborhood-serving retail to provide residents and workers with immediate walking access to daily shopping needs.
GOAL 2: ENCOURAGE, ENHANCE, PRESERVE AND PROMOTE THE COMMUNITY AND CITY’S LONG
TERM ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY.

Objectives:

• Facilitate the cleanup, redesign and development of vacant and underutilized properties in the Project Area.
• Protect human health, by ensuring that toxics cleanup be the primary consideration in the planning and phasing of new development.
• Promote environmentally sustainable building practices in the Project Area so that the people, the community and ecosystems can thrive and prosper.
• Promote, encourage, and adopt design and construction practices to ensure durable, healthier, energy and resource efficient, and/or higher performance buildings and infrastructure that help to regenerate the degraded urban environment.
• Design green streets and sidewalks to contribute to the sustainability of the Project Area.
• Ensure that development balances economics, equity and environmental impacts and has a synergistic relationship with the natural and built environment.

GOAL 3: CREATE PEDESTRIAN-ORIENTED ENVIRONMENT THAT ENCOURAGES WALKING AS THE
PRIMARY TRANSPORTATION MODE WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA.

Objectives:

• Connect the neighborhood through the creation of new streets and multi-use paths throughout the Schlage Site linking Visitacion Valley to Little Hollywood.
• Access into the Schlage Site shall be fully public accessible and designed as an extension of the block pattern of the surrounding community.
• Construct pedestrian-friendly streets throughout the Project Area to promote and facilitate easy pedestrian travel.
• Ensure new buildings have multiple residential entrances and/or retail at the street level to contribute to sidewalk activity.
• Improve the pedestrian safety along Bayshore Boulevard with intersection improvements and traffic calming.
GOAL 4: ENCOURAGE THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE MODES OF TRANSPORTATION BY FUTURE AREA RESIDENTS, WORKERS AND VISITORS AND SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CALTRAIN STATION AS A MAJOR MULTI-MODAL TRANSIT FACILITY.

Objectives:

- Encourage development that promotes the use of public transit, carpooling, shuttles, bikes, walking and other alternatives to the privately-owned automobile.
- Contribute to regional connectivity of the greater Visitacion Valley area particularly with the Baylands of Brisbane.
- Coordinate with local and regional transportation and planning agencies to facilitate rights-of-way connectivity and access to public transportation.
- Enhance the attractiveness, safety, and functionality of transit stop locations within the Project Area.
- Encourage new buildings on adjacent parcels to include safe pedestrian connections to the Caltrain facility.
- Minimize the number of curbs cuts in new developments and encourage common parking access where feasible.

GOAL 5: CREATE WELL DESIGNED OPEN SPACES THAT ENHANCE THE EXISTING COMMUNITY AND NEW DEVELOPMENT.

Objectives:

- Create new parks, greenways, boulevards, and plazas that contribute to the existing open space network that serve the diverse needs of a mixed-use community.
- Publicly accessible open spaces should incorporate design elements of the Visitacion Valley Greenway in order to express a cohesive, creative and unique neighborhood character.
- Design new open spaces and streets to contribute to the sustainability of the infrastructure serving the Project Area, including treatment of stormwater, and the creation and maintenance of urban natural habitat.
- Provide opportunities for ongoing community involvement in the parks through environmental education, interpretation and other active programming.
- Include pedestrian walkways and destination points such as small plazas that create a sense of place.
- Incorporate art by local artists in the design of public places.
- Create financing mechanisms to ensure the long-term maintenance of parks and streetscapes.
GOAL 6: DEVELOP NEW HOUSING TO HELP ADDRESS THE CITY’S AND THE REGION’S HOUSING SHORTFALL, AND SUPPORT REGIONAL TRANSIT USE.

Objectives:

- Avoid the displacement of any residents.
- Assist with the preservation and rehabilitation of existing affordable housing.
- Facilitate the construction of new housing for a range of income levels and household sizes.
- Increase the local supply of well-designed affordable housing for low-income and moderate-income working individuals, families, and seniors.
- Develop housing to capitalize on transit-oriented opportunities within the Project Area.

GOAL 7: ESTABLISH THE PROJECT AREA AND SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOODS AS A GATEWAY TO THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Objectives:

- Use thoughtful design that complements and integrates the existing architectural character and natural context of Visitacion Valley.
- Ensure that buildings reflect high quality architectural, environmentally sustainable building and urban design standards.
- Incorporate local historical, ecological, cultural and artistic elements in the designs of buildings, streetscape and parks.
- Improve the district’s identity and appearance through streetscape design.
- Increase the economic viability of small businesses in the project area by providing an attractive, pedestrian-friendly street environment.
- Design housing and public spaces to be family and multi-generational oriented.
- Facilitate the preservation, rehabilitation, and seismic retrofitting of historic buildings and landmarks.
- Design streets, parks, and building facades to provide adequate lighting and visual connectivity to promote public safety.
GOAL 8: ENCOURAGE PRIVATE INVESTMENT BY ELIMINATING BLIGHTING INFLUENCES AND CORRECTING ENVIRONMENTAL DEFICIENCIES.

Objectives:

• Assemble and re-subdivide vacant industrial parcels in order to create buildable parcels and provide block patterns that integrate with the architectural character of the existing community.

• Incorporate a mix of uses into the new development within the Project Area, particularly the Schlage Site, including different types of housing, retail and community services.

• New development should take advantage of the transit proximity and be designed as a compact walkable mixed-use community.

• Provide economic opportunities for current Visitacion Valley residents and businesses to take part in the rebuilding and revitalization of the community.

• Provide opportunities for participation of property owners in the redevelopment of their own properties.

• Strengthen the economic base of the community through commercial functions in the Project Area, and attract citywide attention to the district through events, media campaigns, and district-wide advertising.

• New development should relate to Leland Avenue and help revitalize the neighborhood’s traditional main street with local business development.

• New retail is a critical component of the project on the Schlage Site, and should also support and contribute to the existing retail corridors on Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard.
APPENDIX D. MAYOR’S TASK FORCE ON GREEN BUILDINGS ORDINANCE

*Note: The following table is intended as an illustrative summary of requirements only. Actual ordinance can be found in the San Francisco Building Code Chapter 13C, and amendments to that chapter may supersede the summary shown here.
### Green Building Ordinance: Summary of Requirements
#### Table 1: Performance Standards and Timelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Requirement and Code Reference</th>
<th>2008 (November 3)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1304C.1: New Group R Occupancy Buildings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Residential: 4 or fewer units (1304C.1.1)</td>
<td>Rating Requirement (1304C.1.1)</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints new home construction checklist; no points required</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 50 GreenPoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Meet &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;, if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsize Residential: 5+ units and &lt; 75' height to highest occupied floor (1304C.1.2)</td>
<td>Rating Requirement (1304C.1.2)</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints multi-family checklist; no points required</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 50 GreenPoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Comply with &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;. As Applicable: LEED NC SS 6.2 and SS 6.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rating Requirement (1304C.1.3.1)</td>
<td>Achieve LEED Certified OR GreenPoint Rated with minimum 50 points, plus requirements below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Efficient Landscaping (1304C.1.3.2)</td>
<td>Min. of 50% reduction in use of potable water for landscaping (LEED credit WE1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Rise Residential: 5+ units and ≥ 75' height to highest occupied floor (1304C.1.3)</td>
<td>Water Use Reduction (1304C.1.3.3)</td>
<td>Min. of 20% reduction of potable water use (LEED credit WE3.1)</td>
<td>Min. of 30% reduction in potable water use (LEED credit WE3.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Comply with &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;. As Applicable: LEED NC SS 6.2 and SS 6.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Debris Management (1304C.1.3.4)</td>
<td>Divert at least 75% of construction debris (LEED credit MR 2.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Green Building Ordinance: Summary of Requirements

## Table 1: Performance Standards and Timelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Requirement and Code Reference</th>
<th>2008 (November 3)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1304C.1: New Group R Occupancy Buildings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Residential: 4 or fewer units (1304C.1.1)</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints new home construction checklist; no points required</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints new home construction checklist; 25 GreenPoints required</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 50 GreenPoints</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 75 GreenPoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Meet &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;, if applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsized Residential: 5+ units and &lt; 75' height to highest occupied floor (1304C.1.2)</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints multi-family checklist; no points required</td>
<td>Submit GreenPoints new home construction checklist; 25 GreenPoints required</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 50 GreenPoints</td>
<td>GreenPoint Rated; minimum 75 GreenPoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Comply with &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;. As Applicable: LEED NC SS 6.2 and SS 6.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Rise Residential: 5+ units and ≥ 75' height to highest occupied floor (1304C.1.3)</td>
<td>Achieve LEED Certified OR GreenPoint Rated with minimum 50 points, plus requirements below</td>
<td>Achieve LEED Silver certification OR GreenPoint Rated with minimum 75 points, plus requirements below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Efficient Landscaping (1304C.1.3.2)</td>
<td>Min. of 50% reduction in use of potable water for landscaping (LEED credit WE1.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Use Reduction (1304C.1.3.3)</td>
<td>Min. of 20% reduction of potable water use (LEED credit WE3.1)</td>
<td>Min. of 30% reduction in potable water use (LEED credit WE3.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management (1304C.0.3)</td>
<td>Comply with &quot;SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines&quot;. As Applicable: LEED NC SS 6.2 and SS 6.1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Debris Management (1304C.1.3.4)</td>
<td>Divert at least 75% of construction debris (LEED credit MR 2.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LEED for Neighborhood Development Pilot Project Checklist

Project Name: Schlage Lock Site  
Primary Contact: Rich Chien

Instructions: In the Points Earned column, enter "Yes," "No," or "Maybe" for prerequisites and the expected number of points earned for credits. For prerequisites with more than one compliance path, enter the compliance path option # in column E, in the row under the prerequisite’s name.

**Points Earned**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points Earned</th>
<th>Smart Location &amp; Linkage</th>
<th>30 Points Possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 1 Smart Location</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 2 and/or #3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 2 Proximity to Water and Wastewater Infrastructure</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 3 Imperiled Species and Ecological Communities</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 4 Wetland and Water Body Conservation</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 5 Farmland Conservation</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 6 Floodplain Avoidance</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option #: 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 1 Brownfield Redevelopment</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Credit 2 High Priority Brownfields Redevelopment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 3 Preferred Location</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 4 Reduced Automobile Dependence</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 5 Bicycle Network</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 6 Housing and Jobs Proximity</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 7 School Proximity</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 8 Steep Slope Protection</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 9 Site Design for Habitat or Wetlands Conservation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 10 Restoration of Habitat or Wetlands</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 11 Conservation Management of Habitat or Wetlands</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Points Earned**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points Earned</th>
<th>Neighborhood Pattern &amp; Design</th>
<th>39 Points Possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 1 Open Community</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prereq 2 Compact Development</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Credit 1 Compact Development</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 2 Diversity of Uses</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 3 Diversity of Housing Types</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 4 Affordable Rental Housing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 5 Affordable For-Sale Housing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 6 Reduced Parking Footprint</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 7 Walkable Streets</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 8 Street Network</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 9 Transit Facilities</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 10 Transportation Demand Management</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 11 Access to Surrounding Vicinity</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 12 Access to Public Spaces</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 13 Access to Active Public Spaces</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 14 Universal Accessibility</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 15 Community Outreach and Involvement</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Credit 16 Local Food Production</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROPOSAL FOR ADOPTION
APPENDIX F. SCHLAGE LOCK DESIGN REVIEW PROCEDURE

New proposals will undergo phase and design review and approval by the Planning Department prior to issuance of phase approvals and building permits. A broad outline of the phase and design review process is provided below, and further detailed in the Development Agreement and the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Special Use District of the Planning Code, respectively.

