

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Executive Summary Conditional Use Authorization HEARING DATE: JUNE 23, 2016

| Date: | June 13, 2016 |
|------------------|---|
| Case No.: | 2015-011509CUA |
| | 2016-001881CUA |
| Project Address: | 99 Grove Street |
| Current Zoning: | P (Public) |
| | 80-X Height and Bulk District |
| Block/Lot: | 0812/001 |
| Project Sponsor: | Verizon Wireless, represented by Kelly Pepper |
| | 2785 Mitchell Drive, Bldg 9 |
| | Walnut Creek, CA 94598 |
| | |
| | AT&T Mobility, represented by Eric Lentz |
| | 430 Bush Street, 5th Floor |
| | San Francisco, CA 94108 |
| Staff Contact: | Seema Adina – (415) 575-8722 |
| | Seema.Adina@sfgov.org |

Approval with Conditions

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Recommendation:

The proposal is to allow the development of a Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility macro Wireless Telecommunications Services ("WTS") facility on Bill Graham Civic Auditorium. The macro WTS facility would in-total feature twenty-three (23) panel antennas within four rooftop-mounted faux penthouses and four (4) GPS antennas on four corners of the building along Polk Street, Grove Street, Larkin Street, and Hayes Street. The Department worked with both carriers to create a cohesive design that would minimize the visibility of the wireless installation and improve the rooftop aesthetics of the co-location at this Site.

The screen walls would be composed of fibre-reinforced plastic ("FRP"), which allows radio signals to pass through, but can be textured and painted to mimic existing elements of the subject building. The penthouses would be located in four locations on the roof:

- Sector A antennas would be located within a faux penthouse on the northwest side of subject building. AT&T Mobility would install three (3) new antennas in this sector, and Verizon Wireless would install one (1). The antennas would be located within an FRP enclosure measuring 6'-6" by 23'-1" and 8'-9" in height. The screening would be setback 32'-2" from Grove Street and 16'-0" from Polk Street.
- Sector B antennas would be located within a faux penthouse on the northeast side of subject building. AT&T Mobility would install two (2) new antennas in this sector, and Verizon Wireless would install one (1). The antennas would be located within an FRP

www.sfplanning.org

enclosure measuring 6'-6" by 23'-1" and 8'-9" in height. The screening would be setback 31'-5" from Grove Street and 16'-0" from Larkin Street.

- Sector C antennas would be located within a faux penthouse on the southeast side of subject building. AT&T Mobility would install six (6) new antennas in this sector, and Verizon Wireless would install three (3). The antennas would be located within an FRP enclosure measuring 14'-11" by 27'-7". The screening would be setback 44'-5" from Larkin Street and 27'-5" from Hayes Street.
- Sector D antennas would be located within a faux penthouse on the southwest side of subject building. AT&T Mobility would install three (3) new antennas in this sector, and Verizon Wireless would install four (4). The antennas would be located within an FRP enclosure measuring 22'-3" by 12'-6". The screening would be setback 44'-6" from Polk Street and 27'-6" from Hayes Street.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The Project Site is located on Assessor's Block 0812, Lot 001. The lot encompasses the entire block and fronts along Grove, Larkin, Hayes, and Polk Streets. The FRP installations are located on the four corners of the building.

The Project Site features a public multi-purpose event venue with a capacity of 6,000 people.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The Project Site is situated within the Downtown/Civic Center neighborhood, near the northern edge of the South of Market neighborhood. The Project Site is across Grove Street from Civic Center Plaza and San Francisco City Hall, and is adjacent to various mixed-use developments in surrounding buildings.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 categorical exemption (Construction of New Communications Facilities). The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, San Francisco.

| TYPE | REQUIRED PERIOD | REQUIRED NOTICE DATE | ACTUAL NOTICE DATE | ACTUAL PERIOD |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Classified News Ad | 20 days | June 3, 2016 | June 1, 2016 | 22 days |
| Posted Notice | 20 days | June 3, 2016 | June 3, 2016 | 20 days |
| Mailed Notice | 10 days | June 13, 2016 | June 3, 2016 | 20 days |

HEARING NOTIFICATION

PUBLIC COMMENT/COMMUNITY OUTREACH

Verizon Wireless held a community meeting on Wednesday November 18, 2015 from 6:00pm to 7:00pm at the San Francisco Public Library on 100 Larkin Street. No members of the community attended the

meeting.

AT&T Mobility held a community meeting on February 10, 2016 from 5:30pm to 6:00pm at the San Francisco Public Library on 100 Larkin Street. One member of the community attended the meeting and voiced his approval of the proposed AT&T project.

As of June 13, 2016, the Department has not received any calls or testimony raising concerns.

ISSUES AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- This Project has been reviewed and approved by the City's Civic Design Review Committee on November 16, 2015, which reviews the architectural designs for any alterations to publically-owned structures.
- Planning staff issued an Administrative Certificate of Appropriate on May 24, 2016. The comment period expired on June 13, 2016, with no requests for review by the Historic Preservation Commission.
- Based on the zoning and land use, the existing WTS facility is at a Location Preference 1 Site (Public buildings, structures, utilities or other neighborhood institutions) according to the WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, as Bill Graham Civic Auditorium is zoned as Public, making it the most desirable location.
- Given the directional nature of the panel antennas, their specific orientation, and their placement on the roof, the RF emissions created by the proposed panel antennas would not result in exposure levels that approach or exceed the public exposure limits set by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). As noted on Radio-Frequency (RF) emissions report, the combined maximum RF exposure would be at maximum 41% of the public exposure limit set by the FCC. The antennas are not accessible to any unauthorized persons due to their height and location on the roof. Health and safety aspects (e.g. engineering review for structural loads, and backup battery storage) of all wireless Projects are reviewed by the Department of Public Health, San Francisco Fire Department, and the Department of Building Inspection.
- The proposed macro WTS facility would not significantly impair commercial and residential activities within the Project Site.
- An updated Five Year Plan with approximate longitudinal and latitudinal coordinates of proposed locations, including the Project Site, is on file with the Planning Department for both carriers.
- All required public notifications were conducted in compliance with the Planning Code and adopted WTS policies.

REQUIRED COMMISSION ACTION

Pursuant to Sections 303 and 211.2 of the Planning Code, a Conditional Use Authorization is required for a macro WTS facility (Public Use).

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

This Project is necessary and/or desirable under Section 303 of the Planning Code for the following reasons:

- The proposed facility would be screened from view by virtue of proposed enclosures and their placement (sufficiently setback from roof edges) on the rooftop of the Subject Site. The proposal would not significantly detract from views of the Subject Building or from the view of other surrounding buildings, nor would it detract from adjacent streetscapes and vistas within the Downtown/Civic Center or South of Market neighborhoods.
- The Project complies with the applicable requirements of the Planning Code.
- The Project is consistent with the Objectives and Policies of the General Plan.
- The Project is consistent with the 1996 WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, Planning Commission Resolution No. 14182, 16539, and 18523 supplementing the 1996 WTS Guidelines.
- Health and safety aspects of all wireless projects are reviewed under the Department of Public Health and the Department of Building Inspections.
- The expected RF emissions fall well within the limits established by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
- According to the Wireless Telecommunications Services (WTS) Facilities Siting Guidelines, the Project Site is Location Preference 1 (Public) site.
- Based on propagation maps provided by Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility, the Project would provide enhanced coverage in an area that currently experiences gaps in coverage and capacity.
- Based on the analysis provided by Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility, the Project would provide additional capacity in an area that currently experiences insufficient service during periods of high data usage.
- Based on independent third-party evaluation, the maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity provided by Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility are accurate.

RECOMMENDATION: Approval with Conditions

Attachments:

Draft Conditional Use Authorization Motions Zoning Map Block Book Map Sanborn Map Aerial Map Context Photos Photosimulations Radio Frequency Report Department of Public Health Approval Community Outreach Report Independent Evaluation Reduced Plans

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

| Executive Summary Hearing Date: June 23, 2016 | | | E NOs. 2015-011509CUA and 2016-001881CUA 99 Grove Street |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|
| Attachme | ent Checklist | | |
| \square | Draft Motion | \boxtimes | Project sponsor submittal |
| \boxtimes | Zoning District Map | | Drawings: Proposed Project |
| | Height & Bulk Map | | Check for legibility |
| \boxtimes | Block Book Map | \boxtimes | Community Outreach Report |
| \boxtimes | Sanborn Map | \boxtimes | Coverage Maps |
| \boxtimes | Aerial Map | \boxtimes | RF Report |
| \square | Context Photos | \boxtimes | DPH Approval |
| \square | Photo Simulations | \bowtie | Independent Evaluation |

Exhibits above marked with an "X" are included in this packet ______SA ____Planner's Initials

SA: I:\Current Planning\Wireless Facilities\Conditional Use Permits\99 Grove (Combined)\99 Grove Executive Summary



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

- □ Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)
- □ Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)

Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)

- □ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
- Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)Other
- Planning Commission Draft Motion HEARING DATE: JUNE 23, 2016

| Date.: | June 13, 2016 |
|------------------|---|
| Case No.: | 2015-011509CUA |
| Project Address: | 99 Grove Street |
| Current Zoning: | P (Public) |
| | 80-X Height and Bulk District |
| Block/Lot: | 0812/001 |
| Project Sponsor: | Verizon Wireless, represented by Kelly Pepper |
| | 2785 Mitchell Drive, Bldg. 9 |
| | Walnut Creek, CA 94598 |
| Staff Contact: | Seema Adina – (415) 575-8722 |
| | Seema.Adina@sfgov.org |

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 303 AND 211.2 TO DEVELOP A VERIZON WIRELESS MACRO WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FACILITY CONSISTING OF UP TO NINE (9) SCREENED ROOFTOP MOUNTED PANEL ANTENNAS, TWO (2) GPS ANTENNAS, AND AN ASSOCIATED ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT AREA AS PART OF THE VERIZON WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK WITHIN THE P (PUBLIC) ZONING DISTRICT, AND AN 80-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On September 4, 2015, Verizon Wireless (hereinafter "Project Sponsor"), submitted an application (hereinafter "Application"), for a Conditional Use Authorization on the property at 99 Grove Street, Block 0812, Lot 001, (hereinafter "Project Site") to develop a Verizon Wireless macro Wireless Telecommunications Services facility (hereinafter "WTS") consisting of up to nine (9) screened rooftop-mounted panel antennas, and two GPS antennas, and a rooftop equipment area as part of the Verizon Wireless telecommunications network, within a Public, (P) Zoning District, and a 80-X Height and Bulk District.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption (Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act). The Planning Commission has reviewed and concurs with said determination. The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department

www.sfplanning.org

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 (hereinafter "Department"), as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco.

On June 23, 2016 the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the Application for a Conditional Use Authorization.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the Applicant, Department Staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use in Application No. 2015-011509CUA, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. Site Description and Present Use. The Project Site is located on Assessor's Block 0812, Lot 001. The lot encompasses the entire block and fronts along Grove, Larkin, Hayes, and Polk Streets. The fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) installations are located on the four corners of the building.

The Project Site features a public multi-purpose event venue (Bill Graham Civic Auditorium) with a capacity of 6,000 people.

- 3. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood**. The Project Site is situated within the Downtown/Civic Center neighborhood, near the northern edge of the South of Market neighborhood. The Project Site is across Grove Street from Civic Center Plaza and San Francisco City Hall, and is adjacent to various mixed-use developments in surrounding buildings.
- 4. **Project Description.** The proposal is to allow the development of a Verizon Wireless macro Wireless Telecommunications Services ("WTS") facility. The macro WTS facility would feature nine (9) panel antennas within four rooftop-mounted faux penthouses and two (2) GPS antennas on four corners of the building along Polk Street, Grove Street, Larkin Street, and Hayes Street. The Department worked with the carrier to create a cohesive design that would minimize the visibility of the wireless installation and improve the rooftop aesthetics of the co-location at this Site.

The screen walls would be composed of fibre-reinforced plastic ("FRP") which allows radio signals to pass through, but can be textured and painted to mimic the existing

elements of the subject building. The penthouses would be located in four locations on the roof, sufficiently setback from corresponding streets to minimize visibility.

5. Past History and Actions. The Planning Commission adopted the Wireless *Telecommunications Services (WTS) Facilities Siting Guidelines* ("Guidelines") for the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities in 1996. These Guidelines set forth the land use policies and practices that guide the installation and approval of wireless facilities throughout San Francisco. A large portion of the Guidelines was dedicated to establishing location preferences for these installations. The Board of Supervisors, in Resolution No. 635-96, provided input as to where wireless facilities should be located within San Francisco. The Guidelines were updated by the Commission in 2003 and again in 2012, requiring community outreach, notification, and detailed information about the facilities to be installed.

Section 8.1 of the Guidelines outlines Location Preferences for wireless facilities. There are five primary areas were the installation of wireless facilities should be located:

- 1. Publicly-used Structures: such facilities as fire stations, utility structures, community facilities, and other public structures;
- 2. Co-Location Site: encourages installation of facilities on buildings that already have wireless installations;
- 3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as warehouses, factories, garages, service stations;
- 4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as supermarkets, retail stores, banks; and
- 5. Mixed-Use Buildings in High Density Districts: buildings such as housing above commercial or other non-residential space.

Section 8.1 of the WTS Siting Guidelines further stipulates that the Planning Commission will not approve WTS applications for Preference 5 or below Location Sites unless the application describes (a) what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more Preferred Locations, (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide networks.

Before the Planning Commission can review an application to install a wireless facility, the Project Sponsor must submit a five-year facilities plan, which must be updated biannually, an emissions report and approval by the Department of Public Health, Section 106 Declaration of Intent, an independent evaluation verifying coverage and capacity, a submittal checklist and details about the facilities to be installed.

Under Section 704(B)(iv) of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act, local jurisdictions cannot deny wireless facilities based on Radio Frequency (RF) radiation emissions so long as such facilities comply with the FCC's regulations concerning such emissions.

3

- 6. Location Preference. The WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines identify different types of zoning districts and building uses for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities. Based on the zoning and land use, the proposed WTS facility is at a Location Preference 1 Site (Public buildings, structures, utilities, or other neighborhood institutions) according to the WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, making it the most desired location.
- 7. **Radio Waves Range.** The Project Sponsor has stated that the proposed wireless network is designed to address coverage and capacity needs in the area. The network will operate in the 700Megahertz (MHZ) bands, which are regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and must comply with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
- 8. **Radiofrequency (RF) Emissions:** The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines.
- 9. **Department of Public Health Review and Approval.** The Project was referred to the Department of Public Health (DPH) for emissions exposure analysis. Radio-Frequency (RF) levels from the proposed Verizon Wireless transmitters at ground level would be around 4.1% of the FCC public exposure limit.

There are currently no antennas operated by Verizon Wireless installed on the rooftop of the building at 99 Grove Street. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 5% of the FCC public exposure limit. Verizon Wireless proposes to install nine (9) new antennas and two (2) GPS antennas at this site. AT&T Mobility proposes to install fourteen (14) new antennas and two (2) GPS antennas at this site. The estimated combined ambient RF field from the proposed Verizon Wireless and AT&T Mobility wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 41% of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels of the combined installations equal to the public exposure limit extends 110 feet and includes portions of the rooftop areas. Due to their height and location, the antennas are not accessible to any unauthorized people. Workers should not have access to within 47 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. Areas of the rooftop exceeding the FCC Occupational limits should be marked with red striping and areas exceeding the FCC public limits with yellow striping on the rooftop.

