November 23, 2016

Dear Commissioners:

The San Francisco Planning Department would like to present you with a copy of the recently published 2015 Commerce and Industry Inventory. This publication provides information on the city's economy and land use with data through 2015.

The 2015 Commerce and Industry Inventory is divided into four sections: (1) About the 2015 Commerce & Industry Inventory, (2) Infographic Highlights, (3) and Findings: The SF Economy in 2015 and (4) the Appendix.

The *Findings* section summarizes the main points arising from the Inventory's updated data. The Appendix contains the Inventory's traditional data and description of methodology. The Department gathers data on employment, number and size of businesses, wages, and building activity for the Inventory from various public and private agencies. In the *Appendix*, the data is presented in a consistent format that allows for comparisons and cross-references. The various indicators are extensively described with tables, graphs, and maps.

Should you wish to access the report in PDF format online, it can be found at: http://commissions.sfplanning.org/cpcpackets/2015 Commerce and Industry Inventory FINAL. pdf.

Should you have any questions, comments or suggestions regarding the Commerce and Industry Inventory, please feel free to call Paolo Ikezoe, Planner, at (415) 575-9137 (or email: paolo.ikezoe@sfgov.org).

Sincerely yours,

John Rahaim Director of Planning 1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: **415.558.6409**

Planning Information: 415.558.6377















3 4

SAN FRANCISCO COMMERCE & INDUSTRY INVENTORY

Table of Contents

ABOUT THE 2015 COMMERCE & INDUSTRY INVENTORY	1
INFOGRAPHIC HIGHLIGHTS	4
FINDINGS: THE SAN FRANCISCO ECONOMY IN 2015	14
APPENDIX: DATA 2015	22
1.0 INTRODUCTION	23
1.1 CONTEXT	23
1.2 DATA FORMATS	24
2.0 REGIONAL OVERVIEW	31
3.0 EMPLOYMENT	47
4.0 ESTABLISHMENTS	57
5.0 MONETARY TRANSACTIONS	69
5.1 WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY	69
5.2 TAXABLE SALES AND PERMITS	69
5.3 CITY REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES	70
6.0 BUILDING AND LAND USE	79
6.1 BUILDING	79
6.2 LAND USE	80
7.0 TRANSPORTATION	111
7.1 MODE SPLIT	111
7.2 PARKING ENTITLEMENTS	111
7.3 VEHICLE OCCUPANCY	112
7.4 TRANSIT SERVICE LEVELS	
7.5 TIDF REVENUES	112

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES & FIGURES

Table 1.1	MAJOR INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES	28
Table 1.2	CLASSIFICATION BY LAND USE CATEGORIES AND INDUSTRY GROUPS	29
Table & Figure 2.1.1	BAY AREA POPULATION BY SUB-REGION, 2006–2015	34
Table & Figure 2.1.2	BAY AREA LABOR FORCE BY SUB-REGION, 2006–2015	35
Table & Figure 2.1.3	BAY AREA EMPLOYED RESIDENTS BY SUB-REGION, 2006–2015	36
Table 2.1.4	BAY AREA UNEMPLOYMENT BY SUB-REGION, 2006–2015	37
Figure 2.1.4	BAY AREA UNEMPLOYMENT RATE BY SUB-REGION, 2006–2015	38
Table 2.2.1	BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	39
Table 2.2.2	BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP AND SUB-REGION, 2006–2015 – NUMBER OF JOBS	40
Table 2.2.3	BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP AND SUB-REGION, 2006–2015 – ANNUAL PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION	42
Table 2.2.4	BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP AND SUB-REGION, 2006–2015 – PERCENTAGE CHANGE	44
Table 3.1	SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	49
Figure 3.1.1	SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	49
Figure 3.1.2	SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	49
Table & Figure 3.2.1	OFFICE EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	50
Table & Figure 3.2.2	RETAIL EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	51
Table 3.2.3	PRODUCTION/DISTRIBUTION/REPAIR (PDR) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	52
Figure 3.2.3	PRODUCTION/DISTRIBUTION/REPAIR (PDR) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	53
Table & Figure 3.2.4	CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL (CIE) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	54
Table 3.3	EMPLOYMENT BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	55
Table 4.1	SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	58
Figure 4.1.1a	SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	59
Figure4.1.1b	SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	59
Table & Figure 4.2.1	OFFICE ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	60
Table & Figure 4.2.2	RETAIL ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	61
Table 4.2.3	PDR ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	62
Figure 4.2.3	PDR ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	63
Table 4.2.4	CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL & PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	64
Figure 4.2.4	CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015	65
Table 4.3	ESTABLISHMENTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	66
Table 4.4	ESTABLISHMENTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND SIZE CLASS, 2015	67
Table 5.1.1	TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	71
Figure 5.1.1a	TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	72
Figure 5.1.1b	TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	72
Table & Figure 5.1.2	ANNUAL WAGES PER WORKER BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	73
Table 5.2.1	TAXABLE RETAIL SALES AND ALL OUTLET SALES, 2006–2015	74
Figure 5.2.1	TAXABLE RETAIL SALES AND ALL OUTLET SALES, 2006–2015	75
Table 5.2.2	TAXABLE RETAIL SALES & SALES TAX PERMITS BY TYPE OF OUTLET, 2015	75
Table & Figure 5.3.1	SAN FRANCISCO GOVERNMENT GENERAL REVENUE BY SOURCE, FISCAL YEAR 2015	76
Table & Figure 5.3.2	SAN FRANCISCO GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURES BY FUNCTION, FISCAL YEAR 2015	77
Table 6.1.1.A	ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	82
Table 6.1.1.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	83
Table 6.1.1.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	84
Table 6.1.2.A	BUILDING PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	85
Table 6.1.2.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	86

Table 6.1.2.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	87
Table 6.1.3.A	BUILDING PERMITS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	88
Table 6.1.3.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	89
Table 6.1.3.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015	90
Table 6.2.1.A	ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	91
Table 6.2.1.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	92
Table 6.2.1.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	93
Table 6.2.2.A	BUILDING PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	94
Table 6.2.2.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	95
Table 6.2.2.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	96
Table 6.2.3.A	BUILDING PERMITS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	97
Table 6.2.3.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	98
Table 6.2.3.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015	99
Table 6.3.A	PERMIT APPLICATIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	100
Table 6.3.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	10
Table 6.3.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015	102
Table 6.4.1.A	ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	102
Table 6.4.1.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	103
Table 6.4.1.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	103
Table 6.4.2.A	BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	104
Table 6.4.2.B	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	105
Table 6.4.2.C	AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015	106
Table & Figure 6.5	TOTAL OFFICE SPACE IN CENTRAL AND NON-CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICTS, 2006–2015	106
Table 6.6	LAND USE SQUARE FOOTAGE BY PLAN AREA, 2015	108
Table 7.1	MODE SPLIT FOR COMMUTERS, 2011-2015	112
Table 7.2	PARKING ENTITLEMENTS BY PLANNING COMMISSION, 2011-2015	113
Table 7.3	PRIVATE VEHICLE OCCUPANCY IN SAN FRANCISCO,	114
Table 7.4	TRANSIT RIDERSHIP ON MUNI LINES, 2011 & 2015	114
Table 7.5	TRANSIT IMPACT DEVELOPMENT FEE (TIDF) REVENUE COLLECTED (INFLATION-ADJUSTED), FISCAL YEARS 2006–2015	115

LIST OF APPENDIX MAPS

MAP 1.1	COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICTS SAN FRANCISCO	25
MAP 2.1	BAY AREA COUNTIES AND COMMERCE & INDUSTRY SUB-REGIONS	33
MAP 6.1	SAN FRANCISCO LAND USE	81
MAP 6.2	SELECT AREAS FOR LAND USE TABLES	107

About the 2015 Commerce & Industry Inventory

This is the 21st Commerce & Industry Inventory prepared by the San Francisco Planning Department. It presents findings and data on economic activities in San Francisco from 2006 through 2015, including population, labor force, employment, establishments, wages, retail sales, monetary transactions, building activity, land use, and transportation.

The short-term goal of this annual Inventory is to make local land use-related economic data available to community groups, businesses, and private and public agencies.

The long-term goal is to establish a consistent time series of economic land use-related data and compile background information for the periodic update of the Commerce and Industry Element of the San Francisco General Plan.

The 2015 Commerce & Industry Inventory is organized as follows:

- 1. About the 2015 Commerce & Industry Inventory
- 2. Infographic Highlights
- 3. Findings: San Francisco Economy in 2015
- 4. Appendix: Data 2015

The first three sections summarize key points. The Appendix contains the updated text and data continued from previous Commerce and Industry Inventories.

FORMAT OF THE 2015 COMMERCE & INDUSTRY INVENTORY

The **Infographic Highlights** presents key findings in simple, bold graphics, and is available as a stand-alone document. **Findings: The San Francisco Economy in 2015** summarizes key points for 2015. The **Appendix** contains the full data tables and describes the methodology.

A spreadsheet of the C&I data is also available electronically on the Planning Department's website, along with the document and links to the data on DataSF (https://data.sfgov.org).

The Planning Department welcomes your thoughts and suggestions for improving the *Commerce & Industry Inventory*. You can send them to *paolo.ikezoe@sfgov.org*

THE DATA APPENDIX

The Data Appendix contains seven chapters:

- **1.0 Introduction** explains data formats, economic indicators, variables, industrial classification system.
- 2.0 Regional Overview presents the San Francisco Bay Area's economy in historical and geographical context for four subregions.
- 3.0 Employment presents data for Industry Group, Land Use Category, and Commerce and Industry (C&I) District.
- 4.0 Establishments presents data on the number and distribution of active business establishments by Industry Group, Land Use Category, and Commerce & Industry District, and Establishment Size.
- 5.0 Monetary Transaction includes data on wages, taxable retail sales and sales permits, city government revenues and expenditures.
- 6.0 Building and Land Use presents the number of building permit applications and total construction cost estimated for all permit applications, including those for new construction and demolitions/alterations. Permit application status is reported for the current year only. The square footage of the land use footprint is presented by planning area.
- 7.0 Transportation includes commuter transportation mode, net added parking spaces, private vehicle occupancy rate, transit ridership, and Transit Impact Development Fee (TIDF) revenues.

The rest of this "About" section summarizes information regarding data and method that is useful for understanding the Infographic and the Findings sections. Appendix Chapter 1.0, Introduction, describes data and method in detail.

Data Formats

This Inventory presents economic data in terms of time, type of activity, and geographic distribution. Economic indicators use various metrics, such as employees, establishments, and dollars. This Inventory groups data in three ways: (1) Land Use Category, (2) Commerce and Industry Districts, and (3) Industry Group. By using three specific data formats or groupings of the data,

the Inventory provides a framework for comparisons, cross-references, and cross-tabulations among various economic indicators.

The following section describes these groups as background for reading the **Infographic Highlights**, and the **Findings**. Readers will find a more detailed discussion of the data and tables in **Appendix Chapter 1**, **Introduction**.

Commerce & Industry (C&I) Districts

The Commerce and Industry (C&I) Districts are characterized by predominant economic activities, employment concentration, business density, and other spatial characteristics. *Map 1.1* in the Appendix shows their boundaries. Commerce and Industry Districts reflect the geographic variation in the concentration of land uses, as follows.

- The Financial District includes the city's densest area with the highest concentration of employment and establishments.
- The **Civic Center** has high concentrations of institutional and government activities.
- The Van Ness district encompasses a commercial corridor surrounded by mid- to high-density residential buildings.
- The **Mission** and **North Beach** districts have intense local retail activities and distinct identities for the local and visitor populations.
- The South of Market (SoMa) district contains a unique mix of office, PDR, residential and other uses, and contains a historic building stock adaptable to a range of uses.
- The Bayview district houses a high concentration of Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR) activities and has a relatively low density of population, employment, and establishments.
- The North Central district contains a great proportion of institutional activities, mainly health related, and is a transitional area between downtown and more residential areas to the west.

- The **Southwest** and **Northwest** districts are predominantly residential, with businesses concentrated in mostly local-serving neighborhood commercial districts. Overall business density is low.
- The Unclassified category represents home-based business establishments and organizations without physical addresses, particularly care givers, which do not register a physical address with the state and thus cannot be assigned to specific geographic districts. The Unclassified C&I district also includes San Francisco International Airport, Treasure Island, and Yerba Buena Island.

Land Use Categories

The classification of land use categories used in the C&I, and more broadly by the Planning Department, is unique to San Francisco. It was developed to facilitate the evaluation of economic information – such as employment, establishments, and transactions – related to land use policy development. The classification system matches type of economic activity with a corresponding type of land use, building structure, and land use pattern. The basis of this classification system is San Francisco's business activities and land use pattern. Thus, application of this land use classification system outside of San Francisco would require some adjustments.

The six Land Use categories include (1) Office, (2) Retail, (3) Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR), (4) Hotel, (5) Cultural/Institutional/Educational (CIE), and (6) Residential. The Residential land use category is used only in Appendix Chapter 6 for data on building permits and land use by plan areas. The definitions of each of these categories follows:

 Office activity includes professional services such as administration, legal services, architecture, engineering, real estate, computer services, research and development activities, and government administrative functions. Three types of spaces are considered: primary offices, which mainly include headquarters and large firms; secondary offices, which include small professional offices and services; and walk-in customer facilities such as bank branches.

- Retail activity includes large- and small-scale sales
 and services to walk-in customers, such as department
 stores, galleries, and eating and drinking establishments (restaurants, bars, fast food service, delicatessens, etc.). This category also includes neighborhood
 services and shops such as dry cleaners, and beauty
 shops.
- Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR) activity includes establishments related to processing, movement, and repair of goods and provision of citywide infrastructure. It includes manufacturing, wholesale, construction, transportation (including vehicle maintenance and repair), information, and utilities. Most of these activities take place in buildings with large, open floor plates—structures that can house machinery and equipment. Some of the food manufacturing and printing activities, however, are located in small shops due to the small scale of production, small machinery required, and/or reliance on the retail component of their business.
- Hotel of Visitor activity is defined as a separate Land Use Category because it has a direct relationship to the visitor sector and constitutes a specific type of land use and building structure. It includes any type of lodging such as hotel, motel, or bed and breakfast.
- Cultural/Institutional/Educational (CIE) activities cover the social spectrum of economy by including entertainment and artistic activities as well as health and educational services. This category covers the widest variety of space types from large establishments (hospitals, universities, schools, museums) to small studios or businesses (nightclubs, art studios). These activities are more geographically disparate than the other categories.
- Private Household (Pvt HH), formerly counted under the CIE land use category, is tracked separately beginning in 2009 (because of improved data reporting).
 This land use category involves households located throughout San Francisco that contract for and employ workers on or about their premises in activities primarily concerned with the operation of the household.
 These household employees include cooks, maids, butlers, private caregivers, gardeners, grounds keeper/

caretakers, and other household maintenance workers. Starting in 2014, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE.

Industry Groups

The Inventory uses the *North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)*. The NAICS classifies all business establishments based on similarity of business process used to produce goods or services. NAICS organizes all economic activity into 20 broad sectors to clearly establish and distinguish each industry sector from the other.

For simplicity, this *Commerce & Industry Inventory* uses the following 11 categories based on combining some NAICS industry sectors (see Appendix *Table 1.1*):


- (1) Farm;
- (2) Natural Resources, Mining and Construction;
- (3) Manufacturing;
- (4) Trade, Transportation, Utilities;
- (5) Information;
- (6) Financial Activities;
- (7) Professional and Business Services;
- (8) Educational and Health Services;
- (9) Leisure and Hospitality;
- (10) Other Services; and
- 11) Government.


The Other Services group includes repair and maintenance, personal services, religious and grant making services, and services related to the operation of a private household. The Government group includes all civilian employees of federal, state, and local government, regardless of the activity of employee engagement.


Infographic Highlights 2015 Commerce & Industry Inventory

SUMMARY

2015 Change from 2014 JOBS SEE TABLE 3.1 674,460 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE SEE TABLE 2.1.4 **▼** FROM 3.6% ESTABLISHMENTS SEE TABLE 4.1 58,460 TOTAL WAGES CITYWIDE SEE TABLE 5.1.1 \$65.5B AVERAGE WAGE PER JOB SEE TABLE 5.1.2 BUILDING PERMITS SEE TABLE 6.1.1.A 29,810 CONSTRUCTION SPENDING SEE TABLE 6.1.1.B CITY REVENUE SEE TABLE 5.3.1 \$5.3B CITY EXPENDITURE SEE TABLE 5.3.2








SAN FRANCISCO IN THE REGION

Small area. Large role.



2015 POPULATION SEE TABLE 2.1.1

866,600 7,649,600

San Francisco Regio

110/0 San Francisco's share of regional population.

Record high city and regional population.

POPULATION CHANGE

SEE TABLE 2.1.1

6.9%

2006–2015
[10 YEAR]

6.0%

2.5%

2014–2015
[1 YEAR]

Region

Continued growth, with SF growing faster than region.

2015 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE si		SEE TABLE 2.1.4
3.6%	San Francisco ▼ FROM 2014	
4.3%	Bay Area ▼ FROM 2014	
6.2%	State ▼ FROM 2014	

Lower unemployment rate than region and State.

2015 JOBS SEE TABLES 3.162.2.2

674,500 3,705,000

San Francisco Region

1

18%

San Francisco's share of regional jobs.

Jobs are more heavily concentrated in SF compared to the region.

JOB GROWTH		SEE TABLES 3.1 & 2.2.2
26%	2006-2015 (10 YEAR)	13 %
5% ^	2014-2015 (1 YEAR)	3 %
San Francisco		Region


SF job surge continues to outpace the region.

SHARE OF JOBS BY SECTOR 2015		SEE TABLE 2.2.1	
Employment Sector	San Francisco	Region	
MANUFACTURING	1%	9%	
TRADE, TRANSPORTATION & UTILITIES	11%	16%	
PROFESSIONAL & BUSINESS SERVICES	28%	19%	
LEISURE & HOSPITALITY	14%	11%	

- More professional and visitor services.
- Less manufacturing, trade, transportation and utilities.


EMPLOYMENT IN SAN FRANCISCO

Employment up 5% in the past year, 26% over the decade.



Employment varied over the decade, but has grown steadily since 2010.

SEE TABLE 3.1



Office jobs grew the fastest, both over the last year and past decade.

Employment in all land uses grew over the decade except for Hotel jobs, which declined 10%.

SHARE OF JOBS BY LAND USE		SEI	SEE TABLE 3.1	
Land Use Category	2006	2014	2015	
OFFICE	39%	41%	42%	
RETAIL	18%	18%	18%	
PRODUCTION / DISTRIBUTION / REPAIR (PDR)	15%	13%	13%	
CULTURAL / INSTITUTIONAL / EDUCATIONAL (CIE)	24%	25%	24%	
	4%	3%	3 %	
PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS		1%	1%	

CHANGE IN # OF JOBS		
2014–15	2006–15	
	36%	
4%	25%	
5%	5%	
2%	24%	
4%	-10%	
25%		

ESTABLISHMENTS IN SAN FRANCISCO

Establishments grew 3% from 2014.


TOTAL ESTABLISHMENTS (2015)


SEE TABLE 4.1

58,460

^2.5%

Change from 2014






LAND USE & BUILDING IN SAN FRANCISCO

Land Area


TOTAL LAND AREA DEVELOPED STREETS & FREEWAYS 29%



Office Space

2015 TOTAL OFFICE SPACE		SEE TABLE 6.5
76.2 M ^{Sq. ft.}	1%	4 9%
	Change from 2014	Change from 2006

6.3 million square feet of office space added over the last ten years.



Roughly 2/3rds of the city's office space is in the Central Business District.

Building Permits

2015 BUILDING PERMITS

SEE TABLE 6.1.1.A

Active building Acti

Change from 2014 Change from 2006

2015 BUILDING PERMIT STATUS SEE TABLE 6.4.1.A


1% Approved
31% Issued

BY CONSTRUCTION COST


SEE TABLE 6.1.1.B

\$5.7B

15%
Up \$1.7 billion from 2014



The majority of permits are for Residential and Office projects.



Construction spending is highest for residential and office projects and in the SoMa and Financial C&I districts.

TRANSPORTATION IN SAN FRANCISCO

Transit and walking commutes up.

Car commuting continues to fall.

PRIVATE VEHICLE OCCUPANCY (2009-2015)

1.16

PERSONS PER VEHICLE


1.13

SEE TABLE 7.3

HOW EMPLOYEES IN SAN FRANCISCO COMMUTED TO WORK	

Mode		2010	2015	Change
	DRIVE ALONE	35%	34%	▼
	CARPOOL / VANPOOL	11%	9%	▼
	TRANSIT	38%	40%	A
	WALK		8%	A
	BICYCLE	3%	3 %	A
	WORK AT HOME	5%	4 %	▼
	OTHER	2%	3%	A

SEE TABLE 7.1



DAILY MUNI RIDERSHIP (2010–2015) SEE TABLE 7.4

666,070 678,700

11

2015

Daily MUNI ridership up 2% since 2011.

BU	BUSIEST MUNI LINES SEE TABLE 7.4							
Lin	e	2011 Daily Trips	2015	Change				
38	GEARY	50,300	49,600	•				
N		40,300	47,500	A				
14	MISSION	43,100	45,100	A				

TRANSIT IMPACT DEVELOPMENT FEE REVENUE


\$13.4M


EV 2014_2015

SEE TABLE 7.5

CITY GOVERNMENT

City revenues up 10%. Spending on services up 4%.





Findings: San Francisco Economy in 2015

SUMMARY

Continued growth in jobs and development activity

A quick review of the main data in the *Commerce & Industry Inventory* reveals many positive signs, indicating continued strong economic growth in 2015.

- Employment grew a further 5% over 2014, to 674,500 jobs (34,100 additional jobs). 2015 represents the sixth straight year of job growth in San Francisco, with employment surpassing the two previous years' records as the highest employment in the city's history.
- The unemployment rate fell further, from 4.4% to 3.6%, and is the lowest among all sub-regions of the Bay Area.
- The number of business establishments increased to 58,500 firms, up 3% from 2014.
- Workers' earnings in the San Francisco economy increased to \$65.5 billion, 8% over 2014, with average earnings per job increasing to \$97,000 per worker.
- Building permit applications increased 6% over 2014 levels to 29,800 applications, and the estimated value or spending those projects represent in terms of project cost (not all of which will be spent locally in San Francisco) increased to \$5.7 billion, up 15% from 2014.
- City revenue was \$5.3 billion, up 10% over 2014, while expenditures increased 4% to \$4.7 billion.

SAN FRANCISCO IN THE REGION

City growth outpaces region

In a reversal from historical trends, San Francisco appears to be growing faster - both in population and jobs - than the region as a whole.

San Francisco's population increased 2.5% in the past year, to 866,600 residents. This represents a 6.9% increase over the past decade. In comparison, the regional population of 7.65 million grew slightly slower, increasing 1.9% from 2014-2015 and 6.0% between 2006 and 2015.

Employment in San Francisco grew by 5% in 2015, to a record 674,500 jobs. Over the past decade, this represents a 26% increase in employment in the city. In comparison, regional employment grew 3% in the past year, and 13% between 2006 and 2015, to 3.7 million jobs.


San Francisco's share of regional employment steadily increased between 2006 and 2015, from 16% of all jobs in the region to 18%. However, the *Share of Jobs 2015* table below shows that San Francisco's economic profile differs from the region. It has about the same relative share of employment in the Government and Education & Health sectors as the region, but less in Manufacturing and Trade, Transportation & Utilities. However, the city has a higher proportion of jobs in services (Financial, Professional & Business, and Leisure and Hospitality) than the region as a whole.

San Francisco's declining unemployment rate - 3.6% in 2015 - was lower than the regional rate of 4.3%, the State rate of 6.2%, and the nationwide rate of 5.3%.


Share of Jobs 2015

Employment Sector	San Francisco	Comparison	Region
Manufacturing	1%	Less	9%
Trade, Transport & Utilities	11%	Less	16%
Government	14%	Same	13%
Education & Health	13%	Same	15%
Prof. & Business Services	28%	More	19%
Leisure & Hospitality	14%	More	11%

San Francisco Employment by Land Use Category



JOBS BY LAND USE CATEGORY



EMPLOYMENT

Trends

The composition and changes in employment are an important window on San Francisco's economic vitality. Employment in San Francisco rose and fell over the last 10 years. In 2006 the San Francisco economy was still feeling the effects of the dot.com crash, but grew from 536,000 jobs to 570,800 jobs in 2008 at the height of the speculative financial bubble before the global recession caused a 4% dip to 549,000 jobs in 2009. However, after showing signs of recovery in 2011, employment in the city has grown by around 5% every year starting in 2012, and is now at an all-time high of 674,500 jobs.

As the *San Francisco Employment by Land Use Category* graphs illustrate, jobs in the office, CIE, retail, and PDR land use categories generally followed a pattern similar to total jobs: a peak in 2008, a trough in 2010, and robust growth since then. PDR jobs, however, have not grown since 2010 at the same rapid rate as the other uses, and hotel jobs actually show a longer term decline over the full decade. All sectors saw employment growth in the past year.

Sectoral Composition and Geographic Concentration

The share of employment by land use category, and their relative ranking, has shifted somewhat between 2006 and 2015 (see *Employment by Land Use* table below) with the proportion of office jobs rising (from 39% to 42% of all jobs) as PDR jobs fell from 16% to 13%. While PDR jobs have actually grown over the past decade (by 5%), that growth has been overshadowed by large, +20% increases in office, retail and CIE jobs, and thus they make up a smaller proportion of the San Francisco economy today.

Employment by Land Use

		Sector Shares	Rate of Change		
Land Use Category	2006	2014	2015	2006-15	2014-15
Office	39%	41%	42%	28%	7%
Retail	18%	18%	18%	21%	4%
PDR	16%	13%	13%	5%	5%
Hotel	4%	3%	3%	-11%	4%
CIE	25%	25%	24%	20%	2%
Pvt HH	-	1%	1%	na	20%
TOTAL		640,380		26%	5%

^{1.} CIE employment from 2002-2008 estimated based on the share of private household to total CIE employment in years 2009-2012.

ESTABLISHMENTS

San Francisco had 58,459 establishments in 2015, a 2.5% increase from 2014. Approximately 9% of these, or 5,030 establishments, were private households,² most likely employing less than five workers per establishment. To understand San Francisco establishments better, private household establishments were separated from non-household firms. The 53,430 non-household firms make up 91% of San Francisco establishments in 2015. By land use category, 45% are CIE, 23% are Office land uses, 14% are Retail, 8% are PDR and less than 1% are Hotel.

Private household establishments are located in residences and in areas designated for residential land use. Like home-based businesses, they are a secondary commercial use that supports and does not displace or otherwise change the primary residential use. They can be accommodated in residential land uses and do not require the land use policy attention that commercial uses require.

The 35% increase in total establishments between 2006 and 2015 corresponds with employment growth over the same period. Change by land use type reveals losses in PDR establishments over the period, but substantial gains in office, retail and CIE (21%, 11% and 36%, respectively) establishments.

The vast majority of establishments in San Francisco employ fewer than 50 employees. 70% of non-household establishments in San Francisco employ fewer than 5 workers (see 2015 Non-Private Household Establishments by Size table below), and 26% employ 5-49 workers.

Establishments 2006–2015

	Number			Share	Change	
Land Use	2006	2014	2015	2015	2006-14	2014-14
Office	11,280	13,366	13,595	23%	21%	2%
Retail	7,517	8,189	8,332	14%	11%	2%
PDR	4,847	4,700	4,717	8%	-3%	0%
Hotel	311	311	312	1%	0%	0%
CIE	19,481	26,246	26,469	45%	36%	1%
Subtotal	43,436		53,425		23%	1%
Pvt HH	0	4,240	5,034	9%		19%
TOTAL	43,436	57,052	58,459	100%	35%	2.5%

2015 Non-Private Household Establishments by Size

# of Employees	Number of Firms	Share
0-4	37,193	70%
5-49	14,148	26%
50-99	1,145	2%
100-249	628	1%
250+	315	1%
TOTAL	53,429	100%

^{2.} The Private household establishment and land use category involves households located throughout San Francisco that contract for and employ workers on or about their premises in activities primarily concerned with the operation of the household. These household employees include cooks, house cleaners, butlers, private caregivers, gardeners, grounds keeper/caregivers, and other household maintenance workers.

MONETARY TRANSACTIONS


Wages

Wage and salary workers in San Francisco earned \$65.5B in 2015, up 8% from 2014 and 39% from 2005 (inflation adjusted). Total wages followed the larger trend of the economy, growing when employment grew and dipping during the last recession. Approximately 62% (\$40.7B) of the total is earned by office workers with the remainder earned by workers in the following land use sectors: 16% in CIE, 13% in PDR, 7% in Retail, and 1% in Hotel. The average wage in San Francisco is \$,97,040, with office workers earning the highest average wage (\$145,400), followed by PDR workers (\$101,060). Workers in CIE, Hotel, and Retail earn less than the citywide average, at \$63,170, \$48,070, and \$37,830, respectively, while Private Household workers average a wage of \$55,870.


City Revenues and Expenditures

In Fiscal Year 2015 (July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015), City revenues amounted to \$5.3B, up 10% over 2014. City expenditures were lower than revenues, at \$4.7B, and grew 4% over 2014. Property Taxes were the City's largest revenue source, making up 31% of total revenue, and Public Protection (26% of all expenditures) was the highest City expenditure category.


Total Wages (2015 \$*s*)



City Revenue 2015 (\$5.3 Billion)



City Expenditures 2015 (\$4.7 Billion)



LAND AREA AND BUILDING

Land Area


San Francisco has 46.9 square miles of land area, of which 71% is developed, while the remaining 29% are used for streets or freeways. Residential uses form the majority of San Francisco's land use footprint, occupying 42% of the city's land area, followed by Public and Open Space at 29%, and Commercial uses at 17% (6% PDR, 2% Office, 0.4% Hotel, and 7% CIE). Mixed uses occupy 7% of the area while 5% of land area is vacant.

Building Permit Applications

In 2015, there were 29,810 active building permit applications in San Francisco, an increase of 6% over 2014. Approximately 97% of building permit applications were for demolition or alteration projects (versus for new construction or for signs, etc). The total value of building permit applications in terms of estimated construction cost was \$5.7 billion in 2015, a 15% increase over 2014 (\$5.0B) amd an 85% increase over 2006 (\$3.1B). As shown in *Building Permit Applications by Type 2015* below, permits for new construction, despite making up only 1% of all permits, represented 51% or \$2.9B in value, while permits for demolition and construction, which make up 97% of all permits, represented \$2.8B, or 49%.

Overall, most active permits were for Residential land uses (68%), followed by 17% for Office and 8% for Retail uses. In terms of construction cost, 59% of the building permit applications' cost was for residential projects, while 27% was for Office and 7% for retail uses. This

Developed Land Area (988MSF)




indicates residential permits were more numerous but smaller in scope, while office projects tended to have higher construction costs.

Approximately 60% of active building permit applications completed construction in 2015, with another 32% approved or issued but not yet complete, 1% cancelled, and 8% not yet acted upon, abandoned, reinstated, or appealed (Other). Geographically, the Southwest C&I district saw the highest number of permit applications (30% of the total), followed by the North Central (13%), and Financial (13%) C&I districts, while the C&I districts with the highest concentration of construction costs were the SOMA (30%) and Financial (26%) districts.

