From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Winslow, David (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Roof Decks - Director"s Announcement (D.8.) Commission Hearing 4-4-19
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 9:46:28 AM
Attachments:	RHCA Roof Decks PC 190404.pdf
Importance:	High

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Kathleen Courtney <kcourtney@rhcasf.com> Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 5:39 PM To: Rahaim, John (CPC) <john.rahaim@sfgov.org> Cc: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Commissioner Rich Hillis <richhillissf@yahoo.com>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Commissioner Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>; Christopher May <christophner.may@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; Robyn Tucker PANA <venturesv@aol.com>; Chris Gembinski MPNA <chrisgembinski@gmail.com>; Marlayne Morgan <Marlayne16@gmail.com>; George Wooding <gswooding@gmail.com>; Ozzie Rohm <ozzierohm@sbcglobal.net>; Bruce Bowen <bruce.r.bowen@gmail.com>; Georgia Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>; Jamie Cherry RHCA <jcherry@rhcasf.com>; Chris Bigelow <cgbigelow@gmail.com>; Carol Ann Rogers <carolannrogers@prodigy.net> Subject: Roof Decks - Director's Announcement (D.8.) Commission Hearing 4-4-19 Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Attached and pasted below is the RHCA's comment on the Director's Announcement on Roof Decks at the April 4th, 2019 Planning Commission Hearing.

Russian Hill Community Association

1166 Green St. San Francisco, CA 94109 510-928-8243 rhcasf.com

April 9, 2019

Director John Rahaim San Francisco Planning Department

Re: April 4, 2019 Commission Hearing - Director's Announcement (D.8.)

Dear Director Rahaim:

The dismay of Commissioners and Community Representatives caused by the Director's Announcement (D.8.) of changes to the Roof Deck policy established on August 25, 2018 was evident and significant at the Commission's April 4, 2019 hearing. Therefore we welcomed your decision to withdraw the proposed policy changes until and unless there is the same type of community outreach and participation that preceded the August 30th Informational Presentation.

With that objective in mind, we'd like to call to your attention the following for your consideration:

- Your staff mis-served you by providing a "Memo to the Planning Commission" which reflected no Subject line and only "Recommendation: None – Informational Only" for submission in the hearing packet. While this was not, no doubt, a deliberate attempt to change policy without public participation, this could easily be read as an insult to all of us who have worked long and hard with the Planning Department.
- 2. We trust that the plan to replace the Department's "Roof Deck" hand out with the "Interim Departmental Procedures & Standards..." has not occurred and the current "Roof Deck" hand out is still at the PIC and online.
- 3. The "monitoring" the Memo calls for "Planning staff will monitor the implementation of these updated procedures over the following six months and will update the Commission with regards to their effectiveness" -- is of interest for two reasons because: 1) no description of what precisely the "updated procedures" are is given and 2) no mention of what criteria or metrics will be monitored. It would be beneficial for staff to develop and publish a baseline reflecting what the results/activity (to be measured) have been from August 25, 2018 to April 4[,] 2019 and April 5, 2019 to the next Informational Presentation.

We appreciate the need to manage the Planning Department and Planning Commission workload, but also realize that <u>Roof Decks are an issue that has a significant impact on the residents in the City</u>, <u>particularly those residing on our narrow alleys</u>.

Thank you for withdrawing your Memo on Roof Decks. We look forward to reviewing any research or baseline information Staff has and participating with them in reviewing their findings on the existing policy and procedures.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Courtney

Kathleen Courtney Chair, Housing & Zoning kcourtney@rhcasf.com 510-928-8243

Cc: Planning Commissioners Myrna Melgar, Joel Koppell, Rich Hillis, Millicent Johnson, Kathrin Moore, Dennis Richards; Supervisor Aaron Peskin; Planning Department: Elizabeth Watty, Christopher May, Dave Winslow; Community: Robyn Tucker, Chris Gembinski, Carol Ann Rogers, Marlayne Morgan, George Wooding, Ozzie Rohm, Bruce Bowen, Georgia Schuttish, Jamie Cherry, Chris Bigelow

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Anastasia Glikshtern <apglikshtern@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 10:34 PM

To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@gmail.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org> Subject: San Francisco Biodiversity Resolution - public comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Commissioners,

١.

As you might know, on August 10, 2018, in San Francisco, in trial of DeWayne Lee Johnson v. Monsanto Company, the jury found Monsanto guilty and ordered it to pay \$289.2 million in damages to a former Benicia School District groundskeeper with terminal non-Hodgkins Lymphoma. The jury determined that the company knew about the carcinogenicity of Roundup/glyphosate all along and purposely deceived the public.

As you might know, the second Monsanto/glyphosate trial, Edwin Hardeman v. Monsanto, ended on March 27, 2019, with guilty verdict and \$80.2 million in damages for Monsanto/Bayer to pay, and the third one, Pilliod v. Monsanto, is taking place in Alameda County Superior Court in Oakland.

As you might know, by now more than 11,000 people have made claims in courts across the country, alleging that exposure to glyphosate-based herbicides led to various types of cancer.

As you might know, Roundup/glyphosate was declared a "probable carcinogen" by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2015.

As you must know, the glyphosate products remain on SF "Reduced Risk Pesticide List" of 2018 along with other herbicides like Garlon 4 Ultra that might be even more toxic, but are less researched since they are less widely used.

As you must know, high toxicity herbicides are routinely, year after year, for many years, used by the City of San Francisco in our parks and on watersheds (including Hetch Hetchy, Crystal Springs, Alameda Watershed).

They contaminate soil, water, and air.

The most disgusting part of it is the claim that they "help/protect biodiversity".

