From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
To: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 8:56:20 AM

Attachments: scan0026.pdf

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Jamie Dyos [mailto:jamie@soireevalet.com]

Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 4:16 PM

To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine

(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS); May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear President Hollis and Members of the Commission,

Please see attached.

Thank you

#### FOR YOUR NEXT EVENT LARGE OR SMALL

Group services include airport arrival and departure service, event transportation coordination, valet parking and traffic management.

Jamie S. Dyos President Soiree Valet Parking Service, Inc. 1470 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94103-2523

Phone: 415-284-9700 or 800-660-1906

Direct: 415-284-9711 Cell: 415-559-2469 Fax: 415-284-9770

Email: <u>Jamie@soireevalet.com</u>
Web: <u>www.soireevalet.com</u>

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)
To: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: More Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 8:56:11 AM
Attachments: Letter in Opposition to CUA 2015-009507.pdf

#### Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Connie Best [mailto:cbest@pacificforest.org]

Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 5:15 PM

To: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine

(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

Cc: Fewer, Sandra (BOS); May, Christopher (CPC); Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Subject: More Neighborhood Opposition to 318 30th Avenue Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear President Hollis and Members of the Commission,

Please see attached letter signed by 28 residents who are neighbors to the proposed demolition and rebuild at 318 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

Thank you for your review in advance of your Oct. 5 hearing.

Sincerely,

Connie Best

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: Staff Report on 318 30th Avenue - Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 8:55:10 AM

#### Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Connie Best [mailto:cbest@pacificforest.org]

Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 9:09 PM

To: May, Christopher (CPC)

**Cc:** Fewer, Sandra (BOS); Secretary, Commissions (CPC); richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC);

Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

Subject: Staff Report on 318 30th Avenue - Item 18 2015-009507CUA 10/5/17

Dear Christopher,

I was surprised to read in the staff report on this project of the neighborhood opposition as of 9.25.17 consisting of 3 emails and a phone call. I am aware that by that date at least 3 other letters (transmitted by email and/or USPS) had been delivered to the Department with copies to the Commission so I hope these are not somehow lost. Those letters have been augmented by at least 3 more letters I am aware of as well as the group letter from 28 residents.

Every day I hear from another neighbor expressing opposition to this project. Given the very minimal outreach conducted by the project developer, it has taken time for the details of the project to come to peoples' attention. The more they learn, the greater the opposition.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Connie Best

From: <u>Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)</u>

To: <u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY</u>

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 9:36:29 AM

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png image004.png image006.png image008.png image010.png image012.png image014.png

Importance: High

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., to the Commissioners received September 30, 2017 2:29 PM.

#### Thanks.

#### Katv

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



Hours of Operation | Property Information Map













From: Torr [mailto:catvse@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 30, 2017 2:29 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC) Cc: Sheehy, Jeff (BOS)

**Subject:** Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.

Importance: High

Cathleen, I cannot make it to the Public Hearing 10-5-17 for case # 2002.0124CUA-02, But for the record I want to express the concerns that I, and my neighbors have for the proposed amendment to the Conditional Use Authorization for the Grocery Inventory Delivery hours at the Canyon Market.

I believe the original hours that were set for inventory delivery were 9a–12p, and we insist that these hours remain in place and not be changed! With that being said, I also want to

point out that these delivery hours are constantly are being violated, and that must stop. Going forward the set delivery hours need to be adhered to for many reasons -

Before the Canyon Market arrived, Wilder Street was much quieter, safer and less congested. Currently, delivery trucks often block the driveways of homes and apartment buildings, including my own. I am sure anyone would agree that as a tax paying home owner, I should not have to chase down a truck driver to be able to use my own driveway after I get home from an exhausting 10-12 hour work day. Let us also not fail to mention that when a Muni Bus that has to cross over into the opposite lane of traffic to pass a double parked truck it creates a huge safety hazard, especially during commute hours. The double parked trucks, sometimes as many as 6 at a time (I have pictures) on Wilder Street along with the recent addition of the Muni Bus route have served to dramatically decrease the Safety, Cleanliness and over all Quality of Life on this block. There should have been studies/surveys already done to investigate these issues and plans put into effect to mitigate and avoid all these negatives. If said studies/surveys have indeed already been done, we believe they need to be reinvestigated. If they have not been done, they need to be.

With a reasonable amount of **coordination** between the Owners/Managers of the Canyon market and their Vendors they should be able make Mid-Day deliveries work. Taking the easy way out by forcing Glen Park citizens to accept all the negative effects of deliveries outside these hours is unacceptable and unfair.

The citizens of Glen park deserve better, and we expect the SF City departments who have responsibility for these matters to make every effort to support the goals of safety and quality of life in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention,

Torr Tietz

From: <u>Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)</u>

To: <u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY</u>

**Subject:** FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 9:40:39 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image015.png image016.png image017.png image018.png image019.png image020.png image021.png

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 01, 2017 1:14 PM.

#### Thanks.

#### Katy

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



Hours of Operation | Property Information Map













From: Elise F Ravel [mailto:eravel@stanford.edu] Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 1:14 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Subject:** Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Dear Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Hearing on Thursday, October 5th regarding Conditional Use Authorization request Case No 2002.0124CUA-02.

I am writing to protest the change in delivery hours to the Glen Park Canyon Market and the rescinding of the requirement for the market to provide delivery service, and request that the market be required to adhere to the hours the planning department agreed upon with the granting of the project.

