

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Discretionary Review Abbreviated Analysis

HEARING DATE: JUNE 2, 2016

Date:	May 23, 2016
Case No.:	2015-002632DRP&VAR-02
Project Address:	1152 POTRERO AVENUE
Permit Application:	2015.02.24.9220
Zoning:	RH-3 (Residential House, Three Family)
	55-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot:	4211/011
Project Sponsor:	Rod Massoudi, P.E.
	Massoudi Consulting Engineers
	205 De Anza Blvd. #109
	San Mateo, CA 94402
Staff Contact:	Jeffrey Speirs - (415) 575-9106
	Jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org
Recommendation:	Do not take DR and approve as proposed

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax.

415.558.6409 Planning

Information: 415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is a front addition, a rear addition, and a vertical addition to an existing two-story (w/ attic) single-family dwelling. The proposed building will be 4 stories and 40 feet in height, with fourth floor setback of 15 feet. The rear yard is 66 feet 8 inches deep, with setback of 10 feet at the third floor. A side setback of 3 feet at the rear is provided on the north side. Additional work includes front façade changes, a roof deck, and interior work. The proposed project will require a Variance Hearing (2015-002632VAR2) for the expansion within the front setback, which will be held jointly with the Discretionary Review Hearing.

SITE DESCRIPTION AND PRESENT USE

The property at 1152 Potrero Avenue is located on the west side of the subject block between 23rd and 24th Streets. The property has 25 feet of frontage along Potrero Avenue with a lot depth of 100 feet, and is currently developed by a two-story (with attic) single-family dwelling constructed in 1907. The slope is slightly upsloping from front to back. The property is within an RH-3 (Residential, House, Three Family) Zoning District with a 55-X Height and Bulk designation.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The majority of the subject block is in the RH-3 (Residential, House, Three Family) Zoning District, north of the 24th Street Mission Neighborhood Commercial Transit Zoning District. The architectural character of the subject block is mixed. On the subject block and opposite block-face, the majority of the buildings are two to three stories, with densities ranging from single-family to five-family dwellings. The properties to the immediate south of the subject property are three stories, with a three and a half story building at 1166-1168 Potrero Avenue. To the north, the adjacent properties are two and three stories. The directly

adjacent property to the north has a dwelling located at the rear of the property, and is considered an anomaly on the block.

ISSUES AND CONSIDERATIONS

Prior to the Request for Discretionary Review, the Project was code-complying and no variances were required. In response to the concerns mentioned in the Discretionary Review application, the Project Sponsor revised the Project. To reduce the massing at the rear, a portion of useable floor area at the third level was shifted to the front of the building. The additional massing at the front is within the required front setback; therefore, a variance is required.

BUILDING PERMIT NOTIFICATION

TYPE	REQUIRED PERIOD	NOTIFICATION DATES	DR FILE DATE	DR HEARING DATE	FILING TO HEARING TIME
311/312 Notice	30 days	January 6, 2016 – February 5, 2016 May 11, 2016 – May 26, 2016	January 29, 2016	June 2, 2016	126 days

HEARING NOTIFICATION

ТҮРЕ	REQUIRED PERIOD	REQUIRED NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL NOTICE DATE	ACTUAL PERIOD
Posted Notice	10 days	May 23, 2016	May 10, 2016	24 days
Mailed Notice	10 days	May 23, 2016	May 13, 2016	21 days

PUBLIC COMMENT

	SUPPORT	OPPOSED	NO POSITION
Adjacent neighbor(s)	0	0	0
Other neighbors on the			
block or directly across	0	1	0
the street			
Neighborhood groups	0	0	0

A neighbor across the street was concerned the views of Sutro Tower and Twin Peaks would be blocked. See attached letter.

DR REQUESTOR

The DR Requestor is Jesus Gomez. Jesus Gomez owns the property at 1136 Potrero Avenue, three properties to the north of the subject property.

DR REQUESTOR'S CONCERNS AND PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES

See attached Discretionary Review Application, dated January 29, 2016.

PROJECT SPONSOR'S RESPONSE TO DR APPLICATION

See attached Response to Discretionary Review, dated May 18, 2016.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The Department has determined that the proposed project is exempt/excluded from environmental review, pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15301 (Class One - Minor Alteration of Existing Facility, (e) Additions to existing structures provided that the addition will not result in an increase of more than 10,000 square feet).

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN TEAM REVIEW

A Residential Design Team (RDT) meeting was held on April 7th, 2016, in response to the Request for Discretionary Review. Prior to the meeting, the Project Sponsor revised plans to address the DR Requestor's concerns. The RDT reviewed the DR Requestors' concerns, and analyzed the revised plans to address those concerns specifically. RDT's comments include:

- The proposed volume and rear yard are appropriate in response to the existing non-conforming property to the north and other neighboring properties.
- The dimensions and configuration of the project provide an appropriate rear yard.
- The materials and configuration of the proposal are consistent with the prevailing neighborhood pattern and the light well and windows proposed provide appropriate relief to a property line side wall condition.
- The mass as proposed is further reduced from the prior proposal and is appropriate.
- The existing property is a Category C (non-historic, non-contributing) and the residential materials and proportions proposed are appropriate.
- A flat roof is provided and the fourth floor is set back from the street to be subordinate to the main building volume. Project is on a very wide street that supports taller structures, and other properties on the block face are four stories and three tall stories, higher at the sidewalk than the proposed project.

RDT finds that the project is neither extraordinary nor exceptional and recommends an Abbreviated DR.

Under the Commission's pending DR Reform Legislation, this project would not be referred to the Commission as this project does not contain or create any exceptional or extraordinary circumstances.

RECOMMENDATION: Do not take DR and approve project as proposed

Attachments: Block Book Map Sanborn Map Zoning Map Aerial Photographs Context Photographs

Discretionary Review – Abbreviated Analysis May 23, 2016

Section 311 Notice DR Application Response to DR Application dated May 18, 2016 Environmental Review Letters from the Public Reduced Plans

JS: G:\Documents\DRs\1152 Potrero Avenue\DR Analysis - Abbreviated.doc

Parcel Map



24TH



Sanborn Map*



*The Sanborn Maps in San Francisco have not been updated since 1998, and this map may not accurately reflect existing conditions.



Aerial Photo





Discretionary Review Hearing June 2, 2016 **Case Number 2015-002632DRP** 1152 Potrero Avenue

Aerial Photo





Aerial Photo





Zoning Map





Site Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Site Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Site Photo



SUBJECT PROPERTY

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On **March 03, 2015**, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. **201502249220** with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROP	ERTY INFORMATION	APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Project Address:	1152 POTRERO AVE	Applicant:	Rod Massoudi
Cross Street(s):	24 th Street	Address:	205 De Anza Blvd #109
Block/Lot No.:	4211 / 011	City, State Zip:	San Mateo, CA 94402
Zoning District(s):	RH-3 - Residential- House, Three Family / 55-X	Telephone:	(650) 773-5844

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
Demolition	New Construction	□ Alteration
Change of Use	Façade Alteration(s)	Front Addition
☑ Rear Addition	□ Side Addition	Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Single Family Dwelling	Three Family Dwelling
Front Setback	0 feet	No Change
Side Setbacks	0 feet	No Change
Building Depth	+/- 63 feet	73 feet 8 inches
Rear Yard	+/- 37 feet (to 1 st floor roof)	33 feet 4 inches
Building Height	+/- 22 feet (to midpoint of peak)	40 feet (to midpoint of peak)
Number of Stories	2 w/ Attic	4
Number of Dwelling Units	1	3
Number of Parking Spaces	1	2

HORIZONTAL & VERTICAL ADDITION TO CONVERT EXISTING SINGLE HOME TO 3 UNIT APARTMENT BUILDING WITH TWO CAR GARAGE.

The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:	Jeffrey Speirs
Telephone:	(415) 575-9106
E-mail:	jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org

Notice Date: **Expiration Date:**

中文詢問請電: (415) 575-9010

Para información en Español llamar al: (415) 575-9010

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES

Reduced copies of the proposed project plans have been included in this mailing for your information. If you have questions about the plans, please contact the project Applicant listed on the front of this notice. You may wish to discuss the plans with your neighbors or neighborhood association, as they may already be aware of the project. If you have general questions about the Planning Department's review process, please contact the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor (415/558-6377) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday. If you have specific questions about the proposed project, you should contact the planner listed on the front of this notice.

If you believe that the impact on you from the proposed project is significant and you wish to seek to change the project, there are several procedures you may use. We strongly urge that steps 1 and 2 be taken.

- 1. Request a meeting with the project Applicant to get more information and to explain the project's impact on you.
- 2. Contact the nonprofit organization Community Boards at (415) 920-3820, or online at <u>www.communityboards.org</u> for a facilitated discussion in a safe and collaborative environment. Community Boards acts as a neutral third party and has, on many occasions, helped reach mutually agreeable solutions.
- 3. Where you have attempted, through the use of the above steps or other means, to address potential problems without success, please contact the planner listed on the front of this notice to discuss your concerns.

If, after exhausting the procedures outlined above, you still believe that exceptional and extraordinary circumstances exist, you have the option to request that the Planning Commission exercise its discretionary powers to review the project. These powers are reserved for use in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances for projects which generally conflict with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of the Planning Code; therefore the Commission exercises its discretion with utmost restraint. This procedure is called Discretionary Review. If you believe the project warrants Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission, **you must file a Discretionary Review application prior to the Expiration Date shown on the front of this notice.** Discretionary Review applications are available at the Planning Information Center (PIC), 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, or online at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>). You must submit the application in person at the Planning Information Center (PIC) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday, with all required materials and a check payable to the Planning Department. To determine the fee for a Discretionary Review, please refer to the Planning Department Fee Schedule available at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>. If the project includes multiple building permits, i.e. demolition and new construction, a <u>separate request</u> for Discretionary Review must be submitted, with all required materials and fee, for <u>each</u> permit that you feel will have an impact on you. Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

If no Discretionary Review Applications have been filed within the Notification Period, the Planning Department will approve the application and forward it to the Department of Building Inspection for its review.

BOARD OF APPEALS

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision on a Discretionary Review case may be made to the **Board of Appeals within 15 calendar days after the building permit is issued** (or denied) by the Department of Building Inspection. Appeals must be submitted in person at the Board's office at 1650 Mission Street, 3rd Floor, Room 304. For further information about appeals to the Board of Appeals, including current fees, contact the Board of Appeals at (415) 575-6880.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This project has undergone preliminary review pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). If, as part of this process, the Department's Environmental Review Officer has deemed this project to be exempt from further environmental review, an exemption determination has been prepared and can be obtained through the Exemption Map, on-line, at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>. An appeal of the decision **to exempt the proposed project from CEQA may be made to the Board of Supervisors within 30 calendar days** after the project approval action identified on the determination. The procedures for filing an appeal of an exemption determination are available from the Clerk of the Board at City Hall, Room 244, or by calling (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Planning Department or other City board, commission or department at, or prior to, such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On **March 03, 2015**, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. **201502249220** with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROP	ERTY INFORMATION	APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Project Address:	1152 POTRERO AVE	Applicant:	Rod Massoudi
Cross Street(s):	24 th Street	Address:	205 De Anza Blvd #109
Block/Lot No.:	4211 / 011	City, State Zip:	San Mateo, CA 94402
Zoning District(s):	RH-3 - Residential- House, Three Family / 55-X	Telephone:	(650) 773-5844

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
Demolition	New Construction	☑ Alteration
Change of Use	Façade Alteration(s)	Front Addition
Rear Addition	□ Side Addition	Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Single Family Dwelling	Three Family Dwelling
Front Setback	0 feet	No Change
Side Setbacks	0 feet	No Change
Building Depth	+/- 63 feet	66 feet 8 inches
Rear Yard	+/- 37 feet (to 1 st floor projection)	33 feet 4 inches
Building Height	+/- 22 feet (to midpoint of peak)	40 feet
Number of Stories	2 w/ Attic	4
Number of Dwelling Units	1	3
Number of Parking Spaces	1	2

The proposed project is a front addition, a rear addition, and a vertical addition to an existing two-story (w/ attic) single-family dwelling. The existing single-family dwelling is on a slightly up-sloping lot with dimensions of 25 feet wide and 100 feet deep. The proposed building will be 4 stories and 40 feet in height, with fourth floor setback of 15 feet. The rear yard is 66 feet 8 inches deep, with setback of 10 feet at the third floor. A side setback of 3 feet at the rear is provided on the north side. Additional work includes front façade changes, a roof deck, and interior work. The proposed project will require a Variance Hearing which will be held jointly with the Discretionary Review Hearing, which is tentatively scheduled for June 2nd, 2016, as case numbers 2015-002632DRP and 2015-002632VAR2. See attached plans. The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:Jeffrey SpeirsTelephone:(415) 575-9106E-mail:jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org

Notice Date: Expiration Date:

中文詢問請電: (415) 575-9010

Para información en Español llamar al: (415) 575-9010

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES

Reduced copies of the proposed project plans have been included in this mailing for your information. If you have questions about the plans, please contact the project Applicant listed on the front of this notice. You may wish to discuss the plans with your neighbors or neighborhood association, as they may already be aware of the project. If you have general questions about the Planning Department's review process, please contact the Planning Information Center at 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor (415/558-6377) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday. If you have specific questions about the proposed project, you should contact the planner listed on the front of this notice.

If you believe that the impact on you from the proposed project is significant and you wish to seek to change the project, there are several procedures you may use. We strongly urge that steps 1 and 2 be taken.

- 1. Request a meeting with the project Applicant to get more information and to explain the project's impact on you.
- 2. Contact the nonprofit organization Community Boards at (415) 920-3820, or online at <u>www.communityboards.org</u> for a facilitated discussion in a safe and collaborative environment. Community Boards acts as a neutral third party and has, on many occasions, helped reach mutually agreeable solutions.
- 3. Where you have attempted, through the use of the above steps or other means, to address potential problems without success, please contact the planner listed on the front of this notice to discuss your concerns.

If, after exhausting the procedures outlined above, you still believe that exceptional and extraordinary circumstances exist, you have the option to request that the Planning Commission exercise its discretionary powers to review the project. These powers are reserved for use in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances for projects which generally conflict with the City's General Plan and the Priority Policies of the Planning Code; therefore the Commission exercises its discretion with utmost restraint. This procedure is called Discretionary Review. If you believe the project warrants Discretionary Review by the Planning Commission, **you must file a Discretionary Review application prior to the Expiration Date shown on the front of this notice.** Discretionary Review applications are available at the Planning Information Center (PIC), 1660 Mission Street, 1st Floor, or online at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>). You must submit the application in person at the Planning Information Center (PIC) between 8:00am - 5:00pm Monday-Friday, with all required materials and a check payable to the Planning Department. To determine the fee for a Discretionary Review, please refer to the Planning Department Fee Schedule available at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>. If the project includes multiple building permits, i.e. demolition and new construction, a <u>separate request</u> for Discretionary Review must be submitted, with all required materials and fee, for <u>each</u> permit that you feel will have an impact on you. Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

If no Discretionary Review Applications have been filed within the Notification Period, the Planning Department will approve the application and forward it to the Department of Building Inspection for its review.

BOARD OF APPEALS

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision on a Discretionary Review case may be made to the **Board of Appeals within 15 calendar days after the building permit is issued** (or denied) by the Department of Building Inspection. Appeals must be submitted in person at the Board's office at 1650 Mission Street, 3rd Floor, Room 304. For further information about appeals to the Board of Appeals, including current fees, contact the Board of Appeals at (415) 575-6880.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This project has undergone preliminary review pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). If, as part of this process, the Department's Environmental Review Officer has deemed this project to be exempt from further environmental review, an exemption determination has been prepared and can be obtained through the Exemption Map, on-line, at <u>www.sfplanning.org</u>. An appeal of the decision **to exempt the proposed project from CEQA may be made to the Board of Supervisors within 30 calendar days** after the project approval action identified on the determination. The procedures for filing an appeal of an exemption determination are available from the Clerk of the Board at City Hall, Room 244, or by calling (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Planning Department or other City board, commission or department at, or prior to, such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

RECEIVED

Application for **Discretionary Review**

2015-002632DRP

1

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

JAN 2 9 2015

APPLICATION FOR CITY & COUNTY OF CAR Discretionary Review

ł

1. Owner/Applicant Information

DR APPLICANT'S N/ Jesus Gomez	AME:				na Nor		
dr applicant's at 325 Ney St, Sa		o, CA			ZIP CODE: 94112	теlерноле: (415) ³⁰	7-9790
PROPERTY OWNER		THE PROJECT ON WH	CH YOU ARE REQUE	STING DISCRETION	ARY REVIEW NAME:		
ADDRESS: 116 Starlite D	r, San Mate	eo, C A			ZIP CODE: 94402	telephone: (650) 7	73-5844
CONTACT FOR DR		above				-	
ADDRESS:					ZIP CODE:	TELEPHONE:	
E-MAIL ADDRESS:							
2. Location a	and Class	ification					
STREET ADDRESS 1152 Potrero cross streets: Between 24th	Ave, San Fi					1	ip code: 94110
ASSESSORS BLOC 4211	к/lot: / 011	LOT DIMENSIONS: 25X100	LOT AREA (SQ FT 2495): ZONING DISTRI RH-3	ICT:	HEIGHT/BULK DIS 55-X	STRICT:
3. Project De	escription						
Please check all that a Change of Use		nge of Hours 🗌	New Const	ruction 🛛 🕹	Alterations 🛛	Demolition 2	🛾 Other 🗌
Additions to E	vious Use: Three Fa	Rear 🛛 Fro Single Family I mily Dwelling		ght 🔀 Sid	e Yard 🗌		
Proposed Use: Building Perm	•	2015022 ion No.	49220		Date	Filed: 1/29/1	6

4. Actions Prior to a Discretionary Review Request

Prior Action	YES	NO
Have you discussed this project with the permit applicant?	K	
Did you discuss the project with the Planning Department permit review planner?	K	
Did you participate in outside mediation on this case?		X

1

5. Changes Made to the Project as a Result of Mediation

If you have discussed the project with the applicant, planning staff or gone through mediation, please summarize the result, including any changes there were made to the proposed project.