Numerous scientific studies associate exposure to herbicides of all kinds with cancer, developmental and learning

disabilities, nerve and immune system damage, liver or kidney damage, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, diabetes, infertility, birth defects, disruption of gut microbiomes, and of the endocrine system.

Please note, that none of the plants (47 by the last count - including calla lily) which these herbicides are used to kill (without much success) can possibly be linked by any scientific studies to all the wonderful things listed above.

The ban of all herbicides except for those categorized as minimum risk by EPA must be an essential part of biodiversity resolution.

"Pesticides are made to kill living things, and the idea that they only kill the things they're intended to is just wishful thinking..." - Jane Goodall.

II.

The city has a plan to chop down 18,500 healthy mature trees on its parkland.

These trees provide carbon sequestration in face of climate change, stabilize terrain, reduce erosion and landslides, and maintain water table levels.

They are vital for wildlife, providing food and shelter for insects, birds, and animals - those which are called "native" and those which are called "non-native".

Rejection of deforestation and protection of trees must be an essential part of any biodiversity resolution.

III.

As you might know, according to numerous scientific studies insect population is in steep decline reduced by more than 70% in many areas - affecting pollination and food supplies for other animals. Neonicotinoids (systemic pesticides already banned in EU) are the main culprits killing not only insects (pollinators) but also birds.

The plants - trees in particular - are pre-treated with a systemic pesticide which is absorbed and circulating through the plant's tissues exterminating the insects that feed on them during all of plant's life.

In order to preserve biodiversity the city must plant only vegetation free of systemic pesticides, and require that city's contractors do the same.

These 3 items must be a part of any biodiversity resolution in order for it not just be empty words and the waste of city staff's time on meaningless "biodiversity" rhetoric at taxpayers' expense.

Sincerely, Anastasia Glikshtern

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To:	Teague, Corey (CPC)
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Prop M Community Benefits Letter from Esther Marks, Jan Holloway and Georgia Schuttish
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 9:44:01 AM
Attachments:	Prop M Communitu Benefits.pdf

Planning Department|City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309|Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Schuttish <schuttishtr@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 7:28 PM

To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Kathrin Moore <mooreurban@aol.com>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@yahoo.com

Cc: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

<jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; John Elberling <johne@todco.org>; Rahaim, John (CPC) <john.rahaim@sfgov.org> Subject: Prop M Community Benefits Letter from Esther Marks, Jan Holloway and Georgia Schuttish

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: How SFRG Intended Office Projects be Permitted Under Prop M"s Annual Limit
Date:	Wednesday, April 10, 2019 5:04:26 PM

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Bradford Paul <bradpaulsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 4:54 PM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: John Elberling <johne@todco.org>; Marks Esther <esthermk@pacbell.net>; Calvin Welch <welchsf@pacbell.net>

Subject: How SFRG Intended Office Projects be Permitted Under Prop M's Annual Limit

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

April 10, 2019

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I write as an individual and a member of *San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth* (SFRG), the authors of Prop M. In the 1980's, the city was approving 2 to 3 million sq. ft. of office space a year but not getting the 6,000 to 9,000 housing units, buses, parks and infrastructure needed to accommodate the 10,000 to 15,000 new workers that moved to SF each year to fill those jobs. Between 1965 and 1980, the City added 166,000 jobs but less than 20,000 units of housing. Sound familiar? Prop M was meant to slow office development enough to allow the needed housing, infrastructure and other community benefits to catch up.

SFRG anticipated times when there would be more office space in the pipeline than capacity under Prop M to build. We were clear about how the city should decide which projects get permitted first, projects offering the most community benefits go first. We weren't alone. The Planning Commission agreed with us when they created a "beauty contest" to decide this.

When people first heard the term "beauty contest" they thought the city might pick the best

designed high-rises to go first. That wasn't the case. The so-called beauty contest was more like a professional auction where 4-6 developers bid for one or two building permits each year. Just to be able to bid, developers had to come up with good building designs and the newly required fees for transit, housing, childcare, etc. Then the bidding would start and go something like this:

Developer 1: "In addition to the affordable housings fees I've already paid, I'll start the bidding off with an additional 60 units of senior housing".

Developer 2: "I'll match your 60 units of senior housing and add 50,000 sq. ft. of affordable ground floor space for neighborhood nonprofits and arts organizations."

Developer 3: "I'll match all that and add a new ½ acre neighborhood park".

Developer 4: "I'll match that last bid and throw in another 25,000 sq. ft. of subsidized ground floor space for neighborhood serving retail (grocery stores, shoe repair, coin-op laundromats)".

Developer 5: "I'm out".

Developer 1. "I'll match that last bid <u>and</u> buy a 50-unit apartment building housing low-income families at risk of gentrification and donate it to a nonprofit so they won't be displaced".

This 'community benefits' auction goes on until several more developers drop out and the remaining one or two have projects that fall within the available Prop M cap. This is how SFRG publicly described the way we thought the Planning Commission should decide which office projects should go first. We advocated for this market-based approach to pricing a scarce commodity, office building permits, using community benefits as the currency.

I hope this clarifies what SFRG intended under Prop M. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to attend the next Planning Commission meeting to answer them.

Yours truly,

Brad Paul

Early member of San Franciscans for Reasonable Growth (1979)

Attachments area

From:	Rahaim, John (CPC)
To:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); "Rich Hillis"; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; andrew@tefarch.com; Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen
	<u>Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns; Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)</u>
Cc:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC); Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	Survey on Gender Analysis of Commissions
Date:	Wednesday, April 10, 2019 1:33:03 PM

Commissioners:

The Department on the Status of Women has asked us for our help in encouraging you fill out the survey on gender inclusion in the city. They've asked us to emphasize that the information will be strictly confidential, and will be presented in an anonymous format.

I would encourage you all to take the time to do this survey. Thank you!