10. **Coverage and Capacity Verification.** The maps, data, and conclusion provided by Verizon Wireless to demonstrate the need for outdoor and indoor coverage and capacity have been determined by Hammett & Edison, and engineering consultant and independent third party to accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation conclusions.

- 11. **Maintenance Schedule**. The facility would operate without on-site staff but with a maintenance crew visiting the property to service and monitor the facility.
- 12. **Community Outreach.** As required under the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor held a community meeting at 100 Larkin Street, to discuss the Project at 6:00 p.m. on November 18th, 2015. No community members attended the meeting.
- 13. Five-year plan: Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor submitted an updated five-year plan, as required, in April 2016.
- 14. **Public Comment.** As of June 13, 2016, the Department has not received any calls or testimony raising concerns about the proposed project.
- 15. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
 - A. Use. Per Planning Code Section 211.2, a Conditional Use Authorization is required for a macro WTS facility (Public Use).
- 16. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the Project complies with said criteria in that:
 - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.
 - i. Desirable: San Francisco is a leader of the technological economy; it is important and desirable to the vitality of the City to have and maintain adequate telecommunications coverage and data capacity. This includes the installation and upgrading of systems to keep up with changing technology and increases in usage. It is desirable for the City to allow wireless facilities to be installed.

The Project at 99 Grove Street is generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the Project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be designed to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. The overall location, setback from public streets, height and design of the proposed facility, including visible screening elements, is situated so as to avoid intrusion into public vistas, and to insure harmony with the existing neighborhood character and promote public safety.

ii. Necessary: In the case of wireless installations, there are two criteria that the Commission reviews: coverage and capacity.

Coverage: San Francisco does have sufficient overall wireless coverage (note that this is separate from carrier capacity). San Francisco's unique coverage issues are due to

topography and building heights. The hills and buildings disrupt lines-of-site between WTS base stations. Thus, telecommunication carriers continue to install additional installations to make sure coverage is sufficient.

Capacity: While a carrier may have adequate coverage in a certain area, the capacity may not be sufficient. With the continuous innovations in wireless data technology and demand placed on existing infrastructure, individual telecommunications carriers must upgrade and in some instances expand their facilities network to provide proper data and voice capacity. It is necessary for San Francisco, as a leader in technology, to have adequate capacity.

The Project at 99 Grove Street is necessary in order to achieve sufficient street and inbuilding mobile phone coverage and data capacity. Recent drive tests in the subject area conducted by the Verizon Wireless Radio Frequency Engineering Team provide that the Project Site is a preferable location, based on factors including quality of coverage and aesthetics.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
 - i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project must comply with all applicable Federal and State regulations to safeguard the health, safety and to ensure that persons residing or working in the vicinity will not be affected, and prevent harm to other personal property.

The Department of Public Health conducted an evaluation of potential health effects from Radio Frequency radiation, and has concluded that the proposed wireless transmission facilities will have no adverse health effects if operated in compliance with the FCCadopted health and safety standards.

The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

No increase in traffic volume is anticipated with the facilities operating unmanned, with a maintenance crew visiting the Site once a month or on an as-needed basis.

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, noise or noxious emissions from continued use are not likely to be significantly greater than ambient conditions due to the operation of the wireless communication network.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The facility will not affect landscaping, open space, required parking, lighting or signage at the Project Site or surrounding area.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with Objectives and Policies of the General Plan, as detailed below.

17. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

BALANCE HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE 12:

BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

Policy 12.3:

Ensure new housing is sustainable supported by the City's public infrastructure systems.

The Project will improve Verizon Wireless's coverage and capacity within the Downtown/Civic Center neighborhood.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1:

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.1:

Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes

undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 1.2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Project would enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the Project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

Policy 2.3:

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The Site would be an integral part of a new wireless communications network that would enhance the City's diverse economic base.

OBJECTIVE 4:

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 4.1:

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

Policy 4.2:

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The Project would benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

VISITOR TRADE

OBJECTIVE 8:

ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

Policy 8.3:

Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project would ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of Verizon Wireless telecommunications.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 3:

ESTABLISH STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTS OF A DISASTER.

Policy 1.20

Increase communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster and ensure communication abilities extend to hard-to-reach areas and special populations.

Policy 2.4

Bolster the Department of Emergency Management's role as the City's provider of emergency planning and communication, and prioritize its actions to meet the needs of San Francisco.

Policy 2.15

Utilize advancing technology to enhance communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster, particularly in the high-contact period immediately following a disaster.

Policy 3.7:

Develop a system to convey personalized information during and immediately after a disaster.

The Project would enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services.

- 18. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Project does comply with said policies in that:
 - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The wireless communications network would enhance personal communication services for businesses and customers in the surrounding area.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No residential uses would be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this Authorization. The Project site features residential units on the upper stories and penthouse.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on housing in the vicinity.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

Due to the nature of the Project and minimal maintenance or repair, municipal transit service would not be significantly impeded and neighborhood parking would not be overburdened.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not cause any displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Compliance with applicable structural safety and seismic safety requirements would be considered during the building permit application review process.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The facility would be screened from view by virtue of equipment placement on the rooftop. Planning staff issued an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness on May 24, 2016. The comment period expired on June 13, 2016, with no requests for review by the Historic Preservation Commission. While the proposed penthouse structures are minimally visible from surrounding public rights-of-way (e.g. sidewalks along surrounding streets), the size, height, and setback of the screening structures would not significantly detract from views of the Subject building.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would have no adverse effect on parks or open space, or their access to sunlight or public vistas.

- 19. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 20. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. **2015-011509CUA**, subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated May 17, 2016, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. XXXX. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives NOTICE that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not recommence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was adopted by the Planning Commission on **June 23**, **2016**.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED:

SAN FRANCISCO

12

EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a conditional use to allow a Macro Wireless Telecommunications Facility with up to nine (9) screened panel antennas (operated by Verizon Wireless) located at 99 Grove Street, Block 0812, and Lot 001 pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 211.2 within the P Zoning District and an 80-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated May 17, 2016 and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Record No. 2015-011509CUA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on June 23, 2016 under Motion No XXXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the Project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **June 23, 2016** under Motion No. XXXX.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. XXXX shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use Authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use Authorization.

Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

1. Validity. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

2. Expiration and Renewal. Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

3. **Diligent pursuit.** Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

4. Extension. All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

DESIGN – COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE

6. **Plan Drawings - WTS.** Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review

and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:

- a. Structure and Siting. Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
- b. For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities. Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
- c. Emissions. Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas. *For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at* 415-575-9078, *www.sf-planning.org.*
- 7. Screening WTS. To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:
 - a. Modify the placement of the facilities;
 - b. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
 - c. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2 1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions;
 - d. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
 - e. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
 - a. Antennas and back up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual effects;
 - b. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back up facilities are not viewed from the street;
 - c. Although co location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case by case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-575-9078, *www.sf-planning.org*.

MONITORING - AFTER ENTITLEMENT

8. **Enforcement.** Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning

Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

9. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific Conditions of Approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

10. Implementation Costs - WTS.

The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.

The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with implementation of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Technology, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency. The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.

The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

11. **Implementation and Monitoring -** WTS. In the event that the Project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

12. **Project Implementation Report - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:

- a. Identify the three dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
- b. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
- c. The Project Implementation Report shall compare test results for each test point with applicable FCC standards. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a non-holiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power.
- d. Testing, Monitoring, and Preparation. The Project Implementation Report shall be prepared by a certified professional engineer or other technical expert approved by the Department. At the sole option of the Department, the Department (or its agents) may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the Project Sponsor pursuant to the condition related to the payment of the City's reasonable costs.
- e. Notification and Testing. The Project Implementation Report shall set forth the testing and measurements undertaken pursuant to Conditions 2 and 4.
- f. Approval. The Zoning Administrator shall request that the Certification of Final Completion for operation of the facility not be issued by the Department of Building Inspection until such time that the Project Implementation Report is approved by the Department for compliance with these conditions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

13. **Coverage and Capacity Verification.** Use is authorized as long as an independent evaluator, selected by the Planning Department, determines that the information and conclusions submitted by the wireless service provider in support of its request for conditional use are accurate. The wireless service provider shall fully cooperate with the evaluator and shall provide any and all data requested by the evaluator to allow the evaluator to verify that the maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity submitted are accurate. The wireless service provider shall bear all costs of said evaluation. The independent evaluator, upon request by the wireless service provider shall keep the submitted data confidential and shall sign a confidentiality agreement acceptable to the wireless service provider. The independent evaluator shall be a professional engineer licensed by the State of California. *For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at* 415-575-9079,

www.sf-planning.org.

- 14. Notification prior to Project Implementation Report WTS. The Project Sponsor shall undertake appropriate tests for residents of any dwelling units located within 25 feet of the transmitting antenna.
 - a. At least twenty calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report, the Project Sponsor shall mail notice to the Department, as well as to the resident of any legal dwelling unit within 25 feet of a transmitting antenna of the date on which testing will be conducted. The Applicant will submit a written affidavit attesting to this mail notice along with the mailing list.

b. When requested in advance by a resident notified of testing pursuant to subsection (a), the Project Sponsor shall conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within the residence of that resident on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Project Implementation Report.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

15. **Installation - WTS.** Within 10 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall confirm in writing to the Zoning Administrator that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC emissions standards.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

16. **Periodic Safety Monitoring - WTS.** The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

OPERATION

- 17. **Community Liaison.** Prior to issuance of a building permit application to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor. *For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at* 415-575-6863, *www.sf-planning.org*
- Out of Service WTS. The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennas and equipment that has been out of service or otherwise abandoned for a continuous period of six (6) months.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

19. Emissions Conditions – WTS. It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

20. Noise and Heat – WTS. The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

21. **Transfer of Operation** – WTS. Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

22. Compatibility with City Emergency Services – WTS. The facility shall not be operated or caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Technology, 415-581-4000, <u>http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421</u>



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Subject to: (Select only if applicable)

- □ Affordable Housing (Sec. 415)
- □ Jobs Housing Linkage Program (Sec. 413)
- Downtown Park Fee (Sec. 412)
- □ First Source Hiring (Admin. Code)
- □ Child Care Requirement (Sec. 414)
- □ Other

Planning Commission Draft Motion HEARING DATE: JUNE 23, 2016

| Date.: | June 13, 2016 |
|------------------|--|
| Case No.: | 2016-001881CUA |
| Project Address: | 99 Grove Street |
| Current Zoning: | P (Public) |
| | 80-X Height and Bulk District |
| Block/Lot: | 0812/001 |
| Project Sponsor: | AT&T Mobility, represented by Eric Lentz |
| | 430 Bush Street, 5 th Floor |
| | San Francisco, CA 94108 |
| Staff Contact: | Seema Adina – (415) 575-8722 |
| | Seema.Adina@sfgov.org |

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION UNDER PLANNING CODE SECTIONS 303 AND 211.2 TO DEVELOP AN AT&T MOBILITY MACRO WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FACILITY CONSISTING OF UP TO FOURTEEN (14) SCREENED ROOFTOP MOUNTED PANEL ANTENNAS, TWO (2) GPS ANTENNAS, AND AN ASSOCIATED ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT AREA AS PART OF THE AT&T MOBILITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK WITHIN THE P (PUBLIC) ZONING DISTRICT, AND AN 80-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT.

PREAMBLE

On February 10, 2015, AT&T Mobility (hereinafter "Project Sponsor"), submitted an application (hereinafter "Application"), for a Conditional Use Authorization on the property at 99 Grove Street, Block 0812, Lot 001, (hereinafter "Project Site") to develop an AT&T Mobility macro Wireless Telecommunications Services facility (hereinafter "WTS") consisting of up to fourteen (14) screened rooftop-mounted panel antennas, and two GPS antennas, and a rooftop equipment area as part of the AT&T Mobility telecommunications network, within a Public, (P) Zoning District, and a 80-X Height and Bulk District.

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") as a Class 3 Categorical Exemption (Section 15303 of the California Environmental Quality Act). The Planning Commission has reviewed and concurs with said determination. The categorical exemption and all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Planning Department

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377 (hereinafter "Department"), as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco.

On June 23, 2016 the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on the Application for a Conditional Use Authorization.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the Applicant, Department Staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use in Application No. 2015-001881CUA, subject to the conditions contained in "EXHIBIT A" of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.
- 2. Site Description and Present Use. The Project Site is located on Assessor's Block 0812, Lot 001. The lot encompasses the entire block and fronts along Grove, Larkin, Hayes, and Polk Streets. The fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) installations are located on the four corners of the building.

The Project Site features a public multi-purpose event venue (Bill Graham Civic Auditorium) with a capacity of 6,000 people.

- 3. **Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood**. The Project Site is situated within the Downtown/Civic Center neighborhood, near the northern edge of the South of Market neighborhood. The Project Site is across Grove Street from Civic Center Plaza and San Francisco City Hall, and is adjacent to various mixed-use developments in surrounding buildings.
- 4. **Project Description**. The proposal is to allow the development of an AT&T Mobility macro Wireless Telecommunications Services ("WTS") facility. The macro WTS facility would feature fourteen (14) panel antennas within four rooftop-mounted faux penthouses and two (2) GPS antennas on four corners of the building along Polk Street, Grove Street, Larkin Street, and Hayes Street. The Department worked with the carrier to create a cohesive design that would minimize the visibility of the wireless installation and improve the rooftop aesthetics of the co-location at this Site.

The screen walls would be composed of fibre-reinforced plastic ("FRP") which allows radio signals to pass through, but can be textured and painted to mimic the existing

elements of the subject building. The penthouses would be located in four locations on the roof, sufficiently setback from corresponding streets to minimize visibility.

5. Past History and Actions. The Planning Commission adopted the Wireless *Telecommunications Services (WTS) Facilities Siting Guidelines* ("Guidelines") for the installation of wireless telecommunications facilities in 1996. These Guidelines set forth the land use policies and practices that guide the installation and approval of wireless facilities throughout San Francisco. A large portion of the Guidelines was dedicated to establishing location preferences for these installations. The Board of Supervisors, in Resolution No. 635-96, provided input as to where wireless facilities should be located within San Francisco. The Guidelines were updated by the Commission in 2003 and again in 2012, requiring community outreach, notification, and detailed information about the facilities to be installed.

Section 8.1 of the Guidelines outlines Location Preferences for wireless facilities. There are five primary areas were the installation of wireless facilities should be located:

- 1. Publicly-used Structures: such facilities as fire stations, utility structures, community facilities, and other public structures;
- 2. Co-Location Site: encourages installation of facilities on buildings that already have wireless installations;
- 3. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as warehouses, factories, garages, service stations;
- 4. Industrial or Commercial Structures: buildings such as supermarkets, retail stores, banks; and
- 5. Mixed-Use Buildings in High Density Districts: buildings such as housing above commercial or other non-residential space.

Section 8.1 of the WTS Siting Guidelines further stipulates that the Planning Commission will not approve WTS applications for Preference 5 or below Location Sites unless the application describes (a) what publicly-used building, co-location site or other Preferred Location Sites are located within the geographic service area; (b) what good faith efforts and measures were taken to secure these more Preferred Locations, (c) explains why such efforts were unsuccessful; and (d) demonstrates that the location for the site is essential to meet demands in the geographic service area and the Applicant's citywide networks.