Planner has taken a hands-off aproach to our concerns and advised us to work with Project Sponsor. Project Sponsor sent an email 1/28/16 offering to plant trees in the back yard and take 5 feet off the top of the building. We consider this a half-hearted attempt to address our concerns and minimizes the great pains we took to explain our objections to the proposal.

9

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

Discretionary Review Request

In the space below and on separate paper, if necessary, please present facts sufficient to answer each question.

- 1. What are the reasons for requesting Discretionary Review? The project meets the minimum standards of the Planning Code. What are the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances that justify Discretionary Review of the project? How does the project conflict with the City's General Plan or the Planning Code's Priority Policies or Residential Design Guidelines? Please be specific and site specific sections of the Residential Design Guidelines.
 - * Does not adhere to the second of the eight Priority Policies that existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected...

* Proposal is grossly out of scale for this mid-block location and shows total disregard for the negative impact to the surrounding two-story single family homes on the West and North side of the proposal.

* The home adjacent to the proposed building on the North side is set back on the lot therefore this proposal will be an unsiightly monolith visible from the public right-of- way on Potrero Ave.

* Project Sponsor is proposing a de facto demolition of an intact 1907- built home.

2. The Residential Design Guidelines assume some impacts to be reasonable and expected as part of construction. Please explain how this project would cause unreasonable impacts. If you believe your property, the property of others or the neighborhood would be adversely affected, please state who would be affected, and how:

See attached Addendum

3. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project, beyond the changes (if any) already made would respond to the exceptional and extraordinary circumstances and reduce the adverse effects noted above in question #1?

We would request the single family home be expanded in a resonable manner in order to preserve the neighborhood character. This block is made up of mostly single family homes built in the 1880's and 1890's with a mix of single family homes at mid block and muli family homes at the corners.

A second alternative would be to scale down the proposal to a maximum of three strorys with a fire rated flat roof, rear set backs on the second and third floors, and a five foot side set back where 1146 Potrero (the adjacent home to the North) overlaps with proposed building.

Applicant's Affidavit

Under penalty of perjury the following declarations are made:

- a: The undersigned is the owner or authorized agent of the owner of this property.
- b: The information presented is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
- c: The other information or applications may be required.

Signature: esus KJon

Date: 1/29/16

-

Print name, and indicate whether owner, or authorized agent:

Jesus Gomez-Owner 1136 Potrero Ave
Owner / Authorized Agent (circle one)

Addendum to DR

1152 Potrero Ave Permit Application # 201502249220

The proposed project will cast shadows for a significant part of the day on the yards and structures of homes directly behind on Hampshire St, causing a negative effect on the vegetation and enjoyment of their property's as well as promote mold and mildew.

1146 Potrero Ave, the home adjacent to the proposal on the North side, will be the most negatively impacted as it is set back on the lot. The proposed building will cast a permanent shadow on the entire home depriving it of direct sunlight it will promote mold mildew and accelerated deterioration.

The homes surrounding the proposed building to the West and North side are one-story over garage with flat roofs, or in the case of 1146 Potrero Ave, two stories. All are modest in height and would be eclipsed literally and figuratively. Consider the terrible impression and negative impact such a large, intrusive structure will have on the residents and guests attempting to enjoy the outdoor space of the smaller homes adjacent to this project.

The proposal will eliminate the lovely 1907-built single family Edwardian home that has been part of the mid block area of our street for over 108 years. It will create on eye sore that does not mach the historic nature of our block or the character of our neighborhood.

San Francisco is known world wide for its turn-of-the-century architecture, not unsightly monoliths. If we allow this obviously historic home to disappear we will all be adversely affected, accept the speculator that is only concerned with maximum profit.

1.11

1

CASE NUMBER: For Staff Use only

Discretionary Review Application Submittal Checklist

Applications submitted to the Planning Department must be accompanied by this checklist and all required materials. The checklist is to be completed and **signed by the applicant or authorized agent**.

REQUIRED MATERIALS (please check correct column)	DR APPLICATION
Application, with all blanks completed	
Address labels (original), if applicable	
Address labels (copy of the above), if applicable	
Photocopy of this completed application	
Photographs that illustrate your concerns	
Convenant or Deed Restrictions	
Check payable to Planning Dept.	
Letter of authorization for agent	$\square N/I$
Other: Section Plan, Detail drawings (i.e. windows, door entries, trim), Specifications (for cleaning, repair, etc.) and/or Product cut sheets for new elements (i.e. windows, doors)	

O Two sets of original labels and one copy of addresses of adjacent property owners and owners of property across street.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 9 2016

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.

For Department Use Only Application received by Planning Department:

By: Kurt Both

Optional Material.

Date: 1/2/10

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

May 19, 2016

Delivered via Messenger

President Rodney Fong San Francisco Planning Commission 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

> Re: 1152 Potrero Avenue (4211/011) Brief in Opposition of a DR Request Planning Department Case No. 2015.002632DRP/V Hearing Date: June 6, 2016 Our File No.: 10187.01

Dear President Fong and Commissioners:

Our office represents NRJ Investments LLC ("**Project Sponsor**"), the owners of the property at 1152 Potrero Avenue, ("**Property**"). We write regarding Discretionary Review 2015.002632DRP on Building Permit No. 2015.0224.9220 and respectfully request that the Planning Commission not take discretionary review and approve the permit as proposed. The proposal is for the construction of a horizontal and vertical addition, redesign of the facades, and the addition of two dwelling units to the existing single-family dwelling ("**Project**").

A Discretionary Review (DR) request was filed by Jesus Gomez ("**DR Requestor**"), who owns the rental property at 1136 Potrero Avenue, located three lots to the north of the subject Property.

The DR request should be denied and the Project approved as designed because:

- No exceptional or extraordinary circumstances have been established that would justify taking of DR;
- The DR requests would unreasonably restrict development at the Property, as the current Project does not maximize the allowable buildable area of the lot; rather, it has been designed to minimize its impact on the adjacent properties. The Property is

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

1. Also admitted in New York - 2. Of Counsel - 3. Also admitted in Massachusetts

constrained by the location of the building to the north at 1146 Potrero Avenue, which is at the rear of the lot, approximately 48 feet from the front property line;

• The Project is appropriate and desirable in use, massing, height, and overall scope, is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood, and is consistent with the *Residential Design Guidelines* and Planning Code.

The Project Sponsor has been sensitive to concerns about how the Project fits into the neighborhood as well as the Planning Department staff's design guidance. The project has been modified multiple times, demonstrating the Project Sponsor's willingness to work to design a project that is compatible with the existing neighborhood.

A. <u>Project Description</u>

The Property is located on the west side of Potrero Avenue between 23rd and 24th Streets, half-block to the south of SF General Hospital in the eastern portion of the Mission neighborhood. It is located within a RH-3 (Residential, Three-Family) District and 55-X Height and Bulk District. The lot is 100 feet deep with 25 feet of street frontage along Potrero Avenue. The Property is improved with a one-story-over-garage, 3,099 gross square foot single family home that was constructed in 1907. The structure is 63 feet deep, which includes several one-story ancillary structures at the rear of the building. There is one parking space in the garage.

The Project will renovate and upgrade the existing structure through the construction of a two-story vertical addition, a 22-foot-eight-inch deep horizontal addition, and façade alterations. The new structure will have a total of four stories and be 40 feet tall, 15 feet under the height limit. The building will contain two two-bedroom units and one three-bedroom unit, for a total of three family-sized dwelling units. There will be two off-street parking spaces and 1,331 square feet of open space. The vertical addition at the 4th floor will be set back 15 feet from the front property line and 11 foot – three inches from the front façade. On the northern property line, there will be a 12 foot deep by four foot wide lightwell as well as a three foot side setback at the 2nd through the 4th floors. There will be a six foot – eight inch by three foot lightwell that will match the neighbors' lightwell to the south of the Property. The Project has a fully Codecompliant rear yard. Project plans are attached as **Exhibit A**. The Department concluded that the existing structure is not an historical resource under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") through the *South Mission Historic Resource Survey* in 2010.

As explained in more detail below, the Project has been designed to fit in with the existing context of the neighborhood and to respect the concerns raised by the DR Requestor.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

B. <u>Neighborhood Context</u>

The Property is located in the eastern portion of the Mission neighborhood. Potrero Avenue is a major north-south thoroughfare with a mix of uses and building types. The block where the Property is located (on the west side of Potrero Avenue between 23rd and 24th Streets) contains residential, light-industrial, and commercial uses, with the northern portion of the block that is closer to 23rd Street featuring two-to-three-story residential buildings, and the southern portion of the block at 24th Street featuring one-to-three-story mixed use buildings. There are several large one-story light-industrial structures four lots to the south of the Property, and an auto-repair business at the corner of 23rd Street.

The block directly across from the Property contains a one-story commercial building (d.b.a. "Walgreens") and two-and-three-story residential buildings. SF General Hospital is a halfblock to the north of the Property. Building styles in the neighborhood vary from older Queen Anne and Stick styles to Edwardian and Maria/Art Deco. Most buildings have been modified and there are contemporary structures throughout the area.

The lot directly to the south of the Property, 1156 Potrero Avenue, contains a three-story multi-family apartment building which is located at the front property line. The lot to the north of the Property, 1146 Potero Avenue, has a single family residence which is setback approximately 48 feet – 11 inches from the front property line. 1146 Potrero Avenue is the only property on the block with the structure located at the rear of the lot; all other buildings are located at the front property lines, creating a consistent street frontage as well as mid-block open space. This pattern exists throughout the neighborhood. The DR Requestor's property, 1136 Potrero, is a two-story-over-garage dwelling located three lots to the north of the Property. An aerial map of the neighborhood is attached as **Exhibit B**.

C. <u>Neighborhood Outreach and Design Development</u>

The Project Sponsor has spent a considerable amount of time and effort to gather and respond to concerns from the DR Requestor and neighbors. Efforts were made early in the process to modify the project in response to neighbor's issues, including an offer to the DR Requestor to lower the total height of the building. There was no response to this proposed modification. The Project was reviewed and approved by Department staff in the fall of 2015. The first Section 311 notification ran from January 6 to February 5, 2016, during which time the DR Requestor filed this request.

Following the filing of the subject Discretionary Review application in January, the Project Sponsor worked with the Planning Department to modify the Project to address concerns that were raised by the DR Requestor. The Project has been significantly redesigned and

> One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

reduced in size from its original conception. Design changes in response to the DR Requestor's concerns include:

- 1. Altering the proposed pitched roof to a flat roof, thus lowering the maximum height of the roof by 3 feet 10 inches;
- 2. Providing a 3 foot side setback from the 2nd through 4th floors on the northern property line where the building overlaps with 1146 Potrero Avenue;
- 3. Providing a 5 foot side setback at the deck on the 3rd floor for additional privacy, and an open railing for lighting and ventilation considerations;
- 4. Providing a 10 foot rear setback at the 3rd and 4th floors;
- 5. Providing a 15 foot front setback at the 4th floor;
- 6. Modifying the window openings on the northern façade to increase privacy for 1146 Potrero Avenue; and
- 7. Installing landscaping at the rear of the property to aid in privacy for neighbors on Hampshire Street.

The net effect of these changes is to ensure access to light and air for adjacent neighbors, maintain the prevailing building pattern on the block, and design an articulated and properly-scaled building as viewed from the pedestrian realm. Due to the modifications made at the front of the Property in response to the DR Requestor, the Project must obtain a Variance from the front yard requirements pursuant to Section 132. The Zoning Administrator also required a second, 15-day, Section 311 notification be conducted as a result of the modifications made to the Project. The hearing for both the Discretionary Review and Variance is scheduled for June 2^{nd} .

Throughout this process, the Project Sponsor has continued to communicate with the DR Requestor. The modified plans were emailed to the DR Requestor and individual questions were answered. The development of the Project design demonstrates the Project Sponsors' willingness to be flexible and work with both Planning Department staff and neighborhood. Despite the numerous modifications made to the Project, it appears that the DR Requestor is unwilling to accept a redesigned building at the Property, despite the fact that the Planning Department has determined that the Project is within its buildable area and consistent with all aspects of the *Residential Design Guidelines*.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

D. <u>Reponses to DR Requestors Concerns</u>

The DR Requestor raises multiple concerns about the Project, several of them having been addressed through the modifications made since the Discretionary Review Application was filed in January. Each is discussed below.

1. The Project does not adhere to the second of the eight Priority Policies that existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected.

Planning Code Section 101.1 outlines eight General Plan Priority Policies. The second policy states: "that existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods."

The Project meets this General Plan policy. In addition to preserving an existing dwelling unit, the Project is adding two new units, which will increase the City's supply of housing. The Property is zoned RH-3 which allows three units per lot as-of-right. The blocks along Potrero Avenue are all zoned RH-3, a medium-density residential zoning that is appropriate for this portion of the Mission neighborhood. The Project is complying with the zoning and with this component of the General Plan policy.

With respect to neighborhood character, the DR Requestor states that the block contains homes that were built in the 1880s and 1890s, and that their architectural design is the "character" of the block. This is misleading. While several homes date to this period, the majority of them have been heavily altered to the point that their original architectural style is no longer evident. In fact, the Department's *South Mission Historic Resource Survey*, adopted by the Historic Preservation Commission in 2011, found that there were only two historic resources on the block – the DR Requestors' property and the home to the north of his property. The remainder of the block, including the subject Property, was found not to be of architectural or historic value due to the modifications made to most of the buildings. Two buildings cannot create the overall "character" of the block.

The DR Requestor also does not provide a full picture of the character of the neighborhood. As discussed earlier, the block where the Property is located contains a variety of property types and structures, all in varying heights and styles. Only four of the fifteen residential buildings on the block contain single-family homes; the rest are multi-family buildings. The properties directly to the south of the Property are two-to-three-story residential apartment buildings. There are also four lots that contain light-industrial buildings. The block is not uniform in use or building typology; rather, it contains a vibrant mix of uses and structures. This is the character of the block, not small single-family homes. The Project is compatible with character of the block and neighborhood, and meets the goals of the Priority Policy.

2. The proposal is out of scale with the mid-block location, both in height and massing.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

The DR Requestor suggests that the Project is out of scale with surrounding neighborhood and shows a "total disregard" for the adjacent two-story buildings. This inaccurately portrays the existing scale of development in the neighborhood.

This portion of Potrero Avenue consists of multi-story residential and mixed-use buildings, ranging from one to three stories. The three buildings to the south of the Property are three stories tall and there are several three story buildings across the street from the Property. The DR Requestor's assertion that the neighborhood is primarily characterized by one-to-two story single family homes is inaccurate. In fact, the neighborhood contains a number of multi-story housing developments which will be in keeping with the proposed project. For photographs of the adjacent properties, see **Exhibit C**. [Show block face photos]

The Projects' location and size is compatible with the overall building scale found in the immediate neighborhood. The allowable building envelope has been defined by the Planning Code by way of prescribed setbacks and the height limit. Furthermore, the appropriateness of the Project is further shaped by the requirements of the *Residential Design Guidelines*. As designed, the proposed building massing at the street reads as a three-story building due to the setback of the fourth floor. The Project also has setbacks and lightwells along the northern property line, further reducing its massing.

The Project's height is moderate in comparison to allowable development along Potrero Avenue, which ranges from 55-X to 65-X. Potrero Avenue is 100 feet wide, and the properties along the street are appropriately zoned for this transit corridor. The southern portion of the block, starting three lots to the south of the Property, is zoned for 65 feet. The Project is significantly under its height limit – it is proposed to be 40 feet tall. In fact, it is 15 feet lower than the allowed height limit in deference to the lower-scaled two and three-story buildings on the block. These surrounding height limits are shown on the zoning map, attached as **Exhibit D**.

The *Residential Design Guidelines* discuss how to design a building so that it fits into the scale at the street. It states:

Height. If a proposed building is taller than the surrounding buildings, it may be necessary to modify the building height to maintain the existing scale of the street so that the visibility of the upper floor is limited from the street and the upper floor appears subordinate to the primary façade. An upper story setback, façade articulations, and eliminating parapets help to preserve the scale of the street.ⁱ

The Project has been designed to meet these guidelines. The fourth floor is setback 15 feet from the front property line and has been designed without a parapet. The building at the street is similar in height as the three multi-story residential buildings to the south of the

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

Property. It is also the same scale as buildings at the northern end of the block as well as of across the street.

In addition, the *Residential Design Guidelines* also state that the building scale at the midblock open space is an important element that should be respected when designing new structures and additions. The intent of the Guidelines is to preserve this space through careful massing. It states:

Midblock Open Space. In areas with an irregular midblock open space pattern, the rear addition should be designed to minimize its reduction. Setting back the upper floors to provide larger rear yard setbacks, notching the building at the rear, or providing setbacks from the side property lines can lessen the impacts of an addition.ⁱⁱ

The Project meets these requirements. The rear addition has a 10 foot setback at the 3^{rd} and 4^{th} floors, and there is an additional 5 foot wide side setback at the 3^{rd} floor deck. Further, there is a three foot side setback from the 2^{nd} through 4^{th} floors at the northern property line. All of these setbacks have been incorporated to reduce the impact to the midblock open space and to the adjacent properties. Project's scale fits into the character of the block.

3. The home adjacent to the Property (1146 Potrero) is set back on the lot therefore the Project is visible from the public right-of-way on Potrero Avenue.