John

From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Sent: Thursday, March 07, 2019 10:41 AM

To: 'Dennis Richards (<u>dennis.richards@sfgov.org</u>)' <<u>dennis.richards@sfgov.org</u>>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <<u>Milicent.Johnson@sfgov.org</u>>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <<u>Joel.Koppel@sfgov.org</u>>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <<u>kathrin.moore@sfgov.org</u>>; 'Myrna Melgar' <<u>myrna.melgar@sfgov.org</u>>;

'planning@rodneyfong.com' <<u>planning@rodneyfong.com</u>>; 'Rich Hillis' <<u>richhillissf@gmail.com</u>>; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC <<u>aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com</u>>; Andrew Wolfram (<u>andrew@tefarch.com</u>) <<u>andrew@tefarch.com</u>>; Black, Kate (CPC) <<u>kate.black@sfgov.org</u>>; Diane Matsuda <<u>dianematsuda@hotmail.com</u>>; Ellen Johnck - HPC <<u>ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com</u>>; Jonathan Pearlman <<u>jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com</u>>; Richard S. E. Johns

<<u>rsejohns@yahoo.com</u>>

Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) <<u>Josephine.Feliciano@sfgov.org</u>>

Subject: FW: Gender Analysis of Commission and Boards: Please Complete Survey by March 29 Deadline

Commissioners,

Please take the time to fill out the data collection sheet and submit to me at your earliest convenience. It is due March 29th. This is not mandatory, nor are you obligated to complete every section in order to submit.

Thank you,

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409 jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: McCaffrey, Diana (WOM)
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2019 10:53 AM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <<u>ionas.ionin@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: Newman, Elizabeth (WOM) <<u>elizabeth.newman@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Gender Analysis of Commission and Boards: Please Complete Survey by March 29 Deadline

Dear Jonas,

We have you on file as the staff contact for both the **Historic Preservation Commission** and the **Planning Commission**. If this is incorrect, please let me know. The Department on the Status of Women is required to conduct and publish a gender analysis of Commissions and Boards every 2 years per a 2008 city charter amendment (section 4.101). As such, we are asking every policy body contact to assist us in compiling disaggregated data for each respective policy body by **Friday, March 29, 2019**. More details can be found in the attached memo.

For your convenience, we have two options for capturing the requested information:

- There is an attached paper survey that can be printed and filled out by members, which you can use to compile the data for your policy body and complete the digital survey on behalf of all members.
- You can use the digital survey to respond to questions 1-11 and send the <u>link to the</u> <u>digital survey</u> to members to identify themselves. Please note that we will be following up with you to ensure all members complete the survey by the requested date.

Here is the digital survey link: <u>https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/2019GenderAnalysis</u>. We greatly appreciate your cooperation and assistance. Please contact me for more information or with any questions at <u>Diana.McCaffrey@sfgov.org</u> or (415)252-3205. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Diana McCaffrey

Public Policy Fellow San Francisco Department on the Status of Women 25 Van Ness Ave, Ste 240 | San Francisco, CA 94102 Direct: 415.252.3205 | <u>Diana.McCaffrey@sfgov.org</u> | <u>www.sfgov.org/dosw</u> Preferred pronouns: *She, Her.*

From:	2)
To:	LaValley, Pilar (CPC); Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Cc:	CPC-Commissions Secretary; Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC);
	Rich Hillis; "Rodney Fong"; Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Safai, Ahsha (BOS); Stefani, Catherine (BOS); Mar, Gordon (BOS); Ronen, Hillary; Haney,
	Matt (BOS); Yee, Norman (BOS); Mandelman, Rafael (BOS); Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Walton, Shamann (BOS); Brown, Vallie (BOS)
Subject:	FW: HPC Survey Presentation
Date:	Wednesday, April 10, 2019 9:49:46 AM
Attachments:	Construction date map from 2.20.2019 HPC Survey Presentation.pdf
	HistoricResourceAssessmentProcess-20181219HPCinformational.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. LaValley,

an area?

I attach the 2 pdf's for your reference & for the Planning Commission for tomorrow's (4/11/2019) meeting.

The materials posted for this ("Supporting Documents") has only the Planning Dept. staff's Memo but the HPC's supporting docs had the added "<u>Application Form</u>" & <u>2 letters</u>. (See attached "HistoricResourcesAssessment....pdf" from 12/19/2018 HPC mtg).

Questions:

- Are the letters from *Gast Architects* and *AIA SF* the only 2 letters received as feedback on the process and on the Application Form about to be used for the citywide survey? (See attached file ending in "20181219HPCinformational.pdf") What other feedback was received since then?
- Where do you get the build dates for the "Construction Date Map"? (See attached.) As u see from my earlier email from 3/11/19, I asked for a better parcel view map but haven't received a response.
- If a building is a potential historic resource (Category B), how would people with lesser means afford the \$455 fee?
 Would that impact different blocks or neighborhoods differently based on socio-economic status of

4. You outline a methodology in your presentation. Are the evaluation points a subset of other possible criteria?

How do they differ from other historic preservation-type criteria used by other "historic preservation" orgs in other jurisdictions or in CA?

I'm not familiar so this is an educational opportunity for me.

CITYWIDE SURVEY METHODOLOGY

WHAT WILL BE EVALUATED?

- Buildings, structures, or objects that:
 - · Were constructed on or before 1974, and
 - · Associated with a historically significant theme or context, and
 - Retain integrity, or
 - · Identified by public
- Evaluation will be context-based
- · Evaluate for National Register and California Register eligibility
 - Criterion A/1 (Events)
 - Criterion B/2 (People)
 - Criterion C/3 (Architecture)
- · Findings from informal surveys and HRER district determinations
- · Historic Resource Assessment (HRA) findings

WHAT WILL NOT BE EVALUATED?