Before the Planning Commission can review an application to install a wireless facility, the Project Sponsor must submit a five-year facilities plan, which must be updated biannually, an emissions report and approval by the Department of Public Health, Section 106 Declaration of Intent, an independent evaluation verifying coverage and capacity, a submittal checklist and details about the facilities to be installed.

Under Section 704(B)(iv) of the 1996 Federal Telecommunications Act, local jurisdictions cannot deny wireless facilities based on Radio Frequency (RF) radiation emissions so long as such facilities comply with the FCC's regulations concerning such emissions.

- 6. Location Preference. The WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines identify different types of zoning districts and building uses for the siting of wireless telecommunications facilities. Based on the zoning and land use, the proposed WTS facility is at a Location Preference 1 Site (Public buildings, structures, utilities, or other neighborhood institutions) according to the WTS Facilities Siting Guidelines, making it the most desired location.
- 7. **Radio Waves Range**. The Project Sponsor has stated that the proposed wireless network is designed to address coverage and capacity needs in the area. The network will operate in the 700 Megahertz (MHZ) bands, which are regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and must comply with the FCC-adopted health and safety standards for electromagnetic radiation and radio frequency radiation.
- 8. **Radiofrequency (RF)** Emissions: The Project Sponsor retained Hammett & Edison, a radio engineering consulting firm, to prepare a report describing the expected RF emissions from the proposed facility. Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Department of Public Health reviewed the report and determined that the proposed facility complies with the standards set forth in the Guidelines.
- 9. **Department of Public Health Review and Approval.** The Project was referred to the Department of Public Health (DPH) for emissions exposure analysis. Radio-Frequency (RF) levels from the proposed AT&T Mobility transmitters at ground level would be around 6% of the FCC public exposure limit.

There are currently no antennas operated by AT&T Mobility installed on the rooftop of the building at 99 Grove Street. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 5% of the FCC public exposure limit. AT&T proposes to install fourteen (14) new antennas and two (2) GPS antennas at this site. Verizon Wireless proposes to install nine (9) new antennas and two (2) GPS antennas at this site. The estimated combined ambient RF field from the proposed AT&T Mobility and Verizon Wireless wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 41% of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels of the combined installations equal to the public exposure limit extends 110 feet and includes portions of the rooftop areas. Due to their height and location, the antennas are not accessible to any unauthorized people. Workers should not have access to within 47 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. Areas of the rooftop exceeding the FCC Occupational limits should be marked with red striping and areas exceeding the FCC public limits with yellow striping on the rooftop.

10. **Coverage and Capacity Verification.** The maps, data, and conclusion provided by AT&T Mobility to demonstrate the need for outdoor and indoor coverage and capacity have been determined by Hammett & Edison, and engineering consultant and independent third party to accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation conclusions.

4

- 11. **Maintenance Schedule**. The facility would operate without on-site staff but with a maintenance crew visiting the property to service and monitor the facility.
- 12. **Community Outreach.** As required under the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor held a community meeting at 100 Larkin Street, to discuss the Project at 5:30 p.m. on February 10, 2016. One (1) community members attended the meeting to voice their support of the proposed facility.
- 13. Five-year plan: Per the *Guidelines*, the Project Sponsor submitted an updated five-year plan, as required, in April 2016.
- 14. **Public Comment.** As of June 13, 2016, the Department has not received any calls or testimony raising concerns about the proposed project.
- 15. **Planning Code Compliance.** The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:
 - A. Use. Per Planning Code Section 211.2., a Conditional Use Authorization is required for a macro WTS facility (Public Use).
- 16. **Planning Code Section 303** establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use approval. On balance, the Project complies with said criteria in that:
 - A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.
 - i. Desirable: San Francisco is a leader of the technological economy; it is important and desirable to the vitality of the City to have and maintain adequate telecommunications coverage and data capacity. This includes the installation and upgrading of systems to keep up with changing technology and increases in usage. It is desirable for the City to allow wireless facilities to be installed.

The Project at 99 Grove Street is generally desirable and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood because the Project will not conflict with the existing uses of the property and will be designed to be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. The overall location, setback from public streets, height and design of the proposed facility, including visible screening elements is situated so as to avoid intrusion into public vistas, and to insure harmony with the existing neighborhood character and promote public safety.

ii. Necessary: In the case of wireless installations, there are two criteria that the Commission reviews: coverage and capacity.

Coverage: San Francisco does have sufficient overall wireless coverage (note that this is separate from carrier capacity). San Francisco's unique coverage issues are due to topography and building heights. The hills and buildings disrupt lines-of-site between WTS base stations. Thus, telecommunication carriers continue to install additional installations to make sure coverage is sufficient.

Capacity: While a carrier may have adequate coverage in a certain area, the capacity may not be sufficient. With the continuous innovations in wireless data technology and demand placed on existing infrastructure, individual telecommunications carriers must upgrade and in some instances expand their facilities network to provide proper data and voice capacity. It is necessary for San Francisco, as a leader in technology, to have adequate capacity.

The Project at 99 Grove Street is necessary in order to achieve sufficient street and inbuilding mobile phone coverage and data capacity. Recent drive tests in the subject area conducted by the AT&T Mobility Radio Frequency Engineering Team provide that the Project Site is a preferable location, based on factors including quality of coverage and aesthetics.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:
 - i. Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The Project must comply with all applicable Federal and State regulations to safeguard the health, safety and to ensure that persons residing or working in the vicinity will not be affected, and prevent harm to other personal property.

The Department of Public Health conducted an evaluation of potential health effects from Radio Frequency radiation, and has concluded that the proposed wireless transmission facilities will have no adverse health effects if operated in compliance with the FCCadopted health and safety standards.

ii. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

No increase in traffic volume is anticipated with the facilities operating unmanned, with a maintenance crew visiting the Site once a month or on an as-needed basis.

iii. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor; While some noise and dust may result from the installation of the antennas and transceiver equipment, noise or noxious emissions from continued use are not likely to be significantly greater than ambient conditions due to the operation of the wireless communication network.

iv. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The facility will not affect landscaping, open space, required parking, lighting or signage at the Project Site or surrounding area.

C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with Objectives and Policies of the General Plan, as detailed below.

17. **General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

HOUSING ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

BALANCE HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

OBJECTIVE 12:

BALANCE HOUSING GROWTH WITH ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SERVES THE CITY'S GROWING POPULATION.

Policy 12.3:

Ensure new housing is sustainable supported by the City's public infrastructure systems.

The Project will improve AT&T Mobility's coverage and capacity within the Downtown/Civic Center neighborhood.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 1:

MANAGE ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CHANGE TO ENSURE ENHANCEMENT OF THE TOTAL CITY LIVING AND WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

Policy 1.1:

Encourage development, which provides substantial net benefits and minimizes

undesirable consequences. Discourage development, which has substantial undesirable consequences that cannot be mitigated.

Policy 1.2:

Assure that all commercial and industrial uses meet minimum, reasonable performance standards.

The Project would enhance the total city living and working environment by providing communication services for residents and workers within the City. Additionally, the Project would comply with Federal, State and Local performance standards.

OBJECTIVE 2:

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

Policy 2.3:

Maintain a favorable social and cultural climate in the city in order to enhance its attractiveness as a firm location.

The Site would be an integral part of a new wireless communications network that would enhance the City's diverse economic base.

OBJECTIVE 4:

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 4.1:

Maintain and enhance a favorable business climate in the City.

Policy 4.2:

Promote and attract those economic activities with potential benefit to the City.

The Project would benefit the City by enhancing the business climate through improved communication services for residents and workers.

VISITOR TRADE

OBJECTIVE 8:

ENHANCE SAN FRANCISCO'S POSITION AS A NATIONAL CENTER FOR CONVENTIONS AND VISITOR TRADE.

Policy 8.3:

Assure that areas of particular visitor attraction are provided with adequate public services for both residents and visitors.

The Project would ensure that residents and visitors have adequate public service in the form of AT&T Mobility telecommunications.

COMMUNITY SAFETY ELEMENT Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 3:

ESTABLISH STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE IMMEDIATE EFFECTS OF A DISASTER.

Policy 1.20

Increase communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster and ensure communication abilities extend to hard-to-reach areas and special populations.

Policy 2.4

Bolster the Department of Emergency Management's role as the City's provider of emergency planning and communication, and prioritize its actions to meet the needs of San Francisco.

Policy 2.15

Utilize advancing technology to enhance communication capabilities in preparation for all phases of a disaster, particularly in the high-contact period immediately following a disaster.

Policy 3.7:

Develop a system to convey personalized information during and immediately after a disaster.

The Project would enhance the ability of the City to protect both life and property from the effects of a fire or natural disaster by providing communication services.

- 18. **Planning Code Section 101.1(b)** establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the Project does comply with said policies in that:
 - A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The wireless communications network would enhance personal communication services for businesses and customers in the surrounding area.

B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No residential uses would be displaced or altered in any way by the granting of this Authorization. The Project site features residential units on the upper stories and penthouse.

C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced.

The Project would have no adverse effect on housing in the vicinity.

D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

Due to the nature of the Project and minimal maintenance or repair, municipal transit service would not be significantly impeded and neighborhood parking would not be overburdened.

E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

The Project would not cause any displacement of industrial and service sector activity.

F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Compliance with applicable structural safety and seismic safety requirements would be considered during the building permit application review process.

G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

The facility would be screened from view by virtue of equipment placement on the rooftop. Planning staff issued an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness on May 24, 2016. The comment period expired on June 13, 2016, with no requests for review by the Historic Preservation Commission. While the proposed penthouse structures are minimally visible from surrounding public rights-of-way (e.g. sidewalks along surrounding streets), the size, height, and setback of the screening structures would not significantly detract from views of the Subject building.

H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The Project would have no adverse effect on parks or open space, or their access to sunlight or public vistas.

- 19. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
- 20. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby APPROVES Conditional Use Application No. **2015-001881CUA**, subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated May 13, 2016, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion No. XXXX. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (After the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives NOTICE that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not recommence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was adopted by the Planning Commission on **June 23**, **2016**.

Jonas P. Ionin Commission Secretary

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED:

SAN FRANCISCO

12
EXHIBIT A

AUTHORIZATION

This authorization is for a conditional use to allow a Macro Wireless Telecommunications Facility with up to fourteen (14) screened panel antennas (operated by AT&T Mobility) located at 99 Grove Street, Block 0812, and Lot 001 pursuant to Planning Code Sections 303 and 211.2 within the P Zoning District and an 80-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated May 13, 2016 and stamped "EXHIBIT B" included in the docket for Record No. 2015-001881CUA and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on June 23, 2016 under Motion No XXXXX. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

RECORDATION OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the Project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on **June 23, 2016** under Motion No. **XXXX**.

PRINTING OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ON PLANS

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. XXXX shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the Site or Building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use Authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

SEVERABILITY

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. "Project Sponsor" shall include any subsequent responsible party.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use Authorization.

Conditions of Approval, Compliance, Monitoring, and Reporting PERFORMANCE

1. Validity. The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

2. Expiration and Renewal. Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

3. Diligent pursuit. Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

4. Extension. All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

5. **Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

DESIGN -- COMPLIANCE AT PLAN STAGE

6. **Plan Drawings - WTS**. Prior to the issuance of any building or electrical permits for the installation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall submit final scaled drawings for review

and approval by the Planning Department ("Plan Drawings"). The Plan Drawings shall describe:

- a. Structure and Siting. Identify all facility related support and protection measures to be installed. This includes, but is not limited to, the location(s) and method(s) of placement, support, protection, screening, paint and/or other treatments of the antennas and other appurtenances to insure public safety, insure compatibility with urban design, architectural and historic preservation principles, and harmony with neighborhood character.
- b. For the Project Site, regardless of the ownership of the existing facilities. Identify the location of all existing antennas and facilities; and identify the location of all approved (but not installed) antennas and facilities.
- c. Emissions. Provide a report, subject to approval of the Zoning Administrator, that operation of the facilities in addition to ambient RF emission levels will not exceed adopted FCC standards with regard to human exposure in uncontrolled areas. *For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at* 415-575-9078, *www.sf-planning.org*.
- 7. Screening WTS. To the extent necessary to ensure compliance with adopted FCC regulations regarding human exposure to RF emissions, and upon the recommendation of the Zoning Administrator, the Project Sponsor shall:
 - a. Modify the placement of the facilities;
 - b. Install fencing, barriers or other appropriate structures or devices to restrict access to the facilities;
 - c. Install multi-lingual signage, including the RF radiation hazard warning symbol identified in ANSI C95.2 1982, to notify persons that the facility could cause exposure to RF emissions;
 - d. Implement any other practice reasonably necessary to ensure that the facility is operated in compliance with adopted FCC RF emission standards.
 - e. To the extent necessary to minimize visual obtrusion and clutter, installations shall conform to the following standards:
 - a. Antennas and back up equipment shall be painted, fenced, landscaped or otherwise treated architecturally so as to minimize visual effects;
 - b. Rooftop installations shall be setback such that back up facilities are not viewed from the street;
 - c. Although co location of various companies' facilities may be desirable, a maximum number of antennas and back up facilities on the Project Site shall be established, on a case by case basis, such that "antennae farms" or similar visual intrusions for the site and area is not created.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-575-9078, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

MONITORING - AFTER ENTITLEMENT

8. Enforcement. Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning

Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

9. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions. Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific Conditions of Approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>.

10. Implementation Costs - WTS.

The Project Sponsor, on an equitable basis with other WTS providers, shall pay the cost of preparing and adopting appropriate General Plan policies related to the placement of WTS facilities. Should future legislation be enacted to provide for cost recovery for planning, the Project Sponsor shall be bound by such legislation.

The Project Sponsor or its successors shall be responsible for the payment of all reasonable costs associated with implementation of the conditions of approval contained in this authorization, including costs incurred by this Department, the Department of Public Health, the Department of Technology, Office of the City Attorney, or any other appropriate City Department or agency. The Planning Department shall collect such costs on behalf of the City.

The Project Sponsor shall be responsible for the payment of all fees associated with the installation of the subject facility, which are assessed by the City pursuant to all applicable law.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

11. **Implementation and Monitoring -** WTS. In the event that the Project implementation report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards in any uncontrolled location, the Zoning Administrator may require the Applicant to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

12. **Project Implementation Report - WTS**. The Project Sponsor shall prepare and submit to the Zoning Administrator a Project Implementation Report. The Project Implementation Report shall:

- a. Identify the three dimensional perimeter closest to the facility at which adopted FCC standards for human exposure to RF emissions in uncontrolled areas are satisfied;
- b. Document testing that demonstrates that the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC emission standards for human exposure in uncontrolled areas.
- c. The Project Implementation Report shall compare test results for each test point with applicable FCC standards. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a non-holiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power.
- d. Testing, Monitoring, and Preparation. The Project Implementation Report shall be prepared by a certified professional engineer or other technical expert approved by the Department. At the sole option of the Department, the Department (or its agents) may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the Project Sponsor pursuant to the condition related to the payment of the City's reasonable costs.
- e. Notification and Testing. The Project Implementation Report shall set forth the testing and measurements undertaken pursuant to Conditions 2 and 4.
- f. Approval. The Zoning Administrator shall request that the Certification of Final Completion for operation of the facility not be issued by the Department of Building Inspection until such time that the Project Implementation Report is approved by the Department for compliance with these conditions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, *www.sfdph.org*.