Central Business District (CBD) Office Space

Of the 75 million square feet of office space in San Francisco, approximately 66% is in the Central Business District (generally the Financial District north and south of Market Street). Total office space has increased 8% or 5.5 msf in San Francisco since 2006. Office space in the CBD grew by 7%, an increase of 3.2 msf

Building Permit Applications



Building Permit Applications by Type 2015

	All Permits		New Construction Permits		Demolition + Alteration Permits	
Land Use	Number	Value	Number	Value	Number	Value
Office	17%	27%	0.04%	9%	17%	17%
Retail	8%	7%	0.04%	3%	7%	4%
PDR	1%	1%	0.03%	0%	1%	1%
Hotel	1%	3%	0.01%	2%	1%	1%
CIE	2%	2%	0.03%	1%	2%	2%
Residential	68%	59%	0.90%	36%	67%	23%
Other	3%	2%	0.00%	0%	1%	1%
Total	29,811	\$5.7b	311	\$2.9b	28,866	\$2.8b
Share of total	100%	100%	1%	51%	97%	49%

TRANSPORTATION

The *Commerce & Industry Inventory* presents basic data on San Francisco mobility in terms of commute mode split, parking entitlements, vehicle occupancy, transit ridership, and the Transit Impact Development Fee Revenue (TIDF).

Commute Mode Split

Data on mode split from 2010 and 2015 for workers commuting to San Francisco jobs (from within and outside the city) indicate that commuting by car, whether driving alone or in a carpool, has declined in popularity, while transit use continues to grow. In 2015, 33.6% of commute trips were made "driving alone," down 2 points from 35.3% in 2010. Transit's share increased 3 points over that same period, from 37.5% in 2010 to 40.3% in 2015.

Private Vehicle Occupancy

Private vehicle occupancy during commute trips for workers commuting to San Francisco jobs (from within and outside the city) between 2009 and 2015 fell slightly, from 1.16 to 1.13, indicating a decline in the popularity of carpooling.

Daily Transit Ridership

Muni ridership increased 1.9% or by 12,600 daily trips from 666,100 in 2011 to 678,700 in 2015. The 38-Geary lines had the highest ridership, with 49,600 average daily trips, followed by the N-Judah at 47,500 trips, and the 14-Mission lines at 45,100 trips. (see Table 7.4 in the Appendix for details).

Transit Development Impact Fee

The Transit Impact Development Fee (TIDF) revenue was \$13.4 million in FY2015, up from \$12.6 million in FY2014. Annual TIDF revenue can vary widely, as the amount collected is based on the amount of development that occurs. Total revenues collected since FY2005 amount to \$46.5 million.

Commute Mode Split -San Francisco Employees

	2010	2014	2015	2010-2015	2014-2015
Drive Alone	35.3%	33.0%	33.6%	-1.7%	0.6%
Carpool/Vanpool	10.5%	9.3%	8.6%	-1.9%	-0.6%
Transit	37.5%	40.0%	40.3%	2.8%	0.3%
Walk	7.1%	7.7%	7.5%	0.4%	-0.2%
Bicycle	2.6%	3.0%	3.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Work at Home	5.0%	4.8%	4.3%	-0.7%	-0.5%
Other	1.9%	2.2%	2.7%	0.7%	0.4%

Daily Transit Ridership

				2011-2015	
Route Nos.	Route Name	2011	2015	Number	Rate
38, 38L, 38AX, 38BX	Geary	50,342	49,600	-742	-1%
N, Nx	Judah	40,277	47,500	7,223	18%
14, 14L, 14X	Mission	43,110	45,100	1,990	5%
SYSTEMWIDE		666,069	678,700	12,631	1.9%



APPENDIX: Data 2015

1.0 Introduction

This Data Appendix is organized into seven chapters.

- Chapter 1 Introduction defines the data formats, economic indicators and the variables contained within each data set, and two industry classification systems used in the series of Inventories to present the economic data in chapters 2 through 5.
- Chapter 2 Regional Overview presents
 San Francisco's economy in its historical and
 geographical context by reviewing population, labor
 force, employment, and unemployment indicators
 for the San Francisco Bay Area. This information
 is presented by four subregions of the Bay Area:
 North Bay, East Bay, South Bay, and San Francisco.
 Unemployment data for the Bay Area, California, and
 the United States are presented as well.
- Chapter 3 Employment presents information on San Francisco's employment in three predefined data formats, which are: Industry Group, Land Use Category, and Commerce and Industry (C&I) District. These data formats are explained in Chapter 1 – Data Formats.
- Chapter 4 Establishments reports the number of establishments as places where businesses are operated or where service and light industrial operations are performed. The chapter presents data on the number and distribution of active business establish-

ments by Industry Group, Land Use Category, and Commerce & Industry District, and Establishment Size-class.

- Chapter 5 Monetary Transactions measures various aspects of the city's economy in monetary terms. This chapter provides data related to wages, taxable retail sales and sales permits, city government revenues and expenditures. Wage data are presented by Land Use Category. Taxable retail sales and permits data are presented by type of business. City government revenues and expenditures are reported by source and function.
- Chapter 6 Building and Land Use provides information regarding construction activity in San Francisco. It presents the number of building permit applications and the total construction cost related to permit applications. The permit applications by permit status are reported only for the current year. This chapter also contains statistics on land use in San Francisco. The data in this chapter are derived from the San Francisco Department of Building Inspection and are summarized by neighborhood planning area rather than Commerce & Industry district boundaries used in chapters 2 through 5.
- Chapter 7 Transportation describes recent trends in San Francisco's transportation and transit systems.
 This includes analysis of mode split (i.e., what kind of transportation people use to complete their trips), parking availability, vehicle occupancy (i.e., the number of people per private vehicle), transit service, and Transit Impact Development Fee (TIDF) revenues.

1.2 DATA FORMATS

The economic data in the Inventory are presented in terms of time, type of activity, and geographic distribution. The economic indicators are measured by their specific units such as employees, establishments, and dollars. The Inventory provides a framework for comparisons, cross-references, and cross-tabulations among various economic indicators by using three specific data formats or groupings of the data:

- Commerce and Industry Districts,
- Land Use Category, and
- Industry Group.


These data groups are discussed below. To the extent possible, the data in the Inventory, which are gathered from different sources, are aggregated into these three pre-defined formats. Some of the data remain in their original formats because of limited detail in the original data source.

Commerce & Industry Districts

The Commerce and Industry (C&I) Districts are characterized by predominant economic activities, employment concentration, business density, and other spatial characteristics. This classification aggregates small zip code based units into 10 large districts encompassing the entire city of San Francisco. Each district is defined by one or more postal zip codes because a zip code is traditionally the smallest geographical unit for which economic data are available. The district boundaries are as close as possible to census tract boundaries, so that data available from the census can be meaningfully compared to the economic data. The C&I district boundaries are shown on *Map 1.1*.

Commerce and Industry Districts are characterized by a concentration or specialization in one type of Land Use Activity or similar neighborhood commercial activities.

- The Financial District covers the densest area with the highest concentration of employment and establishments.
- The Civic Center is defined by its high concentration of institutional and government activities.
- The Van Ness district runs along a commercial corridor surrounded by mid- to high-density residential buildings.
- The Mission and North Beach districts are characterized by intense local retail activities and have very defined identities for the local and visitor population.
- The South of Market district contains a combination of office and PDR activities, located between the Financial District and Bayview.
- The Bayview district houses a high concentration of Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR) activities and shows a low density in terms of population, employment, and establishments.



Commerce and Industry Districts San Francisco



District Boundaries
Zip Code Boundaries

- The North Central district concentrates a great proportion of institutional activities, mainly health related, and is a transitional area between downtown and the more peripheral residential area to the west.
- The **Southwest** and **Northwest** districts are predominantly residential, with a very low business density.

Unclassified. In addition to the above ten zip code-based districts, there is an **Unclassified category**. This category represents home-based business establishments and organizations, particularly care-givers, that do not register a physical address with the State, and thus cannot be assigned to specific geographic districts. The Unclassified C&I district also includes San Francisco International Airport, Treasure Island, and Yerba Buena Island.

Plan Areas

The Inventory also provides information on geographic areas other than Commerce and Industry Districts. These areas are referred to as Plan Areas and are used exclusively in Chapter 6 (see *Map* 6.2). The neighborhood district boundaries tend to follow more homogenous economic patterns than the zip code-based Commerce and Industry districts.

Land Use Categories

This classification facilitates the evaluation of economic information — such as employment, establishments, and transactions — related to types of land use relevant to land use policy development. It matches the type of economic activity with a corresponding type of use and building structure as well as the prevalent land use pattern. This classification is based only on San Francisco's business activities and land use pattern. Its application outside of the city may require some adjustments.

The six Land Use categories used in this Inventory are: (1) Office, (2) Retail, (3) Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR), (4) Hotel, (5) Cultural/Institutional/Educational (CIE), and (6) Residential. The Residential land use category is addressed only in Chapter 6 in the context of building permits and land use by plan areas. The definitions of each of these categories are as follows:

 Office activity includes professional services such as administration, legal services, architecture, engineer-

- ing, real estate, computer services, research and development activities, and government administrative functions. Three types of spaces are considered: primary offices, which mainly include headquarters and large firms; secondary offices, which include small professional offices and services; and walk-in customer facilities such as banking.
- Retail activity includes large- and small-scale sales and services to walk-in customers, such as department stores, galleries, and eating and drinking establishments (restaurants, bars, fast food service, delicatessens, etc.). This category also includes neighborhood services and shops such as dry cleaners, and beauty shops.
- Production/Distribution/Repair (PDR) activity includes establishments related to processing, movement, and repair of goods and provision of citywide infrastructure. It includes manufacturing, wholesale, construction, transportation (including vehicle maintenance and repair), information, and utilities. Most of these activities take place in buildings with large, open floor plates—structures that can house machinery and equipment. Some of the food manufacturing and printing activities, however, are located in small shops due to the small scale of production, small machinery required, and/or reliance on the retail component of their business.
- Hotel or Visitor activity is defined as a separate Land
 Use Category because it has a direct relationship to
 the visitor sector and constitutes a specific type of land
 use and building structure. It includes any type of
 lodging such as hotel, motel, or bed and breakfast.
- Cultural/Institutional/Educational (CIE) activities
 cover the social spectrum of economy by including
 entertainment and artistic activities as well as health
 and educational services. This category covers the
 widest variety of space types from large establishments (hospitals, universities, schools, museums) to
 small studios or businesses (nightclubs, art studios).
 These activities are more geographically disparate
 than the other categories. They are often specialized
 facilities, many of which are non-profit organizations.
- Private Household (Pvt HH), formerly counted under the CIE land use category, is tracked separately beginning in 2009 (because of improved data reporting).
 This land use category involves households located throughout San Francisco that contract for and employ

workers on or about their premises in activities primarily concerned with the operation of the household. These household employees include cooks, maids, butlers, private caregivers, gardeners, grounds keeper/caretakers, and other household maintenance workers. Starting in 2014, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE.

Industry Groups

This classification aggregates business establishments into Industry groups according to similarity of production process. The *North American Industry Classification System* (NAICS) is the current classification system. It was released in 1997 and last revised in 2007. It replaced the previous *Standard Industry Classification* (SIC) system based on similarity of product produced.

The United States Department of Commerce developed these two systems and the California Employment Development Department (EDD) adopted them. Commerce & Industry Inventories 2002-2011 have a discussion of the relationship between the two classification systems because data from 2000 and earlier used the SIC system and data from 2001 onwards used the NAICS system (see Tables 1.1 and 1.2). Commerce & Industry Inventory 2011 onwards, have an abbreviated discussion of the two classification systems because the 10-year time series uses the NAICS system exclusively.

The NAICS classifies all business establishments based on similarity of process used to produce goods or services. NAICS is a six-digit coding system. Under NAICS, the first two digits of the code are designated as sector to represent general categories of economic activities. The first three digits are designated as sub-sector to represent major categories of economic activities. The first four digits represent industry group, while the five and six digit classifications correspond to specific industries.

NAICS organizes all economic activity into 20 broad sectors (in contrast to the 10 sectors of the earlier SIC classification system). The purpose for this broad categorization is to clearly establish and distinguish each industry sector from another. NAICS also defined 350 new industries, including several new high-tech and services related industries which were not appropriately defined and recognized in the previous SIC system.

For simplicity, this *Commerce & Industry Inventory* uses the following 11 categories based on combining some NAICS' industry sectors (see *Table 1.1*): (1) Farm; (2) Natural Resources, Mining and Construction; (3) Manufacturing; (4) Trade, Transportation, Utilities; (5) Information; (6) Financial Activities; (7) Professional and Business Services; (8) Educational and Health Services; (9) Leisure and Hospitality; (10) Other Services; and (11) Government. The Other Services group includes repair and maintenance, personal services, religious and grant making services, and services related to the operation of a private household. The Government group includes all civilian employees of federal, state, and local government, regardless of the activity in which employees are engaged.

The Inventory also uses Land Use Categories, as discussed above, to organize data for land use policy making purposes. *Table 1.2* illustrates the correspondence between NAICS industrial sectors and San Francisco's Land Use Activity Categories.

Table 1.1 **MAJOR INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION CATEGORIES**

Industry grouping used in the Commerce And Industry Inventory	North Ar	nerican Industry	ı Classification System (NAICS)
	S.N.	Code	Sectors
1. Farm	1	11	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting
2. Natural Resources, Mining and Construction	2	21	Mining
	3	23	Construction
3. Manufacturing	4	31-33	Manufacturing
4. Trade, Transportation, Utilities	5	48-49	Transportation and Warehousing
	6	22	Utilities
	8	42	Wholesale Trade
	9	44-45	Retail Trade
5. Information	7	51	Information
6. Financial Activities	10	52	Finance and Insurance
	11	53	Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
7. Professional and Business Services	12	54	Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services
	13	55	Management of Companies and Enterprises
	14	56	Administrative and Support, Waste Management and Remediation Services
8. Educational and Health Services	15	61	Education Services
30111333	16	62	Health Care and Social Assistance
	17	71	Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
9. Leisure and Hospitality	18	72	Accommodation and Food Services
10. Other Services	19	81	Other Services (except Public Administration)
11. Government	20	92	Public Administration

Note: S.N. = Sector Number
Sources: Executive Office of The President: Office of the Management and Budget; San Francisco Planning Department

Table 1.2 CLASSIFICATION BY LAND USE CATEGORIES AND INDUSTRY GROUPS

	North American Industry Classification Sys	tem (NAICS)
San Francisco Planning Department Land Use Category	Industry Group	NAICS Code
Office	Agriculture	111-115
	-	211-213
	Finance	522-523
5 ander	Insurance	524-525
Examples:	Real Estate	531, 533
Headquarter offices, professional services, branch banks		516
	Office Services	518 519
	Office Services	541
		551
		561
	Public Administration	921-928
Deteil	General Merchandise	452
Retail	Food Stores	445
	Apparel Stores	448
	Eating & Drinking Places	722
Examples:		441, 447
Stores, restaurants, bars, commercial parking lots		442
otoroo, rootaaranto, baro, commorcial pariting roto	Other Retail Stores	443,446,451
		453-454
		532
	Personal & Repair	811-812
Production/Distribution/Repair	Construction	236-238
Froduction/Distribution/Repair		488
(DDD)		485, 487
(PDR)	Transportation and Warehousing	484, 492-493
	Transportation and Warehousing	491
		481, 483
F. complex.		486
Examples:	Utilities	221
Warehouses, factories, workshops showrooms, port, television, telegraph, cable, satellite		562
	Information	515
		517
	Wholesale	423-425
	Food Manufacturing	311-312
	Apparel Manufacturing	313-315
	Printing and Publishing	323, 511
		321-322, 337
	Other Manufacturing	324-325 316, 326-327
	Other Manufacturing	331-333
		334-335
	Repair Services	336
	Transp. Equipment, Building Supplies	339, 444
	Film & Sound Recording	512
Hotel or Visitor	Accommodation	721
Cultural/Institutional/Educational	Art and Recreation	711
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Performing arts, amusement parks	713
(CIE)	Education Services	611
. ,	Health Care	621-623
Examples:	Social Assistance	624
Theaters, museums, hospitals, schools, libraries, churches	Other CIE Services	712 813
Private Households	Private Households (Pvt HH)	814
	Unclassified Establishments	999

Sources: Executive Office of The President: Office of the Management and Budget; San Francisco Planning Department



2.0 Regional Overview

San Francisco has diverse linkages to the region as well as to the state, national, and global economy. This chapter supplies basic demographic and economic information about the San Francisco Bay Area's nine counties. This information gives an understanding of San Francisco's economic base within a regional and historical context.

The nine counties of the San Francisco Bay Area are Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma. Map 2.1 shows the location of these counties, which have been grouped into four sub-regions for analysis in this chapter: North Bay, East Bay, South Bay, and San Francisco. These subregions were initially identified in 1985 on the basis of observed travel patterns of commuters into San Francisco and availability of employment information. Although travel patterns have evolved since the initial observations, these sub-regions have been retained in order to maintain the consistency of the time series data in this chapter. The North Bay includes Marin, Sonoma, Napa, and Solano counties. The East Bay includes Contra Costa and Alameda counties. The South Bay includes Santa Clara and San Mateo counties.

The regional subdivision allows comparisons between San Francisco, North Bay, East Bay, and South Bay areas that represent the labor force base for San Francisco and the region. When reviewing the tables in this chapter, it is important to note that the Bay Area covers 7,041 square miles in total. The North Bay represents 53 percent of that total, the East Bay 21 percent, the South

Bay 25 percent, and San Francisco less than one percent of land area in the region. Population densities in San Francisco are by far the highest in the Bay Area.

This chapter presents two sets of tables and graphs. The first set describes the residents of each subregion regardless of where they work in terms of population, labor force, number of employed residents, and unemployment. These concepts are described below. For context, unemployment data is also provided for California and the United States. The second set describes the workers in each subregion regardless of where they live in terms of regional employment by industry groups and then regional employment by subregion by industry group.

Each economic indicator is reported in absolute numbers, annual percentage distribution, and percentage change over time. Unemployment figures are presented in terms of average annual rates.

• Population is defined as the total number of people who live in a specific area, such as a particular county or city. Population data comes from the California State Department of Finance (DoF). These data are based on the 2010 Census numbers with yearly updates which take into account city/county surveys of building permits, construction activity, and overall housing stock; tallying of administrative records from local, state, and federal agencies including driver's license data, school registration, and birth and death certificate records; and tracking the status of military bases. The DoF population estimates are generally 3% to 5% higher than those of the Census Bureau.

- Labor Force consists of persons who are either working or looking for work, excluding members of the armed forces. Labor force data are based on place of residence. For example, a worker who lives in the East Bay is part of the East Bay labor force, no matter where he or she is employed. Labor force data are obtained from the California Employment Development Department (EDD).
- Employment, as used in this inventory and defined by EDD, includes persons who were either at work or temporarily absent from work due to illness, vacation, strike, or other personal reasons. Employment data are collected by place of work. Someone holding a job in San Francisco is part of the San Francisco employment pool no matter where he or she lives. These data do not include self-employed, unpaid family workers, domestics, volunteers, or persons involved in trade disputes.
- Unemployment is defined as civilians 16 years and older, not at work, who were actively looking for work during the last four weeks, and were available to accept a job or who were waiting to be called back to jobs from which they had been laid off. Civilian unemployment data are based on place of residence. The unemployment data are from EDD, the United States Department of Commerce, and U.S. Census Bureau.

Employment data reported by industry group are based on EDD data. These data are organized by using the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), as discussed in Chapter 1.



Bay Area Counties and Commerce and Industry Sub-Regions



Table & Figure 2.1.1

BAY AREA POPULATION BY SUB-REGION, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of residents in each Bay Area sub-region for the last ten years, as well as the percentage distribution in any given year across the Bay Area and the annual change within each sub-region. Population trends are shown in Figure 2.1.1 below.

Population by Sub-Region (000s)


Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	1,298.5	1,305.3	1,309.5	1,320.7	1,294.0	1,293.8	1,301.2	1,309.8	1,325.1	1,337.8
East Bay	2,568.5	2,594.7	2,617.1	2,647.9	2,577.2	2,597.3	2,623.4	2,660.3	2,697.4	2,751.3
South Bay	2,541.6	2,576.5	2,603.5	2,635.2	2,522.1	2,545.9	2,577.9	2,613.8	2,642.8	2,693.9
San Francisco	8.808	824.5	845.6	856.1	812.1	812.5	825.1	836.6	845.6	866.6
TOTAL	7,217.4	7,301.1	7,375.7	7,459.9	7,205.4	7,249.6	7,327.6	7,420.5	7,510.9	7,649.6

Annual Percentage Distribution

Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	18.0	17.9	17.8	17.7	18.0	17.8	17.8	17.7	17.6	17.5
East Bay	35.6	35.5	35.5	35.5	35.8	35.8	35.8	35.9	35.9	36.0
South Bay	35.2	35.3	35.3	35.3	35.0	35.1	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2
San Francisco	11.2	11.3	11.5	11.5	11.3	11.2	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	0.5	0.3	0.9	-2.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	1.2	1.0
East Bay	1.0	0.9	1.2	-2.7	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.4	2.0
South Bay	1.4	1.0	1.2	-4.3	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.9
San Francisco	1.9	2.6	1.2	-5.1	0.1	1.5	1.4	1.1	2.5
TOTAL	1.2	1.0	1.1	-3.4	0.6	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.8



Notes:

- North Bay: Marin, Napa, Sonoma, and Solano counties
- East Bay: Contra Costa and Alameda counties
- South Bay: Santa Clara and San Mateo counties
- San Francisco: City and County of San Francisco

Source:

US Census, CA Department of Finance, E-1 City / County Population Estimates.

Table & Figure 2.1.2

BAY AREA LABOR FORCE BY SUB-REGION, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of residents in the labor force in each Bay Area sub-region for the last ten years. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year across the Bay Area and the annual change within each sub-region. Labor force trends are shown in Figure 2.1.2 below.

Residents in the Labor Force by Sub-Region (000s)


Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	674.9	684.3	690.4	679.9	668.1	684.6	692.0	698.5	676.3	682.8
East Bay	1,265.2	1,281.5	1,295.7	1,288.6	1,264.5	1,285.0	1,311.7	1,322.0	1,356.9	1,374.7
South Bay	1,204.9	1,234.7	1,264.3	1,252.0	1,238.7	1,276.5	1,305.3	1,326.8	1,426.9	1,460.4
San Francisco	422.5	433.3	450.4	459.8	453.8	462.5	447.6	487.2	532.4	548.0
TOTAL	3,591.7	3,540.6	3,536.0	3,567.5	3,625.1	3,708.6	3,756.6	3,834.5	3,992.5	4,065.9

Annual Percentage Distribution

Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	18.8	19.3	19.5	19.1	18.4	18.5	18.4	18.2	16.9	16.8
East Bay	35.2	36.2	36.6	36.1	34.9	34.6	34.9	34.5	34.0	33.8
South Bay	33.5	34.9	35.8	35.1	34.2	34.4	34.7	34.6	35.7	35.9
San Francisco	11.8	12.2	12.7	12.9	12.5	12.5	11.9	12.7	13.3	13.5
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	1.4	0.9	-1.5	-1.7	2.5		0.9	-3.2	1.0
NOITH Day	1.4	0.9	-1.5	-1.7	2.3	1.1	0.9	-0.2	1.0
East Bay	1.3	1.1	-0.5	-1.9	1.6	2.1	8.0	2.6	1.3
South Bay	2.5	2.4	-1.0	-1.1	3.1	2.3	1.6	7.5	2.3
San Francisco	2.6	3.9	2.1	-1.3	1.9	-3.2	8.8	9.3	2.9
TOTAL	-1.4	-0.1	0.9	1.6	2.3	1.3	2.1	4.1	1.8



Notes:

- North Bay: Marin, Napa, Sonoma, and Solano counties
- East Bay: Contra Costa and Alameda counties
- South Bay: Santa Clara and San Mateo counties
- San Francisco: City and County of San Francisco

Source: CA Employment Development Department, annual averages (not seasonally adjusted).

Table & Figure 2.1.3

BAY AREA EMPLOYED RESIDENTS BY SUB-REGION, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of employed residents in each Bay Area sub-region for the last ten years. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year across the Bay Area and the annual change within each sub-region. Employment trends are shown in Figure 2.1.3 below.

Employed Residents by Sub-Region (000s)


Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	653.2	650.3	614.7	596.8	596.8	617.9	633.1	650.5	636.7	650.1
East Bay	1,220.6	1,215.5	1,153.0	1,124.4	1,124.4	1,151.6	1,193.5	1,224.1	1,275.7	1,308.1
South Bay	1,179.7	1,193.3	1,123.6	1,116.4	1,116.4	1,159.5	1,202.2	1,241.9	1,357.0	1,403.1
San Francisco	414.6	426.7	418.4	410.7	410.7	422.7	442.8	459.3	509.1	528.1
TOTAL	3,335.4	3,359.6	3,414.8	3,248.3	3,248.3	3,351.7	3,471.6	3,575.8	3,778.5	3,889.4

Annual Percentage Distribution

Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	19.6	19.4	18.0	18.4	18.4	18.4	18.2	18.2	16.9	16.7
East Bay	36.6	36.2	33.8	34.6	34.6	34.4	34.4	34.2	33.8	33.6
South Bay	35.4	35.5	32.9	34.4	34.4	34.6	34.6	34.7	35.9	36.1
San Francisco	12.4	12.7	12.3	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.8	12.8	13.5	13.6
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	-0.4	-5.5	-2.9	0.0	3.5	2.5	2.7	-2.1	2.1
East Bay	-0.4	-5.1	-2.5	0.0	2.4	3.6	2.6	4.2	2.5
South Bay	1.2	-5.8	-0.6	0.0	3.9	3.7	3.3	9.3	3.4
San Francisco	2.9	-1.9	-1.8	0.0	2.9	4.8	3.7	10.8	3.7
TOTAL	0.7	1.6	-4.9	0.0	3.2	3.6	3.0	5.7	2.9



Notes:

- North Bay: Marin, Napa, Sonoma, and Solano counties
- East Bay: Contra Costa and Alameda counties
- South Bay: Santa Clara and San Mateo
 Counties
- San Francisco: City and County of San Francisco

Source:

CA Employment Development Department, annual averages (not seasonally adjusted).

Table 2.1.4

BAY AREA UNEMPLOYMENT BY SUB-REGION, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of unemployed residents in each Bay Area sub-region for the last ten years. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year across the Bay Area and the annual change within each sub-region. Finally, this table also includes unemployment rates over the last ten years for each sub-region, as well as for California and the United States to provide context. Unemployment rate trends are shown in Figure 2.1.4 on the following page.

Unemployment I	Unemployment by Sub-Region (000s)										
Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
North Bay	28.2	31.1	39.9	65.3	69.8	66.6	59.0	47.9	39.6	32.7	
East Bay	55.5	60.9	80.1	135.6	140.2	133.4	118.2	97.8	81.2	66.6	
South Bay	51.2	55.0	70.9	128.4	122.2	117.0	103.1	85.0	69.9	57.3	

Еаѕт вау	55.5	60.9	80.1	135.6	140.2	133.4	118.2	97.8	81.2	66.6
South Bay	51.2	55.0	70.9	128.4	122.2	117.0	103.1	85.0	69.9	57.3
San Francisco	17.8	18.7	23.7	41.5	43.2	39.8	34.9	27.9	23.3	19.9
TOTAL	152.7	165.7	214.6	370.8	375.4	356.8	315.2	258.6	214.0	176.5

Annual Percentage Distribution

Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	18.5	18.8	18.6	17.6	18.6	18.7	18.7	18.5	18.5	18.5
East Bay	36.3	36.8	37.3	36.6	37.3	37.4	37.5	37.8	37.9	37.7
South Bay	33.5	33.2	33.0	34.6	32.6	32.8	32.7	32.9	32.7	32.5
San Francisco	11.7	11.3	11.0	11.2	11.5	11.2	11.1	10.8	10.9	11.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	10.3	28.3	63.7	6.9	-4.6	-11.4	-18.8	-17.3	-17.4
East Bay	9.7	31.5	69.3	3.4	-4.9	-11.4	-17.3	-17.0	-18.0
South Bay	7.4	28.9	81.1	-4.8	-4.3	-11.9	-17.6	-17.8	-18.0
San Francisco	5.1	26.7	75.1	4.1	-7.9	-12.3	-20.1	-16.5	-14.6
TOTAL	8.5	29.5	72.8	1.2	-5.0	-11.7	-18.0	-17.2	-17.5


Average Annual Rate

Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	4.2	4.5	5.8	9.6	10.4	9.7	8.5	6.9	5.9	4.8
East Bay	4.4	4.8	6.2	10.5	11.1	10.4	9.0	7.4	6.0	4.8
South Bay	4.2	4.5	5.6	10.3	9.9	9.2	7.9	6.4	4.9	3.9
San Francisco	4.2	4.3	5.3	9.0	9.5	8.6	7.8	5.7	4.4	3.6
Average	4.3	4.6	5.8	10.1	10.4	9.6	8.4	6.7	5.4	4.3

Average Annual Rate

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
California	4.9	5.4	7.2	11.4	12.7	11.7	10.5	8.9	7.5	6.2
United States	4.6	4.6	5.8	9.3	9.6	8.9	8.1	7.4	6.2	5.3

Figure 2.1.4 BAY AREA UNEMPLOYMENT RATE BY SUB-REGION, 2006-2015



- Notes:

 North Bay: Marin, Napa, Sonoma, and Solano counties
 East Bay: Contra Costa and Alameda counties
 South Bay: Santa Clara and San Mateo counties
 San Francisco: City and County of San Francisco

- CA Employment Development Department, annual averages (not seasonally adjusted).
 US Bureau of Labor Statistics; http://www.bls.gov/cps/prev_yrs.htm

Table 2.2.1 BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015

This table presents information about those who work in the Bay Area. It conveys the number of people working in each industry group. The breakdown for each Bay Area sub-region is presented in Tables 2.2.2 - 2.2.4. All tables utilize NAICS industrial categories for the entire period of analysis.