Staff Participation

Design review will be conducted by the Planning Department. The Planning Department shall be responsible for the design review process and maintaining liaison with the project sponsor’s architectural design team, and formal required submissions shall be made to the Planning Department.

For each phase of development, the Planning Department will also oversee a Phase Application review process, which will include the design review of all of the phase’s infrastructure, utilities, open space, historic preservation, and all other improvements located outside of the twelve development parcels. It may also include the design review of buildings proposed for any or all of the development parcels within an applicable phase, at the project sponsor’s election. Alternatively, any or all of a phase’s buildings may seek design review approval following Phase Application approval.

Designs for new development will be reviewed by the appropriate City departments. This review will occur before critical decisions in the design process are made. It is expected that continuous contact will be maintained between the project sponsor’s architect and the City’s design review staff during the draft design and working drawing process and that reasonable requests for progress plans or additional materials in addition to those required below will be met at any time. Final approvals or disapprovals shall be made by the Planning Director based on a design’s compliance with this Design for Development, the Special Use District, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, any other applicable controls in the Planning Code and those memorialized in the Development Agreement, and the findings and recommendations of the staff report.

Community Participation

Advice and consultation regarding each proposed phase of development and design review will be sought by the project sponsor from the community to ensure consistency with the controls, design guidelines and community benefit requirements. Prior to filing any site and/or building application or Phase Application, the project sponsor shall conduct a minimum of one pre-application meeting. The meeting shall be conducted at the project site or within a one-mile radius of the project site but otherwise subject to the Planning Department’s Pre-Application Meeting packet, affidavit and procedures, including the submittal of required meeting documentation with each Phase Application and any subsequent building or site permits for design review. A Planning Department representative shall attend.
Additionally, for each Phase Application and once design review is completed on site or building permit applications, Neighborhood Notification will be mailed to neighbors within 300 feet of the subject property, anyone who has requested a block book notation, and relevant Visitacion Valley neighborhood groups for a 30-day public review period after staff review and no less than 30 days prior to Planning Director, or Planning Commission action on the application. Also, Phase Applications and design review applications for parks will be subject to a “post-application” meeting, led by Planning staff to present how the application did or did not incorporate community feedback upon submittal to and review by the Planning Department.

**Acceptance of Proposals**

Required design submissions must adhere to the Community Participation requirements above. Additional informal reviews at the request of either the project sponsor or the Planning Department are encouraged. In evaluating the design of a building and its relationship to the site and adjoining areas, the Planning Department will avoid imposing arbitrary conditions and requirements, however evaluating whether the project adheres to many of the design guidelines will require some subjective analysis by Planning Department and City staff. The Development Controls and Design Guidelines contained in this document are intended to inform individual project design and will be used to measure the design compatibility of a project with the overall design character of the Visitacion Valley community. Development Standards within this document shall be applied by the Planning Department to project proposals in order to achieve the purposes of the Special Use District.

**Impact Fee Allocation and Annual Updates**

In addition to the community involvement in the phase and building design, community consultation will be sought in the process to allocate impact fees related to the Visitacion Valley Community Facilities and Infrastructure Fee and the Transportation Fee Obligation to which the project is subject. The Planning Department will hold a minimum of one public meeting per year in the community to inform the public of funds accrued every year and, when enough funds have been collected, to consult the community on needs and potential uses for the impact fees. At this meeting, the project sponsor shall present a progress report on the Schlage Lock project, including but not limited to status of parks and community improvements, number of units built, BMR units, and status of the Old Office building. Such report may use information from or be the same as the Annual Review required in the Development Agreement.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This document was developed with participation of several partners. Special thanks to Pyatok Architects, GLS Landscape Architecture, BKF Engineering, and Van Meter Williams Pollack for the plans, designs and graphics in this document and the community process behind it.

The Planning Department would like to acknowledge the leadership of several City agencies and offices throughout the course of the Schlage Lock site redevelopment and design processes, including:

- Mayor’s Office of Economic and Workforce Development
- Office of Supervisor Malia Cohen
- Office of the City Attorney
- Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
- Department of Public Works
- Office of former Supervisor Sophie Maxwell
  (former) San Francisco Redevelopment Agency

The leadership of the community members of the Visitacion Valley/Schlage Lock Advisory Body (and former Citizens’ Advisory Committee) were essential to this document and the entire project. Current AB and former CAC members are:

- Chris Barnett
- Linda Bien
- Christina Charles
- Robin Chiang
- Brad Drda
- Edith Epps
- Douglas Fong
- Jim Growden
- Inskip James
- Michelle LaFlue
- Paul McLaughlin
- Fran Martin
- Arcadia Maximo
- Russel Morine
- Frederick Parkinson
- Tom Radulovich
- Marlene Tran
- Anne Seeman
- Neo Veavea

San Francisco Planning Commission:
- Cindy Wu, President
- Rodney Fong
- Michael J. Antonini
- Gwyneth Borden
- Rich Hillis
- Kathrin Moore
- Hisashi Sugaya

We would like to thank the following Public Agencies and Boards for their participation:

- Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board
- SF Public Utilities Commission
- SF Department of Public Works
- SF Recreation and Parks Department
- SF County Transportation Authority
- SF Environment
- SF Municipal Transportation Agency

We would also like to acknowledge the dedicated efforts of the various organizations, institution, neighborhood associations and individuals that have participated in and supported this community process, including:

- Bay Area LISC
- City of Brisbane
- SF Recycling Center
- VVBOOM
- Visitacion Valley Planning Alliance
- Visitacion Valley Community Development Corporation

We would also like to thank the following firms and individuals for their work which set the stage for this process:

- Urban Ecology
- EDAW
- Nelson Nygaard
- Strategic Economics
Visitacion Valley Schlage Lock
open space and streetscape
master plan

APRIL 2014

Prepared For
Visitacion Development LLC

Prepared By
GLS|AECOM|BKF
figure 1: plan overview

For more detail about each element of the plan, please go to the corresponding page number.
# Table of Contents

## Section 1  Introduction  7
- Background  7
- Purpose of Document  7
- Plan Area Description  9
- Site Ownership  9
- Community Planning Process and Design Goals  10
- Key Site Issues  11

## Section 2  Sitewide Strategies & Palettes  17
- Overall Open Space Master Plan  17
- Sitewide Strategies and Palettes  19
- Paving Plan  21
- Lighting Plan  23
- Site Furnishings Plan  25
- Public Art and Historic Commemoration Strategy  27
- Stormwater Management Concept  29
- Tree Plan  31
- Understory Planting Plan  35

## Section 3  Park & Plaza Schematic Designs  41
- Visitacion Park  43
- Leland Greenway  51
- Old Office Building Plazas and Blanken Park  61
section 4 STREETSCAPE DESIGN 69

Overall Streetscape Master Plan 72
Streetscape Hierarchy 73
Overall Circulation Requirements 74
Accessible Parking & Passenger Loading 76
Caltrain Station Access 80
Leland Avenue 82
Street A 84
Lane B 88
Street Termination at Visitacion Avenue & Raymond Street 90
Sunnydale Avenue 92
Raymond Avenue 94
Visitacion Avenue 96
Pedestrian Pathways 98
Alley 100
Bayshore Boulevard 102
introduction
section 1 introduction

background

The planning process for the Schlage Lock site has been underway since the closure of the factory in 1999. When a proposal for a Home Depot (2000) was met with community opposition, a collaborative planning process between the community and the City of San Francisco was launched to revitalize Visitacion Valley. With the Redevelopment Agency, the process examined how to reuse the Schlage Lock site and adjacent parcels in a way that benefits the existing neighborhood. The planning effort culminated in 2009 with the adoption of the Design for Development document (D4D). When the California Redevelopment agencies were eliminated in 2012, the City of San Francisco reinitiated the process to transform the site. This resulted in replacing the Redevelopment Plan with amendments to the 2009 D4D document, a new Special Use District and new implementation documents, including this one. This Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan provides schematic designs for the Schlage Lock site, or Zone 1 of the former redevelopment area.

purpose of document

The purpose of this document is to:

- establish schematic designs for the new parks and open space in the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan (Plan Area), and
- establish the designs of new streets throughout Plan Area.

figure 2 open house
JPB Parcel APN 5087-005

JPB Owned Area within Plan Area (10,059 sq.ft.)

Note: Exact division between JPB and UPRR parcel is not currently available.
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Plan Area
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Figure 3: Property Ownership
plan area description

The Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan (Plan Area) is located in the Visitacion Valley neighborhood, at the southern edge of San Francisco, and constitutes most of “Zone 1” of the broader D4D area, as shown in Figure 4. The 20 acre Zone 1 area is bounded by Bayshore Boulevard, Blanken Avenue, the Caltrain tracks, and the San Francisco/Brisbane municipal boundary. Most of the Plan Area is comprised of the Schlage Lock site, the 20 acre development site that formerly housed a vacant factory and rail yard. Visitacion Development LLC (Developer), via Universal Paragon Corporation (UPC), now owns and proposes to develop the Schlage Lock site.

site ownership

Two smaller parcels, owned by the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (JPB/Caltrain), and one parcel owned by Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) are included in the Plan Area, as shown in Figure 3. This plan assumes that the UPRR parcel and the JPB parcel are not part of the proposed Schlage Lock Development Project but may be developed for open space purposes in the future as a separate project. The large JPB Parcel (#5087-005), as shown in Figure 3, will remain an active Caltrain Railroad corridor and in JPB ownership. Two small right-of-way areas in Visitacion Avenue and Sunnydale Avenue are owned by the City of San Francisco.
community planning process and design goals

The design process for the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan included extensive public outreach and input. Three public workshops in 2010 were held and monthly discussions on the evolving design concepts were held at the Visitation Valley Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) meetings. In 2012 and 2013, three community workshops and additional advisory body meetings were held to update the site plan, street layout and park design.

Five design goals for the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan were distilled from broader goals drafted during the D4D process. The community was asked to use these goals as evaluation criteria when commenting on design proposals. These design goals were:

1. Promote walking, transit use, and cycling by developing a network of connected public spaces to the different parts of Visitacion Valley.

2. Enhance livability through active public space programming and amenities that serve the diverse needs of existing and future residents and businesses.

3. Support human and ecological health by incorporating sustainable design.

4. Build on existing neighborhood character, resources, and history to reinforce a strong sense of place, establishing a gateway to the greater neighborhood and the City.

5. Promote safety and security through design.
key site issues

Several key issues are critical to the design of open space and streetscapes in the Plan Area as illustrated in Figure 6 and discussed below.

Wind: Visitacion Valley can receive some strong winds, predominantly from the west and strongest during late afternoon. Winds are strong enough to damage susceptible trees and planting, and can make outdoor gathering uncomfortable, particularly along the east/west streets. At the Leland Greenway, plantings that serve as windrow and short retaining walls provide shelter from the wind. Whimsical sculptural elements that are designed to incorporate wind motion are encouraged for placement in the parks and in the streetscape.