- Properties previously designated to National Register, California Register, or local register
- · Properties previously evaluated in area plan surveys



5. What is your process on outreach to the property owners / residents?

Since this is a survey, I do not think the Board of Supervisors would get involved but they may be interested to see how this might impact their neighborhoods. Thx. Rose

From: :) <gumby5@att.net>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 1:02 PM
To: 'pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org' <pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org>
Subject: Your HPC Survey Presentation

Dear Ms. LaValley, You presented a map in "Citywide Survey, Historic Context Statements". It is gray & blue. I believe it is Page 6.

Do you have a blow up of the parcels from this map within the blue box that the red arrow points to? I cannot read the streets nor delineate the parcels (lots).

Also, what are the basis for determining if a Category B building would be considered Category A? Who at Planning would determine that? Thank you. Rose



From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON N. BREED'S PROP C WAIVER LEGISLATION PASSES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Date:	Tuesday, April 09, 2019 2:43:04 PM
Attachments:	4.9.19 Proposition C Waiver Legislation.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Press Office, Mayor (MYR)
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2019 2:41 PM
To: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>
Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON N. BREED'S PROP C WAIVER LEGISLATION PASSES
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Tuesday, April 9, 2019 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON N. BREED'S PROP C WAIVER LEGISLATION PASSES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Mayor Breed's legislation will allow companies to voluntarily agree to waive their rights to a refund should Prop C be found invalid by the courts, in return for a 10% deduction on their tax liability

San Francisco, CA — The Board of Supervisors today passed Mayor London N. Breed's legislation that will allow companies subject to November 2018's Proposition C gross receipts tax to voluntarily agree to waive their right to a refund should that legislation be found invalid by the courts. In return for this agreement, the company would receive a 10% deduction on their tax liability and the City would be available to spend the funding immediately instead of waiting for any legal uncertainty to be resolved. Supervisor Vallie Brown co-sponsored the legislation.

Proposition C, a tax to support homelessness and housing services, passed with roughly 61% of the vote and is currently held up due to legal uncertainty. The funding from the legislation is being collected, but the Controller is not authorizing the City to spend the funding due to the

litigation risk. Should the courts rule that Prop C was required to meet a 2/3 vote threshold, the money being held by the Controller will have to be refunded.

With Mayor Breed's legislation, companies can choose to waive their right to have a portion or the total of their taxes refunded if the courts ultimately require the 2/3 threshold to be met. In return for waiving these recovery rights, the companies would receive a 10% tax liability deduction. This would potentially free up funding that the City would otherwise be unable to spend until the matter is settled in court.

"While we expected that this funding would likely be tied up in litigation due to the legal uncertainty, this is one way to make some of the funding available sooner rather than later," said Mayor Breed. "In the meantime, we are moving forward with my shelter crisis legislation and my plan to open 1,000 new shelter beds by the end of next year, in addition to increasing resources for behavioral health and substance use treatment and more permanent supportive housing for our homeless."

In October 2018, Mayor Breed announced a plan to add 1,000 new shelter beds by 2020, with 500 of them being built by this summer. In order to achieve this goal, she today signed into law her legislation to streamline the creation of new shelters and Navigations Centers. Since taking office, Mayor Breed has opened a total of 338 new Navigation Center beds.

"San Franciscans are in dire need on our streets right now. We need San Francisco businesses to step up, make use of the waiver, and help us meet the need," said Supervisor Vallie Brown. "The courts can be slow, and this legislation frees up funds to move more quickly. We're in crisis – let's act like it."

The legislation passed today on the first reading. The second reading is scheduled for Tuesday, April 16.

###

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Chion, Miriam (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Letter from Mayor Mirisch Requesting the Board to Oppose SB 50
Date:	Tuesday, April 09, 2019 2:15:24 PM
Attachments:	Request to Oppose SB 50 Mayor Mirisch.PDF

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Cindy Owens <cowens@beverlyhills.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2019 1:45 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: Letter from Mayor Mirisch Requesting the Board to Oppose SB 50

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached letter from the Mayor of Beverly Hills.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call or contact him.

Thank you,

Cindy Owens Policy & Management Analyst City Manager's Office City of Beverly Hills 455 N. Rexford Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Direct: 310.285.1026 E-mail: cowens@beverlyhills.org

The City keeps a copy of all E-mails sent and received for a minimum of 2 years. All retained E-mails will be treated as a Public Record per the California Public Records Act, and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the terms, and subject to the exemptions, of that Act.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To:	Rahaim, John (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC);
	<u>Melgar, Myrna (CPC), Rich Hillis</u>
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW:
Date:	Tuesday, April 09, 2019 9:50:52 AM
Attachments:	Planning Commission- Prop M.docx

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Alan Raznick <alanraznick@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2019 9:16 PM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Secretary Ionin:

Attached is a letter I request that you share with Planning Director Rahaim and the members of the Planning Commission. I urge that the Commissioners take a broader view in determining which projects are next to proceed- a view that considers relative community benefits as a primary concern.

Thank you,

Alan Raznick

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane
	Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES MEMBERS OF JUVENILE JUSTICE REFORM BLUE RIBBON PANEL
Date:	Monday, April 08, 2019 11:33:22 AM
Attachments:	4.8.19 Juvenile Justice Blue Ribbon Panel Members.pdf

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Press Office, Mayor (MYR)
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2019 11:30 AM
To: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>
Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES MEMBERS OF JUVENILE
JUSTICE REFORM BLUE RIBBON PANEL

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Monday, April 8, 2019 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES MEMBERS OF JUVENILE JUSTICE REFORM BLUE RIBBON PANEL

Panel of juvenile justice experts will begin meeting in April in order to make recommendations for comprehensive reform to the entire juvenile justice system

San Francisco, CA — Mayor London N. Breed today announced the composition of her Juvenile Justice Reform Blue Ribbon Panel, which will focus on comprehensive and system-wide reform to San Francisco's juvenile justice system. The first meeting of the Blue Ribbon Panel is tentatively scheduled for April 18th and their report is expected to be filed within six months of the first meeting.