Number of Jobs (000s)

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Farm	20.2	20.6	20.2	20.1	19.0	18.7	18.9	20.0	20.2	20.1
Natural Resources, Mining & Construction	196.0	194.7	179.6	143.7	131.5	110.3	116.1	154.4	163.1	179.1
Manufacturing	352.0	345.0	344.9	313.9	305.4	310.5	312.1	310.9	320.9	327.6
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	564.1	571.3	563.5	518.6	509.2	513.3	527.8	547.5	562.1	575.9
Information	112.5	113.3	114.5	110.7	110.8	117.2	122.4	136.2	148.5	163.8
Financial Activities	213.4	206.1	193.5	179.3	170.4	170.4	175.0	173.9	177.2	179.9
Professional & Business Services	549.8	572.4	588.6	542.6	546.5	560.9	605.8	642.1	682.2	714.9
Educational & Health Services	373.1	380.0	391.4	394.5	409.7	415.0	425.2	520.7	540.2	551.1
Leisure & Hospitality	322.0	329.9	335.7	322.0	323.1	333.1	352.2	374.0	391.4	403.9
Other Services	109.8	111.5	112.5	107.2	108.8	110.3	112.9	117.0	121.5	123.4
Government	473.2	485.8	477.7	472.5	454.5	449.8	447.7	450.2	458.3	465.3
TOTAL	3,286.1	3,330.6	3,322.1	3,125.1	3,088.9	3,109.5	3,216.1	3,446.9	3,585.6	3,705.0

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Farm	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5
Natural Resources, Mining & Construction	6.0	5.8	5.4	4.6	4.3	3.5	3.6	4.5	4.5	4.8
Manufacturing	10.7	10.4	10.4	10.0	9.9	10.0	9.7	9.0	8.9	8.8
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	17.2	17.2	17.0	16.6	16.5	16.5	16.4	15.9	15.7	15.5
Information	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.4
Financial Activities	6.5	6.2	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.0	4.9	4.9
Professional & Business Services	16.7	17.2	17.7	17.4	17.7	18.0	18.8	18.6	19.0	19.3
Educational & Health Services	11.4	11.4	11.8	12.6	13.3	13.3	13.2	15.1	15.1	14.9
Leisure & Hospitality	9.8	9.9	10.1	10.3	10.5	10.7	11.0	10.9	10.9	10.9
Other Services	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3
Government	14.4	14.6	14.4	15.1	14.7	14.5	13.9	13.1	12.8	12.6
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Farm	2.0	-1.9	-0.5	-5.5	-1.6	1.1	5.8	1.0	-0.5
Natural Resources, Mining & Construction	-0.7	-7.8	-20.0	-8.5	-16.1	5.3	33.0	5.6	9.8
Manufacturing	-2.0	0.0	-9.0	-2.7	1.7	0.5	-0.4	3.2	2.1
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	1.3	-1.4	-8.0	-1.8	0.8	2.8	3.7	2.7	2.5
Information	0.7	1.1	-3.3	0.1	5.8	4.4	11.3	9.0	10.3
Financial Activities	-3.4	-6.1	-7.3	-5.0	0.0	2.7	-0.6	1.9	1.5
Professional & Business Services	4.1	2.8	-7.8	0.7	2.6	8.0	6.0	6.2	4.8
Educational & Health Services	1.8	3.0	0.8	3.9	1.3	2.5	22.5	3.7	2.0
Leisure & Hospitality	2.5	1.8	-4.1	0.3	3.1	5.7	6.2	4.7	3.2
Other Services	1.5	0.9	-4.7	1.5	1.4	2.4	3.6	3.8	1.6
Government	2.7	-1.7	-1.1	-3.8	-1.0	-0.5	0.6	1.8	1.5
TOTAL	1.4	-0.3	-5.9	-1.2	0.7	3.4	7.2	4.0	3.3

Source: California Employment Development Department, Industry Employment & Labor Force - by Annual Average; March Benchmark.

Table 2.2.2BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP AND SUB-REGION, 2006–2015 – NUMBER OF JOBS

This table contains the disaggregation of Table 2.2.1 by Bay Area sub-regions.

Total Number of Jobs (000

	0000 (0000)	,								
Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	501.9	503.4	497.8	467.3	459.2	453.8	461.9	497.0	514.2	522.9
East Bay	1,047.8	1,049.5	1,031.1	968.0	949.8	950.6	978.7	1,035.4	1,066.3	1,096.5
South Bay	1,215.5	1,238.2	1,243.8	1,165.5	1,158.2	1,178.6	1,217.6	1,305.9	1,365.6	1,416.6
San Francisco	520.9	539.5	549.4	524.3	521.7	526.5	557.9	608.6	639.5	669.0
Regional Total	3,286.1	3,330.6	3,322.1	3,125.1	3,088.9	3,109.5	3,216.1	3,446.9	3,585.6	3,705.0
Farm										
North Bay	12.7	13.1	12.8	12.9	12.0	12.0	12.4	13.3	13.5	13.3
East Bay	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.2
South Bay	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.4
San Francisco	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Regional Total	20.2	20.6	20.2	20.1	19.0	18.7	18.9	20.0	20.2	20.1
Natural Resource	es. Minina 8	k Construc	tion							
North Bay	41.0	38.5	34.5	26.8	24.6	16.9	17.0	27.7	29.2	31.9
East Bay	74.6	73.6	65.8	54.7	48.7	48.5	50.6	57.3	59.5	63.3
South Bay	62.9	64.3	60.9	46.9	44.1	30.8	34.4	53.7	57.6	65.4
San Francisco	17.5	18.3	18.4	15.3	14.1	14.1	14.8	15.7	16.8	18.5
Regional Total	196.0	194.7	179.6	143.7	131.5	110.3	116.8	154.4	163.1	179.1
Manufacturing										
North Bay	46.6	45.8	45.5	42.0	41.3	41.8	42.9	44.1	47.0	45.7
East Bay	96.9	93.7	93.3	82.5	78.6	79.1	80.3	78.6	81.9	86.7
South Bay	197.4	194.4	195.3	180.1	176.8	181.0	179.6	179.0	182.0	184.9
San Francisco	11.1	11.1	10.8	9.3	8.7	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6
Regional Total	352.0	345.0	344.9	313.9	305.4	310.5	311.4	310.3	319.5	325.9
riogional rotal	552.6	0.0.0	00	0.0.0		0.0.0		0.0.0	0.0.0	020.0
Trade, Transport	ation & Utili	ties								
North Bay	90.6	91.3	89.4	82.3	82.2	83.4	85.4	87.8	90.6	92.0
East Bay	195.4	198.1	195.3	179.0	173.8	174.0	179.0	185.4	191.2	198.9
South Bay	208.4	212.7	210.9	193.7	191.2	193.5	198.4	204.9	208.7	210.1
San Francisco	69.7	69.2	67.9	63.6	62.0	62.4	65.0	69.4	71.6	74.9
Regional Total	564.1	571.3	563.5	518.6	509.2	513.3	527.8	547.5	562.1	575.9
- 3	20									3. 5.0
Information										
North Bay	7.7	7.7	7.4	7.0	6.6	6.8	7.1	7.2	7.0	6.9
East Bay	29.8	29.4	27.8	25.1	23.8	22.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	22.4
South Bay	56.9	56.8	60.2	59.1	61.2	66.8	69.6	82.2	92.5	102.8
San Francisco	18.1	19.4	19.1	19.5	19.2	20.9	23.7	25.3	27.9	31.7
Regional Total	112.5	113.3	114.5	110.7	110.8	117.2	122.4	136.2	148.5	163.8

CONTINUED >

Financial Activitie	S									
Sub-Region	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
North Bay	28.0	26.5	24.3	22.8	21.4	22.1	21.8	22.1	21.5	21.7
East Bay	69.0	62.3	56.7	52.6	48.4	47.2	48.4	49.5	49.3	49.6
South Bay	58.4	58.3	54.8	50.5	49.0	50.8	53.3	53.4	55.5	56.6
San Francisco	58.0	59.0	57.7	53.4	51.6	50.3	51.5	48.9	50.9	52.0
Regional Total	213.4	206.1	193.5	179.3	170.4	170.4	175.0	173.9	177.2	179.9
Professional & Bu	siness Serv	vices								
North Bay	58.8	60.2	59.9	53.9	56.7	53.1	51.2	53.9	54.5	56.0
East Bay	155.0	155.5	161.4	148.5	148.1	154.3	165.0	172.3	179.9	182.9
South Bay	225.0	239.4	242.2	221.9	221.7	232.8	250.7	261.2	278.0	291.4
San Francisco	111.0	117.3	125.1	118.3	120.0	120.7	138.9	154.7	169.8	184.6
Regional Total	549.8	572.4	588.6	542.6	546.5	560.9	605.8	642.1	682.2	714.9
Educational & Hea	alth Service	S								
North Bay	63.0	64.2	66.2	66.4	67.4	67.4	70.0	81.7	85.6	87.3
East Bay	121.9	124.7	127.7	130.0	139.7	137.5	141.1	170.9	175.1	178.4
South Bay	132.2	133.6	139.4	140.2	144.0	151.1	153.0	183.1	192.5	199.7
San Francisco	56.0	57.5	58.1	57.9	58.6	59.0	61.1	85.0	87.0	85.7
Regional Total	373.1	380.0	391.4	394.5	409.7	415.0	425.2	520.7	540.2	551.1
Leisure & Hospita	lity									
North Bay	54.9	56.3	57.0	54.6	54.2	56.3	59.8	62.7	66.5	67.3
East Bay	85.7	87.5	89.1	85.2	85.6	87.3	92.0	98.0	103.1	106.3
South Bay	107.4	109.7	111.0	106.5	106.8	110.6	117.7	125.6	131.7	137.0
San Francisco	74.0	76.4	78.6	75.7	76.5	78.9	82.7	87.7	90.1	93.3
Regional Total	322.0	329.9	335.7	322.0	323.1	333.1	352.2	374.0	391.4	403.9
Hegional Total	322.0	029.9	333.7	322.0	020.1	333.1	002.2	374.0	391.4	400.9
Other Services										
North Bay	17.0	17.0	17.4	16.3	16.5	16.3	17.2	17.8	17.9	18.4
East Bay	35.9	36.2	36.0	34.3	34.5	36.0	36.1	37.0	37.7	38.1
South Bay	35.5	36.4	36.8	35.3	36.3	36.1	37.1	38.3	39.9	40.7
San Francisco	21.4	21.9	22.3	21.3	21.5	21.9	22.5	23.9	26.0	26.2
Regional Total	109.8	111.5	112.5	107.2	108.8	110.3	112.9	117.0	121.5	123.4
Government										
North Bay	81.6	82.8	83.4	82.3	76.3	77.7	77.1	78.7	80.9	82.4
East Bay	182.1	186.9	176.6	174.6	167.1	162.5	162.8	163.4	166.1	168.7
South Bay	125.7	126.9	126.6	125.9	121.8	120.1	118.9	119.5	122.1	122.6
San Francisco	83.8	89.2	91.1	89.7	89.3	89.5	88.9	88.6	89.2	91.6
Regional Total	473.2	485.8	477.7	472.5	454.5	449.8	447.7	450.2	458.3	465.3

 $\textbf{Source:} \ \textbf{California Employment Development Department, Industry Employment \& Labor Force - by Annual Average.}$

 ${\it Table~2.2.3} \\ {\it BAY~AREA~EMPLOYMENT~BY~INDUSTRY~GROUP~AND~SUB-REGION,~2006-2015-ANNUAL~PERCENTAGE~DISTRIBUTION} \\$

This table contains the percentage distribution across the Bay Area of the industry group data from Table 2.2.2.

North Bay	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1 VOI II Day	15.3	15.1	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.6	14.4	14.4	14.3	14.1
East Bay	31.9	31.5	31.0	31.0	30.7	30.6	30.4	30.0	29.7	29.6
South Bay	37.0	37.2	37.4	37.3	37.5	37.9	37.9	37.9	38.1	38.2
San Francisco	15.9	16.2	16.5	16.8	16.9	16.9	17.3	17.7	17.8	18.1
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
arm										
North Bay	62.9	63.6	63.4	64.2	63.2	64.2	65.6	66.5	66.8	66.2
East Bay	7.4	7.8	6.9	7.5	7.9	8.0	7.4	7.5	6.9	6.0
South Bay	28.2	27.7	28.2	26.9	27.9	26.7	25.9	25.0	25.2	26.9
San Francisco	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Natural Resources,	Mining &	Construct	ion							
North Bay	20.9	19.8	19.2	18.6	18.7	15.3	14.6	17.9	17.9	17.8
East Bay	38.1	37.8	36.6	38.1	37.0	44.0	43.3	37.1	36.5	35.3
South Bay	32.1	33.0	33.9	32.6	33.5	27.9	29.5	34.8	35.3	36.5
San Francisco¹	8.9	9.4	10.2	10.6	10.7	12.8	12.7	10.2	10.3	10.3
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Manufacturing										
North Bay	13.2	13.3	13.2	13.4	13.5	13.5	13.8	14.2	14.7	14.0
East Bay	27.5	27.2	27.1	26.3	25.7	25.5	25.8	25.3	25.6	26.6
South Bay	56.1	56.3	56.6	57.4	57.9	58.3	57.7	57.7	57.0	56.7
San Francisco	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.6
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Trade, Transportati	on & Utiliti	ies								
North Bay	16.1	16.0	15.9	15.9	16.1	16.2	16.2	16.0	16.1	16.0
East Bay	34.6	34.7	34.7	34.5	34.1	33.9	33.9	33.9	34.0	34.5
South Bay	36.9	37.2	37.4	37.4	37.5	37.7	37.6	37.4	37.1	36.5
San Francisco	12.4	12.1	12.0	12.3	12.2	12.2	12.3	12.7	12.7	13.0
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Information										
	6.8	6.8	6.5	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.3	4.7	4.2
North Bay		25.9	24.3	22.7	21.5	19.4	18.0	15.8	14.2	13.7
North Bay East Bay	26.5	25.9 50.1	24.3 52.6	22.7 53.4	21.5 55.2	19.4 57.0	18.0 56.9	15.8 60.4	14.2 62.3	13.7 62.8
North Bay		25.9 50.1 17.1	24.3 52.6 16.7	22.7 53.4 17.6	21.5 55.2 17.3	19.4 57.0 17.8	18.0 56.9 19.4	15.8 60.4 18.6	14.2 62.3 18.8	13.7 62.8 19.4

CONTINUED >

Financial Activitie	s									
Sub-Region	2006	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2014	2015
North Bay	13.1	12.9	12.6	12.7	12.6	13.0	12.5	12.7	12.1	12.1
East Bay	32.3	30.2	29.3	29.3	28.4	27.7	27.7	28.5	27.8	27.6
South Bay	27.4	28.3	28.3	28.2	28.8	29.8	30.5	30.7	31.3	31.5
San Francisco	27.2	28.6	29.8	29.8	30.3	29.5	29.4	28.1	28.7	28.9
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Professional & Bu	ısiness Serv	/ices								
North Bay	10.7	10.5	10.2	9.9	10.4	9.5	8.5	8.4	8.0	7.8
East Bay	28.2	27.2	27.4	27.4	27.1	27.5	27.2	26.8	26.4	25.6
South Bay	40.9	41.8	41.1	40.9	40.6	41.5	41.4	40.7	40.8	40.8
San Francisco	20.2	20.5	21.3	21.8	22.0	21.5	22.9	24.1	24.9	25.8
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Educational & Hea	alth Service	S								
North Bay	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.8	16.5	16.2	16.5	15.7	15.8	15.8
East Bay	32.7	32.8	32.6	33.0	34.1	33.1	33.2	32.8	32.4	32.4
South Bay	35.4	35.2	35.6	35.5	35.1	36.4	36.0	35.2	35.6	36.2
San Francisco	15.0	15.1	14.8	14.7	14.3	14.2	14.4	16.3	16.1	15.6
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Leisure & Hospita	litv									
North Bay	17.0	17.1	17.0	17.0	16.8	16.9	17.0	16.8	17.0	16.7
East Bay	26.6	26.5	26.5	26.5	26.5	26.2	26.1	26.2	26.3	26.3
South Bay	33.4	33.3	33.1	33.1	33.1	33.2	33.4	33.6	33.6	33.9
San Francisco	23.0	23.2	23.4	23.5	23.7	23.7	23.5	23.4	23.0	23.1
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
· ·										
Other Services										
North Bay	15.5	15.2	15.5	15.2	15.2	14.8	15.2	15.2	14.7	14.9
East Bay	32.7	32.5	32.0	32.0	31.7	32.6	32.0	31.6	31.0	30.9
South Bay	32.3	32.6	32.7	32.9	33.4	32.7	32.9	32.7	32.8	33.0
San Francisco	19.5	19.6	19.8	19.9	19.8	19.9	19.9	20.4	21.4	21.2
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Government										
North Bay	17.2	17.0	17.5	17.4	16.8	17.3	17.2	17.5	17.7	17.7
East Bay	38.5	38.5	37.0	37.0	36.8	36.1	36.4	36.3	36.2	36.3
South Bay	26.6	26.1	26.5	26.6	26.8	26.7	26.6	26.5	26.6	26.3
San Francisco	17.7	18.4	19.1	19.0	19.6	19.9	19.9	19.7	19.5	19.7
Regional Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: California Employment Development Department, Industry Employment & Labor Force - by Annual Average.

Table 2.2.4
BAY AREA EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP AND SUB-REGION, 2006–2015 – PERCENTAGE CHANGE

This table contains the annual percentage change in employment by industry group within each sub-region of the Bay Area.

Total Percentage Cl	nange
----------------------------	-------

•	•								
Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	0.3	-1.1	-6.1	-1.7	-1.2	1.8	7.6	3.5	1.7
East Bay	0.2	-1.8	-6.1	-1.9	0.1	3.0	5.8	3.0	2.8
South Bay	1.9	0.5	-6.3	-0.6	1.8	3.3	7.3	4.6	3.7
San Francisco	3.6	1.8	-4.6	-0.5	0.9	6.0	9.1	5.1	4.6
Regional Total	1.4	-0.3	-5.9	-1.2	0.7	3.4	7.2	4.0	3.3
Farm									
North Bay	3.1	-2.3	0.8	-7.0	0.0	3.3	7.3	1.5	-1.5
East Bay	6.7	-12.5	7.1	0.0	0.0	-6.7	7.1	-6.7	-14.3
South Bay	0.0	0.0	-5.3	-1.9	-5.7	-2.0	2.0	2.0	5.9
San Francisco	-33.3	50.0	0.0	-33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regional Total	2.0	-1.9	-0.5	-5.5	-1.6	1.1	5.8	1.0	-0.5
Natural Resources,	Mining & Co	nstruction							
North Bay	-6.1	-10.4	-22.3	-8.2	-31.3	0.6	62.9	5.4	9.2
East Bay	-1.3	-10.6	-16.9	-11.0	-0.4	4.3	13.2	3.8	6.4
South Bay	2.2	-5.3	-23.0	-6.0	-30.2	11.7	56.1	7.3	13.5
San Francisco	4.6	0.5	-16.8	-7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.1
Regional Total	-0.7	-7.8	-20.0	-8.5	-16.1	5.9	32.2	5.6	9.8
Manufacturing									
North Bay	-1.7	-0.7	-7.7	-1.7	1.2	2.6	2.8	6.6	-2.8
East Bay	-3.3	-0.4	-11.6	-4.7	0.6	1.5	-2.1	4.2	5.9
South Bay	-1.5	0.5	-7.8	-1.8	2.4	-0.8	-0.3	1.7	1.6
San Francisco ¹	0.0	-2.7	-13.9	-6.5	-1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Regional Total	-2.0	0.0	-9.0	-2.7	1.7	0.3	-0.4	3.0	2.0
Trade, Transportati	on & Utilities								
North Bay	0.8	-2.1	-7.9	-0.1	1.5	2.4	2.8	3.2	1.5
East Bay	1.4	-1.4	-8.3	-2.9	0.1	2.9	3.6	3.1	4.0
South Bay	2.1	-0.8	-8.2	-1.3	1.2	2.5	3.3	1.9	0.7
San Francisco	-0.7	-1.9	-6.3	-2.5	0.6	4.2	6.8	3.2	4.6
Regional Total	1.3	-1.4	-8.0	-1.8	0.8	2.8	3.7	2.7	2.5
Information									
North Bay	0.0	-3.9	-5.4	-5.7	3.0	4.4	1.4	-2.8	-1.4
East Bay	-1.3	-5.4	-9.7	-5.2	-4.6	-3.1	-2.3	-1.9	6.2
South Bay	-0.2	6.0	-1.8	3.6	9.2	4.2	18.1	12.5	11.1
San Francisco	7.2	-1.5	2.1	-1.5	8.9	13.4	6.8	10.3	13.6
Regional Total	0.7	1.1	-3.3				11.3		
negional rotal	0.7	1.1	-3.3	0.1	5.8	4.4	11.3	9.0	10.3

CONTINUED >

ь.	เทอเ	2012	\wedge	Ť11/	ities

Sub-Region	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
North Bay	-5.4	-8.3	-6.2	-6.1	3.3	-1.4	1.4	-2.7	0.9
East Bay	-9.7	-9.0	-7.2	-8.0	-2.5	2.5	2.3	-0.4	0.6
South Bay	-0.2	-6.0	-7.8	-3.0	3.7	4.9	0.2	3.9	2.0
San Francisco	1.7	-2.2	-7.5	-3.4	-2.5	2.4	-5.0	4.1	2.2
Regional Total	-3.4	-6.1	-7.3	-5.0	0.0	2.7	-0.6	1.9	1.5
Professional & Bus	iness Service	s							
North Bay	2.4	-0.5	-10.0	5.2	-6.3	-3.6	5.3	1.1	2.8
East Bay	0.3	3.8	-8.0	-0.3	4.2	6.9	4.4	4.4	1.7
South Bay	6.4	1.2	-8.4	-0.1	5.0	7.7	4.2	6.4	4.8
San Francisco	5.7	6.6	-5.4	1.4	0.6	15.1	11.4	9.8	8.7
Regional Total	4.1	2.8	-7.8	0.7	2.6	8.0	6.0	6.2	4.8
Educational & Heal	th Services								
North Bay	1.9	3.1	0.3	1.5	0.0	3.9	16.7	4.8	2.0
East Bay	2.3	2.4	1.8	7.5	-1.6	2.6	21.1	2.5	1.9
South Bay	1.1	4.3	0.6	2.7	4.9	1.3	19.7	5.1	3.7
San Francisco	2.7	1.0	-0.3	1.2	0.7	3.6	39.1	2.4	-1.5
Regional Total	1.8	3.0	0.8	3.9	1.3	2.5	22.5	3.7	2.0
Leisure & Hospitali	ty								
North Bay	2.6	1.2	-4.2	-0.7	3.9	6.2	4.8	6.1	1.2
East Bay	2.1	1.8	-4.4	0.5	2.0	5.4	6.5	5.2	3.1
South Bay	2.1	1.2	-4.1	0.3	3.6	6.4	6.7	4.9	4.0
San Francisco	3.2	2.9	-3.7	1.1	3.1	4.8	6.0	2.7	3.6
Regional Total	2.5	1.8	-4.1	0.3	3.1	5.7	6.2	4.7	3.2
Other Services									
North Bay	0.0	2.4	-6.3	1.2	-1.2	5.5	3.5	0.6	2.8
East Bay	0.8	-0.6	-4.7	0.6	4.3	0.3	2.5	1.9	1.1
South Bay	2.5	1.1	-4.1	2.8	-0.6	2.8	3.2	4.2	2.0
San Francisco	2.3	1.8	-4.5	0.9	1.9	2.7	6.2	8.8	0.8
Regional Total	1.5	0.9	-4.7	1.5	1.4	2.4	3.6	3.8	1.6
Government									
North Bay	4 5	0.7	4.0	7.0	4.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	4.0
East Bay	1.5	0.7	-1.3	-7.3	1.8	-0.8	2.1	2.8	1.9
,	2.6	-5.5	-1.1	-4.3	-2.8	0.2	0.4	1.7	1.6
South Bay	1.0	-0.2	-0.6	-3.3	-1.4	-1.0	0.5 -0.3	2.2	0.4
San Francisco	6.4	2.1	-1.5	-0.4	0.2	-0.7		0.7	2.7
Regional Total	2.7	-1.7	-1.1	-3.8	-1.0	-0.5	0.6	1.8	1.5

Source: California Employment Development Department, Industry Employment & Labor Force - by Annual Average.



3.0 Employment

This chapter provides information about the employment trends in San Francisco from 2006 to 2015. The employment data presented in this chapter are based on the payroll jobs in San Francisco provided by the California Employment Development Department (EDD). The data are reported as annual average based on the quarterly average employment data. It is important to note that these employment data differ from the benchmark data provided by EDD on its web site (http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov). The latter data omit private household employment data (NAICS 814) and are adjusted throughout the year. The NAICS 814 classification counts private households that engage in employing workers on or about the premises in activities primarily concerned with the operation of the household as distinct employment "establishments." These household employees include cooks, maids, butlers, private caregivers, gardeners, grounds keeper/caretakers, and other household maintenance workers.

Employment data are presented by the major economy sectors under each type of land use category. Up until 2000, the C&I organized economic sectors according to the Standard Industrial Classification system (SIC). In 2001, these economic sectors were reorganized according to North American Industry Classification system (NAICS). The NAICS industry classification system is discussed in Section 1.2 – Data Formats. *Tables 1.1* and 1.2 show the NAICS economic sectors.

Employment is defined as number of employees who were either at work or temporarily absent from work due to illness, vacation, strike, or other personal reasons. Employment data are collected by place of work. A person holding a job in San Francisco is part of the San Francisco employment pool regardless of his or her place of residence. A person holding more than one job is counted separately for each job. Moreover, the EDD wage and salary employment data do not include self-employed persons, of which there were approximately 61,000 in 2006 according to the City of San Francisco's Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector. The EDD wage and salary employment data also do not include unpaid family workers, volunteers, or persons involved in trade disputes.

Employment data is reported both for the entire city and the city's ten Commerce and Industry Districts under five Land Use categories - Office, Retail, Production/ Distribution/Repair (PDR), Hotel, and Cultural/ Institutional/Educational (CIE). Beginning in 2009, numbers are also available for Private Households (Pvt HH). For an explanation of Land Use Category and Commerce and Industry District, refer to Section 1.2 – Data Formats.

Employment data reported by land use category will not be consistent with C&I Inventories published before 2001. Public administration jobs have been included in different land use categories in previous C&I Inventories.

This publication includes public administration jobs in the Office land use category for all years; necessary adjustments were made to data from previous years. Public administration jobs were also classified as Office land uses in the 2001 publication. For C&I Inventories 2000 and earlier, public administration jobs were separated from Office, PDR, and CIE land use categories.

Table 3.1 shows employment data by Land Use Category from 2004 to 2014. Tables 3.2.1 through 3.2.4 show employment data by Industry Group within each Land Use Category in San Francisco from 2004 to 2014. Table 3.3 presents San Francisco employment in its geographic context. It shows employment data by Land Use Category in ten Commerce and Industry Districts in San Francisco in 2015. It also shows Private Household employment as its own land use category. This category represents home-based business establishments and organizations, particularly caregivers. These employees were previously classified under the Cultural/Institutional/Educational land use category. In 2012, Treasure Island was added as a new C&I District.

Table 3.1 (next page)

SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table contains the number of jobs in each of the five major non-residential land use categories in San Francisco. Employment for an additional land use category, Private Households (Pvt HH), was been added in 2009. These jobs were previously counted under CIE. Data is presented from 2009-2014 (see Section 1.2 - Data Formats for a description of land use categories and the NAICS economic sectors). Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category. The data include graphs below in Figures 3.1.1 (a snapshot of job distribution in 2015) and 3.1.1 (a look at ten-year trends).

Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- CIE = Cultural, Institutional, or Educational
- Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- *Prior to 2008, Households were counted as part of CIE.
- The 12% decline in CIE employment between 2008-2009 can be attributed to the treatment of Private Households as a separate land use category in 2009.
- From 2003-2006, annual average includes last quarter of previous year, and first three quarters of current year.

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Annual Average Number of Jobs

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	206,271	214,661	221,250	211,885	211,050	218,837	231,908	244,262	260,976	279,911
Retail	98,294	102,253	103,443	98,278	98,139	100,598	106,305	111,754	117,654	122,860
PDR	81,699	84,986	84,710	76,727	72,967	72,466	75,637	78,234	81,519	85,589
Hotel	19,087	19,070	19,527	17,828	17,568	17,795	17,400	18,136	16,646	17,282
CIE	130,645	135,361	141,848	124,831	126,208	129,015	132,851	156,157	157,988	161,801
Pvt HH	-	-	-	19,443	19,819	20,327	22,156	4,113*	5,597	7,015
TOTAL	535,996	556,330	570,778	548,992	545,751	559,038	586,257	612,656	640,380	674,458

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	38.5	38.6	38.8	38.6	38.7	39.1	39.6	39.9	40.8	41.5
Retail	18.3	18.4	18.1	17.9	18.0	18.0	18.1	18.2	18.4	18.2
PDR	15.2	15.3	14.8	14.0	13.4	13.0	12.9	12.8	12.7	12.7
Hotel	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.6
CIE	24.4	24.3	24.9	22.7	23.1	23.1	22.7	25.5	24.7	24.0
Pvt HH	-	-	-	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.8	0.7	0.9	1.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	4.1	3.1	-4.2	-0.4	3.7	6.0	5.3	6.8	7.3
Retail	4.0	1.2	-5.0	-0.1	2.5	5.7	5.1	5.3	4.4
PDR	4.0	-0.3	-9.4	-4.9	-0.7	4.4	3.4	4.2	5.0
Hotel	-0.1	2.4	-8.7	-1.5	1.3	-2.2	4.2	-8.2	3.8
CIE	3.6	4.8	-12.0	1.1	2.2	3.0	17.5	1.2	2.4
Pvt HH	-	-	-	1.9	2.6	9.0	-81.4	36.1	25.3
TOTAL	3.8	2.6	-7.2	3.1	2.4	4.9	4.5	4.5	5.3

Note:

Figure 3.1.1
SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015





Figure 3.1.2
SAN FRANCISCO EMPLOYMENT BY LAND
USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015



[•] Starting in 2013, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE.

Table & Figure 3.2.1

OFFICE EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015

This table presents job trends in the office land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the office land use category. Figure 3.2.1 presents the job trends graphically.

Annual Average Number of Jobs


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Agriculture	241	220	251	330	357	272	184	159	172	166
Finance	34,239	34,975	33,033	30,313	28,742	28,316	29,048	26,736	27,621	28,040
Insurance	11,962	12,249	12,003	10,799	9,796	9,729	9,512	8,306	8,734	9,296
Real Estate	10,316	10,704	10,739	10,146	10,206	10,126	10,554	11,292	11,507	11,695
Office Services	118,328	124,973	128,754	123,917	125,641	135,363	148,682	164,602	180,174	197,553
Public Administration	29,339	31,490	35,458	36,380	36,308	35,032	33,928	33,166	32,768	33,161
TOTAL	204,425	214,611	220,237	211,885	211,050	218,838	231,908	244,261	260,976	279,911

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Agriculture	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Finance	16.7	16.3	15.0	14.3	13.6	12.9	12.5	10.9	10.6	10.0
Insurance	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.1	4.6	4.4	4.1	3.4	3.3	3.3
Real Estate	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.2
Office Services	57.9	58.2	58.5	58.5	59.5	61.9	64.1	67.4	69.0	70.6
Public Administration	14.4	14.7	16.1	17.2	17.2	16.0	14.6	13.6	12.6	11.8
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Agriculture	-8.5	13.7	31.7	8.2	-23.8	-32.4	-13.6	8.2	-3.5
Finance	2.1	-5.6	-8.2	-5.2	-1.5	2.6	-8.0	3.3	1.5
Insurance	2.4	-2.0	-10.0	-9.3	-0.7	-2.2	-12.7	5.2	6.4
Real Estate	3.8	0.3	-5.5	0.6	-0.8	4.2	7.0	1.9	1.6
Office Services	5.6	3.0	-3.8	1.4	7.7	9.8	10.7	9.5	9.6
Public Administration	7.3	12.6	2.6	-0.2	-3.5	-3.2	-2.2	-1.2	1.2
TOTAL	5.0	2.6	-3.8	-0.4	3.7	6.0	5.3	6.8	7.3



Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- For years before 2009, sum are less than in Table 3.1 due to increased data suppression warranted by the smaller industrial scale of analysis.
- Agriculture refers to those working in offices whose line of work is related to agriculture.
- Office Services consists of the following:
 - Management of companies and enterprises
 - Professional, scientific, and technical Services
 - Administrative and support
 - Internet publishing & broadcasting
 - Internet, web search, & data processing services
 - Other information services

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table & Figure 3.2.2

RETAIL EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015

This table presents job trends in the retail land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the retail land use category. Figure 3.2.2 presents the job trends graphically.