Noise: Noise from Bayshore Boulevard and from the Caltrain tracks is also a concern. Noise mitigation for within the buildings will be addressed when each individual building is being designed. For the open space, the buildings themselves, as well as the addition of trees and other vegetation will help mitigate noise. The Visitacion Park in particular benefits from its more internal location within the site. In Blanken Park, the noise from the trains can be celebrated as part of the experience from the viewing area, while overlooking the trains as they come and go through the tunnel below.

Views: Due to the topography in Visitacion Valley and in the Plan Area, views are also an important feature to consider. As the Plan Area lies below the peak ridge of the valley, some parts of the Plan Area, particularly the buildings, will be visible from above. With the grade change in the Plan Area, there are some great view opportunities from the Blanken Park area, toward the far south beyond the Plan Area into the Brisbane Baylands and out to San Francisco Bay. Views of Blanken Park and the eastern edge of the development are also important to consider as a gateway element for Caltrain as it enters San Francisco. Other view corridors to and from the Plan Area as shown in Figure 6, are also important considerations. While there might not be physical connections, the view extensions across the tracks from Visitacion Avenue, Leland Avenue, Raymond Avenue, and Sunnydale Avenue are important visual connections between Little Hollywood and the greater Visitacion Valley. The design treatment of the intersections of these streets and Bayshore Boulevard must also foster a sense of extending the existing fabric of the community into the Plan Area. Leland Greenway, with a public art element near the corner of Bayshore Boulevard and Leland Avenue, provides an interesting visual terminus for Leland Avenue.
LEGEND
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* Maximum accessible slope threshold is 8.33%.
Topography and Accessibility: As shown in Figure 7, there are some significant topography changes in the Plan Area that need to be accommodated in the public-realm designs. The high point of the Plan Area is at the intersection of Bayshore Boulevard and Blanken Avenue. The grade change at the north end of the Plan Area is highlighted by the architecture of the Old Office Building, which is built into the slope.

Sidewalks and ramps in the parks and streets are provided at accessible slopes.

Soils and Remediation: There are a number of design considerations resulting from the Plan Area’s history as a brownfield:

1. The remedial action plan for the Schlage Lock site restricts the growing of food on the site (regardless of container). The JP B and UPRR parcels have to be further tested. The ability to grow food on these parcels would need to be confirmed before the installation of any program such as a community garden.

2. Some metal (primarily lead and arsenic)-contaminated soils will remain on the Schlage Lock site, although they must be capped with at least 3 feet of clean soil in landscape areas.

3. There are no restrictions to tree roots growing into the soil below the clean cap, although species known to be sensitive to lead or arsenic should not be used.

4. The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has also restricted the installation of landscape-based stormwater management elements (such as bioswales) over areas where metal-contaminated soils have been relocated and capped. DTSC might support such systems if they are designed in such a way as to minimize these risks, such as through the use of an impermeable liner, but this would need further consultation with DTSC.
sitewide strategies & palettes
This section provides an overview of the open space and streetscape designs for the Plan Area. It includes the recommended palettes of landscape materials and site furnishings. This section also describes the overall stormwater management strategy, and recommended public art and historic commemoration strategies. Detailed designs for each individual park are included in Section 3. Individual street designs are presented in Section 4.

**Overall Open Space Master Plan**

The Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan is the result of applying the design concepts identified in the Design for Development and enriching them with input provided by community members during the public process.

Overall, the character of the open space and streetscape is envisioned as one strongly linked to the Plan Area’s history, that celebrates the local character and its diversity and reflects the spirit of sustainability envisioned for the Plan Area. The open space and streetscapes are designed to extend the existing Visitacion Valley neighborhood and the Visitacion Valley Greenway through the Plan Area, and promote a further connection south into the Baylands, in the future.

The three main parks—Blanken Park, Leland Greenway, and Visitacion Park—are the centerpieces of the Plan Area. Blanken Park, including the Old Office Building plazas, sits at the high point of the Plan Area, acting as the terminus for the open space system within the Plan Area site as well as a gateway to the Schlage Lock development, Visitacion Valley, and Little Hollywood. Visitacion Park is designed as the “family room,” responding to the new
figure 9: overall open space master plan
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1. old office building plaza
2. blanken park
3. visitacion park
4. leland greenway
5. raymond avenue
6. street A
7. leland avenue
8. visitacion avenue
9. sunnydale avenue
10. lane B mews
11. pedestrian pathway
12. alley
13. bayshore boulevard
14. lane B
buildings that surround it, with open, flexible, and shared sociable spaces. The Leland Greenway, with plazas and park furniture that complement that nearby retail uses in the Plan Area and across Bayshore Boulevard, will be the center of activities and the green anchor at the eastern end of Leland Avenue.

The parks are connected by a network of pedestrian-friendly streets. The Leland Avenue extension, adjacent to the Leland Greenway, is the main pedestrian entry point to the new community; thus, it is intended to be an active, pedestrian street for strolling, extending the existing yet newly improved Leland Ave streetscape west of Bayshore Boulevard into the Plan Area. Street A, running north-south connects the three main parks with a line of trees and street planting that are accented in section with an art wall. Leland Avenue and the portion of Street A north of Leland Avenue are envisioned as a part of the citywide Green Connections network. Lastly, Lane B provides an alternate north-south route, with its character ranging from pedestrian way to residential street.

Visitacion Avenue, Sunnydale Avenue, and Raymond Avenue are also important streets in the Plan because they extend visual and physical connectivity to the existing community. All of the streets and parks form a seamless open space system that works as a highly connected and active public realm.

Figure 9 presents the overall open space plan. Specific components of the Plan are discussed in more detail later in the document.

**sitewide strategies and palettes**

The following section provides an overview of the open space and streetscape design strategies for the Plan Area as a whole. Sitewide strategies for paving, planting, furnishings, lighting, stormwater management, and public art are discussed. These strategies are described individually for clarity, but they work as layers that add richness and environmental performance to the open space system. The material selections identified in the diagrams are followed by keyed images of the proposed palettes. Details about specific park and street designs are included in Sections 3 and 4 of this document.
The strategy for paving in the parks and streets of the Plan Area aims to link the open spaces and reinforce the sense of connectivity between them as illustrated in Figure 10. Overall, the selection of materials is dictated by the community’s desire to have warm, durable materials.

Unit paving and colored concrete is used to highlight special areas and to provide the connectivity between the parks, allowing one to physically perceive the linkage from north to south and across the pedestrian paths of the site.

Decomposed granite (on non-primary travel routes), unit paving or colored concrete is recommended for garden areas of the Plan Area, including the future community gardens in Blanken Park.

For sidewalks and tree strips, the Plan recommends standard concrete with unit pavers, allowing trees, limited understory planting, pedestrians, and people accessing parked cars to coexist. Images of the paving materials are shown in the palette to the left and summarized in Figure 10.
figure 11: lighting plan
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- step light
- trellis down light

Schlage Lock Open Space + Streetscape Master Plan
The lighting strategy for the Plan Area builds on existing San Francisco initiatives to unify and standardize the use of light fixtures in the city, while allowing special types of fixtures to highlight a unique district or respond to a special condition. The lighting plan is shown in Figure 11 and the recommended light fixtures are shown in the palette to the left.

For the streets that form the core of the Plan Area (such as Leland Avenue), where retail and other commercial activities are anticipated, the Plan proposes using the light standard that has been recently installed along the existing Leland Avenue. The Bayshore Boulevard standard will be retained on the west edge of the Plan Area. Building-mounted lights, to be selected during building design, are recommended where buildings flank the pedestrian alleys or paths. Along the rest of the streets, a City standard will be used. The light fixture selection should be confirmed with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) against current standards before installation. This standard fixture will be used with a light-rail-arm component along Sunnydale Avenue, where Muni’s light rail line is expected to extend.

A variety of light fixtures will be utilized within parks, including low lighting, park pole lights, bollards, and step lights. Overall, the goal is to provide levels of illumination that will make the spaces feel safe at night, and at the same time create an inviting atmosphere within the parks, manage excessive brightness, and protect dark skies. Please refer to Section 3 of this document for additional information about special lighting design in specific parks.
As shown in the palette to the left, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan recommends a set of standard benches, trash receptacles, fencing, bike racks and other furnishings throughout the Plan Area. Having a standard suite of furnishings allows for elements of consistency throughout the landscape, makes for easier long-term maintenance, and provides an elegant and understated backdrop to set off more custom features. An overview of the recommended furnishing layout is shown in Figure 12.

The standard furnishings proposed also respond to criteria provided by the community during the outreach process, either as points of consensus or preference of the majority:

- sturdy and vandal-resistant; durable and low-maintenance over time
- materials that are warm and natural (such as wood), and respond to sustainability concerns (sustainably harvested, recycled, recyclable, or renewable)
- elegant and timeless forms, with a preference for curves
- benches need arms and backs
- trash receptacles need to accommodate recycling

During the outreach process, the community also expressed a strong desire for including special, custom-designed furnishings and other feature elements in the public realm. Based on this feedback, the plan recognizes the opportunity to design unique furnishing elements for selected areas of the site as part of the public art program, described later in this document.

A series of fitness stations along the Street A corridor, as shown in Figure 12, meet the community’s desire for a fitness trail. The trailhead starts in Blanken Park and continues along Street A south to the Visitacion Park. It is possible the fitness trail could also later extend to the Brisbane Baylands development to the south. Site furnishing at the new stretch of Leland Avenue, should match with the existing portion of Leland Avenue west of Bayshore Boulevard.
figure 13: public art plan
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public art and historic commemoration strategy

One of the most remarkable aspects of Visitacion Valley is the noticeable presence of grassroots and community-inspired public art. There is a great opportunity to extend this form of local expression into the site by creating a public art program in coordination with the furnishings strategy described previously. The community has expressed a strong desire for some custom-designed furnishings and other forms of integrated art.

Any of the standard site furnishings in the site are opportunities to integrate custom design. In addition, the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan identifies five specific elements that could be part of a public art program, as illustrated in Figure 13:

- An art element component to the seat wall that traces the meandering walkway on Visitacion Park and extends into the Leland Greenway. The art element could be applied later, or be designed as integral to the seat wall.

- A trellis structure on the eastern edge of Leland Greenway to offer seating for parents watching their children in the play area and to provide a setting for potential farmers’ market on weekends, or simply offer shade and wind protection during the rest of the time.

- A sculptural feature at Western end of Leland Greenway. This element should be an expression of the multitude of cultures that inhabit Visitacion Valley and/or the local wind conditions.

- A kiosk in Blanken Park to provide storage space for gardening tools for the community garden.

- Green walls at the ground floor walls of Block 2 on Street A and of Block 1 & 2 at Lane B mews to provide visual relief and to screen parking.