13. **Coverage and Capacity Verification.** Use is authorized as long as an independent evaluator, selected by the Planning Department, determines that the information and conclusions submitted by the wireless service provider in support of its request for conditional use are accurate. The wireless service provider shall fully cooperate with the evaluator and shall provide any and all data requested by the evaluator to allow the evaluator to verify that the maps, data, and conclusions about service coverage and capacity submitted are accurate. The wireless service provider shall bear all costs of said evaluation. The independent evaluator, upon request by the wireless service provider shall keep the submitted data confidential and shall sign a confidentiality agreement acceptable to the wireless service provider. The independent evaluator shall be a professional engineer licensed by the State of California. *For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at* 415-575-9079,

www.sf-planning.org.

- 14. Notification prior to Project Implementation Report WTS. The Project Sponsor shall undertake appropriate tests for residents of any dwelling units located within 25 feet of the transmitting antenna.
 - a. At least twenty calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Project Implementation Report, the Project Sponsor shall mail notice to the Department, as well as to the resident of any legal dwelling unit within 25 feet of a transmitting antenna of the date on which testing will be conducted. The Applicant will submit a written affidavit attesting to this mail notice along with the mailing list.

b. When requested in advance by a resident notified of testing pursuant to subsection (a), the Project Sponsor shall conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within the residence of that resident on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Project Implementation Report.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

15. **Installation - WTS.** Within 10 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Project Sponsor shall confirm in writing to the Zoning Administrator that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC emissions standards.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

16. **Periodic Safety** Monitoring - WTS. The Project Sponsor shall submit to the Zoning Administrator 10 days after installation of the facilities, and every two years thereafter, a certification attested to by a licensed engineer expert in the field of EMR/RF emissions, that the facilities are and have been operated within the then current applicable FCC standards for RF/EMF emissions.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

OPERATION

- 17. Community Liaison. Prior to issuance of a building permit application to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor. *For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org*
- Out of Service WTS. The Project Sponsor or Property Owner shall remove antennas and equipment that has been out of service or otherwise abandoned for a continuous period of six (6) months.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

19. Emissions Conditions – WTS. It is a continuing condition of this authorization that the facilities be operated in such a manner so as not to contribute to ambient RF/EMF emissions in excess of then current FCC adopted RF/EMF emission standards; violation of this condition shall be grounds for revocation.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

20. Noise and Heat – WTS. The WTS facility, including power source and cooling facility, shall be operated at all times within the limits of the San Francisco Noise Control Ordinance. The WTS facility, including power source and any heating/cooling facility, shall not be operated so as to cause the generation of heat that adversely affects a building occupant. *For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public*.

For information about compliance, contact the Environmental Health Section, Department of Public Health at (415) 252-3800, <u>www.sfdph.org</u>.

21. **Transfer of Operation** – WTS. Any carrier/provider authorized by the Zoning Administrator or by the Planning Commission to operate a specific WTS installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the FCC for that radio frequency provided that such transfer is made known to the Zoning Administrator in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, <u>www.sf-planning.org</u>

22. Compatibility with City Emergency Services – WTS. The facility shall not be operated or caused to transmit on or adjacent to any radio frequencies licensed to the City for emergency telecommunication services such that the City's emergency telecommunications system experiences interference, unless prior approval for such has been granted in writing by the City.

For information about compliance, contact the Department of Technology, 415-581-4000, <u>http://sfgov3.org/index.aspx?page=1421</u>

Zoning Map



SAN FRANCISCO

Block Book Map





Sanborn Map*



*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



Aerial Photo







Contextual Photographs



View of Site looking West on Grove Street



View of site looking North West on Market Street

Contextual Photographs



View of site looking East from Grove Street



View of site looking North East from Polk Street

Photosimulations



Photosimulations



Photosimulations



November 19, 2015

Mr. Omar Masry San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Omar,

On November 18, 2015, a Community Meeting was held for Verizon's proposed site at 99 Grove Street. The meeting was held at the San Francisco Public Library, Koret Auditorium, 100 Larkin Street, San Francisco from 6:00 PM - 7:00 PM. Notices of the meeting were mailed in English, Spanish, and Chinese to 2,321 owners and occupants within a 500-foot radius of the project site and to 15 neighborhood groups.

Representatives from Verizon were present. Also in attendance were a representative from the third party engineering firm, Hammett & Edison and a representative from AT&T. No one else attended the meeting. A copy of the meeting notice and the sign-in sheet are included.

Sincerely,

Koligiego

Kelly Pepper

Informational Community Meeting Sign-In Sheet for 99 Grove Street November 18, 2015

| Phone/Email | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Address | | | | | | | | |
| Name | | | | | | | | |

NOTICE OF NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING To: Neighborhood Groups, Neighbors & Owners within 500' radius of 99 Grove Street

| Meeting Information Date: Wednesday November 18, 2015 Time: 6:00 PM - 7:00 PM Where: The San Francisco Public Library 100 Larkin St., (at Grove). Koret Auditorium, located on the Library's lower level Enter 30 Grove Street, proceed down stairs Note: Refreshments are not allowed in the | Verizon Wireless is proposing to install a wireless communication facility at 99 Grove Street, needed by Verizon Wireless as part of its San Francisco wireless network. The proposed Verizon Wireless site is an unmanned facility consisting of the installation of twelve (12) panel antennas, roof-mounted on the building. The associated equipment will be located inside the existing building. Plans and photo simulations will be available for your review at the meeting. You are invited to attend an informational community meeting located at the San Francisco Public Library, 100 Larkin St., (at Grove), Koret Auditorium, located on the Library's lower level (enter 30 Grove Street, proceed down stairs), on Wednesday November 18, 2015 at 6:00 p.m. to learn more about the project. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Auditorium | | | | |
| Project Site Information Address: 99 Grove Street Block/Lot: 0812 / 001 Zoning: P. (Public) | If you have any questions regarding the proposal and are unable to attend the meeting, please contact Kelly Pepper at (415) 307-5082. Please contact Omar Masry project planner with the San Francisco Planning Department at (415) 575-9116 if you have any questions regarding the Planning process. | | | |
| Applicant | NOTE: If you require an interpreter to be present at the meeting, please contact us at (415) 307-5082 no later than 5:00 pm on Thursday, November 12, 2015 and | | | |
| Verizon Wireless | we will make every effort to provide you with an interpreter. | | | |
| Contact Information Kelly Pepper (415) 307-5082 | NOTE: This is not a Library-Sponsored Program. | | | |

NOTIFICACIÓN DE ASAMBLEA VECINAL

Para: Grupos vecinales, vecinos y propietarios dentro de un radio de 500' de 99 Grove Street

| Informaci Fecha: Hora: Lugar: | ión de la asamblea Miércoles, 18 de noviembre de 2015 6:00 PM – 7:00 PM Biblioteca Pública de San Francisco 100 Larkin St., (<i>en Grove</i>). Koret Auditorium, ubicado en la planta baja de la Biblioteca | Verizon Wireless propone montar una instalación de comunicación inalámbrica en 99 Grove Street, como parte de su red inalámbrica en San Francisco. La ubicación propuesta por Verizon Wireless es una instalación sin personal que consiste en la instalación de doce (12) antenas panel, montadas en el techo del edificio. El equipo se ubicará dentro del edificio existente. Habrá planos y fotos disponibles para que usted los revise en la reunión. Se lo invita a asistir a una reunión informativa de la comunidad que se realizará en la Biblioteca Pública de San Francisco. 100 Larkin St | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Ingresar | por 30 Grove Street, bajar por las | (en Grove), Koret Auditorium, ubicada en la planta baja de la Biblioteca (ingresar | | | | |
| escaleras | | por 30 Grove Street, bajar por las escaleras), el miércoles 18 de noviembre de 2015 a las 6:00 p.m. para tener más información sobre el proyecto. | | | | |
| Nota: No se permite ingresar con bebidas al | | | | | | |
| Auditorio | | Si tiene alguna duda en relación a la propuesta y no puede asistir a la reunión, por favor contáctese con Kelly Pepper al (415) 307-5082. Por favor contacte a Omar | | | | |
| Información del lugar | | Masry, planificador del proyecto del Departamento de Planificación de San Francisco | | | | |
| Dirección | : 99 Grove Street | al (415) 575-9116 si tiene alguna pregunta relacionada con el proceso de | | | | |
| | Cuadra/Lote: 0812 / 001 | planificación. | | | | |
| | Zonificacion: P (Publica) | | | | | |
| Solicitante | | contáctenos al (415) 307-5082 antes de las 5.00 n m del juevos 12 de noviembre | | | | |
| Verizon W | /ireless | de 2015 y haremos todo lo posible para proporcionarle un intérprete. | | | | |
| Informacio Kelly Pepp (415) 307- | ón de contacto per 5082 | NOTA: Este no es un programa patrocinado por la Biblioteca. | | | | |

鄰里會議通知

收件人: 99 Grove Street 街道半徑 500 英尺範圍內的社區團體、鄰里和業主

| 會議資訊 日期: 時間: 地點: 到Grove大 | N 2015年11月18日星期三 晚上6:00-晚上7:00 三藩市公共圖書館 100 Larkin St., (在Grove街道)。 Koret禮堂,位於圖書館低層 云街30號後下樓 | 威訊無線擬定在99 Grove Street安裝威訊無線在三藩市的無線網路所需的一個 無線通訊設施。威訊無線的擬定網站是一個無人管理設施,包括擬安裝在建築 物屋頂上的十二(12)根平板天線。設備將安裝在現有建築內。開會時會提供 圖紙和模擬照片供你們查看。邀請大家參加社區資訊會議,以便瞭解有關專案 的更多資訊。會議地點在100 Larkin St.(Grove街道)的三藩市公共圖書館的 Koret禮堂,禮堂在圖書館低層(到達30 Grove Street後下樓),時間是2015年 11月18日晚上6:00。 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 備註:不行 場地信息 | 得在禮堂內吃點心 | 如果您對提議有任何問題又無法參加會議,請致電(415)307-5082聯繫Kelly Pepper。如果您對規劃過程有任何問題,請致電(415)575-9116聯繫三藩市規劃 部門的專案規劃人Omar Masry。 |
| 地址: | 99 Grove Street 街區/地段:0812 / 001 分區:公共 | 備註:如果您在會上需要口譯人員,請在2015年11月12日星期四下午5:00 之前致電(415) 307-5082聯繫我們,我們會盡一切努力為您提供口譯人員。 |
| 申請人 威訊無線 | | 備註:該項目不是圖書館贊助的項目。 |
| 聯繫方式 Kelly Peppe (415) 307-! | er 5082 | |



AT&T Mobility 430 Bush St. 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

February 11, 2016

Seema Adina, Planner San Francisco Department of Planning 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Community Meeting for proposed AT&T Mobility facility at 99 Grove (Bill Graham)

Dear Seema,

On February 10, 2016, a meeting was hosted by me, Cammy Blackstone with AT&T External Affairs, and Luis Cuadra with BergDavis Public Affairs for CCU2159—99 Grove (Bill Graham Civic Auditorium) in the San Francisco Public Library. One member of the public attended the meeting and was in strong support of the proposed WTS facility.

After a brief discussion of the WTS proposal with the community member, we waited an additional half an hour. When no other members of the community arrived, the meeting was concluded at 6:00pm.

Copies of the signed community meeting affidavit, sign in sheet, and meeting notice are attached.

Sincerely,

Eric Lentz, Land Use Consultant Permit Me, Inc. For AT&T Mobility Cell: 805-895-4394 Email: ericlentz@permitme.net



AT&T Mobility 430 Bush St. 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108

Affidavit of Conducting a Community Outreach Meeting, Sign-in Sheet and Issues/Responses submittal

- I, <u>Eric Lentz</u>, do hereby declare as follows: (print name)
- 1. I have conducted a **Community Outreach Meeting** for the proposed new construction or alteration prior to submitting a building permit in accordance with Planning Commission Pre-Application Policy.
- 2. The meeting was conducted at <u>San Francisco Public Library, 100 Larking Street</u> (Meeting Location)
 - on <u>February 10, 2016</u> from <u>5:30pm 6:00pm</u> (Date) (Time)
- 3. I have included the **mailing list, meeting initiation, sign-in sheet, issue/response summary, and reduced plans** with the Conditional Use Application. I understand that I am responsible for the accuracy of this information and that erroneous information may lead to suspension or revocation of the permit.
- 4. I have prepared these materials in good faith and to the best of my ability.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED ON THIS DAY, February 11, 2016 IN SAN FRANCISCO

Signature

Eric W. Lentz Name (type or print)

Agent for AT&T Mobility Relationship to Project, e.g. Owner, Agent (if Agent, give business name and profession)

99 Grove Street Project Address

Prevel Sponsor of the USS Olympic Teach

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY



AT&T Wireless Mobility Community Meeting at 99 Grove Street

February 10, 2015

| EMAIL | ge@quintin.org | | | |
|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| PHONE | StE1-025-514 | | | |
| ADDRESS | 18 10× #543 | | | |
| NAME | Quintin Carlson | | | |

NOTICE OF COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEETING ON A PROPOSED WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY IN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD

To: Neighborhood Groups and Neighbors & Owners within 500' radius of 99 Grove Street

| Meeting In | formation | AT&T Mobility is proposing a wireless communication facility at 99 Grove Street |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Date: | Wednesday, February 10, 2016 | needed by AT&T Mobility as part of its San Francisco wireless network. AT&T |
| Time: | 5:30 p.m. | Mobility proposes to locate antennas and associated equipment on the roof top of Bill |
| Where: | San Francisco Public Library | Graham Civic Auditorium, fully screened within faux penthouses. Plans and photo |
| | Sycip Family Room, 4th Floor | simulations will be available for your review at the meeting. You are invited to attend |
| | 100 Larkin Street | an informational community meeting located in the Sycip Family Room on the 4 th |
| | San Francisco, CA 94102 | floor of the San Francisco Public Library at 100 Larkin Street on Wednesday, |
| | | February 10, 2016, at 5:30 p.m. to learn more about the project. |
| Site Inform | ation | |
| Address: | 99 Grove Street | If you have any questions regarding the proposal and are unable to attend the |
| | Block/Lot: 0812/001 | meeting, please contact the AT&T Mobility Hotline at (415) 646-0972 and an AT&T |
| | Zoning: P | Mobility specialist will return your call. Please contact Seema Adina, staff planner |
| | | with the City of San Francisco Planning Department at (415) 558-6378 if you have |
| Applicant | | any questions regarding the planning process. |
| AT&T Mob | ility | |
| | | NOTE: If you require an interpreter to be present at the meeting, please contact |
| Contact Information | | our office at (415) 646-0972 no later than 5:00pm on Friday, February 5, 2016 |
| AT&T Mob | ility Hotline | and we will make every effort to provide you with an interpreter. |
| (415) 646-0 | 972 | |

NOTIFICACIÓN DE REUNIÓN DE ALCANCE COMUNITARIO SOBRE UNA INSTALACIÓN DE COMUNICACIONES INALÁMBRICAS PROPUESTA EN SU VECINDARIO