1146 Potrero Avenue, the property directly to the north of the Property, is a legal nonconforming structure that is located in the rear of the lot within the required rear yard. It is the only property with this condition within a five-block radius. The Project has been designed to accommodate its neighbor as detailed in the *Residential Design Guidelines*, which provides guidance for this type of situation:

Rear Yard Cottages. Even though buildings in rear yards are non-complying structures that can adversely impact a block's pattern of interior open space, new buildings should be designed to reduce light impacts to the cottage. Specific design features include providing side setbacks at the rear of the building, and minimizing rear projections such as decks and stairs.ⁱⁱⁱ From the Guidelines:

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP





This illustration shows a new building permitted under the Planning Code. The building's design has not been modified to minimize light impacts to the adjacent cottage, and further restricts the mid-block open space.

This illustration shows a new building that provides a side setback to reduce the impact on light to the cottage.

The Project's design respects 1146 Potrero Avenues' rear cottage. The majority of the Project is set back a total of three feet from this property line from the 2^{nd} to the 4^{th} floors. The rear addition at the third and fourth floors are setback 10 feet from the lower floors, and there is an additional five foot setback from 1146 Potrero Avenue's property line at the third floor. Earlier iterations of the Project did not contain any setbacks along the northern portion of the Property. In addition, the Project was pulled back over eight feet from the rear yard line in order to provide additional light and air for 1146 Potrero Avenue. The Project was redesigned to include setbacks that meet the *Residential Design Guidelines* as well as minimize the impact to 1146 Potrero Avenue.

The location of the building at 1146 Potrero Avenue poses challenges to both adjacent properties. Due to the very nature of that lot, development on lots to either side will be visible from Potrero Avenue. The DR Requestor is attempting to use this lot condition to prevent the Property from being upgraded, as he did in 2008, when he filed a similar Discretionary Review application for 1140 Potrero Avenue, the lot to the north of 1146 Potrero and his immediate neighbor.^{iv} In that case, the Planning Commission took discretionary review and added an additional 11 foot setback at the rear of the property. As a result of that case, as well as concerns of the DR Requestor, the Project has incorporated several setbacks at the side and rear of the Project to allow light and air to 1146 Potrero Avenue. The Project's design has appropriate setbacks and is within the buildable envelope. That it will be visible due to the adjacent property's condition should not prevent the Project from proceeding.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

4. The Project is a de-facto demolition.

The DR Requestor incorrectly states that the Project is a de-facto demolition under Section 317. It is not. The existing building on the Property is in a deteriorated condition and in need of repair and upgrades; there have been a series of ad-hoc ground floor additions at the rear of the building which are in disrepair. The Project is proposing to add two new dwelling units which require the building to be updated both structurally and aesthetically. The Project does not meet the demolition definition as defined in Section 317(b)(2):

(A) Any work on a Residential Building for which the Department of Building Inspection determines that an application for a demolition permit is required, or

The Project does not require a demolition permit under the Department of Building Inspection regulations.

(B) A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the sum of the Front Facade and Rear Facade and also proposes the Removal of more than 65% of the sum of all exterior walls, measured in lineal feet at the foundation level, or

The Project does not meet this requirement as it is proposing to remove more than 50 % of the front and rear facades but only removing 31.14%, or 42.3 lineal feet, of the sum of all exterior walls.

(C) A major alteration of a Residential Building that proposes the Removal of more than 50% of the Vertical Envelope Elements and more than 50% of the Horizontal Elements of the existing building, as measured in square feet of actual surface area.

The Project does not meet this requirement as it is proposing the removal of 48%, or 2,485 feet, of the vertical elements and 40%, or 1,288 feet, of the horizontal elements of the existing building

1152 Potrero Avenue has been designed as a major alteration pursuant to the Department of Building Inspections' requirements. Further, the Project is not a demolition as defined in Section 317. The DR Requestor in incorrect with this assertion.

5. Project will cast shadows on the adjacent properties.

DR requestor alleges that the Project will cast shadows on 1146 Potrero Avenue as well as the properties behind the Property along Hampshire Street. The Property is located in a dense urban environment and some reduction of light is to be expected as a result of any development.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

The Project is proposed to be 40 feet tall, thus a shadow analysis pursuant to Planning Code Section 295 is not required. Further, the Project was found not to have a shadow impact under CEQA. Therefore, the DR Requestors request to conduct a shadow study exceeds the requirements outlined by the Planning Department.

An aerial view of the block shows that the Project will cast minimal shadows to the adjacent properties, as much of the block is built out. See aerial view of the block in **Exhibit C**. With regards to impacts to 1146 Potrero Avenue, the Project will overlap with the rear structure for 17 feet but will be setback three feet from the property line. Further, the Project was reduced an additional eight feet from the required rear property line, and the Property will have a 33 foot deep rear yard – roughly 12 feet deeper than the minimum required rear yard. The structure to the north of 1146 Potrero Avenue (1140 Potrero Avenue) extends further into the rear yard than the Project. The proposed building depth is roughly consistent with the pattern of building depth of buildings on this block. See aerial view of the block in **Exhibit C**. The Project has been designed in compliance with the *Residential Design Guidelines*, which discusses the issue of shadows on adjacent properties. It states:

Light. In areas with a dense building pattern, some reduction of light to neighboring buildings can be expected. A number of design features can be incorporated to minimize impacts on light, including setbacks on upper floors, shared light wells, open railings on decks and stairs, and using a fire-rated roof.^v

The Project incorporates each of these features, ensuring adequate light and air to 1146 Potrero Avenue's rear cottage. There will be a 33 foot deep rear yard, more than one-third larger than the rear yard requirement and consistent with most of the other buildings on the rest of the block, reducing the impact to the adjacent properties on Potrero Avenue and Hampshire Street. The Project will not cast any significant shadows to the adjacent properties or to those on Hampshire Street.

D. Conclusion

The DR Requestor has not established any exceptional or extraordinary circumstances that are necessary in a Discretionary Review case. The Project entails a two-story vertical and horizontal addition to an existing one-story over-garage structure, adding two dwelling units for a total of three units, which is within the zoning permitted on the Property, and reaching a maximum height of 40 feet, well under the 55 foot height limit allowed. The Project will constitute smart development which is consistent with the land use, residential density, height, and bulk controls along this portion of Potrero Avenue.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

There are no exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the Project which merit the exercise of the Commission's special discretionary review authority. We respectfully ask that the Planning Commission deny the Discretionary Review and approve the Project as proposed. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

John Keylin

cc: Vice President Cindy Wu Commissioner Michael Antonini Commissioner Rich Hillis Commissioner Christine Johnson Commissioner Kathrin Moore Commissioner Dennis Richards John Rahaim – Planning Director Scott Sanchez – Zoning Administrator Jonas Ionin – Commission Secretary Jeffery Speirs – Project Planner Massoudi Consulting – Project Sponsor

^v Guidelines, pg. 16.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

ⁱ San Francisco Residential Design Guidelines ("Guidelines"), pg. 25-26.

ⁱⁱ Guidelines, pg. 24-25.

ⁱⁱⁱ Guidelines, pg. 21.

^{iv} Planning Case No. 2007.1211D, heard by the Planning Commission on 2/28/08.

Exhibit B: Aerial View of Block 4211



2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue

2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue



1136 Potrero Avenue: DR Requestor's Property

1152 Potrero Avenue 1146 Potrero Avenue



Exhibit C: Context Images
Exhibit C: Context Images



2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue

Exhibit C: Context Images





Exhibit C: Context Images



2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue

Exhibit C: Context Images – Across Street from 1152 Potrero looking north



SF General Hospital

N

Exhibit C: Context Images – Across Street from 1152 Potrero Avenue looking South



2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue



Exhibit D: Height & Bulk Map

2015.002632DRP: 1152 Potrero Avenue

RESPONSE TO DISCRETIONARY REVIEW (DRP)

Assigned Planner: Jeffrey Speirs

SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1650 MISSION STREET, SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103-2479 MAIN: (415) 558-6378 SFPLANNING.ORG

Project Information

Property Address: 1152 Potrero Avenue

Building Permit Application(s): 2015.0224.9220

Record Number: 2015.002632DRP

Project Sponsor

Name: Reuben, Junius & Rose, LLP; attn: John Kevlin

Email: jkevlin@reubenlaw.com

Required Questions

1. Given the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties, why do you feel your proposed project should be approved? (If you are not aware of the issues of concern to the DR requester, please meet the DR requester in addition to reviewing the attached DR application.)

Please see attached supplemental sheet.

2. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project are you willing to make in order to address the concerns of the DR requester and other concerned parties? If you have already changed the project to meet neighborhood concerns, please explain those changes and indicate whether they were made before or after filing your application with the City.

Please see attached supplemental sheet.

3. If you are not willing to change the proposed project or pursue other alternatives, please state why you feel that your project would not have any adverse effect on the surrounding properties. Include an explaination of your needs for space or other personal requirements that prevent you from making the changes requested by the DR requester.

Please see attached supplemental sheet.



Zip Code: 94110

Phone: 415-567-9000

Project Features

Please provide the following information about the project for both the existing and proposed features. Please attach an additional sheet with project features that are not included in this table.

	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Dwelling Units (only one kitchen per unit - additional kitchens count as additional units)	1	3
Occupied Stories (all levels with habitable rooms)	2	4
Basement Levels (may include garage or windowless storage rooms)	1	1
Parking Spaces (Off-Street)	1	2
Bedrooms	1	7
Height	26'-2"	40'
Building Depth	63' +/-	66'-8"
Rental Value (monthly)	0	TBD
Property Value	\$1,100,000	TBD

I attest that the above information is true to the best of my knowledge.

Signature:	Date:
Printed Name: John Kevlin, Reuben, Junius & Rose, LLP	Property OwnerAuthorized Agent

If you have any additional information that is not covered by this application, please feel free to attach additional sheets to this form.

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

Response to Discretionary Review (DRP)

Supplemental Sheet: 1152 Potrero Avenue, 2015.002632DRP

1. Given the concerns of the DR requestor and other concerned parties, why do you feel your project should be approved?

Discretionary Review is a special power of the Planning Commission ("Commission"), intended to provide an opportunity to evaluate a Code-compliant project that has some exceptional or extraordinary circumstance. The Commission has been advised by the City Attorney that its discretion under this authority is sensitive, and should be exercised with the utmost constraint.

There are no exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the Project which merits the exercise of the Commission's discretionary review authority.

The Project entails a two-story vertical and horizontal addition to an existing one-story over-garage structure, adding two dwelling units for a total of three units, which is within the zoning permitted on the Property, and reaching a maximum height of 40 feet, well under the 55 foot height limit allowed. The Project is consistent with the land use, residential density, height, and bulk controls within the RH-3 Zoning District along this portion of Potrero Avenue.

The Project will complement the character and scale of development on Potrero Avenue and adjacent blocks. Residential development in the area is predominantly multi-story buildings. The Project is consistent with this pattern.

In summary, there is nothing extraordinary about the project that would justify the Commission's use of its discretionary review power. The project proposes smart, infill development which is in full compliance with the *Residential Design Guidelines* and the Planning Code. The addition directly furthers the goals of the City's Urban Design Element and Housing Elements, including:

- <u>Housing Element, Policy 4.1</u>: Develop new housing, and encourage the remodeling of existing housing, for families with children.
- <u>Housing Element, Policy 11.1</u>: Promote the construction and rehabilitation of welldesigned housing that emphasizes beauty, flexibility, and innovative design, and respects existing neighborhood character.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

1. Also admitted in New York - 2. Of Counsel - 3. Also admitted in Massachusetts -

www.reubenlaw.com

- <u>Housing Element, Policy 11.2</u>: Ensure implementation of accepted design standards in project approvals.
- 2. What alternatives or changes to the proposed project are you willing to make in order to address the concerns of the DR requestor and other concerned parties? If you have already changed the project to meet neighborhood concerns, please explain those changes and indicate whether they were made before or after filing your application with the City.

The Project Sponsor has spent a considerable amount of time and effort to gather and respond to concerns from the DR Requestor and neighbors. Following the filing of the subject Discretionary Review application, the Project Sponsor worked with the Planning Department and DR Requestor to modify the Project to address concerns that were raised. The Project has been significantly redesigned and reduced in size from its original conception. Design changes in response to the DR Requestor's concerns include:

- 1. Altering the proposed pitched roof to a flat roof, thus lowering the maximum height of the roof by 3 feet 10 inches;
- 2. Providing a 3 foot side setback from the 2nd through 4th floors on the northern property line where the building overlaps with 1146 Potrero Avenue;
- 3. Providing a 5 foot side setback at the deck on the 3rd floor for additional privacy, and an open railing for lighting and ventilation considerations;
- 4. Providing a 10 foot rear setback at the 3rd and 4th floors;
- 5. Providing a 15 foot front setback at the 4th floor;
- 6. Modifying the window openings on the northern façade to increase privacy for 1146 Potrero Avenue; and
- 7. Installing landscaping at the rear of the property to aid in privacy for neighbors on Hampshire Street.

The net effect of these changes is to ensure access to light and air for adjacent neighbors, maintain the prevailing building pattern on the block, and design an articulated and properly-scaled building as viewed from the pedestrian realm. The Project sponsor met with the DR Requestor early on in the design process to discuss the project and potential impacts to the DR Requestor's building. The Project Sponsor has made significant changes to the addition as requested by the Residential Design Team.

One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

www.reubenlaw.com

1152 Potrero Avenue 2015.002632DRP May 17, 2016 Page 3

3. If you are not willing to change the proposed project or pursue other alternatives, please state why you feel that your project would not have any adverse effect on the surrounding properties. Include an explanation of your needs for space or other personal requirements that prevent you from making the changes requested by the DR requester.

The Project Sponsor has been sensitive to concerns about how the Project fits into the neighborhood as well as the Planning Department staff's design guidance. The Project has been modified multiple times, demonstrating the Project Sponsor's willingness to work to design a project that is compatible with the existing neighborhood. The DR requests would unreasonably restrict development at the Property, as the current Project does not maximize the allowable buildable area of the lot; rather, it has been designed to minimize its impact on the adjacent properties. The Property is constrained by the location of the building to the north at 1146 Potrero Avenue, which is at the rear of the lot, approximately 48 feet from the front property line. Further modifications would materially impair the Project Sponsor's ability to add dwelling units to the neighborhood.

In addition, the DR requestor's property will be minimally impacted by the Project, as it is located three lots to the north of the Property, and the project exemplifies smart development that would not result in the sort of extraordinary or exceptional impacts for which DR is intended to address and which are required before DR can be taken.

> One Bush Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: 415-567-9000 fax: 415-399-9480

REUBEN, JUNIUS & ROSE, LLP

www.reubenlaw.com



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
115	2 Potrero Avenue	4	211/011
Case No. Permit No. Plans Dated		Plans Dated	
2015-002632ENV			1/11/2015
Addition/	Demolition	New	Project Modification
Alteration	(requires HRER if over 45 years old)	Construction	(GO TO STEP 7)
Project description for Planning Department approval.			
Horizontal and vertical addition to existing three-story, single-family home. Project would result in a four-story, three-unit residential building with a two-vehicle garage on the first-floor.			

STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Note: If n	either Class 1 or 3 applies, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.
	Class 1 – Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.
\checkmark	Class 3 – New Construction/ Conversion of Small Structures. Up to three (3) new single-family residences or six (6) dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.
	Class

STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

If any box is checked below, an *Environmental Evaluation Application* is required.

Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks)? <i>Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Article 38 program and the project would not have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations. (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollutant Exposure Zone)</i>
Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I

	Environmental Site Assessment. Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).		
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?		
	Archeological Resources: Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non-archeological sensitive area? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area)		
V	Noise: Does the project include new noise-sensitive receptors (schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities) fronting roadways located in the noise mitigation area? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Noise Mitigation Area</i>)		
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>)		
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.		
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve excavation of 50 cubic yards of soil or more, new construction, or square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required .		
If no boxes are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. <u>If one or more boxes are checked above, an <i>Environmental</i> <i>Evaluation Application</i> is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.</u>			
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review. The project does not trigger any of the CEQA impacts listed above.		
Comments and Planner Signature (optional): Jenny Delumo			
Noise report submitted with EE application.			

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS – HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Park

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)			
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.		
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.		

man committeened on com

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project.				
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.			
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.			
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include			
	storefront window alterations.			
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts, and/or			
	replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.			
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.			
	6. Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-			
	way.			
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under Zoning			
	Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows.			
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each			
	direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a			
	single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original			
	building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.			
Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.				
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.			
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.			

and the mathematic mathematics and the second strategies is growing STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS – ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

TO BE COMPLETED BY PRESERVATION PLANNER

Check a	Check all that apply to the project.			
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.			
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.			
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.			
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.			
	6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.			
	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that are minimally visible from a public right-of-way and meet the <i>Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation</i> .			

we want to a second the second s

	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties
	(specify or add comments):
	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation Coordinator)
	10. Reclassification of property status to Category C. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation
	Planner/Preservation Coordinator)
	a. Per HRER dated: (attach HRER)
	b. Other (specify):
Note	e: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6 .
Com	ments (<i>optional</i>):
Prese	rvation Planner Signature:
etee	6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMINATION
	E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER
	Further environmental review required. Proposed project does not meet scopes of work in either (check all
	apply):
	Step 2 – CEQA Impacts
	Step 5 – Advanced Historical Review
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Application.

No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA.

Planner Name: Jenny Delumo

Select One Building Permit It Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the

Project Approval Action:

 \checkmark

project.

Signature:

5 Jelo 3/20/2015

Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31 of the Administrative Code.

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be filed within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action.

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)	
Case No.	Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.	
Plans Dated	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action	
Modified Project Desc	ription:		

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:			
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;		
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;		
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?		
Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?			