There are also over 140 artifacts from the demolished Schlage Lock factory that have been salvaged and stored. These have the potential to be reused as interpretive displays or sculpture pieces throughout the site, to commemorate the Schlage chapter of the sites history. In particular salvaged elements could be reused in pronounced locations in the OOB plazas, or within the OOB itself. The reuse of these artifacts may be part of a subsequent public art program or a separate historic commemoration plan.
figure 17: stormwater management concept plan

- **Legend**:
  - Blue: rain garden
  - Orange: potential permeable paving
  - Green: street planting
  - Light blue: flow-through planter system or green roof
  - Blue line: stormwater flow direction
  - Dark blue: cistern at Old Office Building (potential) for demonstrative landscape irrigation with harvested rainwater
  - Purple: potential infiltration gallery
  - Yellow: flow-through planter

- Key areas:
  - Street planting
  - Rain garden
  - Potential permeable paving
  - Stormwater flow direction
  - Park area
  - Bioretention cells
  - Flow-through planter system or green roof
  - Cistern at Old Office Building (potential) for demonstrative landscape irrigation with harvested rainwater
  - Potential infiltration gallery
  - Flow-through planter

- **Sites**:
  - Raymond Ave
  - Bayshore Blvd
  - Leland Ave
  - Blaken Ave
  - Tunnel Ave
  - Sunnydale Ave
  - Visitacion Ave
  - Lane B
  - Street A

- **Features**:
  - Potential permeable paving
  - Stormwater flow direction
  - Park area
  - Bioretention cells
  - Flow-through planter system or green roof
  - Cistern at Old Office Building (potential) for demonstrative landscape irrigation with harvested rainwater
  - Potential infiltration gallery
  - Flow-through planter
Since the Plan Area lies within the City’s combined sewer area, site sustainability goals for stormwater focus on reducing the volume and rate at which stormwater runoff enters the larger City sewer system. The City’s Stormwater Design Guidelines require that the site’s stormwater strategies meet the equivalent of LEED-NC credit 6.1 (reducing the volume and rate of stormwater runoff from the 2-year 24-hour storm event by 25% from the pre-redevelopment site condition). To meet this requirement strategies such as softscape (planting areas), bio-retention planters, and permeable paving where appropriate and where allowed by DPW and SFPUC will be considered in the final design. Building on the increased permeability of the site, strategies, such as infiltration basins and stormwater re-use for irrigation, may be incorporated, if feasible, to further promote green infrastructure goals and achieve compliance with the Stormwater Design Guidelines. Figure 17 illustrates conceptually how stormwater management can be incorporated into the open space and streetscape design. These concepts will be advanced and refined as the infrastructure improvement design is developed along with the Final Map. Additional sustainable stormwater facilities will be provided within future development parcels and may include green roofs, flow-through planters, or setback planting. These building specific strategies will be refined as individual buildings are designed during the Building Permit approval process.

The development within the Plan Area is not required to provide water quality treatment, as all runoff that leaves the Plan Area goes to the City sewer treatment facility. However, water-quality-focused strategies, such as the swales and rain gardens shown in Figures 18 through 21, have also been integrated into the design to both support site stormwater quantity reduction strategies and act as demonstrative expressions of sustainable design. There is also the potential that this approach can become part of a longer term sustainability strategy for the watershed.
Figure 22: Tree Plan

Legend:
- Tristania conferta
- Prunus serrulata 'Kwanzan'
- Lyonothamnus floribundus
- Olea europea 'Swan Hill' or Arbutus 'Marina'
- Pittosporum undulatum
- Olea europea 'Swan Hill' or Arbutus 'Marina'
- Rhamnus alaternus
- Cupressus macrocarpa, or Sequoia sempervirens or Pinus pinea
- Acer rubrum or Liriodendron tulipfera
- Olea europea 'Swan Hill' or Arbutus 'Marina'
- Washingtonia robusta
- Populus fremontii or Populus nigra italica
- Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia
- Cedrus deodara

See the tree plan summary chart on the next pages for more details.
tree plan

The overall tree plan for the Plan Area is shown in Figure 22. Street trees and park trees have been selected to reinforce the street hierarchy and block pattern of the Plan Area. The strategy is to provide a backbone of evergreen trees that will serve as a green framework, and a contrast to the changing character and transparency of deciduous trees that provide seasonal change, texture, flowers, and fall colors. Trees have been selected for their longevity, ease of management, wind resistance and adaptability to existing site soil conditions. Trees were also selected for particular growing conditions or purposes. Some pathways are proposed on structure (see Figure 43 in Section 4) and the tree selection responds to this more constrained growing condition (see Tables 1 and 2 on the following pages). To help provide windbreaks, iconic and statuesque evergreen trees (cedar and redwood) are designated for Leland Avenue and the Old Office Building Plaza. The Street A tree (red maple) was chosen for its distinct form and fall color, its tolerance for potential rain garden conditions, and its tight canopy (required due to its proximity to the vehicular lane when there is no on-street parking between Visitacion Avenue and Leland Avenue). See the tree plan summary chart on the next pages for more details.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Recommended Species</th>
<th>Mature Size</th>
<th>Water Need</th>
<th>Tree Character</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bayshore Boulevard</td>
<td>Tristania conferta</td>
<td>Medium to large EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Fast growing and strongly upright to rounded tree</td>
<td>To match existing trees or Bayshore Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunnydale Avenue</td>
<td>Pittosporum undulatum</td>
<td>Medium to large EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Fast growing and strongly upright to rounded tree</td>
<td>Transit street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond, Leland, Visitacion Avenues</td>
<td>Prunus serrulata ‘Kwanzan’</td>
<td>Small DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Flowering specimen trees</td>
<td>To match existing Leland Avenue street trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prunus yedoensis ‘Yoshino Cherry’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane B, Street A</td>
<td>Lyonothamnus floribundus</td>
<td>Large EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Fast growing and strongly upright</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catala Ironwood or Corymbia ficifolia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane B Pedestrian Pathway</td>
<td>Olea europaea ‘Swan Hill’</td>
<td>Small EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sculptural multi-trunk tree of Mediterranean character</td>
<td>On structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Arbutus ‘marina’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Olea europaea ‘Swan Hill’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street A</td>
<td>Acer rubrum or Liriodendron tulipifera</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Large fast-growing tree with delicate foliage</td>
<td>On grade, needs tight canopy form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alley</td>
<td>Olea europaea ‘Swan Hill’</td>
<td>Small EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Urban character with light shade and upright</td>
<td>On structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Arbutus ‘marina’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or Rhamnus alaternus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Italian Buckthorn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland Avenue, Lane B Mews</td>
<td>Washingtonia robusta</td>
<td>Large EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Tall, fast growing, high canopy</td>
<td>On grade, On structure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **(MATURE SIZE)**
  - EG = Evergreen
  - DC = Deciduous
- **(WATER NEED)**
  - L = Low
  - M = Moderate
### Table 2. Park Trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location (Park)</th>
<th>Recommended Species</th>
<th>Mature Size</th>
<th>Water Need</th>
<th>Tree Character</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old Office Building</td>
<td>Olea europaea 'Swan Hill'</td>
<td>Medium EG</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sculptural multi-trunk tree of Mediterranean character</td>
<td>On structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Blanken Park</td>
<td>Swan Hill Olive or Arbutus 'marina'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Stair Terrace</td>
<td>Deodar Cedar</td>
<td>Large M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tall conifer with grand stature</td>
<td>On grade, windbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Office</td>
<td>Cedrus deodara</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Plaza</td>
<td>River Birch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Grove</td>
<td>Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitacion Park</td>
<td>Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Plaza</td>
<td>River Birch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Grove</td>
<td>Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Sequoia sempervirens</td>
<td>Large EG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tall conifer with grand stature</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland</td>
<td>Populus fremontii or Populus nigra</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Large fast-growing tree with delicate foliage</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway</td>
<td>Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Grove</td>
<td>Betula nigra or Alnus rhombifolia</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Upright form with light shade</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leland</td>
<td>Acer rubrum or Liriodendron tulipifera</td>
<td>Medium DC</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Large fast-growing tree with delicate foliage</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway</td>
<td>Deodar Cedar or Coast Redwood</td>
<td>Large EG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tall evergreen with grand statue</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windbreak</td>
<td>Deodar Cedar or Coast Redwood</td>
<td>Large EG</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tall evergreen with grand statue</td>
<td>On grade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitacion Valley OSSMP

---

**Notes:**
- E = Evergreen
- D = Deciduous
- M = Moderate
- L = Large
- EG = Tall evergreen with grand stature
- DC = Medium
- M = Tall conifer with grand stature
- M = Upright form with light shade
figure 23: understory planting plan

LEGEND
- restoration planting
- street planting type I
- street planting type II
- no mow lawn
- lawn
- park planting type I
- park planting Type II
- pedestrian way planting type I
- pedestrian way planting type II
- community garden
understory planting plan

Maximizing planting areas, seasonal color, and biodiversity is the main objective of the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan's planting strategy.

Other important criteria for plant palette selection are drought tolerance, low water requirements, low maintenance, durability and longevity, pleasant scent and habitat value for birds and pollinators. Substitutions to the plant palette are acceptable using locally grown native plant species if available in sufficient quantity at the time of installation. The irrigation needs of the landscape designs will need to be less than the maximum allowable water allowance per SFPUC’s Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance, but the plan does recommend installing permanent irrigation systems. The source of water for irrigation may be provided by one or a combination of the following options: a connection to the City’s water distribution system or on-site stormwater reuse.

There are various growing conditions and types of spaces where planting occurs. The plan responds with categories of planting as shown in Figure 23. Representative species recommended for each planting type are included in lists on the following pages.

- **Lawn**—the use of lawn is limited to the multiuse areas of the parks. There are two types. The first is a typical durable multi-use variety that will require regular mowing. The second is called “no-mow”, and is best suited for casual lounging or purely aesthetics. “No-mow” is a mix of grasses that naturally grow to a low height and do not require mowing. It gives a soft, meadow-like appearance.

- **Park Planting Type I & Pedestrian Way Planting Type I**—this planting type includes native or climate-appropriate understory shrubs and ground covers. Species are chosen to remain below 4 feet in height, to maintain sight lines through the parks. This category also applies to planting along pedestrian pathways and building setbacks.

- **Pedestrian Way Planting Type II, Park Planting Type II & Street Planting Type II**—this planting type is used in all stormwater management planting zones (flow through planter, swales, planters, and rain gardens). These areas are to be densely planted with understory species capable of withstanding periodic inundation and typical stormwater contaminants. Mulch should be inorganic or not used. If stormwater management function is not needed in this planting area, Park Planting type I, Pedestrian Way Planting type I or Street Planting type I palette will be used.

- **Street Planting Type I**—this planting type occurs in the understory of street tree basins, or other planting beds adjacent to the street. The plant types are very sturdy, evergreen, and drought-tolerant species that can tolerate the challenges of planting environment.

- **Restoration Planting**—this planting type occurs along the railroad tracks. Species are primarily native and chosen for urban habitat value. They require very minimal maintenance, and will not require ongoing irrigation beyond a 2-year establishment period.

- **Community Garden**—this planting type will also be in areas where the community will be able to assume responsibility for the planting and maintenance. It is envisioned as primarily for food production, unless this is determined as not viable. In this case, ornamental, cut-flower community gardens could be established.