I do not know if the planning department commissioners are the same members that were

on the commission at the time the development was approved, but I would like to remind the commissioners that after hours of testimony from dozens of residents objecting to:

- 1. the removal of a parking lot
- 2. the lack of parking provided by the developer for the market
- 3. the reduced parking for the condo owners and
- 4. the determination that the **project did not need a loading dock or area for deliveries**,

the only concession that was made, was the requirement that a delivery service be provided. There was also a suggestion that if there were any unused parking spaces from the condo that they could be rented out.

The neighborhood is barraged with trucks and there are now buses on Wilder Street which decrease the safety of the neighborhood. It is not acceptable to continue to disrupt the residents and decrease the safety of pedestrians (including school aged children) by lengthening the hours of deliveries. Not only should the requirements not be changed, but the Glen Canyon Market should comply with the agreed upon hours, restrict the delivery trucks to the area adjacent to the market (not in front of residential property), and they should also be required to broadly advertise delivery service to reduce the parking problems.

(If the owners are going to contend that there have been no complaints, it may be that residents were unaware of the restricted hours or how and where to report violations. I have just recently been informed.)

The extension of the delivery hours and the removal of the delivery service decreases parking and safety while increasing congestion. The customers of the market continually block access to my garage as well as my neighbors' garages. The parking of delivery trucks day and night (in violation of the agreement) have resulted in increase in congestion and decrease in parking. I have missed appointments, had to pay for missed appointments, and am unable to access my garage after an hour commute when I get home from work. Not only is there not enough parking for their customers, it appears there are tenants of the Glen Canyon Market complex that utilize street parking as well. Most likely there are not enough spaces for them, or they are unable to access their garage either. We have also had to request that a delivery driver turn of his idling engine of a semi, parked about 20 feet in front of my dining room window, during my dinner hour.

It is unfortunate that the Planning Department made the decision to approve the project without a loading dock or area for deliveries, but the decision was made and the requirements should be honored. The quality of the neighborhood has already deteriorated and should not be allowed to continue to.

Since it has been my experience that residents have little effect on these decisions, I would like to know what concessions the neighborhood will receive in return for the increased disruption and decrease in safety caused by the decisions that are made, that only benefit one party.

Perhaps the owners of the market should purchase parking permits for the residents who are unable to access their garages, pay DPT to paint the curbs, assure priority towing from DPT, and employ someone to patrol the neighborhood to prevent their customers from blocking residents access to their homes and assure that delivery trucks are parked adjacent to the Canyon Market and not 50 to 100 feet above the market in front of residents' homes. They should also pay to clean our homes and cars that are covered with dirt and filth from the delivery trucks.

The idea that agreements are not honored and decisions are made to accommodate the violations of the agreements made, is insulting and shameful.

I look forward to a positive response that supports law abiding, tax paying citizens, who simply desire a safe and reasonable quality of life.

Sincerely,

Elise Ravel

From: Samonsky, Ella (CPC)

To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); McArthur, Margaret (REC)
Cc: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY
Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:54:28 AM
Attachments: West Bay-LetterOfSupport-3016thStreet.pdf

United Playaz 301 6th street support.pdf SOMCAN's letter on 301 6th Street.pdf Veterans Equity Center Letter of Support .pdf

301 - 6th st.pdf

# Dear Jonas, Margaret,

Attached are letter of support for the project at 301 6<sup>th</sup> Street/ 999 Folsom Street that I have received addressed to the Planning Commission and the Rec and Park Commission. The letters are from the following organizations:

- · West Bay Pilipino Mulit Service Center
- · United Playaz
- SOMCAN
- · Veterans Equity Center
- · Filipino American Development Foundation

# Sincerely,

#### Ella Samonsky Planner, Current Planning

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

**Direct**: 415-575-9112 **Fax**: 415-558-6409

Email: ella.samonsky@sfgov.org Web: www.sfplanning.org

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:09 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image004.png image006.png image008.png image010.png image012.png image014.png image003.png

Importance: High

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Sent:** Monday, October 02, 2017 9:36 AM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.

Importance: High

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., to the Commissioners received September 30, 2017 2:29 PM.

#### Thanks,

#### Katy

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



#### Hours of Operation | Property Information Map











From: Torr [mailto:catvse@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, September 30, 2017 2:29 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Cc: Sheehy, Jeff (BOS)

Subject: Conditional Use Auth. case No.: 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St.

Importance: High

Cathleen, I cannot make it to the Public Hearing 10-5-17 for case # 2002.0124CUA-02, But for the record I want to express the concerns that I, and my neighbors have for the proposed amendment to the Conditional Use Authorization for the Grocery Inventory Delivery hours at the Canyon Market.

I believe the original hours that were set for inventory delivery were 9a–12p, and we insist that these hours remain in place and not be changed! With that being said, I also want to point out that these delivery hours are constantly are being violated, and that must stop. Going forward the set delivery hours need to be adhered to for many reasons -

Before the Canyon Market arrived, Wilder Street was much quieter, safer and less congested. Currently, delivery trucks often block the driveways of homes and apartment buildings, including my own. I am sure anyone would agree that as a tax paying home owner, I should not have to chase down a truck driver to be able to use my own driveway after I get home from an exhausting 10-12 hour work day. Let us also not fail to mention that when a Muni Bus that has to cross over into the opposite lane of traffic to pass a double parked truck it creates a huge safety hazard, especially during commute hours. The double parked trucks, sometimes as many as 6 at a time (I have pictures) on Wilder Street along with the recent addition of the Muni Bus route have served to dramatically decrease the Safety, Cleanliness and over all Quality of Life on this block. There should have been studies/surveys already done to investigate these issues and plans put into effect to mitigate and avoid all these negatives. If said studies/surveys have indeed already been done, we believe they need to be reinvestigated. If they have not been done, they need to be.