If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required CATEX FORM

DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.							
	If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project							
		vironmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning						
Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.								
Planner	Name:	Signature or Stamp:						
Planner	Name:	Signature or Stamp:						
Planner	Name:	Signature or Stamp:						

Speirs, Jeffrey (CPC)

From:	Carl Tharp <crewcarl@gmail.com></crewcarl@gmail.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 26, 2016 10:54 AM
То:	Speirs, Jeffrey (CPC)
Subject:	1152 Potrero Ave Building Permit Concern

Hi there, I'm the Owner of 1137-1139 Potrero Ave (directly across the street from 1152) and received a notice of the permit application and plans for 1152 Potrero Ave. I'm very concerned that the new construction, which adds significant height to the existing building, is going to block our view of Sutro Tower and Twin Peaks. Is there a method for discussing or reviewing this concern?

Thanks Carl Tharp 918.923.1144

SCOPE OF WORK

3

PROPOSED VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ADDITION TO EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY HOME TO ACCOMODATE THREE UNITS AND TWO CAR GARAGE @ 1152 POTRERO AVE., SAN FRANCISCO

2

1

PROJECT DATA

	LOT AREA:	2,495 S.F.
	EXISTING STRUCTURE	
	(E) 1ST FLOOR AREA:	1,212 ± S.F.
	(E) 2ND FLOOR AREA:	1.020 ± S.F.
	(E) 3RD FLOOR AREA (ATTIC):	867 ± S.F.
	(E) TOTAL FLOOR AREA:	3.099 ± S.F.
	(E) TOTAL TEOOR AREA.	5,000 2 0.1 .
	PROPOSED STRUCTURE (GROSS AREA)	
—	(N) 1ST FLOOR GROSS AREA:	1,595 ± S.F.
	(N) 2ND FLOOR GROSS AREA:	1,446 ± S.F.
	(N) 3RD FLOOR GROSS AREA :	1,254 ± S.F.
	(N) 4TH FLOOR GROSS AREA:	947 ± S.F
	(N) TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA:	5,242 ± S.F.
	UNIT BREAKDOWN (HABITABLE AREA)	
	UNIT #1 HABITABLE AREA:	1,164 ± S.F.
	UNIT #2 HABITABLE AREA:	1,285 ± S.F.
	UNIT #3 HABITABLE AREA:	1,428 ± S.F.
	(N) TOTAL HABITABLE FLOOR AREA:	3,877 ± S.F.
	GARAGE AREA:	666 ± S.F.
_	(E) NUMBER OF UNITS:	1
	(N) NUMBER OF UNITS	3
	(E) NUMBER OF COVERED PARKING:	- 1
	(N) NUMBER OF COVERED PARKING:	2
	(E) BICYCLE PARKING:	0
	(N) BICYCLE PARKING:	3
	(E) BUILDING HEIGHT:	26'-2" ±
	(N) BUILDING HEIGHT:	40'-0" ±
	BUILDING HEIGHT LIMIT:	55-X
	(E) NUMBER OF STORIES:	3
	(N) NUMBER OF STORIES	4
	(E) OCCUPANCY GROUP:	R-3
	(N) OCCUPANCY GROUP:	R-2
	ZONING:	RH-3
	(E) TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION:	V-B
	(N) TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION:	V-A
	BLOCK / LOT:	4211 / 011
	APPLICABLE CODES:	ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH THE
		2013 CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS TITLE 24:
	NOTES:	W/ SAN FRANCISCO AMENDMENTS
		OUGHOUT UNDER NFPA13 STANDARD - UNDER SEPARATE PERMIT
	SPRINKLERS AND STANDPIPE REQUIRE	

SPRINKLERS AND STANDPIPE REQUIRED PER NFPA13, 14, & 24, 2001 EDITIONS.

• FIRE ALARM AND SPRINKLER MONITORING SYSTEM REQUIRED PER NFPA 72 THROUGHOUT

THE SPRINKLER AND FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS SHALL PROVIDE SPRINKLER WATER FLOW AND MONITORING VALVES ON EACH FLOOR. IF ELEVATORS ARE PRESENT, ELEVATOR RECALL, DOORS AT ELEVATOR, AND FIRE SMOKE DAMPERS ARE REQUIRED TO BE CONNECTED TO FIRE ALARM SYSTEM.

PROVIDE LOCKBOX AT MAIN ENTRY COORDINATE WITH DISTRICT FIRE INSPECTOR

• PROVIDE STAIRWELL INDENTIFICATION SIGNS, AS PER CBC 1020.1.6

1

PROVIDE SMOKE DETECTORS REQUIRED IN COMMON CORRIDOR ON FIRST FLOOR

DRAWING INDEX

ARCHITECTURAL:	
A-0.1	COVER SHEET
A-1.0	(E) & (N) SITE PLAN
A-2.0	(E) FLOOR PLANS
A-2.1	DEMOLITION CALCULATIONS
A-3.0	(N) FLOOR PLANS (1)
A-3.1	(N) FLOOR PLANS (2)
A-3.2	EXITING DIAGRAM
A-4.0	(E) & (N) FRONT AND REAR ELEVATIONS
A-4.1	(E) LEFT AND RIGHT ELEVATIONS
A-4.2	(N) LEFT AND RIGHT ELEVATIONS
A-5.0	(N) SECTION A-A AND DETAILS
A-6.0	BUILDING NOTES AND DETAILS
A-7.0	BULK STUDY
G-1.0	GREEN BUILDING CHECKLIST
C-1.0	SURVEY
G-1.0	GREEN BUILDING CHECKLIST

2

3

4

4		5		6		7				8
							HAH	MPSHIR	۱E	
d Si MA ar former vo 10 A 20 Ar former vo 10 A 20 Vo 10 A 20 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo 10 Vo	22-d S Humpble S Hum	23rd 37 M There & San (*) M There & San (*) Market	22d 93	2043 2345 460 19 240 18 240 18	State	5 25 25 5 25 25 5 25		23	24 25 9 0 25 25	7
										ESSOR'
ORDINANCES CONTRACTOR DAMAGES ANU PERMANENTL' DRAWINGS ON ILLUSTRATE E THE CONTRAC AND CONDITIC AND CONDITIC AND CONDITIC AND CONDITIC SHALL BE BRC UNLESS OTHE SHALL BE BRC UNLESS OTHE SHALL BE PAR SHALL BE RAC ONTRACTOR ALL EXISTING SUPPORT AS I THROUGHOUT AND PROTECT STORED ABOV DETAILED ANE SCALED DIMEI APPROVAL OF DETAILS SHOV ALL WORK SH, SUBCONTRAC THE PLANS AN FOR THE WOR NECESSARY T AND SPECIFIC SPECIFICATIO THE CONTRAC SPECIFICATIO THE CONTRAC SPECIFICATIO THE CONTRAC SPECIFICATIO THE CONTRAC SPECIFICATIO THE CONTRAC SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO SPECIFICATIO ALL WORK SH, INSTALL ALL F CODE REQUIR ELECTRICAL, I AGENCY.	AND REGULATIONS (SS SHALL HOLD HARN D/OR PENALTY ARISII ENTS, CONNECTIONS Y SECURED IN CONF VLEY DETAIL OR CO TOR SHALL VERIFY, DNS IN THE FIELD BEI ES, ERRORS, OMISSI DUGHT TO THE ATTEI DUGHT TO THE ATTEI RWISE NOTED, ALL / RWISE NOTED, ALL / RALLEL, AND ALL ITEN SPONSIBLE FOR MAIN SHALL BE RESPONS IMPROVEMENTS TO NECESSARY TO ASSI TC ONSTRUCTION. ALL FOR UNTIL INSTALLAT // GROUND. D/OR LARGER SCALE NSIONS SHALL BE VE THE ARCHITECT. WN ARE TYPICAL. SIN ALL BE DONE UNDER D AND APPROVED BY IG ALL REQUIRED DIMEI NSIONS SHALL BE VE THE ARCHITECT. WN ARE TYPICAL. SIN ALL BE DONE UNDER D AND APPROVED ND COMPLETE SET C HASES OF CONSTRU- TORS WITH CURRED ND SPECIFICATIONS I K, BUT ARE NOT INTI O ALLOW FOR A FINI ATIONS IS INCLUDED INCOMPLETE SET C HASES OF CONSTRU- TORS WITH CURRED ND SPECIFICATIONS I X, OR MENTIONED I TOR SHALL BE RESS FIRE PROTECTION, I GOUREMENTS OF IN / ALL ARCHITECTURA R NOT) WITH THE SAN ALL BE PERFORMED IXTURES, EQUIPMEN EMENTS. ALL APPLI- MECHANICAL SYSTEP JLKING AND FLASHIN DALOW MANUFACTURES. MONUMENTS WITHIN	IN COMPLETE COMPLIA DF ALL AUTHORITIES HA ILESS THE ARCHITECTI NG OUT OF VIOLATION T S, OR FASTENING OF AN ORMANCE WITH THE BE LEQUIREMENTS TO ASSI NOTION. AND ASSUME RESPONS FORE TO FINALIZING BIE ONS, OR CONFLICTS FO UNION ARCHITECT/ENGI UNGLES SHALL BE RIGH IS WHICH APPEAR CENT INGLES SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL BE RIGH IS WHICH APPEAR CENT INGLES SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAIN SHALL SHORING REMAINS SHALL TAKE INGLES SHALL TAKE INGLES SHALL TAKE INGLES SHALL TAKE INGLES SHALL SHORING ILAR DETAILS APPLY IN PERMIT. PLANS AND C/ TION FOR USE OF ALL IT CONSTRUCTION DOCI NDICATE THE GENERAL SHED JOB IN ACCORDAN IS EGARDLESS OF WHE IN THE NOTES. ALL WOR INCES, FLURES, AND D ACCORDING TO THE HIC I, AND MATERIALS PER IN THE NOTES. ALL WOR INCES, FLURES, AND D INS SHALL BE LISTED BY G, ETC. LOCATIONS SHO IS SHALL BE LISTED BY G, ETC. LOCATIONS SHO IS SHALL BE LISTED BY INS SHALL BE LISTED BY	NCE WITH ALL APPLI VING JURISDICTION INGINEER AND THE (HEREOF. Y NATURE ARE TO B ST PRACTICE OF THI ST THE CONTRACTO BILITY FOR ALL DIME SAND BEGINNING W UND IN THE CONSTR VEER'S BEFORE PRO FANGLES, ALL LINES TERED SHALL BE CET ELEVEL, PLUMB, AN AND PROTECTION D ECTED WITH ADEQU (ALLE OR INTEGRITY DTO THE STIE SHALL L BE PROTECTED FR EPRECEDENCE OVER SCENSIONS ARE NOT TO SIMILAR CONDITION ALCULATIONS, IF REG MENT. CONTRACTOF OR SHALL BE RESPO MITH LOCAL BUILD DOCUMENTS SHALL THE TRADES AND SI JMENTS AS REQUIRE EXTENT OF NEW CO ISIVE. ALL DEMOLITIN VCE WITH THE INTEN THER SHOWN ON TH KIS NEW, U.O.N. LATION BETWEEN AR 3, AND ELECTRICAL. ORE ORDERTING AND USH CONDITIONS (WF SHEST AND BEST PR MANUFACTURE'S F COMMENDATIONS AN JCTION SHALL BE PR	OVER THE WORK, ALL OWNER FROM ALL E PROPERLY AND E BUILDING INDUSTRY DR AND DO NOT ENSIONS, MEASUREME VORK, ANY ROCTION DOCUMENTS DCEEDING WITH THE W SWHICH APPEAR PAR/ NTERED. CONTRACTO ID SQUARE. DURING CONSTRUCTION DATE TEMPORARY (OF THE BUILDING LIE BE PROPERLY STOF ROM MOISTURE AND R GENERAL AND SMAL SALED DIMENSIONS. AL O BE ADJUSTED WITH LIE BE PROPERLY STOF ROM MOISTURE AND R GENERAL AND SMAL SALED DIMENSIONS. AL O BE ADJUSTED WITH IS. 2011RED, SHALL BE R SHALL BE RESPONSI NISIBLE FOR APPLYING DING AND FIRE CODES REMAIN ON THE JOB S HALL PROVIDE ALL TH ED. INSTRUCTION NECESS ON AND ALL NEW WOOF ITION OF THE DRAWIN IE DRAWINGS, ACHITECTURAL, THIS INCLUDES D INSTALLATION OF AN 4ETHER DEPICTED IN ACTICES OF THE TRAI RECOMMENDATIONS A ATED WITH PLUMBING, OGNIZED AND APPROV ARE NOT INTENDED TO NO STANDARD INDUST	VORK. ALLEL R DN. RED LLER DUT BBLE 3. SITE E SARY RK IGS	# & @ ABV AFF ALUM APPROX ANOD ASPH BD BLDG BLKG BC CIP CHNL CJ CLG CLO CLR CMU COL CONC CON	POUND OR NUM AND AT ABOVE ALUMINUM APPROXIMATE ANODIZED ASPHALT BOARD BUILDING BLOCKING BLOCKING BLOCKING BOTTOM OF CL BEYOND CAST IN PLACE CHANNEL CONTROL JOIN CELLING CLOSET CLEAR CONCRETE MA CONCRETE MA CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE CONCRETE DIMENSIONS DOUBLE DEMOLISH DETAIL DIAMETER DIMENSIONS DOWN DOOR DRAWING EXISTING EACH ELEVATION ELECTRICAL ELEVATION ELECTRICAL ELEVATION FLOOR DRAIN FILCOR DRAIN FILCOR DRAIN FILCOR FINISF FLUORESCENT FOOR DRAIN FACE OF FACE OF FACE OF FACE OF FACE OF	WBER ED FLOOR JRB T SONRY UNIT E		G.B. GRI GRI GWU GYU HI HP HR HV/ ILOS INT HR HV/ ILOS INT HR HV/ ILOS INT HR HV/ ILOS INT INT INT INT INT INT INT INT INT INT
		A LICENSED LAND SURV								

6

7

ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO ALL LOCAL GOVERNING CODES AND ORDINANCES. IN THE EVENT OF CONFLICT, THE MOST STRINGENT REQUIREMENTS SHALL APPLY.



9

10



1



7

COMPLY WITH SITE SURVEY FOR LOT BOUNDARY LINES

<u>LEGEND</u>

WM

PGE

CBL

SL

0

 \boxtimes

PROPERTY LINE

CABLE/TV BOX

STREET LIGHT BOX

SEWER CLEANOUT

6

WATER METER

PG&E BOX

GAS VALVE

5

2	3	4	5	6	7	









	9	10	
NG, SELF-LATCHING,	, WITH SMOKE GASKET	PROJECT NAME	
		1152 POTRERO AVE.	
ED		SAN FRANCISCO, CA	
RUCTED - 1-HR. FIRE	E RATED WALL	_	-
-			

9

USABLE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENT (§135)

AREA:	832 ft²
CK AREA:	155 ft²
CK AREAS:	344 ft²

ALL AREAS FOR USABLE OPEN SPACE EXCEED THE REQUIRED MINIMUMS.





	9	1	10
, SELF-LATCHING,	WITH SMOKE GASKET	PROJECT NAME	
		1152 POTF	RERO AVE.
D		SAN FR	ANCISCO, CA
JCTED - 1-HR. FIRE	RATED WALL		_
		MASSOUDICO	NSULTING ENGINEERS
		Massoudi (2	Consulting Engineers 05 De Anza Blvd. #109 San Mateo, CA 94402 Tel: (650) 773-5844 Fax: (415) 922-0203
		SHEET TITLE	
		(N) FLOO (2	PR PLANS_ 2)
		All drawings and written m constitute the original and Massoudi Consuting Engi duplicated, altered, used o expressed written consent ISSUES / REVISION: NO. DATE	unpublished work of neers and may not be r disclosed without the of this office.
		DATE	01/11/2015
		REVISED DATE	03/30/2016
		REVISED DATE	
		REVISED DATE JOB NO. SHEET NO.	03/30/2016



TRAVEL DISTANCE CALCULATION	
UNIT #1 LOWER LEVEL: DISTANCE WITHIN 1ST FLOOR: STAIRS CONNECTING 1ST TO 2ND FLOOR:	37'-2' 14-2'
UPPER LEVEL: DISTANCE TO EXIT DISCHARGE:	34'-7'
TOTAL	85'-11'

TRAVEL DISTANCE CALCULATION	
UNIT #2 UPPER LEVEL: DISTANCE WITHIN 3RD FLOOR: STAIRS CONNECTING 3RD TO 2ND FLOOR:	28'-9 16-5
LOWER LEVEL: DISTANCE TO EXIT DISCHARGE:	46'-0
TOTAL:	91'-2'

IT #3 UPPER LEVEL: DISTANCE WITHIN 4TH FLOOR: STAIRS CONNECTING 4TH TO 3RD FLOOR:	31'-3" 20'-2"
LOWER LEVEL: DISTANCE WITHIN 3RD FLOOR: STAIRS CONNECTING 3RD TO 2ND FLOOR:	22'-7" 17'-9"
DISTANCE TO EXIT DISCHARGE:	9'-8"
TOTAL:	101'-5"









ROOF STAIR PENTHOUSE

- 25% LINE

ROOF 86.69'

P.L.

ROOF OVERHANG (N) HORIZONTAL SIDING (N) ALUM. CLAD DBL. GLAZED WINDOWS, TYP. ---



P.L.