Planting is also an exciting area of opportunity for community partnerships and programs. The neighborhood example of the Visitacion Valley Greenway provides a useful resource for organizing volunteer or job-training programs to grow, plant, and maintain landscapes. It will still be important to design for the possibility that such programs may not last, or that new residents will not want to participate, and hence have a strategy in place for a low-maintenance landscape.
Echium candicans | Pride of Madera
Carpenteria californica | Tree-anemone *
Romneya coulteri | Matilija Poppy
Ceanothus sp. | Lilac *
Fremontodendron californicum | California Flannel Bush
Heteromeles arbutifolia | Toyon
Myrica californica | Pacific Wax Myrtle
Garrya elliptica | Silk Tassel
Rhamnus californica | Coffeeberry
Sambucus spp. | Elderberry
Kniphofia uvaria | Red Hot Poker
Muhlenbergia rigens | Deer Grass
Muhlenbergia lindheimeri | Lindheimer’s Muhlygrass
Quercus agrifolia | Coast Live Oak
Aesculus californica | Buckeye

restoration palette

Muhlenbergia rigens | Deer Grass
Muhlenbergia lindheimeri | Lindheimer’s Muhlygrass
Iris germanica | Iris
Agave alba medio picta | White-Striped Century Plant
Agave huachucensis | Parry’s Agave
Aeonium ‘Cyclops’ | Giant Red Aeonium
Cotyledon orbiculata | Pig’s Ear
Aloe ‘Johnson’s Hybrid’ | Aloe
Adenanthos drummondii | Albany Woolybush
Leucadendron ‘Red Tulip’ | Leucadendron
Cussonia spicata | Spiked Cabbage Tree
Libertia peregrinans | New Zealand Iris
Euphorbia myrsinites | Myrtle Spurge
Sedum ‘Blue Carpet’ | Sedum
Sedum ‘Dragon Blood’ | Sedum

street planting palette

Pride of Madeira
California Flannel Bush
California Buckeye
Matilija Poppy
Coast Live Oak
Coffeeberry
Elderberry

Giant Red Aeonium
Pig’s Ear
Myrtle Spurge
Sedum

Leucadendron
New Zealand Iris

Sedum "Blue Carpet" | Sedum
Sedum "Dragon Blood" | Sedum
flower garden palette

Black Eye Susan  Aster
Red Hot Poker  Sage
Anemones  Larkspur
Primrose  Rose
Fuchsia  Spurge

park planting palette

Strawberry Tree  New Zealand Flax
California Meadow Sedge  Mat Rush
Silk Tassel  Lavender
Pittosporum  Spurge

Note: Plants with (*) have fragrant foliage and flowers.
Carex tumulicola | Berkeley Sedge
Carex nudata | California Black-flowering Sedge
Carex pansa | California Meadow Sedge
Dannera pelata | Umbrella Plant
Cornus stolonifera | Red Stem Dogwood
Rubus parviflorus | Timbleberry
Calycanthus occidentalis | Spice Bush *
Mimulus sp. | Monkeyflower
Elymus glaucus | Blue Wildrye
Iris ‘Canyon Snow’ | Iris
Fragaria vesca ssp. californicas | Woodland Strawberry
Woodwardia fimbriata | Giant Chain Fern
Mahonia lomariifolia | Chinese Holly Grape
Osmanthus fragrans | Sweet Osmanthus *

stormwater management palette

Ribes sanguineum | Flowering Currant
Woodwardia fimbriata | Giant Chain Fern
Polystichum munitum | Western Sword Fern
Myrica californica | Pacific Wax Myrtle
Garrya elliptica | Silk Tassel
Arbutus unedo | Strawberry Tree
Myrtus communis | True Myrtle
Wisteria sinensis | Chinese Wisteria
Fragaria chiloensis | Sand Strawberry
Fragaria vesca subsp. Californicas | Woodland Strawberry
Prunus ilicifolia | Evergreen Cherry
Prunus lusitanica | Portugal Laurel
Lavandula sp. | Lavender *
Chondropetalum tectorum | Small Cape Rush
Euphorbia sp. | Spurge
Cornus stolonifera | Red Twig Dogwood
Iris germanica | Iris *
Philadelphus lewisii | Lewis’s Mock-orange *
Lonicer a spp. | Honeysuckle *
Clematis montana | Anemone clematis *

pedestrian way palette

Note: Plants with (*) have fragrant foliage and flowers.
Section 3 adds more detail to the sitewide plans of Section 2 by presenting the schematic design of each individual park and plaza on the Schlage Lock site. Each open space is described by the specific design concept that dictated its shape and organization, the types of activities for which it is designed, the character of the spaces created, and a palette of materials (paving, planting, furnishings, lighting, art features).

Figure 24 | Overall site plan
Visitacion Park

Design Overview

Visitacion Park is located near the center of the Plan Area, bounded by Parcel 9, Street A, Visitacion Avenue, and Lane B. It is designed as a flexible and shared open space for multiple uses, and thus is seen as the “family room” for the neighborhood.

The main program in Visitacion Park is a multi-use bermed lawn area, which doubles as an informal outdoor amphitheater, softly sloping in a northeast direction as shown in Figures 25, 27 and 28. The seating steps form the high point of the central berm. The steps provide flexible seating and lounging space, edging and activating the widened sidewalk edge at Lane B. The lawn area drains into a swale (detention area) planted with native vegetation located underneath the bridge spanning to the northeast street corner. The bridge is made of composite wood for durability, and edged with a low curb for safety. The bottom of the swale should be no more than 30” below the bridge. The bridge allows direct access over the swale area, while the surrounding tree grove is provided with a permeable accessible surface, allowing widespread access to the park from many points.

A meandering walkway is bordered intermittently with a seat/art wall and is punctuated with islands of plantings. Along this meandering walkway is a playground (tot lot), picnic sites and chess tables or other amenities as determined during the design development process. An adjacent planted pedestrian path north of Block 9 extends the park and will be further activated by residential stoops flanked by planting.
figure 27: park programming and tree plan

LEGEND

1. path
2. raised intersection
3. street planting
4. multiuse lawn
5. rain garden
6. playground (tot lot)
7. picnic area
8. the grove with DG or similar paving
9. bridges
10. seat wall
11. art wall
12. park planting on structure

trees:
- red maple
- japanese cherry
- catalina ironwood
- fremont cottonwood
- olive
- river birch
- deodar cedar
Character and Materials Requirements

The character of Visitacion Park is of one simple, flexible, and inviting space, using warm colors and natural materials. This base design provides a setting for potential public art elements, which can provide the whimsical, unique, and colorful character the community is recommending. Because Visitacion Park is expected to carry a high volume of users, the materials and elements proposed on the following pages are durable, and will acquire interesting patina with the passage of time, while minimizing unnecessary maintenance.

Recommended Public Art Features

- Seat wall art element—An art element component can trace the meandering seat wall and extends along the length of the seat wall and/or green wall along Street A into Visitacion Park. The art element could be applied later or be designed as integral to the seatwall and green wall.

Potential Stormwater Management Strategies

The central stormwater management element for this Plan Area is the central swale. The swale will collect, detain, and slowly absorb water from the lawn, planting areas, adjacent sidewalks, or Lane B, and eventually release it into the standard stormwater system.
figure 28: visitacion park grading, materials, planting type, furnishing and lighting plan

Note: See Leland Ave Section (Figure 48 on p.81), Lane B Section (Figure 51 on p.80), Visitacion Ave Sections (Figure 54 on p.86) & Street A Section (Figure 52 on p.84) for information on streetscape material.
overall section A-A’

section 1

section 2

figure 29 | section A-A’ Ieland park section
Visitacion Park palette

redwood

rain garden

unit paver plaza

pedestrian pole light

cottonwood

park planting

step seating

recessed wall light

river birch

park planting

art seat wall

picnic table

red maple

lawn

meandering colored concrete path

playground fencing

japanese cherry

bridges across rain garden

tot lot play equipment

bike rack

*complete potential plant palette provided in section 2’s planting strategy (p.31-38)
Materials and Paving

- Bridge element made from composite wood shall connect the adjacent streetscape into the park.
- Special colored concrete or unit pavers shall be used for the other edges of Visitacion Park.
- The meandering path along the swale shall be built with colored concrete.
- A safety surface, in a single color (preferably matching that of the special colored concrete), shall be used for the playground area.
- Standard concrete shall be used for the curving seating steps along the western edge of the central berm.

Planting

- Visitacion Park will have a grove of river birch with decomposed granite or similar surface beneath.
- The bioswale will be planted with rushes and grass varieties.
- At the top and bottom of the landscape berm fremont cottonwood & deodar cedar will be planted respectively to frame and provide wind protection to the lawn.

Furnishings

- Standard bike rack, trash receptacle, picnic tables, chairs, and benches shall be used.
- Single color playing structures shall be used whenever feasible. Plastic structures shall be avoided.
- Fencing around the playground shall be in metal and/or wood to match materials of other site furnishings.

Lighting

- Step lights shall be provided on the curving seating steps and at key locations of the “art wall.”
- The park pedestrian pole shall be used throughout the park, including the playground and the picnic sites.
figure 30 | perspective view key
Leland Greenway

Visitacion Valley OSSMP

Figure 31 | Leland Greenway perspective
**Figure 32: Leland Greenway Programming and Tree Plan**

**Legend**

1. Raised crosswalk/loading
2. Street planting
3. Multiuse lawn
4. Seating/play wall
5. Exploratory play area
6. Flower gardens
7. Sloped walk
8. Plaza/meeting space
9. Wind sculpture
10. Windbreak grove
11. Trellis/covered picnic & seating
12. Paseo
13. Terraced steps
14. Bulboult for pedestrian crossing
15. Playground/exploratory play area
16. Bike parking
17. Loading
18. Windbreak shrubs
19. Italian stone pine
20. Mexican Washingtonia palm
21. Brisbane box
22. Monterey cypress
23. Japanese cherry
24. Catalina ironwood
25. River birch
26. Red maple
27. Shrubs
28. Tall shrubs

---

Schlage Lock Open Space + Streetscape Master Plan
Design Overview

Leland Greenway starts from the corner of Leland Avenue and Bayshore Boulevard and acts as a critical open space link to the existing community and existing businesses on the existing western portion of Leland Avenue. It serves as a terminus for pedestrians crossing Bayshore Boulevard. Leland Greenway will include a paved seating area, a focal wind-driven art sculpture at the Bayshore intersection, and street furnishings that may be enjoyed by patrons of the nearby retail anchor, shops or cafe. The location of this sculpture garden, paired with low shrub plantings and the absence of street trees in this area will ensure that the retail anchor will remain visible to patrons. Layers of windbreak trees and shrub provide additional wind protection to the central open space. The central portion of the park includes steps and ramps that slope down from Blocks 3 and 4 toward Leland Avenue and can serve as a venue for public gatherings and events. The eastern end of the Leland Greenway will include a play area for children and an adjacent seating area sheltered by a trellis. The trellis is proposed as a series of highly perforated metal panels potentially made from salvaged materials and planted with vines. The design will reduce the impact of the wind while maintaining to the extent possible visibility throughout and beyond the site to avoid creating a wall and causing safety issues.

The uses along the Leland Greenway change from retail in the west to residential in the east. Given this variety of frontages, the Greenway needs to serve both as an urban plaza, which supports retail visitors and as a green open space with recreational and family amenities. The specific amenities

![Diagram of Leland Greenway Alternative Scheme](image-url)
Figure 33: Leland Greenway Grading, Materials, Planting Type, Furnishing and Lighting Plan

LEGEND
- colored concrete path
- unit paver
- playground surface
- unit paving at sidewalk
- park planting
- street planting
- lawn
- bioretention cells
- picnic table
- seat wall with recessed light
- no mow lawn
- trellis
- wind sculpture
- park pedestrian light
- trellis down light
- trash can
- bench
- bike rack

Note: See Leland Street Section (Figure 48 on p.80) for information on streetscape material.
figure 34 | Leland Park section

overall section

section east

section west
**figure 34A** | Leland Park section A

**LEGEND**

1. windbreak grove
2. windbreak tall shrubs
3. wind sculpture
4. seating/play wall

Wind Ensemble/ Doug Hollis
recommended for the Greenway include a wind-driven art sculpture, a windrow (a line of trees blocking wind), a plaza, terraced stairs, a play area, a trellis with seating area, and a barbell-shaped multi-use lawn areas with picnic tables and benches.