Annual Average Number of Jobs


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Merchandise	4,921	5,486	5,337	5,598	5,219	4,846	5,302	5,457	5,696	6,017
Food Stores	7,940	8,382	8,694	8,575	8,727	9,213	9,349	9,695	9,959	10,223
Apparel Stores	9,698	10,313	10,117	8,434	7,815	8,184	8,687	8,957	8,743	8,447
Eating & Drinking Places	44,183	46,260	47,662	46,049	47,483	48,893	52,600	55,312	59,203	62,340
Other Retail Stores	22,247	22,033	21,926	20,486	19,886	20,678	21,070	22,291	23,147	24,601
Personal & Repair Services	9,306	9,780	9,707	9,136	9,009	8,784	9,298	10,041	10,906	11,232
TOTAL	98,294	102,253	103,443	98,278	98,139	100,598	106,306	111,753	117,654	122,860

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Merchandise	5.0	5.4	5.2	5.7	5.3	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.9
Food Stores	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.7	8.9	9.2	8.8	8.7	8.5	8.3
Apparel Stores	9.9	10.1	9.8	8.6	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.0	7.4	6.9
Eating & Drinking Places	44.9	45.2	46.1	46.9	48.4	48.6	49.5	49.5	50.3	50.7
Other Retail Stores	22.6	21.5	21.2	20.8	20.3	20.6	19.8	19.9	19.7	20.0
Personal & Repair Services	9.5	9.6	9.4	9.3	9.2	8.7	8.7	9.0	9.3	9.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
General Merchandise	11.5	-2.7	4.9	-6.8	-7.1	9.4	2.9	4.4	5.6
Food Stores	5.6	3.7	-1.4	1.8	5.6	1.5	3.7	2.7	2.7
Apparel Stores	6.3	-1.9	-16.6	-7.3	4.7	6.1	3.1	-2.4	-3.4
Eating & Drinking Places	4.7	3.0	-3.4	3.1	3.0	7.6	5.2	7.0	5.3
Other Retail Stores	-1.0	-0.5	-6.6	-2.9	4.0	1.9	5.8	3.8	6.3
Personal & Repair Services	5.1	-0.7	-5.9	-1.4	-2.5	5.9	8.0	8.6	3.0
TOTAL	4.0	1.2	-5.0	-0.1	2.5	5.7	5.1	5.3	4.4



Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- Other Retail Stores include:
 - Motor vehicle parts and dealers
 - Electronics and appliance stores
 - Furniture and home furnishings stores
 - Miscellaneous retail stores
 - Rental and leasing services
 - Building material and garden equipment supply dealers
 - Health and personal care stores
 - Gasoline stations
 - Sporting goods, hobby, book, and music stores
 - Non-store retailers

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table 3.2.3 PRODUCTION/DISTRIBUTION/REPAIR (PDR) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015

This table presents job trends in the PDR land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the PDR land use category. Figure 3.2.3 presents the job trends graphically.

Annual Average Number of Jobs	Annual	Averag	e Num	ber (of Jobs
-------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	-------	---------

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Construction	17,397	19,415	19,630	15,813	14,474	13,860	15,011	15,759	17,000	18,669
Transportation	19,204	19,071	17,484	17,044	16,305	16,052	16,135	16,431	16,837	17,726
Utilities	9,541	7,061	7,837	7,936	7,874	8,364	8,479	8,466	8,614	8,854
Information	6,189	8,350	8,359	7,165	6,565	6,531	6,724	7,176	7,221	6,400
Wholesale	11,729	12,274	12,377	10,943	10,499	10,830	12,015	13,317	13,908	14,706
Food Manufacturing	2,052	1,974	2,008	1,920	1,865	1,867	2,063	2,199	2,286	2,658
Apparel Manufacturing	3,172	2,864	2,426	1,847	1,487	1,513	1,536	1,512	1,559	1,334
Printing & Publishing	7,419	7,738	7,379	8,096	7,687	7,114	7,351	6,849	6,722	7,272
Other Manufacturing	4,921	6,050	5,626	5,963	6,211	6,335	6,323	6,525	7,372	7,971
TOTAL	81,625	84,796	83,125	76,727	72,967	72,466	75,637	78,234	81,519	85,590


Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Construction	21.3	22.9	23.6	20.6	19.8	19.1	19.8	20.1	20.9	21.8
Transportation	23.5	22.5	21.0	22.2	22.3	22.2	21.3	21.0	20.7	20.7
Utilities	11.7	8.3	9.4	10.3	10.8	11.5	11.2	10.8	10.6	10.3
Information	7.6	9.8	10.1	9.3	9.0	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.9	7.5
Wholesale	14.4	14.5	14.9	14.3	14.4	14.9	15.9	17.0	17.1	17.2
Food Manufacturing	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.1
Apparel Manufacturing	3.9	3.4	2.9	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.6
Printing & Publishing	9.1	9.1	8.9	10.6	10.5	9.8	9.7	8.8	8.2	8.5
Other Manufacturing	6.0	7.1	6.8	7.8	8.5	8.7	8.4	8.3	9.0	9.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Construction	11.6	1.1	-19.4	-8.5	-4.2	8.3	5.0	7.9	9.8
Transportation	-0.7	-8.3	-2.5	-4.3	-1.6	0.5	1.8	2.5	5.3
Utilities	-26.0	11.0	1.3	-0.8	6.2	1.4	-0.2	1.7	2.8
Information	34.9	0.1	-14.3	-8.4	-0.5	3.0	6.7	0.6	-11.4
Wholesale	4.6	0.8	-11.6	-4.1	3.2	10.9	10.8	4.4	5.7
Food Manufacturing	-3.8	1.7	-4.4	-2.9	0.1	10.5	6.6	4.0	16.3
Apparel Manufacturing	-9.7	-15.3	-23.9	-19.5	1.7	1.5	-1.6	3.1	-14.4
Printing & Publishing	4.3	-4.6	9.7	-5.1	-7.5	3.3	-6.8	-1.9	8.2
Other Manufacturing	22.9	-7.0	6.0	4.2	2.0	-0.2	3.2	13.0	8.1
TOTAL	3.9	-2.0	-7.7	-4.9	-0.7	4.4	3.4	4.2	5.0

Figure 3.2.3 PRODUCTION/DISTRIBUTION/REPAIR (PDR) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015



Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- \bullet Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- Sum is less than in Table 3.1 due to increased data suppression warranted by the smaller industrial scale of analysis.
- Information Establishments include:
 - Broadcasting except internet
 - Telecommunications
- Other Manufacturing includes: - Lumber, furniture & fixtures, paper products
 - Chemicals and petroleum production
 - Rubber, leather, stone/clay/glass/concrete
 - Metal, industrial machinery & equipment
 - Electric and electronic manufacturing
 - Transportation equipment
 - Instruments, miscellaneous
 - Motion picture production & sound recording

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table & Figure 3.2.4

CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL (CIE) EMPLOYMENT BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015

This table presents job trends in the cultural/institutional/educational (CIE) land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the CIE land use category. Figure 3.2.4 presents the job trends graphically.

Annual Average Number of Jobs


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Art & Recreation	9,875	10,645	10,477	10,420	5,491	5,863	5,995	5,388	5,430	5,375
Health Care	36,910	37,530	36,995	37,211	37,999	38,279	39,896	40,575	40,988	42,228
Educational Services	48,539	50,732	52,424	52,022	52,595	53,470	53,996	54,048	54,602	55,951
Social Assistance	9,876	10,138	11,117	10,977	10,690	11,000	11,642	33,860	33,734	34,700
Other CIE Services	25,446	26,316	30,835	14,201	19,433	20,403	21,324	22,286	23,233	23,547
Pvt HH	-	-	-	19,443	19,819	20,327	22,156	4,113	5,597	7,015
TOTAL	130,645	135,361	141,848	144,274	146,027	149,342	155,009	160,270	163,584	168,816

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Art & Recreation	7.6	7.9	7.4	7.2	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.2
Health Care	28.3	27.7	26.1	25.8	26.0	25.6	25.7	25.3	25.1	25.0
Educational Services	37.2	37.5	37.0	36.1	36.0	35.8	34.8	33.7	33.4	33.1
Social Assistance	7.6	7.5	7.8	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.5	21.1	20.6	20.6
Other CIE Services	19.5	19.4	21.7	9.8	13.3	13.7	13.8	13.9	14.2	13.9
Pvt HH	-	-	-	13.5	13.6	13.6	14.3	2.6	3.4	4.2
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Art & Recreation	7.8	-1.6	-0.5	-47.3	6.8	2.3	-10.1	0.8	-1.0
Health Care	1.7	-1.4	0.6	2.1	0.7	4.2	1.7	1.0	3.0
Educational Services	4.5	3.3	-0.8	1.1	1.7	1.0	0.1	1.0	2.5
Social Assistance	2.6	9.7	-1.3	-2.6	2.9	5.8	190.8	-0.4	2.9
Other CIE Services	3.4	17.2	-53.9	36.8	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.2	1.4
Pvt HH	-	-	-	1.9	2.6	9.0	-81.4	36.1	25.3
TOTAL	3.6	4.8	1.7	1.2	2.3	3.8	3.4	2.1	3.2



Notes:

- Other CIE Services include:
 - Museums, historical sites, zoos, and parks
 - Membership associations and organizations
- Private household employment (prior to 2009)
 *Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- Prior to 2009, private households were counted as part of Other CIE Services and constituted the majority of Other CIE Services.
- The 54% decline in "Other CIE Services" employment between 2008-2009 can be attributed to the treatment of Private Households as a separate land use category in 2009.
- Starting in 2014, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE. This explains the 190% jump in Social Assistance jobs from 2012-2014.
- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.

- California Employment Development Department
- · Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table 3.3 EMPLOYMENT BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015

This table presents the geographic distribution of jobs within San Francisco's eleven Commerce & Industry Districts, by land use category (see Section 1.2 – Data Formats for more on these districts). The Treasure Island District was added this year.

Employment by Land Use Category and C&I District

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HH	Total
Bayview	2,567	3,322	12,989	14	2,957	122	21,971
Civic Center	14,111	9,378	2,288	4,792	10,914	184	41,667
Financial	149,798	21,302	22,210	4,992	15,501	1,104	214,907
Mission	5,243	8,101	2,802	26	6,235	448	22,855
North Beach	3,514	8,916	1,497	1,277	5,196	222	20,622
North Central	5,158	12,562	1,503	579	33,142	1,057	54,001
Northwest	4,528	6,830	2,460	62	14,355	678	28,913
South of Market	68,957	27,034	31,582	3,612	36,115	876	168,176
Southwest	7,512	15,303	4,524	113	26,919	1,364	55,735
Van Ness	4,110	7,060	836	993	7,643	369	21,011
Treasure Island	37	28	106	0	794	10	975
Unclassified	14,376	3,024	2,792	822	2,030	581	23,625
TOTAL	279,911	122,860	85,589	17,282	161,801	7,015	674,458

Percentage Distribution by Commerce and Industry District

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HH	Total
Bayview	0.9	2.7	15.2	0.1	1.8	1.7	3.3
Civic Center	5.0	7.6	2.7	27.7	6.7	2.6	6.2
Financial	53.5	17.3	25.9	28.9	9.6	15.7	31.9
Mission	1.9	6.6	3.3	0.2	3.9	6.4	3.4
North Beach	1.3	7.3	1.7	7.4	3.2	3.2	3.1
North Central	1.8	10.2	1.8	3.4	20.5	15.1	8.0
Northwest	1.6	5.6	2.9	0.4	8.9	9.7	4.3
South of Market	24.6	22.0	36.9	20.9	22.3	12.5	24.9
Southwest	2.7	12.5	5.3	0.7	16.6	19.4	8.3
Van Ness	1.5	5.7	1.0	5.7	4.7	5.3	3.1
Treasure Island	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.1
Unclassified	5.1	2.5	3.3	4.8	1.3	8.3	3.5
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HH	Total
Bayview	11.7	15.1	59.1	0.1	13.5	0.6	100.0
Civic Center	33.9	22.5	5.5	11.5	26.2	0.4	100.0
Financial	69.7	9.9	10.3	2.3	7.2	0.5	100.0
Mission	22.9	35.4	12.3	0.1	27.3	2.0	100.0
North Beach	17.0	43.2	7.3	6.2	25.2	1.1	100.0
North Central	9.6	23.3	2.8	1.1	61.4	2.0	100.0
Northwest	15.7	23.6	8.5	0.2	49.6	2.3	100.0
South of Market	41.0	16.1	18.8	2.1	21.5	0.5	100.0
Southwest	13.5	27.5	8.1	0.2	48.3	2.4	100.0
Van Ness	19.6	33.6	4.0	4.7	36.4	1.8	100.0
Treasure Island	3.8	2.9	10.9	0.0	81.4	1.0	100.0
Unclassified	60.9	12.8	11.8	3.5	8.6	2.5	100.0
TOTAL	41.5	18.2	12.7	2.6	24.0	1.0	100.0

Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/ Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/ Educational
- Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- Prior to 2008, Households (NAICS 814) were counted under the CIE land use category; In 2009, they were counted as Other.
- See Map 1.1 for San Francisco
 C & I district boundaries

- California Employment
 Development Department
- Data not publicly available
 Additional calculations by
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department



4.0 Establishments

This chapter provides information about the number and distribution of establishments in San Francisco. This data is gathered from the California Employment Development Department (EDD).

This chapter reports establishment data by the locations of business, production, or operations. A single company or owner may conduct operations or services at multiple locations; each location is included. The data does not include San Francisco businesses that are exempt from paying local business tax. Government agencies and various non-profit organizations are exempt from local business tax. Businesses that fail to file taxes are also not included.

Establishment data is reported both for the entire city and the city's ten Commerce and Industry Districts by five Land Use categories - Office, Retail, Production/ Distribution/Repair (PDR), Hotel, and Cultural/ Institutional/Educational (CIE). Beginning in 2009, establishment data are also reported for Private Households (Pvt HH). This category includes home-based business establishments and organizations, such as cooks, maids, butlers, private caregivers and outside workers, such as gardeners, caretakers, and other maintenance workers. These household establishments were previously counted under the CIE land use category. For an explanation of Land Use Category and Commerce and Industry District, refer to Section 1.2 - Data Formats. In addition, some data are reported for a new Commerce and Industry District, Treasure Island.

Table 4.1 shows establishment data by Land Use Category from 2004 to 2014. Tables 4.2.1 through 4.2.4 show establishment data by Industry Group within each Land Use Category in San Francisco from 2004 to 2014. Tables 4.3 and 4.4 present San Francisco establishments for 2014 in geographic context. Table 4.3 shows the distribution of establishments throughout the city by Land

Use Category type. *Table 4.4* shows the distribution of establishments throughout the city by employer size.

The tables show a number of establishments for whom the geographical location is not known or which are at the San Francisco International Airport. These have been placed under an Unclassified category. Other establishments that have not registered a physical address with the State and thus cannot be classified under a specific geographic district are also included in this category.

Table 4.1

SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

This table contains the number of establishments in each of the five major non-residential land use categories in San Francisco. Data is presented from 2006–2015. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category. The data is also presented graphically below in Figures 4.1.1 (a snapshot of establishment distribution in 2011) and 4.1.2 (a look at ten year trends).

Number of Establishments

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	11,280	11,179	11,292	11,419	11,430	11,511	11,938	12,542	13,366	13,595
Retail	7,517	7,395	7,473	7,496	7,541	7,516	7,666	7,947	8,189	8,332
PDR	4,847	4,839	4,812	4,718	4,614	4,483	4,500	4,596	4,700	4,717
Hotel	311	291	288	292	299	290	297	305	311	312
CIE	19,481	20,235	20,710	4,739	4,794	4,844	4,930	25,597	26,246	26,469
Pvt HH				22,864	24,161	26,607	26,140	4,184	4,240	5,034
TOTAL	43,436	43,939	44,575	51,528	52,839	55,251	55,471	55,171	57,052	58,459

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	26.0	25.4	25.3	22.2	21.6	20.8	21.5	22.7	23.4	23.3
Retail	17.3	16.8	16.8	14.5	14.3	13.6	13.8	14.4	14.4	14.3
PDR	11.2	11.0	10.8	9.2	8.7	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.2	8.1
Hotel	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
CIE	44.8	46.1	46.5	9.2	9.1	8.8	8.9	46.4	46.0	45.3
Pvt HH	-	-	-	55.6	54.3	51.8	47.1	7.6	7.4	8.6
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	-0.9	1.0	1.1	0.1	0.7	3.7	5.1	6.6	1.7
Retail	-1.6	1.1	0.3	0.6	-0.3	2.0	3.7	3.0	1.7
PDR	-0.2	-0.6	-2.0	-2.2	-2.8	0.4	2.1	2.3	0.4
Hotel	-6.4	-1.0	1.4	2.4	-3.0	2.4	2.7	2.0	0.3
CIE	3.9	2.3	-77.1	1.2	1.0	1.8	419.2	2.5	0.8
Pvt HH	-	-	-	0.0	10.1	-1.8	-84.0	1.3	18.7
TOTAL	1.2	1.4	15.6	2.5	4.6	0.4	-0.5	3.4	2.5

Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational
- Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- *The 81% decline in CIE establishments between 2008-2009 can be attributed to the treatment of Private Households as a separate land use category in 2009.
- Prior to 2009, private households were counted as part of CIE.
- Starting in 2014, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE.

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Figure 4.1.1a
SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015




Figure 4.1.1b
SAN FRANCISCO ESTABLISHMENTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015




Table & Figure 4.2.1

OFFICE ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015

This table presents establishment trends in the office land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the office land use category. Figure 4.2.1 presents the establishment trends graphically.

Number of Establishments


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Agriculture	37	36	34	39	43	41	38	40	44	43
Finance	1,464	1,455	1,436	1,434	1,399	1,357	1,353	1,410	1,493	1,504
Insurance	552	559	537	525	498	492	508	445	454	456
Real Estate	1,472	1,443	1,393	1,391	1,412	1,424	1,417	1,506	1,604	1,668
Office Services	7,371	7,297	7,343	7,429	7,456	7,544	7,951	8,454	9,104	9,260
Public Administration	380	384	540	601	622	653	671	688	668	663
TOTAL	11,275	11,173	11,283	11,419	11,430	11,511	11,938	12,543	13,367	13,594

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Agriculture	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Finance	13.0	13.0	12.7	12.6	12.2	11.8	11.3	11.2	11.2	11.1
Insurance	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3	3.5	3.4	3.4
Real Estate	13.1	12.9	12.3	12.2	12.4	12.4	11.9	12.0	12.0	12.3
Office Services	65.4	65.3	65.1	65.1	65.2	65.5	66.6	67.4	68.1	68.1
Public Administration	3.4	3.4	4.8	5.3	5.4	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.0	4.9
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Agriculture	-1.4	-6.2	14.7	10.3	-11.6	-7.3	5.3	10.0	-2.3
Finance	-0.6	-1.3	-0.1	-2.4	-3.3	-0.3	4.2	5.9	0.7
Insurance	1.2	-3.8	-2.3	-5.1	2.0	3.3	-12.4	2.0	0.4
Real Estate	-2.0	-3.4	-0.1	1.5	0.4	-0.5	6.3	6.5	4.0
Office Services	-1.0	0.6	1.2	0.4	6.6	5.4	6.3	7.7	1.7
Public Administration	1.2	40.5	11.4	3.5	7.9	2.8	2.5	-2.9	-0.7
TOTAL	-0.9	1.0	1.2	0.1	4.4	3.7	5.1	6.6	1.7



Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- Office Service consists of the following:
 - Management of companies and enterprises
 Professional, scientific, and technical
 - services
 - Administrative and support
 - Internet publishing & broadcasting
 - Internet, web search, & data processing services
 - Other information services
- Prior to 2009, sums are less than in Table 4.1 due to increased data suppression warranted by the smaller industrial scale of analysis.

- California Employment Development
- Data not publicly available
- · Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table & Figure 4.2.2

RETAIL ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015

This table presents establishment trends in the retail land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the retail land use category. Figure 4.2.2 presents the establishment trends graphically.

Number of Establishments


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Merchandise	69	68	71	72	76	67	62	63	63	70
Food Stores	608	612	594	598	597	597	605	624	644	646
Apparel Stores	661	647	650	630	604	598	605	635	630	597
Eating & Drinking Places	2,858	2,867	2,921	2,972	3,078	3,108	3,189	3,307	3,448	3,588
Other Retail	2,068	1,965	1,995	1,962	1,914	1,900	1,910	1,955	1,971	1,958
Personal & Repair Services	1,255	1,237	1,242	1,262	1,272	1,246	1,296	1,363	1,433	1,472
TOTAL	7,517	7,395	7,473	7,496	7,541	7,516	7,667	7,947	8,189	8,331

Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Merchandise	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Food Stores	8.1	8.3	7.9	8.0	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.8
Apparel Stores	8.8	8.7	8.7	8.4	8.0	8.0	7.9	8.0	7.7	7.2
Eating & Drinking Places	38.0	38.8	39.1	39.6	40.8	41.4	41.6	41.6	42.1	43.1
Other Retail	27.5	26.6	26.7	26.2	25.4	25.3	24.9	24.6	24.1	23.5
Personal & Repair Services	16.7	16.7	16.6	16.8	16.9	16.6	16.9	17.2	17.5	17.7
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
General Merchandise	-1.1	4.8	1.4	5.6	-18.4	-7.5	1.6	0.0	11.1
Food Stores	0.6	-2.9	0.8	-0.2	1.3	1.3	3.1	3.2	0.3
Apparel Stores	-2.2	0.5	-3.1	-4.1	0.2	1.2	5.0	-0.8	-5.2
Eating & Drinking Places	0.3	1.9	1.8	3.6	3.6	2.6	3.7	4.3	4.1
Other Retail	-4.9	1.5	-1.7	-2.4	-0.2	0.5	2.4	0.8	-0.7
Personal & Repair Services	-1.4	0.4	1.6	0.8	1.9	4.0	5.2	5.1	2.7
TOTAL	-1.6	1.1	0.3	0.6	1.7	2.0	3.7	3.0	1.7



Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- Other Retail Stores include:
 - Motor vehicle parts and dealers
 - Electronics and appliance storesFurniture and home furnishings stores
 - Miscellaneous retail stores
 - Rental and leasing services
 - Building material and garden equipment supply dealers
 - Health and personal care stores
 - Gasoline stations
 - Sporting goods, hobby, book, and music stores
 - Non-store retailers

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table 4.2.3

PDR ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015

This table presents establishment trends in the PDR land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the PDR land use category. Figure 4.2.3 presents the establishment trends graphically.

Number of Establishments

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Construction	1,708	1,687	1,676	1,641	1,598	1,538	1,515	1,551	1,610	1,632
Transportation	502	494	503	418	417	398	400	408	429	433
Utilities	25	26	25	22	25	31	36	36	44	48
Information	187	249	249	247	240	230	229	228	218	180
Wholesale	1,293	1,295	1,302	1,253	1,210	1,178	1,196	1,268	1,257	1,236
Food Manufacturing	152	147	143	140	144	146	157	161	170	177
Apparel Manufacturing	179	168	163	155	146	141	133	128	119	113
Printing & Publishing	358	358	343	342	328	324	338	318	343	392
Other Manufacturing	446	416	410	500	506	499	495	498	511	506
TOTAL	4,849	4,839	4,812	4,718	4,614	4,485	4,499	4,596	4,701	4,717


Annual Percentage Distribution

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Construction	35.2	34.9	34.8	34.8	34.6	34.3	33.7	33.7	34.2	34.6
Transportation	10.3	10.2	10.4	8.9	9.0	8.9	8.9	8.9	9.1	9.2
Utilities	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	8.0	0.8	0.9	1.0
Information	3.9	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.6	3.8
Wholesale	26.7	26.8	27.1	26.6	26.2	26.3	26.6	27.6	26.7	26.2
Food Manufacturing	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.8
Apparel Manufacturing	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.4
Printing & Publishing	7.4	7.4	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.5	6.9	7.3	8.3
Other Manufacturing	9.2	8.6	8.5	10.6	11.0	11.1	11.0	10.8	10.9	10.7
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Construction	-1.2	-0.6	-2.1	-2.6	-5.2	-1.5	2.4	3.8	1.4
Transportation	-1.5	1.7	-16.8	-0.2	-4.1	0.5	2.0	5.1	0.9
Utilities	3.0	-2.9	-12.0	13.6	44.0	16.1	0.0	22.2	9.1
Information	33.2	-0.2	-0.6	-2.8	-4.6	-0.4	-0.4	-4.4	-17.4
Wholesale	0.2	0.5	-3.7	-3.4	-1.2	1.5	6.0	-0.9	-1.7
Food Manufacturing	-3.1	-3.2	-1.8	2.9	9.0	7.5	2.5	5.6	4.1
Apparel Manufacturing	-6.3	-3.1	-4.6	-5.8	-8.9	-5.7	-3.8	-7.0	-5.0
Printing & Publishing	0.1	-4.3	-0.3	-4.1	3.0	4.3	-5.9	7.9	14.3
Other Manufacturing	-6.8	-1.3	22.0	1.2	-2.2	-0.8	0.6	2.6	-1.0
TOTAL	-0.2	-0.6	-1.9	-2.2	-2.5	0.3	2.2	2.3	0.3

Figure 4.2.3 PDR ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015



Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
 Information Establishments include:
- Broadcasting except internet
 Telecommunications
- Other Manufacturing includes:
 - Lumber, furniture & fixtures, paper products
 Chemicals and petroleum production

 - Rubber, leather, stone/clay/glass/concrete
 - Instruments, miscellaneous
 - Metal, industrial machinery & equipment
 - Electric and electronic manufacturing
 - Transportation equipment
 - Motion picture production & sound recording

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table 4.2.4

CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL (CIE) AND PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD (Pvt HH) ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006–2015

This table presents establishment trends in the cultural/institutional/educational (CIE) and in the private household (Pvt HH) land use categories. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within the CIE land use category. Figure 4.2.4 presents the establishment trends graphically.

Number of Establishments

Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Arts & Recreation	424	424	416	416	246	249	253	265	287	291
Health Care	2,086	2,075	2,055	2,071	2,111	2,113	2,120	2,152	2,238	2,259
Educational Services	699	694	697	703	705	714	726	906	934	934
Social Assistance*	574	590	600	623	628	655	677	21,082	21,523	21,706
Other CIE Services*	16,389	16,684	21,122	926	1,104	1,112	1,154	1,192	1,264	1,279
Pvt HH*	-	-	-	22,864	24,161	26,607	26,140	4,183	4,239	5,034
TOTAL	20,172	20,466	24,888	27,603	28,955	31,450	31,070	29,780	30,485	31,503

Annual Percentage Distribution


Industry Group	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Arts & Recreation	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
Health Care	10.3	10.1	8.3	7.5	7.3	6.7	6.8	7.2	7.3	7.2
Educational Services	3.5	3.4	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.1	3.0
Social Assistance*	2.8	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.2	70.8	70.6	68.9
Other CIE Services*	81.2	81.5	84.9	3.4	3.8	3.5	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1
Pvt HH*	-	-	-	82.8	83.4	84.6	84.1	14.0	13.9	16.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Industry Group	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Arts & Recreation	-0.1	-1.8	0.0	-40.8	1.2	1.6	4.7	8.3	1.4
Health Care	-0.6	-1.0	0.8	1.9	0.1	0.3	1.5	4.0	0.9
Educational Services	-0.7	0.4	0.9	0.3	1.3	1.7	24.8	3.1	0.0
Social Assistance*	2.8	1.6	3.9	0.8	4.3	3.4	3,014.0	2.1	0.9
Other CIE Services*	1.8	26.6	-95.6	19.2	0.7	3.8	3.3	6.0	1.2
Pvt HH*	-	_	_	5.7	10.1	-1.8	-84.0	1.3	18.8
TOTAL	1.5	21.6	10.9	4.9	8.6	-1.2	-4.2	2.4	3.3

^{*} The grouping of subcategories of data has changed periodically between sectors and this accounts for the data discontinuities.

Figure 4.2.4 CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL/EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENTS BY INDUSTRY GROUP, 2006-2015



Notes:

- Other CIE Services include:
 - Museums, historical sites, zoos, and parks
 - Membership associations and organizations
- Private household employment (prior to 2009)
 Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- *The 95.6% decline in Other CIE Services establishments between 2008-2009 can be attributed to the treatment of Private Households as a separate land use category in 2009.

 Starting in 2014, the Bureau of Labor Statistics reclassified In-Home
- Supportive Services (roughly 20,000 jobs) from the Private Household category to CIE. This explains the large jump in Social Assistance establishments from 2012-2014.

- · California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department
- * * The grouping of subcategories of data has changed periodically between sectors and this accounts for the data discontinuities.

Table 4.3 ESTABLISHMENTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015

This table presents the geographic distribution of establishments within San Francisco's eleven Commerce & Industry Districts by land use category (see Section 1.2 – Data Formats for more on these Districts).

Number of Establishments

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HHs	Total
Bayview	182	246	655	4	1,450	100	2,637
Civic Center	859	496	109	66	2,220	120	3,870
Financial	5,319	1,304	750	47	1,984	633	10,037
Mission	510	647	302	7	1,624	316	3,406
North Beach	432	520	136	26	1,720	158	2,992
North Central	972	1,051	243	43	2,661	838	5,808
Northwest	646	606	285	6	2,295	529	4,367
South of Market	2,046	1,274	1,026	43	2,764	493	7,646
Southwest	1,476	1,496	939	20	7,317	1,298	12,546
Van Ness	566	554	106	42	1,810	259	3,337
Treasure Island	8	3	10	0	66	5	92
Unclassified	579	135	156	8	558	285	1,721
TOTAL	13,595	8,332	4,717	312	26,469	5,034	58,459

Percentage Distribution by Commerce and Industry District

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HHs	Total
Bayview	1.3	3.0	13.9	1.3	5.5	2.0	4.5
Civic Center	6.3	6.0	2.3	21.2	8.4	2.4	6.6
Financial	39.1	15.7	15.9	15.1	7.5	12.6	17.2
Mission	3.8	7.8	6.4	2.2	6.1	6.3	5.8
North Beach	3.2	6.2	2.9	8.3	6.5	3.1	5.1
North Central	7.1	12.6	5.2	13.8	10.1	16.6	9.9
Northwest	4.8	7.3	6.0	1.9	8.7	10.5	7.5
South of Market	15.0	15.3	21.8	13.8	10.4	9.8	13.1
Southwest	10.9	18.0	19.9	6.4	27.6	25.8	21.5
Van Ness	4.2	6.6	2.2	13.5	6.8	5.1	5.7
Treasure Island	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2
Unclassified	4.3	1.6	3.3	2.6	2.1	5.7	2.9
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Pvt HHs	Total
Bayview	6.9	9.3	24.8	0.2	55.0	3.8	100.0
Civic Center	22.2	12.8	2.8	1.7	57.4	3.1	100.0
Financial	53.0	13.0	7.5	0.5	19.8	6.3	100.0
Mission	15.0	19.0	8.9	0.2	47.7	9.3	100.0
North Beach	14.4	17.4	4.5	0.9	57.5	5.3	100.0
North Central	16.7	18.1	4.2	0.7	45.8	14.4	100.0
Northwest	14.8	13.9	6.5	0.1	52.6	12.1	100.0
South of Market	26.8	16.7	13.4	0.6	36.1	6.4	100.0
Southwest	11.8	11.9	7.5	0.2	58.3	10.3	100.0
Van Ness	17.0	16.6	3.2	1.3	54.2	7.8	100.0
Treasure Island	8.7	3.3	10.9	0.0	71.7	5.4	100.0
Unclassified	33.6	7.8	9.1	0.5	32.4	16.6	100.0
TOTAL	23.3	14.3	8.1	0.5	45.3	8.6	100.0

Notes:

- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- PDR = Production/Distribution/ Repair; CIE = Cultural/ Institutional/Educational; Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- Prior to 2009, Private households (NAICS 814) were counted under the CIE land use category.
- Tables 4.2.1-4.2.4 provide detailed information of various components of Office, Retail, PDR and CIE respectively.