The panel will be co-chaired by San Francisco Human Rights Commission Executive Director Sheryl Davis and Corey Monroe, a twenty year member of the Omega Boys Club of San Francisco who works with incarcerated youth in the juvenile justice system, teaching them how to avoid the risk factors that lead to violence and drug abuse. The panel will consist of elected officials, City representatives, Superior Court Judges, advocacy group members, service providers, and residents with lived experiences in the juvenile justice system. The effort will be facilitated and assisted by experts and leaders in criminal justice reform with decades of experience, including David Muhammed, Executive Director of National Institute for Criminal Justice Reform, and Shawn Ginwright, author and Professor of Ethnic Studies at San Francisco State University. A complete list of participants is included below.

"I am proud that we are able to bring together such a diverse group of leaders to provide their expertise, insight, and experiences on how to best reform our juvenile justice system," said Mayor Breed. "While we have had success in greatly reducing the number of incarcerated youth in San Francisco, we need to take the next step and reimagine what our system will be in the future. I am confident that we can enact equitable, comprehensive reforms that better serve both our young people and the City."

The juvenile justice system is the structure of the criminal justice system that deals with crimes allegedly committed by minors, and is focused on rehabilitation. It includes both government and community agencies that work with at-risk youth, ranging from non-profit contractors providing community-based advocacy and counseling; juvenile probation and group homes; the county Juvenile Justice Center (formerly known as the Youth Guidance Center, or YGC); and the state-run Division of Juvenile Justice detention facilities. San Francisco has emphasized rehabilitation and counseling, reducing the number of detained youth by two-thirds over the last fifteen years.

"Juvenile justice reform is not new to San Francisco or to Mayor Breed," said Human Rights Commission Director Sheryl Davis, who has over 15 years of experience overseeing community-based organizations that work with low-income youth and families on economic development and violence prevention. "At the heart of this should be addressing the systemic issues that contribute to the inequities we see in our communities and prisons. An approach void of exploring prevention, systems change, resource allocation and alternative supports is doomed to fail. We want to ensure youth are prepared for success that we are prepared to help them be the best person they can be."

"As someone who has worked for decades to change and save lives of those who have entered juvenile hall, we know that we can help those kids, especially young men of color who are disproportionately represented in the system," said Corey Monroe. "When these young people do get into trouble, we are there to ensure that they don't begin a pattern of incarceration. That is how we have reduced the number of kids in juvenile hall, and we will continue to do that work through this panel."

The Panel is charged with identifying systematic, implementable, and compassionate reforms to drastically reduce the number of youth detained in both Juvenile Hall and the state Division of Juvenile Justice. They will evaluate existing programming, facilities, and the statutory requirements of the juvenile justice system, with a focus on reinvestment and creating opportunities for at-risk youth. With an emphasis on feasibility and implementation, the Panel will recommend alternatives to detention and appropriate funding levels for related programming; compatible uses and investments for the City's existing facilities at the Log Cabin Ranch and the Juvenile Justice Center; and will create a plan for eliminating discretionary youth detention in San Francisco.

Mayor Breed's Blue Ribbon Panel on Juvenile Justice Reform

Co-Chairs

Sheryl Davis, Executive Director, San Francisco Human Rights Commission Corey Davis, Community Partner

City Leaders

Chief Allen Nance, Juvenile Probation Department Patti Lee, Deputy Public Defender Tiffany Sutton, Director of Crime Strategies Division, Police Department Katherine Weinstein Miller, Deputy District Attorney Maria Su, Executive Directive, Department of Children, Youth and Families Joan Miller, Director of Child Welfare, Human Services Agency Ben Rosenfeld, Controller Naomi Kelly, City Administrator Vincent Matthews, Superintendent, San Francisco Unified School District Dr. Anton Nigusse Bland, Director of Mental Health Reform, Department of Public Health Youth Commissioner to be announced Juvenile Probation Commissioner to be announced

Superior Court

Judge Roger Chan, Presiding Judge Judge Monica Wiley Judge Pat Mahoney (ret.)

Advocacy Organizations

Dan Macallair, Executive Director, Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice (CJCJ) Dawn Stueckle, Co-Founder & Executive Director, Sunset Youth Services Rudy Corpuz, Executive Director, United Playaz Jessica Nowlan, Executive Director, Young Women's Freedom Center Allison Magee, Executive Director, Zellerbach Family Foundation Kasie Lee, San Francisco Bar Association

Community Members

Liz Jackson-Simpson, Executive Director, Success Center Michael Texada, Social Work Associate, UCSF Wraparound Project Sarah Wan, Executive Director, Community Youth Center Arturo Carillo, Program Director, Street Violence Intervention Program Robert Figone, Retired YGC, education programming

Consultants

David Muhammad, Executive Director, National Institute for Criminal Justice Reform Shawn Ginwright, Professor, San Francisco State University

From:	CPC-Commissions Secretary
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC); Flores, Veronica (CPC)
Subject:	FW: 915 Cayuga Avenue - Case No. 2016-013850
Date:	Monday, April 08, 2019 10:50:39 AM
Attachments:	<u>915 Cayuga - Ltr to Commissioners.pdf</u>

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Sufi Tahbazof <sufi@tahbazoflaw.com>
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2019 9:53 AM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: 915 Cayuga Avenue - Case No. 2016-013850

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Commissioners,

In advance of our hearing this Thursday, April 11th, I am sending this letter to give you a brief background on our family business and our project located at 915 Cayuga Avenue.