GA FILE NO. WP 8415	GENERIC	2 HOUR FIRE	GA FILE NO. FC 5109	PROPRIETARY*	1 HOUI FIRE	R 55 to 59 STC SOUND
GYPSUM SHEATHING, GYPSUM WAI TERIOR SIDE: Base layer %* type X gypsur angles to 2 x 4 wood studs 24* o.c. with 6d cor heads, 24* o.c. Face layer %* type X gypsur angles to studs with 8d coated nails, 2%* long, 1 clading attached through heatting to studs.	t sheathing applied parallel or at right ted nails, 17/s" long, 0.085" shank, 1/4" sheathing applied parallel or at right	PIRE	FLOOR TOPPING, RESILIEN FIBER BATT OR LOOS W One layer %* proprietary type X gypsum	STRUCTURAL PANELS, GYPSUM T CHANNELS, GLASS OR MINERAL BE FILL INSULATION, GYPSUM ALLBOARD wallboard or gypsum veneer base applied at right 4° -c. (16° -c. when batt insulation is used: 12°	FIRE	
Calcular analysis and the integration of the study of the	ed nails, 17/4" long, 0.085" shank, 1/4" Ilboard or gypsum veneer base applied led nails, 23/4" long, 0.100" shank, 1/4"	Thickness: 61/s* without exterior cladding Approx. Weight: 12 pdf Fire Test: See V/P 4135 (FM WP 300, 9-27-74)	o.c. when loose fill insultation is used board end joints located midway b screws 8' to additional pieces of char joint. Resilient channels applied at fig- maximum of 24" o.c. with 114" Typ insulation stapled to subfloor or or board. Wood joints supporting 15/ar) with 1" Type 5 drywall screws 12" o.c. Gypsum exteen continuous channels and attached with net 60" long located 3" back on either side of end th angles to nominal 2 x 10 wood joists spaced a e 5 drywall screws. Glass or mineral fiber bat ose fit insulation applied directly over gypsum wood structural panel subfloor appled at right size and 6d ring shark nais 12" o.c. Minimum 1y ²	Approx. Ceiling Weight: Fire Test:	3 pdf UL R1319, 05NK04589, 2-4-05; UL R1319, 05NK0496, 3-31-05; UL Design L569
GA FILE NO. WP 8105 GYPSUM WALLBOARD, GYPSUM SH		1 HOUR FIRE	STC and IIC rated with both joists and r insulation in joist spaces, 3/4" prop proprietary sound reduction mat, an engineered wood laminate.	esilient channels spaced 16" o.c., 31/a" glass fiber vietary gypsum floor topping poured over 1/a" id with finish flooring of C&P, sheet vinyl, and GYPSUM COMPONENTS	Sound Test: IIC & Test:	RAL TL04-97 & 98, 4-22-04; RAL TL04-99, - 100, -101, 4-26-04; RAL TL04-109, 4-30-04 (73 generic C&P).
XTERIOR SIDE: One layer 48' wide %' type X py wood studs 24' or . with 14's gatwaited noting at intermediate studs and top and bottom plates untreated. Extended to the statehed theo with the statehed theo band; or gypour where has a significant gatwaited nails, 11/%' long, 0.0815' shark, 14" heads, 7" o	naits 4" o.c. at vertical joints and 7" o.c. Joints of gypsum sheathing may be left gh sheathing to studs. board, water-resistant gypsum backing at right angles to studs with 6d coated	Thickness: Varies Approx. Weight: 7 pd Fire Test: See WP 3510 (U.R 83501-47.45, 9-17.45, U.L Besign U309; U.L Besign U309; U.L Besign U304)	United States Gypsum Company	the SHEETROCK® Brand FIRECODE® C Core Gypourn Panels LEVELROCK® Brand Floor Underlayment		RAL, IN04-010, 4-22-04; (52 cushion sheet viny) RAL, IN04-011, 4-22-04; (51 engineered wood laaminato; RAL, IN04-012, 4-28-04; (50 cushion sheet vinyi) RAL, IN04-012, 4-26-04; (45 gunahion sheet vinyi) RAL, IN04-014, 4-26-04; (45 cushion sheet vinyi) 8 channels spaced 24° o.c.) RAL, IN04-015, 4-30-04

	1	2		3	4		5	6	7		8
	PARTITION NOTES		DO	OR NOTES			HVAC REQUIREMENT	s		ELECTRICAL	NOTES
	ALL RATED ASSEMBLIES SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE AGENCY AND DESIGN PACKAGE NOTED.			DOOR SIZES ON DRAWINGS ARE NOMINAL, REFER TO MANUFACTURER FOR ACTUAL ROUGH OPENING DIMENSIONS. VERIFY ALL ROUGH OPENING DIMENSIONS IN FIELD PRIOR TO PRIOR TO PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF DOORS.			GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND HVAC SUBCONTRACTOR TO COFINEM AND COORDINATE ALL DUCTING.				SCOPE OF WORK TO BE E WORK SHOWN IS FOR LA
	ALL PENETRATIONS THROUGH FIRE RATED ASSEMBLIES SHALL RECEIVE FIRE CAULKING. FIRE CAULKING SHALL MEET ACOUSTICAL REQUIREMENTS AT PARTY WALLS AND FLOORS. VERIFY THAT PENETRATIONS ADD ALL OWNED AND HE ASSEMBLY.						VERIFY CLEARANCES FOR VENTS, CHASES, SOFFITS, AND FIXTURES BEFORE ANY CONSTRUCTION, ORDERING OF, OR INSTALLATION OF ANY ITEM OF WORK. PROVIDE A SETBACK THERMOSTAT FOR THE NEW FURNACE (CNC 150(1) & CNC 110.2(C)).			PANELS SHAL CLOSETS.	LL NOT BE LOCATED IN TH
ARE ALLOWED BY THE ASSEMBLY. STAGGER JOINTS OF GYPSUM BOARD WHERE MULTIPLE LAYERS OCCUR. MULTIPLE LAYERS OF GYPSUM			GYPSUM	MOUNT LEVER HARDWARE AT 34" ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR. MAXIMUM PUSH/PULL FORCE FOR DOORS:			PROVIDE A SEI BACK THERMIOSTATEOR THE NEW FORMACE (CNC 150(1) & CNC 110/2(C)). PROVIDE R-8 INSULATION ON NEW HEATING INSTALLED IN UNCONDITIONED SPACE (CNC 120.4).			PANELS IN FI	REWALL SHALL BE RELOO
	BOARD SHALL NOT BE GLUED TOGETHER. SEE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS FOR PLYWOOD S	HEATHING, FRAMING DETAILS, AND SCHEDULES.	MA	81/2 LBS. FOR EXTERIOR DO 5 LBS. FOR INTERIOR DOO	OORS			NO. 26 GA. GALVANIZED SHEET METAL PROVIDED ATION BETWEEN THE GARAGE AND THE HOUSE (CF			CAL OUTLETS AT KITCHEN TO BE GFCI PROTECTED
	VERIFY ALL STUD SIZES SHOWN WITH STRUCTU ALL EXTERIOR WALLS AND INTERIOR PARTITION			15 LBS. FOR FIRE DOORS ALL EXIT DOORS SHALL BE OPENABLE FROM THE INSIDE WITHOUT THE USE OF A KEY OR ANY SPECIAL			CLOTHES DRYER EXHAUST SHALL BE MIN. 4*Ø (CMC 504.3.1) AND SHALL BE OF METAL AND SHALL HAVE SMOOTH INTERIOR SURFACES (CMC 504.3.1.1).			SPACI	CEPTABLE OUTLETS AT THING AT 12'-0" O.C. MAX, AN
	ACOUSTIC ASSEMBLIES ARE REQUIRED FOR SE	EPARATING PUBLIC (I.E. STAIRS, CORRIDORS, GARA	AGE, ETC.) TH		NG ON EACH SIDE OF A DOOR. THE FLOOR OR LAND			MAKE-UP AIR OPENING FOR DOMESTIC DRYER INS	. , ,	ANY H	VALL WITH A MIN. LENGTH ALLWAY 10'-0" OR MORE
	UNITS AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS. WALLS SHALL F	NG RESIDENTIAL UNITS, AND BETWEEN OFFICE/CO BE STC 50 MIN., AND FLOOR/CEILING ASSEMBLIES CODE APPLIES. ACOUSTIC ENGINEER SHALL BE CO	TO BE STC 1/2' NSULTED.	SHALL BE LEVELED WITH A SL	E THRESHOLD OF THE DOORWAY. CHANGES IN LEV OPE NO GREATER THAN 1 VERTICAL UNIT IN 2 HOF	ZONTAL UNITS.	COMBUSTION AIR OP CHAPTER 7	ENINGS FOR FUEL BURNING EQUIPMENT SHALL M	IEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF CMC		RE IN TUB OR SHOWER EN OR DAMP LOCATIONS"
		ATIONS AND NOT INTENDED TO BE ALL-INCLUSIVE. STIC CONSULTANT/ENGINEER FOR DETAILS AND D IBI JES ARE REQUIRED.	OWNER UN DESIGN CR	INTERRUPTED SURFACE TO AL EATING A TRAP OR HAZARDOU	OF ALL DOORS EXCEPT AUTOMATIC AND SLIDING S LOW THE DOOR TO BE OPENED BY A WHEELCHAIR S CONDITION. WHERE NARROW FRAME DOORS AR LED ON THE PUSH SIDE OF THE DOOR, WHICH WIL	FOOTREST WITHOUT USED, A 10" HEIGHT	(CBC 1204.1).	S INTENDED FOR HUMAN OCCUPANCY SHALL BE F		MICROWAVE	FASTENED IN PLACE, SUO OVENS, ETC., SHALL BE S OR LOAD SERVED.
	FOR INTERIOR ACOUSTIC ASSEMBLIES, U.O.N.,	USE R-30 MIN. BATT INSULATION FOR FLOOR/CEILI	BE INGS, AND	OPENED BY A WHEELCHAIR FO	OOTREST WITHOUT CREATING A TRAP OR HAZARDO	US CONDITION.	EXHAUST DUCT PENE	ETRATIONS THROUGH FIRE-RATED FLOOR BETWEE			ES FOR FIXED APPLIANCE
	R-13 MIN. FOR WALLS. DEFER TO APPLICABLE D RESILIENT CHANNEL TO BE DIETRICK RCSD OR		FAG	CILITIES SHALL BE MADE ACCE	NND EXTERIOR GROUND-FLOOR EXIT DOORS TO BU SSIBLE TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. DOORWA' WITH THE DOOR OPEN 90 DEGREES, MEASURED BI TOP.	S SHALL HAVE A		CBC 717.1.1). RE CAPABLE OF PROVIDING 5 AIR CHANGES PER H ROOM AND 100 CFM EXHAUST FOR EACH VENTED H		DRYER.	JITABLE FOR A LOAD WITH
_	TO THE GARAGE SIDE (CBC 406.3.4(1)). PROVIDE	LLING UNIT BY MEANS OF 5/8" MIN. GYPSUM BOART E SOLID CORE WOOD DOOR WITH 1 3/8" MIN. THICK MIN. FIRE PROTECTION RATING (CBC 716.5.3). DOO (302.5 & TABLE R302.6)	(NESS (CBC IF A)RS SHALL 24"		E LEVEL AREA ON THE SIDE TO WHICH THE DOOR IE DOOR FOR EXTERIOR DOORS AND 18" PAST THE			/IDE WHOLE BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM (CEC DF MEETING OR EXCEEDING A MIN. OF 2 CFM PER \$		AFCI PROTEC	EACH BATHROOM. CTION SHALL BE SUPPLIED PPLYING OUTLETS (INCLU
	1-HR FIRE RATING ASSEMBLY SHALL BE APPLIE	D AT CEILINGS UNDER STAIRS. (CRC R302.7)	wi	NDOW NOTES				NUOUSLY RUNNING, U.O.N. E OR LESS		LIGHTING NO	TES
	IF APPLICABLE, FIRE SPRINKLER LAYOUT IS SHO PART OF SEPARATE DESIGN-BUILD PERMIT SUB	OWN FOR COORDINATION PURPOSES – FINAL DESI BMITTAL.	DIN	IENSIONS. VERIFY ALL ROUGH	E NOMINAL, REFER TO MANUFACTURER FOR ACTU OPENING DIMENSIONS IN FIELD PRIOR TO PRIOR T			DOM UNDERCUT DOOR ¾" I 4-1 OF RESIDENTIAL (CFA / 100) + 7.5 X (# BEDROC	DM + 1)		NTRACTOR AND ELECTRI ERS WITH FIXTURES SELE
	IF APPLICABLE, ALL SPRINKLER HEADS ARE TO	BE CONCEALED, U.O.N. BEDROOMS, IN EVERY ROOM IN THE PATH OF THE		TALLATION OF WINDOWS.	EAST ONE OPERABLE WINDOW OR DOOR APPROVI	D FOR EMERGENCY	TOILET, LAUNDRY, AN AND BUILDING OPENI	ND KITCHEN EXHAUST TERMINATION SHALL BE A M INGS (CMC 504.5).	/IN OF 3'-0" FROM PROPERTY LINES	WHERE DEVIC	CES AND/OR SWITCHES A
	OF EGRESS FROM EVERY BEDROOM, AND EACH	HEDROOMS, IN EVERY ROOM IN THE PATH OF THE H STORY WITH A BEDROOM (CBC 907.2.11.1). SMOK 2 (CBC 907.2.11.4) AND SHALL BE INTERCONNECTED	E ALARMS ES	CAPE OR RESCUE THAT SHALL	OPEN DIRECTLY INTO A PUBLIC STREET, PUBLIC A L MEET ALL THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA (CBC 1026.2 N.	LEY, YARD OR EXIT	THAN 4 FEET BELOW, GRAVITY AIR INLET IN	T VENTING SYSTEM OF OTHER THAN DIRECT-VEN , 4 FEET HORIZONTALLY FROM, OR 1 FOOT ABOVE NTO A BUILDING. THE BOTTOM OF THE VENT TERM	A DOOR, OPERABLE WINDOW, OR IINAL SHALL BE LOCATED NOT LESS	ABOVE THE F	INTING HEIGHT SHALL BE FINISHED FLOOR (CBC 113 GE AND ELECTRICAL RECE
	HARDWIRED WITH BATTERY BACKUP (CBC 420.6	MONOXIDE ALARMS ON EACH LEVEL. ALARMS TO 6.1.2) AND MAY BE COMBINED SMOKE ALARMS (CB		NET OPENING: 5.7 SQFT MI			A MECHANICAL DRAF	VE FINISHED GROUND LEVEL GRADE (CMC 802.8.2	THAN 3'-0" ABOVE A FORCED AIR	BOTTOM OF T	THE RECEPTACLE ABOVE
		SHT OF NOT LESS THAN 7'-6". KITCHEN, HALLS, BAT! ING HEIGHT OF NOT LESS THAN 7'-0" (CBC 1208.2)	HROOMS 8%	OF THE FLOOR AREA OF THE F	BLE SPACES: THE MINIMUM NET GLAZED AREA SHA ROOM SERVED (CBC 1205.2) (CRC 303.1).		FROM AN OPERABLE	IIN 10'-0" (CMC 802.8.1) AND SHALL NOT BE LOCATE OPENING IN AN ADJACENT BUILDING. THIS SHALL THAN 2'-0" BELOW OR 25'-0" ABOVE THE ELEVATION	NOT APPLY TO OPERABLE OPENINGS	ROOMS SHAL (CNC 150(K)5	LL BE HIGH EFFICACY LUN & 6). LOW-EFFICACY LUM O BE CONTROLLED BY A D
_	STAIRWAYS AND HANDRAILS		· NA		HABITABLE SPACES: THE MINIMUM OPENABLE ARE/ A BEING VENTILATED (CBC 1203.4.1) (CRC 303.1).	TO THE OUTDOORS	,	RANGE SHALL BE INSTALLED PER MANUFACTUREF	R'S INSTRUCTIONS. DUCTS FOR	LIGHTS LOCA	ATED IN ROOMS OR AREA

STAIRWAYS SERVING AN OCCUPANT LOAD OF LESS THAN 50 SHALL HAVE A WIDTH OF NOT LESS THAN 36" (CBC 1009.4.1) (CRC R311.7.1).

STAIRWAYS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM HEADROOM CLEARANCE OF 80" MEASURED VERTICALLY FROM A LINE CONNECTING THE EDGE OF THE NOSINGS. SUCH HEADROOM SHALL BE CONTINUOUS ABOVE THE STAIRWAY TO THE POINT WHERE THE LINE INTERSECTS THE LANDING BELOW, ONE TREAD DEPTH BEYOND THE BOTTOM RISER. THE MINIMUM CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED THE FULL WIDTH OF THE STAIRWAY AND LANDING (CBC 1009.5) (CRC R311.7.2).

STAIR RISER HEIGHTS SHALL BE 7" MAX. AND 4" MIN. STAIR TREAD DEPTHS SHALL BE 11" MIN. IN GROUP R-3 OCCUPANCIES, WITHIN DWELLING UNITS IN R-2 OCCUPANCIES, AND IN GROUP U OCCUPANCIES THAT ARE ACCESSORY TO A GROUP R-3 OCCUPANCY, THE MAXIMUM RISER HEIGHT SHALL BE 7.75° AND THE MINIMUM TREAD DEPTH SHALL BE 10°. (CBC 1009-7.2) (CRC 811.7.5).

THERE SHALL BE A FLOOR OR LANDING AT THE TOP AND BOTTOM OF EACH STAIRWAY. THE WIDTH OF LANDINGS SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN THE WIDTH OF STAIRWAYS THEY SERVE. EVERY LANDING SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM DIMENSION MEASURED IN THE DIRECTION OF TRAVEL EQUAL TO THE WIDTH OF THE STAIRWAY

DOORS OPENING ONTO A LANDING SHALL NOT REDUCE THE LANDING TO LESS THAN ONE-HALF THE REQUIRED WIDTH, WHEN FULLY OPEN, THE DOOR SHALL NOT PROJECT MORE THAN 7" INTO A LANDING (CBC 1009.8).

