

Planning Commission Resolution No. 18931

HEARING DATE: JULY 25, 2013

Date:	July 25, 2013
Case No.:	2013.0936U
Initiated by:	Planning Commission
Staff Contact:	Sophie Hayward, Legislative Planner
	(415) 558-6372 <u>sophie.hayward@sfgov.org</u>
	Jenny Wun, Legislative Intern
Reviewed by:	AnMarie Rodgers, Manager, Legislative Affairs
	AnMarie.Rodgers@sfgov.org

Recommendation: Recommend Further Study

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103-2479

Reception: 415.558.6378

Fax: 415.558.6409

Planning Information: 415.558.6377

RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THAT THE ISSUE OF FORMULA RETAIL BE STUDIED FURTHER TO INCREASE UNDERSTANDING OF THE ISSUE OVERALL AND TO EXAMINE POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND VISUAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED CONTROLS VERSUS THE ABSENCE OF NEW CONTROLS. IF PROPOSALS ARE TO MOVE FORWARD BEFORE FURTHER STUDY CAN BE DONE, THE COMMISSION RECOMMENDS RESISTING PATCHWORK CHANGES TO STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS OF THE CONTROLS SUCH AS THE DEFINITION OF FORMULA RETAIL, FOR THESE TYPES OF STRUCTURAL CHANGES ARE BEST APPLIED CITYWIDE.

PREAMBLE

Whereas, in 2004, the Board of Supervisors adopted San Francisco's first Formula Retail Use controls, which added Section 703.3 ("Formula Retail Uses") to the Planning Code to provide both a definition of formula retail and a regulatory framework that intended, based on the findings outlined in the Ordinance, to protect "a diverse retail base with distinct neighborhood retailing personalities comprised of a mix of businesses."; and

Whereas, in 2007, formula retail controls were further expanded when San Francisco voters approved Proposition G, the so-called "Small Business Protection Act," which amended the Planning Code by adding Section 703.4, requiring Conditional Use authorization for formula retail uses (as defined in the Code) proposed for any Neighborhood Commercial District.; and

Whereas, since the passage of Proposition G, controls for formula retail have been amendment multiple times; and

Whereas, currently there are no less than eight proposals to further amend formula retail controls that are under consideration; and

Whereas, the San Francisco Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") wants to ensure that changes to formula retail are fully vetted and researched; and

Whereas, the proposed policy is not an action subject to CEQA; and

Whereas, on July 25, 2013 the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Policy and adopted the proposed policy; and

Whereas, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the public, Department staff, and other interested parties; and

Whereas, the all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the custodian of records, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco; and

MOVED, that the Commission recommends that the issue of formula retail be studied further to increase understanding of the issue overall and to examine potential economic and visual impacts of the proposed controls verses the absence of new controls. If proposals are to move forward before further study can be done, the Department recommends that the Commission recommend resisting patchwork changes to structural components of the controls such as the definition of formula retail, for these types of structural changes are best applied citywide.

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- The Commission seeks a solution that will consolidate controls in a manner that is clear to the public, and consistently implemented by staff.
- The Commission seeks to develop criteria based on sound economic data and land use policy in order to protect the diversity of goods and services available to residents and visitors as well as the economic vitality of commercial districts large and small.
- Formula retail controls in San Francisco have evolved over the last nine years, and as indicated by the diversity of pending legislative proposals, many elected officials believe the controls need updating.
- As the issues and implications are numerous, the Commission recommends that changes be made based upon data and sound research. To assist with this effort, the Director has asked staff to seek consultant assistance on a study of the issues early this fall.
- The topics that staff are grappling with and that the Commission would seek to understand better at least six topics including: 1) the very structural of the controls such as definition use

types and size, 2) the criteria for evaluation, 3) visual impacts, 4) economic impacts, and 5) geographic boundaries of the controls.

• The Commission has directed Planning Department staff to include public involvement in the process of developing future policy recommendations.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Resolution on July 25, 2013.

Jonas P Ionin Acting Commission Secretary

- AYES: Commissioners Borden, Moore, Sugaya, and Wu
- NAYS: None
- ABSENT: Commissioners Antonini, Fong, and Hillis
- ADOPTED: July 25, 2013