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table 4.4 ESTABLISHMENTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND SIZE CLASS, 2015

This table presents the geographic distribution of establishments within San Francisco's eleven Commerce & Industry Districts, by the size of the establishment (see Section 1.2 – Data Formats for more on these Districts).

Number of Employees

C&I District	0-4	5-9	10-19	20-49	50-99	100-249	250-499	500-999	1000+	TOTAL
Bayview	1,939	255	219	151	38	29	5	0	1	2,637
Civic Center	2,824	378	284	241	84	37	11	7	4	3,870
Financial	5,478	1,557	1,179	1,005	432	257	82	33	16	10,039
Mission	2,533	381	269	151	52	14	3	3	0	3,406
North Beach	2,319	272	197	134	44	19	5	2	0	2,992
North Central	4,475	565	409	250	70	28	3	2	6	5,808
Northwest	3,490	397	276	136	34	19	11	2	2	4,367
South of Market	4,865	966	797	575	213	141	51	20	17	7,645
Southwest	10,437	995	606	365	96	39	7	2	1	12,548
Van Ness	2,619	315	205	130	39	22	6	0	1	3,337
Treasure Island	71	10	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	92
Unclassified	1,177	206	151	112	43	22	9	2	0	1,722
TOTAL	42,227	6,297	4,599	3,252	1,145	628	194	73	48	58,463

Percentage Distribution by C&I District

C&I District	0-4	5-9	10-19	20-49	50-99	100-249	250-499	500-999	1000+	TOTAL
Bayview	4.6	4.0	4.8	4.6	3.3	4.6	2.6	0.0	2.1	4.5
Civic Center	6.7	6.0	6.2	7.4	7.3	5.9	5.7	9.6	8.3	6.6
Financial	13.0	24.7	25.6	30.9	37.7	40.9	42.3	45.2	33.3	17.2
Mission	6.0	6.1	5.8	4.6	4.5	2.2	1.5	4.1	0.0	5.8
North Beach	5.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.8	3.0	2.6	2.7	0.0	5.1
North Central	10.6	9.0	8.9	7.7	6.1	4.5	1.5	2.7	12.5	9.9
Northwest	8.3	6.3	6.0	4.2	3.0	3.0	5.7	2.7	4.2	7.5
South of Market	11.5	15.3	17.3	17.7	18.6	22.5	26.3	27.4	35.4	13.1
Southwest	24.7	15.8	13.2	11.2	8.4	6.2	3.6	2.7	2.1	21.5
Van Ness	6.2	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.4	3.5	3.1	0.0	2.1	5.7
Treasure Island	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2
Unclassified	2.8	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.5	4.6	2.7	0.0	2.9
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Size Class

C&I District	0-4	5-9	10-19	20-49	50-99	100-249	250-499	500-999	1000+	TOTAL
Bayview	73.5	9.7	8.3	5.7	1.4	1.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
Civic Center	73.0	9.8	7.3	6.2	2.2	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.1	100.0
Financial	54.6	15.5	11.7	10.0	4.3	2.6	0.8	0.3	0.2	100.0
Mission	74.4	11.2	7.9	4.4	1.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	100.0
North Beach	77.5	9.1	6.6	4.5	1.5	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.0	100.0
North Central	77.0	9.7	7.0	4.3	1.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	100.0
Northwest	79.9	9.1	6.3	3.1	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	100.0
South of Market	63.6	12.6	10.4	7.5	2.8	1.8	0.7	0.3	0.2	100.0
Southwest	83.2	7.9	4.8	2.9	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Van Ness	78.5	9.4	6.1	3.9	1.2	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
Treasure Island	77.2	10.9	7.6	2.2	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	100.0
Unclassified	68.4	12.0	8.8	6.5	2.5	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	72.2	10.8	7.9	5.6	2.0	1.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	100.0

Notes:

 Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.

- California Employment
 Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department



5.0 Monetary Transactions

This chapter presents information about trends in monetary transactions that occur in San Francisco. While the Employment and Establishments chapters provide information regarding the quantity and growth of jobs and establishments in San Francisco, this chapter supplies complementary information regarding the city's economic health in monetary terms. The chapter is divided into three sections, each relating to a key source of revenue in the city's economy such as annual wages, retail sales and permits, and government revenues.

Section 5.1 describes total annual wages received by individuals for work in San Francisco from 2006 to 2015, using data supplied by the California Employment Development Department (EDD). Section 5.2 presents the State Board of Equalization's data on San Francisco's taxable retail sales and sales tax permits in 2015. Section 5.3 reports city government revenues and expenditures in fiscal year 2015 (July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015). This data is obtained from the City Controller's office. The tables in each section report each indicator's quantity in specific units and annual percentage distribution.

Nominal or current dollars reported for a specified period of time have been adjusted for inflation to obtain constant dollars. The adjustments have been made to control for inflated monetary values, thus enabling better measurement of an indicator's economic performance over time. The adjustments have been made by dividing nominal or current values by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) provided by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

5.1 WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY

This section describes total annual earnings received by persons for work in San Francisco from 2006 to 2015. Persons who received wages include workers who commute into the city and San Francisco residents employed in the city. The California Employment Development Department (EDD) prepares the measure of total annual wages. It includes each employee's total wages as reported by businesses in their payroll records. The earnings of sole proprietors are not reported in these data. Total annual wages are presented in thousands of dollars, annual percentage distribution, and percentage change.

This section complements Chapter 3, Employment. The employment and wages data in this inventory are derived from the same source, and are organized by Land Use Category. For an explanation of the Land Use Categories and C&I Districts, refer to Section 1.2, Data Formats.

5.2 TAXABLE SALES AND PERMITS

Section 5.2 reports taxable sales in retail stores and the number of sales tax permits issued for retail stores located in San Francisco from 2006–2015. Taxable sales and permits in California are reported by the California State Board of Equalization (SBE). Taxable sales revenues and sales tax permits issued for outlets that are not retail stores, including business and personal services, have not been included. Such outlets include the following: non-store retailers (vending machines, sales by telephone), public utilities, government agencies, educational institutions, health services, and advertising agencies.

Taxable sales are measured by transactions subject to sales and use tax, and are reported quarterly by type of business for all cities and counties in California. Businesses are classified by the SBE according to their principal line of merchandise or service. Taxable sales are reported by type of business, but cannot be broken down by commodity. Those data do not include sales that are not subject to sales or use tax, such as food for home consumption, prescription medicine, sales for resale, and taxable sales disclosed by board audits.

The number of sales tax permits represents the number of businesses operated by all manufacturers, wholesalers, and retailers of tangible personal property, except those dealing in non-taxable commodities. Permits are tabulated semi-annually on January 1 and July 1.

5.3 CITY REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

Section 5.3 presents San Francisco city government revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2012-2014. The fiscal year ends on June 30th. The data in this subsection is from the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, prepared by the San Francisco Controller's Office. This data covers the general fund, special revenue funds, and debt service funds. *Table 5.3.1* describes general governmental revenues by source. *Table 5.3.2* describes general governmental expenditures by major function.

The general fund accounts for resources that are not required to be accounted for in other funds. Special revenue funds are used to account for the proceeds of a specific revenue source, other than expendable trusts or major capital projects, which are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes. Now included in the general fund are two major funds/activities that were previously accounted for in special revenue funds: hotel tax and work order funds. All other previous special revenue funds remain in the new fund structure as special revenue funds. The debt service funds account for the accumulation of property taxes and other revenue for periodic payment of interest and principal on general obligation and lease revenue bonds and related authorized costs. There are no changes in the fund structure of this fund type.

Other city funds not included are capital project funds, proprietary fund types, and fiduciary fund types. Proprietary funds consist of enterprise and internal service funds. Fiduciary funds consist of pension trust, non-expendable trust funds, and expendable trust funds.

Table 5.3.1 general governmental revenues are presented by major source while Table 5.3.2 presents them by government function for fiscal year 2012-2014. Major revenue sources are property taxes; business taxes; other local taxes; licenses, fines and penalties; interest and investment income; rents and concessions; intergovernmental; and charges for services. The major functions of general governmental expenditures include public protection; public works, transportation, and commerce; human welfare and neighborhood development; community health; culture and recreation; general administration and finance; debt service; and capital outlay.

Table 5.1.1 (next page) TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

This table contains the amount of wages paid to workers in each of the five major non-residential land use categories in San Francisco. Data is presented from 2006–2015, (see Section 1.2 - Data Formats for a description of land use categories and discussion of NAICS categories). Also included is the percentage distribution in each year and the annual change within each land use category. The data are also presented graphically below in Figures 5.1.1a (a snapshot of job distribution in 2014) and 5.1.1b (a look at ten-year trends).

Notes:

- Totals from 2006–2015 also include wages from some unclassified land uses.
- Due to rounding, figures may not add to the total shown.
- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational
- Pvt HH = Private Household employment
- Prior to 2009, Private Households were counted under the CIE land use category.

- California Employment Development Department
- Data not publicly available
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco
 Planning Department
- CPI-U for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Metropolitan Statistical Area from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$22,250,471	\$24,711,750	\$25,544,356	\$23,203,283	\$24,028,220	\$26,733,245	\$28,914,202	\$31,429,114	\$36,255,578	\$40,710,069
Retail	\$2,942,479	\$3,210,333	\$3,233,372	\$3,020,532	\$3,139,383	\$3,333,231	\$3,638,752	\$3,915,844	\$4,183,695	\$4,647,516
PDR	\$5,753,421	\$6,340,182	\$6,319,130	\$5,897,953	\$5,868,508	\$6,007,279	\$6,852,515	\$7,137,839	\$7,928,621	\$8,649,602
Hotel	\$671,000	\$704,872	\$764,622	\$695,174	\$691,582	\$736,805	\$740,550	\$803,545	\$778,152	\$830,804
OE	\$6,380,066	\$6,817,418	\$7,325,473	\$7,249,460	\$7,541,484	\$7,858,454	\$8,406,144	\$8,999,560	\$9,462,599	\$10,220,937
P⊄ HH	-	-		\$375,303	\$314,522	\$288,029	\$293,476	\$75,279	\$267,581	\$391,952
TOTAL	\$37,998,504	\$41,805,696	\$43,316,200	\$40,441,705	\$41,667,560	\$45,112,659	\$48,993,364	\$52,480,447	\$58,876,225	\$65,450,879
nflation-Adju	Inflation-Adjusted (2015 \$ 000s)	(\$000								
Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$27,501,668	\$29,575,681	\$29,650,061	\$26,737,313	\$27,313,722	\$29,617,673	\$31,197,175	\$33,167,045	\$37,203,315	\$40,710,069
Retail	\$3,636,916	\$3,842,212	\$3,753,067	\$3,480,581	\$3,568,647	\$3,692,876	\$3,926,056	\$4,132,377	\$4,293,058	\$4,647,516
PDR	\$7,111,251	\$7,588,099	\$7,334,794	\$6,796,254	\$6,670,940	\$6,655,444	\$7,393,568	\$7,532,539	\$8,135,879	\$8,649,602
Hotel	\$829,359	\$843,610	\$887,519	\$801,054	\$786,146	\$816,304	\$799,021	\$847,978	\$798,493	\$830,804
CIE	\$7,885,786	\$8,159,268	\$8,502,885	\$8,353,606	\$8,572,670	\$8,706,355	\$9,069,866	\$9,497,208	\$9,709,955	\$10,220,937
Pvt HH	-	1	1	\$432,464	\$452,854	\$491,512	\$476,038	\$205,302	\$274,576	\$391,952
TOTAL	\$42,452,333	\$45,771,620	\$48,736,200	\$48,875,988	\$45,414,127	\$46,158,378	\$48,706,943	\$51,515,096	\$53,971,608	\$58,876,225
nnual Perce	Annual Percentage Distribution	ıtion								
Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	58.6	59.1	59.1	57.4	57.7	59.3	29.0	6.65	61.6	62.2
Retail	7.7	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.1	7.1
PDR	15.1	15.2	14.6	14.6	14.1	13.3	14.0	13.6	13.5	13.2
Hotel	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.3
CIE	16.8	16.3	17.0	17.9	18.1	17.4	17.2	17.1	16.1	15.6
Pvt HH	•	1	•	6.0	1.0	1.0	6:0	0.4	9:0	9.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percentage Change	hange									
Land Use Category		2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office		7.5	0.3	8.6-	2.2	8.4	5.3	6.3	12.2	9.4
Retail		5.6	-2.3	-7.3	2.5	3.5	6.3	5.3	3.9	8.3
PDR		6.7	-3.3	-7.3	-1.8	-0.2	11.1	1.9	8.0	6.3
Hotel		1.7	5.2	-9.7	-1.9	3.8	-2.1	6.1	-5.8	4.0
CIE		3.5	4.2	-1.8	2.6	1.6	4.2	4.7	2.2	5.3
Pvt HH			1	1	4.7	8.5	-3.1	-56.9	33.7	42.7
TOTAL		6.5	0.2	-7.0	1.6	5.5	5.8	4.8	9.1	8.3

Figure 5.1.1a
TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015




Figure 5.1.1b
TOTAL ANNUAL WAGES BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015




Table & Figure 5.1.2

ANNUAL WAGES PER WORKER BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015


This table conveys the change in pay per worker. It is based on the wages (Table 5.1.1) and employment data (Table 3.1) previously presented in this document. This information is shown graphically in Figure 5.1.2.

Wages per Worker (2015 \$)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$133,328	\$137,779	\$134,012	\$126,188	\$129,418	\$135,341	\$134,524	\$135,785	\$142,555	\$145,439
Retail	\$37,000	\$37,576	\$36,281	\$35,416	\$36,363	\$36,709	\$36,932	\$36,977	\$36,489	\$37,828
PDR	\$87,042	\$89,287	\$86,587	\$88,577	\$91,424	\$91,842	\$97,751	\$96,282	\$99,803	\$101,060
Hotel	\$43,451	\$44,237	\$45,451	\$44,932	\$44,749	\$45,873	\$45,921	\$46,757	\$47,969	\$48,073
CIE	\$60,360	\$60,278	\$59,944	\$66,919	\$67,925	\$67,483	\$68,271	\$60,818	\$61,460	\$63,170
Pvt HH	-	-	-	\$22,243	\$22,850	\$24,180	\$21,486	\$49,915	\$49,058	\$55,873
Average	\$87,628	\$89,896	\$87,827	\$88,002	\$86,789	\$89,404	\$90,168	\$90,397	\$94,343	\$97,042

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	3.3	-2.7	-5.8	2.6	4.6	-0.6	0.9	5.0	2.0
Retail	1.6	-3.4	-2.4	2.7	1.0	0.6	0.1	-1.3	3.7
PDR	2.6	-3.0	2.3	3.2	0.5	6.4	-1.5	3.7	1.3
Hotel	1.8	2.7	-1.1	-0.4	2.5	0.1	1.8	2.6	0.2
CIE	-0.1	-0.6	11.6	1.5	-0.7	1.2	-10.9	1.1	2.8
Pvt HH	-	-	-	2.7	5.8	-11.1	132.3	-1.7	13.9
TOTAL	2.6	-2.3	0.2	-1.4	3.0	0.9	0.3	4.4	2.9



 $\textbf{Note:} \ \ \textbf{PDR} = \textbf{Production/Distribution/Repair;} \ \ \textbf{CIE} = \textbf{Cultural/Institutional/Educational;} \ \ \textbf{Pvt HH} = \textbf{Private Household}$ employment **Sources:** Employees from Table 3.1 of this report; Wages from Table 5.1.1 of this report

Table 5.2.1

This is a table of taxable sales in San Francisco for the last ten years. The first table shows the amount of dollars as recorded each year, and the second shows this amount adjusted to reflect inflation. This information is presented graphically in Figure 5.2.1.

Nominal - Non-Adjusted for Inflation (\$ 000s)

Type of Sales	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
All Retail Sales	\$9,588,520	\$9,588,520 \$10,006,572	\$9,804,636	\$8,511,146	\$8,971,759	\$9,939,895	\$10,883,271	\$11,869,555	\$12,633,214	\$12,633,214 \$13,444,793
All Outlets	\$13,892,188	\$14,614,736	\$14,837,689	\$12,633,575	\$13,443,121	\$14,890,527	\$15,953,605	\$17,094,163	\$18,469,729	\$19,217,281
Inflation-Adjus	Inflation-Adjusted (2015 \$ 000s))s)								
Type of Sales	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
All Retail Sales	\$11,851,447	\$11,976,132	\$11,380,520	\$9,807,456	\$10,198,513	\$11,012,377	\$11,742,579	\$12,525,904	\$12,963,452	\$12,963,452 \$13,444,793
All Outlets	\$17,170,797	\$17,491,305	\$17,222,528	\$14,557,761	\$15,281,268	\$16,497,166	\$17,213,251	\$18,039,417	\$18,952,536	\$19,217,281
Percentage Change	ange									
Time of Color		2006.07	2007.08	3008 00	01-0006	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013_14	31 1100

Type of Sales	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
All Retail Sales 1.1% -5.0% -13.8% 4.0% 8.0% 6.6% 6.7% 3.5%	1.1%	-5.0%	-13.8%	4.0%	8.0%	%9.9	%2'9	3.5%
All Outlets	1.9%	-1.5%	-15.5%	2.0%	8.0%	4.3%	4.8%	5.1%

3.7% 1.4%

- Sources:
 California State Board of Equalization, Taxable Sales in California
 CPI-U for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose Metropolitan Statistical Area from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics
 Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Figure 5.2.1 TAXABLE RETAIL SALES AND ALL OUTLET SALES, 2006–2015 (2015 \$000s)




Table 5.2.2
TAXABLE RETAIL SALES & SALES TAX PERMITS BY TYPE OF OUTLET, 2015

This table looks more closely at the type of retail sales that occurred in 2015, as well as the number of sales permits issued in that time.

Apparel Stores	3,268	\$0.070.00
. 1-1		\$2,270,035
General Merchandise	356	\$880,922
Food Stores	1,316	\$841,539
Eating & Drinking	4,974	\$4,554,383
Home Furnishings & Appliances	1,183	\$1,070,113
Building Materials	339	\$617,672
Service Stations	118	\$436,915
Automotive Dealers and Supplies	216	\$608,800
Other Retail Stores	8,114	\$2,185,645
TOTAL RETAIL STORES	19,907	\$13,444,793
TOTAL OUTLETS	31,046	\$19,217,281

Notes:

- Other Retail Stores include:
 - Packaged liquor stores
 - Second hand merchandise
 Farm and garden supply stores
 - Fuel and ice dealers
 - Mobile homes, trailers, and
- campers
- Boat, motorcycle, and plane dealers
- Specialty store group.
- In other derivations of BOE data all their retail stores includes farm implements.


- California State Board of Equalization, Taxable Sales in California
- Additional calculations by the San Francisco Planning Department

Table & Figure 5.3.1

SAN FRANCISCO GOVERNMENT GENERAL REVENUE BY SOURCE, FISCAL YEAR 2015

Table 5.3.1 conveys the expenditures by the City and County of San Francisco in Fiscal Year 2015. This data is shown graphically in Figure 5.3.1.

Revenue Source	Amount (\$ 000s)	% Distribution
Property Taxes	\$1,642,159	30.7
Business Taxes	\$611,932	11.4
Other Local Taxes	\$1,185,659	22.2
Licenses, Permits, Fines & Penalties	\$71,113	1.3
Interest & Investment Income	\$20,583	0.4
Rents & Concessions	\$99,102	1.9
Intergovernmental	\$1,232,844	23.1
Federal -	\$465,496	8.7
State -	\$751,574	14.1
Other -	\$15,774	0.3
Charges for Services	\$359,044	6.7
Other	\$123,605	2.3
TOTAL	\$5,346,041	100.0




Note: Fiscal Year 2015 runs from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 **Source:** San Francisco Controller, Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

Table & Figure 5.3.2

SAN FRANCISCO GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURES BY FUNCTION, FISCAL YEAR 2015

Table 5.3.1 conveys the revenues for the City and County of San Francisco in Fiscal Year 2015. This data is shown graphically in Figure 5.3.2.

Expenditure Function	Amount (\$ 000s)	% Distribution
Public Protection	\$1,210,157	25.5
Public Works, Transportation & Commerce	\$239,999	5.1
Human Welfare & Neighborhood Development	\$1,095,419	23.1
Community Health	\$753,832	15.9
Culture & Recreation	\$352,852	7.4
General Administration & Finance	\$251,370	5.3
General City Responsibilities	\$98,658	2.1
Debt Service	\$324,602	6.8
Capital Outlay	\$412,740	8.7
TOTAL	\$4,739,629	100.00



Note: Fiscal Year 2015 runs from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 Source: San Francisco Controller, Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.



6.0 Building and Land Use

The tables and graphs in this chapter present information about building permit applications for 2006 through 2015, as well as land use data for 2015. Building permit applications are filed at the City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection (DBI) for construction of new buildings, demolitions, and alterations to existing structures. The number of permits, status of applications, and cost of projects are measures of construction activity in San Francisco, which accounts for approximately 20% of employment annually over the past 10 years. All information for this section was provided by DBI and has been reviewed and tabulated by Planning Department staff.

6.1 BUILDING

The discussion of building in San Francisco is presented in five sub-sections, 6.1 through 6.5 as follows: (1) All Permits & Costs by Land Use District (10 years); (2) All Permits & Costs by C&I District (10 years); (3) All Permits & Costs by C&I District and Land Use Categories (current year); (4) Permit Status by C&I District and Land Use Categories (current year); (5) Total Office Space (10 years).

Sections 6.1 and 6.2. Data are reported by the year that a project was filed with the Department of Building Inspection. Although all filed applications may not ultimately lead to completed projects, trends in the number of building permit applications filed are an important economic indicator. Construction activity and spending is a gauge of business confidence. Economic health is measured, among other things, by housing starts. Residential construction often leads commercial activity by about a year. Construction activity and its attendant costs generate jobs and wages, which in turn stimulate spending and consumption throughout the local economy.

In Section 6.1, data are reported by Land Use Category; in Section 6.2, these data are reported by Commerce and Industry (C&I) District. For further information on Land Use Categories and C&I Districts, refer to the Data Formats section in Appendix Chapter 1.

Tables in these sections are subdivided into three groups: (1) all building permits, (2) building permits for new construction activity, and (3) building permits for alterations and demolitions. In turn, each group contains tables that report information on permits, total construction cost, and average construction cost by year, land use and C&I District. Annual percentage distributions and annual percentage changes are reported for numbers of permit applications and total construction costs. Construction cost values are adjusted for inflation using Saylor Inc.'s *Materials/Labor Cost Index for Construction*, where 2014 is designated as the base year.

Section 6.3. Permit and construction cost data are cross-tabulated by Land Use Category and C&I District. This section includes all permit applications filed in 2014.

Section 6.4 describes permit applications by application status by Land Use Category and then by C&I District for 2014. These permit status categories are grouped into the following five major categories: (1) building permit applications that were *approved* for construction but not yet issued; (2) building permit applications that were *issued* but not completed; (3) *other* permit applications, which includes those that were not yet been acted upon, that were abandoned, reinstated, appealed, or for which no information was available; (4) building permit applications that were *cancelled*, including applications that have been withdrawn, revoked or disapproved; (5) building permit applications where the authorized work was *completed*.

The construction cost data reported in some tables are project cost estimates as reported by DBI, but are more usefully understood as estimates of construction expenditure or spending in the local and wider economy for each project. Hence, it's a measure of the size of the construction sector of the economy. Total and average construction cost data measure the scope, complexity, and extent of construction activity in San Francisco. While total construction cost indicates the extent of active permit applications, some of which are under construction in San Francisco for a particular year, average construction cost relates number of applications to total construction cost, revealing a rough estimate of project size. Construction cost data are adjusted for inflation using Saylor Inc.'s Materials/Labor Cost Index for Construction, where 1983 is designated as the base year (1983=100).


Section 6.5 reports total office space in San Francisco from 2004 to 2014. It includes absolute numbers as well as percentage changes over time. The source of these data is Cushman & Wakefield realtors.

6.2 LAND USE

Section 6.6 reports land use activity in San Francisco. Land use, for this section, is determined by incorporating both the business type on the parcel and the location of that parcel. Business data are culled from the Dun & Bradstreet databases. Dun & Bradstreet is a firm that conducts national surveys of existing businesses, gathering information that includes number of employees, square footage of businesses, and an industry classification system which best classifies those businesses. A parcel has to have 80% or more of its usable building space occupied by one specific land use in order to warrant that particular land use classification. Less than 80% in any one land use results in a Mixed Uses designation. If either the Planning Department or the Department of Building Inspection has a record of a residence on a lot, it is determined to be a Residential land use. If a lot contains a residence and a business establishment, that lot is classified as Mixed Residential.

Land Use is also determined by the location of a given parcel. The impact of location on land use classification is illustrated by the following example. If an establishment with a NAICS (North American Industry Classification System) code of 236 (Construction) is in the Financial District, it will be classified as Office use. If an establishment with the same NAICS code is located in South of Market or Bayview districts, it will be classified as PDR. Construction is generally considered part of the PDR land use classification. In the above scenario, however, the Financial District location of a construction business indicates that the business is conducting management, information, or professional services in more of an office setting and should therefore be assigned an Office land use classification.

The NAICS code for land uses under Office, Retail, PDR, Hotel, and Cultural/Institutional/ Educational (CIE) land use categories are noted in *Table 1.2*, Chapter 1. The Residential land use category data are gathered from Housing Inventory and the Department of Building Inspection's Permit Tracking System. Data on vacant sites are gathered from information supplied by the Assessor's Office. *Table 6.6* provides details on the amount of square footage (building footprint, not total) for each land use, as a predominant use on each parcel of land for 20 plan areas in San Francisco. *Map 6.2* shows the location of these 20 plan areas. *Table 6.6* is based on the information available in year 2015.



Land Use San Francisco

MAP 6.1




Table 6.1.1.A

ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed by land use category (see Section 1.2 – Data Formats for a definition of land use categories). All building permits include new construction, alterations, and demolitions. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category.

Number of Permits

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	4,133	4,366	3,791	2,907	2,968	3,406	3,712	4,410	4,465	4,981
Retail	2,139	1,852	1,927	2,203	1,967	2,178	2,442	2,337	2,209	2,222
PDR	170	198	235	236	205	248	231	283	306	371
Hotel	193	253	310	260	126	198	224	249	285	355
CIE	429	459	602	547	494	541	618	696	702	697
Residential	19,653	19,939	18,226	15,714	15,533	15,288	15,876	17,800	18,900	20,198
Other	689	931	819	600	631	754	1,193	1,227	1,304	987
TOTAL	27,406	27,998	25,910	22,467	21,924	22,613	24,296	27,002	28,171	29,811

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	15.1	15.6	14.6	12.9	13.5	15.1	15.3	16.3	15.8	16.7
Retail	7.8	6.6	7.4	9.8	9.0	9.6	10.1	8.7	7.8	7.5
PDR	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2
Hotel	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.2
CIE	1.6	1.6	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.3
Residential	71.7	71.2	70.3	69.9	70.8	67.6	65.3	65.9	67.1	67.8
Other	2.5	3.3	3.2	2.7	2.9	3.3	4.9	4.5	4.6	3.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	5.6	-13.2	-23.3	2.1	14.8	9.0	18.8	1.2	11.6
Retail	-13.4	4.0	14.3	-10.7	10.7	12.1	-4.3	-5.5	0.6
PDR	16.5	18.7	0.4	-13.1	21.0	-6.9	22.5	8.1	21.2
Hotel	31.1	22.5	-16.1	-51.5	57.1	13.1	11.2	14.5	24.6
CIE	7.0	31.2	-9.1	-9.7	9.5	14.2	12.6	0.9	-0.7
Residential	1.5	-8.6	-13.8	-1.2	-1.6	3.8	12.1	6.2	6.9
Other	35.1	-12.0	-26.7	5.2	19.5	58.2	2.8	6.3	-24.3
TOTAL	2.2	-7.5	-13.3	-2.4	3.1	7.4	11.1	4.3	5.8

 $\label{local_potential} \textbf{Notes:} \ PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; \ CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational \\ \textbf{Sources:} \ San \ Francisco \ Planning \ Department; \ Department \ of \ Building \ Inspection \\$

Table 6.1.1.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table presents the total cost of construction associated with building permits filed by land use category. For consistency with previous C&I reports, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2014 being the base year. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$883,408	\$1,089,653	\$538,085	\$316,631	\$422,278	\$1,042,289	\$1,143,459	\$1,636,142	\$1,097,391	\$1,510,513
Retail	\$190,031	\$231,430	\$189,937	\$102,928	\$308,505	\$199,403	\$326,593	\$200,348	\$146,435	\$409,618
PDR	\$25,215	\$55,623	\$30,141	\$25,562	\$13,722	\$18,264	\$18,791	\$34,772	\$89,719	\$56,132
Hotel	\$83,896	\$81,280	\$44,587	\$28,783	\$22,163	\$58,809	\$34,234	\$117,272	\$100,468	\$144,538
CIE	\$154,344	\$123,997	\$133,204	\$91,603	\$225,046	\$190,522	\$339,281	\$164,167	\$374,407	\$128,835
Residential	\$1,691,912	\$1,285,466	\$903,987	\$754,890	\$1,432,695	\$1,670,789	\$2,382,755	\$3,338,193	\$3,073,202	\$3,358,853
Other	\$49,718	\$33,887	\$13,721	\$9,677	\$11,740	\$507,509	\$362,026	-\$95,035	\$69,975	\$102,087
TOTAL	\$3,078,524	\$2,901,336	\$1,853,663	\$1,330,074	\$2,436,149	\$3,687,585	\$4,607,141	\$5,395,859	\$4,951,598	\$5,710,577

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	28.7	37.6	29.0	23.8	17.3	28.3	24.8	30.3	22.2	26.5
Retail	6.2	8.0	10.2	7.7	12.7	5.4	7.1	3.7	3.0	7.2
PDR	0.8	1.9	1.6	1.9	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6	1.8	1.0
Hotel	5.0	4.3	7.2	6.9	9.2	5.2	7.4	3.0	7.6	2.5
CIE	2.7	2.8	2.4	2.2	0.9	1.6	0.7	2.2	2.0	2.3
Residential	55.0	44.3	48.8	56.8	58.8	45.3	51.7	61.9	62.1	58.8
Other	1.6	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.5	13.8	7.9	-1.8	1.4	1.8
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	23.3	-50.6	-41.2	33.4	146.8	9.7	43.1	-32.9	37.6
Retail	21.8	-17.9	-45.8	199.7	-35.4	63.8	-38.7	-26.9	179.7
PDR	120.6	-45.8	-15.2	-46.3	33.1	2.9	85.0	158.0	-37.4
Hotel	-19.7	7.4	-31.2	145.7	-15.3	78.1	-51.6	128.1	43.9
CIE	-3.1	-45.1	-35.4	-23.0	165.4	-41.8	242.6	-14.3	-65.6
Residential	-24.0	-29.7	-16.5	89.8	16.6	42.6	40.1	-7.9	9.3
Other	-31.8	-59.5	-29.5	21.3	4222.9	-28.7	-126.3	-173.6	45.9
TOTAL	-5.8	-36.1	-28.2	83.2	51.4	24.9	17.1	-8.2	15.3

Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

^{1.} The large increase in 'Other' construction spending in 2011 is due to permits filed for the Transbay Terminal, a transportation facility.