Thank you for your time.

--

Regards,

Sufi Tahbazof Hariri | Tahbazof Law Firm, LLP 1256 Howard Street, Suite 201 | San Francisco, CA 94103 Office: 415-625-7132 | Fax: 415-922-0203

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS E-MAIL CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL, PRIVILEGED AND/OR ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. ANY USE OR DISTRIBUTION BY OTHERS IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE DESTROY IT AND CONTACT THE SENDER.

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
_	(CPC); Rich Hillis
Cc:	<u>Switzky, Joshua (CPC); Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)</u>
Subject:	FW: Prop M Allocations: False Premise Or What??
Date:	Monday, April 08, 2019 10:40:12 AM

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: John Elberling <johne@todco.org>
Sent: Saturday, April 06, 2019 4:15 PM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Prop M Allocations: False Premise Or What??

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please forward this message to all Commissioners and DCP staff. Thank you.

The DCP Staff representation to the Commission on April 4th that the Flower Mart Project in Central SOMA is in some sense of the words the "most ready" or among the three most ready to proceed - and thus should recieve the single very biggest chunk of limited Prop M office allocation this year - is false on its face due to these undeniable known facts regarding the Mart Project's 'critical path' to ACTUAL start of construction:

- 1. The Project requires additional environmental review due to the potential changes in its content. This may cause dealy in its consideration by the Commission.
- 2. The Project's development agreement must also be approved the Board of Supervisors. This requires at least 3-4 months after Commission approval and may take significantly longer. It may also be denied.
- 3. Even once fully approved, the Project cannot start construction until the existing Flower Mart is relocated to another temporary or permanent site. That process alone will take at least one year after approvals.
- 4. That Mart relocation first requires final agreement among the parties for use and final

ownership of the Marin St. relocation site - Tisham (now the owner), Kilroy, the Mart Merchants, and the City PUC.

- 5. The new Mart building must go through a normal project approval process itself.
- 6. The new Mart building must then be constructed and outfitted.
- 7. The Mart Merchants must then physically move from SOMA to that facility.
- 8. Only after all that is done can the current Mart buildings be demolished and construction of the new office Project actually start.

All combined it is procedurally and physically impossible for the Flower Mart office development to ACTUALLY start construction any sooner than sometime well into 2021.

That is NOT "readiness" in any sense of the word.

A known practice in such circumstances used by DCP in the past is to include a provision in the Project development agreeent for the Project that it cannot begin construction sooner than some date in 2021, so its Prop M allocation is not available and not counted until that time.

That Mart Project deferral would enable the following projects that can ACTUALY start construction in 2020 to recieve Prop M allocations in the current Allocation Year ending October 14, 2019:

- Transbay Parcel F @ 288,000 ft full project (plus the associated affordable housing devlopment)

- 88 Bluxome @ 833,000 ft full project
- 598 Brannan @ 711,000 ft Phase 1
- 725 Harrison @ 480,000 ft Phase 1

Then in Allocation Year 2019-20 either of these two smaller and less-ready Projects could receive their Prop M allocation and start construction possibly in 2021:

- 400 Second @ 421,000 ft, or

- 490 Brannan @ 350,000 ft

Then in Allocation Year 2020-21 the other one of these two projects would receive its allocation. And the 1,400,000 Phase 1 of the Mart Project would be counted too.

The second phases of the three large projects and the 505 Brannan addition project would have to wait several years after this due to impact of the Pier 70 and Mission Rock projects Prop M drawdowns. There is simply NO mathmatical possibility at all for all the Central SOMA projects to recieve their final Prop M allocations until the 2025-26 Allocation Year - unless the Port properties projects are delayed.

Given the above, one must question why instead DCP staff is stubbornly insisting on unnecessarily allocating 1,400,000 ft to the Mart project immediately.

It must be either irrational ... or political.

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Royee C. Chen <oryxsf@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 07, 2019 12:04 PM
To: Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Richhillissf@gmail.com; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin
(CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas
(CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Cow Hollow Association <info@cowhollowassociation.org>
Subject: Opposition to SB 50

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This is to let you know that we **oppose SB 50** for the following reasons:

- Urban planning needs to remain local
- What's important to us in San Francisco is **not** the same as what's important to those who live in Redding, or Irvine or Sacramento. Especially when it comes to neighborhood and city character.
- The state government should not usurp power from the local governments. Especially when it comes to local development and zoning.
- SB 50 does everything to destroy the fabric of our neighborhoods.

Thank you.—Royee Chen

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	<u>Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna</u> (<u>CPC); Rich Hillis</u>
Cc:	<u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY;</u> CTYPLN - SENIOR MANAGERS; JENSEN, KRISTEN (CAT); STACY, KATE (CAT)
Subject:	CPC Calendars for April 11, 2019
Date:	Friday, April 05, 2019 2:06:24 PM
Attachments:	20190411 cal.docx 20190411 cal.pdf CPC Hearing Results 2019.docx Advance Calendar - 20190411.xlsx

Commissioners, Attached are your Calendars for April 11, 2019.

Enjoy the weekend,

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
To:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane
	<u>Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns</u>
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	RE: Recusal Forms
Date:	Friday, April 05, 2019 12:48:05 PM

Apologies, after reviewing the form, it is filed electronically. Therefore, no need to submit to me.