Para: Grupos del vecindario, vecinos y propietarios dentro de un radio de 500' en 99 Grove Street

| Informació | n de la reunión | AT&T Mobility propone instalar una instalación de comunicaciones inalámbricas en |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Fecha: | Miércoles, 10 de febrero de 2016 | 99 Grove Street necesaria para AT&T Mobility como parte de su red inalámbrica en |
| Hora: | 5:30 p.m. | San Francisco. AT&T Mobility propone ubicar las antenas y el equipo asociado en el |
| Dónde: | San Francisco Public Library | techo del Bill Graham Civic Auditorium (Auditorio municipal Bill Graham), ocultos |
| | (Biblioteca pública de San | de la vista en infraestructuras en el ático. Habrá planos y fotos disponibles para que |
| | Francisco) | usted los revise en la reunión. Se lo invita a asistir a una reunión informativa de la |
| | Sycip Family Room, 4th Floor | comunidad que se realizará en Sycip Family Room en el 4to piso de la Biblioteca |
| | 100 Larkin Street | Pública de San Francisco en 100 Larkin Street el miércoles, 10 de febrero de 2016 a |
| | San Francisco, CA 94102 | las 5:30 p.m. para tener más información sobre el proyecto. |
| Informació | n del lugar | Si tiene preguntas relacionadas con la propuesta y no puede asistir a la reunión, por |
| Dirección: | 99 Grove Street | favor llame a la Línea Directa de AT&T Mobility, (415) 646-0972, y un especialista |
| | Cuadra/Lote: 0812/001 | de AT&T Mobility le devolverá la llamada. Por favor, contacte a Seema Adina, |
| | Zonificación: P | planificadora del Departamento de Planificación de la Ciudad de San Francisco al |
| | | (415) 558-6378 si tiene alguna pregunta relaciona da con el proceso de planificación. |
| Solicitante | | |
| AT&T Mobility | | NOTA: Si necesita que un intérprete esté presente en la reunión, por favor, contacte a nuestra oficina al (415) 646-0972 el viernes 5 de febrero de 2016 antes |
| Información de contacto | | de las 5:00 p.m., y haremos todos lo posible para proporcionarle un intérprete. |
| Línea directa | a de AT&T Mobility | |
| (415) 646-09 | 972 | |

提議在您的社區搭建無線通訊設施的社區外展會議通知

致: 99 Grove Street 方圖 500 英尺內的社區團體和居民及房主

| 會議資訊 日期: 時間: 地點: | 2016 年 2 月 10 日, 星期三 下午 5:30 舊金山公共圖書館 Sycip Family Room, 4 th Floor 100 Larkin Street San Francisco, CA 94102 | AT&T Mobility 提議在99 Grove Street搭建一個AT&T Mobility所需的無線通訊 設施納入其舊金山無線網路。AT&T Mobility提議將天線及相關設備放置在比 爾·格林厄姆市政禮堂(Bill Graham Civic Auditorium)屋頂,並用人造遮棚將其完 全掩蔽。規劃和效果圖將在會上呈現供您審查。我們邀請您參加一個介紹性的 社區會議,會議地點位於100 Larkin Street 舊金山公共圖書館四樓的Sycip 家庭 會議室,時間是2016年2月10日星期三下午5:30,會議將向您介紹更多項目資 訊。 |
|--|---|---|
| 選址資訊 地址: | 99 Grove Street 街區/地段: 0812/001 分區:P | 如果您對此提議有任何疑問但卻無法如期參加會議,請擬打熱線(415) 646-0972 與AT&T Mobility聯絡,一名AT&T Mobility專員將會回撥電話給您。如果您對 規劃進程有任何疑問,請撥打(415) 558-6378聯絡舊金山市規劃署幕僚計劃者 Seema Adina。 |
| 申請方 AT&T Mobility 聯絡資訊 AT&T Mobility Hotline (415) 646-0972 | | 注:如果您需要會議現場口譯服務,請撥打(415)646-0972 奥我們的辦公室聯絡,聯絡時間不得遲於2016年2月5日星期五下午5:00,我們將竭盡全力為您提供口譯員。 |



EXISTING COVERAGE PLOT

VERIZON WIRELESS





EXISTING + PROPOSE COVERAGE PLOT



norized Venzon personnel and outside agencies only. Use, disclosure or distribution of this material is not permitted to any unauthorized persons or third parties except by written agreement. Confidential and proprietary materials



Exhibit 2 - Proposed Site at 99 Grove St (CC2159) Service Area BEFORE site is constructed





Exhibit 4 - Proposed Site at 99 Grove St (CC2159) Service Area AFTER site is constructed



at&t

Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of Verizon Wireless, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate the base station (Site No. 320462 "SF Grove Street") proposed to be located at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency ("RF") electromagnetic fields.

Background

The San Francisco Department of Public Health has adopted an 11-point checklist for determining compliance of proposed WTS facilities or proposed modifications to such facilities with prevailing safety standards. The acceptable limits set by the FCC for exposures of unlimited duration are:

| Wireless Service | Frequency Band | Occupational Limit | Public Limit |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Microwave (Point-to-Point) | 5-80 GHz | 5.00 mW/cm^2 | 1.00 mW/cm^2 |
| WiFi (and unlicensed uses) | 2-6 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| BRS (Broadband Radio) | 2,600 MHz | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| WCS (Wireless Communication) | 2,300 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| AWS (Advanced Wireless) | 2,100 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| PCS (Personal Communication) | 1,950 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| Cellular | 870 | 2.90 | 0.58 |
| SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio) | 855 | 2.85 | 0.57 |
| 700 MHz | 700 | 2.40 | 0.48 |
| [most restrictive frequency range] | 30-300 | 1.00 | 0.20 |

Checklist

Reference has been made to information provided by Verizon, including zoning drawings by Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated March 28, 2016. It should be noted that the calculation results in this Statement include several "worst-case" assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels from the proposed operations.

1. The location, identity, and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site.

There are reported no operational radiating antennas installed on this site, although AT&T Mobility has proposed to install fourteen antennas at the site.

2. <u>List all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site that could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location.</u>

There are reported no other WTS facilities within 100 feet of the site.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

3. <u>Provide a narrative description of the proposed work for this project.</u>

Verizon proposes to install nine antennas. This is consistent with the scope of work described in the drawings for transmitting elements.

4. <u>Provide an inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed</u> <u>or removed.</u>

No antennas or equipment are presently installed at the site. Verizon proposes to install seven Andrew directional panel antennas – three Model SBNHH-1D45A and four Model SBNHH-1D45B – at an effective height of about 81 feet above ground, 4 feet above the roof, employing up to 14° downtilt, and oriented toward $135^{\circ}T$ and $240^{\circ}T$, respectively, as well as two Matsing Model MS-6.3DB90-A multi-directional panel antennas, mounted at an effective height of about 77 feet above ground, 7 feet above the roof, employing up to 8° downtilt, and oriented toward the west, northwest, north, and northeast.

5. Describe the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level. This description may be based on field measurements or calculations.

Because there are no antennas installed at this time, the maximum existing RF level for a person on the roof near the proposed antenna locations is presumed to be well below the public exposure limit. The maximum existing RF level for a person at ground near the site was measured^{*} to be 0.010 mW/cm^2 , which is 5.0% of the most restrictive public limit.

6. Provide the maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation. The power should be reported in watts and reported both as a total and broken down by frequency band.

The maximum effective radiated power proposed by Verizon is 34,530 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 19,800 watts for AWS, 9,830 watts for PCS, and 4,900 watts for 700 MHz service; no operation on cellular frequencies is presently proposed from this site. The maximum effective radiated power by AT&T is assumed to be 24,960 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 10,880 watts for WCS, 11,210 watts for PCS, 1,000 watts for cellular, and 1,870 watts for 700 MHz service.

7. Describe the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly accessible building or area.

The maximum calculated cumulative level at any nearby building is 39% of the public limit; this occurs at the taller building to the west across Polk Street, located at least 100 feet away.

^{*} July 29, 2015, using calibrated Narda Broadband Field Meter with Type EF-0391 Isotropic Electric Field (Serial No. D-0454).



8. <u>Report the estimated cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level.</u>

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed Verizon operation by itself is calculated to be 0.035 mW/cm^2 , which is 4.1% of the applicable public exposure limit. Cumulative RF levels at ground level near the site are therefore estimated to be 9.1% of the limit.

9. <u>Provide the maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency</u> <u>energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the</u> <u>face of the antennas.</u>

The three-dimensional perimeters of RF levels equal to the public and occupational exposure limits are calculated to extend up to 118 and 52 feet out from the Verizon antenna faces, respectively, and to much lesser distances above, below, and to the sides; this includes areas of the main and penthouse roofs of the building but does not reach any other publicly accessible areas.

10. <u>Provide a description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas.</u> Describe any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop striping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards.

It is recommended that barricades be erected, as shown in Figure 1, to preclude access by unauthorized persons to areas in which exposure levels are calculated to exceed the public limit. To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, it is recommended that appropriate RF safety training, to include review of personal monitor use and lockout/tagout procedures, be provided to all authorized personnel who have access to the roof, including employees and contractors of the wireless carriers and of the property owner. No access within 52 feet directly in front of the Verizon antennas themselves, such as might occur during certain maintenance activities, should be allowed while the base station is in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. It is recommended that "Worker Notification Areas" be marked with yellow paint and "Prohibited Access Areas" be marked with red paint stripes on the main and penthouse roofs of the building, as shown in Figure 1, to identify areas within which exposure levels are calculated to exceed the FCC public and occupational limits, respectively. It is recommended that explanatory signs⁺ be posted at the southern penthouse roof access ladders, at the barricades, and on the screens in front of the antennas, readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that distance.

[†] Signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (*e.g.*, a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter; the San Francisco Department of Public Health recommends that all signs be written in English, Spanish, and Chinese.



11. Statement of authorship and qualification.

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos. E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2017. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.

Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that operation of the base station proposed by Verizon Wireless at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco, California, can comply with the prevailing standards for limiting human exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, need not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Erecting barricades is recommended to establish compliance with public exposure limits; training authorized personnel, marking roof areas, and posting explanatory signs are recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limits.

AOFESS E-13026 William M-20676 REGI 707/996-5200 6-30-2017

April 26, 2016

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

D3VL.2 Page 4 of 4

Calculated Cumulative RF Exposure Levels on Roof

Recommended Mitigation Measures

- Install secure barricades
- Stripe roof areas as shown
- Post explanatory signs
- Provide training



Notes: See text.

Base drawing from Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated March 28, 2016. Calculations performed according to OET Bulletin 65, August 1997.

| Legend: | Less Than Public | Exceeds Public | Exceeds Occupational | Exceeds 10x Occupational | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Striping color | N/A | yellow | red | N/A | |
| Sign type | ■ - Green INFORMATION | B - Blue NOTICE | Y - Yellow CAUTION | Orange WARNING | |
| Barricades shown as green lines | | | | | |



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

D3VL.2 Figure 1

AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CCU2159) 99 Grove Street • San Francisco, California

Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate the base station (Site No. CCU2159) proposed to be located at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency ("RF") electromagnetic fields.

Background

The San Francisco Department of Public Health has adopted an 11-point checklist for determining compliance of proposed WTS facilities or proposed modifications to such facilities with prevailing safety standards. The acceptable limits set by the FCC for exposures of unlimited duration are:

| Wireless Service | Frequency Band | Occupational Limit | Public Limit |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Microwave (Point-to-Point) | 5-80 GHz | 5.00 mW/cm^2 | 1.00 mW/cm ² |
| WiFi (and unlicensed uses) | 2–6 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| BRS (Broadband Radio) | 2,600 MHz | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| WCS (Wireless Communication) | 2,300 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| AWS (Advanced Wireless) | 2,100 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| PCS (Personal Communication) | 1,950 | 5.00 | 1.00 |
| Cellular | 870 | 2.90 | 0.58 |
| SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio) | 855 | 2.85 | 0.57 |
| 700 MHz | 700 | 2.40 | 0.48 |
| [most restrictive frequency range] | 30-300 | 1.00 | 0.20 |

Checklist

Reference has been made to information provided by AT&T, including zoning drawings by Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated November 5, 2015. It should be noted that the calculations results in this Statement include several "worst-case" assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels from the proposed operations.

1. The location, identity, and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site.

Reportedly located on the same building are similar antennas for use by Verizon, with operating specifications as described in Table 1 below.

2. List all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site that could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location.

There are reported no other WTS facilities within 100 feet of the site.



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CCU2159) 99 Grove Street • San Francisco, California

3. Provide a narrative description of the proposed work for this project.

AT&T proposes to install 14 directional panel antennas behind view screens above the roof of the Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, located at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco. This is consistent with the scope of work described in the drawings for transmitting elements.

4. <u>Provide an inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed</u> or removed.

No antennas or equipment is proposed to be removed. The inventory of antennas being added is given in Table 2 below.

5. Describe the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level. This description may be based on field measurements or calculations.

The maximum existing RF level for a person on the access area nearest the antennas is calculated to exceed occupational exposure limit, in front of the Verizon antennas. The maximum existing RF level from the Verizon operation for a person at ground near the site is calculated to be 0.011 mW/cm^2 , which is 1.2% of the applicable public limit.

6. <u>Provide the maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation. The power</u> <u>should be reported in watts and reported both as a total and broken down by frequency band.</u>

This information is provided in Table 2 below.

7. <u>Describe the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly</u> accessible building or area.

The maximum calculated cumulative RF exposure level at any nearby building is 41% of the public exposure limit; this occurs at the taller building to the west across Polk Street, located at least 100 feet away.

8. <u>Report the estimated cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level.</u>

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation by itself is calculated to be 0.057 mW/cm^2 , which is 6.0% of the applicable public exposure limit. Estimated cumulative RF levels at ground level near the site from the AT&T and Verizon operations together are less than 7.2% of the public limit.

9. Provide the maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas.

The three-dimensional perimeters of RF levels equal to the public and occupational exposure limits are calculated to extend up to 110 and 47 feet out from the antenna faces, respectively, and to much lesser



AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CCU2159) 99 Grove Street • San Francisco, California

distances above, below, and to the sides; this includes areas of the main and penthouse roofs of the building but does not reach any other publicly accessible areas.

10. Provide a description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas. Describe any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop striping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards.

It is recommended that barricades be erected, as shown in Figure 1, to preclude access by unauthorized persons to areas in which exposure levels are calculated to exceed the public limit. To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, it is recommended that appropriate RF safety training, to include review of personal monitor use and lockout/tagout procedures, be provided to all authorized personnel who have access to the roof, including employees and contractors of the wireless carriers and of the property owner. No access within 47 feet directly in front of the AT&T antennas themselves, such as might occur during certain maintenance activities, should be allowed while the base station is in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. It is recommended that "Prohibited Access Areas" be marked with red paint stripes and "Worker Notification Areas" be marked with yellow paint stripes on the main and penthouse roofs of the building in front of the antennas, as shown in Figure 1. It is recommended that explanatory signs^{*} be posted at the southern penthouse roof access ladders, at the barricades, and on the screens in front of the antennas, readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that distance.

11. Statement of authorship and qualification.

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos. E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2017. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.

^{*} Signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (*e.g.*, a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter, and guidance from the landlord, local zoning or health authority, or appropriate professionals may be required.


AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CCU2159) 99 Grove Street • San Francisco, California

Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned's professional opinion that operation of the base station proposed by AT&T Mobility at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco, California, can comply with the prevailing standards for limiting human exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, need not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Erecting barricades is recommended to establish compliance with public exposure limits; training authorized personnel, marking roof areas, and posting explanatory signs are recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limits.