Table 6.1.1.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all building permits filed by land use category. It represents the total costs (Table 6.1.1.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.1.1.A).

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$213.7	\$249.6	\$141.9	\$108.9	\$142.3	\$306.0	\$308.0	\$371.0	\$245.8	\$303.3
Retail	\$88.8	\$125.0	\$98.6	\$46.7	\$156.8	\$91.6	\$133.7	\$85.7	\$66.3	\$184.3
PDR	\$148.3	\$280.9	\$128.3	\$108.3	\$66.9	\$73.6	\$81.3	\$122.9	\$293.2	\$151.3
Hotel	\$434.7	\$321.3	\$143.8	\$110.7	\$175.9	\$297.0	\$152.8	\$471.0	\$352.5	\$407.1
CIE	\$359.8	\$270.1	\$221.3	\$167.5	\$455.6	\$352.2	\$549.0	\$235.9	\$533.3	\$184.8
Residential	\$86.1	\$64.5	\$49.6	\$48.0	\$92.2	\$109.3	\$150.1	\$187.5	\$162.6	\$166.3
Other	\$72.2	\$36.4	\$16.8	\$16.1	\$18.6	\$673.1	\$303.5	-\$77.5	\$53.7	\$103.4
Average	\$112.3	\$103.6	\$71.5	\$59.2	\$111.1	\$163.1	\$189.6	\$199.8	\$175.8	\$191.6

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	16.8	-43.1	-23.3	30.6	115.1	0.7	20.4	-33.8	23.4
Retail	40.7	-21.1	-52.6	235.7	-41.6	46.1	-35.9	-22.7	178.1
PDR	89.4	-54.3	-15.6	-38.2	10.0	10.5	51.0	138.6	-48.4
Hotel	-26.1	-55.2	-23.0	58.9	68.9	-48.5	208.2	-25.2	15.5
CIE	-24.9	-18.1	-24.3	172.0	-22.7	55.9	-57.0	126.1	-65.3
Residential	-25.1	-23.1	-3.1	92.0	18.5	37.3	25.0	-13.3	2.3
Other	-49.6	-54.0	-3.7	15.4	3517.7	-54.9	-125.5	-169.3	92.7
TOTAL	-7.7	-31.0	-17.3	87.7	46.8	16.3	5.4	-12.0	9.0

Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.1.2.A

BUILDING PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed for new construction by land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category.

Number of Permits

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	5	11	9	0	1	8	9	8	7	11
Retail	10	8	5	6	10	9	10	8	10	12
PDR	13	7	13	4	5	5	5	9	7	9
Hotel	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	2
CIE	18	11	5	5	8	3	13	10	5	9
Residential	179	150	101	63	92	58	148	155	225	268
Other	4	1	0	3	0	9	15	9	5	0
TOTAL	230	188	133	81	116	93	200	201	260	311

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	2.2	5.9	6.8	0.0	0.9	8.6	4.5	4.0	2.7	3.5
Retail	4.3	4.3	3.8	7.4	8.6	9.7	5.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
PDR	5.7	3.7	9.8	4.9	4.3	5.4	2.5	4.5	2.7	2.9
Hotel	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.6
CIE	7.8	5.9	3.8	6.2	6.9	3.2	6.5	5.0	1.9	2.9
Residential	77.8	79.8	75.9	77.8	79.3	62.4	74.0	77.1	86.5	86.2
Other	1.7	0.5	0.0	3.7	0.0	9.7	7.5	4.5	1.9	0.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	120.0	-18.2	-100.0		700.0	12.5	-11.1	-12.5	57.1
Retail	-20.0	-37.5	20.0	66.7	-10.0	11.1	-20.0	25.0	20.0
PDR	-46.2	85.7	-69.2	25.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	-22.2	28.6
Hotel	-100.0					-100.0		-50.0	100.0
CIE	-38.9	-54.5	0.0	60.0	-62.5	333.3	-23.1	-50.0	80.0
Residential	-16.2	-32.7	-37.6	46.0	-37.0	155.2	4.7	45.2	19.1
Other	-75.0	-100.0		-100.0		66.7	-40.0	-44.4	-100.0
TOTAL	-18.3	-29.3	-39.1	43.2	-19.8	115.1	0.5	29.4	19.6

Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.1.2.B

CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

use category over the last ten years. For consistency with previous C&I reports, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2015 tion in any given year and the annual change within each ated with building permits filed by land the total cost of new construction associ-Also included is the percentage distribubeing the base year. This table presents land use category.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$318,158	\$367,643	\$117,610	\$0	\$4,192	\$439,236	\$441,066	\$487,573	\$293,578	\$511,870
Retail	\$1,403	\$56,320	\$64,191	\$4,626	\$135,909	\$43,385	\$81,265			\$197,863
PDR	\$7,854	\$38,538	\$13,634	\$2,099	\$644	\$774	\$637	\$19,433	\$61,821	\$7,821
Hotel	\$14,451	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,013	\$0	\$51,797		\$93,874
CIE	\$41,795	\$23,663	\$25,843	\$24,493	\$141,916	\$20,347	\$127,296	\$28,853		\$32,811
Residential	\$1,076,313	\$514,341	\$254,701	\$258,352	\$850,144	\$1,221,629	\$1,758,861	\$2,227,717		\$2,073,344
Other	\$0	\$325	\$0	\$22	\$0	\$490,342	\$171,098	\$14,463	\$10,084	
TOTAL	\$1,459,973	\$1,000,829	\$475,979	\$289,591	\$1,132,806	\$2,243,726	\$2,580,223		\$2,711,813	\$2,917,584

Annual Percentage Distribution

	שמוויטום ספי	=								
Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	21.8	36.7	24.7	0.0	0.4	19.6	17.1	17.2	10.8	17.5
Retail	0.1	5.6	13.5	1.6	12.0	1.9	3.1	0.2	0.3	6.8
PDR	0.5	3.9	2.9	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.3	0.3
Hotel	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.8	1.2	3.2
CIE	2.9	2.4	5.4	8.5	12.5	6.0	4.9	1.0	0.2	1.1
Residential	73.7	51.4	53.5	89.2	75.0	54.4	68.2	78.6	84.9	71.1
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9	9.9	0.5	0.4	0.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	15.6	-68.0	-100.0	:	10,377.7	0.4	10.5	-39.8	74.4
Retail	3,915.2	14.0	-92.8	2,837.9	-68.1	87.3	-94.6	95.9	2,221.8
PDR		-64.6	-84.6	-69.3	20.2	-17.6	2,949.1	218.1	-87.3
Hotel		1	I	1	1	-100.0	-	-37.7	190.9
OIE		9.2	-5.2	479.4	-85.7	525.6	-77.3	-85.2	2'.299
Residential		-50.5	4.1	229.1	43.7	44.0	26.7	3.3	6.6-
Other	-	-100.0	ł	-100.0	-	-65.1	-91.5	-30.3	-100.0
TOTAL		-52.4	-39.2	291.2	98.1	15.0	8.0	4.3	7.6

- Notes:

 PDR = Production/
 Distribution/Repair

 CIE = Cultural/
 Institutional/Educational

Table 6.1.2.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

construction for all building permits filed by land use category. It represents the total costs (Table 6.1.2.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.1.2.A). This table presents the average cost of new

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category 2006	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$63,632	\$33,422	\$13,068	1	\$4,192	\$54,905	\$49,007	\$60,947	\$41,940	\$46,534
Retail	\$140	\$7,040	\$12,838	\$771	\$13,591	\$4,821	\$8,126	\$544	\$852	\$16,489
PDR	\$604	\$5,505	\$1,049	\$525	\$129	\$155	\$127	\$2,159	\$8,832	\$869
Hotel	\$14,451	#DIV/0i	1	I	1	\$28,013	1	\$25,899	\$32,270	\$46,937
CIE	\$2,322	\$2,151	\$5,169	\$4,899	\$17,740	\$6,782	\$9,792	\$2,885	\$855	\$3,646
Residential	\$6,013	\$3,429	\$2,522	\$4,101	\$9,241	\$21,063	\$11,884	\$14,372	\$10,228	\$7,736
Other	\$0	\$325	-	\$7	1	\$54,482	\$11,407	\$1,607	\$2,017	1
TOTAL	\$6,348	\$5,324	\$3,579	\$3,575	\$9,766	\$24,126	\$12,901	\$14,100	\$10,430	\$9,381

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	-47.5	6.09-	:	:	1,209.7	-10.7	24.4	-31.2	11.0
Retail	4,919.0	82.4	-94.0	1,662.7	-64.5	9'89	-93.3	2.95	1,834.9
PDR	811.2	-81.0	-50.0	-75.5	20.2	-17.6	1,593.9	309.0	-90.2
Hotel	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	24.6	45.5
CIE	4.7-	140.3	-5.2	262.1	-61.8	44.4	-70.5	-70.4	326.5
Residential	-43.0	-26.5	62.6	125.3	127.9	-43.6	20.9	-28.8	-24.4
Other	1	-	-	1	1	-79.1	-85.9	25.5	I
TOTAL	-16.1	-32.8	-0.1	173.1	147.1	-46.5	6.3	-26.0	-10.1

Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair, CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.1.3.A

BUILDING PERMITS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006–2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by land use category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category.

Number	of	Permits
Hallinger	01	CITILITY

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	4,128	4,355	3,782	2,907	2,967	3,398	3,704	4,402	4,458	4,970
Retail	2,129	1,844	1,922	2,197	1,955	2,168	2,432	2,329	2,199	2,210
PDR	157	191	222	232	200	243	226	274	299	362
Hotel	192	253	310	260	126	197	224	247	284	353
CIE	411	448	597	542	486	538	606	686	697	688
Residential	19,474	19,789	18,125	15,651	15,441	15,230	15,728	17,645	18,675	19,930
Other	264	335	270	218	212	271	328	462	580	353
TOTAL	26,755	27,215	25,228	22,007	21,387	22,045	23,248	26,045	27,192	28,866
Office	15.4	16.0	15.0	13.2	13.9	15.4	15.9	16.9	16.4	17.2
Annual Percenta Land Use Category	age Distribi	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	15.4	16.0	15.0	13.2	13.9	15.4	15.9	16.9	16.4	17.2
Retail	8.0	6.8	7.6	10.0	9.1	9.8	10.5	8.9	8.1	7.7
PDR	0.6	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.3
Hotel	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.2	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.2
CIE	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.4
Residential	72.8	72.7	71.8	71.1	72.2	69.1	67.7	67.7	68.7	69.0
Other	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.8	2.1	1.2
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percentage Cha	inge									
Land Use Category		2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	5.5	-13.2	-23.1	2.1	14.5	9.0	18.8	1.3	11.5
Retail	-13.4	4.2	14.3	-11.0	10.9	12.2	-4.2	-5.6	0.5
PDR	21.7	16.2	4.5	-13.8	21.5	-7.0	21.2	9.1	21.1
Hotel	31.8	22.5	-16.1	-51.5	56.3	13.7	10.3	15.0	24.3
CIE	9.0	33.3	-9.2	-10.3	10.7	12.6	13.2	1.6	-1.3
Residential	1.6	-8.4	-13.6	-1.3	-1.4	3.3	12.2	5.8	6.7
Other	26.9	-19.4	-19.3	-2.8	27.8	21.0	40.9	25.5	-39.1
TOTAL	1.7	-7.3	-12.8	-2.8	3.1	5.5	12.0	4.4	6.2

 $\textbf{Notes:} \ \mathsf{PDR} = \mathsf{Production/Distribution/Repair}; \ \mathsf{CIE} = \ \mathsf{Cultural/Institutional/Educational}$ Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.1.3.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table presents the total cost of construction associated with building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by land use category. For consistency with previous C&I reports, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2014 being the base year. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each land use category.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$565,250	\$722,010	\$420,475	\$316,631	\$418,086	\$603,052	\$702,393	\$1,148,569	\$803,813	\$998,643
Retail	\$188,628	\$175,110	\$125,747	\$98,302	\$172,592	\$156,017	\$245,328	\$195,998	\$137,914	\$211,755
PDR	\$17,361	\$17,085	\$16,508	\$23,464	\$13,078	\$17,490	\$18,154	\$15,339	\$27,899	\$48,311
Hotel	\$69,446	\$81,280	\$44,587	\$28,783	\$22,163	\$30,795	\$34,234	\$65,475	\$68,198	\$50,664
CIE	\$112,549	\$100,335	\$107,361	\$67,110	\$83,129	\$170,175	\$211,985	\$135,314	\$370,133	\$96,024
Residential	\$615,599	\$771,125	\$649,286	\$496,538	\$582,551	\$449,160	\$623,895	\$1,110,476	\$771,938	\$1,285,509
Other	\$9,709	\$8,517	\$6,912	\$5,200	\$5,807	\$11,176	\$152,239	\$69,077	\$35,722	\$80,355
TOTAL	\$1,578,542	\$1,875,462	\$1,370,876	\$1,036,028	\$1,297,406	\$1,437,865	\$1,988,228	\$2,740,248	\$2,215,617	\$2,771,260

Annual Percentage Distribution

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	35.8	38.5	30.7	30.6	32.2	41.9	35.3	41.9	36.3	36.0
Retail	11.9	9.3	9.2	9.5	13.3	10.9	12.3	7.2	6.2	7.6
PDR	1.1	0.9	1.2	2.3	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.6	1.3	1.7
Hotel	4.4	4.3	3.3	2.8	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.4	3.1	1.8
CIE	7.1	5.3	7.8	6.5	6.4	11.8	10.7	4.9	16.7	3.5
Residential	39.0	41.1	47.4	47.9	44.9	31.2	31.4	40.5	34.8	46.4
Other	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.8	7.7	2.5	1.6	2.9
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	27.7	-41.8	-24.7	32.0	44.2	16.5	63.5	-30.0	24.2
Retail	-7.2	-28.2	-21.8	75.6	-9.6	57.2	-20.1	-29.6	53.5
PDR	-1.6	-3.4	42.1	-44.3	33.7	3.8	-15.5	81.9	73.2
Hotel	17.0	-45.1	-35.4	-23.0	38.9	11.2	91.3	4.2	-25.7
CIE	-10.9	7.0	-37.5	23.9	104.7	24.6	-36.2	173.5	-74.1
Residential	25.3	-15.8	-23.5	17.3	-22.9	38.9	78.0	-30.5	66.5
Other	-12.3	-18.8	-24.8	11.7	92.5	1262.2	-54.6	-48.3	124.9
TOTAL	18.8	-26.9	-24.4	25.2	10.8	38.3	37.8	-19.1	25.1

 $\label{lem:notes:pdr} \textbf{Notes:} \ PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; \ CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational \\ \textbf{Sources:} \ San \ Francisco \ Planning \ Department; \ Department \ of \ Building \ Inspection \\$

Table 6.1.3.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY LAND USE CATEGORY, 2006-2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by land use category. It represents the total costs (Table 6.1.3.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.1.3.A).

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Office	\$136.9	\$165.8	\$111.2	\$108.9	\$140.9	\$177.5	\$189.6	\$260.9	\$180.3	\$200.9
Retail	\$88.6	\$95.0	\$65.4	\$44.7	\$88.3	\$72.0	\$100.9	\$84.2	\$62.7	\$95.8
PDR	\$110.6	\$89.5	\$74.4	\$101.1	\$65.4	\$72.0	\$80.3	\$56.0	\$93.3	\$133.5
Hotel	\$361.7	\$321.3	\$143.8	\$110.7	\$175.9	\$156.3	\$152.8	\$265.1	\$240.1	\$143.5
CIE	\$273.8	\$224.0	\$179.8	\$123.8	\$171.0	\$316.3	\$349.8	\$197.3	\$531.0	\$139.6
Residential	\$31.6	\$39.0	\$35.8	\$31.7	\$37.7	\$29.5	\$39.7	\$62.9	\$41.3	\$64.5
Other	\$36.8	\$25.4	\$25.6	\$23.9	\$27.4	\$41.2	\$464.1	\$149.5	\$61.6	\$227.6
TOTAL	\$59.0	\$68.9	\$54.3	\$47.1	\$60.7	\$65.2	\$85.5	\$105.2	\$81.5	\$96.0

Percentage Change

Land Use Category	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Office	21.1	-32.9	-2.0	29.4	25.9	6.9	37.6	-30.9	11.4
Retail	7.2	-31.1	-31.6	97.3	-18.5	40.2	-16.6	-25.5	52.8
PDR	-19.1	-16.9	36.0	-35.3	10.1	11.6	-30.3	66.7	43.0
Hotel	-11.2	-55.2	-23.0	58.9	-11.1	-2.2	73.4	-9.4	-40.2
CIE	-18.2	-19.7	-31.1	38.1	84.9	10.6	-43.6	169.2	-73.7
Residential	23.3	-8.1	-11.4	18.9	-21.8	34.5	58.7	-34.3	56.0
Other	-30.9	0.7	-6.8	14.8	50.6	1025.5	-67.8	-58.8	269.6
TOTAL	16.8	-21.1	-13.4	28.9	7.5	31.1	23.0	-22.6	17.8

 $\label{eq:Notes:PDR} \textbf{Notes:} \ PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; \ CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational \\ \textbf{Sources:} \ San \ Francisco \ Planning \ Department; \ Department \ of \ Building \ Inspection \\$

Table 6.2.1.A ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed by Commerce & Industry District (see Section 1.2 - Data Formats for a definition of Commerce & Industry Districts). All building permits include new construction, alterations, and demolitions. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

N		hor	of	Pern	aita
IVI	um	per	OI	Pern	าแร

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	696	714	633	554	572	599	663	691	680	854
Civic Center	767	1,012	899	751	710	781	793	1,062	1,157	1,148
Financial	3,486	3,733	3,370	2,688	2,600	2,994	3,443	3,668	3,635	4,214
Mission	2,068	1,999	1,972	1,781	1,894	1,821	1,880	2,068	2,233	2,326
North Beach	840	801	832	713	649	730	709	918	872	963
North Central	3,853	4,054	3,506	3,202	2,981	2,916	3,221	3,493	3,675	3,984
Northwest	2,482	2,417	2,478	1,897	1,904	1,936	1,953	2,118	2,372	2,545
South of Market	2,527	2,269	2,263	2,072	1,969	2,131	2,481	2,913	3,056	3,019
Southwest	9,428	9,347	8,656	7,582	7,550	7,368	7,656	8,377	8,541	9,181
Van Ness	1,171	1,502	1,186	987	1,064	1,108	1,254	1,396	1,534	1,542
Unclassified	87	139	91	232	185	221	243	298	416	34
TOTAL	27,405	27,987	25,886	22,459	22,078	22,605	24,296	27,002	28,171	29,810

Annual Percentage Distribution

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.9
Civic Center	2.8	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.9	4.1	3.9
Financial	12.7	13.3	13.0	12.0	11.8	13.2	14.2	13.6	12.9	14.1
Mission	7.5	7.1	7.6	7.9	8.6	8.1	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.8
North Beach	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.1	3.2
North Central	14.1	14.5	13.5	14.3	13.5	12.9	13.3	12.9	13.0	13.4
Northwest	9.1	8.6	9.6	8.4	8.6	8.6	8.0	7.8	8.4	8.5
South of Market	9.2	8.1	8.7	9.2	8.9	9.4	10.2	10.8	10.8	10.1
Southwest	34.4	33.4	33.4	33.8	34.2	32.6	31.5	31.0	30.3	30.8
Van Ness	4.3	5.4	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.4	5.2
Unclassified	0.3	0.5	0.4	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.5	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	2.6	-11.3	-12.5	3.2	4.7	10.7	4.2	-1.6	25.6
Civic Center	31.9	-11.2	-16.5	-5.5	10.0	1.5	33.9	8.9	-0.8
Financial	7.1	-9.7	-20.2	-3.3	15.2	15.0	6.5	-0.9	15.9
Mission	-3.3	-1.4	-9.7	6.3	-3.9	3.2	10.0	8.0	4.2
North Beach	-4.6	3.9	-14.3	-9.0	12.5	-2.9	29.5	-5.0	10.4
North Central	5.2	-13.5	-8.7	-6.9	-2.2	10.5	8.4	5.2	8.4
Northwest	-2.6	2.5	-23.4	0.4	1.7	0.9	8.4	12.0	7.3
South of Market	-10.2	-0.3	-8.4	-5.0	8.2	16.4	17.4	4.9	-1.2
Southwest	-0.9	-7.4	-12.4	-0.4	-2.4	3.9	9.4	2.0	7.5
Van Ness	28.3	-21.0	-16.8	7.8	4.1	13.2	11.3	9.9	0.5
Unclassified	59.8	-34.5	154.9	-20.3	19.5	10.0	22.6	39.6	-91.8
TOTAL	2.1	-7.5	-13.2	-1.7	2.4	7.5	11.1	4.3	5.8

Table 6.2.1.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the total cost of construction associated with building permits filed by Commerce & Industry District. For consistency with previous C&I reports, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2014 being the base year. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	\$87,539	\$154,107	\$24,629	\$147,823	\$46,731	\$35,652	\$75,322	\$148,797	\$238,841	\$208,632
Civic Center	\$182,143	\$119,973	\$90,900	\$60,902	\$161,367	\$89,267	\$685,597	\$350,541	\$317,073	\$248,551
Financial	\$738,000	\$688,376	\$500,285	\$276,722	\$555,066	\$483,621	\$1,134,847	\$1,270,992	\$1,087,708	\$1,485,728
Mission	\$101,106	\$125,904	\$60,291	\$53,837	\$71,373	\$76,572	\$73,170	\$162,784	\$177,649	\$321,106
North Beach	\$55,892	\$38,394	\$67,332	\$40,106	\$35,217	\$41,616	\$30,249	\$65,429	\$68,496	\$133,846
North Central	\$504,717	\$262,536	\$225,223	\$115,484	\$162,994	\$136,121	\$348,765	\$273,256	\$192,159	\$382,908
Northwest	\$114,025	\$169,691	\$121,746	\$62,286	\$77,439	\$67,569	\$89,286	\$98,349	\$122,855	\$118,843
South of Market	\$738,720	\$781,765	\$376,720	\$213,091	\$460,247	\$1,094,739	\$1,029,236	\$1,516,987	\$1,542,234	\$1,694,430
Southwest	\$349,575	\$355,894	\$315,124	\$295,659	\$298,147	\$230,679	\$325,444	\$443,581	\$419,552	\$982,983
Van Ness	\$131,449	\$199,047	\$67,558	\$52,817	\$49,693	\$218,968	\$140,629	\$216,609	\$102,149	\$129,468
Unclassified	\$75,359	\$5,649	\$3,855	\$11,349	\$517,875	\$1,212,779	\$674,595	\$1,068,532	\$682,882	\$4,083
TOTAL	\$3,078,525	\$2,901,336	\$1,853,663	\$1,330,076	\$2,436,149	\$3,687,583	\$4,607,140	\$5,615,857	\$4,951,598	\$5,710,577

Annual Percentage Distribution

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	2.8	5.3	1.3	11.1	1.9	1.0	1.6	2.6	4.8	3.7
Civic Center	5.9	4.1	4.9	4.6	6.6	2.4	14.9	6.2	6.4	4.4
Financial	24.0	23.7	27.0	20.8	22.8	13.1	24.6	22.6	22.0	26.0
Mission	3.3	4.3	3.3	4.0	2.9	2.1	1.6	2.9	3.6	5.6
North Beach	1.8	1.3	3.6	3.0	1.4	1.1	0.7	1.2	1.4	2.3
North Central	16.4	9.0	12.2	8.7	6.7	3.7	7.6	4.9	3.9	6.7
Northwest	3.7	5.8	6.6	4.7	3.2	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.5	2.1
South of Market	24.0	26.9	20.3	16.0	18.9	29.7	22.3	27.0	31.1	29.7
Southwest	11.4	12.3	17.0	22.2	12.2	6.3	7.1	7.9	8.5	17.2
Van Ness	4.3	6.9	3.6	4.0	2.0	5.9	3.1	3.9	2.1	2.3
Unclassified	2.4	0.2	0.2	0.9	21.3	32.9	14.6	19.0	13.8	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	76.0	-84.0	500.2	-68.4	-23.7	111.3	97.5	60.5	-12.6
Civic Center	-34.1	-24.2	-33.0	165.0	-44.7	668.0	-48.9	-9.5	-21.6
Financial	-6.7	-27.3	-44.7	100.6	-12.9	134.7	12.0	-14.4	36.6
Mission	24.5	-52.1	-10.7	32.6	7.3	-4.4	122.5	9.1	80.8
North Beach	-31.3	75.4	-40.4	-12.2	18.2	-27.3	116.3	4.7	95.4
North Central	-48.0	-14.2	-48.7	41.1	-16.5	156.2	-21.7	-29.7	99.3
Northwest	48.8	-28.3	-48.8	24.3	-12.7	32.1	10.2	24.9	-3.3
South of Market	5.8	-51.8	-43.4	116.0	137.9	-6.0	47.4	1.7	9.9
Southwest	1.8	-11.5	-6.2	0.8	-22.6	41.1	36.3	-5.4	134.3
Van Ness	51.4	-66.1	-21.8	-5.9	340.6	-35.8	54.0	-52.8	26.7
Unclassified	-92.5	-31.8	194.4	4,463.2	134.2	-44.4	58.4	-36.1	-99.4
TOTAL	-5.8	-36.1	-28.2	83.2	51.4	24.9	21.9	-11.8	15.3

Starting in 2010, there are a large number of permits for which locational information is not available.
 Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.2.1.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all building permits filed by Commerce & Industry District. It represents the total costs (Table 6.2.1.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.2.1.A).

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2015
Bayview	\$125.8	\$215.8	\$38.9	\$266.8	\$81.7	\$59.5	\$113.6	\$215.3	\$351.2	\$244.3
Civic Center	\$237.5	\$118.6	\$101.1	\$81.1	\$227.3	\$114.3	\$864.6	\$330.1	\$274.0	\$216.5
Financial	\$211.7	\$184.4	\$148.5	\$102.9	\$213.5	\$161.5	\$329.6	\$346.5	\$299.2	\$352.6
Mission	\$48.9	\$63.0	\$30.6	\$30.2	\$37.7	\$42.0	\$38.9	\$78.7	\$79.6	\$138.1
North Beach	\$66.5	\$47.9	\$80.9	\$56.2	\$54.3	\$57.0	\$42.7	\$71.3	\$78.6	\$139.0
North Central	\$131.0	\$64.8	\$64.2	\$36.1	\$54.7	\$46.7	\$108.3	\$78.2	\$52.3	\$96.1
Northwest	\$45.9	\$70.2	\$49.1	\$32.8	\$40.7	\$34.9	\$45.7	\$46.4	\$51.8	\$46.7
South of Market	\$292.3	\$344.5	\$166.5	\$102.8	\$233.7	\$513.7	\$414.8	\$520.8	\$504.7	\$561.3
Southwest	\$37.1	\$38.1	\$36.4	\$39.0	\$39.5	\$31.3	\$42.5	\$53.0	\$49.1	\$107.1
Van Ness	\$112.3	\$132.5	\$57.0	\$53.5	\$46.7	\$197.6	\$112.1	\$155.2	\$66.6	\$84.0
Unclassified	\$866.2	\$40.6	\$42.4	\$48.9	\$2,799.3	\$5,487.7	\$2,776.1	\$3,585.7	\$1,641.5	\$120.1
Citywide Average	\$112.3	\$103.7	\$71.6	\$59.2	\$110.3	\$163.1	\$189.6	\$208.0	\$175.8	\$191.6

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	71.6	-82.0	585.8	-69.4	-27.1	90.9	89.5	63.1	-30.4
Civic Center	-50.1	-14.7	-19.8	180.3	-49.7	656.4	-61.8	-17.0	-21.0
Financial	-12.9	-19.5	-30.7	107.4	-24.3	104.1	5.1	-13.6	17.8
Mission	28.8	-51.5	-1.1	24.7	11.6	-7.4	102.2	1.1	73.5
North Beach	-28.0	68.8	-30.5	-3.5	5.1	-25.2	67.1	10.2	76.9
North Central	-50.6	-0.8	-43.9	51.6	-14.6	132.0	-27.8	-33.2	83.8
Northwest	52.8	-30.0	-33.2	23.9	-14.2	31.0	1.6	11.5	-9.8
South of Market	17.9	-51.7	-38.2	127.3	119.8	-19.2	25.5	-3.1	11.2
Southwest	2.7	-4.4	7.1	1.3	-20.7	35.8	24.6	-7.2	118.0
Van Ness	18.1	-57.0	-6.1	-12.7	323.1	-43.3	38.4	-57.1	26.1
Unclassified	-95.3	4.2	15.5	5,622.5	96.0	-49.4	29.2	-54.2	-92.7
Citywide Average	-7.7	-30.9	-17.3	86.3	47.8	16.2	9.7	-15.5	9.0

Table 6.2.2.A

BUILDING PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006-2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed for new construction by Commerce & Industry District. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

Number of Permits

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	27	15	16	15	9	3	13	20	18	78
Civic Center	4	2	4	3	9	3	6	11	3	6
Financial	6	6	3	1	3	4	10	9	7	7
Mission	27	30	8	4	4	4	8	15	29	21
North Beach	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	5
North Central	23	18	14	2	11	7	17	15	12	25
Northwest	16	12	7	3	7	3	5	10	14	5
South of Market	32	23	20	9	8	19	21	34	45	33
Southwest	73	67	55	39	62	19	77	53	81	129
Van Ness	11	11	2	4	3	3	6	2	6	2
Unclassified	10	5	2	1	23	27	34	30	44	0
TOTAL	230	189	132	81	139	93	198	201	260	311

Annual Percentage Distribution

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	11.7	7.9	12.1	18.5	6.5	3.2	6.6	10.0	6.9	25.1
Civic Center	1.7	1.1	3.0	3.7	6.5	3.2	3.0	5.5	1.2	1.9
Financial	2.6	3.2	2.3	1.2	2.2	4.3	5.1	4.5	2.7	2.3
Mission	11.7	15.9	6.1	4.9	2.9	4.3	4.0	7.5	11.2	6.8
North Beach	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5	1.0	0.4	1.6
North Central	10.0	9.5	10.6	2.5	7.9	7.5	8.6	7.5	4.6	8.0
Northwest	7.0	6.3	5.3	3.7	5.0	3.2	2.5	5.0	5.4	1.6
South of Market	13.9	12.2	15.2	11.1	5.8	20.4	10.6	16.9	17.3	10.6
Southwest	31.7	35.4	41.7	48.1	44.6	20.4	38.9	26.4	31.2	41.5
Van Ness	4.8	5.8	1.5	4.9	2.2	3.2	3.0	1.0	2.3	0.6
Unclassified	4.3	2.6	1.5	1.2	16.5	29.0	17.2	14.9	16.9	0.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