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Sent: Friday, April 05, 2019 12:43 PM

To: 'Dennis Richards (dennis.richards@sfgov.org)' <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <Milicent.Johnson@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <Joel.Koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; 'Myrna Melgar' <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; 'Rich Hillis' <richhillissf@gmail.com>; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC <aaron.hyland.hpc@gmail.com>; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com) <andrew@tefarch.com>; Black, Kate (CPC)<kate.black@sfgov.org>; Diane Matsuda <dianematsuda@hotmail.com>; Ellen Johnck - HPC
<ellen.hpc@ellenjohnckconsulting.com>; Jonathan Pearlman <jonathan.pearlman.hpc@gmail.com>; Richard S. E. Johns <rsejohns@yahoo.com>
Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) <Josephine.Feliciano@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Recusal Forms

Commissioners,

Below, is a link to the new Recusal Form due within 15 days of recusal from any item. Including, items you would have recused yourself from even if you were not present at the time of the hearing.

Once completed, submit to me and I will forward to Ethics.

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Petersen, Patricia (ETH) Sent: Friday, April 05, 2019 12:38 PM To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <<u>jonas.ionin@sfgov.org</u>>
 Cc: SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) <<u>Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org</u>>; Ford, Patrick (ETH)
 <<u>patrick.ford@sfgov.org</u>>; Thaikkendiyil, Gayathri (ETH) <<u>gayathri.thaikkendiyil@sfgov.org</u>>
 Subject: RE: Recusal Forms

Hello, Jonas -

The Recusal forms are available <u>here</u>. You can also navigate to it from <u>https://sfethics.org</u> > compliance > city officers > conflict of interest – city officers.

Thank you, Pat Patricia H. Petersen Engagement & Compliance Officer <u>CCSF Ethics Commission</u> 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94102 (T) 415-252-3100 (F) 415-252-3112 patricia.petersen@sfgov.org

Please note that nothing in this e-mail is intended to constitute a written formal opinion of the San Francisco Ethics Commission, and the recipient may not rely on this e-mail as a defense in any enforcement proceeding.

From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, April 5, 2019 12:04 PM
To: Petersen, Patricia (ETH) <<u>patricia.petersen@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) <<u>Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org</u>>; Ford, Patrick (ETH)
<<u>patrick.ford@sfgov.org</u>>; Thaikkendiyil, Gayathri (ETH) <<u>gayathri.thaikkendiyil@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: RE: Recusal Forms

Any update?

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Petersen, Patricia (ETH) Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 5:32 PM To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <<u>jonas.ionin@sfgov.org</u>>
Cc: SHEN, ANDREW (CAT) <<u>Andrew.Shen@sfcityatty.org</u>>; Ford, Patrick (ETH)
<<u>patrick.ford@sfgov.org</u>>; Thaikkendiyil, Gayathri (ETH) <<u>gayathri.thaikkendiyil@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: RE: Recusal Forms

Jonas, as I'd mentioned, the recusal forms are in draft. We're shooting for having them ready by early next week.

In the meantime, as events unfurl on your end, would you please us posted as to the need for the recusal forms?

Thanks, Pat

From: Petersen, Patricia (ETH)
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 3:01 PM
To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <<u>ionas.ionin@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: RE: Recusal Forms

Jonas, here's San Francisco Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code <u>Sec. 3.209</u> re Recusals.

I'm checking on the status of the draft Forms.

Pat

From: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2019 2:27 PM
To: Petersen, Patricia (ETH) <<u>patricia.petersen@sfgov.org</u>>
Subject: Recusal Forms

Patricia, I can't seem to find any links to the required form for recusals...any assistance would be appreciated.

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: robyn tucker <venturesv@aol.com>

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2019 11:15 PM

To: Rahaim, John (CPC) <john.rahaim@sfgov.org>; Joslin, Jeff (CPC) <jeff.joslin@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>

Cc: Hepner, Lee (BOS) <lee.hepner@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Winslow, David (CPC) <david.winslow@sfgov.org>; mooreurban@aol.com; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; richhillissf@yahoo.com; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; kcourtney@rhcasf.com;

chris.schulman@gmail.com; Chris Gembinski <chrisgembinski@gmail.com>; cgbigelow@gmail.com; Betsy Brill <betsyb123@mac.com>; william_matteson@comcast.net; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: 2018-005411CRV, Project Name: Residential Roof Decks Policy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from my iPhone April 4, 2019

Mr. John Rahaim, Director San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission St #400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: 2018-005411CRV, Project Name: Residential Roof Decks Policy

Dear Director Rahaim,

The Pacific Avenue Neighborhood Association (PANA), our neighbors and friends object to San Francisco Planning Department's new roof deck policy. The policy is remarkably different from the information presented to the SF Planning Commission in August 2018.

The new roof deck policy is egregious and places neighborhoods throughout the City at risk for new development design blight. Additionally, the new roof deck policy destroys neighborhood protections that preserve privacy, acceptable noise levels and environmental compatibility. This is unacceptable.

Why would the SF Planning Department's leadership choose to unilaterally implement a <u>different policy</u> than that which was presented and supported by the Planning Commission in August 2018?

PANA respectfully asks that you postpone implementation of the new roof policy and instead host public meetings and public comment to allow neighborhood leaders and residents to weigh in.

Robyn Tucker Co-Chair PANA 415-609-5607

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane
	<u>Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns</u>
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Spring 2019 Pictorial / Face Sheet
Date:	Friday, April 05, 2019 11:56:44 AM
Attachments:	SFPlanning Spring2019 Pictorial.pdf

FYI

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: SooHoo, Candace (CPC)
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2019 4:35 PM
To: CTYPLN - CITY PLANNING EVERYONE <CPC.CityPlanningEveryone@sfgov.org>
Subject: Spring 2019 Pictorial / Face Sheet

Hi all –

The updated staff pictorial / face sheet is now available.

For future reference, you can download the pictorial from the Plan-Net Portal's homepage.