**Leland Greenway Alternative**

A design alternative for Leland Greenway as shown in Figure 32a is included in this plan to allow the developer flexibility as the phases of the plan develop, as well as to balance the public space opportunities with the evolving needs of retail uses along Leland Avenue. Modeled after South Park (South Park/2nd Street) or Patricia’s Green (Octavia/Hayes), this alternative provides slow, 1-way streets on either side of the park that could be designed as shared streets or with lower curbs to increase the connection across the park and between the two sides of the street. 45 degree parking could be included on one side of the street to support retail tenants. It should be noted that the additional space provided to the roadway encircling the park provides more direct access to retail and other uses on the north side of Leland Avenue, but does reduce the amount of usable open space.

Should this design alternative be pursued, two critical design details would need to be further developed. First, the one-way streets would need to be detailed so as to meet requirements for Fire Department access. Second, with the Leland Greenway Alternative, pedestrian safety concerns will need to be addressed in order to mitigate traffic exposure at park access. The street grade and park design would need to be sculpted to allow for pedestrian accessibility and successful programming. While the basic form of this alternative has been reviewed by the community, additional outreach should be conducted to inform any changes in programming and amenities that may arise from selection of this configuration.

**Character and Materials Requirements**

The Leland Greenway is designed as a series of public gathering spaces; thus the planting is designed for visibility. As at Visitacion Park, the meandering seat wall engages these spaces and becomes an iconic expression of neighborhood character. An art element may be applied or designed as integral to the design of the seat wall.

The selection of materials and furnishings for the Leland Greenway is as follows:

**Recommended Public Art Features**

- Art Element to Seat Wall—Refer to the Visitacion Park section (page 43) for details.
- Shade Trellis—A custom-designed trellis structure made
Leland Greenway palette

- Redwood
- Bioretention cells
- No-mow lawn
- Pedestrian pole light
- Catalina hackberry
- Flower garden
- Public art sculpture
- Recessed step light
- River birch
- Park planting
- Art seat wall
- Prefabricated bench
- Japanese cherry
- Windbreak grove
- Teen-age play equipment
- Playground fencing
- Red maple
- Reclaimed-wood exploratory play
- School-age play sculpture
- Trellis/covered seating

*Complete potential plant palette provided in section 5: planting strategy (p.31-38)
Materials and Paving

- Unit pavers matching the unit pavers used in Visitacion Park, shall be used on the plaza at the intersection of Lane B mews.
- Color concrete matching the color concrete used in Visitacion Park shall be used at ramp, stair and residential porch in front of Parcel 3 & 4.
- A safety surface, shall be used for the play area.
- Decomposed granite or colored concrete, tan in color, shall be used for all the interior pathways in the Greenway.

Planting

- A backbone of evergreen shrubs shall shelter additional plantings of flowering perennials.
- All understory planting should be less than 3 feet in height and maintain clear sight lines.
- Palm trees will mark the Lane B mews into the Greenway.

Furnishings

- Standard bike racks, trash receptacles, picnic tables, chairs, and benches shall be used.
- Sculptural structures for passive playing activities shall be used; ideally plastic ones shall be avoided.
- Trellis and seating area shall be on one side of the play area.

Lighting

- Step light shall be provided at key locations of the seat wall (art wall).
- Park pedestrian poles throughout the Leland Greenway shall be frequent enough to meet safety levels.
- Special downlights shall be used on the trellis.
figure 35 | Perspective Key
Old Office Building Plazas and Blanken Park

Design Overview

Blanken Park and the Old Office Building (OOB) Plazas, as shown in Figure 37, are interconnected spaces that together form one of the Plan Area’s three main parks. They form both the terminus of and gateway to the Plan Area’s open space system.

Blanken Park is located at the corner of Blanken Avenue and Tunnel Road, above the railroad tunnel located on the northeast corner of the Plan Area and extending south between the west side of the tracks and the east side of Parcel 6. The open space above the tunnel presents some limitations and some unique opportunities given its on-structure condition. It has load-bearing capacity restrictions and some recreational programs are incompatible with railroad safety, but it is also the only portion of the Plan Area where food production may be possible. Two separate enclosed areas for community gardens above and adjacent to the tunnel are proposed. It is important to note that Blanken Park is not within the Schlage Lock Developer’s ownership, thus it is potentially subject to additional restrictions imposed by JPB and UPRR, its current owners. Additionally, these sites have not yet been tested for potential contaminants that may restrict food growing.
**Figure 37: Old Office Building plaza and Blanken Park site plan**

- **Legend:**
  - 1. Public terrace
  - 2. Overlook
  - 3. Dog run
  - 4. Play area (day care)
  - 5. Community garden
  - 6. Maintenance shed / greenhouse
  - 7. Restoration area
  - 8. Picnic area
  - 9. Artifact
  - 10. Fitness station areas
  - 11. Grand stairs
  - 12. Garage driveway
  - 13. Old office building terrace

- **Trees:**
  - 1. Cedar
  - 2. River birch
  - 3. Brisbane box
  - 4. Olive
  - 5. Japanese cherry
  - 6. Catalina ironwood
  - 7. Restoration area tree
The design of the area above the tunnel is a compromise between the request by the community for food-growing opportunities, and requests for this area to be accessible to all members of the public, with a public viewing terrace and a generous walkway to connect the park to Little Hollywood. As part of the compromise, if the community garden is determined as unfeasible, or proves unpopular, this area shall be redesigned to accommodate a fully public program. The walkway ramps down to one of the plazas, then continues as a more gentle slope between the southern community garden and the stoops and landscaping edging Parcel 6. The building parcels along the tracks between Raymond and Leland Avenues are designated as open space: buffer planting and security fencing along the tracks; fitness stations and a small fenced dog run. The slope treatment from the security fencing down to the tracks is recommended to be a vegetated reinforcement system, to appear as a planted slope, per community preferences. Further design study will confirm whether this approach is feasible.

The plazas comprises the open spaces directly surrounding the OOB. The triangular plaza area north of the OOB was recently rebuilt by MUNI, and is not part of the Plan Area. Because the building is built into the slope, as shown in Figure 38, there are significant grade changes that required careful study to best design for the needs of circulation, indoor/outdoor programming, and sight lines. The solution proposed is a cascading series of terraces and ramps. These spaces will be intimately linked to the future OOB program and redevelopment, and will need further refinement during later design when the ultimate programming for the OOB is more clear. These terraces and spaces are as follows:

- The triangle “Bayshore Plaza” on the west side of the OOB is perfect for a generous bus-stop area and outdoor seating.
- A series of lawn or plaza terraces between the OOB and the residential Parcel 6 could be programmed for outdoor classrooms, day care play, or other uses associated with the OOB.
- A generous stairway, with adjacent terraces connecting landing to sidewalk grades, acts as both gateway and terminus to the Schlage Lock site, leading to a central plaza area below, at the crossroads of pedestrian paths connecting into the greater community. The foot of the stairs is proposed as location for artifacts from the historic Schlage Lock factory or the railroad. This central plaza will also be the “trail head” for a series of fitness stations along the Street A corridor. The stairs could also be used as part of a comprehensive fitness program.
- Seating and paths along and above the tunnel, as well as seating/picnic terraces adjacent to the stairs take advantage of panoramic views from the stairs.
figure 39: Blanken Park grading, materials, planting type, furnishing and lighting plan
Visitacion Valley
OSSMP

figure 40 | section A-A’ Blanken Park section

overall section A-A’

section 1-1

section 2-2

Note: See Raymond Ave Street Section (Figure 60 on p. 92) & Street A Section (Figure 49 on p. 82) for information on streetscape material.
Old Office Building and Blanken Park palette

Cedar

Restoration planting

Fitness station

Pedestrian pole light

Catalina ironwood

Rain garden/ stoop planting

Public art

Recessed step light

River birch

Park planting

Dog run

Prefabricated bench

Southern magnolia

Raised planters

Grand stair

Playground fencing

Red maple

Vegetated reinforced slope

Playground surfacing

Security fencing

*Complete potential plant palette provided on pages 31-38.
Character and Materials Requirements

The character of the Blanken Park/OOB Plazas is dictated in great measure by the aesthetics of the OOB itself and the railroad, both visually prominent in the space. The character of these spaces should capture the essence of the Schlage Lock factory era and the robustness and industrial character of the railroad, while providing special community amenities as shown in Figure 38.

Recommended Public Art Features

- Salvaged Elements from the Schlage Lock Factory: Reused, reinterpreted salvaged elements from the Schlage Lock factory in the plazas; and/or interpretive signage describing the original location and function of each element.

- Fence Enclosure: Custom-designed fence for the community garden areas, including gate and tool shed

Potential Stormwater Management Strategies

Rain gardens may be interspersed throughout the planting area of the park to accommodate treatment needs. Also, there is the potential to capture rainwater from the roof of the OOB into a cistern, and highlight this as an educational feature. This will be further studied during later programming and design of the OOB remodel.
Materials and Paving

- Decomposed granite, unit pavers or colored concrete shall be used on community gardens.
- Colored concrete shall be used on the OOB plaza terraces if they are used for outdoor classrooms, and in the Blanken Park overlook area.
- Pathways and ramps are proposed with colored and standard concrete.
- Retaining walls are proposed to be vegetated, with reinforced slopes.

Planting

- A bosque of olive trees is proposed on the terraces near the grand stairway.
- The main planting typology of this area is the park planting, which includes midsize canopy trees such as deodar cedar, catalina ironwood, and river birch and an understory that can sustain shade.
- The buffer planting in this area is recommended with the use of coast live oak and drought-tolerant shrubs planted in soft curving patterns.
- Lawn or no-mow lawn are optional materials instead of colored concrete for the OOB plaza terraces, if it is more appropriate once the building’s program and interior design is further developed.

Furnishing

- Standard bike racks, trash receptacles and benches shall be provided.
- Custom picnic tables and chairs, ideally designed by local artists or artisans, are recommended.
- Stainless steel handrails with simple lines shall be used, providing timeless aesthetic.

Lighting

- Step lights shall be installed on the grand stairway.
- Park pedestrian light poles shall be installed throughout Blanken Park and Plazas.
- Wall-mounted downlights shall be installed on the terraces between the OOB and Parcel 1B.
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streetscape
design
This section describes the streetscape designs for the Plan Area. The overall streetscape hierarchy, right-of-way dimensions, and the landscape concept and character for each street type are described and materials palettes (paving, planting, furnishings, lighting, art features) are recommended. This section builds upon the sitewide strategies and plans found in Section 2.

**overall streetscape master plan**

The overall design concept for the streetscape in the Open Space and Streetscape Master Plan, as seen in Figure 42, encourages a highly walkable and pedestrian-friendly environment, with stormwater management wherever feasible, and conveys a unique character reflective of the Plan Area’s locale. This is achieved by using accent paving materials strategically; proposing trees and other planting for shade, texture, color, wind protection, and visibility; and providing adequate lighting levels to assure safety. Pedestrian routes through the Plan Area is a major consideration for many of the major design moves. The seat wall/art wall/green wall connects Leland Greenway down through Street A to the Visitacion Park and toward Brisbane. The pedestrian pathway between Parcels 1&2 also highlight this connection and enhance the pedestrian experience between Leland Greenway and Visitacion Park. Street A’s staggered line of red maples note this street as a north-south pedestrian route.