With a reasonable amount of <u>coordination</u> between the Owners/Managers of the Canyon market and their Vendors they should be able make Mid-Day deliveries work. Taking the easy way out by forcing Glen Park citizens to accept all the negative effects of deliveries outside these hours is unacceptable and unfair.

The citizens of Glen park deserve better, and we expect the SF City departments who have responsibility for these matters to make every effort to support the goals of safety and quality of life in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention,

Torr Tietz

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>

**Subject:** FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:13 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image016.png image017.png image018.png image019.png image020.png image021.png image002.png

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Sent:** Monday, October 02, 2017 9:41 AM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 01, 2017 1:14 PM.

#### Thanks,

#### Katy

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



#### Hours of Operation | Property Information Map













From: Elise F Ravel [mailto:eravel@stanford.edu]

Sent: Sunday, October 01, 2017 1:14 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Subject:** Planning Commission Hearing 2002.0124CUA-02

Dear Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Hearing on Thursday, October 5th regarding Conditional Use Authorization request Case No 2002.0124CUA-02.

I am writing to protest the change in delivery hours to the Glen Park Canyon Market and the rescinding of the requirement for the market to provide delivery service, and request that the market be required to adhere to the hours the planning department agreed upon with the granting of the project.

I do not know if the planning department commissioners are the same members that were on the commission at the time the development was approved, but I would like to remind the commissioners that after hours of testimony from dozens of residents objecting to:

- 1. the removal of a parking lot
- 2. the lack of parking provided by the developer for the market
- 3. the reduced parking for the condo owners and
- 4. the determination that the **project did not need a loading dock or area for deliveries**,

the only concession that was made, was the requirement that a delivery service be provided. There was also a suggestion that if there were any unused parking spaces from the condo that they could be rented out.

The neighborhood is barraged with trucks and there are now buses on Wilder Street which decrease the safety of the neighborhood. It is not acceptable to continue to disrupt the residents and decrease the safety of pedestrians (including school aged children) by lengthening the hours of deliveries. Not only should the requirements not be changed, but the Glen Canyon Market should comply with the agreed upon hours, restrict the delivery trucks to the area adjacent to the market (not in front of residential property), and they

should also be required to broadly advertise delivery service to reduce the parking problems.

(If the owners are going to contend that there have been no complaints, it may be that residents were unaware of the restricted hours or how and where to report violations. I have just recently been informed.)

The extension of the delivery hours and the removal of the delivery service decreases parking and safety while increasing congestion. The customers of the market continually block access to my garage as well as my neighbors' garages. The parking of delivery trucks day and night (in violation of the agreement) have resulted in increase in congestion and decrease in parking. I have missed appointments, had to pay for missed appointments, and am unable to access my garage after an hour commute when I get home from work. Not only is there not enough parking for their customers, it appears there are tenants of the Glen Canyon Market complex that utilize street parking as well. Most likely there are not enough spaces for them, or they are unable to access their garage either. We have also had to request that a delivery driver turn of his idling engine of a semi, parked about 20 feet in front of my dining room window, during my dinner hour.

It is unfortunate that the Planning Department made the decision to approve the project without a loading dock or area for deliveries, but the decision was made and the requirements should be honored. The quality of the neighborhood has already deteriorated and should not be allowed to continue to.

Since it has been my experience that residents have little effect on these decisions, I would like to know what concessions the neighborhood will receive in return for the increased disruption and decrease in safety caused by the decisions that are made, that only benefit one party.

Perhaps the owners of the market should purchase parking permits for the residents who are unable to access their garages, pay DPT to paint the curbs, assure priority towing from DPT, and employ someone to patrol the neighborhood to prevent their customers from blocking residents access to their homes and assure that delivery trucks are parked adjacent to the Canyon Market and not 50 to 100 feet above the market in front of residents' homes. They should also pay to clean our homes and cars that are covered with dirt and filth from the delivery trucks.

The idea that agreements are not honored and decisions are made to accommodate the violations of the agreements made, is insulting and shameful.

I look forward to a positive response that supports law abiding, tax paying citizens, who simply desire a safe and reasonable quality of life.

Sincerely,

Elise Ravel

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>

Subject:at 301 6th Street/ 999 Folsom StreetDate:Monday, October 02, 2017 1:09:39 PMAttachments:West Bay-LetterOfSupport-3016thStreet.pdf

<u>United Playaz 301 6th street support.pdf</u> <u>SOMCAN"s letter on 301 6th Street.pdf</u> <u>Veterans Equity Center Letter of Support.pdf</u>

301 - 6th st.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Samonsky, Ella (CPC)

Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 9:54 AM

To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC); McArthur, Margaret (REC)

Cc: CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject:

Dear Jonas, Margaret,

Attached are letter of support for the project at 301 6<sup>th</sup> Street/ 999 Folsom Street that I have received addressed to the Planning Commission and the Rec and Park Commission. The letters are from the following organizations:

- West Bay Pilipino Mulit Service Center
- United Playaz
- SOMCAN
- Veterans Equity Center
- · Filipino American Development Foundation

#### Sincerely,

Ella Samonsky Planner, Current Planning

Planning Department, City and County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-575-9112 Fax: 415-558-6409

Email: ella.samonsky@sfgov.org Web: www.sfplanning.org

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Rich

<u>Hillis; Rodney Fong</u> <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>

Subject: FW: Recreation and Park Commission meeting materials

**Date:** Monday, October 02, 2017 1:10:43 PM

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Cc:

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Harrison, Jordan (REC)

Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 10:19 AM To: Samonsky, Ella (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Cc: McArthur, Margaret (REC)

Subject: Recreation and Park Commission meeting materials

Jonas and Ella,

Could you please provide to your commission the following information about how to retrieve the Recreation and Park Commission staff reports online. At a previous meeting Planning Commissioners requested information about cumulative projects, which we provide in Attachments H and I of the staff reports.