STAIRWAYS SHALL HAVE HANDRAILS. STAIRWAYS WITHIN DWELLING UNITS ARE PERMITTED TO HAVE HANDRAIL ON ONLY ONE SIDE, AND IN R-3 OCCUPANCIES, A CONTINUOUS RUN OR FLIGHT OF STAIRS WITH FEWER THAN FOUR RISERS DOES NOT REQUIRE A HANDRAIL (CBC 1009.15) (CRC R311.7.8).

THE HEIGHT OF A HANDRAIL MEASURED ABOVE STAIR TREAD NOSINGS, OR FINISH SURFACE OF A RAMP SLOPE SHALL BE UNIFORM, AND NOT LESS THAN 34" AND NOT MORE THAN 38" (CBC 1012.2) (CRC R311.7.8).

HANDRAILS WITH A CIRCULAR CROSS SECTION SHALL HAVE AN OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF AT LEAST 1.25" AND NOT GREATER THAN 2". IF THE HANDRAIL CROSS SECTION IS NOT CIRCULAR, IT SHALL HAVE A PERIMETER DIMENSION OF AT LEAST 4" AND A MAX OF 6.25", WITH A MAX CROSS SECTION OF 2.25" (CBC 1012.3) (CRC POINT = 0.0) R311.7.8.3).

HANDRAILS SHALL RETURN TO A WALL (CBC 1012.3).

(CBC 1009.8) (CRC R311.7.6).

HANDRAILS WITHIN A DWELLING UNIT THAT IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE ACCESSIBLE, NEED ONLY EXTEND FROM THE BOTTOM RISER TO THE TOP RISER. (CBC 1012.5.1) HANDRAILS SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 1.5" CLEAR FROM THE WALL (CBC 1012.6).

HANDRAIL-GRIPPING SURFACES SHALL BE CONTINUOUS, WITHOUT INTERRUPTION BY NEWEL POSTS OR OTHER OBSTRUCTIONS, BUT HANDRAILS WITHIN DWELLING UNITS ARE PERMITTED TO BE INTERRUPTED BY A NEWEL POST AT A TURN (CBC 1012.4) (CRC R311.7.8.2).

CLEAR SPACE BETWEEN A HANDRAIL AND A WALL OR OTHER SURFACE SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 1.5" (CBC 1012.4) (CRC R311.7.8.2)

PROJECTIONS INTO THE REQUIRED WIDTH OF STAIRWAYS AT EACH HANDRAIL SHALL NOT EXCEED 4.5" AT OR BELOW THE HANDRAIL HEIGHT. PROJECTIONS INTO THE REQUIRED WIDTH SHALL NOT BE LIMITED ABOVE THE MINIMUM HEADROOM HEIGHT REQUIRED (CBC 1012.6).

GUARDS ARE REQUIRED AT OPEN-SIDED WALKING SURFACES, INCLUDING STAIRS, PORCHES, BALCONIES, OR LANDINGS LOCATED MORE THAN 30" ABOVE THE FLOOR OR GRADE BELOW (CBC 1013.1) (CRC R312.1.1)

GUARDS SHALL BE A MIN. OF 42" HIGH (CBC 1013.3). GUARDS ON THE OPEN SIDES OF STAIRS SHALL HAVE A MIN. HEIGHT OF 34" (CBC 1013.3.1) (CRC 312.1.2.1).

OPEN BALUSTERS SHALL NOT ALLOW A 4'Ø SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH (CBC 1013.4) (CRC R312.1.3). THE TRIANGULAR SPACE FORMED BY THE RISER, TREAD AND BOTTOM RAIL SHALL NOT ALLOW A 6' SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH (CBC 1013.4.2) (CRC R312.1.3.1). OPEN BALUSTERS AT STATIST IN R-3 OCCUPANCIES SHALL NOT ALLOW A 4.375'Ø SPHERE TO PASS THROUGH (CBC 1013.4.6) (CRC R312.1.3.2).

ALL WINDOWS SHALL BE DOUBLE GLAZED, U.O.N.

ALL TEMPERED GLASS SHALL BE AFFIXED WITH A PERMANENT LABEL (CBC 2406.3)

U-FACTOR OF GLAZING SHALL BE PER CEC TABLE 110.6-A AND SOLAR HEAT GAIN COEFFICIENT (S.H.G.C.)

PER CEC TABLE 110.6-B, U.O.N. ON PLANS OR TITLE 24 ENERGY COMPLIANCE REPORT NFRC LABELS ON NEW WINDOWS SHALL NOT BE REMOVED UNTIL AFTER FINAL INSPECTION

COORDINATE INSTALLATION OF ALL FLASHINGS AND WINDOWS WITH INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS OF WINDOW MANUFACTURER. OBTAIN APPROVAL OF INSTALLATION METHODOLOGY FROM WINDOW MANUFACTURER PRIOR TO COMMENCING INSTALLATION.

UTILIZE PRIMERS AND/OR ADHESIVES COMPATIBLE WITH ALL MATERIALS AND AS RECOMMENDED BY MANUFACTURER OF SELF-ADHERED MEMBRANE TO ACHIEVE TENACIOUS BOND OF MEMBRANE TO ALL SUBSTRATES.

UTILIZE SEALANTS COMPATIBLE WITH ALL MATERIALS AND AS RECOMMENDED BY WINDOW AND SELE-ADHERED MEMBRANE MANUFACTURERS

SAFETY GLAZING SHALL BE AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS (CBC 2406.4) (CRC R308.4):

SWINGING AND SLIDING DOORS B. DOORS AND ENCLOSURES FOR HOT TUBS, WHIRLPOOLS, SAUNAS, STEAM ROOMS, BATHTUBS AND SHOWERS WHERE THE BOTTOM EXPOSED EDGE OF THE GLAZING SURFACE IS LESS THAN 60" ABOVE A STANDING SURFACE.

2. FIXED OR OPERABLE PANELS ADJACENT TO A DOOR WHERE THE NEAREST EXPOSED EDGE OF THE GLAZING ISWITHIN A 24" ARC OF EITHER THE VERTICAL EIDER OF THE DOOR IN A CLOSED POSITION, AND WHERE THE BOTTOM EXPOSED EODE OF THE VERTICAL EIDER OF THE DOOR IN A CLOSED POSITION, AND D. GLAZING WHERE THE EXPOSED AREA OF AN INDIVIDUAL PANE IS GREATER THAN 93 OFF, EXPOSED BOTTOM EDGE IS LESS THAN 18" ABOVE THE FLOOR, EXPOSED TOP EDGE IS GREATER THAN 38" ABOVE THE FLOOR, AND THERE IS DO F MORE WALKING SURFACES WITHIN 36" HORIZONTALLY OF THE PALADE OF THE DO. THEND THERE IS DO F MORE WALKING SURFACES WITHIN 36" HORIZONTALLY OF THE PALADE OF THE GLAZING.

GLAZING. E. GLAZING IN GUARDS AND RAILINGS REGARDLESS OF AREA OR HEIGHT. F. GLAZING ADJACENT TO STAIRWAYS, LANDINGS AND RAMPS WITHIN 36' HORIZONTALLY OF WALKING SURFACE; WHEN THE EXPOSED SURFACE OF THE GLASS IS LESS THAN 60' ABOVE THE PLANE OF THE PLANE THE MULTICAD CURCENT STATEMENT OF THE GLASS IS LESS THAN 60' ABOVE THE PLANE OF THE

ADJACENT WALKING SURFACE. G. GLAZING ADJACENT TO STAIRWAYS WITHIN 60" HORIZONTALLY OF THE BOTTOM TREAD OF A STAIRWAY

IN ANY DIRECTION WHEN THE EXPOSED SURFACE OF THE GLASS IS LESS THAN 60" ABOVE THE NOSE OF THE TREAD; NOT REQUIRED WHERE THE STAIR HAS A RAILING AND THE PLANE OF THE GLASS IS GREATER THAN 18" FROM THE RAILING.

SLOPED GLAZING AND SKYLIGHTS SHALL MEET THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS (CBC 2405) (CRC R308.6.2): A. LAMINATED GLASS WITH A 30 MIL POLYVINYL BUTYRAL (OR EQUIVALENT) INTERLAYER, MEETING REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2607 HEAT-STRENGTHENED GLASS OR FULLY TEMPERED B. SKYLIGHT FRAMES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF NONCOMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS AND MOUNTED AT LEAST 4" ABOVE THE ROOF PLANE ON A CONTINUOUS CURB.



BEDROOM NOTES: RESCUE WINDOW: EMERGENCY EGRESS WINDOWS SHALL HAVE: MIN_CLEAR OPENING AREA OF 5.7 SOFT

MIN CLEAR WIDTH OF 20" MIN. CLEAR HEIGHT OF 24"

• MAX, HEIGHT FROM FINISHED FLOOR TO BOTTOM OF OPENING OF 44"

VENTING SHALL BE OF METAL AND SHALL HAVE SMOOTH INTERIOR SURFACES (CMC 504.2).

PROVIDE UTILITY CONNECTION BOX WITH 2-125V AND 1-250V OUTLETS FOR WASHER/DRYER, U.O.N

PROVIDE MINIMUM EXHAUST RATES OF ALL MECHANICAL VENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH CMC TABLE 403.7.

PROVIDE COMBUSTION AIR OPENING FROM OUTSIDE FOR FURNACES AND WATER HEATERS PER CMC 701.9 AND ALL OTHER APPLICABLE CODES

FIREPLACES, DECORATIVE GAS APPLIANCES AND GAS LOGS: INSTALLATION OF FACTORY-BUILT AND MASONRY FIREPLACES SHALL INCLUDE:

MASONRY FIREPLACES SHALL INCLUDE: CLOSABLE METAL OR GLASS DOORS. • COMBUSTION ARI INTAKE (6 SQ. IN. MINIMUM) TO DRAW AIR FROM OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING DIRECTLY INTO FIRE BOX. THE COMBUSTION AIR INTAKE MUST BE EQUIPPED WITH A READLY ACCESSIBLE, OPERABLE AND LIGHT-FITTING DAMPER OR COMBUSTION AIR CONTROL DEVICE. EXCEPTION: AN OUTSIDE COMBUSTION AIR INTAKE IS NOT REQUIRED IF THE FIREPLACE IS INSTALLED OVER CONCRETE SLAB FLOORING AND THE FIREPLACE IS NOT LOCATED ON AN EXTERIOR WALL. • A FLUE DAMPER WITH A READLY ACCESSIBLE CONTROL. EXCEPTION: WHEN A GAS LOG, LOG LIGHTER, OR DECORATIVE GAS APPLIANCE IS INSTALLED IN A FIREPLACE, THE FLUE DAMPER SHALL BE BLOCKEND OPEN IF REQUIRED BY THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS OR THE STATE MECHANICAL CODE.

DUCT OPENINGS AND CONNECTIONS SHALL BE SEALED WITH PRESSURE SENSITIVE DUCT TAPE TESTED AND LABELED UL181, 181A, OR UL181B.

FINISHED FLOOR (CRC R301.2.2.3.7).

ENERGY NOTES

REQUIRED TO COMPLY.

PLUMBING NOTES

(CNC 150(j)2

INDOOR WATER LISE (CGBC 4 303 1.28 GALLON PER FLUSH AT WATER CLOSETS 2.0 GPM AT SHOWER HEADS 5 GPM AT LAVATORY FAUCETS 1.8 GPM AT KITCHEN FAUCETS

PROVIDE 30" CLEAR WIDTH AND 24" CLEAR IN FRONT OF TOILET.

-MIN. 11", U.O.N 1¼" TO 1½"\ MAX. 7", U.O.N HANDRAIL SHALL BE LOCATED-BETWEEN 34" TO 38" FROM THE NOSING OF THE TREADS AND STAIR LANDINGS. HANDRAIL ENDS SHALL BE RETURNED TO STAIRS DETAIL HANDRAIL DETAIL













	336 CLAREMONT BLVD. STE 2 SAN FRANCECO. CA 94127 (1515) 232-5409 www.westoversurveying.com							
WE ST OVER								
	JOB NO.			15003				
	NO. DATE COMMENTS							
	R NO. DATE		Č I		ZS			
	DRAWN BY: 5A	CHECKED BY: D IVV		DATE: 07/08/14	SCALE: ¹¹ ≈ B ¹			
	SITE SURVEY	OLE COLVET		1182 POTRERO STREET LOT 011 OF ASSESSORS BLOCK 4211, CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA				
	~S	H	F	EE	T- 7			

Green Building: Site Permit Checklist

3

2

BASIC INFORMATION:

These facts, plus the primary occupancy, determine which requirements apply. For details, see AB 093 Attachment A Table 1.

Project Name 1152 POTRERO AVE.	Block/Lot 4211 / 011	Address 1152 POTRERO AVE.	
Gross Building Area 5,242 S.F. +/-	Primary Occupancy R-2	Design Professional/Applicant: Sign & Date	03/30/2016
# of Dwelling Units 3	Height to highest occupied floor 40'-0"	Number of occupied floors 4	

Instructions:

As part of application for site permit, this form acknowledges the specific green building n under San Francisco Building Code Chapter 13C, California Title 24 Part 11, and related will be due with the applicable addendum. To use the form:

(a) Provide basic information about the project in the box at left. This info determines which

AND

(b) Indicate in one of the columns below which type of project is proposed. If applicable, number of points the project must meet or exceed. A LEED or GreenPoint checklist is not permit application, but such tools are strongly recommended to be used

Solid circles in the column indicate mandatory measures required by state and local code GreenPoint Rated, prerequisites of those systems are mandatory. This form is a summa Chapter 13C for details.

LEED PROJECTS **OTHER APPLICABLE NON-R ALL PROJECTS, AS APPLICABLE** New New Requirements below only apply when the measure is applicable New Large Residential Residential Commercial Commercial Residential references below are applicable to New Non-Residential building Construction activity stormwater pollution Commercial Interior Alteration Alteration quirements for additions and alterations can be found in Title 24 F Mid-Rise¹ High-Rise¹ prevention and site runoff controls - Provide a Requirements for additions or alterations apply to applications re construction site Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan after 3 and implement SFPUC Best Management Practices. Х Type of Project Proposed (Indicate at right) Type of Project Proposed (Check box if applicat Stormwater Control Plan: Projects disturbing ≥5,000 **Overall Requirements:** square feet must implement a Stormwater Control Plan Energy Efficiency: Demonstrate a 15% energy use reduction California Energy Code, Title 24, Part 6. (13C.5.201.1.1) meeting SFPUC Stormwater Design Guidelines LEED certification level (includes prerequisites): GOLD SII VER SII VER GOI D GOI D GOI D 60 50 60 60 60 Base number of required points Bicvcle parking: Provide short-term and long-term bicycle park Water Efficient Irrigation - Projects that include ≥ 1,000 square feet of new or modified landscape must motorized parking capacity each, or meet San Francisco Planning (Adjustment for retention / demolition of historic whichever is greater (or LEED credit SSc4.2). (13C.5.106.4) n/a comply with the SFPUC Water Efficient Irrigation features / building: Fuel efficient vehicle and carpool parking: Provide sta Final number of required points 50 low-emitting, fuel efficient, and carpool/van pool vehicles; approximation (base number +/- adjustment) Construction Waste Management - Comply with spaces, (13C.5.106.5) the San Francisco Construction & Demolition Debris Water Meters: Provide submeters for spaces projected to consu Specific Requirements: (n/r indicates a measure is not required) or >100 gal/day if in buildings over 50,000 sq. ft. Recycling by Occupants: Provide adequate space Construction Waste Management - 75% Diversion Indoor Water Efficiency: Reduce overall use of potable water with and equal access for storage, collection and loading of Meet C&D for showerheads, lavatories, kitchen faucets, wash fountains, water closets, a AND comply with San Francisco Construction & Demolition Debris compostable, recyclable and landfill materials. Ordinance ordinance only Commissioning: For new buildings greater than 10,000 square See Administrative Bulletin 088 for details. LEED MR 2, 2 points shall be included in the design and construction of the project to ver systems and components meet the owner's project requirements. 15% Energy Reduction LEED OR for buildings less than 10,000 square feet, testing and adjusting Compared to Title-24 2008 (or ASHRAE 90.1-2007) prerequisite only LEED EA 1, 3 points Protect duct openings and mechanical equipment du (13C.5.504.3) **Renewable Energy or Enhanced Energy Efficiency GREENPOINT RATED PROJECTS** Effective 1/1/2012: Adhesives, sealants, and caulks: Comply with VOC limits Generate renewable energy on-site ≥1% of total annual energy VOC limits and California Code of Regulations Title 17 for aerosol ad cost (LEED EAc2) OR Proposing a GreenPoint Rated Project n/r n/r Paints and coatings: Comply with VOC limits in the Air Resource n/r n/r n/r Demonstrate an additional 10% energy use reduction (total of 25% (Indicate at right by checking the box.) Architectural Coatings Suggested Control Measure and California C compared to Title 24 Part 6 2008), OR Title 17 for aerosol paints. (13C.5.504.4.3) Purchase Green-E certified renewable energy credits for 35% of Carpet: All carpet must meet one of the following total electricity use (LEED EAc6). Base number of required Greenpoints: 75 1. Carpet and Rug Institute Green Label Plus Program Enhanced Commissioning of Building Energy Systems 2. California Department of Public Health Standard Practice for the Meet LEED prerequisites (Specification 01350) LEED EA 3 Adjustment for retention / demolition of 3. NSF/ANSI 140 at the Gold level Water Use - 30% Reduction LEED WE 3, 2 points n/r Meet LEED prerequisites 4. Scientific Certifications Systems Sustainable Choice historic features / building: AND Carpet cushion must meet CRI Green Label, Enhanced Refrigerant Management LEED EA 4 n/r n/r n/r n/ı n/r AND Carpet adhesive must not exceed 50 g/L VOC content. (13 Final number of required points (base number +/-Composite wood: Meet CARB Air Toxics Control Measure for Compo Indoor Air Quality Management Plan LEED IEQ 3.1 n/r adjustment) n/r n/r n/r n/r Resilient flooring systems: For 50% of floor area receiving Low-Emitting Materials LEED IEQ 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 n/r resilient flooring complying with the VOC-emission limits defined in the for High Performance Schools (CHPS) criteria or certified under the F Bicycle parking: Provide short-term and long-term bicycle Covering Institute (RFCI) FloorScore program. (13C.5.504.4.6) parking for 5% of total motorized parking capacity each, or meet / use n/r n/r Environmental Tobacco Smoke: Prohibit smoking within 2 San Francisco Planning Code Sec 155, whichever is greater, or n/r Code, entries, outdoor air intakes, and operable windows. (13C.5.504.7) meet LEED credit SSc4.2. (13C.5.106.4) See San Francisco Planning Air Filtration: Provide at least MERV-8 filters in regularly occupi Code 155 Designated parking: Mark 8% of total parking stalls mechanically ventilated buildings. (13C.5.504.5.3) n/r for low-emitting, fuel efficient, and carpool/van pool vehicles. n/r (13C.5.106.5) Acoustical Control: Wall and roof-ceilings STC 50, exterior wi ve walls and floor-ceilings STC 40. (13C.5.507.4) Water Meters: Provide submeters for spaces projected to tem.) consume more than 1,000 gal/day, or more than 100 gal/day if in n/r n/r n/r n/r n/r building over 50,000 sq. ft. (13C.5.303.1) CFCs and Halons: Do not install equipment that contains CFCs of Air Filtration: Provide at least MERV-8 filters in regularly Additional Requirements for New A. B. I. OR M Occu occupied spaces of mechanically ventilated buildings (or LEED n/r n/r n/r n/r credit IEQ 5), (13C,5,504,5,3) Construction Waste Management - Divert 75% of constr Air Filtration: Provide MERV-13 filters in residential buildings in debris AND comply with San Francisco Construction & Demolition air-quality hot-spots (or LEED credit IEQ 5). (SF Health Code Article 38 n/r n/r n/r n/r Renewable Energy or Enhanced Energy Efficiency and SF Building Code 1203.5) Effective January 1, 2012: Generate renewable energy on-site eq Acoustical Control: wall and roof-ceilings STC 50, exterior annual energy cost (LEED EAc2), OR See CBC 1207 n/r n/r windows STC 30, party walls and floor-ceilings STC 40. (13C.5.507.4) demonstrate an additional 10% energy use reduction (total of 25% of Part 6 2008) OR