Streets will be consistent with the intent, character, and spatial proportions of the street sections for mixed-use and residential streets shown in the D4D. Sidewalk widths in mixed-use areas will support restaurant and retail uses. Streetscapes on residential blocks will also create buffers from the vehicular traffic through landscaping, building setbacks or raised building entrances.

Vehicular circulation is organized to connect to the existing hierarchy of surrounding city streets. The Plan will extend Leland Avenue as the primary pedestrian entrance and retail spine of the development across Bayshore Boulevard. Visitacion and Sunnydale Avenues will also continue across Bayshore Boulevard into the Plan Area, serving as the primary vehicular entrances into the Plan Area. There will be two new north-south streets, Street A and Lane B, connecting the Plan Area to the future Brisbane Baylands development to the south. The street hierarchy and associated setbacks are shown in Figure 43.
figure 42: overall streetscape master plan
Figure 43: Streetscape Hierarchy

Legend:
- 53.5' R.O.W.
- 55' R.O.W.
- 56' R.O.W.
- 56.5' R.O.W. varies
- 64.5-65.5' R.O.W.
- 64' R.O.W.
- 66' R.O.W.
- 66' R.O.W.
- 64.5-65.5' R.O.W.
- 64' R.O.W.
- alley
- pedestrian pathway (on-structure) publicly accessible
- pedestrian pathway (on-structure) not publicly accessible
- 0'-8' setback
- 0'-5' setback
- 6.5' setback
- 10' setback
- 35' R.O.W.
- 35' R.O.W.
- future street extension
- blow-up plan

Streets:
- Raymond Ave
- Bayshore Blvd
- Island Ave
- Leland Ave
- Blanken Ave
- Tunnel Ave
- Sunnydale Ave
- Visitacion Ave
- Lane B
- Street A
- Alley

Note: Legend colors correspond to the streets and landmarks shown in the diagram.
figure 44: overall circulation requirements
overall circulation requirements

In addition to the priority placed on creating a pedestrian-friendly environment, the needs of residents and commercial visitor vehicles, cyclists, loading, and emergency vehicles were all considered in the development of the streetscape designs. Residential driveway access points are kept to a minimum, and located off of alleys or lower traffic points where possible. Given that the east-west streets are not through streets, and that the north-south route is better served by Bayshore Boulevard, it was determined during the Design for Development that designated bike lanes were not necessary on-site. Instead, traffic calming measures are incorporated to create a safer shared environment for cyclists sharing the streets. As part of the process of developing this plan, bike lanes were incorporated into the Sunnydale Avenue streetscape as a neighborhood connecting link to the Caltrain station; Sunnydale Avenue now reflects this (see Figure 59, page 91). Commercial loading is expected to be primarily served in off-street loading docks. However, on-street parking stalls may be also time-controlled to allow for off-hours or quick-delivery loading access, as well as residential loading.

Emergency Vehicle and Accessibility Requirements

Site curb radii used in the plan, and shown in Figure 44, are primarily set at a radius of 10-feet per the recommendation of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). Exceptions to this design standard include locations at bulb-outs, or where parallel parking is not provided.

Several other issues are still under City review for coordination. The San Francisco Fire Department has expressed some concerns about raised crosswalks, bulb-outs, maneuverability, and potential impacts on emergency response. The frequency and location of fire hydrants may be part of a compromise solution. Some design elements may change as City departments reach agreement on solutions that meet all the goals of the planning effort. The City is also reviewing and coordinating policy on parking access strips (2’ walkway zone adjacent to parking when there is ground level planting along sidewalks); permeable pavers and accessibility concerns; raised crosswalks and overland flow requirements; and use of pavers in tree pits. These elements proposed in the plan should be confirmed against current City policy during construction documentation.

MTA and the Mayor’s Office on Disability were consulted on accessibility route requirements. There is a short portion of sidewalk on Bayshore Boulevard between Raymond and Arleta Avenues that exceeds 8%, but this is acceptable because it is following the street’s grade and entrances here would be accessible. The stair cases between Parcels 3&4 would not be accompanied with adjacent accessible ramps. The rest of the Plan is designed so all public spaces are accessible by Americans with Disabilities Act standards.

It is also important to note that Caltrain requires at-grade vehicular access to the tracks.

Parking

On-street parking is provided throughout most of the Plan Area, as shown in Figure 44. Exceptions include portions of Street A north of Leland Avenue and the north side of Leland Avenue (see Figure 48). Certain segments of Bayshore Boulevard will also not have on-street parking due to constricted right-of-way widths. In addition, parking is not included on Sunnydale Avenue since the future light-rail extension lane of the T-line will follow the southern edge of Sunnydale to connect to the Bayshore Caltrain Station. Parking requirements for the residential and retail needs will be met by garages inside all buildings (except under the OOB).
figure 44a: accessible parking & passenger loading
Accessible Parking and Passenger Loading Requirements

On street accessible parking will be provided throughout the site as suggested in Diagram 44a. The total quantity of on-street accessible parking will be 4% of the total quantity of on-street site parking. Accessible passenger loading is also provided at locations of the highest pedestrian activities such as Leland Park, Visitacion Park, and Block 12, which has the highest density.
Traffic Calming

As a transit-oriented development with multiple non-through streets with low traffic volumes, the Plan Area presents great opportunities to be a model site for a pedestrian-oriented environment, and for implementation of the guidelines in the City’s Better Streets Plan. The following strategies have been incorporated into this Plan where appropriate.

Bulb-Outs and Curb Radii

Adding bulb-outs (also known as curb extensions) and minimizing curb radii at intersections to reduce the width of vehicular roadway where pedestrian must cross (see Figure 45). Such traffic calming solutions also visually narrow the vehicular zone for drivers, who tend to reduce speeds in response. Bulb-outs will be strategically added along Bayshore Boulevard at intersections where there are currently a wider drive lane, or a striped shoulder (see Figures 62, 63, and 64). Curb radii have been generally kept to 10 feet, per SFMTA recommendations for low-traffic streets.
Raised Crossings

Raised pedestrian crosswalks are another traffic-calming strategy incorporated in the plan. Raising the crosswalk serves the purpose of highlighting pedestrians in the vehicular traffic zone, as well as acting as speed bumps to slow vehicles (see Figure 46). A raised crosswalk is included on the middle of Leland Avenue and at the east-west pedestrian street crossings.

Lane Width

Keeping traffic lane widths to a minimum helps to slow traffic speeds by visually and physically narrowing the roadway. Generally, traffic lane widths are per SFMTA recommendations for low-traffic streets, at 10 feet. Leland Avenue has 12-feet-wide lanes to accommodate the needs of back-in, angled parking.
Figure 47: Caltrain Station Access

Legend:
- Route 1
- Route 2
- Point of Entry
- Caltrain Station
Pedestrian access to the Caltrain Station will be maintained at all times. At buildout, street and sidewalk improvements which encourage pedestrian use will be provided throughout the site. During construction, temporary pedestrian access to the station will be provided on Leland Avenue, Visitacion Avenue and Street A. Street A will then connect through the alley between Block 11 and 12 to a fenced, temporary 6 foot wide by approximately 60 foot long asphalt pathway within a temporary Block 12 easement, adjacent to the JPB right of way, pending coordination and approval by the JPB. This asphalt path will lead to an existing gate on the western platform of the Bayshore Station. If, during the construction of Blocks 11 and 12, it is not feasible to provide access through the alley, the pathway will be relocated to Sunnydale Avenue. This will require a temporary agreement with the City of Brisbane during the construction period. Temporary and permanent lighting will be provided to maintain safety as necessary along the pathway at all times.
figure 48: section A: ieland ave at retail

- asphalt
- washingtonia palm
- sidewalk
- planting/meters, furnishing, and signage zone
- back-in parking
- lane
- sidewalk
- island greenway
- standard city concrete
- continuous bioretention
- unit paver path and street planting with trees
- red maple
- cafe seating
Leland Avenue (Figures 48)

Leland Avenue extension is the main pedestrian entry point to the new development and a direct connector to the heart of the existing Visitacion Valley neighborhood. As such, the plan incorporates design elements of the newly renovated Leland Avenue into this street, and proposes it as a wide, pedestrian-friendly way where café seating in the adjacent Leland Greenway is possible. Leland Avenue is proposed to be a segment of the citywide Green Connections network.

Paving

- Unit pavers shall be installed at the base of each tree.
- Sidewalks shall be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard, and are recommended to be sandblasted.

Planting

- Street trees shall be planted on both sides of the street.
- Street trees shall be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement shall have priority over utilities and lighting.
- Street trees adjacent to the retail anchors should have high canopy to allow for visibility at the ground level. Palms are recommended.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.
- Leland Avenue standard street trees, Japanese cherry, shall be used when appropriate. Monterey Cypress, Redwood, or other evergreen windbreak tree shall be used when soil volume and visibility allows.

Furnishings

- Leland Ave standard bike racks, trash receptacles, and benches shall be used.

Lighting

- Leland Avenue standard shall be installed.

Recommended Public Art Features

- Art elements will be located in Leland Greenway rather than in Leland Avenue—Refer to Leland Greenway section (page 51) for details.
figure 49: section B: street A

figure 50: section C: street A
Street A (Figures 49, 50, 51, 52 and 53)

Street A, running north-south along nearly the entire length of the Plan Area, is envisioned as a “green spine”, connecting the three main parks with a line of seasonally changing trees. It will terminate at the north with a curb-less alley-to-garage entrance of Parcel 6. Street A north of Leland is shifted westward to avoid the UPRR parcel and no parallel parking is provided to minimize the right of way width.

Paving

- Unit pavers should be installed at the base of each tree.
- Sidewalks should be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard and are recommended to be sandblasted.

Planting

- Red maple with low, water tolerant plantings shall be used when rain gardens are employed, and Catalina Ironwood with drought tolerant plantings shall be used at other conditions.
- Understory planting for the linear rain gardens shall be a combination of grasses and rushes.
- Midsized street trees shall be planted on both sides of the street.
- Street trees should be placed at a regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement should have priority over utilities and lighting.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard bike racks, trash receptacles, and benches shall be used.

Lighting

- City of San Francisco standard lighting shall be installed.
figure 51: section D: street A

figure 52: section E: street A at Park
**figure 53: section F: street A**

- **catalina ironwood**
- **unit paver**
- **city standard concrete**
- **asphalt**
- **red maple & planting**
- **concrete path**

**section key**
**figure 54: section G: lane B at park or building**

- Building setback
- Sidewalk
- Parking meters
- Lane
- Parallel parking
- Sidewalk
- Tree well
- Unit paving
- Catalina Ironwood tree

**figure 55: section H: lane B mews**

- Palm tree in precast concrete planter, provide min. 108 cubic feet of soil per tree
- Precast concrete bench
- Unit paving
- Leland ave standard light
- Concrete unit paver to match Leland greenway
- Catalina Ironwood tree

---

Schlage Lock Open Space + Streetscape Master Plan
**Lane B** *(Figures 54, 55 and 56)*

Lane B is a vehicular street between Sunnydale and Visitacion Avenues (see Figure 54) and is an extension of the pedestrian link between Visitacion Park and Leland Greenway (see Figure 55) and continues on to Raymond Avenue. The portion of Lane B between Block 1 & 2 will be publicly accessible and partially on structure. The sloped walk, service area and plaza will be unified with high quality materials and site furnishings to define a pedestrian prioritized space. Building entries to Blocks 1 & 2 will be facing both Leland Ave and the Lane B pedestrian way to ensure activation from multiple points. The pedestrian way will be connected via accessible ramp from Visitacion to a painted pedestrian crossing at Leland Ave to Leland Greenway.