If you or your commissioners have any questions about the materials or the meeting, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Jordan

- 1. Go to: http://sfrecpark.org/about/recreation-park-commission/full-commission-documents/
- 2. Click on the "Supporting Documents" tab
- 3. Expand "2017" folder
- 4. Expand "Special Joint Meeting with Planning Thursday October 5, 2017"
- 5. The staff reports and all attachments are provided individually within the above folder

#### Jordan Harrison

Planner, Capital and Planning Division
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco

# 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor | 30 Van Ness Avenue | San Francisco, CA | 94102

# 415.575.5602 | jordan.harrison@sfgov.org



Visit us at <u>sfrecpark.org</u>
Like us on <u>Facebook</u>
Follow us on <u>Twitter</u>
Watch us on <u>sfRecParkTV</u>
Sign up for our <u>e-News</u>

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong; Aaron Jon Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Diane

Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>; <u>Son, Chanbory (CPC)</u>

**Subject:** FW: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING

Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:11:52 PM
Attachments: 10.2.17 Las Vegas Shooting.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR) Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 11:34 AM

To: MayorsPressOffice, MYR (MYR)

Subject: \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING

#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

Monday, October 2, 2017

Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications, 415-554-6131

# \*\*\* STATEMENT \*\*\* MAYOR LEE ON LAS VEGAS MASS SHOOTING

"The unprecedented tragedy that took place in Las Vegas is shocking and heartbreaking. An evening intended to be filled with love and music transformed into a terrible nightmare due to an act of domestic terrorism.

We are sending our deepest condolences and sympathies to the hundreds of families affected by this senseless act of gun violence. We also offer our profound appreciation to all the public safety officials who risked their lives responding to this tragic incident.

As San Francisco prepares for many civic events this week, we will be increasing our local law enforcement resources and working with our State and Federal partners to ensure a safe and secure environment for our residents, visitors and employees. There are no known threats to San Francisco at this time. We remind everyone that if they <u>"See Something, Say Something."</u>

During times like these, we solemnly remember the victims, but we must also ask ourselves why these horrific events continue to transpire. Our nations needs stricter, commonsense gun laws. We cannot continue to play politics with American lives."

As a mark of respect for the victims of the violence in Las Vegas, the City and County of San Francisco will observe the President's request that flags on all buildings and grounds be flown at half-staff until sunset, October 6, 2017.

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC)

Subject: FW: BRIDGE Letter of Support

Date: Monday, October 02, 2017 1:14:38 PM

Attachments: Bridge Letter of Support 10 2 2017.pdf

Importance: High

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Kari Galatolo [mailto:kgalatolo@bridgehousing.com]

Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 12:05 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: BRIDGE Letter of Support

Importance: High

# Hello, Our letter of support is attached. Thank you, Kari Galatolo

Executive Assistant, Cynthia Parker, President & CEO and Rebecca Hlebasko, Sr. VP & General Counsel

T: 415.321.3501 F: 415.495.4898

kgalatolo@bridgehousing.com

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC)

Subject: FW: Letter of Support - Mission Rock

Date: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 10:41:54 AM

Attachments: Mission Rock Letter of Support Tomiquia Moss.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Daniyel Turner [mailto:dturner@hamiltonfamilies.org]

Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 4:02 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: Letter of Support - Mission Rock

Hello,

Please find a letter of support for Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock) attached.

**Daniyel Turner** | Executive Assistant | Hamilton Families 832 Folsom St., 8th Floor | San Francisco, CA 94107 | 415-409-2100 x107

Our mission is to end family homelessness in the San Francisco Bay Area.

Follow us on Twitter Like us on Facebook Visit our Website

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC)

Subject: FW: Mission Rock Support Letter

Date: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 2:09:42 PM

Attachments: Mission Rock support Letter from JB.pdf

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Martha Joseph [mailto:martha@jbaforyouth.org]

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 1:53 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

**Cc:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: Mission Rock Support Letter

Good afternoon,

Please find attached a letter of support for the Mission Rock project from John Burton Advocates for Youth, signed by Sen. John Burton. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Martha	
Best,	

Martha Joseph
Operations Manager
John Burton Advocates for Youth
235 Montgomery, Suite 1142
San Francisco, CA 94104
martha@jbaforyouth.org

martha@jbaforyouth.org Office: 415-348-0011

Facebook | Website | Twitter

Check out our new website!

From: <u>Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)</u>

To: <u>CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY</u>

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

**Date:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:57:55 AM

Attachments: image001.png

image003.png image004.png image005.png image006.png image007.png image008.png image009.png

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 3, 2017 7:20 PM.

#### Thanks.

#### Katy

# **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



Hours of Operation | Property Information Map













From: bill rauch [mailto:billrauch@sbcglobal.net] Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 7:20 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

Subject: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Planning Commission hearing on Thursday, October 5th but want to inform the committee that I am opposed to the proposal to amend the delivery hours and removal of the condition requiring customer delivery.

Both of these requirements are helpful in reducing congestion and parking issues that are prevalent in the Glen Park village.

I live on Wilder Street and am subjected to constant inconveniences brought on by delivery trucks and believe grocery delivery service should be encouraged to decrease traffic.