0 0									
C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	-44.4	6.7	-6.3	-40.0	-66.7	333.3	53.8	-10.0	333.3
Civic Center	-50.0	100.0	-25.0	200.0	-66.7	100.0	83.3	-72.7	100.0
Financial	0.0	-50.0	-66.7	200.0	33.3	150.0	-10.0	-22.2	0.0
Mission	11.1	-73.3	-50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	87.5	93.3	-27.6
North Beach	-100.0		-100.0			0.0	100.0	-50.0	400.0
North Central	-21.7	-22.2	-85.7	450.0	-36.4	142.9	-11.8	-20.0	108.3
Northwest	-25.0	-41.7	-57.1	133.3	-57.1	66.7	100.0	40.0	-64.3
South of Market	-28.1	-13.0	-55.0	-11.1	137.5	10.5	61.9	32.4	-26.7
Southwest	-8.2	-17.9	-29.1	59.0	-69.4	305.3	-31.2	52.8	59.3
Van Ness	0.0	-81.8	100.0	-25.0	0.0	100.0	-66.7	200.0	-66.7
Unclassified	-50.0	-60.0	-50.0	2,200.0	17.4	25.9	-11.8	46.7	-100.0
TOTAL	-17.8	-30.2	-38.6	71.6	-33.1	112.9	1.5	29.4	19.6

Table 6.2.2.B TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the total cost of new construction associated with building permits filed by Commerce & Industry District. For consistency with previous C&I reports, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2015 being the base year. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	\$21,569	\$75,933	\$5,635	\$113,732	\$24,072	\$822	\$52,212	\$115,087	\$166,635	\$79,069
Civic Center	\$111,133	\$2,663	\$12,398	\$12,175	\$97,977	\$27,426	\$304,425	\$120	\$203,605	\$93,522
Financial	\$322,715	\$256,900	\$26,891	\$19,844	\$203,009	\$158,939	\$709,494	\$690,353	\$637,056	\$699,081
Mission	\$50,217	\$38,975	\$4,341	\$3,809	\$6,583	\$4,929	\$6,075	\$56,128	\$75,423	\$197,701
North Beach	\$1,152	\$0	\$28,647	\$0	\$0	\$7,632	\$393	\$10,318	\$655	\$13,745
North Central	\$313,125	\$59,385	\$86,212	\$2,313	\$43,724	\$22,768	\$181,790	\$21,322	\$25,074	\$68,508
Northwest	\$12,600	\$82,525	\$28,768	\$2,593	\$4,664	\$3,536	\$4,595	\$8,272	\$16,154	\$4,473
South of Market	\$466,250	\$317,888	\$199,151	\$9,140	\$255,207	\$759,421	\$578,152	\$834,495	\$839,751	\$1,148,951
Southwest	\$70,626	\$63,744	\$75,880	\$104,230	\$97,093	\$14,272	\$84,433	\$104,267	\$87,126	\$597,180
Van Ness	\$46,046	\$99,801	\$6,271	\$15,917	\$5,742	\$169,134	\$56,568	\$41,215	\$21,661	\$15,355
Unclassified	\$44,540	\$3,015	\$1,784	\$5,839	\$394,735	\$1,074,847	\$602,087	\$732,607	\$638,671	\$0
TOTAL	\$1,459,973	\$1,000,829	\$475,978	\$289,592	\$1,132,806	\$2,243,726	\$2,580,224	\$2,614,184	\$2,711,811	\$2,917,584

Annual Percentage Distribution

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	1.5	7.6	1.2	39.3	2.1	0.0	2.0	4.4	6.1	2.7
Civic Center	7.6	0.3	2.6	4.2	8.6	1.2	11.8	0.0	7.5	3.2
Financial	22.1	25.7	5.6	6.9	17.9	7.1	27.5	26.4	23.5	24.0
Mission	3.4	3.9	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.2	0.2	2.1	2.8	6.8
North Beach	0.1	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5
North Central	21.4	5.9	18.1	0.8	3.9	1.0	7.0	0.8	0.9	2.3
Northwest	0.9	8.2	6.0	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.2
South of Market	31.9	31.8	41.8	3.2	22.5	33.8	22.4	31.9	31.0	39.4
Southwest	4.8	6.4	15.9	36.0	8.6	0.6	3.3	4.0	3.2	20.5
Van Ness	3.2	10.0	1.3	5.5	0.5	7.5	2.2	1.6	0.8	0.5
Unclassified	3.1	0.3	0.4	2.0	34.8	47.9	23.3	28.0	23.6	0.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	252.0	-92.6	1,918.3	-78.8	-96.6	6,251.8	120.4	44.8	-52.5
Civic Center	-97.6	365.6	-1.8	704.7	-72.0	1,010.0	-100.0	169,570.8	-54.1
Financial	-20.4	-89.5	-26.2	923.0	-21.7	346.4	-2.7	-7.7	9.7
Mission	-22.4	-88.9	-12.3	72.8	-25.1	23.3	823.9	34.4	162.1
North Beach	-100.0		-100.0			-94.9	2,525.4	-93.7	1,998.5
North Central	-81.0	45.2	-97.3	1,790.4	-47.9	698.4	-88.3	17.6	173.2
Northwest	555.0	-65.1	-91.0	79.9	-24.2	29.9	80.0	95.3	-72.3
South of Market	-31.8	-37.4	-95.4	2,692.2	197.6	-23.9	44.3	0.6	36.8
Southwest	-9.7	19.0	37.4	-6.8	-85.3	491.6	23.5	-16.4	585.4
Van Ness	116.7	-93.7	153.8	-63.9	2,845.6	-66.6	-27.1	-47.4	-29.1
Unclassified	-93.2	-40.8	227.3	6,660.3	172.3	-44.0	21.7	-12.8	-100.0
TOTAL	-31.4	-52.4	-39.2	291.2	98.1	15.0	1.3	3.7	7.6

Table 6.2.2.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the average cost of new construction for all building permits filed by Commerce & Industry District. It represents the total costs (Table 6.2.2.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.2.2.A).

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	\$799	\$5,062	\$352	\$7,582	\$2,675	\$274	\$4,016	\$5,754	\$9,258	\$1,014
Civic Center	\$27,783	\$1,332	\$3,100	\$4,058	\$10,886	\$9,142	\$50,738	\$11	\$67,868	\$15,587
Financial	\$53,786	\$42,817	\$8,964	\$19,844	\$67,670	\$39,735	\$70,949	\$76,706	\$91,008	\$99,869
Mission	\$1,860	\$1,299	\$543	\$952	\$1,646	\$1,232	\$759	\$3,742	\$2,601	\$9,414
North Beach	\$1,152		\$28,647			\$7,632	\$393	\$5,159	\$655	\$2,749
North Central	\$13,614	\$3,299	\$6,158	\$1,157	\$3,975	\$3,253	\$10,694	\$1,421	\$2,090	\$2,740
Northwest	\$788	\$6,877	\$4,110	\$864	\$666	\$1,179	\$919	\$827	\$1,154	\$895
South of Market	\$14,570	\$13,821	\$9,958	\$1,016	\$31,901	\$39,970	\$27,531	\$24,544	\$18,661	\$34,817
Southwest	\$967	\$951	\$1,380	\$2,673	\$1,566	\$751	\$1,097	\$1,967	\$1,076	\$4,629
Van Ness	\$4,186	\$9,073	\$3,136	\$3,979	\$1,914	\$56,378	\$9,428	\$20,608	\$3,610	\$7,677
Unclassified	\$4,454	\$603	\$892	\$5,839	\$17,162	\$39,809	\$17,708	\$24,420	\$14,515	
Citywide Average	\$6,348	\$5,295	\$3,606	\$3,575	\$8,150	\$24,126	\$13,031	\$13,006	\$10,430	\$9,381
-		•								

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	533.7	-93.0	2,052.9	-64.7	-89.8	1,365.8	43.3	60.9	-89.0
Civic Center	-95.2	132.8	30.9	168.2	-16.0	455.0	-100.0	622,026.4	-77.0
Financial	-20.4	-79.1	121.4	241.0	-41.3	78.6	8.1	18.6	9.7
Mission	-30.1	-58.2	75.5	72.8	-25.1	-38.4	392.8	-30.5	262.0
North Beach						-94.9	1,212.7	-87.3	319.7
North Central	-75.8	86.7	-81.2	243.7	-18.2	228.8	-86.7	47.0	31.1
Northwest	773.3	-40.2	-79.0	-22.9	76.9	-22.0	-10.0	39.5	-22.5
South of Market	-5.1	-28.0	-89.8	3,041.2	25.3	-31.1	-10.8	-24.0	86.6
Southwest	-1.7	45.0	93.7	-41.4	-52.0	46.0	79.4	-45.3	330.4
Van Ness	116.7	-65.4	26.9	-51.9	2,845.6	-83.3	118.6	-82.5	112.7
Unclassified	-86.5	47.9	554.6	193.9	132.0	-55.5	37.9	-40.6	
Citywide Average	-16.6	-31.9	-0.9	127.9	196.0	-46.0	-0.2	-19.8	-10.1

Table 6.2.3.A
BUILDING PERMITS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the number of building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by Commerce & Industry District. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	648	690	601	524	544	572	635	646	647	762
Civic Center	736	969	843	723	674	734	736	1,003	1,100	1,072
Financial	3,419	3,629	3,238	2,608	2,508	2,882	3,213	3,548	3,481	4,068
Mission	2,023	1,941	1,939	1,753	1,864	1,785	1,839	2,019	2,178	2,279
North Beach	823	787	805	689	626	705	673	836	822	936
North Central	3,789	3,967	3,433	3,165	2,943	2,870	3,116	3,401	3,614	3,917
Northwest	2,435	2,352	2,440	1,874	1,877	1,916	1,904	2,045	2,326	2,508
South of Market	2,381	2,110	2,152	2,004	1,841	2,019	2,298	2,722	2,859	2,850
Southwest	9,283	9,173	8,519	7,476	7,431	7,282	7,435	8,216	8,335	8,944
Van Ness	1,149	1,460	1,148	956	1,048	1,085	1,208	1,356	1,495	1,497
Unclassified	68	125	88	227	156	187	191	253	335	33
TOTAL	26,754	27,203	25,206	21,999	21,512	22,037	23,248	26,045	27,192	28,866
	12.8	3.6 13.3	3.3 12.8	3.3 11.9	3.1 11.7	3.3 13.1	13.8	3.9 13.6	12.8	3.7 14.1
Annual Percentaç C&I District	ge Distribut 2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Civic Center	2.8	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.9	4.0	3.7
Financial	12.8	13.3	12.8	11.9	11.7	13.1	13.8	13.6	12.8	14.1
Mission	7.6	7.1	7.7	8.0	8.7	8.1	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.9
North Beach	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.0	3.2
North Central	14.2	14.6	13.6	14.4	13.7	13.0	13.4	13.1	13.3	13.6
Northwest	9.1	8.6	9.7	8.5	8.7	8.7	8.2	7.9	8.6	8.7
South of Market	8.9	7.8	8.5	9.1	8.6	9.2	9.9	10.5	10.5	9.9
Southwest	34.7	33.7	33.8	34.0	34.5	33.0	32.0	31.5	30.7	31.0
Van Ness	4.3	5.4	4.6	4.3	4.9	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.5	5.2
Unclassified	0.3	0.5	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.2	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percentage Chan	ige									
C&I District		2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview		6.5	-12.9	-12.8	3.8	5.1	11.0	1.7	0.2	17.8
Civic Center		31.7	-13.0	-14.2	-6.8	8.9	0.3	36.3	9.7	-2.5
Financial		6.1	-10.8	-19.5	-3.8	14.9	11.5	10.4	-1.9	16.9
Mission		-4.1	-0.1	-9.6	6.3	-4.2	3.0	9.8	7.9	4.6

Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

-4.4

4.7

-3.4

-11.4

-1.2

27.1

83.8

1.7

2.3

-13.5

3.7

2.0

-7.1

-21.4

-29.6

-7.3

-14.4

-7.8

-23.2

-6.9

-12.2

-16.7

158.0

-12.7

-9.1

-7.0

0.2

-8.1

-0.6

9.6

-31.3

-2.2

12.6

-2.5

2.1

9.7

-2.0

3.5

19.9

2.4

-4.5

8.6

-0.6

13.8

2.1

11.3

2.1

5.5

24.2

9.1

7.4

18.5

10.5

12.3

32.5

12.0

-1.7

6.3

13.7

5.0

1.4

10.3

32.4

4.4

13.9

8.4

7.8

-0.3

7.3

0.1

6.2

-90.1

North Beach

North Central

South of Market

Northwest

Southwest

Van Ness

TOTAL

Unclassified

Table 6.2.3.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006-2015

This table presents the total cost of construction associated with building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by Commerce & Industry District. For ease of comprehension, costs are adjusted for inflation, with 2015 being the base year. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given year and the annual change within each Commerce & Industry District.

Total Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	\$33,153	\$78,018	\$18,811	\$33,586	\$19,709	\$34,661	\$21,804	\$32,052	\$71,443	\$126,264
Civic Center	\$70,820	\$116,833	\$78,207	\$48,584	\$63,242	\$61,528	\$380,809	\$130,226	\$113,229	\$154,815
Financial	\$413,127	\$420,196	\$471,188	\$256,045	\$351,581	\$324,240	\$423,919	\$572,083	\$450,064	\$771,691
Mission	\$50,726	\$86,818	\$55,867	\$49,872	\$64,715	\$71,498	\$66,827	\$105,736	\$102,177	\$123,275
North Beach	\$54,630	\$38,030	\$38,505	\$40,021	\$35,105	\$33,812	\$29,749	\$52,863	\$67,627	\$119,976
North Central	\$191,398	\$202,834	\$137,866	\$112,939	\$119,162	\$113,234	\$165,869	\$251,149	\$166,836	\$314,125
Northwest	\$101,186	\$86,829	\$92,873	\$59,605	\$72,677	\$63,988	\$84,595	\$89,561	\$106,610	\$114,212
South of Market	\$270,489	\$456,475	\$175,615	\$203,621	\$203,742	\$331,056	\$448,424	\$668,745	\$701,804	\$544,216
Southwest	\$278,003	\$291,003	\$238,772	\$189,501	\$200,484	\$216,143	\$223,094	\$336,730	\$317,149	\$384,765
Van Ness	\$84,321	\$95,833	\$61,100	\$36,756	\$43,924	\$49,784	\$83,304	\$174,421	\$78,650	\$113,843
Unclassified	\$30,690	\$2,593	\$2,071	\$5,498	\$123,067	\$137,923	\$59,835	\$326,683	\$40,027	\$4,078
TOTAL	\$1,578,543	\$1,875,462	\$1,370,875	\$1,036,028	\$1,297,408	\$1,437,867	\$1,988,229	\$2,740,249	\$2,215,616	\$2,771,260

Annual Percentage Distribution

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	2.1	4.2	1.4	3.2	1.5	2.4	1.1	1.2	3.2	4.6
Civic Center	4.5	6.2	5.7	4.7	4.9	4.3	19.2	4.8	5.1	5.6
Financial	26.2	22.4	34.4	24.7	27.1	22.6	21.3	20.9	20.3	27.8
Mission	3.2	4.6	4.1	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.4	3.9	4.6	4.4
North Beach	3.5	2.0	2.8	3.9	2.7	2.4	1.5	1.9	3.1	4.3
North Central	12.1	10.8	10.1	10.9	9.2	7.9	8.3	9.2	7.5	11.3
Northwest	6.4	4.6	6.8	5.8	5.6	4.5	4.3	3.3	4.8	4.1
South of Market	17.1	24.3	12.8	19.7	15.7	23.0	22.6	24.4	31.7	19.6
Southwest	17.6	15.5	17.4	18.3	15.5	15.0	11.2	12.3	14.3	13.9
Van Ness	5.3	5.1	4.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.2	6.4	3.5	4.1
Unclassified	1.9	0.1	0.2	0.5	9.5	9.6	3.0	11.9	1.8	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	135.3	-75.9	78.5	-41.3	75.9	-37.1	47.0	122.9	76.7
Civic Center	65.0	-33.1	-37.9	30.2	-2.7	518.9	-65.8	-13.1	36.7
Financial	1.7	12.1	-45.7	37.3	-7.8	30.7	35.0	-21.3	71.5
Mission	71.2	-35.7	-10.7	29.8	10.5	-6.5	58.2	-3.4	20.6
North Beach	-30.4	1.2	3.9	-12.3	-3.7	-12.0	77.7	27.9	77.4
North Central	6.0	-32.0	-18.1	5.5	-5.0	46.5	51.4	-33.6	88.3
Northwest	-14.2	7.0	-35.8	21.9	-12.0	32.2	5.9	19.0	7.1
South of Market	68.8	-61.5	15.9	0.1	62.5	35.5	49.1	4.9	-22.5
Southwest	4.7	-17.9	-20.6	5.8	7.8	3.2	50.9	-5.8	21.3
Van Ness	13.7	-36.2	-39.8	19.5	13.3	67.3	109.4	-54.9	44.7
Unclassified	-91.6	-20.1	165.5	2138.4	12.1	-56.6	446.0	-87.7	-89.8
TOTAL	18.8	-26.9	-24.4	25.2	10.8	38.3	37.8	-19.1	25.1

Table 6.2.3.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALTERATIONS AND DEMOLITIONS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT, 2006–2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all building permits filed for alterations and demolitions by Commerce & Industry District. It represents the total costs (Table 6.2.3.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.2.3.A).

Average Construction Costs (Inflation-Adjusted 2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Bayview	\$51.2	\$113.1	\$31.3	\$64.1	\$36.2	\$60.6	\$34.3	\$49.6	\$110.4	\$165.7
Civic Center	\$96.2	\$120.6	\$92.8	\$67.2	\$93.8	\$83.8	\$517.4	\$129.8	\$102.9	\$144.4
Financial	\$120.8	\$115.8	\$145.5	\$98.2	\$140.2	\$112.5	\$131.9	\$161.2	\$129.3	\$189.7
Mission	\$25.1	\$44.7	\$28.8	\$28.4	\$34.7	\$40.1	\$36.3	\$52.4	\$46.9	\$54.1
North Beach	\$66.4	\$48.3	\$47.8	\$58.1	\$56.1	\$48.0	\$44.2	\$63.2	\$82.3	\$128.2
North Central	\$50.5	\$51.1	\$40.2	\$35.7	\$40.5	\$39.5	\$53.2	\$73.8	\$46.2	\$80.2
Northwest	\$41.6	\$36.9	\$38.1	\$31.8	\$38.7	\$33.4	\$44.4	\$43.8	\$45.8	\$45.5
South of Market	\$113.6	\$216.3	\$81.6	\$101.6	\$110.7	\$164.0	\$195.1	\$245.7	\$245.5	\$191.0
Southwest	\$29.9	\$31.7	\$28.0	\$25.3	\$27.0	\$29.7	\$30.0	\$41.0	\$38.1	\$43.0
Van Ness	\$73.4	\$65.6	\$53.2	\$38.4	\$41.9	\$45.9	\$69.0	\$128.6	\$52.6	\$76.0
Unclassified	\$451.3	\$20.7	\$23.5	\$24.2	\$788.9	\$737.6	\$313.3	\$1,291.2	\$119.5	\$123.6
Citywide Average	\$59.0	\$68.9	\$54.4	\$47.1	\$60.3	\$65.2	\$85.5	\$105.2	\$81.5	\$96.0

Percentage Change

C&I District	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Bayview	121.0	-72.3	104.8	-43.5	67.3	-43.3	44.5	122.6	50.1
Civic Center	25.3	-23.1	-27.6	39.6	-10.7	517.2	-74.9	-20.7	40.3
Financial	-4.2	25.7	-32.5	42.8	-19.7	17.3	22.2	-19.8	46.7
Mission	78.4	-35.6	-1.3	22.0	15.4	-9.3	44.1	-10.4	15.3
North Beach	-27.2	-1.0	21.4	-3.5	-14.5	-7.8	43.1	30.1	55.8
North Central	1.2	-21.5	-11.1	13.5	-2.6	34.9	38.7	-37.5	73.7
Northwest	-11.2	3.1	-16.4	21.7	-13.7	33.0	-1.4	4.7	-0.6
South of Market	90.4	-62.3	24.5	8.9	48.2	19.0	25.9	-0.1	-22.2
Southwest	5.9	-11.6	-9.6	6.4	10.0	1.1	36.6	-7.2	13.1
Van Ness	-10.6	-18.9	-27.8	9.0	9.5	50.3	86.5	-59.1	44.6
Unclassified	-95.4	13.5	2.9	3157.2	-6.5	-57.5	312.2	-90.7	3.4
Citywide Average	16.8	-21.1	-13.4	28.1	8.2	31.1	23.0	-22.6	17.8

Table 6.3.A
PERMIT APPLICATIONS
BY COMMERCE &
INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND
LAND USE CATEGORY,
2015

This table presents the permit applications by both Commerce & Industry District and Land Use Category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given by both land use category and Commerce & Industry District.

Number of Permits

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	47	59	112	0	33	575	28	854
Civic Center	263	177	1	114	53	457	83	1,148
Financial	3,053	431	21	67	64	417	161	4,214
Mission	127	152	62	21	49	1,854	61	2,326
North Beach	92	126	2	43	39	622	39	963
North Central	51	225	3	27	141	3,440	97	3,984
Northwest	37	116	4	2	47	2,275	64	2,545
South of Market	1,079	478	140	40	104	979	199	3,019
Southwest	142	325	21	7	131	8,360	195	9,181
Van Ness	88	129	4	33	29	1,203	56	1,542
Unclassified	2	4	1	1	7	16	3	34
TOTAL	4,981	2,222	371	355	697	20,198	986	29,810

Percentage Distribution by C&I District

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	0.9	2.7	30.2	0.0	4.7	2.8	2.8	2.9
Civic Center	5.3	8.0	0.3	32.1	7.6	2.3	8.4	3.9
Financial	61.3	19.4	5.7	18.9	9.2	2.1	16.3	14.1
Mission	2.5	6.8	16.7	5.9	7.0	9.2	6.2	7.8
North Beach	1.8	5.7	0.5	12.1	5.6	3.1	4.0	3.2
North Central	1.0	10.1	0.8	7.6	20.2	17.0	9.8	13.4
Northwest	0.7	5.2	1.1	0.6	6.7	11.3	6.5	8.5
South of Market	21.7	21.5	37.7	11.3	14.9	4.8	20.2	10.1
Southwest	2.9	14.6	5.7	2.0	18.8	41.4	19.8	30.8
Van Ness	1.8	5.8	1.1	9.3	4.2	6.0	5.7	5.2
Unclassified	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.1	0.3	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	5.5	6.9	13.1	0.0	3.9	67.3	3.3	100.0
Civic Center	22.9	15.4	0.1	9.9	4.6	39.8	7.2	100.0
Financial	72.4	10.2	0.5	1.6	1.5	9.9	3.8	100.0
Mission	5.5	6.5	2.7	0.9	2.1	79.7	2.6	100.0
North Beach	9.6	13.1	0.2	4.5	4.0	64.6	4.0	100.0
North Central	1.3	5.6	0.1	0.7	3.5	86.3	2.4	100.0
Northwest	1.5	4.6	0.2	0.1	1.8	89.4	2.5	100.0
South of Market	35.7	15.8	4.6	1.3	3.4	32.4	6.6	100.0
Southwest	1.5	3.5	0.2	0.1	1.4	91.1	2.1	100.0
Van Ness	5.7	8.4	0.3	2.1	1.9	78.0	3.6	100.0
Unclassified	5.9	11.8	2.9	2.9	20.6	47.1	8.8	100.0
TOTAL	16.7	7.5	1.2	1.2	2.3	67.8	3.3	100.0

 $\label{local_equation} \textbf{Notes:} \ PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; \ CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational \\ \textbf{Sources:} \ San \ Francisco \ Planning \ Department; \ Department \ of \ Building \ Inspection \\$

Table 6.3.B TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015

This table presents the total construction cost for all permit applications by both Commerce & Industry District and Land Use Category. Also included is the percentage distribution in any given by both land use category and Commerce & Industry District.

Total Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	\$4,230	\$2,266	\$8,331	\$0	\$3,737	\$185,003	\$1,660	\$205,227
Civic Center	\$54,308	\$22,363	\$0	\$49,613	\$4,386	\$116,103	\$230	\$247,003
Financial	\$896,207	\$48,900	\$1,869	\$8,300	\$6,358	\$478,510	\$30,549	\$1,470,693
Mission	\$152,155	\$10,540	\$4,513	\$3,892	\$2,823	\$140,431	\$6,051	\$320,407
North Beach	\$7,852	\$7,517	\$50	\$923	\$4,470	\$111,766	\$904	\$133,482
North Central	\$3,610	\$12,192	\$545	\$1,296	\$40,696	\$322,416	\$81	\$380,835
Northwest	\$553	\$5,533	\$78	\$40	\$4,713	\$107,563	\$8	\$118,486
South of Market	\$352,158	\$82,511	\$32,565	\$68,862	\$45,496	\$1,090,197	\$12,158	\$1,683,945
Southwest	\$24,246	\$211,131	\$1,306	\$10,495	\$13,528	\$714,188	\$5,310	\$980,204
Van Ness	\$15,170	\$6,453	\$6,875	\$1,099	\$2,313	\$91,972	\$5,260	\$129,142
Unclassified	\$25	\$215	\$0	\$20	\$316	\$703	\$0	\$1,278
TOTAL	\$1,510,513	\$409,618	\$56,132	\$144,538	\$128,835	\$3,358,853	\$62,211	\$5,670,701

Annual Percentage Distribution by C&I District

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	0.3	0.6	14.8	0.0	2.9	5.5	2.7	3.6
Civic Center	3.6	5.5	0.0	34.3	3.4	3.5	0.4	4.4
Financial	59.3	11.9	3.3	5.7	4.9	14.2	49.1	25.9
Mission	10.1	2.6	8.0	2.7	2.2	4.2	9.7	5.7
North Beach	0.5	1.8	0.1	0.6	3.5	3.3	1.5	2.4
North Central	0.2	3.0	1.0	0.9	31.6	9.6	0.1	6.7
Northwest	0.0	1.4	0.1	0.0	3.7	3.2	0.0	2.1
South of Market	23.3	20.1	58.0	47.6	35.3	32.5	19.5	29.7
Southwest	1.6	51.5	2.3	7.3	10.5	21.3	8.5	17.3
Van Ness	1.0	1.6	12.2	0.8	1.8	2.7	8.5	2.3
Unclassified	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Annual Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	2.1	1.1	4.1	0.0	1.8	90.1	0.8	100.0
Civic Center	22.0	9.1	0.0	20.1	1.8	47.0	0.1	100.0
Financial	60.9	3.3	0.1	0.6	0.4	32.5	2.1	100.0
Mission	47.5	3.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	43.8	1.9	100.0
North Beach	5.9	5.6	0.0	0.7	3.3	83.7	0.7	100.0
North Central	0.9	3.2	0.1	0.3	10.7	84.7	0.0	100.0
Northwest	0.5	4.7	0.1	0.0	4.0	90.8	0.0	100.0
South of Market	20.9	4.9	1.9	4.1	2.7	64.7	0.7	100.0
Southwest	2.5	21.5	0.1	1.1	1.4	72.9	0.5	100.0
Van Ness	11.7	5.0	5.3	0.9	1.8	71.2	4.1	100.0
Unclassified	1.9	16.8	0.0	1.6	24.7	55.0	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	26.6	7.2	1.0	2.5	2.3	59.2	1.1	100.0

Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.3.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR ALL PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND LAND USE CATEGORY, 2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all permit applications by both Commerce & Industry District and Land Use Category. It represents the total costs (Table 6.3.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.3.A).

Average Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	Office	Retail	PDR	Hotel	CIE	Residential	Other	Average
Bayview	\$90.0	\$38.4	\$74.4		\$113.2	\$321.7	\$59.3	\$240.3
Civic Center	\$206.5	\$126.3	\$0.0	\$435.2	\$82.8	\$254.1	\$2.8	\$215.2
Financial	\$293.5	\$113.5	\$89.0	\$123.9	\$99.3	\$1,147.5	\$189.7	\$349.0
Mission	\$1,198.1	\$69.3	\$72.8	\$185.3	\$57.6	\$75.7	\$99.2	\$137.8
North Beach	\$85.3	\$59.7	\$25.0	\$21.5	\$114.6	\$179.7	\$23.2	\$138.6
North Central	\$70.8	\$54.2	\$181.7	\$48.0	\$288.6	\$93.7	\$0.8	\$95.6
Northwest	\$14.9	\$47.7	\$19.5	\$20.0	\$100.3	\$47.3	\$0.1	\$46.6
South of Market	\$326.4	\$172.6	\$232.6	\$1,721.5	\$437.5	\$1,113.6	\$61.1	\$557.8
Southwest	\$170.7	\$649.6	\$62.2	\$1,499.2	\$103.3	\$85.4	\$27.2	\$106.8
Van Ness	\$172.4	\$50.0	\$1,718.7	\$33.3	\$79.8	\$76.5	\$93.9	\$83.7
Unclassified	\$12.3	\$53.6	\$0.0	\$20.0	\$45.1	\$44.0	\$0.0	\$37.6
Citywide Average	\$303.3	\$184.3	\$151.3	\$407.1	\$184.8	\$166.3	\$63.1	\$190.2

 $\label{local_Notes: PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational} \\ \textbf{Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection} \\$

Table 6.4.1.A

ALL BUILDING PERMITS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the status of building permits by Land Use Category. Also included is the percentage distribution by Land Use Category and by permit status.

Number of Permits

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	13	16	3,313	1,404	235	4,981
Retail	18	12	1,223	703	266	2,222
PDR	3	2	205	126	35	371
Hotel	4	4	202	118	27	355
CIE	2	3	412	209	71	697
Residential	183	145	12,225	6,307	1,338	20,198
Other	14	8	266	390	308	986
TOTAL	237	190	17,846	9,257	2,280	29,810

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	5.5	8.4	18.6	15.2	10.3	16.7
Retail	7.6	6.3	6.9	7.6	11.7	7.5
PDR	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.2
Hotel	1.7	2.1	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2
CIE	0.8	1.6	2.3	2.3	3.1	2.3
Residential	77.2	76.3	68.5	68.1	58.7	67.8
Other	5.9	4.2	1.5	4.2	13.5	3.3
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Permit Status

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	0.3	0.3	66.5	28.2	4.7	100.0
Retail	0.8	0.5	55.0	31.6	12.0	100.0
PDR	0.8	0.5	55.3	34.0	9.4	100.0
Hotel	1.1	1.1	56.9	33.2	7.6	100.0
CIE	0.3	0.4	59.1	30.0	10.2	100.0
Residential	0.9	0.7	60.5	31.2	6.6	100.0
Other	1.4	8.0	27.0	39.6	31.2	100.0
TOTAL	0.8	0.6	59.9	31.1	7.6	100.0

Notes:

- PDR = Production/ Distribution/Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/ Educational
- Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.4.1.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the construction cost of building permits by Land Use Category and by permit status. Also included is the percentage distribution by Land Use Category and by permit status.

Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/ Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/ Educational
- Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Total Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	\$859	\$1,347	\$599,119	\$732,018	\$177,170	\$1,510,513
Retail	\$4,946	\$191	\$88,686	\$64,312	\$251,482	\$409,618
PDR	\$339	\$14	\$17,042	\$35,537	\$3,200	\$56,132
Hotel	\$64,387	\$120	\$16,404	\$31,938	\$31,689	\$144,538
CIE	\$540	\$50	\$36,282	\$87,351	\$4,612	\$128,835
Residential	\$115,320	\$2,379	\$350,044	\$1,144,164	\$1,746,946	\$3,358,853
Other	\$1,647	\$1,173	\$16,116	\$71,086	\$12,065	\$102,087
TOTAL	\$188,039	\$5,274	\$1,123,694	\$2,166,406	\$2,227,164	\$5,710,577

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	0.5	25.5	53.3	33.8	8.0	26.5
Retail	2.6	3.6	7.9	3.0	11.3	7.2
PDR	0.2	0.3	1.5	1.6	0.1	1.0
Hotel	34.2	2.3	1.5	1.5	1.4	2.5
CIE	0.3	0.9	3.2	4.0	0.2	2.3
Residential	61.3	45.1	31.2	52.8	78.4	58.8
Other	0.9	22.2	1.4	3.3	0.5	1.8
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Permit Status

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	0.1	0.1	39.7	48.5	11.7	100.0
Retail	1.2	0.0	21.7	15.7	61.4	100.0
PDR	0.6	0.0	30.4	63.3	5.7	100.0
Hotel	44.5	0.1	11.3	22.1	21.9	100.0
CIE	0.4	0.0	28.2	67.8	3.6	100.0
Residential	3.4	0.1	10.4	34.1	52.0	100.0
Other	1.6	1.1	15.8	69.6	11.8	100.0
TOTAL	3.3	0.1	19.7	37.9	39.0	100.0

Table 6.4.1.C AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY LAND USE CATEGORY AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all permit applications by both Land Use Category and permit status. It represents the total costs (Table 6.4.1.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.4.1.A).

Average Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

Land Use Category	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Office	\$66.1	\$84.2	\$180.8	\$521.4	\$753.9	\$303.3
Retail	\$274.8	\$15.9	\$72.5	\$91.5	\$945.4	\$184.3
PDR	\$113.1	\$6.8	\$83.1	\$282.0	\$91.4	\$151.3
Hotel	\$16,096.9	\$30.0	\$81.2	\$270.7	\$1,173.7	\$407.1
CIE	\$270.0	\$16.6	\$88.1	\$417.9	\$65.0	\$184.8
Residential	\$630.2	\$16.4	\$28.6	\$181.4	\$1,305.6	\$166.3
Other	\$117.6	\$146.6	\$60.6	\$182.3	\$39.2	\$103.5
TOTAL	\$793.4	\$27.8	\$63.0	\$234.0	\$976.8	\$191.6

Notes:

- PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair
- CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational
- Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table 6.4.2.A

BUILDING PERMITS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the status of building permits by Commerce & Industry District. Also included is the percentage distribution by Commerce & Industry District and by permit status.

Note:

Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources:

San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Number of Permits

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	66	8	393	306	81	854
Civic Center	7	12	668	339	122	1,148
Financial	12	17	2,730	1,229	226	4,214
Mission	14	6	1,395	691	220	2,326
North Beach	9	9	546	304	95	963
North Central	37	25	2,431	1,199	292	3,984
Northwest	14	13	1,583	733	202	2,545
South of Market	19	13	1,761	953	273	3,019
Southwest	56	76	5,349	3,035	665	9,181
Van Ness	3	11	977	452	99	1,542
Unclassified	0	0	13	16	5	34
TOTAL	237	190	17,846	9,257	2,280	29,810

Percentage Distribution by C&I District

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	27.8	4.2	2.2	3.3	3.6	2.9
Civic Center	3.0	6.3	3.7	3.7	5.4	3.9
Financial	5.1	8.9	15.3	13.3	9.9	14.1
Mission	5.9	3.2	7.8	7.5	9.6	7.8
North Beach	3.8	4.7	3.1	3.3	4.2	3.2
North Central	15.6	13.2	13.6	13.0	12.8	13.4
Northwest	5.9	6.8	8.9	7.9	8.9	8.5
South of Market	8.0	6.8	9.9	10.3	12.0	10.1
Southwest	23.6	40.0	30.0	32.8	29.2	30.8
Van Ness	1.3	5.8	5.5	4.9	4.3	5.2
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Permit Status

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	7.7	0.9	46.0	35.8	9.5	100.0
Civic Center	0.6	1.0	58.2	29.5	10.6	100.0
Financial	0.3	0.4	64.8	29.2	5.4	100.0
Mission	0.6	0.3	60.0	29.7	9.5	100.0
North Beach	0.9	0.9	56.7	31.6	9.9	100.0
North Central	0.9	0.6	61.0	30.1	7.3	100.0
Northwest	0.6	0.5	62.2	28.8	7.9	100.0
South of Market	0.6	0.4	58.3	31.6	9.0	100.0
Southwest	0.6	0.8	58.3	33.1	7.2	100.0
Van Ness	0.2	0.7	63.4	29.3	6.4	100.0
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	38.2	47.1	14.7	100.0
TOTAL	0.8	0.6	59.9	31.1	7.6	100.0

Table 6.4.2.B

TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the construction cost of building permits by Commerce & Industry District and by permit status. Also included is the percentage distribution by Commerce & Industry District and by permit status.

Note:

Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources:

San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Total Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	\$32,171	\$128	\$23,086	\$142,709	\$10,538	\$208,632
Civic Center	\$1,300	\$355	\$70,385	\$79,863	\$96,648	\$248,551
Financial	\$3,536	\$1,868	\$457,752	\$733,055	\$289,516	\$1,485,728
Mission	\$2,042	\$59	\$44,836	\$70,470	\$203,698	\$321,106
North Beach	\$223	\$208	\$21,787	\$96,543	\$15,085	\$133,846
North Central	\$43,828	\$420	\$87,931	\$209,457	\$41,272	\$382,908
Northwest	\$2,181	\$540	\$42,387	\$57,613	\$16,123	\$118,843
South of Market	\$88,820	\$517	\$178,486	\$477,269	\$949,338	\$1,694,430
Southwest	\$13,751	\$1,060	\$159,073	\$228,979	\$580,120	\$982,983
Van Ness	\$186	\$120	\$37,215	\$69,925	\$22,023	\$129,468
Unclassified	\$0	\$0	\$755	\$523	\$2,805	\$4,083
TOTAL	\$188,039	\$5,274	\$1,123,694	\$2,166,406	\$2,227,164	\$5,710,577

Percentage Distribution by C&I District

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	17.1	2.4	2.1	6.6	0.5	3.7
Civic Center	0.7	6.7	6.3	3.7	4.3	4.4
Financial	1.9	35.4	40.7	33.8	13.0	26.0
Mission	1.1	1.1	4.0	3.3	9.1	5.6
North Beach	0.1	3.9	1.9	4.5	0.7	2.3
North Central	23.3	8.0	7.8	9.7	1.9	6.7
Northwest	1.2	10.2	3.8	2.7	0.7	2.1
South of Market	47.2	9.8	15.9	22.0	42.6	29.7
Southwest	7.3	20.1	14.2	10.6	26.0	17.2
Van Ness	0.1	2.3	3.3	3.2	1.0	2.3
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Permit Status

C&I District	Approved	Canceled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	15.4	0.1	11.1	68.4	5.1	100.0
Civic Center	0.5	0.1	28.3	32.1	38.9	100.0
Financial	0.2	0.1	30.8	49.3	19.5	100.0
Mission	0.6	0.0	14.0	21.9	63.4	100.0
North Beach	0.2	0.2	16.3	72.1	11.3	100.0
North Central	11.4	0.1	23.0	54.7	10.8	100.0
Northwest	1.8	0.5	35.7	48.5	13.6	100.0
South of Market	5.2	0.0	10.5	28.2	56.0	100.0
Southwest	1.4	0.1	16.2	23.3	59.0	100.0
Van Ness	0.1	0.1	28.7	54.0	17.0	100.0
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	18.5	12.8	68.7	100.0
TOTAL	3.3	0.1	19.7	37.9	39.0	100.0

Table 6.4.2.C

AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION COSTS BY COMMERCE & INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND PERMIT STATUS, 2015

This table presents the average construction cost for all permit applications by both Commerce & Industry District and permit status. It represents the total costs (Table 6.4.1.B) divided by the total permits (Table 6.4.1.A).

Average Construction Costs (2015 \$ 000s)

C&I District	Approved	Cancelled	Completed	Issued	Other	TOTAL
Bayview	\$487.4	\$16.0	\$58.7	\$466.4	\$130.1	\$244.3
Civic Center	\$185.7	\$29.6	\$105.4	\$235.6	\$792.2	\$216.5
Financial	\$294.7	\$109.9	\$167.7	\$596.5	\$1,281.0	\$352.6
Mission	\$145.9	\$9.9	\$32.1	\$102.0	\$925.9	\$138.1
North Beach	\$24.8	\$23.1	\$39.9	\$317.6	\$158.8	\$139.0
North Central	\$1,184.5	\$16.8	\$36.2	\$174.7	\$141.3	\$96.1
Northwest	\$155.8	\$41.5	\$26.8	\$78.6	\$79.8	\$46.7
South of Market	\$4,674.8	\$39.7	\$101.4	\$500.8	\$3,477.4	\$561.3
Southwest	\$245.6	\$13.9	\$29.7	\$75.4	\$872.4	\$107.1
Van Ness	\$62.1	\$10.9	\$38.1	\$154.7	\$222.5	\$84.0
Unclassified			\$58.1	\$32.7	\$561.0	\$120.1
TOTAL	\$793.4	\$27.8	\$63.0	\$234.0	\$976.8	\$191.6

Note: Other represents those permits still in the pipeline.

Sources: San Francisco Planning Department; Department of Building Inspection

Table & Figure 6.5


TOTAL OFFICE SPACE IN CENTRAL AND NON-CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICTS, 2006–2015

This table presents the total existing office space in the central and non-central business districts over the last ten years. It also conveys the percent change by year. This information is presented graphically in Figure 6.5.

Note:

- Office Space includes large commercial buildings of 25,000 rentable square feet or larger in the Central and Non-Central Business
 Districts, which are defined below. Not included are government, medical, and owner occupied buildings.
- The Central Business District includes the Financial District areas both north and south of Market Street.
- The Non-Central Business District includes Jackson Square, North Waterfront, Yerba Buena, South of Market, West of Kearny, West of Van Ness Corridor, Van Ness, and Union Square.

Sources: Cushman & Wakefield of California Research Services; San Francisco Planning Department



Building Square Footage (000s)

Location	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Central Business District	46,956	47,026	48,084	48,039	49,158	49,310	49,368	49,048	50,154	50,604
Non-Central Business District	22,932	22,996	24,300	24,569	25,539	26,488	26,205	24,871	25,252	25,602
TOTAL	69,888	70,022	72,384	72,608	74,697	75,798	75,573	73,919	75,406	76,206

Percentage Change

Location	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Central Business District	0.1	2.3	-0.1	2.3	0.3	0.1	-0.6	2.3	0.9
Non-Central Business District	0.3	5.7	1.1	3.9	3.7	-1.1	-5.1	1.5	1.4
TOTAL	0.2	3.4	0.3	2.9	1.5	-0.3	-2.2	2.0	1.1



Select Areas for Land Use Tables



Table 6.6 Land use square footage by select areas, 2015

This table conveys the area square footage for each land use category for 17 select areas in San Francisco. These plan areas are shown in Map 6.2.

Land Square Footage (000s)

Laild Oquale I ootage (oods)	(600)											
Plan Area	Residential	Mixed Res	Office	Retail	PDR	CIE	Hotel	Mixed Uses	Public/OS	Vacant	Other	TOTAL
Balboa	361.3	192.2	13.2	263.5	63.1	2,904.9	0.0	76.6	2,532.2	1,383.43	0.0	7,790.3
Bayview	18,186.1	1,387.1	1,242.5	1,692.2	12,687.6	8,053.9	10.0	5,651.5	11,884.1	8,669.0	478.7	69,942.8
C-3	198.6	516.4	2,947.6	1,141.8	263.3	196.2	1,197.9	1,976.5	306.6	297.0	30.6	9,072.6
Central Waterfront	471.0	414.5	353.8	247.8	8,601.3	59.1	2.0	1,077.9	2,894.8	2,763.8	2.4	16,888.5
East Soma	1,476.8	1,436.5	1,352.3	370.1	1,049.6	230.2	61.4	924.2	733.7	1,309.3	64.1	9,008.1
Hunters Point Shipyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18,141.6	975.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,618.9	0.0	21,736.3
Market/Octavia	5,109.7	1,086.4	676.2	736.8	425.1	989.5	78.3	444.5	573.2	814.3	10.2	10,944.3
Mid-Market	310.8	82.9	657.5	227.6	167.0	67.1	142.3	252.0	0.0	423.2	0.0	2,330.4
Mission	12,061.5	2,134.3	618.3	1,493.0	2,751.8	2,041.0	71.5	1,829.7	637.6	955.5	138.0	24,692.2
Mission Bay	3,440.4	343.8	151.6	197.9	426.3	793.1	0.0	254.1	268.0	5,482.9	164.7	11,522.9
Rest of the City	362,967.0	16,718.3	4,888.0	8,270.0	6,678.7	54,133.2	2,224.0	8,791.8	267,538.6	19,993.8	3,022.1	755,225.6
Rincon Hill	272.9	375.7	280.1	49.8	29.0	187.5	0.0	56.4	7.0	373.9	0.0	1,632.3
Showplace Sq/Potrero Hill	7,990.4	848.9	682.4	613.4	2,041.8	1,782.5	0.0	1,814.8	683.1	3,374.3	53.0	19,884.5
Transbay	9'92	21.7	510.4	125.9	37.5	16.1	18.6	138.0	0.0	822.5	0.0	1,767.3
Van Ness	744.7	538.1	178.4	354.4	292.6	234.7	236.6	351.0	0.0	78.2	0.0	3,008.7
Visitacion Valley	8,452.9	148.5	281.3	106.6	957.2	372.1	0.0	32.9	625.7	768.7	104.3	11,850.3
West Soma	1,002.9	652.0	657.3	875.0	2,334.6	6.999	83.3	1,100.9	10.2	1,304.5	0.0	8,687.5
Yerba Buena	106.0	153.0	173.1	162.7	25.9	229.9	223.3	640.2	330.0	52.7	0.0	2,096.8
TOTAL	423,229.7	27,050.3	15,664.2	16,888.4	56,974.1	73,933.7	4,394.3	25,413.0	289,024.9	51,485.6	4,068.1	988,081.2

CONTINUED >

TABLE 6.6 LAND USE SQUARE FOOTAGE BY PLAN AREA, 2011 (CONTINUED)

Percentage Distribution by Select Area

Plan Area	Residential	Mixed Res	Office	Retail	PDR	CIE	Hotel	Mixed Uses	Public/OS	Vacant	Other	TOTAL
Balboa	4.6	2.5	0.2	3.4	8.0	37.3	0.0	1.0	32.5	17.8	0.0	100.0
Bayview	26.0	2.0	1.8	2.4	18.1	11.5	0.0	8.1	17.0	12.4	0.7	100.0
C-3	2.2	5.7	32.5	12.6	2.9	2.2	13.2	21.8	3.4	3.3	0.3	100.0
Central Waterfront	2.8	2.5	2.1	1.5	50.9	0.3	0.0	6.4	17.1	16.4	0.0	100.0
East Soma	16.4	15.9	15.0	4.1	11.7	2.6	0.7	10.3	8.1	14.5	0.7	100.0
Hunters Point Shipyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	100.0
Market/Octavia	46.7	9.9	6.2	6.7	3.9	9.0	0.7	4.1	5.2	7.4	0.1	100.0
Mid-Market	13.3	3.6	28.2	9.8	7.2	2.9	6.1	10.8	0.0	18.2	0.0	100.0
Mission	48.8	8.6	2.5	5.9	11.1	8.3	0.3	7.4	2.6	3.9	0.6	100.0
Mission Bay	29.9	3.0	1.3	1.7	3.7	6.9	0.0	2.2	2.3	47.6	1.4	100.0
Rest of the City	48.1	2.2	0.6	1.1	0.9	7.2	0.3	1.2	35.4	2.6	0.4	100.0
Rincon Hill	16.7	23.0	17.2	3.1	1.8	11.5	0.0	3.5	0.4	22.9	0.0	100.0
Showplace Sq/Potrero Hill	40.2	4.3	3.4	3.1	10.3	9.0	0.0	9.1	3.4	17.0	0.3	100.0
Transbay	4.3	1.2	28.9	7.1	2.1	0.9	1.1	7.8	0.0	46.5	0.0	100.0
Van Ness	24.8	17.9	5.9	11.8	9.7	7.8	7.9	11.7	0.0	2.6	0.0	100.0
Visitacion Valley	71.3	1.3	2.4	0.9	8.1	3.1	0.0	0.3	5.3	6.5	0.9	100.0
West Soma	11.5	7.5	7.6	10.1	26.9	7.7	1.0	12.7	0.1	15.0	0.0	100.0
Yerba Buena	5.1	7.3	8.3	7.8	1.2	11.0	10.7	30.5	15.7	2.5	0.0	100.0
TOTAL	42.8	2.7	1.6	1.7	5.8	7.5	0.4	2.6	29.3	5.2	0.4	100.0

Percentage Distribution by Land Use Category

Plan Area	Residential	Mixed Res	Office	Retail	PDR	CIE	Hotel	Mixed Uses	Public/OS	Vacant	Other	TOTAL
Balboa	0.1	0.7	0.1	1.6	0.1	3.9	0.0	0.3	0.9	2.7	0.0	0.8
Bayview	4.3	5.1	7.9	10.0	22.3	10.9	0.2	22.2	4.1	16.8	11.8	7.1
C-3	0.0	1.9	18.8	6.8	0.5	0.3	27.5	7.8	0.1	0.6	0.8	0.9
Central Waterfront	0.1	1.5	2.3	1.5	15.1	0.1	0.0	4.2	1.0	5.4	0.1	1.7
East Soma	0.3	5.3	8.6	2.2	1.8	0.3	1.4	3.6	0.3	2.5	1.6	0.9
Hunters Point Shipyard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	2.2
Market/Octavia	1.2	4.0	4.3	4.4	0.7	1.3	1.8	1.7	0.2	1.6	0.3	1.1
Mid-Market	0.1	0.3	4.2	1.3	0.3	0.1	3.3	1.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.2
Mission	2.8	7.9	3.9	8.6	4.8	2.8	1.6	7.2	0.2	1.9	3.4	2.5
Mission Bay	0.8	1.3	1.0	1.2	0.7	1.1	0.0	1.0	0.1	10.6	4.0	1.2
Rest of the City	85.8	61.8	31.2	49.0	11.7	73.2	51.1	34.6	92.6	38.8	74.3	76.4
Rincon Hill	0.1	1.4	1.8	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.2
Showplace Sq/Potrero Hill	1.9	3.1	4.4	3.6	3.6	2.4	0.0	7.1	0.2	6.6	1.3	2.0
Transbay	0.0	0.1	3.3	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.2
Van Ness	0.2	2.0	1.1	2.1	0.5	0.3	5.4	1.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3
Visitacion Valley	2.0	0.5	1.8	0.6	1.7	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.2	1.5	2.6	1.2
West Soma	0.2	2.4	4.2	5.2	4.1	0.9	1.9	4.3	0.0	2.5	0.1	0.9
Yerba Buena	0.0	0.6	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.3	5.1	2.5	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Notes: Mixed Res = Mixed Residential; PDR = Production/Distribution/Repair; CIE = Cultural/Institutional/Educational; Public/OS = Public and Open Space; Sources: San Francisco Office of the Assessor-Recorder; San Francisco Planning Department; Dun & Bradstreet



7.0 Transportation

The primary purpose of this chapter on transportation is to systematically track and publish information on transportation trends in San Francisco. While there are a number of ways of measuring trends in urban mobility in this city, for consistency, this chapter presents much of the same type of transportation data collected as part of the *Downtown Plan Monitoring Report*. The *Downtown Plan Monitoring Report* is an assessment of the effectiveness of the *Downtown Plan*, prepared every five years as mandated in Chapter 10E of the San Francisco Administrative Code. This chapter tracks changes in transportation trends and provides recent data in the following areas:

- Mode split;
- Parking entitlements;
- Vehicle occupancy;
- Transit service; and
- Transit Impact Development Fee (TIDF) revenues.

The transportation data presented in this chapter come from a number of government agency sources, primarily the Planning Department and Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), but also the American Community Survey, a service of the U.S. Census Bureau. For the purposes of this chapter, data are reported on an annual or biennial basis, either as part of a larger series of thematic reports or as a stand-alone set of data specifically compiled for this inventory report.

7.1 MODE SPLIT

Daily commuters access San Francisco through a number of different transportation modes, including single occupant vehicle (or drive alone); carpool or vanpool; public transit; walking; bicycle; and other modes (e.g., motorcycles, taxicabs). In addition, an increasing number of commuters regularly work at home at least one day a week.

Local trends in commute mode share over the past six years are contained in *Table 7.1*. Data is provided for both San Francisco residents working in all locations (residents), as well as San Francisco employees either residing in San Francisco County or another county in the region (employees).

7.2 PARKING ENTITLEMENTS

Studies have shown that the volume and nature of travel is influenced by the availability and price of parking. Where parking is cheap and available, employees tend to drive to work, rather than seek other alternatives. However, where parking is more expensive and alternatives are available, commuters tend to use alternative modes some of the time.

The parking entitlement data was previously included in *Table 7.2*. However, reliable data has not been available since 2014, the table has been removed from this report until more accurate figures can be obtained.

7.3 VEHICLE OCCUPANCY

This indicator measures the average number of individuals per private vehicle during critical periods of the day, when traffic congestion is at its highest (e.g., peak commute periods). The primary source for local vehicle occupancy rates is the American Community Survey (ACS) undertaken by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

This survey estimated the number of individuals commuting to work and their principal modes of travel: drive alone, carpool, public transit, bicycle, walk and other. In order to compute the average vehicle occupancy, the ACS takes the number of commuters arriving by private vehicle (drive alone or carpool/vanpool) and divides by the number of private vehicles.

The data is presented in *Table 7.3*.

7.4 TRANSIT RIDERSHIP

Levels of transit service are commonly expressed in terms of transit ridership along a specific line or in specific service areas. In this case, MUNI, the transit operating division of the SFMTA, provides periodic ridership volumes for all of its lines. These data, covering average daily volumes during Fiscal Years 2010 (2009-10) and 2015 (2014-14), are reported in *Table 7.4*. This table provides ridership data for all of MUNI's existing transit routes throughout the city, including and light rail service.

7.5 TIDF REVENUES

The SFMTA Finance Division assembles data on the volume of revenue collected from the Transit Impact Development Fee (TIDF). These fees, which are collected from building applicants just prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, are subsequently deposited into an account for use by the SFMTA. Historically, data have indicated wide variations in the volume of revenues collected each year, depending on the number and size of projects approved.

The TIDF has been in effect in San Francisco since 1981, although litigation prevented collection of this fee until three years later. Originally, the TIDF was developed to offset the increased marginal operating and capital costs incurred by MUNI in the late 1970s, during the boom in office development. In response to increasing transit demand, this new fee was designed to provide expanded peak period transit service to downtown MUNI routes. While the application of this fee was originally limited to all new and converted office space in downtown San Francisco, in 2004, legislation expanded its application to most non-residential uses throughout the city.

Annual revenues from the TIDF are shown in *Table 7.5*. They have been inflation adjusted to 2015 dollars.

Table 7.1 MODE SPLIT FOR COMMUTERS, 2009–2015

 $Table \ 7.1\ presents\ the\ most\ recent\ mode\ split\ data\ for\ San\ Francisco's\ residents\ and\ employees.\ It\ is\ shown\ in\ terms\ of\ the\ percentages\ of\ all\ trips.$

Residents					Employees							
Mode	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Drive Alone	36.0	37.6	36.3	36.4	34.2	35.3	35.3	37.1	35.0	33.3	33.0	33.6
Carpool/Vanpool	7.9	7.3	7.7	6.8	7.1	6.5	10.5	9.5	9.7	9.4	9.3	8.6
Transit	34.1	31.6	33.1	32.7	34.0	34.7	37.5	36.0	38.3	39.4	40.0	40.3
Walk	9.4	9.9	9.8	10.9	11.2	10.4	7.1	7.0	7.1	7.8	7.7	7.5
Bicycle	3.5	3.4	3.8	3.8	4.4	4.3	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.1
Work at Home	6.7	7.8	6.7	6.8	7.0	6.2	5.0	5.6	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.3
Other	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.7	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.2	2.7
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: US Census, American Community Surveys.

Table 7.3
PRIVATE VEHICLE OCCUPANCY IN San Francisco, 2008–2015

Table 7.3 presents data on private vehicle occupancy rates in San Francisco. It describes the average number of people per trip in San Francisco.

Population	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
San Francisco Employees	1.16	1.15	1.13	1.14	1.14	1.14	1.13

Sources: US Census American Community Survey.

Table 7.4 TRANSIT RIDERSHIP ON MUNI LINES, 2010 & 2015

Table 7.4 presents data on average daily ridership on MUNI lines for 2010 and 2015.

Daily Ridership by Route

Route Nos.	Route Name	2011	2015	Difference	% Change
1, 1AX, 1BX	California	27,673	26,300	-1,373	-5.0%
2	Clement	5,344	4,900	-444	-8.3%
3	Jackson	3,250	3,700	450	13.9%
5, 5R	Fulton	18,693	21,700	3,007	16.1%
6	Parnassus	9,116	7,400	-1,716	-18.8%
7, 7R, 7X	Haight-Noriega	12,164	13,500	1,336	11.0%
8, 8AX, 8BX	Bayshore	33,434	31,300	-2,134	-6.4%
9, 9R	San Bruno	15,453	19,600	4,147	26.8%
10	Townsend	5,539	5,700	161	2.9%
12	Folsom	4,338	5,300	962	22.2%
14, 14R, 14X	Mission	43,110	45,100	1,990	4.6%
18	46th Avenue	3,357	4,100	743	22.2%
19	Polk	7,508	7,800	292	3.9%
21	Hayes	11,763	7,300	-4,463	-37.9%
22	Fillmore	16,955	15,800	-1,155	-6.8%
23	Monterey	4,367	4,000	-367	-8.4%
24	Divisadero	11,972	10,700	-1,272	-10.6%
25	Treasure Island	3,459	3,200	-259	-7.5%
27	Bryant	7,511	6,700	-811	-10.8%
28, 28R	19th Avenue	14,367	14,400	33	0.2%
29	Sunset	20,724	19,200	-1,524	-7.4%
30, 30X	Stockton	34,082	26,400	-7,682	-22.5%
31, 31AX, 31BX	Balboa	10,232	10,900	668	6.5%
33	Stanyan	6,853	6,200	-653	-9.5%
35	Eureka	702	800	98	13.9%
36	Teresita	1,212	1,600	388	32.0%
37	Corbett	1,812	2,300	488	26.9%
38, 38R, 38AX, 38BX	Geary	50,342	49,600	-742	-1.5%
39	Coit	597	600	3	0.5%
41	Union	2,685	3,200	515	19.2%
43	Masonic	12,866	12,400	-466	-3.6%
44	O'Shaughnessy	13,802	16,400	2,598	18.8%
45	Union-Stockton	10,787	11,300	513	4.8%

Source:San Francisco Municipal
Transportation Agency

CONTINUED >

TABLE 7.4 TRANSIT RIDERSHIP ON MUNI LINES, 2010 & 2015 (CONTINUED)

Daily Ridership by Route

Route Nos.	Route Name	2011	2015	Difference	% Change
47	Van Ness	11,141	11,600	459	4.1%
48	Quintara-24th Street	10,684	8,100	-2,584	-24.2%
49	Van Ness-Mission	24,405	22,300	-2,105	-8.6%
52	Excelsior	1,841	2,100	259	14.1%
54	Felton	6,348	7,600	1,252	19.7%
55	16th Street	N/A	1,600	1,800	N/A
56	Rutland	503	400	-103	-20.4%
57	Parkmerced	833	1,100	267	32.1%
66	Quintara	634	800	166	26.2%
67	Bernal Heights	1,515	1,600	85	5.6%
80X	Gateway Express	9	na	-9	na
81X	Caltrain Express	240	100	-140	-58.4%
82X	Levi Plaza	834	600	-234	-28.1%
88	BART Shuttle	340	500	160	47.0%
90	Owl	204	300	96	47.4%
91	Owl	417	800	383	91.7%
F	Market	19,277	21,100	1,823	9.5%
J	Church	14,349	16,300	1,951	13.6%
K/T	Ingleside/Third	32,033	37,100	5,067	15.8%
L	Taraval	27,413	30,600	3,187	11.6%
M	Ocean View	26,809	27,800	991	3.7%
N, NX	Judah	40,277	47,500	7,223	17.9%
Cable Cars		19,893	19,100	-793	-4.0%
New Routes					
83X	Mid-Market Express	na	300	300	na
	TOTAL	666,069	678,700	12,631	1.9%

Table 7.5 TRANSIT IMPACT DEVELOPMENT FEE (TIDF) REVENUE COLLECTED (INFLATIONADJUSTED), FISCAL YEARS 2006-2015

Table 7.5 presents data on TIDF revenues collected in San Francisco since Fiscal Year 2006 (July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006) in 2015 dollars.

Fiscal Year	Fee Structure	Collections (2015 \$)
2005-2006	2004 Ordinance	\$1,479,295
2006-2007	2004 Ordinance	\$2,441,085
2007-2008	2007 Ordinance	\$1,062,149
2008-2009	2007 Ordinance	\$5,292,481
2009-2010	2007 Ordinance	\$2,142,677
2010-2011	2010 Ordinance	\$1,194,553
2011-2012	2010 Ordinance	\$1,875,640
2012-2013	2010/2013 Ordinance	\$5,102,115
2013-2014	2013/2014 Ordinance	\$13,273,383
2014-2015	2014 Ordinance	\$13,380,933

Source: San Francisco Controller's Office

Acknowledgements

Mayor

Edwin M. Lee

Board of Supervisors

London Breed, President

John Avalos David Campos

Malia Cohen

Mark Farrell

Jane Kim Eric Mar

Aaron Peskin

Katy Tang

Scott Wiener

Norman Yee

Planning Commission

Rodney Fong, President

Dennis Richards, Vice-President

Rich Hillis

Christine D. Johnson

Joel Koppel Myrna Melgar Kathrin Moore

Planning Department

John Rahaim, Planning Director

Teresa Ojeda, Manager, Information & Analysis Group

Scott T. Edmondson, Project Manager

Paolo Ikezoe, Lead Planner

Gary Chen, Graphics

Adrienne Hyder, Graphics

Alton Chinn, Senior Data Scientist

Paula Chiu, Data Scientist

Michael Webster, GIS and Cartography Master

Outside Agencies

With assistance from:

Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)

Bureau of Labor Statistics

U.S. Census Bureau

California Department of Finance

California Employment Development Department

California State Board of Equalization

Cushman & Wakefield

Dun & Bradstreet

San Francisco Controller's Office

San Francisco Department of Building Inspection