Lane B continues north of Leland Greenway on structure (see Figure 56). Due to the large grade difference between Leland Greenway and Raymond Avenue, a stair is needed at this segment of Lane B. This stair should be at the minimum 8' wide with a generous landing and treads at least 16" wide. The bottom portion of the stair can be designed with a seating terrace to create a more welcoming entry. Planting should be used to provide screening on the stair wall. Lane B continues north and ends with a landscaped building setback at Blocks 5 & 6, which will serve as a building lobby and/or stair entry which connects with the podium level.

**Paving**

- Unit pavers with colors to match the one used on Leland Greenway shall be used at the section between Block 1 & 2
- Color concrete with colors to match the one used on Leland Greenway shall be used at the section between Block 3 & 4
- Sidewalks should be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard and are recommended to be sandblasted at south of Visitacion Avenue.
- Unit pavers should be installed at the base of each tree on grade.

**Planting**

- Catalina Ironwood are encouraged as street trees on grade.
- Palms and Olive are encouraged for trees on structure.
- Midsized street trees shall be planted on both sides of the street.
- Street trees shall be placed at a regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement shall have priority over utilities and lighting.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

**Furnishings**

- Precast concrete standard or custom raised planters shall be used for trees on structure
- Standard trash receptacles and benches shall be used.
**Figure 56: Plan S: Lane B Mews Stairs at Block 3 & 4**

- Recommended tread width 18''
- Provide planting buffer
- Terraced seating at base of stair

**Figure 57: Plan T: Raymond St Terminus at Block 5 & 6**

- 40' MIN.
- 20' MIN.

**Figure 58: Plan U: Visitacion Ave Terminus at Block 10 & 11**

- Landscape plantings at visitacion ave terminus
- Building setback for mass differentiation
- Precast seating
- 90 degree truncated domes
Lighting

- City of San Francisco standard lighting (Visitacion Avenue to Sunnydale Avenue) shall be installed.
- Building Mounted light fixtures shall be used in the pedestrian path between Block 1 & 2 and Block 3 & 4.

Street Termination at Visitacion Avenue and Raymond Street

(Figure 57 & 58)

Lane B views terminate with a break in building massing at Blocks 5 & 6 on Raymond St and at also at Blocks 10 & 11 on Visitacion Ave. The buildings may vary in height on either side of the setback creating a distinctive architectural character which will terminate the street, and will also be set back from the sidewalk to create a focal point with distinctive landscape design at these two locations. At Blocks 10 and 11, block 10 will be set back further than Block 11 to accommodate adjacent bioretention cells and robust plantings which will be combined with the central landscaped setback area. The building massing of Block 5 & 6 will be designed to allow for visual connection to the Old Office Building Plaza.

Unique paving, seating and lighting which works with the architecture and reinforces the special character of the landscaped setbacks should be included.
figure 59: section 1: sunnydale avenue
Sunnydale Avenue (Figure 59)

The Sunnydale Avenue extension bounds the southern edge of the Plan Area. The T-line, running in a dedicated, slightly raised travel lane on the south side of the street, is planned to extend from Bayshore Boulevard onto Sunnydale Avenue, connecting to the Bayshore Caltrain Station. Planned Class 2 bike lanes on either sides of the street facilitate a safe bicycle route to the station. As part of Sunnydale Avenue extends into the City of Brisbane, future coordination will be needed between the two municipalities on design, construction, and maintenance. Other considerations that may impact the design of Sunnydale Avenue are that plans for the Caltrain station as well as the T-line extension may change. Therefore, the street section design of Sunnydale Avenue may need to be revisited at a later date to respond to changing needs. If a dedicated T-Line lane is not required, the recommended street section dimension would be (from south side to the north side): 5’ sidewalk | 4’6” planting and furnishing zone | 7’ parking strip | 5’6” bike lane | 10’ drive lane | 10’ drive lane | 5’6” bike lane | 7’ parking strip | 4’6” planting and furnishing zone | and 7’ sidewalk.

Paving

- Sidewalks should be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard and are recommended to be sandblasted.

Planting

- Victorian Box trees are encouraged.
- Midsized street trees shall be planted on both sides of the street.
- Street trees should be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement should have priority over utilities and lighting.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard bike racks and trash receptacles shall be used.

Lighting

- City of San Francisco standard lighting (with light rail arm on the south side of the street) should be used.
figure 60: section J: raymond avenue
Raymond Avenue (Figure 60)

Raymond Avenue will be a two-way residential street connecting Bayshore Boulevard to Street A. There will be parallel parking and a 6.5-foot building setback on both sides with raised residential entrances.

Paving

- Unit pavers should be installed at the base of each tree.
- Sidewalks should be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard and are recommended to be sandblasted.

Planting

- Japanese cherry trees are encouraged.
- Midsized street trees shall be planted on both sides of the street.
- Street trees shall be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement shall have priority over utilities and lighting.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard bike racks and trash receptacles shall be used.

Lighting

- City of San Francisco standard lighting shall be used.
figure 61: section K: visitacion avenue

figure 62: section L: visitacion avenue at park
Visitacion Avenue (Figures 61 and 62)

Visitacion Avenue will be a two-way street extending across Bayshore Boulevard to Street A and along with Sunnydale Avenue, is the primary vehicular access into the Plan Area. The portion of Visitacion Avenue between Bayshore Boulevard and Street A will be fronted by residential/retail and Visitacion Park. There will be commercial loading areas and on-street parking.

Paving

- Unit pavers should be installed at the base of each tree.
- Sidewalks should be concrete colored with lampblack per city standard and are recommended to be sandblasted.
- The driveway at the alley shall be concrete colored with lampblack and sandblasted, or concrete unit pavers.
- Standard grey porous concrete shall be used in the parking areas.

Planting

- Tree species shall be Japanese cherry between Bayshore Boulevard and Street A.
- Midsized street trees shall be installed on both sides of the street.
- Street trees shall be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- Street tree placement shall have priority over utilities and lighting.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard bike racks and trash receptacles shall be used.

Lighting

- City of San Francisco standard lighting shall be used at the portion between Bayshore Boulevard and Street A.
figure 63: section M: pedestrian pathway at buildings

- precast concrete planter, provide a min. 150 cubic feet per trees
- Olive tree

- colored concrete

figure 64: section N: pedestrian pathway at park

- river birch tree
- unit paving

- varies 20'-0" min.
  40'-0"
pedestrian pathways (Figures 63 and 64)

To create a walkable, pedestrian-oriented community benefiting future residents and adjacent neighborhoods, a series of pedestrian-access-only pathways at residential buildings is added to provide safe, attractive linkages to neighborhood destinations. These three pathways will be privately owned, publicly accessible open spaces, and be built on structure within the blocks. There are a total of two pedestrian pathways, located within Parcels 7 and 8 and in Parcel 9 adjacent to Visitacion Park. The design of these pathways will need to be further developed in coordination with individual building designs.

Paving

- Colored concrete shall be used.
- Unit pavers can be used as accent materials.

Planting

- Olive trees are encouraged as street trees on structure.
- Street trees shall be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- A minimum of 150 cubic feet of soil shall be provided per tree.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard trash receptacles and benches shall be used.
- Precast concrete standard or custom raised planters shall be used for trees.

Lighting

- Building-mounted light fixtures shall be used in the pedestrian path.
figure 65: section O: alley
alley (Figure 65)

The alleys are shared pedestrian and vehicular streets between parcels 11 and 12, designed to slow vehicular traffic and prioritize pedestrian flow. Because they are “dead-ends”, vehicular usage will primarily be for garage access only. The cars that do use the alleys will be encouraged to drive slowly by the narrow paved zone, the “curb-less” edge, and the tree planters that will line the edges. The planters also allow for enough soil depth to plant trees, as the alleys will be partially built on structure above underground parking.

The Design for Development’s requires that the two alleys ending at the Caltrain right-of-way must terminate in either visual focal point, overlooks, or buildings. Other considerations for these alleys are: the probable need for emergency vehicle access at a turn-around or hammerhead; the considerable grade change down to the tracks (about 10’ from Street A level); the need for at least one vehicular access point to the tracks for JPB; and the grading needs for ADA and garage access.

Given that the solutions which will meet all of these considerations must be carefully coordinated with the design of the adjacent buildings, the terminus of these alleys will need to be further designed during individual building design.

Paving

- Unit pavers, colored concrete, or asphalt should be used on driveways.
- Grass pavers are proposed as a potential solution at the terminus of the Visitacion Avenue alley and of the alley between Parcels 11 and 12, where the program requires both emergency vehicular access and open space.

Planting

- Olive trees are encouraged as street trees.
- Street trees shall be placed at regular intervals of not more than 25 feet, except at driveways.
- The installation size shall be a minimum of 24-inch box, where feasible.

Furnishings

- Standard trash receptacles shall be used.
- Precast concrete standard or custom raised planters shall be used for trees.

Lighting

- Building-mounted light fixtures shall be used in the pedestrian path.
figure 66: Plan P: Bayshore Boulevard, Arleta Avenue, and San Bruno Avenue Intersection Improvement Plan

figure 67: Plan Q: Bayshore Blvd and Leland Avenue Intersection Improvement Plan

figure 68: Plan R: Bayshore Blvd and Visitacion Avenue Intersection Improvement Plan
Bayshore Boulevard is a busy four lane arterial with the T-line running down a central median, and generally regarded as unfriendly to pedestrians. While it is beyond the scope of this effort to study and recommend treatments for the west side of Bayshore Boulevard, there is an opportunity to make streetscape improvements to the east side, as much of it will require rebuilding during construction of the new buildings. The new streetscape converts areas of currently excess vehicular roadway into bulb-outs, expanded pedestrian sidewalks and planting buffers. A continuous strip of ground-plane planting is added in areas where there is no adjacent parallel parking or bus stop. The existing street trees along Bayshore Boulevard are predominantly Brisbane Box with a few magnolia trees. These are generally planted in very small tree wells approximately 3-feet by 3-feet. Healthy existing trees shall be retained when appropriate and as possible. Where the sidewalk is expanded or where there is a new bulb-out, or where the tree will be negatively impacted by construction, replacement street trees shall be installed. A minimum of 5-foot by 5-foot tree wells and structural soil under the sidewalk shall be provided to support healthier tree growth.

Bayshore Boulevard, Arleta Avenue & San Bruno Avenue Intersection

- The existing bulbout at the crosswalk to Arleta Avenue is to be expanded north along Bayshore Boulevard to the crosswalk to San Bruno Ave.
- This allows for a wider planting buffer at the bulbout.

Bayshore Boulevard & Leland Avenue intersection

- Capture the striped car-free zone at Bayshore Boulevard, north of Leland Avenue to create a bulb-out to shorten the pedestrian crossing.
- Expand pedestrian zone to create more generous sidewalk and wider planting buffer in front of Leland Greenway.

Bayshore Blvd & Visitacion Ave intersection

- The right turn lane from Bayshore Blvd into Visitacion Ave is currently 14 and a half feet wide. The redesign reduces this to 11-feet wide, and uses the extra 3 and a half feet to add planting along the sidewalk.