OFESSI -13026REGIS M-20676 William 707/996-5200 6-30-2017

January 22, 2016

| Group | | | Maximum | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------|--------------|
| Orientation | | | Effective | | Ante | enna Center |
| & Antenna | Antenna | Frequency | Radiated | Down- | Above | Above Access |
| Number | Make and Model | Band | Power | Tilt | Ground | Surface |
| 20°T-1 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | 700 MHz | 2,240 | 4° | 76 ft | 6 ft |
| 20°T-2 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | PCS | 4,160 | 4 | 76 | 6 |
| 20°T-3 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | AWS | 4,460 | 0 | 76 | 6 |
| Sector Total: 3 | antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 10,860 W | | | |
| 140°T-1 | Amphenol HEX454CW000 | 700 MHz | 1,580 | 4° | 81 ft | 4 ft |
| 140°T-2 | Amphenol HEX454CW000 | PCS | 3,310 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| 140°T-3 | Amphenol HEX454CW000 | AWS | 3,710 | 0 | 81 | 4 |
| Sector Total: 3 | antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 8,600 W | | | |
| 240°T-1 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | 700 MHz | 2,240 | 4° | 81½ ft | 41⁄2 ft |
| 240°T-2 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | PCS | 4,160 | 4 | 811/2 | 41/2 |
| 240°T-3 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | AWS | 4,460 | 0 | 811/2 | 41/2 |
| Sector Total: 3 | antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 10,860 W | | | |
| 340°T-1 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | 700 MHz | 2,240 | 4° | 76 | 6 |
| 340°T-2 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | PCS | 4,160 | 4 | 76 | 6 |
| 340°T-3 | Amphenol HEX456CW000 | AWS | 4,460 | 0 | 76 | 6 |
| Sector Total: 3 | antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 10,860 W | | | |

 Table 1: Antenna Inventory for Verizon



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

| Group | | | Maximum | | A | man Contar |
|---------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|------|--------|--------------|
| & Antenna | Antenna | Fraguancy | Effective | Down | Ante | Above Access |
| Number | Make and Model | Band | Power | Tilt | Ground | Surface |
| 0°T-1 | CCI MBA6-11F-BU-H3 | 700 MHz | 1,870 W | 6° | 76½ ft | 6½ ft |
| 0°T-1 | CCI MBA6-11F-BU-H3 | PCS | 11,210 | 4 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 0°T-2 | CCI MBA6-11F-BU-H3 | Cellular | 1,000 | 6 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 0°T-2 | CCI MBA6-11F-BU-H3 | WCS | 10,880 | 4 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| Sector Total: | 2 antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 24,960 W | | | |
| 135°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 W | 6° | 81 ft | 4 ft |
| 135°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | PCS | 3,460 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| 135°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | Cellular | 1,000 | 6 | 81 | 4 |
| 135°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | AWS | 3,960 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| 135°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 | 6 | 81 | 4 |
| 135°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | WCS | 3,200 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| Sector Total: | 3 antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 13,780 W | | | |
| 200°T-1 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,130 W | 6° | 81 ft | 4 ft |
| 200°T-1 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | PCS | 4,170 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| 200°T-2 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | Cellular | 1,000 | 6 | 81 | 4 |
| 200°T-2 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | AWS | 4,550 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| 200°T-3 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,130 | 6 | 81 | 4 |
| 200°T-3 | CCI HPA-33R-BUU-H4 | WCS | 4,540 | 4 | 81 | 4 |
| Sector Total: | 3 antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 16,520 W | | | |
| 245°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 W | 6° | 82½ ft | 4½ ft |
| 245°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | PCS | 3,460 | 4 | 821/2 | 41/2 |
| 245°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | Cellular | 1,000 | 6 | 821/2 | 41/2 |
| 245°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | AWS | 3,960 | 4 | 821/2 | 41/2 |
| 245°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 | 6 | 821/2 | 41/2 |
| 245°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | WCS | 3,200 | 4 | 821/2 | 41/2 |
| Sector Total: | 3 antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 13,780 W | | | |
| 340°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 W | 6° | 76½ ft | 6½ ft |
| 340°T-1 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | PCS | 3,460 | 4 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 340°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | Cellular | 1,000 | 6 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 340°T-2 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | AWS | 3,960 | 4 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 340°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | 700 MHz | 1,080 | 6 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| 340°T-3 | CCI BSA-M65R-BUU-H4 | WCS | 3,200 | 4 | 761/2 | 61/2 |
| Sector Total: | 3 antennas Sector | Total ERP: | 13,780 W | | | |

AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CCU2159) 99 Grove Street • San Francisco, California

Table 2: Antenna Inventory for AT&T

HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO Calculated RF Exposure Levels on Roof for AT&T and Verizon

Recommended Mitigation Measures

- · Install secure barricades
- Stripe roof areas as shown
- · Post explanatory signs
- Provide training



Notes:

Base drawing from Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated November 18, 2015. Calculations performed according to OET Bulletin 65, August 1997. Training should be provided to all persons requiring access within barricades or onto penthouse roofs.

| Legend: | Less Than Public | Exceeds Public | Exceeds Occupational | Exceeds 10x Occupational |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Striping color | N/A | yelllow | red | N/A |
| Sign type | I - Green INFORMATION | B - Blue NOTICE | ¥- Yellow CAUTION | O - Orange WARNING |
| Barricades shown a | s green lines | | | |



HAMMETT & EDISON, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS SAN FRANCISCO

JOAB Figure 1



| City and Co | ounty of San Francis | СО | Edwin M. Le | ee, Mayor |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| DEPARTMEN | T OF PUBLIC HEALTH | | Barbara Go | arcia, Director of Health |
| ENVIRONME | NTAL HEALTH SECTIO | ON | Richard J. L Director of E | ee, MPH, CIH, REHS nvironmental Health (Acting) |
| | Review of Cellular A | Antenna Site P | roposals | |
| Project Sponsor : Verizo | n | Planner: | Seema Adina | |
| RF Engineer Consultant: | Hammett & Edison, Inc | | Phone Number: | (707) 996-5200 |
| Project Address/Location: | 99 Grove St | | | |
| Site ID: 2147 | SiteNo.: 320 | 0462 | | |
| | | | Report Da | ated: 4/26/2016 |
| The following information is re requirements are established in Sitting Guidelines dated Augus | equired to be provided before a the San Francisco Planning E t 1996. | approval of this Department Win | project can be made eless Telecommuni | e. These information cations Services Facility |
| In order to facilitate quicker ap submitting the proposal to ensu | proval of this project, it is record re that all requirements are in | ommended that cluded. | the project sponsor | review this document before |
| X 1. The location, identity at (WTS-FSG, Section 10. | nd total number of all operation 4.1, Section 11, 2b) | onal radiating a | ntennas installed at | this site was provided. |
| Number of Exist | ing Antennas: 0 | | | |
| 2. A list of all radiating an frequency energy at this • Yes | tennas located within 100 fee location was provided. (WTS O No | t of the site whi S-FSG, Section | ich could contribute 10.5.2) | to the cumulative radio |
| X 3. A narrative description scope of work for the fin • Yes | of the proposed work for this pal installation drawings. (W) | project was pro TS-FSG, Sectic | vided. The descript n 10) | ion should be consistent with |
| \underline{X} 4. An inventory of the mal | ke and model of antennas or tr | ansmitting equ | ipment being install | led or removed was |
| The antenna inventory i | ncluded the proposed installat | tion height abo | ve the nearest walki | ng/working surface, the |
| X 5. A description of the existence of | sting radio frequency energy e level was provided. A descrip SG, Section 10.4.1a, Section 1 O No | environment at otion of any ass 10.4.1c, Section | the nearest walking/ umptions made whe 10.5) | working surface to the en doing the calculations was |
| X 6. The maximum effective frequency | radiated power per sector for | the proposed ir | stallation was provi | ded along with the |
| Maximum Effect | ive Radiated Power: 34530 | Watts | | |
| X 7. Based on the antenna or publicly accessible build | ientation, the maximum cumuling or area was provided. (W | ulative predicte TS-FSG, Section | d radio frequency en on 10.4, Section 10. | ergy level for any nearby 5.1) |
| Distance to this | nearby building or structure: | 100 fe | et | ////////////////////////////////////// |
| | | | | |

 X 8. The estimated maximum cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5) Maximum RF Exposure: 0.035 mW/cm² Maximum RF Exposure Percent: 4.1 % <u>X</u>9. The maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public

and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas was provided. Any potential

| Public Exclusion Area | Public Exclusion In Feet: | 118 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Occupational Exclusion Area | Occupational Exclusion In Feet: | 52 |

X 10. A description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas was provided. A description was also provided of any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop stripping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards. All signs will be provided in English, Spanish and Chinese. (WTS-FSG, Section 9.5, Section 10.9.2)

Yes

X 11. Statement regarding the engineer who produced the report and their qualifications was provided. The engineer

• Yes O No

X Approved. Based on the information provided the following staff believes that the project proposal will comply with the current Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC standard CFR47 1.1310 Approval of the subsequent Project Implementation Report is based on project sponsor completing recommendations by project consultant and DPH.

Comments:

There are currently no antennas operated by Verizon installed on the roof top of the building at 99 Grove Street. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 5% of the FCC public exposure limit. There were observed no other antennas within 100 feet of this site. Verizon proposes to install 9 new antennas. The antennas will be mounted at a height of 77 to 81 feet above the ground. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed Verizon transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.035 mW/sq cm., which is 4.1% of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 118 feet and includes portions of the rooftop areas. Barricades should be installed to prevent access to these areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas, barricades and roof access points in English, Spanish and Chinese. Workers should not have access to within 52 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. Areas of the rooftop exceeding the FCC occupational standards should be marked with red striping and areas exceeding the public standards with yellow striping on the rooftop.

Not Approved, additional information required.

Not Approved, does not comply with Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC Standard

1 Hours spent reviewing

Charges to Project Sponsor (in addition to previous charges, to be received at time of receipt by Sponsor)

fosdel

Dated: 4/27/2016

Signed:

Patrick Fosdahl Environmental Health Management Section San Francisco Dept. of Public Health 1390 Market St., Suite 210, San Francisco, CA. 94102 (415) 252-3904





City and County of San Francisco DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SECTION

Edwin M. Lee, Mayor Barbara Garcia, Director of Health

Richard J. Lee, MPH, CIH, REHS Director of Environmental Health (Acting)

Review of Cellular Antenna Site Proposals

| Project Sponsor : AT&T Wireless RF Engineer Consultant: Hammett and Edison Project Address/Location: 99 Grove St | | Planner: | Seema Adina | | |
|--|------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | Hammett and Edison 99 Grove St | | Phone Number: | (707) 996-5200 |
| | | | | | |
| Site ID: | 2342 | SiteNo.: | CCU2159 | | _ |

The following information is required to be provided before approval of this project can be made. These information requirements are established in the San Francisco Planning Department Wireless Telecommunications Services Facility Sitting Guidelines dated August 1996.

In order to facilitate quicker approval of this project, it is recommended that the project sponsor review this document before submitting the proposal to ensure that all requirements are included.

X 1. The location, identity and total number of all operational radiating antennas installed at this site was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1, Section 11, 2b)

> 12 Number of Existing Antennas:

- X 2. A list of all radiating antennas located within 100 feet of the site which could contribute to the cumulative radio frequency energy at this location was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.2) • Yes O No
- X 3. A narrative description of the proposed work for this project was provided. The description should be consistent with scope of work for the final installation drawings. (WTS-FSG, Section 10)

• Yes O No

- X 4. An inventory of the make and model of antennas or transmitting equipment being installed or removed was provided. The antenna inventory included the proposed installation height above the nearest walking/working surface, the height above ground level and the orientations of the antennas. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5.2) • Yes O No
- **X** 5. A description of the existing radio frequency energy environment at the nearest walking/working surface to the antennas and at ground level was provided. A description of any assumptions made when doing the calculations was also provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4.1a, Section 10.4.1c, Section 10.5) • Yes O No
- X 6. The maximum effective radiated power per sector for the proposed installation was provided along with the frequency bands used by the antennas. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.1.2, Section 10.5.1)

Maximum Effective Radiated Power: 249 0 Watts

X 7. Based on the antenna orientation, the maximum cumulative predicted radio frequency energy level for any nearby publicly accessible building or area was provided. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.4, Section 10.5.1)

> Maximum percent of applicable FCC public standard at the nearest building or structure: 41 % 100 Distance to this nearby building or structure: feet

X 8. The estimated maximum cumulative radio frequency fields for the proposed site at ground level. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.5) mW/cm² 0.05 Maximum RF Exposure:

Maximum RF Exposure Percent: % X 9. The maximum distance (in feet) the three dimensional perimeter of the radio frequency energy level equal to the public and occupational exposure limit is calculated to extend from the face of the antennas was provided. Any potential walking/working surfaces exceeding regulatory standards were identified. (WTS-FSG, Section 10.9.2)

| Public Exclusion Area | Public Exclusion In Feet: | 110 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| Occupational Exclusion Area | Occupational Exclusion In Feet: | 4 |

X 10. A description of whether or not the public has access to the antennas was provided. A description was also provided of any existing or proposed warning signs, barricades, barriers, rooftop stripping or other safety precautions for people nearing the equipment as may be required by any applicable FCC-adopted standards. All signs will be provided in English, Spanish and Chinese. (WTS-FSG, Section 9.5, Section 10.9.2)

> • Yes O No

X 11. Statement regarding the engineer who produced the report and their qualifications was provided. The engineer is licensed in the State of California. (WTS-FSG, Section 11,8)

> • Yes O No

X Approved. Based on the information provided the following staff believes that the project proposal will comply with the current Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC standard <u>CFR47 1.1310</u> Approval of the subsequent Project Implementation Report is based on project sponsor completing recommendations by project consultant and DPH.

Comments:

There are currently no antennas operated by AT T Wireless installed on the roof top of the building at 99 Grove Street. Existing RF levels at ground level were around 1% of the FCC public exposure limit. Verizon also operates antennas 12 at this location. AT T Wireless proposes to install 14 new antennas. The antennas will be mounted at a height of 7 to 82 feet above the ground. The estimated ambient RF field from the proposed AT T Wireless transmitters at ground level is calculated to be 0.057 mW/sq cm., which is % of the FCC public exposure limit. The three dimensional perimeter of RF levels equal to the public exposure limit extends 110 feet and includes portions of the rooftop areas. Barricades should be installed to prevent any public access to these areas. Warning signs must be posted at the antennas, barricades and roof access points in English, Spanish and Chinese. Worker should not have access to within 47 feet of the front of the antennas while they are in operation. Areas of the rooftop exceeding the FCC ccupational limits should be marked with red striping and areas exceeding the FCC public limits with yellow striping on the rooftop.

Not Approved, additional information required.

Not Approved, does not comply with Federal Communication Commission safety standards for radiofrequency radiation exposure. FCC Standard

Hours spent reviewing 1

Charges to Project Sponsor (in addition to previous charges, to be received at time of receipt by Sponsor)

Fosdel

Dated: 1/26/2016

Signed:

Patrick Fosdahl Environmental Health Management Section

San Francisco Dept. of Public Health 1390 Market St., Suite 210, San Francisco, CA. 94102 (415) 252-3904

VERIZON WIRELESS



WILLIAM F. HAMMETT, P.E. STANLEY SALEK, P.E. Robert P. Smith, Jr. Rajat Mathur, P.E. Andrea L. Bright, P.E. Neil J. Olij, P.E. Brian F. Palmer

ROBERT L. HAMMETT, P.E. 1920-2002 Edward Edison, P.E. 1920-2009

DANE E. ERICKSEN, P.E. CONSULTANT

BY E-MAIL MARKWJONES31@MSN.COM

October 23, 2015

Mr. Mark Jones Ericsson 6140 Stoneridge Mall Road, Suite 350 Pleasanton, California 94588

Dear Mark:

As requested, we have conducted a review of the coverage maps provided by Verizon Wireless, regarding its proposal for a new base station to be located at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco (Site No. 320462 "SF Grove Street").