Sincerely,

William Rauch

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Wenger, Maggie (CPC)

Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:09:46 AM

Attachments: 19th Ave Corridor Study.pdf

L Line Extension 0.pdf
L taraval traffic4.pdf
L taraval traffic5.pdf
light rail money.pdf
LRT BRT discussion.pdf

#### FYI

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Wenger, Maggie (CPC)

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:55 AM

To: Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

Jonas.

I am just confirming that you will forward this item directly to Commissioners and I do not need to print it for tomorrow's hearing.

Thanks! Maggie

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 11:00 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: Wenger, Maggie (CPC); Kern, Chris (CPC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

SF Planning Commission

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

cc: Maggie.wenger@sfgov.org / Chris.Kern@sfgov.org / board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: Item # 12 // 2014.2110GPA WESTERN SHORELINE AREA PLAN/LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

### Item # 13 // JOBS-HOUSING CAPACITY & GROWTH DISCUSSION

# SF Planning Commissioners;

As I am unable to attend the Thursday evening meeting, please accept these comments on the two items noted above.

#### Item#12

- A) Regardless of the EIR's focus on the shoreline and erosion, the impacts of transit is a key component to the creation of new public spaces and addressing population growth and amenities and the effects of change in the district and the cumulative effects on the shoreline use. Therefore there is a direct need to look seriously at the options on the transit systems, and include this in the EIR discussion on options and alternatives.
- B) We submitted a pdf which did not show up in the documentation shown, which included a serious look at the mileage from the great highway to 19<sup>th</sup> Ave (Stern Grove) and future 19<sup>th</sup> Ave Transit proposals being considered. The current proposal shows a shift of the L-Line across Sloat Blvd. and turning back to the existing route. This is extremely poor envisionment of the transit needs of the growing population. 2800 Sloat Blvd. and the SF Zoo, alongside Lakeshore Malls changes and increased housing density along the sunset western edge is occurring and to lessen impacts would be critical to reduce traffic and population impacts on the shoreline and its erosion.
- C) Providing and inclusion of mass-transit solutions will correctly address growth impacts, and population impacts, by providing a less polluting system of getting to the created proposed areas by the Ocean beach Masterplan. The southern side should consider the adequate linkage back up to Stern Grove and West Portal to provide direct connectivity. It can also consider the co-funding of different agencies for the transit improvement costs.
- D) Please see the attached diagrams and articles that discuss the area changes, and the concerns for not looking NOW at the linkages that can be made to get people to these destinations via mass-transit options for future generations in SF. The discussion on the Southern portion ignores the premise of a GOOD solid mass-transit solution for Sloat for density and population growth and impacts. If we are "retreating" design wise, there should be an effort to plan for adjusted mass-transit routes such as along Sunset Blvd. north to south as an example, maybe out to Daly City along Stonestown SFSU and parkmerced's western edge? Or a better connection and loop in the system to improve and get more people out of cars and not just making "road-diets" along Sloat, when Stern Grove music festival and the lakeshore mall area create higher use and volume issues.

- A) I would like to note that there is a serious issue on the creation of jobs and housing, and that is that many jobs created are not long-term/solid employment of local hires within existing communities at risk of redevelopment and gentrification. Many new developments being proposed will be increased in costs due to Costa-Hawkins and therefore rennovations, or replacement housing will not be "enforceable" as new ownership can negate in court the controls of the city negotiations as state-law. This has been brought up by renter's rights groups as a concern in the agreements on major projects citywide.
- B) There is also a direct need to look at transit/infrastructure improvements so that communities where housing is being built will have direct access transit wise to local jobs, and thus will not impact doubly the roadways and mass-transit systems, existing, but will have systems build to adequately get people to their jobs and destinations. An example is the Geneva Harney (BRT vs. LRV) and needed solutions at Balboa Park Station, and connectivity to D7 and D10 through D11. Many of the crosstown commuting can be better planned for if mass-transit options are directly implemented alongside jobs/housing changes. Prop. O will add jobs alongside Brisbane land development and all the way around India Basin to Pier 70. To ensure adequate access and provision of transit infrastructure it is important to provide improvements that link the communities being transformed directly South-East SF to South-West SF for a southern "bridge" of housing to jobs, that reduces auto-trips and useage through new mass-transit systems.

Only in this way can real transformation of job creation, and locals access to long-term employment and job training improve the job-market for communities impacted by redevelopment and transformations occurring.

Thank you for your time in reviewing and including these comments.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman D11

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Samonsky, Ella (CPC); McArthur, Margaret (REC)

Subject: FW: West Bay Support Letter for 980 Folsom Project

Date:Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:16:17 AMAttachments:WB-LetterOfSupport-980Folsom (1) (1).pdf

#### FYI

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Carla Laurel [mailto:carla@westbaycentersf.org]

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 12:50 AM

**To:** Ionin, Jonas (CPC) **Cc:** John Goldman

Subject: West Bay Support Letter for 980 Folsom Project

#### Hi Jonas,

My name is Carla Laurel, the Executive Director of West Bay. Kindly find my letter of support for the 980 Folsom Street project, being heard Thursday, October 5th, attached.

Let me know if you have trouble opening it or have further questions.

Thanks, Carla

--

Carla Laurel
Executive Director
West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center
175 7th Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 431 - 6266
M: (408) 905 - 9429

M: (408) 905 - 9429 www.westbaycenter.org

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC): Richards, Dennis (CPC): Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Rich Hillis: Rodney Fong; Aaron Jon

Hyland - HPC; Andrew Wolfram (andrew@tefarch.com); Diane Matsuda; Ellen Johnck - HPC; Jonathan Pearlman; Richard S. E. Johns

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Son, Chanbory (CPC); Green, Andrea (CPC)

Subject: FW: Planning Commission Centennial Celebration

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:17:44 AM

#### Please hold the date...and hope to see you there!

Jonas P. Jonin.

Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Green, Andrea (CPC)

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 6:38 PM To: CTYPLN - CITY PLANNING EVERYONE

Subject: Planning Commission Centennial Celebration

Staff,

When the San Francisco Planning Commission was created in 1917, it was comprised of four citizen appointees, given no budget or staff, and held no approval authority. Over the years, it has evolved through hard fought battles, amidst changing political and social climates, to provide the invaluable services of today. The Planning Commission's rich and storied history is felt throughout the City's urban landscape.

Please join me, former Commissioners, elected officials and staff for a special evening as we celebrate the extraordinary contributions of the San Francisco Planning Commission's first 100 years.

Wednesday, November 8, 2017 6:00pm City Hall

Please RSVP to <a href="mailto:CPC.Events@sfgov.org">CPC.Events@sfgov.org</a> or by clicking on the invitation below.

1917 2017

# San Francisco Planning Commission

YOU ARE CORDIALLY INVITED TO JOIN FORMER COMMISSIONERS, LANDMARK ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS AND SENIOR MANAGERS TO A CELEBRATORY EVENING COMMEMORATING 100 YEARS OF PLANNING.

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2017 AT 6 p.m. SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE SAN FRANCISCO

Please reply by November 1, 2017

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>

Subject: FW: Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P. Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:21:38 AM

Attachments: Let Canyon Market.pdf

image002.png image004.png image005.png image006.png image007.png image008.png image009.png image003.png

Jonas P. Ionin, Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:17 AM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P.

Hi.

Please forward to the attached letter of support to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 4, 2017 9:15 PM.

#### Thanks.

# Katy

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



Hours of Operation | Property Information Map











From: Carl Scheidenhelm [mailto:carl@sf-arc.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:15 AM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)
Cc: Anne Moriarity; 'Richard Tarlov'

**Subject:** Letter of support for Canyon Market C.U.P.

#### Hi Cathleen,

I am sending you this letter of support for the Canyon Market C.U.P. revisions. I hope the hearing is pretty straightforward and there is no reason to block the proposed changes. I have included specific reasons why I think the revision is justified and necessary.

Regards,

Carl

Carl Scheidenhelm principal architect ca. #25859



SF Architecture 2856 Diamond Street San Francisco, CA 94131 O) 415-585-2440 M) 415.378.6051

carl@sf-arc.com

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: <u>Gerber, Patricia (CPC)</u>

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:21:47 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image003.png image004.png image005.png image006.png image007.png image008.png image002.png

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 8:58 AM **To:** CTYPLN - COMMISSION SECRETARY

Subject: FW: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Hi,

Please forward to the below concerned correspondence to the Commissioners, for CUA No. 2002.0124CUA-02 2815 Diamond St., received October 3, 2017 7:20 PM.

#### Thanks,

#### Katy

#### **Cathleen Campbell**

Planner, Southwest Team, Current Planning **Direct:** 415-575-8732 | **Fax:** 415-558-6409

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103



Hours of Operation | Property Information Map











From: bill rauch [mailto:billrauch@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 7:20 PM

To: Campbell, Cathleen (CPC)

Subject: Conditional Use Authorization Case No. 2002.0124CUA-02

Ms. Campbell,

I am unable to attend the Planning Commission hearing on Thursday, October 5th but want to inform the committee that I am opposed to the proposal to amend the delivery hours and removal of the condition requiring customer delivery.

Both of these requirements are helpful in reducing congestion and parking issues that are prevalent in the Glen Park village.

I live on Wilder Street and am subjected to constant inconveniences brought on by delivery trucks and believe grocery delivery service should be encouraged to decrease traffic.

Sincerely,

William Rauch

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:23:51 AM

Attachments: 19th Ave Corridor Study.pdf

L Line Extension 0.pdf
L taraval traffic4.pdf
L taraval traffic5.pdf
light rail money.pdf
LRT BRT discussion.pdf

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 11:00 PM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: Wenger, Maggie (CPC); Kern, Chris (CPC); Board of Supervisors, (BOS)

Subject: SF Planning Commission Items #12 and #13 (Oct. 5th) - Public Comment

SF Planning Commission

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org

cc: Maggie.wenger@sfgov.org / Chris.Kern@sfgov.org / board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org

RE: Item # 12 // 2014.2110GPA WESTERN SHORELINE AREA PLAN/LOCAL COASTAL PROGRAM

Item # 13 // JOBS-HOUSING CAPACITY & GROWTH DISCUSSION

SF Planning Commissioners;

As I am unable to attend the Thursday evening meeting, please accept these comments on the two items noted above.