Thanks, Candace

From: To:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC) Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane
Cc:	<u>Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns</u> <u>Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)</u>
Subject:	FW: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE SCHOLARS PROGRAM
Date: Attachments:	Friday, April 05, 2019 11:52:09 AM <u>4.5.19 Bridge to Excellence.pdf</u>

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Press Office, Mayor (MYR)
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2019 11:36 AM
To: Press Office, Mayor (MYR) <mayorspressoffice@sfgov.org>
Subject: *** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE
SCHOLARS PROGRAM

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Friday, April 5, 2019 Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

*** PRESS RELEASE *** MAYOR LONDON BREED ANNOUNCES BRIDGE TO EXCELLENCE SCHOLARS PROGRAM

Mayor Breed's Bridge to Excellence Scholars Program will provide scholarship awards to graduating high school seniors from low-income backgrounds to overcome financial barriers in attending college

San Francisco, CA — Today Mayor London Breed announced her Bridge to Excellence Scholars Program, which will provide scholarships to highly-motivated graduating high school seniors from low-income and under-resourced communities in order to help overcome financial barriers they face to attend college.

The Bridge to Excellence Scholars Program will award up to two students from each of San Francisco's 18 public high schools with \$2,500 each in scholarship awards. This will be the largest scholarship program run by the Mayor's Office since it began in 2011. Funding for the scholarship comes from the generous sponsorship of business and philanthropic partners.

"Having grown up in poverty I understand firsthand the life-changing impact that higher

education can provide. I would not be serving as the Mayor of San Francisco were it not for the doors that my education opened for me," said Mayor Breed. "I look forward to seeing what these students will accomplish, and all that they will one day give back to our city."

To be eligible for the scholarship, applicants must be a San Francisco Unified School District High School Senior graduating in Spring 2019 with a minimum cumulative GPA of 3.20. Applicants must demonstrate a significant financial need and be the first in their family to attend a four-year college. The application window will be open until Monday, April 29, 2019. The Mayor's Office will review all qualified candidates and notify recipients by the end of May.

Applicants can find more information and apply at https://sfmayor.org/priorities/education.

###

From:	Ionin, Jonas (CPC)
То:	Richards, Dennis (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna
	(CPC); Rich Hillis; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Black, Kate (CPC); Diane
	<u>Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns</u>
Cc:	Feliciano, Josephine (CPC)
Subject:	FW: Mayor"s Office staffing changes
Date:	Friday, April 05, 2019 11:50:43 AM
Attachments:	Template.xlsx

Planning Department¦City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309¦Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (MYR)
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2019 10:15 AM
Cc: Peacock, Rebecca (MYR) <rebecca.peacock@sfgov.org>; Karunaratne, Kanishka (MYR)
<kanishka.cheng@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Mayor's Office staffing changes

PLEASE DISTRIBUTE TO COMMISSIONERS

Good morning Commission Secretaries-

I wanted to make sure that you were aware of the staffing changes in the Mayor's office. As described below, Kanishka Karunaratne Cheng will be taking over as Commission Liaison and I will be moving on to a new role as public safety and criminal justice advisor.

It has been a great pleasure working with you all and I am sure we will have opportunities to work together in the near future.

One matter of business before my duties in this role have officially ended – This week marks the beginning of the new fiscal quarter, **please send quarterly attendance reports directly to Kanishka AND Rebecca** (both copied). The Mayor is very much interested in commissioner attendance so please do not delay in sending if possible. I am attaching a template, should you choose to use it.

Regards,

Mawuli Tugbenyoh 杜本樂 Office of Mayor London N. Breed <u>415.554.6298 | mawuli.tugbenyoh@sfgov.org</u> From: Elsbernd, Sean (MYR)
Sent: Monday, April 1, 2019 2:55 PM
To: MYR-ALL Department Heads
Cc: Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (MYR); Karunaratne, Kanishka (MYR); Kittler, Sophia (MYR); Power, Andres (MYR); Bruss, Andrea (MYR); Kirkpatrick, Kelly (MYR); MYR-All Department Head Assistant
Subject: Mayor's Office staffing changes

Department Heads,

Good afternoon. I would like all of you to be aware of the following staffing changes in the Mayor's Office effective today. Please inform your staff of these changes.

Sophia Kittler will now be Mayor Breed's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors. Please direct all non-Budget Board related questions/issues/concerns to her. Budget issues will continue to run through Kelly Kirkpatrick and her team, although Sophia will be working with Kelly throughout the budget season. You can reach Sophia by phone at (415) 554-6153.

Kanishka Cheng will move on from her service as Board Liaison and now be responsible for monitoring and serving as a liaison to all City Commissions, and will be responsible for all nominations/confirmations of commissioners. For those of you with Commissions, please direct your Commission related questions/issues/concerns to her. She can be reached by phone at (415) 554-6696.

Finally, Mawuli Tugbenyoh will be taking over a new position for the Mayor, identified by her as a need in our current structure, as her policy advisor on public safety. Mawuli will coordinate the Mayor's policy positions on all things public safety, criminal justice, and violence prevention, and be available to the Mayor on other issues on an as-needed basis. Mawuli can be reached at (415) 554-6298.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (415) 554-6650.

Thank you for all that you do for the City, Sean

From: To:	<u>Starr, Aaron (CPC)</u> richhillissf@gmail.com; mooreurban@aol.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Johnson, Milicent (CPC)
Cc:	CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Subject:	Weekly Board Report
Date:	Thursday, April 04, 2019 12:13:51 PM
Attachments:	2019_04_04.pdf image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png

Please see attached.

Aaron Starr, MA Manager of Legislative Affairs

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6362 Fax: 415-558-6409 Email: <u>aaron.starr@sfgov.org</u> Web: <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>