Executive Summary

We concur with the maps and data provided by Verizon. The maps provided to show the before and after conditions accurately represent the carrier's present and postinstallation coverage.

Verizon proposes to install twelve Amphenol directional panel antennas – nine 6-foot tall Model HEX456CW0000 and three 4-foot tall Model HEX454CW0000 – above the roof of the Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, located at 99 Grove Street. The antennas would be mounted with up to 4° downtilt, and would be oriented in groups of three. Two groups of Model HEX456 antennas would be installed within view screen enclosures above the northeast and northwest corners of the roof at an effective height of about 76 feet above ground, 6 feet above the main roof, and would be oriented toward 20°T and 340°T. One group of Model HEX456 antennas would be installed within a view screen enclosure above the penthouse at the southwest corner of the roof, at an effective height of about $81\frac{1}{2}$ feet above ground, $11\frac{1}{2}$ and $4\frac{1}{2}$ feet above the main and penthouse roofs, and would be oriented toward 240°T. The group of three Model HEX454 antennas would be mounted above the penthouse at the southeast corner of the roof, at an effective height of about 81 feet above ground, 11 and 4 feet above the main and penthouse roofs, and would be oriented toward 140°T. The maximum effective radiated power proposed by Verizon in any direction is 10,850 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 4,460 watts for AWS, 4,160 watts for PCS, and 2,230 watts for 700 MHz service; no operation on cellular frequencies is presently proposed from this site.

Mr. Mark Jones, page 2 October 23, 2015

Verizon provided for review two coverage maps, attached for reference. The maps show Verizon's 4G LTE coverage in the area <u>before</u> and <u>after</u> the site is operational. Both the before and after LTE maps show four levels of coverage, which Verizon colors and defines as follows:

| Green | Indoor |
|--------|------------------|
| Yellow | In Car |
| Gray | On Street |
| Red | Edge of Coverage |

These service thresholds used by Verizon are in line with industry standards, similar to the thresholds used by other wireless service providers.

We conducted our own drive test to measure the actual Verizon LTE 4G signal strength in the vicinity of the proposed site. Our fieldwork was conducted on October 19, 2015, between 10:20 AM and 1:55 PM. The field measurements were conducted using an Ascom TEMS Pocket network diagnostic tool with built-in GPS along a measurement route selected to cover all the streets within the map area that Verizon had indicated would receive improved service.

Based on the measurement data, we conclude that the Verizon 4G LTE coverage maps showing the service area without the proposed installation includes areas of relatively weak signal levels in the carrier's present coverage. The maps submitted to show the after coverage with the proposed base station in operation were reportedly prepared on the same basis as the maps of the existing conditions and so are expected to accurately illustrate the improvements in coverage.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Please let us know if any questions arise on this matter.

6-30-2017

Sincerely yours,

William F. Hammett

scn

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Kelly Pepper (w/encls) – BY E-MAIL KELLY@TOWNCONSULTING.COM Mr. Richard Newman (w/encls) – BY E-MAIL RICHARD.F.NEWMAN@ERICSSON.COM





WILLIAM F. HAMMETT, P.E. STANLEY SALEK, P.E. Robert P. Smith, Jr. Rajat Mathur, P.E. Andrea L. Bright, P.E. NEIL J. Olij, P.E. Brian F. Palmer

ROBERT L. HAMMETT, P.E. 1920-2002 Edward Edison, P.E. 1920-2009

Dane E. Ericksen, P.E. Consultant

BY E-MAIL AD122P@ATT.COM

January 21, 2016

Mr. Alexander Wilson-Desbois AT&T Mobility 430 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104

Dear Alexander:

As requested, we have conducted the review required by the City of San Francisco of the coverage maps that AT&T Mobility will submit as part of its application package for its base station proposed to be located at 99 Grove Street (Site No. CCU2159). This is to fulfill the submittal requirements for Planning Department review.

Executive Summary

We concur with the maps provided by AT&T. The maps provided to show the before and after conditions accurately represent the carrier's present and post-installation indoor coverage.

AT&T proposes to install 14 CCI directional panel antennas – Models MBA6-11F, BSA-M65R, and HPA-33R – behind existing view screens above the roof of the Bill Graham Civic Auditorium, located at 99 Grove Street in San Francisco. AT&T provided for review a pair of coverage maps, dated December 11, 2015, attached for reference. The maps show AT&T's cellular UMTS (850 MHz) indoor coverage in the area <u>before</u> and <u>after</u> the site is operational. Both the before and after UMTS maps show three levels of coverage, which AT&T colors and defines as follows:

| Green | Acceptable service coverage during high demand periods |
|---------------|--|
| Hashed Yellow | Service coverage gap during high demand periods |
| Pink | Service coverage gap during all demand periods |

We undertook a two-step process in our review. As a first step, we obtained information from AT&T on the software and the service thresholds that were used to generate its coverage maps. This carrier uses commercially available software to produce the maps. The outdoor service thresholds that AT&T uses to estimate indoor service are in line with industry standards, similar to the thresholds used by other wireless service providers.

As a second step, we conducted our own drive test to measure the actual AT&T UMTS signal strength in the vicinity of the proposed site. Our fieldwork was conducted on January 19, 2016, between 1:25 PM and 3:30 PM. The field measurements were conducted using an Ascom

Alexander Wilson-Desbois, page 2 January 21, 2016

TEMS Pocket network diagnostic tool with built-in GPS along a measurement route selected to cover all the streets within the map area that AT&T had indicated would receive improved service.

Based on the measurement data, we conclude that the AT&T UMTS coverage map showing the service area without the proposed installation represents areas of deficiency in the carrier's present indoor coverage. The map submitted to show the after coverage with the proposed base station in operation was reportedly prepared on the same basis as the map of the existing conditions and so is expected to illustrate accurately the improvements in coverage.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. Please let us know if any questions arise on this matter.

Sincerely yours,

William F. Hammett, P.E.

dc

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Wayne Lowell (w/encls) – BY E-MAIL WL494C@ATT.COM Mr. Chris Hatch (w/encls) – BY E-MAIL CHRIS@S2SWIRELESS.COM

| VERIZON WIRELESS EQUIPMENT ENGINEER: | | VERIZON WIRELESS REAL ESTATE: | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|
| SIGNATURE | DATE | SIGNATURE | DATE | |
| VERIZON WIRELESS CONS | STRUCTION: | VERIZON WIRELESS RF | ENGINEER: | |
| SIGNATURE | DATE | SIGNATURE | DATE | |
| PROPERTY OWNER: | | ERICSSON - LEASING | | |
| SIGNATURE | DATE | SIGNATURE | DATE | |
| ERICSSON - CONSTRUCT | fion . | ERICSSON - ZONING | | |
| SIGNATURE | DATE | SIGNATURE | DATE | |

verizon

GROVE ST - MACRO 99 GROVE ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 LOCATION NUMBER: 320462

| | PROJECT DES | SCRIPTIC | N | VICINITY MAP | CODE COMP |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| A (P) VERIZON WIRELESS UNW (9) (P) ANTENNAS (42) (P) RRUG-12 UNITS (8) (P) RAYCAP 3315 UI (P) VERIZON WIRELESS 1 (2) (P) GPS ANTENNAS (8) (P) Ø3" CONDUITS W (4) (P) STEALTH BOX EN | MANNED TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITY CONSISTIN S W/ (P) A2 MODULES NITS 1'-2"X21'-9" (243 SQ FT) EQUIPMENT/LEASE A I/ (P) HYBRID CABLES NOLOSURES | | NI | Turk ST Turk S | ALL WORK & MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED & INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE W CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. NOTHING IN THESE NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES: 2013 CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PART 1, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (CBC), PART 2, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE VOLUMES 1-2 AND 2013 CALIFORNIA 2013 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE (CEC), PART 3, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2011 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE (CBC) PART 4, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2011 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE (CBC), PART 4, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM MECHANICAL CODE (CPC), PART 5, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE (CPC), PART 5, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2013 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE (CPC), PART 5, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2013 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE (CPC), PART 5, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2013 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE (CEC), PART 6, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM FLANGISCO FIRE CODE (2012 UNIFORM FLANGISCO FIRE CODE (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) |
| SITE NAME: COUNTY: BLOCK/LOT: SITE ADDRESS: | GROVE ST - MACRO SAN FRANCISCO 0812-001 99 GROVE ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 | SITE # JURISDICTION: POWER: TELEPHONE: | 320462 CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PG&E AT&T | SCALE WW Field St Fell | 2013 CALIFORNIA REFERENCED STANDARDS CODE, PART H, THE 24 C.C.R. 2013 CALIFORNIA REFERENCED STANDARDS, PART 12, TITLE 24 C.C.R. ANSI/EIA-TIA-222-G ALONG WITH ANY OTHER APPLICABLE LOCAL & STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS DISABLED ACCESS REQUIREMENTS THIS FACILITY IS UNMANNED & NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION. DISABLED ACCESS A ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA STATE BUILDING CODE, TITLE 24 PART 2, SECTION |
| CURRENT ZONING: CONSTRUCTION TYPE: OCCUPANCY TYPE: HEIGHT/BULK: PROPERTY OWNER: APPLICANT: LEASING CONTACT: ZONING CONTACT: CONSTRUCTION CONTACT: | P-PUBLIC I-B U, (UNMANNED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY) 80-X CITY PROPERTY 25 VAN NESS AVE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 VERIZON WIRELESS 2785 MITCHELL DRIVE, BLDG 9 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598 ERICSSON ATTN: MARK JONES (330) 391-0360 ERICSSON ATTN: KELLY PEPPER (415) 307-5082 ERICSSON ATTN: DOUG PODRABSKY (916) 539-1720 | | | FROM: 2785 MITCHELL DRIVE, BLDG 9, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598 TO: 99 GROVE ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 1. HEAD NORTHEAST ON MITCHELL DR TOWARD DAK GROVE RD 30 F 2. TURN RICHT ONTO OAK GROVE RD 0.4 M 3. TURN RICHT ONTO OAK GROVE RD 3.4 M 4. YGNACIO VALLEY RD TURNS SUBCHTLY RICHT AND BECOMES HILLSIDE AVE 0.2 M 5. TURN RICHT ONTO THE 24 W RAMP TO CAKLAND 1.2 M 6. CONTINUE ONTO CA-24 W/HWY 24 W 2.3 M 7. KEEP LEFT TO CONTINUE ON CA-24 W 2.3 M 9. TAKE EXIT 2B FOR INTERSTATE 580 W 1.0 M 10. MERGE ONTO I-580 W 1.0 M 11. TAKE EXIT 19A ON THE LEFT TO MERGE ONTO I-B0 W TOWARD 7.9 M 25. AN ERANCISCO PARTIAL TOLL ROAD 7.9 M 26. AN ERANCISCO PARTIAL TOLL ROAD 7.9 M 27. TURN RICHT ONTO HARRISON ST 0.1 M 36. SUGHT RIGHT ONTO LARKIN ST 0.5 M 37. TURN RICHT ONTO DIARNIN ST 0.5 M 38. MERGE ONTO HARRISON ST 0.5 M 39. GROVE ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 27.1 M ENTIMATED TIME: 42 MINUTES ESTIMATED DISTANCE: 27.9 MILES | SHEET DESCRIPTION T-1 TITLE SHEET C-1 TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY C-2 TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY A-1 SITE PLAN A-2 EQUIPMENT PLAN A-3 ANTENNA PLANS A-4 ANTENNA PLANS A-5 ELEVATIONS A-6 ELEVATIONS A-7 DETAILS |









| SYM | WIDTH | DEPTH | HEIGHT |
|-----|-----------|-----------|--------|
| A | 2'-2" | 1'-9 1/2" | 7'-0" |
| В | 2'-1" | 1'-3" | 7'-0" |
| С | 2'-0" | 2'-0" | 6'-2" |
| D | 1'-8 1/2" | 1'-3" | 7'-0" |
| D1 | 2'-0 1/2" | 1'-3" | 7'-0" |
| E | 2'-0" | 1'-7" | 4'-9" |
| F | 2'-0" | 2'-1 1/4" | 7'-0" |
| G | 2'-0" | 2'-0" | 7'-0" |
| Н | 2'-6" | 3'-6" | 7'-0" |
| 1 | 2'-4" | 2'-1 1/4" | 7'-0" |
| J | 1'-9" | 2'-1 1/4" | 5'-3" |



















| CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION: 2016-001881CUA BILL GRAHAM CIVIC AUDITORIUM 99 GROVE ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 CCU2159 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION | | | VICINITY MAP | CODE COMPLIANCE |
| (14) (P) ANTENNA: (23) (P) & (37) ((7) (P) & (7) (F) (P) AT&T 12'-0'Y (2) (P) GPS ANTEI (4) (P) INNERDUCT (4) (P) STEALTH B SITE NAME: COUNTY: BLOCK/LOT: SITE ADDRESS: CURRENT ZONING: CONSTRUCTION TYPE: OCCUPANCY TYPE: HEIGHT/BULK: | IS (F) RRU UNITS (60 TOTAL) A2 MODULES (14 TOTAL) 10 ¹⁰ -0 ² (120 SQ FT) STEEL EQUIPMENT PLATFORM/LEA INNAS IS W/ (P) FIBER & DC CABLES BOX ENCLOSURES BILL GRAHAM CIVIC AUDITORIUM SAN FRANCISCO 0812-001 99 GROVE ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 P-PUBLIC V-B U, (UNMANNED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY) 80-X | NSE AREA LOCATED ON ROOF ₩/ EQUIPMENT DRMATION SITE #: CCU2159 JURISDICTION: CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISC POWER: PG&E TELEPHONE: AT&T | Golden Gate Ave UC Hestings College of the Law History St San Francisco City Hall ® Future St San Francisco Symphony Future St Hayes St Future St Future St Future St Future St Future St Future St State LocAtion San Francisco Symphony Future St Future St Future St Future St State Concert Future St Future St State Concert San Francisco Symphony State Concert Future St State Concert State Conce | ALL WORK & MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED & INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNING AUTHORITIES. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSTRUED TO PERMIT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THESE CODES: 2013 CALIFORNIA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, PART 1, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE VOLUMES 1–2 AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE (CEC), PART 3, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2011 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE (MC) PART 4, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM MECHANICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA ELECTRICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA FULMBING CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA FULMBING CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE (CEC), PART 6, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM MECHANICAL CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA ENERGY CODE (CEC), PART 6, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA REREGY CODE (CEC), PART 6, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA REREGY CODE (CEC), PART 12, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE, PART 11, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS, PART 12, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE AND 2013 CALIFORNIA AMENDMENTS) 2013 CALIFORNIA STATE ADVARDARDS, PART 12, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2012 INTERNATIONAL FIRE CREDE DILEDING STANDARDS, PART 12, TITLE 24 C.C.R. (2013 |
| PROPERTY OWNER: APPLICANT: LEASING CONTACT: ZONING CONTACT: ZONING CONTACT: RISK CATEGORY: III WIND EXPOSURE: B SITE CLASS: D STREAMLINE ENGINEERING COMPONENT ABOVE & BE PROVIDE COMPLETE TESTII | CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO 25 VAN NESS AVE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 AT&T 5001 EXECUTIVE PKWY, 4W550H SAN RAMON, CA 945B3 SHORE 2 SHORE WIRELESS INC ATTN: CHRIS HATCH (916) 259–2590 BHORE 2 SHORE WIRELESS INC ATTN: CHRIS HATCH (916) 259–2590 BESSIGN CATEGORY: D SEISMIC DESIGN CATEGORY: D SEISMIC DESIGN, INC. DOES NOT REQUIRE ANY STRUCTURAL EYOND WHAT IS LISTED BELOW UNLESS OTHERWISE REQ ING AND INSPECTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CBC, | CONSTRUCTION CONTACT: ATTN: MAJID MORADI (415) 696-9400 LATITUDE: N 37' 46' 42.16" NAD 83 LONGITUDE: W 122' 25' 00.45" NAD 83 AMSL: ±61.1' ITEERIA VE LOAD: N/A PH) COMPONENT Ip: 1.0 Sp5: 1.000 L OBSERVATION OR SPECIAL INSPECTION OF ANY STRUCTURAL DUIRED BY JURISDICTION. CHAPTER 17 AS NOTED BELOW: | 1. START OUT GOING SOUTHEAST ON CAMINO RAMON TOWARD BISHOP DR. 0.4 MI 2. TAKE THE 2ND RIGHT ONTO BOLLINGER CANYON RD. 0.4 MI 3. MERGE ONTO 1-680 N TOWARD SACRAMENTO. 0.4 MI 4. MERGE ONTO CA-24 W VIA EXIT 46A TOWARD OAKLAND / LAFAYETTE. 13.4 MI 5. TAKE EXIT 2B TOWARD 1-580 / SAN FRANCISCO / HAYWARD. 0.3 MI 6. MERGE ONTO 1-580 W. 0.3 MI 7. MERGE ONTO 1-580 W. 0.3 MI 8. MERGE ONTO 1-580 W. 0.3 MI 9. MERGE ONTO 1-580 W. 0.4 MI XI 19A ON THE LEFT (PORTIONS TOLL). 8. TAKE EXIT 1C TOWARD OLIC CENTER / 9TH ST. 0.2 MI 9. MERGE ONTO HARRISON ST. 0.2 MI 10. TAKE THE ZD RIGHT ONTO 9TH ST. 0.1 MI 11. TURN SLIGHT RIGHT ONTO LARKIN ST. 0.5 MI 12. TURN LEFT ONTO GROVE ST. 0.09 MI END AT: 99 GROVE ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 ESTIMATED TIME: 38 MINUTES ESTIMATED TIME: 38 MINUTES ESTIMATED DISTANCE: 35.48 MILES | SHEET INDEXAPPROVALSHEETDESCRIPTIONREVT-1TITLE SHEET-C-1TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY-C-2TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY-A-1SITE PLAN-A-2EQUIPMENT PLAN & DETAILS-A-3ANTENNA PLANS-A-4ANTENNA PLANS-A-5ELEVATIONS-A-6ELEVATIONS-A-7DETAILS- |





















A2 MODULE

1½"=1'-0"

DETAIL

MAX WEIGHT: 22 LBS













CONSTRUCTION NOTES

- EXISTING BUILDING CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE FIELD VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION OR ORDERING OF MATERIALS. IF EXISTING CONDITIONS DO NOT ALLOW FOR DETAILS OF CONSTRUCTION AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, NOTIFY ENGINEER OF RECORD FOR RESOLUTION PRIOR TO PROCEEDING. CONTRACTOR SHALL EXPOSE AND REVIEW EXISTING CONDITIONS IN A TIMELY MANNER SUCH THAT ALTERNATE DESIGNS OR DETAILS, IF REQUIRED, MAY BE GENERATED WITHOUT DELAY TO THE PROJECT.
- DURING CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT ALTER, DAMAGE OR REMOVE ANY PART OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY DETAILED ON THESE DRAWINGS.
- THE INTENT OF THESE DRAWINGS IS THAT THE WORK OF THE ADDITION, ALTERATION, THE INTENT OF THESE DRAWINGS IS THAT THE WORK OF THE ADDITION, ALTERATION, REHABILITATION, OR RECONSTRUCTION IS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2013 CBC. SHOULD ANY EXISTING CONDITIONS SUCH AS DETERIORATION OR NONCOMPLYING CONSTRUCTION BE DISCOVERED WHICH IS NOT COVERED BY THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS WHEREIN THE FINISHED WORK WILL NOT COMPLY WITH THE 2013 CBC, A CHANGE ORDER, OR A SUPPARTE SET OF PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, DETAILING AND SPECIFYING THE REQUIRED WORK SHALL BE PREPARED AND SUBMITTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK.
- ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHOWN ARE NEW UNLESS INDICATED AS EXISTING (E). IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO REMOVE ARCHITECTURAL FINISHES, PLUMBING PIPES AND FIXTURES, ELECTRICAL CONDUIT, FIXTURES, PANELS, BOXES, TELEPHONE OR FIRE ALARM WIRING AND FIXTURES OR OTHER NON-STRUCTURAL ITEMS TO INSTALL STRUCTURAL WORK AND MATERIALS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. SUCH ITEMS SHALL BE REMOVED. REPAIRED AND/OR REPLACED TO MATCH PRE-CONSTRUCTION
- CONDITIONS AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE. ALL WEATHER PROOFING. INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO TORCH DOWN, CAULKING, Z-FLASHING OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL THAT MAY BE ALTERED DURING INSTALLATION SHALL BE REPAIRED REPLACED AND/OR MODIFIED TO ENSURE THE BUILDING AT THE INSTALLATION SITE IS WEATHER PROOF.
- ANY PROPOSED SUBSTITUTIONS FOR STRUCTURAL MEMBERS, HARDWARE, ANCHOR TYPES, OR DETAILING INDICATED IN THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO AND REVIEWED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIALS, SUCH REVIEW SHALL BE BILLED ON A TIME AND MATERIALS BASIS TO THE CONTRACTOR WITH NO GUARANTEE THAT THE SUBSTITUTION WILL BE ALLOWED.

STRUCTURAL STEEL NOTES

- ALL STEEL CONSTRUCTION INCLUDING FABRICATION, ERECTION AND MATERIALS SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS OF THE AISC SPECIFICATION FOR THE DESIGN, FABRICATION, AND ERECTION OF STRUCTURAL STEEL FOR BUILDINGS AND THE 2013
- ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASTM A36 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL WE (WIDE FLANGE) & WT (TEE) SHAPES TO BE ASTM A992 (Fy=50,000 PSI) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL STRUCTURAL TUBING (TS OR HSS) SHALL BE ASTM A500 GRADE B (Fy=46,000 PSI). ALL STEEL PIPE SHALL BE ASTM A53 (TYPE E OR S. GRADE B (Fy=35,000 PSI)) SCHEDULE 40 WITH OUTSIDE DIAMETERS GIVEN UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL WELDING SHALL BE PERFORMED USING ETOXX ELECTRODES AND SHALL CONFORM TO ALSC & AWS DI.1. WHERE FILLET WELD SIZES ARE NOT SHOWN PROVIDE THE MINIMUM SIZE PER TABLE J2.4 IN THE ALSC SPECIFICATION. PAINTED SURFACES SHALL BE TOUCHED UP. ALL WELDING SHALL BE PERFORMED BY QUALIFIED, CERTIFIED WELDERS.
- BOLTS SHALL BE GALVANIZED ASTM A325 MINIMUM. BOLTED CONNECTIONS SHALL BE BEARING TYPE. SEE PLANS FOR LOCATION, NUMBER, & SIZE OF BOLTS. SPECIAL INSPECTION NOT REQUIRED U.O.N. THREADED RODS SHALL BE ASTM F593 CW 304/316 STAINLESS STEEL . BOLTED
- CONNECTIONS SHALL BE BEARING TYPE. SEE PLANS FOR LOCATION, NUMBER, & SIZE OF BOLTS
- ALL HOLES FOR BOLTED CONNECTIONS SHALL BE 1/16" LARGER THAN THE NOMINAL BOLT DIAMETER. USE STANDARD AISC GAGE AND PITCH FOR BOLTS EXCEPT AS NOTED OTHERWISE. HOLES FOR ANCHOR BOLTS IN BASE PLATES MAY BE AISC
- "OVERSIZE" HOLES WHERE ACCOMPANIED BY OVERSIZED HARDENED HDG WASHERS. ALL SHOP FABRICATED STEEL STRUCTURAL MEMBERS FOR EXTERIOR USE SHALL BE OT DIP GALVANIZED PER ASTM A123 AFTER FABRICATION & PAINTED PER CUSTOMER SPECIFICATIONS AS REQUIRED. STEEL FOR INTERIOR USE SHALL BE SHOP
- COAT OR GALVANIZED & PAINTED PER PLAN. ALL FIELD FABRICATED GALVANIZED STEEL THAT IS CUT, GROUND, DRILLED, WELDED OR DAMAGED SHALL BE TREATED WITH "ZINC RICH" COLD GALVANIZING SPRAY OR COATING, NO RAW STEEL SHALL BE EXPOSED.
- 10. AT ALL WEB STIFFENER PLATES LEAVE 3/10 (OR K, WHICHEVER IS LARGER) HOLE @ WEB/FLANGE INTERSECTION UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

IGHT GAUGE METAL FRAMING

- ALL LIGHT GAUGE METAL FRAMING SHALL BE PER THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 2013 CBC AND THE NORTH AMERICAN SPECIFICATION FOR THE DESIGN OF COLD-FORMED STEEL STRUCTURAL MEMBERS OF THE AMERICAN IRON AND STEEL INSTITUTE (AISI-NAS-07 PER CBC CHAPTER 35) ALL METAL FRAMING SHALL BE FORMED FROM GALVANIZED STEEL CONFORMING TO
- ASTM A653 OR ASTM A1011 WITH MINIMUM YIELD STRENGTH OF 33KSI FOR 43 MILS (18GA) AND LIGHTER 50KSI FOR 54 MILS (16 GA) AND HEAVIER, U.O.N. FULLY ENCLOSED WORK OF 14GA OR THICKER MAY BE ASTM A653 SHOP COAT.
- GALVANIZED COATING MUST MEET THE ASTM C955 SPECIFICATION. METAL TRACKS SHALL BE THE SAME GAUGE AS FRAMING WHICH IT SUPPORTS.
- UNLESS NOTED OTHER WISE WITH MINIMUM FLAGE WOTH OF 14, AND MINIMUM PROPERTES AS SHOWN IN THE LICHT GAUGE METAL FRAMING SCHEDULE. ALL WELDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CBC AND THE STRUCTURE WELDING CODE-SHEET STEEL OF THE AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY AWS D1.3 PER CBC CHAPTER 35).
- FACTORY PUNCH-OUTS FOR STUDS TO BE LOCATED ONLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE WEBS OF THE MEMBERS AND HAVE A MINIMUM CENTER-TO-CENTER SPACING OF 24". PUNCH-OUTS TO HAVE A MAXIMUM WIDTH=HALF THE MEMBER DEPTH (D/2) OR 2½", WHICHEVER IS LESS, AND A MAXIMUM LENGTH=4½". LIGHT GAUGE FRAMING MEMBERS SHALL BE CUT SUCH THAT THE MINIMUM DISTANCE BETWEEN THE END OF THE MEMBER AND THE NEAR EDGE OF THE WEB PUNCH-OUT=10"
- ALL HEADER, JOIST & BEAM MEMBERS SHALL BE UN-PUNCHED.
- ALL SCREWS SHALL BE TEKS/TRAXX SELF-DRILLING SCREWS BY ITW BUILDX, OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT, INSTALL PER MANUFACTURES INSTRUCTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MAXIMUM RATED LOADING CAPACITIES. ALL SCREWS SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED.

FRP NOTES

- FRAMING MEMBERS IN FRONT OF ANTENNA HORIZONTAL BEAM WIDTH SHALL BE ASSEMBLED W/ FRP STRUCTURAL MEMBERS & FASTENERS ONLY.
- FRP STRUCTURAL FRAMING MEMBERS ARE TO HAVE THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS The LONGWISE FLEXURAL STRESS W/ F.S.=3.0 10 KSI

2600 KSI

- E MODULUS OF ELASTICITY FRP PANELS ARE TO HAVE THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS:
- Fb CROSSWISE FLEXURAL STRESS W/ F.S.=3.0 5 KSI CROSSWISE FLEXURAL MODULUS 1100 KSI
- FRP BOLTING MINIMUM SINGLE SHEAR ALLOWABLE VALUES:

- ¢¼° % NYLON BOLT; V=67# FS=3.0 ¢½° KRP THREADED ROD & NUT; V=650# FS=4.0 ¢% FRP THREADED ROD & NUT; V=950# FS=4.0 PRIME & PAINT ALL FRP SURFACES PER THE FOLLOWING PROCESS: A. CLEAN SCREEN W/ DENATURED ALCOHOL
- B. APPLY BONDZ BONDING PRIMER OR EQUIV. LET CURE 24 HRS. C. APPLY DRYVIT DPR FINISH TO MATCH (E) BLDG FINISH TEXTURE.
- D. PAINT TO MATCH EXISTING BLDG FINISH COLOR.

ROOFING & WATERPROOFING NOTES:

- 1. CONTRACTOR SHALL JOINTLY GUARANTEE THE FINISHED INSTALLATION AS WEATHER TIGHT AND FREE DRAINING ON COMPLETION DIRECTLY TO THE BUILDING OWNER & TO THE WIRELESS CARRIER FOR ALL WORK SHOWN HEREIN.
- THE THRELESS CARRIER FOR ALL WORK SHOWN HEREIN. ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED IN SUB-UNITS SUCH THAT CUT OPEN WEATHERPROOFING SYSTEMS ARE REPARED PERMANENTLY OR TEMPORARILY IN DEFENSE OF ANY INCLEMENT WEATHER AS MAY OCCUR DURING CONSTRUCTION. WHEREVER PENETRATION OF PROPRIETARY WEATHERPROOFING SYSTEMS OCCURS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY SUBCONTRACTORS APPROVED FOR APPLICATION OF SAID SYSTEM AND WITH MINIMUM OF 3 YEARS EXPERIENCE WITH THE APPLICABLE PRODUCTS, AND INSTITUER APPLICATION (FROM THE APPLICABLE PRODUCTS). PRODUCT(S) AND ITS(THEIR) APPLICATION (e.g. DRY-VIT, GAKO-FLEX DECKING &/OR ROOFING, SINGLE-PLY ROOFING SYSTEMS (VARIOUS), ETC.).
- WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY THE BUILDING OWNER'S
- ROOFING CONTRACTOR FOR ALL PATCHWORK. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO INVESTIGATE ALL WEATHERPROFING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE WORK SHOWN HEREIN PROFID TO SUBMITTING A BID, AND SHALL NOTIFY THE PROJECT ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES IN DETAILS SHOWN THAT MAY RESULT IN SUBSTANDARD WEATHERPROOFING IN THE FINISHED ASSEMBLY.