Item#12

A) Regardless of the EIR's focus on the shoreline and erosion, the impacts of transit is a key component to the creation of new public spaces and addressing population growth and amenities and the effects of change in the district and the cumulative effects on the shoreline use. Therefore there is a direct need to look seriously at the

- options on the transit systems, and include this in the EIR discussion on options and alternatives.
- B) We submitted a pdf which did not show up in the documentation shown, which included a serious look at the mileage from the great highway to 19<sup>th</sup> Ave (Stern Grove) and future 19<sup>th</sup> Ave Transit proposals being considered. The current proposal shows a shift of the L-Line across Sloat Blvd. and turning back to the existing route. This is extremely poor envisionment of the transit needs of the growing population. 2800 Sloat Blvd. and the SF Zoo, alongside Lakeshore Malls changes and increased housing density along the sunset western edge is occurring and to lessen impacts would be critical to reduce traffic and population impacts on the shoreline and its erosion.
- C) Providing and inclusion of mass-transit solutions will correctly address growth impacts, and population impacts, by providing a less polluting system of getting to the created proposed areas by the Ocean beach Masterplan. The southern side should consider the adequate linkage back up to Stern Grove and West Portal to provide direct connectivity. It can also consider the co-funding of different agencies for the transit improvement costs.
- D) Please see the attached diagrams and articles that discuss the area changes, and the concerns for not looking NOW at the linkages that can be made to get people to these destinations via mass-transit options for future generations in SF. The discussion on the Southern portion ignores the premise of a GOOD solid mass-transit solution for Sloat for density and population growth and impacts. If we are "retreating" design wise, there should be an effort to plan for adjusted mass-transit routes such as along Sunset Blvd. north to south as an example, maybe out to Daly City along Stonestown SFSU and parkmerced's western edge? Or a better connection and loop in the system to improve and get more people out of cars and not just making "road-diets" along Sloat, when Stern Grove music festival and the lakeshore mall area create higher use and volume issues.

#### Item#13

A) I would like to note that there is a serious issue on the creation of jobs and housing, and that is that many jobs created are not long-term/solid employment of local hires within existing communities at risk of redevelopment and gentrification. Many new developments being proposed will be increased in costs due to Costa-Hawkins and therefore rennovations, or replacement housing will not be "enforceable" as new ownership can negate in court the controls of the city negotiations as state-law. This has been brought up by renter's rights groups as a concern in the agreements on major projects citywide.

B) There is also a direct need to look at transit/infrastructure improvements so that communities where housing is being built will have direct access transit wise to local jobs, and thus will not impact doubly the roadways and mass-transit systems, existing, but will have systems build to adequately get people to their jobs and destinations. An example is the Geneva Harney (BRT vs. LRV) and needed solutions at Balboa Park Station, and connectivity to D7 and D10 through D11. Many of the crosstown commuting can be better planned for if mass-transit options are directly implemented alongside jobs/housing changes. Prop. O will add jobs alongside Brisbane land development and all the way around India Basin to Pier 70. To ensure adequate access and provision of transit infrastructure it is important to provide improvements that link the communities being transformed directly South-East SF to South-West SF for a southern "bridge" of housing to jobs, that reduces auto-trips and useage through new mass-transit systems.

Only in this way can real transformation of job creation, and locals access to long-term employment and job training improve the job-market for communities impacted by redevelopment and transformations occurring.

Thank you for your time in reviewing and including these comments.

Sincerely

Aaron Goodman D11

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Sheyner, Tania (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC) To:

Gerber, Patricia (CPC) Cc:

Subject: FW: SF Chamber Letter in Support of Mission Rock Project

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:25:00 AM

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png image003.png image004.png

10.3.17 Mission Rock Project to Planning Commission.pdf

#### Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Alexander Mitra [mailto:amitra@sfchamber.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 4:50 PM

To: richhillissf@yahoo.com

Cc: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Weld, Fran

Subject: SF Chamber Letter in Support of Mission Rock Project

Dear President Hills,

Please see the attached letter from the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce in support of Mission Rock project.

Thank you,



#### Alex Mitra

Manager, Public Policy San Francisco Chamber of Commerce 235 Montgomery St., Ste. 760, San Francisco, CA 94104 (O) 415-352-8808 • (E) amitra@sfchamber.com





From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC)

Subject:FW: Mission Rock Support LetterDate:Wednesday, October 04, 2017 9:25:25 AMAttachments:Mission Rock support Letter from JB.pdf

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

**From:** Martha Joseph [mailto:martha@jbaforyouth.org]

Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2017 1:53 PM

**To:** Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

**Cc:** richhillissf@gmail.com; Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

Subject: Mission Rock Support Letter

Good afternoon,

Please find attached a letter of support for the Mission Rock project from John Burton Advocates for Youth, signed by Sen. John Burton. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Best,	
Martha	
Martha Lagard	

Martha Joseph
Operations Manager
John Burton Advocates for Youth
235 Montgomery, Suite 1142
San Francisco, CA 94104
martha@ibaforyouth.org

Office: 415-348-0011

Facebook | Website | Twitter

Check out our new website!

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC)

Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:36:09 AM

Attachments: mission rock

Jonas P. Ionin,

**Director of Commission Affairs** 

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Lauren Schwartz [mailto:schwartzbaum@me.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:25 AM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

**Subject:** Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2414

Re: Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock)

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners:

As a Mission Bay Resident and San Francisco Unified School District teacher, I strongly urge you to fully support the Mission Rock mixed-use project.

My wife and I moved to Mission Bay 15 years ago to raise our daughter. We were thrilled to be part of the developing and exciting neighborhood.

I am thrilled about the Mission Rock development as this project brings the missing pieces together to make this a more robust and responsive neighborhood since it includes a central public gathering space, unprecedented amount of on-site local businesses, open spaces that will create that much more of a vibrant community.

Moreover, Mission Rock creates an unprecedented amount of on-site, workforce housing which is desperately needed for my public school teachers colleagues. As you may know, In May of 2016, the San Francisco Chronicle published a story[1] detailing the struggle of many San Francisco public school teachers. Public school teacher salaries are currently unable to keep up with the nation's highest median rents forcing many of our teachers to leave the City.

The Mission Rock land use plan clearly outlines a careful balance of office and housing space that helps generate the resources to create hundreds of affordable housing units, more parks, and local retail. The Housing units are set with teachers in mind by establishing a wide-range of AMI levels that would include the salary range

for San Francisco public school teachers who cannot afford to live in the city they proudly serve.