purchase Green-E certified renewable energy credits for 35% of total elect

3) Requirements for additions or alterations apply to applications

GreenPoint Rated (i.e. meets all prerequisites)
Energy Efficiency: Demonstrate a 15% energy
reduction compared to 2008 California Energy (
Title 24, Part 6.
Meet all California Green Building Standards
Code requirements
(CalGreen measures for residential projects have
been integrated into the GreenPoint Rated syst

Notes

Ordinance

Ordinance

1) New residential projects of 75' or greater must use the "New Residential High-Rise" column. New residential projects with >3 occupied floors and less than 75 feet to the highest occupied floor may choose to apply the LEED for Homes Mid-Rise rating system; if so, you must use the "New Residential Mid-Rise" column.

2) LEED for Homes Mid-Rise projects must meet the "Silver" standard, including all prerequisites. The number of points required to achieve Silver depends on unit size. See LEED for Homes Mid-Rise Rating System to confirm the base number of points required.

received on or after July 1, 2012.

		9		10	
requirements th local codes. At			RERO AVE. Rancisco, ca		
ch green buildin	ig requireme	ents apply.		_	
fill in the blank ot required to be			2		
les. For projects ary; see San Fra					
RESIDENTIA	L PROJE	CTS		UNIVEERS	
to the project. Code is. Corresponding re- Part 11, Division 5.7. ceived July 1, 2012 or	Other New Non- Residential	Addition >2,000 sq ft OR Alteration >\$500,000 ³	Massoudi	Consulting Engineers 205 De Anza Blvd. #109 San Mateo, CA 94402 Tet: (650) 773-5844	
ıble)			SHEET TITLE	Fax: (415) 922-0203	
compared to 2008	•	n/r	SHEET HILE		
king for 5% of total Code Sec 155,	•	•	GREEN E	BUILDING:	
all marking for nately 8% of total	•	•	SITE F	PERMIT – KLIST	
sume >1,000 gal/day,	•	•			
thin the building by 20% and urinals. (13C.5.303.2)	•	•			
re feet, commissioning rify that the building (13C.5.410.2) g of systems is required.	•	(Testing & Balancing)			
uring construction	•	•			
s in SCAQMD Rule 1168 nesives. (13C.5.504.4.1)	•	•			
ources Board Code of Regulations	•	•			
the testing of VOCs	•	•	All drawings and written n constitute the original and	unpublished work of	
3C.5.504.4.4)			Massoudi Consulting Eng duplicated, altered, used expressed written consen ISSUES / REVISION	or disclosed without the t of this office.	
osite Wood (13C.5.504.4.5) resilient flooring, install	•	•	NO. DATE	DESCRIPTION	
he 2009 Collaborative Resilient Floor	•	•			
25 feet of building	•	•			
pied spaces of	•	Limited exceptions. See CA T24 Part 11 Section 5.714.6			
vindows STC 30, party	•	 See CA T24 Part 11 Section 5.714.7 			
or Halons. (13C.5.508.1)	•	•			
upancy Projects 5	,000 - 25,000	Square Feet	DATE	01/11/2015	
truction and demolition Debris Ordinance.	•	Meet C&D ordinance only	REVISED DATE	03/30/2016	
ual to ≥1% of total			JOB NO.	15.046	
compared to Title 24		n/r	SHEET NO.		
ctricity use (LEED EAc6).				G-0.1	








CONSERVE AND PROTECT EXISTING HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER



1152 POTRERO AVENUE

Re: 2015-002632DRP 2015-002632VAR-02

Permit # 201502249220

Submission to Planning Commission by DR requester Jesus Gomez **1152 Potrero Avenue - 2015-002632** Request for Discretionary Review June 2, 2016 hearing

1152 Potrero is the second proposal on this block to come before the Planning Commission where a developer proposes an out of scale project that fails to respect the character of its neighbors. In the DR for 1140 Potrero the Commission required changes that respected neighbors and the historic nature of the homes on this block. **Exhibit 1**. It needs to do so again.

The west side of Potrero between 23th and 24th is a string of low working class Edwardian houses built in 1880s and 1890s that help describe the history of San Francisco architecture. A rendering <u>of this exact block</u> is shown on the Planning Department web-site to illustrate the character of the area. **Exhibit 6**. 1152 Potrero was built immediately after the 1906 earthquake and fits into the design of this block.

Houses on Hampshire, the rear of our block, share the mid-block open space with houses on Potrero. Exhibit 5 shows patterns on our block including rear yards.

Requested modifications

My family has lived at 1136 Potrero, 3 lots to the north for decades. We worked with the Commission to ensure that development of 1140 Potrero was scaled back so it did not harm its neighbors. We ask the Commission to require similar changes 1152 Potrero.

There have been TWO 311 notices for expansion of the existing 2-story pitched roof house at 1156 Potrero. **Exhibit 8**.

#1 (1/6/16) proposed a 4-story 5,267 sq ft addition to a 1,125 sq ft. single family home. **Exhibit 3**.

#2 (5/11/16) reduced building bulk **15 feet**. Both are 4 story buildings with 3 units in 40'. The second has a flat-roof full 4th story instead of a pitched roof. It requires a variance.

A variance cannot be granted for the current proposal because it violates variance standards. It imposes an unnecessary burden on surrounding homes by robbing them of light, air and privacy. Furthermore it disrupts the uniformity of the stepped down midblock area and eliminates the Edwardian facade of the existing 1907 built home.

The changes do little to minimize the negative impact to the surrounding homes or the character of our block. The Commission must impose changes that take into account:

 1146 Potrero, the 2-story house adjacent to the north, is set back to the rear of the lot. It will be the most negatively impacted by a 4-story bldg extending so deep into the lot.

• The homes on Hampshire directly behind the proposed building are all less than 25 ft high, with large 20 ft front set backs. They are built further back on the lot and will be significantly impacted by the proposed height and depth of the 4-story building.

• The northern elevation will be completely visible. No matter how far the 4th floor is pushed back it will be seen from the public right of way. It will disrupt the uniformity of the stepped down mid-block area. It will be the only four story building on the block.

The Proposal contains 7 bedroom, 9 bathrooms (7 full and 2 half baths). It dedicates 699 sq ft to hallways and stairs. 15% of the total habitable space. Based on notes provided of discussions by the Residential Design Team, it is clear they support a reduction of the depth.

In line with this request, we ask the following:

Reduce depth of the building by 10' - this translates to a reduction of 430 sq ft.

• Eliminate 4th floor - this represents a net loss of 862 sq ft (85 sq ft will be gained on the 3rd floor by removing the stairs to the 4th floor).

• Eliminate windows on the North elevation. They are intrusive to the Lopez Family at 1146 Potrero and rob them of all privacy. The windows overlook the only outdoor area used as a yard, parking and front entrance. The result is a gain of 130 sq ft.

The existing proposal is invasive to the adjacent homes. More should be be done to reduce the bulk. Redesigning the interior space - utilizing less sq ft for bathrooms, stairs, and halls - will reduce net bulk from 5242 sq ft to 4080 sq ft. This will go a long way to minimize negative impact on the surrounding homes. It still allow for a spacious tri-plex that also aligns with the mid-block step down aesthetic of the area.

Plans used in the 311 Notice distort the rear building wall

Sub standard rear "shed" area used to extend the rear building wall of 1152 and square footage of existing building. **Exhibit 3.** This results in a totally misleading 311 notice as to increased depth. Outdoor construction housing a washing machine and dryer cannot be used to extend the existing rear building wall.

Developer was allowed to include 1125 sq ft of unwarranted, substandard area. The back wall of garage is missing from the plans. The increased depth of proposed project is grossly mis-stated. It is actually 20+ feet, not the stated 4 feet.

Pre-application meeting submission ignores statements made at meeting

Pre-application meeting held on 2/16/15. The Garcia family, who sold their 1152 home, was still living there. The sign in sheet includes the names of only 2 attendees: Linda Ray, our neighbor from across the street, and Kevin Garcia, the teenage son of the Garcia family. **Exhibit 4**.

Notes submitted to Planning do not show issues raised by the neighbor who attended. Ms. Ray told my wife and me that during the meeting she suggested to Project Sponsor that he modify his proposal, which she described as a "shoe box design." She asked that it look more like 1140 Potrero, the single family home that was altered to a 3 story triplex following Planning Commission amendments in 2008

I would have attended and raised questions, but I got no notice of the pre-application meeting.

Summary

The proposed project must reduced from a 4-story flat-roofed building to a 3story building to reflect neighborhood character. A variance cannot be granted for a building which does not fit in with its neighbors.

Commission attention is directed to Planning Department depiction of THIS BLOCK in **Exhibit 6** and to the last page of **Exhibit 2** which shows 1152 in the context of the architecture of other Edwardian homes.

The revision of the project, requiring a variance notice, has wound up with a notice of variance hearing and a second 311 which extends to tomorrow. I am submitting this on Wednesday, May 25, 1916 before that deadline.

I would be glad to answer any questions you might have.

Thank you.

Jesus Som

Jesus Gomez 0 1136 Potrero

San Francisco 94110

jdejesusgomez@comcast.net

Index

- Exhibit 1: Letter from F. Joseph Butler dated 7/11/2005 addressed to Planning Commission involving 1140 Potrero Ave; content relevant to this case
- Exhibit 2: Ad from sale of 1152 Potrero features RH-3 zoning; 1152-superimposed onto Alamo Square "Painted Ladies" to show original Edwardian details intact
- Exhibit 3: Photos of unwarranted addition used on 311 to increase depth and sq ft

Exhibit 4: Pre-Application meeting sign sheet and notes dated 2/16/15

- Exhibit 5: Photos Factors that contribute to negative impact on adjacent homes and neighborhood character
- Exhibit 6: Map-South Mission Historic Survey map/photos of established step down of the mid-block area

Exhibit 7: Petition signed by Neighbors against 4-story project @ 1152 Potrero

Exhibit 8: Original 311 Notice dated 1/6/16 - Second 311 Notice dated 5/11/16

Letter from F Joseph Butler, Architect, addressed to Planning Commission dated 7/11/2005.

Content includes information relevant to current case involving Master Plan and Neighborhood character.

F. JOSEPH BUTLER ARCHITECT

11 July 2005 ·

Ms. Sue Lee, President San Francisco Planning Commission 1660 Mission Street Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

1048 Union Street 19 San Francisco California 94133

Re: 1140 Potrero Avenue, 2004.1234, P.A. 2004/07/23/9615 and P.A. 2004/07/23/9619

Master Plan: Housing

This project violates Master Plan Policies which encourage the retention of existing sound housing. The replacement units will be much more expensive than the single family residence that they replace. The Lopez family, which includes four children, as well as the extended family of Berta and Arnoldo, originally rented their home. Through their hard work and saving, they managed to buy the home from their landlord Mr. Urrutia. (Mr. Urrutia lives on Hampshire Street and also supports this D.R.) The Gomez family purchased their home in 1984. Both are examples of what the City needs, housing stock that is starter homes, small houses that families can afford that allows them to remain in the City. Replacing these small starter homes with more expensive market rate housing only deepens the housing affordability crisis for low to moderate income families.

Neighborhood Character: The height of buildings on this block is much lower than the four story plus penthouse proposed, with two story or one story over garage or basement the predominant building type. This is largely a function of the age of buildings on this block, many extant from the Nineteenth Century, and though some remodelings and alterations have occurred, the urban forms are still predominantly from that era. (See Potrero and Hampshire Street photo montages) A four story building, PLUS A STAIR TO THE ROOF WHICH WILL "READ" AS ANOTHER STORY TO ADJACENT NEIGHBORS is grossly inappropriate and out of character for this neighborhood.

Conclusion:

1140 Potrero is not unsound as defined by the Planning Department, and its demolition is inconsistent with the City's Master Plan. If demolition is permitted, and it should not be permitted, the building must be lowered to a maximum of three stories and moved forward as proposed by the Variance application. The stair penthouse would no longer be required by the Building Code. This would mitigate the shadow impact on the rear yards of the neighboring buildings, reduce looming visual impacts on the houses to the rear on Hampshire, help preserve eligibility of this block for a potential historic district, and be more in keeping with the scale and character of this neighborhood. If the four story structure as proposed is seen as the model for the future along Potrero Avenue, then the City will lose even more starter housing opportunities like that which benefited the Lopez family. New units that add more automobile conflicts with existing transit lines, and the reduction of lanes of traffic for the newly redesigned transit preferential Potrero Avenue, are counterproductive to the goals of a transit first City.

Retention of the existing sound housing, more in keeping with the age and height of the block, with perhaps the expansion of living space into the existing ground floor is a proposed use that is much more in keeping with the City's Master Plan, and the neighborhood character.

Sincerely,

paper Butles, A14

F. Joseph Butler, AIA

cc. Members of the Commission Sue Hestor Attachments

Speculators see "3H zoning" and are willing to make cash purchases, paying more than the home would normally appraise for. They only focus on the potential massive profits; there is no respect for neighborhood character and little thought placed on minimizing negative impacts to the surrounding homes.

Speculators deprive families the opportunity to purchase, rehab, and expand the existing homes. This practice also deprives our Community of the Turn of the Century buildings San Francisco is known for.

Copy of Realtors sale page reflecting sale price and RH-3 zoning

Rendering of 1152 Potrero Ave superimposed on Alamo Square "Painted Ladies" to show 1152 retains its original character.

Property History	Listing S	Summary	Interactive N	lap 😑 Report Violation
Listing #425824	1152 Potrero Ave,San Francisco	o, CA 94110*	Sold (01/09/15) DOM:	90
\$969,000 (LP) \$900,000 (SP)	Bed: 4	Baths: 2.50	Sq Ft: 1125*	Lot Sz: 2495*
Price/SqFt: 800.00 SP % LP: 92.88	District: 9 - Inner Mission	Yr: 1907*		

Remarks

In the vibrant Inner Mission this property is Zoned RH-3. It Features 3-4 bedrooms 2.5 bathrooms, large eat in kitchen, living room, sunroom, porch with views of Twin Peaks and a great patio and backyard with lush fruit trees. Property includes washer dryer, refrigerator, dishwasher and stove. Close to shops, restaurants, and transportation plus close proximity to highway entrances too. Ground floor has an unwarranted finished basement and a 2 Car Garage. A great home for a large family.



Directions to Property

Agent Remarks



Office Berkshire Hathaway-Franciscan (ID:BHFP) Phone: 415-664-9400, FAX: 415-664-2647 Office Lic.:	
Property Type Single-Family Homes Property Subtype(s) Single-Family Homes Status Sold (01/09/15) Property Subtype(s) Single-Family Homes DOM 90 Status Sold (01/09/15) Type Listing Excl Right to Sell No REO No	
District 9 - C	
Commission Selling Office Dual/Var. Rate 2.5 Yes	
County San Francisco Blk/Lt/APN 4211011 Scope of Service Full Service	
Beds4Baths2.50Den/Bonus Room0	
Approx Square Feet 1125* Sq Ft Source Per Tax Records Price / SqFt 800.00	
Lot Sq Ft (approx) 2495* Lot Acres (approx) 0.0573 Lot Size Source (Per Tax Record 1907*	ds)
Map Book SFAR Map Map Coordinates SFAR, CT46 Cross Street 24th Street	
Listing Date 09/13/14 Entry Date 09/25/14 On Market Date 09/25/14 09/25/14 09/25/14 Original Price 969,000 969,000 09/25/14	
Occupant Type Owner Occupant Name	

From 23rd street turn right on to Potrero Avenue and the house will be on your right. Offers now as they come. Disclosures in DocBox. Use SFAR purchase contract, include As-Is Add. & fully executed disclosures with offer. Use Escrow #01180-124083 Georgine Lonero (415) 653-3910 at Stewart



Nihed Kassab, a tourist from Tunisia, takes a selfie near a Super Bowl 50 sculpture at Alamo Square marking the big game.