The waterfront park and urban town square bring needed gathering space similar to many other San Francisco neighborhoods and the neighborhood-serving retail creates space for grocery stores, cleaners, a hardware store, and many other services our community craves. Furthermore, Mission Rock is conveniently located near public transit that serves to complement its pedestrian-centric design, installation of new bike lanes, and the City's goal of reducing the use of single-occupancy vehicles

After an impressive 10 long years of planning and community input, Mission Rock meets multiple and often competing needs of the community and City, and is a worthy example for future developers. With the support of my family, our neighbors, and San Francisco voters I ask you to support the Mission Rock project.

Sincerely,

Lauren Obstbaum Schwartz SFUSD Teacher

[1] San Francisco Chronicle, Knight and Palomino, May 13, 2016. http://projects.sfchronicle.com/2016/teacher-pay/

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Sider, Dan (CPC)
Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC)

Subject: FW: City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code Amendments"

**Date:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:56:29 AM

Attachments: 17.10.04 The Green Cross - Ordinance Comment Ltr.pdf

#### Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

# commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Kevin Reed [mailto:kevinreed@thegreencross.org]

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:38 AM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

Cc: richhillissf@gmail.com; Richards, Dennis (CPC); planning@rodneyfong.com; Johnson, Christine

(CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Melgar, Myrna (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC)

Subject: Re: City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code

Amendments"

Dear Planning Commission,

I am writing today on behalf of The Green Cross, a local medical cannabis dispensary and delivery service located in San Francisco's Excelsior and South of Market districts.

Please find a letter of suggested amendments and concerns regarding City Legislation Proposed 9/26/17 on "Cannabis Regulatory Structure" and "Planning Code Amendments" attached.

We hope your office will take the time to thoroughly review this document and take our suggestions into consideration when finalizing this ordinance.

If you have any questions or concerns for us, please don't hesitate to reach out at anytime. We appreciate your careful consideration moving forward.

Thank you,

--

#### Kevin Reed

Founder & President The Green Cross 4218 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94112

Mobile: 415.846.7671 Office: 415.648.4420 Fax: 415.431.2420

Email: <u>KevinReed@TheGreenCross.org</u>

Web: <u>TheGreenCross.org</u>

Whether you come to us or we come to you, The Green Cross delivers.



Medical Cannabis Delivery 415.648.4420

TheGreenCross.org

THE GREEN CROSS CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication (including any attachments) may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. The sender does not intend to waive any privilege, including the attorney-client privilege, that may attach to this communication. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to intercept, read, print, retain, copy, forward or disseminate this communication or any part of it. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete this communication and all copies.

From: Secretary, Commissions (CPC)

To: Johnson, Christine (CPC); Richards, Dennis (CPC); Koppel, Joel (CPC); Moore, Kathrin (CPC); Melgar, Myrna

(CPC); Rich Hillis; Rodney Fong

Cc: Gerber, Patricia (CPC); Snyder, Mathew (CPC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC)

Subject: FW: Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Date: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:56:33 AM

Attachments: mission rock

Office of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

commissions.secretary@sfgov.org www.sfplanning.org

From: Lauren Schwartz [mailto:schwartzbaum@me.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 04, 2017 10:25 AM

To: Secretary, Commissions (CPC); Ionin, Jonas (CPC)

**Subject:** Letter in Support of Mission Rock

Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2414

Re: Seawall Lot 337 and Pier 48 Mixed-Use Project (AKA Mission Rock)

Dear San Francisco Planning Commissioners:

As a Mission Bay Resident and San Francisco Unified School District teacher, I strongly urge you to fully support the Mission Rock mixed-use project.

My wife and I moved to Mission Bay 15 years ago to raise our daughter. We were thrilled to be part of the developing and exciting neighborhood.

I am thrilled about the Mission Rock development as this project brings the missing pieces together to make this a more robust and responsive neighborhood since it includes a central public gathering space, unprecedented amount of on-site local businesses, open spaces that will create that much more of a vibrant community.

Moreover, Mission Rock creates an unprecedented amount of on-site, workforce housing which is desperately needed for my public school teachers colleagues. As you may know, In May of 2016, the San Francisco Chronicle published a story[1] detailing the struggle of many San Francisco public school teachers. Public school teacher salaries are currently unable to keep up with the nation's highest median rents forcing many of our teachers to leave the City.

The Mission Rock land use plan clearly outlines a careful balance of office and housing space that helps generate the resources to create hundreds of affordable housing units, more parks, and local retail. The Housing units are set with teachers in mind by establishing a wide-range of AMI levels that would include the salary range for San Francisco public school teachers who cannot afford to live in the city they proudly serve.

The waterfront park and urban town square bring needed gathering space similar to many other San Francisco neighborhoods and the neighborhood-serving retail creates space for grocery stores, cleaners, a hardware store, and many other services our community craves. Furthermore, Mission Rock is conveniently located near public transit that serves to complement its pedestrian-centric design, installation of new bike lanes, and the City's goal of reducing the use of single-occupancy vehicles

After an impressive 10 long years of planning and community input, Mission Rock meets multiple and often competing needs of the community and City, and is a worthy example for future developers. With the support of my family, our neighbors, and San Francisco voters I ask you to support the Mission Rock project.

Sincerely,

Lauren Obstbaum Schwartz SFUSD Teacher

[1] San Francisco Chronicle, Knight and Palomino, May 13, 2016. http://projects.sfchronicle.com/2016/teacher-pay/