SFCHRONICLE.COM | Monday, January 18, 2016 | PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER | \$1.50 *****

This photo was borrowed from the Sf-Chronicle

This was the front page of the Chronicle, it was delivered to my house a few day after I got the original 311 notice. I am not trying to fool anyone by superimposing 1152 Potrero (far right). However it is clear that the home retains it's original Character and detail and should be preserved.

I respectfully ask the following questions:

Is subject property reflective of the architectural style San Francisco is world famous for?

Is subject property reflective of the turn of the century neighborhood character of the Mission District?

Are we going to let uncaring speculators rob us of a home that has survived intact for over 109 years simply because the Mission survey failed to identify this lovely home as historic?

How many of these gem's are we to lose before we say "enough is enough"?

The Developer was allowed to include 1125 sq ft of unwarranted, substandard area on the 311 notice doubling the legal square footage as it appears on public records. This misrepresentation also creates the illusion that the proposal only increases the depth of the existing home less than 4 feet, rather than the actual 20+ feet.

Photos show attic does not have height for habitability and the unwarranted, sub-standard outdoor addition.

1152 Potrero Ave, San Francisco, CA 94110-3521, San Francisco County

1 Alexandre	5	2			1
	4	1,125	2,495	\$900,000	
	MLS Beds	MLS Sq Ft	Lot Sq Ft	MLS Sale Price	
	1	1907	SFR	01/09/2015	
	Baths	Yr Built	Туре	MLS Sale Date	
Owner Information				an Amana Managana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	
Owner Name:	Nrj Investments LL		ax Billing Zip:		94402
Tax Billing Address:	116 Starlite Dr		ax Billing Zip+4		3639
Tax Billing City & State:	San Mateo, CA	C	wner Occupied		No
Location Information					
School District:	San Francisco	5	Subdivision:		Mission Bl 149
Census Tract:	229.03	Z	Zoning:		RH3
Carrier Route:	C014				
Tax Information					
Tax ID:	4211-011	٥	% Improved:		40%
Block:	4211	-	Tax Area:		1000
Lot:	11				
Assessment & Tax			ويون ويونيون والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان والمروان	terret and the product of the second s	
Assessment Year	2015	2	2014		2013
Assessed Value - Total	\$602,526		590,726		\$588,060
Assessed Value - Land	\$361,518		\$354,438		\$352,838
Assessed Value - Improved	\$241,008	\$	\$236,288		\$235,222
YOY Assessed Change (%)	2%).45%		
YOY Assessed Change (\$)	\$11,800	4	\$2,666		
Tax Year	Total Tax		Change (\$)		Change (%)
2013	\$7,236				
2014	\$7,193		\$43		-0.59%
2015	\$7,388	4	\$195		2.71%
Characteristics					
Lot Area:	2,495		Garage Type:		Built-In
Lot Acres:	0.0573		Parking Type:		Built-In Garage
Lot Frontage:	25		No. Parking Spa	ices:	MLS: 2
Lot Depth:	100		Bedrooms:		Tax: 2 MLS: 4
Building Sq Ft:	1,125		Total Baths: Full Baths:		Tax: 1 MLS: 2.5
Land Use - CoreLogic:	SFR 1 Dwalling Unit		-ull Baths: Total Rooms:		1 5
Land Use - County: Year Built:	1 Dwelling Unit		Construction:		Wood
Stories:	Tax: 1 MLS: 3		Total Units:		1
Listing Information					
	425024		Clocing Data:		01/09/2015
MLS Listing Number:	425824		Closing Date: Closing Price:		\$900,000
MLS Status:	Sold 01/10/2015		MLS List. Agent	Name:	804980-Marise Rodriguez
MLS Status Change Date: MLS Listing Date:	09/13/2014		MLS List. Ayend MLS List. Broke		BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY-
	UJ/ IJ/ ZUIT	1	the had had a to have been a filled	a state a state a state a	

Courtesy of Jesus Gomez, San Francisco Association of Realtors

The data within this report is compliant by Consulption from public and private sources. If desired, the accuracy of the data contained herein can be independently worked by the record of this report with the applicable country in municipality.



Page 1 of 3



Photo of attic bedrooms; does not have required height for habitability



Photos of substandard unwarranted outdoor construction; this area should not have been allowed to increase depth or sq ft on the 311.







The pre-app meeting was held on 2/16/15; this is significant as the Garcia family, who sold the home, was still living there. The sign in sheet reflects the names of only 2 attendees: Linda Ray, our neighbor from across the street, and Kevin Garcia, the teenage son of the Garcia family. There were no notes as to what was discussed during the meeting.

Subsequently, Ms. Ray told my wife and me that during the meeting she suggested to Project Sponsor that he modify his proposal, which she described as a "shoe box design", to look more like 1140, the single family home that was altered to a 3 story tri-plex in 2008.

Copy of Pre-Application sign in sheet and summary of discussion.

Pre-Application Meeting Sign-In Sheet

Meeting Date: 02/16/2015	
Meeting Time: 6:00 p.m.	
Meeting Address: 1152 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110	
Project Address: 1152 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110	
Property Owner Name: NRJ Investments, LLC	
Project Sponsor/Representative: Massoudi Consulting	

Please print your name below, state your address and/or affiliation with a neighborhood group, and provide your phone number. Providing your name below does not represent support or opposition to the project; it is for documentation purposes only.

NAME/ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS PHONE #	EMAIL	SEND PLANS
1. Kevin Garcin	四月515-7742	Keringarinz	110ml com
2 LINDA RAY	1125 PotREM	0	
3.			
4			
5			
6.		π. 11-11-2011 (4)	
7			
8			[]
9		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.	Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna		
15.			
16.			C
17.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18.			C

Summary of discussion from the Pre-Application Meeting

Meeting Date: 02/16/2015 Meeting Time: 6:00 p.m. Meeting Address: 1152 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110 Project Address: 1152 Potrero Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94110 Property Owner Name: NRJ Investments, LLC Project Sponsor/Representative: Massoudi Consulting

Please summarize the questions/comments and your response from the Pre-Application meeting in the space below. Please state if/how the project has been modified in response to any concerns.

Question/Concern #1 by (name of concerned neighbor/neighborhood group):

Project Sponsor Response:

Question/Concern #2:

Project Sponsor Response:

Question/Concern #3:

Project Sponsor Response:

Question/Concern #4:

Project Sponsor Response:

The Proposal will tower over these homes robbing them of light, air and privacy. The 4th floor of the proposal will be seen from the public right of way and disrupt the uniformity of our block as it will be the only 4 story building.

Photo of 1146 Potrero, the house adjacent to the North, is set back to the rear of the lot and will be the most negatively impacted

Photo of Northern elevation it will be completely visible from the public right of way.

Photo of the homes directly behind the proposal on Hampshire St; note all less than 25 ft high with large front set backs of 20 ft. They are built further back on the lot and will be significantly impacted by the proposal.

Plot map of Height of buildings on block 4211.

Google Maps Potrero Ave



San Francisco, California Street View - Jul 2015



This photo shows the relationship of subject property to 1146, home is set back on the lot





https://www.google.com/maps/@37.7539388, -122.4064988, 3a, 75y, 221.73h, 85.45t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1svQBfByRQop8weLMTdblHygl2e0!7i13312!8i6656!6m1!1e1

Hampshire St



Homes on Hampshire St. directly behind subject property







UI. ä

2 story- residential





3 story- residential



3 story partial basement- residential

3 story- mix use/commercial

The established step down of the mid-block area is important for its beauty and historical significance; it should be protected. Until 2008 the mid-block area of this block was made up of 6 2-story single family homes, 2 of which are recognized as Historical Resources.

Map from the South Mission Historic Survey show half the houses on block 4211 are recognized Historic Resources.

Rendering used by the "Potrero Streetscape Improvement" web-site.

Photos of of mid-block step down area; front, South and North views.





http://sf-planning.org/south-mission-historic-resource-survey-map

Google Maps Potrero Ave





Potrero Ave mid-block area / block 4211

Map Legend

The six 2-story single-family homes built between 1891 and 1907 established the step down of the mid-block area of Potrero Ave/block 4211. The step down was affirmed and respected when the fourstory proposal at 1140 was scaled down to a three story 3800 sq. ft. tri-plex.

Photo shows homes left (So) to right (No)

- 1152 (subject property)
- 1146 (single family home, set back on the lot)
- 1140 (altered to a 3800 sq. ft. tri-plex in 2008)
- 1136 (deemed a historic resources)
- 1130 (deemed a historic resources)
- 1124 (a single family home)

All except 1152 have front set backs.

Historic Resource

Google Maps Potrero Ave



Picture shows established step down to the mid-block area From South to North 1170-68-66, 1164-62-60-601/2, 1158-56 and 1152 (subject property).

San Francisco, California Street View - Jul 2015







https://www.google.com/maps/@37.7534314,-122.4063341,3a,90y,290.3h,93.98t/data=13m6/1e113m4/1sMai/p8-j5vBrq1Pwko_iuAl2e0/7/13312l8i6656i6m111e1

Image capture: Oct 2015 @ 2016 Google





2/3

Petition signed by Neighbors against 4-story project proposed @ 1152 Potrero

Petition Friends and Neighbors of Block 4211 Against the Four Story Proposal at 1152 Potrero Ave
Against the Four Story Proposal at 1152 Potrero Ave
Name <u>(undafage Augn G.</u> Address <u>1121 Dampstone St</u>
email/phone number $4/6 - 27/3862$ Cell #
Name <u>Alexis</u> (mtor Address <u>1109</u> <u>HampShine</u> st, email/phone number <u>acantor</u> 96 (agmail.com
Name Jonation Sil
Address II 2 Hampshie St.
email/phone number 414 3784317
Name Maritza Garcia
Address 11.31 Ham eshire 5
email/phone number (415) 756 2705
Name Tom DACKIEWIC?
Address 1179 HAMPSHIRE SI
email/phone number 4086554672
Name Pilar Baglieri I.
Address 1/85 Hampshire St
email/phone number (4/5) 532 5252
AL. C. L.
Name Alex Cowles
Address 1160 potrero #1
email/phone number 415 697 8594
Name Katte Granger
Address 1146 Rotriero
email/phone number $(767) q q U - 6 U I 2$.
Name John Jacobs
Address <u>4/5 - 6/3 - 4382</u> email/phone number <u>1109</u> potrers Aug
emansphone namber 1104 Patrers Ave.
Name M. P.L. Burt
Address 2635-23RDStaret/407
email/phone number
Name CASSI LEF
Address IIII PORERO AVE SE CA 94110
email/phone number <u>Cassylee @sbiglobal net</u>

<u>Petition</u> <u>Friends and Neighbors of Block 4211</u> <u>Against the Four Story Proposal at 1152 Potrero Ave</u>

Name Mille Jaken Address 1166 Potopero 14120 email/phone number 415 6487359
Address 11/06 Potorro Aute
email/phone number 415 648 7359
Name Julie Zigoris Address 1130 Potrevo Ave email/phone number 412 303 5915
Name <u>Andrea</u> <u>Quiz</u> <u>de</u> <u>Bustanante</u> Address <u>1122</u> <u>Potrero</u> <u>Ane</u> <u>S.F.</u> <u>94110</u> <u>email/phone number</u> <u>andreat-r</u> <u>e</u> <u>yahoo</u> <u>oum</u>
Name MARIA HADLEY Address 1168 POTEERE AVE email/phone number
Name Suis gale - 1120 Dabrero Address email/phone number
Name DORILG PEREIR Darie Grience Address 1116 POTTORO
Name <u>Ruth Sebhatu</u> Address <u>1117 Potnero war</u> email/phone number
Name Lena Yu Address 2721 23 ^{ep} St email/phone number
Name DiANE PARKER. Address 2125 2370 51 $3F$ CA 9410 email/phone number 415 695 -1899
Name Levald'S Theeha Address 2931-23
email/phone number /
Name QAVID GENERA Address <u>111 HAmpshines</u> email/phone number 8782440

1.1

<u>Petition</u> <u>Friends and Neighbors of Block 4211</u> <u>Against the Four Story Proposal at 1152 Potrero Ave</u>
Name Misoel Portillo Address and 4 Jottaro av San Francisco CA email/phone number 415/34149-31
Name FOFT ACEBD Address 1149 POTRERO AVE email/phone number Writteninke Wotward. COM
Name lessice Roper Address 1527 comptellet email/phone number roper jussica ly ne@gmayliccm
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddress
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddressemail/phone number
NameAddressemail/phone number

Original 311 notice dated 1/6/16 and Second 311 Notice dated 5/11/16



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On March 03, 2015, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. 201502249220 with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROPERTY INFORMATION		APPLI	CANT INFORMATION	
Project Address:	1152 POTRERO AVE	Applicant:	Rod Massoudi	
Cross Street(s):	24 th Street	Address:	205 De Anza Blvd #109	
Block/Lot No .:	4211 / 011	City, State Zip:	San Mateo, CA 94402	
Zoning District(s):	RH-3 - Residential- House, Three Family / 55-X	Telephone:	(650) 773-5844	ø

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

The state of the state of the state of the state	PROJECT SCOPE	
Demolition	New Construction	Alteration
Change of Use	Façade Alteration(s)	Front Addition
Rear Addition	Side Addition	Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Single Family Dwelling	Three Family Dwelling
Front Setback	0 feet	No Change
Side Setbacks	0 feet	No Change
Building Depth	+/- 63 feet	73 feet 8 inches
Rear Yard	+/- 37 feet (to 1 st floor roof)	33 feet 4 inches
Building Height	+/- 22 feet (to midpoint of peak)	40 feet (to midpoint of peak)
Number of Stories	2 w/ Attic	4
Number of Dwelling Units	1	3
Number of Parking Spaces	1	2

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

HORIZONTAL & VERTICAL ADDITION TO CONVERT EXISTING SINGLE HOME TO 3 UNIT APARTMENT BUILDING WITH TWO CAR GARAGE.

The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:	Jeffrey Speirs		
Telephone:	(415) 575-9106	Notice Date:	1/6/16
E-mail:	jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org	Expiration Date:	2/5/16

中文詢問請電: (415) 575-9010

Para información en Español llamar al: (415) 575-9010



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1650 Mission Street Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103

NOTICE OF BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION (SECTION 311)

On March 03, 2015, the Applicant named below filed Building Permit Application No. 201502249220 with the City and County of San Francisco.

PROPERTY INFORMATION		APPLICANT INFORMATION	
Project Address: Cross Street(s): Block/Lot No.:	1152 POTRERO AVE 24 th Street 4211 / 011	Applicant: Address: City, State Zip:	Rod Massoudi 205 De Anza Blvd #109 San Mateo, CA 94402
Zoning District(s):	RH-3 - Residential- House, Three Family / 55-X	Telephone:	(650) 773-5844

You are receiving this notice as a property owner or resident within 150 feet of the proposed project. You are not required to take any action. For more information about the proposed project, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant listed above or the Planner named below as soon as possible. If you believe that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances associated with the project, you may request the Planning Commission to use its discretionary powers to review this application at a public hearing. Applications requesting a Discretionary Review hearing must be filed during the 30-day review period, prior to the close of business on the Expiration Date shown below, or the next business day if that date is on a week-end or a legal holiday. If no Requests for Discretionary Review are filed, this project will be approved by the Planning Department after the Expiration Date.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

	PROJECT SCOPE	
Demolition	New Construction	☑ Alteration
Change of Use	Façade Alteration(s)	Front Addition
Rear Addition	Side Addition	Vertical Addition
PROJECT FEATURES	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Building Use	Single Family Dwelling	Three Family Dwelling
Front Setback	0 feet	No Change
Side Setbacks	0 feet	No Change
Building Depth	+/- 63 feet	66 feet 8 inches
Rear Yard	+/- 37 feet (to 1 st floor projection)	33 feet 4 inches
Building Height	+/- 22 feet (to midpoint of peak)	40 feet
Number of Stories	2 w/ Attic	4
Number of Dwelling Units	1	3
Number of Parking Spaces	1	2

The proposed project is a front addition, a rear addition, and a vertical addition to an existing two-story (w/ attic) single-family dwelling. The existing single-family dwelling is on a slightly up-sloping lot with dimensions of 25 feet wide and 100 feet deep. The proposed building will be 4 stories and 40 feet in height, with fourth floor setback of 15 feet. The rear yard is 66 feet 8 inches deep, with setback of 10 feet at the third floor. A side setback of 3 feet at the rear is provided on the north side. Additional work includes front façade changes, a roof deck, and interior work. The proposed project will require a Variance Hearing which will be held jointly with the Discretionary Review Hearing, which is tentatively scheduled for June 2nd, 2016, as case numbers 2015-002632DRP and 2015-002632VAR2. See attached plans. The issuance of the building permit by the Department of Building Inspection or the Planning Commission project approval at a discretionary review hearing would constitute as the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner:	Jeffrey Speirs	
Telephone:	(415) 575-9106	
E-mail:	jeffrey.speirs@sfgov.org	

Notice Date: 5/11/16 Expiration Date: 5/26/16

中文詢問請電: 415.575.9010 | Para Información en Español Llamar al: 415.575.9010 | Para sa Impormasyon sa Tagalog Turnawag sa: 415.575.9121